



HAL
open science

The first eigenvalue of Dirac and Laplace operators on surfaces

Jean-Francois Grosjean, Emmanuel Humbert

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Francois Grosjean, Emmanuel Humbert. The first eigenvalue of Dirac and Laplace operators on surfaces. 2006. hal-00095771

HAL Id: hal-00095771

<https://hal.science/hal-00095771>

Preprint submitted on 18 Sep 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF DIRAC AND LAPLACE OPERATORS ON SURFACES

J.F. GROSJEAN ET E. HUMBERT

ABSTRACT. Let (M, g, σ) be a compact Riemannian surface equipped with a spin structure σ . For any metric \tilde{g} on M , we denote by $\mu_1(\tilde{g})$ (resp. $\lambda_1(\tilde{g})$) the first positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian (resp. the Dirac operator) with respect to the metric \tilde{g} . In this paper, we show that

$$\inf \frac{\lambda_1(\tilde{g})^2}{\mu_1(\tilde{g})} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

where the infimum is taken over the metrics \tilde{g} conformal to g . This answer a question asked by Agricola, Ammann and Friedrich in [AAF99].

¹ MSC 2000: 34L15, 53C27, 58J05.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Generalized metrics	2
3. The metrics $(g_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$	4
4. Proof of relation (5)	5
5. Proof of relation (6)	7
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g, σ) be a compact Riemannian surface equipped with a spin structure σ . For any metric \tilde{g} on M , we denote by $\Sigma_{\tilde{g}}M$ the spinor bundle associated to \tilde{g} . We let $\Delta_{\tilde{g}}$ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator acting on smooth functions of M and $D_{\tilde{g}}$ be the Dirac operator acting on smooth spinor fields with respect to the metric \tilde{g} . We also denote by $\mu_1(\tilde{g})$ (resp. $\lambda_1(\tilde{g})$) the smallest positive eigenvalue of $\Delta_{\tilde{g}}$ (resp. $D_{\tilde{g}}$). Agricola, Ammann and Friedrich asked the following question in [AAF99]:

When M is a two dimensional torus, can we find on M a Riemannian metric \tilde{g} for which $\lambda_1(\tilde{g})^2 < \mu_1(\tilde{g})$?

The main goal of this article is to answer this question. We prove the

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a family of metrics $(g_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ conformal to g for which*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_1(g_\varepsilon)^2 \text{Vol}_{g_\varepsilon}(M) \leq 4\pi$$

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_1(g_\varepsilon) \text{Vol}_{g_\varepsilon}(M) \geq 8\pi.$$

Date: September 18, 2006.

¹grosjean@iecn.u-nancy.fr, humbert@iecn.u-nancy.fr

Theorem 1.1 clearly answers the question of [AAF99] but says much more: first, the result is true on any compact Riemannian surface equipped with a spin structure and not only when M is a two-dimensional torus. In addition, the metric \tilde{g} can be chosen in a given conformal class. Finally, this metric \tilde{g} can be chosen such that $(2 - \delta)\lambda_1(g)^2 < \mu_1(g)$ where $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary small. More precisely Theorem 1.1 shows

Corollary 1.2. *On any compact Riemannian surface (M, g) , we have*

$$\inf \frac{\lambda_1(\tilde{g})^2}{\mu_1(\tilde{g})} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

where the infimum is taken over the metric \tilde{g} conformal to g .

Theorem 1.1 has other interesting consequences. Indeed, it proves

Corollary 1.3. *For any compact surface (M, g) equipped with a spin structure σ , we let*

$$\lambda_{\min}^+(M, g, \sigma) = \inf \lambda_1(\tilde{g}) \text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(M)$$

where the infimum is taken over the metrics \tilde{g} conformal to g . Then, we have $\lambda_{\min}^+(M, g, \sigma) \leq \lambda_{\min}^+(\mathbb{S}^2)$ where $\lambda_{\min}^+(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is the same invariant computed on the standard sphere \mathbb{S}^2 .

This corollary is an immediate consequence of the fact that $\lambda_{\min}^+(\mathbb{S}^2) = 2\sqrt{\pi}$ (see [AHM03]). This result was announced in [AHM03]. The conformal invariant λ_{\min}^+ has been studied in many papers (see for example [Hij86, Lot86, Bär92, Amm03, AHM03, AH06]). Indeed, it has many relations with Yamabe problem (see [LP87]). Corollary 1.3 has been proved in all dimensions by Ammann in [Amm03] if either $n \geq 3$ or D is invertible. Corollary 1.3 extends the result to the remaining case: $n = 2$ and $\text{Ker}(D) \neq \{0\}$. In [AHM03], an alternative proof of the case $n \geq 3$ is given and the proof of the case $n = 2$ is sketched.

In the same spirit, a consequence of Theorem 1.1 is

Corollary 1.4. *For any compact surface (M, g) , we let*

$$\mu_{\text{sup}}(M, g) = \sup \mu_1(\tilde{g}) \text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(M)$$

where the infimum is taken over the metrics \tilde{g} conformal to g . Then, we have $\mu_{\text{sup}}(M, g) \geq \mu_{\text{sup}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ where $\mu_{\text{sup}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is the same invariant computed on the standard sphere \mathbb{S}^2 .

The invariant μ_{sup} has been studied in [CoES03] and Corollary 1.4 is a particular case of Theorem A in this paper. We obtain here another proof.

Acknowledgement: The authors are very grateful to Bernd Ammann for having drawn our attention to the question in [AAF99].

2. GENERALIZED METRICS

Let f be a smooth positive function and set $\tilde{g} = f^2g$. Let also for $u \in C^\infty(M)$

$$I_{\tilde{g}}(u) = \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|_{\tilde{g}}^2 dv_{\tilde{g}}}{\int_M u^2 dv_{\tilde{g}}}.$$

It is well known that $\mu_1(\tilde{g}) = \inf I_{\tilde{g}}(u)$ where the infimum is taken over the smooth non-zero functions u for which $\int_M u dv_{\tilde{g}} = 0$. We now can write all these expressions in the metric g . We then see that for $u \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$I_{\tilde{g}}(u) = \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|_g^2 dv_g}{\int u^2 f^2 dv_g}$$

and $\mu_1(\tilde{g}) = \inf I_{\tilde{g}}(u)$ where the infimum is taken over the smooth non-zero functions u for which $\int_M u f^2 dv_g = 0$. Now if f is only of class $C^{0,a}(M)$ for some $a > 0$, we can define $\tilde{g} = f^2g$. The 2-form \tilde{g} is not really a metric since f is not smooth. We then say that g is a *generalized metric*. We can

define the first eigenvalue $\mu_1(\bar{g})$ of $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ using the definition above. Now, by standard methods, one sees that there exists a function $u \in C^2(M)$ with $\int_M u f^2 dv_g = 0$ and such that $I_{\bar{g}}(u) = \mu_1(\bar{g})$. Writing the Euler equation for u , we see that

$$\Delta_g u = \mu_1(\bar{g}) f^2 u. \quad (1)$$

We prove the following result

Lemma 2.1. *If (f_n) is a sequence of smooth positive functions which converges uniformly to f , then $\mu_1(f_n^2 g)$ tends to $\mu_1(\bar{g})$.*

Proof. Let u_n be an eigenfunction associated to $\mu_1(f_n^2 g)$. Without loss of generality, we can

assume that $\int_M u_n^2 f_n^2 = 1$. We set $v_n = u_n - \frac{\int_M u_n f^2 dv_g}{\int_M f^2 dv_g}$. We then have $\int_M v_n f^2 dv_g = 0$ and hence

$$\mu_1(\bar{g}) \leq I_{\bar{g}}(v_n). \quad (2)$$

We have

$$\int_M |\nabla v_n|^2 dv_g = \int_M |\nabla u_n|^2 dv_g = \int_M u_n \Delta_g u_n dv_g.$$

By equation (1), we get that

$$\int_M |\nabla v_n|^2 dv_g = \mu_1(f_n^2 g) \int_M f_n^2 u_n^2 dv_g = \mu_1(f_n^2 g). \quad (3)$$

We also have

$$\int_M f^2 v_n^2 dv_g = \int_M f^2 u_n^2 - \frac{\left(\int_M u_n f^2 dv_g\right)^2}{\int_M f^2 dv_g}.$$

Now,

$$\left| \int_M u_n f^2 dv_g \right| = \left| \int_M u_n (f^2 - f_n^2) dv_g \right| \leq C \int_M |u_n| (f + f_n)^2 \|f - f_n\|_\infty.$$

Since the sequence $(f_n)_n$ tends uniformly to f and since $\int_M f_n^2 u_n^2 dv_g = 1$, we get that $\lim_n \int_M u_n f^2 dv_g = 0$. In the same way,

$$\int_M f^2 u_n^2 dv_g = \int_M f_n^2 u_n^2 dv_g + o(1) = 1 + o(1).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\int_M f^2 v_n^2 dv_g = 1 + o(1).$$

Together with (2) and (3), we obtain that $\mu_1(\bar{g}) \leq \liminf_n \mu_1(f_n^2 g)$. Now, let u be associated to $\mu_1(\bar{g})$

and set $v = u - \frac{\int_M u f_n^2 dv_g}{\int_M f_n^2 dv_g}$. We have $\int_M v^2 f_n^2 dv_g = 0$ and hence

$$\mu_1(f_n^2 g) \leq I_{f_n^2 g}(v).$$

It is easy to see that $\lim_n I_{f_n^2 g}(v) = I_{\bar{g}}(u) = \mu_1(\bar{g})$. We then obtain that $\mu_1(\bar{g}) \geq \limsup_n \mu_1(f_n^2 g)$. This proves Lemma 2.1. \square

In the same way, if $\bar{g} = f^2g$ is a metric conformal to g where f is positive and smooth, we define

$$J'_{\bar{g}}(\psi) = \frac{\int_M |D_{\bar{g}}\psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 f^{-1} dv_{\bar{g}}}{\int_M \langle D_{\bar{g}}\psi, \psi \rangle_{\bar{g}} dv_{\bar{g}}}.$$

The first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator $D_{\bar{g}}$ is then given by $\lambda_1^+(\bar{g}) = \inf J'_{\bar{g}}(\psi)$ where the infimum is taken over the smooth spinor fields ψ for which $\int_M \langle D_{\bar{g}}\psi, \psi \rangle_{\bar{g}} dv_{\bar{g}} > 0$. Now, it is well known (see [Hit74, Hij01]) that we can identify isometrically on each fiber spinor fields for the metric g and spinor fields for the metric \bar{g} . Moreover, we have for all smooth spinor field:

$$D_{\bar{g}}(f^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi) = f^{-\frac{3}{2}}D_g\psi.$$

This implies that if we set $\varphi = f^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi$, we have

$$J_{\bar{g}}(\varphi) := \frac{\int_M |D_g\varphi|^2 f^{-1} dv_g}{\int_M \langle D_g\varphi, \varphi \rangle dv_g} = J'_g(\psi)$$

and the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator $D_{\bar{g}}$ is given by $\lambda_1^+(\bar{g}) = \inf J_{\bar{g}}(\varphi)$ where the infimum is taken over the smooth spinor fields φ for which $\int_M \langle D_g\varphi, \varphi \rangle dv_g > 0$. With the definition above, we can extend the definition of $\lambda_1(\bar{g})$ when \bar{g} is a generalised metric. By standard methods, there exists a spinor fields $\varphi \in C^1(M)$ such that $\lambda_1^+(\bar{g}) = J_{\bar{g}}(\varphi)$ and such that

$$D_g\varphi = \lambda_1^+(\bar{g})f\varphi. \quad (4)$$

We then have a result similar to Lemma 2.1:

Lemma 2.2. *If (f_n) is a sequence of smooth positive functions which converges uniformly to f , then $\lambda_1(f_n^2g)$ tends to $\lambda_1(\bar{g})$.*

The proof is similar to the one of Lemma 2.1 and we omit it here.

3. THE METRICS $(g_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$

In this paragraph, we construct the metrics $(g_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ which will satisfy:

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_1(g_{\alpha,\varepsilon})^2 \text{Vol}_{g_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}(M) \leq 4\pi + C(\alpha) \quad (5)$$

where $C(\alpha)$ is a positive constant which goes to 0 with α and

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_1(g_{\alpha,\varepsilon}) \text{Vol}_{g_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}(M) \geq 8\pi. \quad (6)$$

Clearly this implies Theorem 1.1. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, one can assume that the metrics $(g_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ are generalized metrics. We just have to define the volume of M for generalized metric by $\text{Vol}_{f^2g}(M) = \int_M f^2 dv_g$. At first, without loss of generality, we can assume that g is flat near a point $p \in M$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a small number to be fixed later such that g is flat on $B_p(\alpha)$. We set for all $x \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2 + r^2} & \text{if } r \leq \alpha \\ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2 + \alpha^2} & \text{if } r > \alpha \end{cases}$$

where $r = d_g(\cdot, p)$. The function $f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ is of class $C^{0,a}$ for all $a \in]0, 1[$ and is positive on M . We then define for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $g_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 g$. The 2-forms $(g_{\alpha,\varepsilon})_{\alpha,\varepsilon,p}$ will be the desired generalized metrics. For these metrics, we have

$$\text{Vol}_{g_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}(M) = \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g = \int_{B_p(\alpha)} f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g + \int_{M \setminus B_p(\alpha)} f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g.$$

Since g is flat on $B_p(\alpha)$, we have

$$\int_{B_p(\alpha)} f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\alpha \frac{\varepsilon^4 r}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^2} dr d\Theta.$$

Setting $y = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$ we obtain:

$$\int_{B_p(\alpha)} f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g = 2\pi\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r}{(1+r^2)^2} dr = 2\pi\varepsilon^2 \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r}{(1+r^2)^2} dr + o(1) \right) = \pi\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2).$$

Since $f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^4}{\alpha^4}$ on $M \setminus B_p(\alpha)$, we have $\int_{M \setminus B_p(\alpha)} f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g = o(\varepsilon^2)$. We obtain

$$\text{Vol}_{g_\varepsilon}(M) = \pi\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2). \quad (7)$$

In the whole paper, the notation “ $o(\cdot)$ ” must be understood as ε tends to 0.

4. PROOF OF RELATION (5)

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be defined by $f(x) = \frac{2}{1+|x|^2}$. Let ψ_0 be a non-zero parallel spinor field on \mathbb{R}^2 such that $|\psi_0|^2 = 1$. As in [AHM03], we set on \mathbb{R}^2

$$\psi(x) = f^{\frac{\eta}{2}}(x)(1-x) \cdot \psi_0.$$

As easily computed, we have on \mathbb{R}^2

$$D\psi = f\psi \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi| = f^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8)$$

Now, we fixe a small number $\delta > 0$ such that g is flat on $B_p(\delta)$. Then, we take $\varepsilon \leq \alpha \leq \delta$. We will let ε goes to 0. We let also η be a smooth cut-off function defined on M such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\eta(B_p(\delta)) = \{1\}$, $\eta(M \setminus B_p(2\delta)) = \{0\}$. Identifying $B_p(\delta)$ in M with $B_0(\delta)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , we can define a smooth spinor field on M by $\psi_\varepsilon = \eta(x)\psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$. Using (8), we have

$$D_g(\psi_\varepsilon) = \nabla\eta \cdot \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right). \quad (9)$$

Since $\langle \nabla\eta \cdot \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \rangle \in i\mathbb{R}$ and since $|D_g\psi_\varepsilon|^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_M |D_g\psi_\varepsilon|^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dv_g = I_1 + I_2 \quad (10)$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_M |\nabla\eta|^2 \left| \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \right|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \int_M \frac{\eta^2}{\varepsilon^2} f^2\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \left| \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \right|^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dx.$$

At first, let us deal with I_1 . By (8),

$$I_1 \leq C \int_M f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dx = C \int_{B_p(\alpha)} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dx + C \int_{B_p(2\delta) \setminus B_p(\alpha)} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dx$$

where, as in the following, C denotes a constant independant of α and ε . On $B_p(\alpha)$, $f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} = 2$. Hence,

$$\int_{B_p(\alpha)} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dx \leq C\alpha^2.$$

On $B_p(2\delta) \setminus B_p(\alpha)$, since $\varepsilon \leq \alpha$,

$$f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2 + r^2} = \frac{4\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2(1 + \left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)^2)}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_p(2\delta) \setminus B_p(\alpha)} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dx &\leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\alpha^\delta \frac{r}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)^2\right)} dr d\Theta \\ &\leq 8\pi\alpha^2 \int_{\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r}{(1+r^2)} dr \\ &\leq 8\pi\alpha^2 \ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2 + \delta^2}{\varepsilon^2 + \alpha^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\int_{B_p(2\delta) \setminus B_p(\alpha)} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dx \leq C\alpha^2 \ln\left(\frac{2\delta^2}{\alpha^2}\right).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$I_1 \leq C\alpha^2 + C \ln\left(\frac{2\delta^2}{\alpha^2}\right) = a(\alpha) \quad (11)$$

where $a(\alpha)$ goes to 0 with α . Now, by (8),

$$I_2 \leq C \int_{B_p(2\delta)} f^3\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dx.$$

Since $f_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \geq \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$, we have

$$I_2 \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_p(2\delta)} f^2\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) dx.$$

Mimicking what we did to get (7), we obtain that

$$I_2 \leq 8\pi + o(1)$$

when ε tends to 0. Together with (10) and (11), we obtain

$$\int_M |D_g \psi_\varepsilon|^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-1} dv_g \leq 8\pi + a(\alpha) + o(1). \quad (12)$$

In the same way, by (9), since $\int_M \langle D_g(\psi_\varepsilon), \psi_\varepsilon \rangle dv_g \in \mathbb{R}$ and since $\langle \nabla \eta \cdot \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \rangle \in i\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_M \langle D_g(\psi_\varepsilon), \psi_\varepsilon \rangle dv_g = \int_M \frac{\eta^2}{\varepsilon} f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \left| \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \right|^2 dv_g.$$

By (8), this gives

$$\int_M \langle D_g(\psi_\varepsilon), \psi_\varepsilon \rangle dv_g = \int_M \frac{\eta^2}{\varepsilon} f^2\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) dv_g.$$

With the computations made above, it follows that

$$\int_M \langle D_g(\psi_\varepsilon), \psi_\varepsilon \rangle dv_g = 4\pi\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon).$$

Together with (12) and (7), we obtain

$$\lambda_1(g_{\alpha,\psi})^2 \text{Vol}_{g_{\alpha,\psi}}(M) \leq (J_{g_{\alpha,\psi}}(\psi_\varepsilon))^2 \text{Vol}_{g_{\alpha,\psi}}(M) \leq \left(\frac{8\pi + a(\alpha) + o(1)}{4\pi\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)}\right)^2 (\pi\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2)) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (4\pi + a(\alpha) + o(1)).$$

Relation (5) immediatly follows.

5. PROOF OF RELATION (6)

First we need the following estimate

Lemma 5.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u \in C_c^\infty(B_p(\alpha))$, then*

$$\int_M u^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M u f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \right)^2.$$

Proof. Let $g_\varepsilon = f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 g$. Then $(B_p(\alpha), g_\varepsilon)$ is embedded in a canonical sphere of volume $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2 + r^2} \right)^2 dx = \pi\varepsilon^2$. Then from the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\int_M u^2 dv_{g_\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{\mu_{1,\varepsilon}} \int_M |\nabla^\varepsilon u|_{g_\varepsilon}^2 dv_{g_\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{V_\varepsilon} \left(\int_M u dv_{g_\varepsilon} \right)^2$$

where $\mu_{1,\varepsilon} = \frac{8}{\varepsilon^2}$ is the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the sphere of volume $V_\varepsilon = \pi\varepsilon^2$ and $\nabla^\varepsilon u$ denotes the gradient of u with respect to the metric g_ε . Now since $|\nabla^\varepsilon u|_{g_\varepsilon}^2 = f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^{-2} |\nabla u|_g^2$ and $dv_{g_\varepsilon} = f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g$, we get the desired result. \square

Lemma 5.2. *For any $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$, we have*

$$\int_M (\Delta u) u v^2 dv_g = \int_M |\nabla(uv)|_g^2 dv_g - \int_M u^2 |\nabla v|_g^2 dv_g.$$

Proof. The proof is an elementary calculation. \square

Because of the relation (7), the inequality (6) is equivalent to the following

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \mu_1(g_\varepsilon) \geq .8 \quad (13)$$

In order to prove this inequality, we assume that for any ε small enough, there exists k , $0 < k < 1$ so that

$$\mu_1(g_\varepsilon) < \frac{8}{\varepsilon^2} k. \quad (14)$$

Let u_ε be an eigenfunction associated to $\mu_1(g_\varepsilon)$. Then $u_\varepsilon \in C^2(M)$ and $\Delta_{g_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon = \mu_1(g_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon$ where Δ_{g_ε} denotes the Laplacian associated to the metric g_ε . Since the dimension is 2, $\Delta_{g_\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2} \Delta$ and

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon = \mu_1(g_\varepsilon) f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon. \quad (15)$$

We normalize u_ε so that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_1^2} = 1$. Up to a subsequence we can assume that $\int_M |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dv_g \rightarrow l$ and $\int_M u_\varepsilon^2 dv_g \rightarrow l'$ with $l + l' = 1$. Since (u_ε) is bounded in H_1^2 , there exists a subsequence so that $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$ weakly in H_1^2 . In the following, all the convergences are up to subsequence. We sometimes omit to recall this fact.

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a constant c_0 such that $u = c_0$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ and

$$\eta_\rho := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } B_p(\rho) \\ 0 & \text{on } M \setminus B_p(2\rho) \end{cases}$$

satisfying $0 \leq \eta_\rho \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \eta_\rho| \leq \frac{1}{\rho}$. We have

$$\int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle = \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla(\eta_\rho \varphi) \rangle dv_g + \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla((1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi) \rangle dv_g. \quad (16)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla(\eta_\rho \varphi) \rangle dv_g &= \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla \eta_\rho \rangle \varphi dv_g + \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \eta_\rho dv_g \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_p(2\rho)} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_p(2\rho)} |\nabla \eta_\rho|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{B_p(2\rho)} |\nabla u|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_p(2\rho)} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The limit of the last term is 0 when $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Moreover from the definition of η_ρ and from the fact that M is a 2-dimensional locally flat domain, the limit of $\left(\int_{B_p(2\rho)} |\nabla \eta_\rho|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2}$ is bounded in a neighborhood of 0. Then we deduce that

$$\int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla(\eta_\rho \varphi) \rangle dv_g \rightarrow 0 \quad (17)$$

when $\rho \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla((1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi) \rangle dv_g \right| &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_M \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla((1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi) \rangle dv_g \right| \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_M (\Delta u_\varepsilon)(1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi dv_g \right| \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \mu_1(g_\varepsilon) \int_M f_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon (1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi dv_g \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now from the definition of $f_{\alpha, \varepsilon}$ and from (14) we get

$$\left| \mu_1(g_\varepsilon) \int_M f_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon (1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi dv_g \right| \leq \frac{8}{\varepsilon^2} k C \varepsilon^4 \left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M (1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2}$$

where C is a constant depending on the compact support of $(1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi$. Then making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that

$$\int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla((1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi) \rangle dv_g = 0.$$

Now, reporting this and (17) in (16) we obtain that $\int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle dv_g = 0$ and $\Delta u = 0$ on M in the sense of distributions. This implies that $u \equiv c_0$ on M for a constant c_0 . □

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(c_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Then*

$$\int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon^2 dv_g \leq O(\varepsilon^2 \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4).$$

Proof. Let η be a C^∞ function defined on M so that

$$\eta := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } B_p(\alpha/2) \\ 0 & \text{on } M \setminus B_p(\alpha) \end{cases}$$

satisfying $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq 1$.

From the lemma 5.1, we have

$$\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \int_M |\nabla((u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta)|^2 dv_g + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \right)^2$$

and applying the lemma 5.2 to the first term of the right hand side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g &\leq \\ &\frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \int_M (\Delta(u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon))(u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta^2 dv_g + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 dv_g + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (15) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g &\leq \\ &\frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \mu_1(g_\varepsilon) \int_M u_\varepsilon (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

First case : assume that $\int_M u_\varepsilon (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \geq 0$.

The relation (14) implies

$$\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g \leq k \int_M u_\varepsilon (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \right)^2.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1-k) \int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g + c_\varepsilon^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g &\leq \\ (2-k)c_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon)\eta f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g &= \int_M u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 (\eta^2 - 1) dv_g + \int_M u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \\
&= \int_M u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 (\eta^2 - 1) dv_g + \frac{1}{\mu_1(g_\varepsilon)} \int_M \Delta u_\varepsilon dv_g \\
&= \int_M u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 (\eta^2 - 1) dv_g \\
&\leq \int_{M \setminus B_p(\alpha/2)} u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 (\eta^2 - 1) dv_g
\end{aligned}$$

and from the definition of $f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ and η and from the fact that u_ε is bounded in L^2 , we deduce that

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g = O(\varepsilon^4).$$

Since c_ε is bounded (18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-k) \int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g + c_\varepsilon^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g &\leq O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon) \eta f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \right)^2 \\
&= O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon (\eta - 1) dv_g + \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon dv_g - c_\varepsilon \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta \right)^2 \\
&= O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon (\eta - 1) dv_g - c_\varepsilon \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta \right)^2 \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used the fact that $\int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon dv_g = \frac{1}{\mu_1(g_\varepsilon)} \int_M \Delta u_\varepsilon dv_g = 0$.

Using the same arguments as above we see that $\int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon (\eta - 1) dv_g = O(\varepsilon^4)$. Reporting this in (19) we get

$$(1-k) \int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g + c_\varepsilon^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g \leq O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{O(\varepsilon^4)}{\varepsilon^2} \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta dv_g + \frac{c_\varepsilon^2}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta dv_g \right)^2.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta dv_g &= \int_{B_p(\alpha)} f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\alpha \frac{\varepsilon^4 r}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^2} dr d\Theta \\
&= 2\pi\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{\alpha/\varepsilon} \frac{t}{(1+t^2)^2} dt \\
&\leq 2\pi\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t}{(1+t^2)^2} dt \\
&= \pi\varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-k) \int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g + c_\varepsilon^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g &\leq O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{c_\varepsilon^2}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta dv_g \right)^2 \\
&= O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + c_\varepsilon^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta dv_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-k) \int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g &\leq O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + c_\varepsilon^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 (\eta - \eta^2) dv_g \\
&\leq O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + c_\varepsilon^2 \int_{B_p(\alpha) \setminus B_p(\alpha/2)} f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \\
&= O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Second case : Assume that $\int_M u_\varepsilon (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon) \eta^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \leq 0$.

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g - 2c_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g + c_\varepsilon^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g &\leq \\
O(\varepsilon^4) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8} \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon) \eta f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g \right)^2 &\tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude as in the previous case.

Then we have proved that

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g = O(\varepsilon^4 + \varepsilon^2 \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2).$$

To finish the proof, we write

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 dv_g = \int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 \eta^2 dv_g + \int_M u_\varepsilon^2 f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 (1 - \eta^2) dv_g$$

and the last term is $O(\varepsilon^4)$ which completes the proof. \square

Proof. of Relation (13). First we apply the lemma 5.4 to $c_\varepsilon = c_0$ and we see that $c_0 \neq 0$. Indeed, let us compute the L^2 -norm of the gradient of u_ε .

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dv_g &= \int_M (\Delta u_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon dv_g \leq \frac{8k}{\varepsilon^2} \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon^2 dv_g \\
&= \frac{8k}{\varepsilon^2} O(\varepsilon^2 \|u_\varepsilon - c_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4) \\
&= o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we deduce that up to a subsequence

$$\int_M |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dv_g \longrightarrow 0.$$

But we have chosen u_ε so that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_1^2} = 1$. Then $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \longrightarrow 1$ and $c_0 \neq 0$.

Now let us consider $\bar{u}_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M u_\varepsilon dv_g$ and $a_\varepsilon = \|u_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon\|_{H_1^2}$. Then $u_\varepsilon \longrightarrow c_0$ and $a_\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$. It follows that the function $v_\varepsilon = \frac{u_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon}{a_\varepsilon}$ satisfies $\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H_1^2} = 1$ and there exists $v \in H_1^2$ so that $v_\varepsilon \longrightarrow v$ weakly in H_1^2 and strongly in L^2 .

To prove (13) we will consider two cases.

First case : Assume that up to a subsequence $a_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (\Delta u_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g &= \mu_1(g_\varepsilon)^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^4 u_\varepsilon^2 dv_g \\ &\leq \mu_1(g_\varepsilon)^2 \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon^2 dv_g \\ &\leq \frac{64k}{\varepsilon^4} O(\varepsilon^2 \|u_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4) \\ &\leq \frac{64k}{\varepsilon^4} O(\varepsilon^2 a_\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^4) \\ &\leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|\Delta u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$, $\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$ and $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$ are bounded. It well known that the norms

$$\|v\| := \|\Delta v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^2}$$

and $\|v\|_{H_2^2}$ are equivalent (it is a direct consequence of Bochner formula). Hence, this implies that $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ is bounded in H_2^2 which is embedded in C^0 . Then $u_\varepsilon \longrightarrow c_0$ uniformly up to a subsequence. Since $c_0 \neq 0$ it follows that for ε small enough u_ε has a constant sign, which is not possible because u_ε is an eigenfunction in the metric g_ε .

Second case : Assume that $\varepsilon = a_\varepsilon o(1)$. In this case we have the

Lemma 5.5. $v_\varepsilon \longrightarrow c_1$ in H_1^2 where c_1 is a constant.

Proof. The proof is similar to this of lemma 5.3. Indeed we consider $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ and the function η_ρ defined in this previous proof. Then

$$\int_M \langle \nabla v, \nabla \varphi \rangle = \int_M \langle \nabla v, \nabla(\eta_\rho \varphi) \rangle dv_g + \int_M \langle \nabla v, \nabla((1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi) \rangle dv_g.$$

By the same arguments we have $\int_M \langle \nabla v, \nabla(\eta_\rho \varphi) \rangle dv_g \longrightarrow 0$ when $\rho \longrightarrow 0$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M \langle \nabla v, \nabla((1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi) \rangle dv_g \right| &= \lim_{\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0} \left| \int_M \langle \nabla v_\varepsilon, \nabla((1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi) \rangle dv_g \right| \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0} \left| \int_M (\Delta v_\varepsilon)(1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi dv_g \right| \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0} \left| \frac{\mu_1(g_\varepsilon)}{a_\varepsilon} \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 v_\varepsilon (1 - \eta_\rho)\varphi dv_g \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\left| \frac{\mu_1(g_\varepsilon)}{a_\varepsilon} \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 v_\varepsilon (1 - \eta_\rho) \varphi dv_g \right| \leq \frac{8k}{a_\varepsilon \varepsilon^2} C \varepsilon^4 \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} \left(\int_M (1 - \eta_\rho) \varphi^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2}$. Since $\varepsilon = a_\varepsilon o(1)$, we deduce that $\left| \int_M \langle \nabla v, \nabla ((1 - \eta_\rho) \varphi) \rangle dv_g \right| = 0$ and then $\int_M \langle \nabla v, \nabla \varphi \rangle = 0$. Therefore $\Delta v = 0$ in sense of distributions and $v = c_1$ on M . □

Now let $c_\varepsilon = \bar{u}_\varepsilon + a_\varepsilon c_1$. Then $c_\varepsilon \rightarrow c_0$. We denote by $\mu(g)$ the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian with respect to the metric g . From the definition of a_ε and the definition of $\mu(g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varepsilon^2 &\leq 2 \left(\int_M |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dv_g + \int_M (u_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g \right) \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu(g)} \right) \int_M |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dv_g \\ &= 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu(g)} \right) \int_M \Delta u_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon dv_g \\ &= 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu(g)} \right) \mu_1(g_\varepsilon) \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon^2 dv_g. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Applying lemma 5.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}^2 u_\varepsilon^2 dv_g &= O(\varepsilon^2 \|u_\varepsilon - c_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4) \\ &= O(\varepsilon^2 \|u_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon - a_\varepsilon c_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4) \\ &= O \left(a_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 \left\| \frac{u_\varepsilon - \bar{u}_\varepsilon}{a_\varepsilon} - c_1 \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4 \right) \\ &= O(a_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2 \|v_\varepsilon - c_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4) \\ &= O(\varepsilon^4) + o(a_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now reporting this in (22) with the estimate (14) we find

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varepsilon^2 &\leq C \frac{8k}{\varepsilon^2} (O(\varepsilon^4) + o(a_\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^2)) \\ &= O(\varepsilon^2) + a_\varepsilon^2 o(1). \end{aligned}$$

But $\varepsilon = a_\varepsilon o(1)$. Then $a_\varepsilon^2 \leq C a_\varepsilon^2 o(1)$ and for ε small enough $a_\varepsilon = 0$ and u_ε is a constant which is impossible. □

REFERENCES

- [AAF99] I. Agricola, B. Ammann and T. Friedrich, *A comparison of the eigenvalues of the Dirac and Laplace operators on a two-dimensional torus*, Manuscripta Math., **100** (1999), No 2, 231–258.
- [Amm03] B. Ammann, *A spin-conformal lower bound of the first positive Dirac eigenvalue*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **18** (2003), 21–32.
- [AH06] B. Ammann, E. Humbert, *The first conformal Dirac eigenvalue on 2-dimensional tori*, J. Geom. Phys., **56** (2006), No 4, 623–642.
- [AHM03] B. Ammann, E. Humbert, B. Morel, *A spinorial analogue of Aubin's inequality*, Preprint
- [AHM03] B. Ammann, E. Humbert, B. Morel, *Mass endomorphism and spinorial Yamabe type problems on conformally flat manifolds*, to appear in Comm. Anal. Geom.
- [Bär92] C. Bär, *Lower eigenvalue estimates for Dirac operators*, Math. Ann., 293, 1992.

- [CoES03] B. Colbois and A. El Soufi, *Extremal eigenvalues of the Laplacian in a conformal class of metrics: the 'conformal spectrum'* Ann. Global Anal. Geom., **24** (2003), No 4, 337–349.
- [Hij86] O. Hijazi, *A conformal lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirac operator and Killing Spinors*, Comm. Math. Phys., **104** (1986), 151–162.
- [Hij91] O. Hijazi, *Première valeur propre de l'opérateur de Dirac et nombre de Yamabe*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, *Série I* **313**, (1991), 865–868.
- [Hij01] O. Hijazi, *Spectral properties of the Dirac operator and geometrical structures*, Ocampo, Hernan (ed.) et al., Geometric methods for quantum field theory. Proceedings of the summer school, Villa de Leyva, Colombia, July 12-30, 1999. Singapore: World Scientific. 116-169, 2001.
- [Hit74] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic spinors*, Adv. Math. **14** (1974), 1–55.
- [LP87] J. M. Lee and T. H. Parker, The Yamabe problem, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc., New Ser.*, **17** (1987), 37–91.
- [Lot86] J. Lott, *Eigenvalue bounds for the Dirac operator*, Pacific J. of Math., **125** (1986), 117–126.

Authors' address:

Jean-François Grosjean and Emmanuel Humbert,
Institut Élie Cartan BP 239
Université de Nancy 1
54506 Vandoeuvre-lès -Nancy Cedex
France

E-Mail:

`grosjean@iecn.u-nancy.fr`, `humbert@iecn.u-nancy.fr`