

Restricted expression of a median Hox gene in the central nervous system of chaetognaths

Daniel Papillon, Yvan Perez, Laurent Fasano, Yannick Le Parco, Xavier

Caubit

► To cite this version:

Daniel Papillon, Yvan Perez, Laurent Fasano, Yannick Le Parco, Xavier Caubit. Restricted expression of a median Hox gene in the central nervous system of chaetognaths. Development Genes and Evolution, 2005, 215 (7), pp.396-373. 10.1007/s00427-005-0483-z . hal-00093476

HAL Id: hal-00093476 https://hal.science/hal-00093476

Submitted on 11 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Restricted expression of a median Hox gene in the central nervous system of chaetognaths

Daniel Papillon, Yvan Perez, Laurent Fasano, Yannick Le Parco, Xavier Caubit

Abstract *Hox* genes encode a set of evolutionarily conserved transcription factors that regulate anterior–posterior patterning. Here we report the first developmental expression of a *Hox* gene from Chaetognatha. These metazoans have been shown recently to be part of the protostome group of bilaterians. We describe the analysis of the *SceMed4* gene (a *Spadella cephaloptera* Median *Hox* gene) including its expression from late stages of egg development to 7 days after hatching. In all of these stages, *SceMed4* is expressed in two lateral stripes in a restricted region of the developing ventral ganglion.

Keywords Chaetognatha · *Hox* genes · *SceMed4* · Central nervous system · *Spadella cephaloptera*

Introduction

Chaetognaths constitute a small marine phylum of approximately 120 species. In the last decade, their relationships within the metazoans have been strongly debated because of embryological and morphological features shared with the

D. Papillon (⊠) · Y. Le Parco Centre d'Océanologie de Marseille, UMR 6540 CNRS DIMAR, Rue batterie des lions, 13007 Marseille, France e-mail: papillon@com.univ-mrs.fr Tel.: +33-4-91041654 Fax: +33-4-91047654

Y. Perez · X. Caubit Université Aix-Marseille I, 3, place Victor Hugo, 13001 Marseille, France

L. Fasano · X. Caubit Institut de Biologie du Développement de Marseille, Laboratoire de Génétique et Physiologie du Développement, Parc Scientifique de Luminy, 13288 Marseille cedex 09, France two main branches of Bilateria, the deuterostomes and the protostomes (Nielsen 2001). Until now, and due to long branch attraction artefacts (see Mallatt and Winchell 2002), sequence data, including ribosomal RNA 18S (18S) (Telford and Holland 1993; Wadah and Satoh 1994; Halanych 1996; Littlewood et al. 1998; Zrzàvy et al. 1998; Giribet et al. 2000; Peterson and Eernisse 2001) and intermediate filaments (Erber et al. 1998), did not allow the chaetognaths to be classified precisely, except that they are probably not deuterostomes. The recent use of the mitochondrial genome, a powerful phylogenetic marker, indicates close relationships with the protostomes (Helfenbein et al. 2004; Papillon et al. 2004). This conclusion is supported by the study of the cerebral ganglion (Rehkämper and Welsch 1985) and by cell fate analysis showing that the four-cell stage of chaetognath embryos displays similarities with classic spiralians (Shimotori and Goto 2001). Tree topologies obtained from the sequence of mitochondrial DNA of the chaetognath benthic species Spadella cephaloptera also indicate that chaetognaths are distant from arthropods (Papillon et al. 2004). This hypothesis is supported by a recent report in which a specific tissue marker showed that chaetognaths are not ecdysozoans (Haase et al. 2001).

Hox genes are characterised by the presence of homeoboxes and are usually organized in clusters encoding for transcription factors that regulate anterior-posterior (A-P) patterning (Caroll et al. 2001). Their order within the cluster correlates with their spatial expression pattern along the A-P axis; called the "colinearity rule." Comparative analyses of metazoan Hox genes have provided much information on both the genetic basis of developmental pattern formation and the evolution of patterning mechanisms. Within protostomes, most analyses showed a colinear expression of Hox genes in the developing central nervous system (CNS) (Hirth et al. 1998; Hughes and Kaufman 2002 for arthropods; Nardelli-Haefliger and Shankland 1992; Kourakis et al. 1997; Irvine and Martindale 2000 for annelids; Giusti et al. 2000; Hinman et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2003 for molluscs). In deuterostomes, Hox genes are also involved in the patterning of the CNS (e.g. Keynes and Krumlauf 1994 for vertebrates, Wada et al. 1999 for amphioxus, Ikuta et al. 2004 and Seo et al. 2004 for urochordates).

Seven Hox genes were isolated in S. cephaloptera: one anterior gene (unpublished data), one member of the group of orthology Hox3, four median genes (SceMed1-4) and a mosaic gene that shares features of both median and posterior classes (Papillon et al. 2003). Determination of Hox gene expression in Chaetognatha is of importance for several reasons. First, molecular aspects of their embryonic development is poorly understood. By examining the spatiotemporal pattern of *Hox* gene expression, one can guess which role(s) the Hox genes may be playing in the cellular diversification along the A-P axis in an organism that does not possess iterated structures. Second, this is an organism remotely related to arthropods, probably to ecdysozoans (Papillon et al. 2004; Haase et al. 2001), and studies of Hox genes have to be broadened in order to encompass a wide range of bilaterians.

The work presented here is the first *Hox* gene expression profile reported for a chaetognath species. We describe the expression of the *SceMed4* gene (a *S. cephaloptera* Median *Hox* gene) in the developing ventral ganglion of *S. cephaloptera* from late stages of egg development to 7 days after hatching.

Materials and methods

Specimens

Adult specimens of the benthic species S. cephaloptera Busch 1851 were collected in the bay of La Ciotat near Marseille (South of France) on the Posidonia oceanica bed, at a depth of 3–5 m. In the laboratory, samples were kept in aquaria containing natural sea water and placed in a constant temperature at 21±1°C. The specimens used for experiments were at the same stage of sexual maturity (mean length ~ 4 mm) with well-developed ovaries and were nourished daily with Artemia salina nauplii. Twentyfour hours after the isolation in small dishes of mature specimens containing post-vitellogenic oocytes (i.e. fertilized oocytes) the sides and the bottom of each dish were examined. All the newly deposited eggs (measuring approximately 0.25 mm in diameter) were placed in new dishes filled with 100 ml of natural filtered sea water to observe the appearance of early hatchling specimens and their growth.

In situ hybridizations

Using a combined strategy of PCR amplification and cDNA library screening, *SceMed4* (accession no. AY162323) and other fragments of Hox homeodomains (HDs) of *S. cephaloptera* were identified (Papillon et al. 2003).

Whole-mount in situ hybridization (WMISH) of *Sce Med4* were performed at several embryonic stages: late embryos at various stages, newly hatched young (hatchling), 1 day old (1d), 2d, 3d, 4d, 5d, 6d and 7d specimens. All

specimens were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M MOPS buffer (pH 7.5) and 0.5 M NaCl. Fixed specimens were immersed in 80% ethanol and kept at -20°C until use. Digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled RNA probes were generated using a DIG RNA labeling kit (Boehringer Mannheim). Fixed specimens were rehydrated in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS), 0.1% Tween 20 and then treated with 2 µg/ml proteinase K for 40 min at 37°C. Before proteinase K treatment, eggs of various stages were treated with 20 g/ml sodium thioglycolate (pH about 11) for 20 min as previously described in Takada et al. (2002). After proteinase K treatment, the embryos were postfixed with 4% PFA in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Embryos were hybridized at 65°C for 16 h with the DIG-labeled probe in the hybridization buffer (50% formamide, 5× SSC, 0.1% Tween 20, 2 mM EDTA, 5 µg/ml, yeast tRNA, 50 µg/ml heparin). After hybridization, two 65°C washes were performed with hybridization buffer for 30 min. Specimens were then rinsed in washing buffer (500 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris, 0.1% Tween 20) and incubated with 100 µg/ml RNase A at 37°C to avoid non-specific staining. After a blocking step using 10% (v/v) sheep serum in TBST [140 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 25 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.5), 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20] including 2 mM levamisol. The specimens were incubated with an alkaline-phosphatase-coupled antibody (1:2000) against DIG for 16 to 18 h at 4°C. The hybridized probe was visualized using NBT/BCIP.

After in situ hybridization, some specimens were postfixed, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, embedded in OCT (Tissue-Tek), frozen in isopentane at -40° C and cut at 25 μ m on a cryostat.

Results and discussion

Comparison of partial Hox HDs and flanking sequences of various bilaterians with *SceMed4* is shown in Fig. 1. The *S. cephaloptera* HD shares high sequence identity with several median HDs. The absence of specific residues within the HD sequence of *SceMed4* does not allow a clear orthology assignment. Moreover, no specific parapeptide at the C-terminal end of the HD (as defined in Balavoine et al. 2002) has been identified (e.g. LPNTK in Dfd/Hox4, the spiralian peptide in Lox5 or the UbdA peptide in Ubx/AbdA/Lox2/Lox4). Altogether, this sequence seems to belong to the Scr/Hox5 or Antp orthology groups.

WMISH of *SceMed4* was performed at various stages of the development of *S. Cephaloptera* (Fig. 2). The expression of *SceMed4* was initially detected when embryos are still rolled up in the egg, just before hatching (Fig. 2a). We were not able to detect expression at any earlier egg stages. Figure 2a and b show a staining on each side of the middle of the trunk in late curved embryos and hatchlings. This region corresponds to the developing ventral ganglion. Transversal sections through the region of expression in 2d specimens shows that *SceMed4* mRNA localization is restricted to the bilateral clusters of the ventral ganglion composed of the neuronal cell bodies (Fig. 2j,k). Expression is not detected in the neuropile (Fig. 2j). Although the DmeAntp HFNRYLTRRRRIEIAHALCLTERQIKIWFQNRRMKWKKEN KTKGEPG

Nvidfd		KLPNTKNRLS
Dmedfd	-YD-	KLPNTKNVRKKTV
BflHox4	D-	RLPNTKTRSS
MmuHoxc4	-YDH	RLPNTKVRSAPP
SceMed4	H	RMAAVHMGFQHGMDCDPLAAAHSQLMWTMQSGW
DmeScr	Н	KMASMNIVPYHMG
LanScr	Q	KLAHLTKTEAMKLSIDGKPTVGLEAKT
Fcascr	SFS	KMATMNALPHHHGMGPPHHMQ
EscScr	-Y-KH	KLSHIAKNMNVCAPLDKGLDI
BflHox5		KLKSL
OlaHoxB5	D-	KVKSMSLTAPGASHFQP
EruAntp		KAKLEGAGGDLCLTGL
LanAntp		KGIELSRDSPPQGVL
EscAntp		KAEMPGTENGKPQP
LsaHox7	KKE	KQPVGIVCKEEEEEP
HroLox5	YSS-A-S	NVQKLTGP
LanLox5	-YH-GH-G	NIPKLTGP
LsaHox6	KGG	NLQKLTGPNTKLEPQDPDTKSQSPPSD
Aloftz	A	IVDN
AkaUbx	-T-HLM	QTIKDLNEQEKK
AkaAbdA	HLL	RAVKEINEQARR
HroLox2	KEV	QAIRELNEIEKT
LanLox4	QHKVLR	QQIKEINDTLKK
MmuHoxa6	NNN	KLINSTQASGEDSEAKAGE
HsaHoxA7	н	KDEGPTAAAAPEGAVPSAAATAAADKAD
BflHox8	KLA	AMLCPPKAETETEKSSDGQSEKSEEV

Fig. 1 Comparisons of known Hox homeodomains (HDs) and flanking sequences with predicted *S. cephalopteraSceMed4* sequence (*boxed*, accession no. AF458055). Note that outside the HD, *SceMed4* displays short motifs also observed in the orthology group Scr/Hox5 (MA, HGM, HMG). The *Drosophila* Antennapedia HD sequence is shown at the *top*. Non-identical amino acids are shown, and *dashes* indicate identity. Diagnostic parapeptides at the C-terminal end of the HD as defined in Balavoine et al. (2002) are highlighted in grey. Aka, Acanthokara kaputensis (Onychophora); Alo, Archegozetes

intensity of the signal decreases, the pattern of expression observed at 2d is maintained at 3d (Fig. 2e) and throughout subsequent development (Fig. 2f–i) until 7d (Fig. 2i). At 7d, specimens resemble the adults in all aspects (except for the undeveloped gonads and smaller body size). To summarize, in all stages observed *SceMed4* is expressed in two lateral stripes in the middle of the ventral ganglion. This region always corresponds to the middle of the expanding trunk.

During development, the ganglion is generated from the presumptive ectoderm (Doncaster 1902; John 1933). This process starts in 1-day-old eggs, when the body cavities are formed and start to fill up coincident with the embryo curling up in the egg. At the onset of CNS formation, the neuronal progenitors become located in two bilaterally arranged ventral clusters. Later, the body expands but not the ventral ganglion. The ventral ganglion is then proportionally much smaller in adults than in juveniles. The neuropile, which contains the axons, lies under the intestine in the middle of the ventral side.

Our analysis shows that, during development, a median *Hox* gene is expressed in the trunk of chaetognaths. Furthermore, the results presented here demonstrate that *SceMed4* expression is restricted to a limited zone of the ventral CNS.

In annelids, insects and vertebrates (segmented animals) median *Hox* genes are expressed, among other locations, in the mesoderm, suggesting a function for these genes in providing segmental identity (McGinnis and Krumlauf

longisetosus (Arthropoda); Bfl, Branchiostoma floridae (Cephalochordata); Cva, Chaetopterus variopedatus (Annelida); Dme, Drosophila melanogaster (Arthropoda); Eru, Ethmostigmus rubripes (Arthropoda); Esc, Euprymna scolopes (Mollusca); Fca, Folsomia candida (Arthropoda); Hro, Helobdella robusta (Annelida); Hsa, Homo sapiens (Vertebrata); Lan, Lingula anatina (Brachiopoda); Lsa, Lineus sanguineus; Mmu, Mus musculus; Nvi, Nereis virens (Annelida); Ola, Oryzias latipes (Vertebrata); Sca, Sacculina carcini (Arthropoda)

1992; Lawrence and Morata 1994; Irvine and Martindale 2000). In chaetognaths as well as in gastropods (Hinman et al. 2003), *Hox* expression is restricted to the developing CNS (a derivative of the ectoderm). Absence of *Hox* expression in the mesoderm of these animals could be linked to the absence of segmentally repeated mesodermal structures in adults.

The expression of median *Hox* genes, such as *Scr* and *Antp* orthologues, in the developing CNS has been reported in various bilaterian groups (e.g. Kourakis et al. 1997; Irvine and Martindale 2000 for annelids; Hinman et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2003 for molluscs; Hirth et al. 1998 for insects; Keynes and Krumlauf 1994 for vertebrates; Wada et al. 1999 for amphioxus; Ikuta et al. 2004; Seo et al. 2004 for urochordates). In these animals, *Hox* genes seem to be involved in the neuronal specification along the A-P axis.

During chaetognath development, the dynamics of cell division and differentiation of the ventral ganglion is poorly documented. However, the timing of appearance of specific neuronal cell types has been analysed during CNS formation in *Paraspadella gotoi* using immunocytochemistry (Goto et al. 1992). They identified a single serotonin-like immunoreactive (SHTLI) neuron and numerous RFamide-like immunoreactive (RFaLI) neurons. During development, the 5HTLI neuron is first detected in the head at the 2–3d stage. In the ventral ganglion, no RFaLI neuron is detected before 1d. *P. gotoi* is a benthic species that belongs to the Spadellidae family and is therefore phylogenetically close to *S. cephaloptera*. One can consider that the devel-



Fig. 2 Expression of *SceMed4* at various stages of *S. cephaloptera* development. **a** Embryo curved in late eggs. **b** Hatchling. *Dashed line* indicates the two bilateral clusters of the ventral ganglion. **c** One-day-old (1d), **d** 2d, **e** 3d, **f** 4d, **g** 5d, **h** 6d, **i** 7d specimens. **j** Transversal section through the region of expression in the trunk of a 2d specimen. **k** Light micrograph from transversal section through the trunk region of a 2d animal, showing the ventral ganglion com-

posed of the two bilateral masses of the neuronal cell bodies (*ncb*) and their axons that run longitudinally in the neuropile (*np*). Note the dramatic change of morphology between 2d (d) and 3d (e) specimens. *h* head, *in* intestines, *lm* longitudinal muscles, *ncb* neuron cell bodies, *np* neuropile, *t* tail. Orientation: **b** anterior is *left*; **c**-i anterior is *up*; **j**-**k** dorsal is *up*. *Scales*: **a** 0.15, **b** 0.4, **c**, **d** 0.1, **e**-**g** 0.13, **h**, **i** 0.15, **j**, **k** 0.12 mm

opmental timing of these two species is roughly similar (e.g. hooks appear at 3d stage in both species; see Fig. 2e and Goto et al. 1992). We therefore conclude that *SceMed4* expression starts significantly earlier (before hatching) than the detection of 5HTLI and RFaLI neurons.

The position-specific expression pattern of *SceMed4* in chaetognath is typical of the coordinated expression of *Hox*

genes observed in Bilateria (Caroll et al. 2001) and suggests a potential role in regionalisation of the CNS. Consistent with such an activity is the presence of distinct neuronal populations in the ventral ganglion according to the topographic organisation of neuronal projections (Bone and Pulsford 1984; Duvert et al. 1997). Although the position of the neuronal cell bodies in the ventral ganglion in relation to their peripheral targets needs more investigation, it is possible that *SceMed4* contributes to the diversity of neuronal subpopulations and to establishment of distinct axon projection patterns.

Our data provide the first evidence for a *Hox* gene expression in Chaetognatha. WMISH of the other *Hox* genes have to be performed in order to determine their putative implication in the morphogenesis of the chaetognaths.

Acknowledgements We wish to thank Kerridge S. and anonymous reviewers for helpful corrections.

References

- Balavoine G, de Rosa R, Adoutte A (2002) Hox clusters and bilaterian phylogeny. Mol Phylogenet Evol 24:366–373
- Bone Q, Pulsford A (1984) The sense organs and ventral ganglion of *Sagitta* (Chaetognatha). Acta Zool 65:209–220
- Caroll SB, Grenier JK, Weatherbee SD (2001) From DNA to diversity, molecular genetics and the evolution of animal design. Blackwell, Oxford
- Doncaster L (1902) On the development of *Sagitta*, with note on the anatomy of the adult. Q J Microsc Sci 46:351–398
- Duvert M, Savineau JP, Campistron G, Onteniente B (1997) Distribution and role of aspartate in the nervous system of the chaetognath *Sagitta*. J Comp Neurol 380:485–494
- Erber A, Riemer D, Bovenschulte M, Weber K (1998) Molecular phylogeny of metazoan intermediate filament proteins. J Mol Evol 47:751–762
- Giribet G, Distel DL, Polz M, Sterrer W, Wheeler WC (2000) Triploblastic relationships with emphasis on the acoelomates and the position of Gnathostomulida, Cycliophora, Plathelminthes, and Chaetognatha: a combined approach of 18s rDNA sequences and morphology. Syst Biol 49:539–562
- Giusti AF, Hinman VF, Degnan SM, Degnan BM, Morse DE (2000) Expression of a Scr/Hox5 gene in the larval central nervous system of the gastropod Haliotis, a non-segmented spiralian lophotrochozoan. Evol Dev 2:294–302
- Goto T, Katayama-Kumoi Y, Tohyama M, Yoshida M (1992) Distribution and development of the serotonin- and RFamidelike immunoreactive neurons in the arroworm, *Paraspadella* gotoi (Chaetognatha). Cell Tissue Res 267:215–222
- Haase A, Stern M, Wachtler K, Bicker G (2001) A tissue-specific marker of Ecdysozoa. Dev Genes Evol 211:428–433
- Halanych K (1996) Testing hypotheses of chaetognath origins: long branches revealed by 18S rDNA. Syst Biol 45:223–246
- Helfenbein KG, Fourcade HM, Vanjani RG, Boore JL (2004) The mitochondrial genome of *Paraspadella gotoi* is highly reduced and reveals that chaetognaths are a sister group to protostomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:10639–10643
- Hinman VF, O'Brien EK, Richards GS, Degnan BM (2003) Expression of anterior *Hox* genes during larval development of the gastropod *Haliotis asinina*. Evol Dev 5:508–521
- Hirth F, Hartmann B, Reichert H (1998) Homeotic gene action in embryonic brain development of *Drosophila*. Development 125:1579–1589
- Hughes CL, Kaufman TC (2002) *Hox* genes and the evolution of the arthropod body plan. Evol Dev 4:459–499
- Ikuta T, Yoshida N, Satoh N, Saiga H (2004) Ciona intestinalis Hox gene cluster: its dispersed structure and residual colinear expression in development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:15118–15123

- Irvine SQ, Martindale MQ (2000) Expression patterns of anterior Hox genes in the polychaete Chaetopterus: correlation with morphological boundaries. Dev Biol 217:333–351
- John CC (1933) Habits, structure, and development of *Spadella* cephaloptera. Q J Microsc Sci 75:625–696
- Keynes R, Krumlauf R (1994) *Hox* genes and regionalization of the nervous system. Annu Rev Neurosci 17:109–132
- Kourakis MJ, Master VA, Lokhorst DK, Nardelli-Haefliger D, Wedeen CJ, Martindale MQ, Shankland M (1997) Conserved anterior boundaries of *Hox* gene expression in the central nervous system of the leech *Helobdella*. Dev Biol 190:284–300
- Lawrence PA, Morata G (1994) Homeobox genes: their function in Drosophila segmentation and pattern formation. Cell 78:181– 189
- Lee PN, Callaerts P, De Couet HG, Martindale MQ (2003) Cephalopod Hox genes and the origin of morphological novelties. Nature 424:1061–1065
- Littlewood DTJ, Telford MJ, Clough KA, Rohde K (1998) Gnathostomulida—an enigmatic metazoan phylum from both morphological and molecular perspective. Mol Phyl Evol 9:72– 79
- Mallatt J, Winchell CJ (2002) Testing the new animal phylogeny: first use of combined large-subunit and small-subunit rRNA gene sequences to classify the protostomes. Mol Biol Evol 19:289–301
- McGinnis W, Krumlauf R (1992) Homeobox genes and axial patterning. Cell 68:283–302
- Nardelli-Haefliger D, Shankland M (1992) Lox2, a putative leech segment identity gene, is expressed in the same segmental domain in different stem cell lineages. Development 116:697– 710
- Nielsen C (2001) Animal evolution: relationships between invertebrate phyla, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Papillon D, Perez Y, Fasano L, Le Parco Y, Caubit X (2003) Hox gene survey in the chaetognath Spadella cephaloptera: evolutionary implications. Dev Genes Evol 213:142–148
- Papillon D, Perez Y, Caubit X, Le Parco Y (2004) Identification of chaetognaths as protostomes is supported by the analysis of their mitochondrial genome. Mol Biol Evol 21:2122–2129
- Peterson KJ, Eernisse DJ (2001) Animal phylogeny and the ancestry of bilaterians: inferences from morphology and 18s rDNA gene sequences. Evol Dev 3:170–205
- Rehkämper G, Welsch U (1985) On the fine structure of the cerebral ganglion of Sagitta (Chaetognatha). Zoomorphology 105:83– 89
- Seo HC, Edvardsen RB, Maeland AD, Bjordal M, Jensen MF, Hansen A, Flaat M, Weissenbach J, Lehrach H, Wincker P, Reinhardt R, Chourrout D (2004) Hox cluster disintegration with persistent anteroposterior order of expression in *Oikopleura dioica*. Nature 431:67–71
- Shimotori T, Goto T (2001) Developmental fates of the first four blastomeres of the chaetognath *Paraspadella gotoi*: relationship to protostomes. Dev Growth Differ 43:371–382
- Takada N, Goto T, Satoh N (2002) Expression pattern of the *Brachyury* gene in the arrow worm *Paraspadella gotoi* (Chaetognatha). Genesis 32:240–245
- Telford MJ, Holland PWH (1993) The phylogenetic affinities of the chaetognaths: a molecular analyses. Mol Biol Evol 10:660–676
- Wada H, Garcia-Fernandez J, Holland PW (1999) Colinear and segmental expression of amphioxus *Hox* genes. Dev Biol 213:131–141
- Wadah H, Satoh N (1994) Details of the evolutionary history from invertebrates to vertebrates; as deduced from the sequences of 18s rDNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:1801–1804
- Zrzàvy J, Mihulka S, Kepka P, Bezdik A, Tietz D (1998) Phylogeny of the metazoa based on morphological and 18s ribosomal DNA evidence. Cladistics 14:249–285