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# THE INVARIANT OF TURAEV-VIRO FROM GROUP CATEGORY 

JÉRÔME PETIT


#### Abstract

A Group category is a spherical category whose simple objects are invertible. The invariant of Turaev-Viro with this particular category is in fact the invariant of Dijkgraaf-Witten whose the group and the 3-cocycle is given by the simple objects and the associativity constraint of the category.
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## Introduction

In 1992 M. Wakui [15] reformulated the invariant of Dijkgraaf-Witten [4] and he proved the topological invariance in a rigorous way. The invariance is based upon the triangulation and the Pachner moves. Once given a finite group and a 3 -cocycle the Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant is defined combinatorially. Moreover in this paper he built a topological quantum field theory (TQFT) from this invariant. The same year V. Turaev and O. Viro [12] built an invariant of 3 manifold thanks to 6 -j symbol to prove the topological invariance they showed a relative version of a theorem of Alexander [1] on equivalence of triangulation. This invariant was reformulated in a categorical languages [11] and the TQFT was built. In the same spirit of [11] J.W. Barret and B.W. Westburry [2] have built a 3 -manifold invariant using spherical categories. In this construction the topological invariance puts back down the triangulation and the Pachner moves. Independently I. Gelfand and D. Kazhdan [6] have built a 3-manifold using spherical categories and in 1993 D.N. Yetter has studied an untwisted version of the invariant of Dijkgraaf-Witten in [16] the Turaev-Viro style in . In fact these constructions are reformulations of the Turaev-Viro invariant. In the rest of the paper we will call such kind of invariant the invariant of Turaev-Viro and it will be denoted : $T V_{\mathcal{C}}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is the category used to build the invariant.
The main goal of this paper is to give a relation between this two approaches based on triangulation. That's why we utilize a "special" spherical category. Roughly speaking, it is a spherical category such that every simple object is invertible and has a dimension equal to one. The dimension is given by the spherical structure. In [10], F. Quinn called this category : "Group category". In [7] invertible objects are called simples currents and the tensor category whose every simple objects are invertible is denoted Pointed category. The authors have denoted Picard category of $\mathcal{C}$ the full tensor category of $\mathcal{C}$ whose objects are direct sum of invertible objects of $\mathcal{C}$. Thus if there is a finite number of simple object and if every object is finite direct sum of simple object then a pointed category is equal to its Picard category. In this paper we will use the terminology of F. Quinn [10]. L. Crane and D.N. Yetter have studied group cocycle to describe monoidal category with duals in [3]. Here is an outline of the paper. In Section 1 we recall the definition of the DijkgraafInvariant [15]. In Section 2 we give the definition and we recall some facts on the Group category. In Section 3 we give the definition of the Turaev-Viro invariant of 3 -manifold. In Section 4, we compute the Turaev-Viro invariant in the case of Group-category with other conditions and we show the main theorem (4.2) . In section 5 we give a topological interpretation of the admissible colorings. In Section 6 we give the construction the TQFT which arises from this invariant. We end the paper by discussing a few examples.

## 1. The invariant of Dijkgraaf-Witten

Throughout this paper $k$ will be a commutative field such that $\operatorname{car}(k)=0$ and $\bar{k}=k$.
We use the description of [15]. Let $G$ be a finite group, this group will be always a multiplicative group. Moreover $k$ is a representation of $G$ with the trivial action. Then we can define $Z^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$ the set of 3 -cocycle of $G$ with coefficients in $k^{\star}$ and we fix $\alpha \in Z^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$. Let $T$ be a $n$-simplex with $n \geq 1$, a color of $T$ is the following
data :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma:\{\text { oriented edges of } \mathrm{T}\} \rightarrow G \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the conditions:
(i) for any oriented edge $e: \gamma(\bar{e})=\gamma(e)^{-1}$, where $\bar{e}$ is the oriented edge with the opposite orientation.
(ii) For any oriented 2-simplex (012) of $T$ we have:

$$
\gamma(01) \gamma(12) \gamma(20)=1
$$

We denote $\operatorname{Col}(T)$ the set of all colors of $T$, if $T$ is a triangulation of a n-manifold $M$, with $n \geq 2$, we denote $\operatorname{Col}(M, T)$ the set of all colors of $M$ given by $T$. When there is no ambiguity on the choice of a triangulation, we denote $\operatorname{Col}(M)$ the set of colors of $M$. If $M$ is a manifold with boundary : $\partial M$, then $\partial M$ is endowed with a triangulation which comes from the triangulation of $M$. If $\tau$ is a color of $\partial M$ then the set of all colors of $M$ which extend $\tau$, is denoted $\operatorname{Col}(M, \tau)$. We give an order to the set of vertices of a triangulation of $M$, then each 3 -simplex has an orientation given by the ascending order. Then for $\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}(M)$ and for the 3 -simplex (0123) we put :

$$
\alpha(\Delta, \gamma)=\alpha(\gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(20))
$$

with $\alpha \in Z^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$.
Theorem 1.1 (Wakui (92)[15]). Let $G$ be a finite group, we fix a 3-cocycle $\alpha \in$ $Z^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$. Let $M$ be a compact oriented triangulated 3-manifold, $T$ is a triangulation of $M$. We denote the number of vertices of $T$ by $n_{0}$ and $T^{3}$ the set of 3-simplex in T. All the 3-simplex are oriented by a numbering of the vertices. Given $\tau \in \operatorname{Col}(\partial M)$, we define the Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant by :

$$
Z_{M}(\tau)=|G|^{-n_{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}(M, \tau)} \prod_{\Delta \in T^{3}} \alpha(\Delta, \gamma)^{\epsilon \Delta}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon_{\Delta}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } \Delta \text { and } M \text { have the same orientation } \\
-1 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $Z_{M}(\tau)$ does not depend on the choice of triangulation of $M$ and the choice of order of vertices in $M$ whenever we fix a triangulation of $\partial M$ and $\tau$.

Thanks to the independence of the choice of numbering, we can consider a numbering of the triangulation such that the 3 -simplex have the same orientation of $M$. Then the invariant is :

$$
Z_{M}(\tau)=|G|^{-n_{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}(M, \tau)} \prod_{\Delta \in T^{3}} \alpha(\Delta, \gamma)
$$

where all 3 -simplex $\Delta$ have the same orientation of $M$.
Remark 1.2. If we consider $M$ without boundary, then $Z_{M}(\emptyset)$ is a 3-manifold invariant and we denote it : $Z_{M}$.

## 2. Group category

In this section, we review some basics facts on Group category.
2.1. Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category, by a scalar object [14] of $\mathcal{C}$ we shall mean an object of $\mathcal{C}$ such that : $\operatorname{End}(X)=k$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is abelian and $k$ is algebraically closed then an object is scalar iff it is an simple object. We denote the set of isomorphism classes of scalar objects of $\mathcal{C}$ by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition 2.1. A finitely semisimple monoidal category is a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, a, l, r)$ such that :
(a) $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian $k$-category and $\otimes$ is a bifunctor $k$-linear,
(b) every object of $\mathcal{C}$ is a finite direct sum of scalar objects of $\mathcal{C}$,
(c) $\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}<\infty$ and I is a scalar object,
(d) $\mathcal{C}$ is sovereign ${ }^{1}$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a finitely semisimple monoidal category, then every object $X$ of $\mathcal{C}$ admits a right duality : $\left(X, X^{\vee}, e_{X}, h_{X}\right)$ and a left duality : $\left(X^{\vee}, X, \epsilon_{X}, \eta_{X}\right)$, we can take the same object because $\mathcal{C}$ is sovereign. By definition of duality :

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{X}: X \otimes X^{\vee} & \rightarrow I \\
\epsilon_{X}: X^{\vee} \otimes X & \rightarrow I \\
\eta_{X}: I & \rightarrow X \otimes X^{\vee} \\
h_{X}: I & \rightarrow X^{\vee} \otimes X .
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have the following equalities :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e_{X} \otimes i d_{X}\right)\left(i d_{X} \otimes h_{X}\right) & =i d_{X} \\
\left(i d_{X} \otimes \epsilon_{X}\right)\left(\eta_{X} \otimes i d_{X}\right) & =i d_{X} \\
\left(i d_{X^{\vee}} \otimes e_{X}\right)\left(h_{X} \otimes i d_{X}\right) & =i d_{X^{\vee}} \\
\left(\epsilon_{X} \otimes i d_{X^{\vee}}\right)\left(i d_{X^{\prime}} \otimes \eta_{X}\right) & =i d_{X^{\vee}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The left quantum trace of an endomorphism $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is defined by :

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{l}(f)=e_{X}\left(f \otimes i d_{X^{\vee}}\right) \eta_{X}
$$

the right quantum trace of an endomorphism $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is defined by :

$$
t r_{r}(f)=\epsilon_{X}\left(i d_{X^{\vee}} \otimes f\right) h_{X}
$$

for any endomorphisms $f, g$ in $\mathcal{C}$ we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}_{l}(f \otimes g) & =\operatorname{tr}_{l}(f) t r_{l}(g), \\
t r_{r}(f \otimes g) & =\operatorname{tr}_{r}(f) t r_{r}(g), \\
\operatorname{tr}_{r}(f) & =\operatorname{tr}_{l}\left(f^{\vee}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

the multiplication is given by the multiplication of $k=\operatorname{End}(I)$.
Definition 2.2. A spherical category is a finitely semisimple monoidal category such that, for all endomorphism $f$ in $\mathcal{C}$ we have : $\operatorname{tr}_{l}(f)=\operatorname{tr}_{r}(f)$.

[^1]In a spherical category we denote the left trace by $t r$ and so we have $t r=t r_{l}=t r_{r}$. The quantum dimension of an object $X$ in a spherical category $\mathcal{C}$ is defined by :

$$
\operatorname{dim}(X)=\operatorname{tr}\left(i d_{X}\right),
$$

so we have $\operatorname{dim}(X)=\operatorname{dim}\left(X^{\vee}\right)$.

## Definition 2.3.

(i) An object $X$ of a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is called invertible iff there exists an object $Y$ such that $X \otimes Y \cong I$, where $I$ is the tensor unit of $\mathcal{C}$.
(ii) A monoidal category is called pointed iff every scalar object is invertible.
(iii) The Group category Pic(C) of the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is the full monoidal subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ whose objects are direct sums of invertible objects of $\mathcal{C}$.
(iv) A Group category is a pointed spherical category.
(v) A $\theta$-category is a braided, pointed finitely semisimple monoidal category.
2.1.1. Example of Group category. $G$ is a finite group, we denote $k[G]$ the category whose objects are $G$-graded finite dimensional $k$-vector spaces ${ }^{2}$ and whose morphisms are $k$-linear morphism that preserves the grading. If $V$ and $W$ are objects of $k[G]$ the monoidal structure of $k[G]$ is given by :

$$
(V \otimes W)_{g}=\sum_{\substack{h, k \\ h k=g}} V_{h} \otimes W_{k}
$$

the associativity is the identity and the isomorphism classes of scalar objects are in bijection with $G: g \leftrightarrow \delta_{g}$ where $\delta_{g}$ is defined in the following way :

$$
\left(\delta_{g}\right)_{h}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
k \quad \text { if } g=h \\
0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and every scalar object is invertible, thus $k[G]$ is a Group category. $k[G]$ is a $\theta$-category iff $G$ is an abelian group.
2.2. Some results on Group category. Whenever $\mathcal{C}$ is a Group category, it follows from the definition of a Group category and the quantum dimension that for all $X \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}: \operatorname{dim}(X)^{2}=1$. The Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to the group algebra of the finite group $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$, it is denoted $\mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right]$.

Proposition 2.4. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Group category then:
(i) all invertible objects are in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$,
(ii) $\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}, \otimes, I\right)$ is a finite group.

Proof (i): If $X$ is invertible in $\mathcal{C}$ then there exists an object $Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that: $X \otimes Y \cong I$, thus we have :

$$
\sum_{Z \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}} \mu_{Z}(X) Z \otimes Y=I
$$

where $\mu_{Z}(X)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z)\right)$ and so we have :

$$
\sum_{Z^{\prime}, Z \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}} \mu_{Z}(X) \mu_{Z^{\prime}}(Z \otimes Y) Z^{\prime}=I
$$

[^2]since $I$ is a scalar object
\[

\sum_{Z \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}} \mu_{Z}(X) \mu_{Z^{\prime}}(Z \otimes Y)=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } Z^{\prime}=I \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

Moreover $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is finite for all objects in $\mathcal{C}$ and so $\mu_{Z}(X) \in \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow k$, thus there is only one $Z_{0} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\mu_{Z_{0}}(X) \neq 0$ and moreover $\mu_{Z_{0}}(X)=1$ so $X=Z_{0} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$. We can notice that if $Y$ is the inverse of $X$ then $X \otimes Y \cong I$ and so $Y \cong X^{\vee}$.

Proof (ii) : If $X$ is scalar then by definition of a Group category $X$ is invertible and so there is $Y$ an object of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $X \otimes Y \cong I$ we have seen that $Y=X^{\vee} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$. In a finitely scalar monoidal category we have $: X^{\vee} \otimes X=I \oplus Z$ where $Z$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$, thus we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\vee} & \cong X^{\vee} \otimes I \\
& \cong X^{\vee} \otimes\left(X \otimes X^{\vee}\right) \\
& \cong\left(X^{\vee} \otimes X\right) \otimes X^{\vee} \\
& \cong X^{\vee} \oplus Z \otimes X^{\vee}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $X$ is scalar then $X^{\vee}$ is scalar thus $Z \otimes X^{\vee}=0$ and $X^{\vee} \neq 0$. So it follows that $Z=0$ and $X^{\vee} \otimes X \cong I$, then $X^{\vee}$ is the left and right inverse of $X$. If $X$ and $Y$ are scalar objects then $X \otimes Y$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and :

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes Y) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X, X \otimes Y \otimes Y^{\vee}\right) \cong E n d_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \cong k,
$$

then $X \otimes Y$ is a scalar object thus $\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}, \otimes, I\right)$ is a finite group.
Theorem 2.5 ([5], section 7.5). Suppose $G$ is a finite group, then : Group categories with underlying group $G$ correspond to $H^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$.

In fact $H^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$ classifies all the associativity constraint(up to monoidal equivalences). The group $G$ gives the set of isomorphic classes of scalar objects and an element $\alpha \in H^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$ gives the associativity constraint of the Group category. If we take $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in Z^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$ such that $[\alpha]=\left[\alpha^{\prime}\right] \in H^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$ then we obtain two Group categories denoted by $\mathcal{C}(G, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}\left(G, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ such that : $\mathcal{C}(G, \alpha) \cong{ }^{\otimes} \mathcal{C}\left(G, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ (monoidal equivalence).
2.3. 6j-symbol. We fix $\mathcal{D}$ a finitely monoidal category then for all object $X$ in $\mathcal{D}$ we have : $X=X_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus X_{n}$ with $X_{i} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}$ then for all $1 \geq j \geq n$ there are morphisms $i_{j} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(X_{j}, X\right)$ and $p_{j} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(X, X_{j}\right)$ such that $p_{j} i_{j}=i d_{X_{j}}$ and $\sum_{j} i_{j} p_{j}=i d_{X}$.

Lemma 2.6. We fix $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}$ then the following application

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi: \operatorname{Hom}(a, e \otimes d) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}(e, b \otimes c & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(a,(b \otimes c) \otimes d) \\
v \otimes w & \mapsto\left(w \otimes i d_{d}\right) v
\end{aligned}
$$

induces an isomorphism between $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a,(b \otimes c) \otimes d)$ and $\bigoplus_{e \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, e \otimes d) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(e, b \otimes c)$. In the same vein we have : $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, b \otimes(c \otimes d)) \cong \bigoplus_{f \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, b \otimes f) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(f, c \otimes d)$

Proof: By definition of $\mathcal{D}$ we have : $b \otimes c=\oplus_{e \in \lambda_{\mathcal{D}}} \mu_{e}(b \otimes c) e$ with $\mu_{e}(b \otimes c)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}(\operatorname{Hom}(e, b \otimes c))$. Then for all $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a,(b \otimes c) \otimes d)$ we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =i d_{b \otimes c} \otimes i d_{d} f \\
& =\sum_{e \in \Lambda}\left(i_{e} p_{e} \otimes i d_{d}\right) f \\
& =\sum_{e \in \Lambda}\left(i_{e} \otimes i d_{d}\right)\left(p_{e} \otimes i d_{d}\right) f
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\Psi$ is surjective. Moreover the vector spaces are finite dimensional and they have the same dimension thus we get the isomorphism. The second isomorphism is obtained in the same way.
$a$, the associativity constraint of $\mathcal{D}$, induces a natural isomorphism :
$(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \cong X \otimes(Y \otimes Z)$, for all $X, Y, Z \in o b(\mathcal{D})$. Then we have the following commutative square :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigoplus_{e \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, e \otimes d) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(e, b \otimes c) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{f \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, b \otimes f) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(f, c \otimes d)
\end{aligned}
$$

the previous commutative square induces two linear applications :
$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ d & e & f\end{array}\right\}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, e \otimes d) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(e, b \otimes c) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, b \otimes f) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(f, c \otimes d)$
$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ d & e & f\end{array}\right\}_{i n v}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, b \otimes f) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(f, c \otimes d) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, e \otimes d) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(e, b \otimes c)$,
these are the 6 j -symbol of $\mathcal{D}$.
We define a bilinear form in the following way : for all objects $X, Y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{X, Y}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Y) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y, X) & \rightarrow k \\
f \otimes g & \mapsto t r_{g}(f g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition $\mathcal{D}$ doesn't admit negligible morphism so $\omega_{-}$, is a non-degenerate bilinear form and it defines an adjoint of $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ d & e & f\end{array}\right\}$, for all $(a, b, c, d e, f) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}$, this adjoint is denoted by : $\lambda(a, b, c, d, e, f) \in\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(e \otimes d, a) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(b \otimes c, e) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(a, b \otimes f) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(f, c \otimes d)\right)^{\star}$.
2.4. 6j-symbol from Group category. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Group category then for all $X, Y$ scalar objects $X \otimes Y$ is a scalar object. Thus if $X, Y, Z$ are scalar objects then :

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(Z, X \otimes Y) \cong \begin{cases}k & , \text { if } X \otimes Y \cong Z  \tag{2.1}\\ 0 & , \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In the case of Group category the isomorphisms (lemma 2.6) become :
Lemma 2.7. For all scalar objects $(a, b, c, d, e, f)$ we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, e \otimes d) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(e, b \otimes c) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a,(b \otimes c) \otimes d)  \tag{2.2}\\
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b \otimes f) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f, c \otimes d) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b \otimes(c \otimes d)) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

(i) $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ d & e & f\end{array}\right\} \neq 0$ iff $e \cong b \otimes c, a \cong(b \otimes c) \otimes d$ and $f \cong c \otimes d$
(ii) $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ d & e & f\end{array}\right\}_{\text {inv }} \neq 0$ iff $e \cong b \otimes c, a \cong b \otimes(c \otimes d)$ and $f \cong c \otimes d$

Proof: The assertions (i), (ii) and the isomorphisms (2.2), (2.3) come from (2.1). $\square$ Thus in the case of the Group category the $\mathbf{6 j}$-symbol $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ d & e & f\end{array}\right\}$ only depends on $b, c, d$. For all scalar objects $b, c, d$ we put $\alpha(b, c, d)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}a & b & c \\ d & e & f\end{array}\right\}$. We can define $\alpha$ in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$. $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a group and for $g \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ we denote $X_{g}$ a representation of this isomorphism class, and so for all $g, h \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ we have : $X_{g} \otimes X_{h} \cong X_{g h}$. By (2.1) we know that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X_{g h}, X_{g} \otimes X_{h}\right)$ is a one dimensional vector space. For all $g, h \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ we put $\phi(g, h)$ a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X_{g h}, X_{g} \otimes X_{h}\right)$.
We put $g, h, k \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ and we denote $X_{g}, X_{h}, X_{k}$ their representations. By construction $\alpha\left(X_{g}, X_{h}, X_{k}\right)$ is an isomorphism of one dimensional vector spaces thus in the basis $\phi$ we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(X_{g}, X_{h}, X_{k}\right)(\phi(g h, k) \otimes \phi(g, h))=\bar{\alpha}(g, h, k)(\phi(g, h k) \otimes \phi(h, k)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{\alpha}: \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}} \times \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}} \times \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow k^{\star}$. With the same notations the commutative square which defines $\alpha$ induces the following equality:

$$
\bar{\alpha}(g, h, k)\left(i d_{X_{g}} \otimes \phi(h, k)\right) \phi(g, h k)=a\left(X_{g}, X_{h}, X_{h}\right)\left(\phi(g, h) \otimes i d_{X_{k}}\right)(\phi(g h, k))
$$

Thus $\bar{\alpha}$ determine the following isomorphism :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X_{g h k},\left(X_{g} \otimes X_{h}\right) \otimes X_{k}\right) & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X_{g h k}, X_{g} \otimes\left(X_{h} \otimes X_{k}\right)\right) \\
v & \mapsto a\left(X_{g}, X_{h}, X_{h}\right) v,
\end{aligned}
$$

$a$ is the associativity constraint of $\mathcal{C}$, thus $a$ satisfies the Maclane's pentagon : with $X_{g}, X_{h}, X_{k}, X_{l}$ scalar objects


If we apply the last equality in the basis $\phi$ we have :

$$
\bar{\alpha}(g, h, k l) \bar{\alpha}(g h, k, l)=\bar{\alpha}(h, k, l) \bar{\alpha}(g, h k, l) \bar{\alpha}(g, h, k) .
$$

Proposition 2.8. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Group category then the $6 j$-symbol is determined by a 3-cocycle on $Z^{3}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}, k^{\star}\right)$ and a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{g h}, X_{g} \otimes X_{h}\right)$.

The relation of $a$ with the identity constraint $(r, l)$ induces that:
$l(h) \bar{\alpha}(g, 1, h)=r(g)$, for all $g, h \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ thus $r(g)=\bar{\alpha}(g, 1,1)$ and $(g)=\bar{\alpha}(1,1, h)^{-1}$.

We can change $\bar{\alpha}$ such that $\bar{\alpha}$ is normalized ${ }^{3}$ without changed the cohomologous class of $\bar{\alpha}$. In term of basis $\phi$, it is a change of basis.

## 3. The invariant of Turaev-Viro

We adopt the approach of [6] rather then [12], but we use a spherical category. Because if we consider a sovereign category there is a problem in the construction. The problem occurs at the level of independence of the numbering of the 3 -simplex. Let $T$ be a $n$-simplex with $n \geq 1$, then a Turaev-Viro color of $T$ (Turaev-Viro point of view) is the following data: $\gamma:\{$ oriented edges of T$\} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ which satisfies the conditions :
(i) for any oriented edge $e: \gamma(\bar{e})=\gamma(e)^{\vee}$, where $\bar{e}$ is the oriented edge with the opposite orientation.
The set of all Turaev-Viro color of $T$ is denoted $\operatorname{Col}_{T V}(T)$. let $T$ be a $n$-simplex and we fix a numbering of the vertices of $F$, every faces of $T$ has an orientation given by the ascending order : (012). For every faces (012) we define the following vector space : $V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(I, \gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12) \otimes \gamma(20))$.

## Lemma 3.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma) & \cong V_{\mathcal{C}}((201), \gamma) \cong V_{\mathcal{C}}((120), \gamma)  \tag{3.1}\\
V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma) & \cong V_{\mathcal{C}}((021), \gamma)^{\star} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof (3.1) : It comes from the sovereign structure of $\mathcal{C}$. For all $X, Y, Z \in o b(\mathcal{C})$ we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(I, X \otimes Y \otimes Z) & \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(I, Y \otimes Z \otimes X) \\
f & \mapsto\left(\epsilon_{X} \otimes i d_{Y \otimes Z \otimes X}\right)\left(i d_{X^{\vee}} \otimes f \otimes i d_{X}\right)\left(h_{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof (3.2) : It comes from the fact that the category $\mathcal{C}$ doesn't admit negligible morphism and so the following bilinear form is non-degenerate :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { contr }: V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma) \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}((021), \gamma) & \rightarrow k \\
f \otimes g & \mapsto f^{\vee} g=\operatorname{tr}\left(f^{\vee} g\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(g^{\vee} f\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the vector space $V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma)$ is independent of the starting point and if we change the orientation of the 2 -simplex we obtain the dual vector space. Moreover this dual vector space can be obtain by a change of color in fact :

$$
V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma) \cong V_{\mathcal{C}}((021), \gamma)^{\star} \cong V_{\mathcal{C}}\left((021), \gamma^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $\gamma^{\prime}(02)=\gamma(01), \gamma^{\prime}(21)=\gamma(12), \gamma^{\prime}(10)=\gamma(20)$. Let $T$ be the triangulation of a compact oriented surface $\Sigma$ and $T^{2}$ the set of 2 -simplex of $T$, then we define

$$
V_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma, T)=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}(T)} \bigotimes_{f \in T^{2}} V_{\mathcal{C}}(f, \gamma)
$$

and this space is independent of the choice of a numbering of $T$.
Let $\Delta$ be a 3 -simplex, a numbering of the vertices of $\Delta$ gives an orientation of $\Delta$, with this orientation $\Delta$ is denoted (0123). We take $\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}(\Delta)$ and we put:
(3.3) $V_{\mathcal{C}}((132), \gamma) \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}((023), \gamma) \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}((031), \gamma) \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma) \xrightarrow{L((0123), \gamma)} k$
$v_{0} \otimes v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes v_{3} \mapsto \operatorname{dim}(\gamma(13))^{-1} \lambda(\gamma(03), \gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(23), \gamma(02), \gamma(13))$.

[^3]This application defines, with duality given by $\omega$, an element
$\tilde{L}((0123), \gamma) \in V_{\mathcal{C}}((132), \gamma)^{\star} \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}((023), \gamma)^{\star} \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}((031), \gamma)^{\star} \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma)^{\star}$. If $T$ is a triangulation of $M$, which is an oriented and closed 3 -manifold, then we denote $T^{3}$ the set of oriented 3 -simplex of $T$ and we define the following element :

$$
\bigotimes_{\sigma \in T^{3}} \tilde{L}(\sigma, \gamma)
$$

But $M$ is a closed 3-manifold so every 2-simplex is a face of exactly two 3-simplex with opposite orientation. The 3 -simplex are oriented such that their orientations correspond to the orientation of $M$. We denote $f$ the common face of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$, so the elements can be written in the following way : $\tilde{L}\left(\sigma_{1}, \gamma\right) \in W \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}(f, \gamma)$, where $W$ is the tensor product of the three other faces and $\tilde{L}\left(\sigma_{2}, \gamma\right) \in W^{\prime} \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{f}, \gamma)$ with $W^{\prime}$ the tensor product of the three other faces. The sovereign structure of $\mathcal{C}$ defines a bilinear non-degenerate form on this two vector spaces:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\text { contr }: V_{\mathcal{C}}((012), \gamma) \otimes V_{\mathcal{C}}((021), \gamma) & \rightarrow k \\
f & \otimes g
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(f^{\vee} g\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(g^{\vee} f\right), ~ l
$$

the equality comes from the fact that $I=I^{\vee}$ and the semi-simplicity of $\mathcal{C}$ implies the non degeneracy of contr. Since the 3 -manifold is closed we can contract every 2 -simplex and then

$$
Z(M, \gamma)=\operatorname{contr}\left(\otimes_{\sigma \in T^{3}} \tilde{L}(\sigma, \gamma)\right) \in k
$$

We fix as in $1, n_{0}$ the number of vertices of a given triangulation (we don't call it $n_{0}(T)$ for two reasons, the first is historical [12], [11], [15], [6], [2] and the second reason comes from the fact that we use $n_{0}$ to describe an object which doesn't depend on the triangulation). $T_{o}$ is the triangulation with the orientation given by the numberings of the vertices such that the orientaton is the orientation of the manifold $M$. The invariant of Turaev-Viro is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T V(M)=\left(\sum_{X \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}} \operatorname{dim}(X)^{2}\right)^{-n_{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}_{T V}(T)} \prod_{e \in T_{o}^{1}} \operatorname{dim}(\gamma(e)) Z(M, \gamma), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the rest of the paper $\sum_{X \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}} \operatorname{dim}(X)^{2}$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C})$.

## 4. The equality

The invariant (3.4), we make a sum over the Turaev-Viro coloring of $T$ and we compute $L(\Delta, \gamma)$ for each Turaev-Viro color $\gamma$ and each 3 -simplex $\Delta$. The linear $L(\Delta, \gamma)$ is computed over vector spaces which are : $V(f, \gamma)$ for each face $f$ of the 3 -simplex $\Delta$. But in a monoidal semisimple category we have the following result for all scalar objects $a, b, c$ of $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(I, a \otimes b \otimes c) \begin{cases}\neq 0 & , a^{\vee} \hookrightarrow b \otimes c \\ \cong 0 & , \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is Group category then we have the following relation:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(I, a \otimes b \otimes c) \begin{cases}\cong k & , a^{\vee} \cong b \otimes c \\ \cong 0 & , \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ a Group category an $T$ an $n$-simplex with $n \geq 1$. An admissible colouring of $T$ is the set of application $\gamma$ from oriented edges of $T$ to $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ which satisfy :
(i) $\gamma(\bar{e})=\gamma(e)^{\vee}$, where $\bar{e}$ is the edge e with the opposite orientation
(ii) for any oriented 2-simplex of $T$ we have :

$$
\gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12) \otimes \gamma(20) \cong I
$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Group category, then an admissible coloring is nothing else than a color in a sense of Wakui (1.1). That's why we denote it $\operatorname{Col}(T)$. If $\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}_{T V}$ and $\gamma \notin \operatorname{Col}(T)$, there is at least one oriented face (012) in $T$ such that : $\gamma(01) \gamma(12) \neq$ $\gamma(02)$. It result that : $V(012, \gamma)=0$. In a closed manifold every face is in the boundary of exactly two 3 -simplex with opposite orientation. the value of $L\left(\_, \gamma\right)$ on these 3 -simplex is equal to 0 . Thus in the sum 3 we have $Z(T, \gamma)=0$ for every $\gamma \notin \operatorname{Col}(T)$. That's why can consider the sum 3 only on the admissible coloring (or coloring in Wakui sense).

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ a Group category such that for all $X \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ we have : $\operatorname{dim}(X)=1$.
If $G$ is the underlying group of $\mathcal{C}$ and if $\alpha \in Z^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$ is the associativity constraint of $\mathcal{C}$ then for all closed and oriented 3-manifold $M$ :

$$
D W_{G, \alpha}(M)=T V_{\mathcal{C}}(M)
$$

Proof: $\mathcal{C}$ is Group category The condition $\operatorname{dim}(X)=1$, for all $X \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ implies $: \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C})=\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ and so :

$$
T V_{\mathcal{C}}(M)=\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{-n_{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in C o l_{T V}(T)} Z(T, \gamma),
$$

it remains to compute $Z(T, \gamma)$ for an admissible coloring.
Lemma 4.3. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Group category such that for all $X \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{dim}(X)=1$ then :

$$
Z(T, \gamma)=\prod_{(0123) \in T^{3}} \alpha(\gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(23))
$$

with $T$ a triangulation of a closed and oriented 3-manifold and $\gamma$ an admissible coloring of $T$.

Proof: If $T$ is a triangulation of a closed and oriented 3-manifold and $\gamma$ is a coloring of $T$ then :

$$
Z(T, \gamma)=\operatorname{contr}\left(\otimes_{(0123) \in T^{3}} \tilde{L}((0123), \gamma) \in k\right.
$$

Moreover if $\operatorname{dim}(X)=1$ for all $X \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$, by definition of $L$ (3.3) we have $L((0123), \gamma)=\lambda(\gamma(03), \gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(23), \gamma(13), \gamma(02)) . \quad \mathcal{C}$ is a Group category then $V((012), \gamma) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\gamma(02), \gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12)) \cong k$. We fix $\Phi(\gamma(01), \gamma(12))$ a basis of this vector space. If we consider (021), the same face with the opposite orientation, then we have, thanks to the contraction : $V((012), \gamma)^{\star} \cong V((021), \gamma)$ and so we can take the dual basis, it induces a basis $\Phi^{\prime}(\gamma(02), \gamma(21))$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma(02) \otimes$ $\gamma(21), \gamma(01)) \cong V(021, \gamma)$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & c \\
d & e & f
\end{array}\right\}(\Phi(b \otimes c, d) \otimes \Phi(b, c))=\alpha(b, c, d) \Phi(b, c \otimes d) \otimes \Phi(c, d)
$$

and the duality given by the non-degenerate bilinear form $\omega$ gives a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}(e \otimes$ $d, a)$ which is the dual of $\operatorname{Hom}(a, e \otimes d)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega: \operatorname{Hom}(e \otimes d, a) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(a, e \otimes d) & \rightarrow k \\
f \otimes \Phi(e, d) & \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(f \Phi(e, d))
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\Phi^{\prime}(e, d)=\Phi(e, d)^{-1}$. Thus we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{L}((0123), \gamma)=\tilde{\lambda}(\gamma(03), \gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(23), \gamma(13), \gamma(02)) \\
& =\alpha(\gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(23)) \Phi(\gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12), \gamma(23))^{-1} \otimes \Phi(\gamma(01), \gamma(12))^{-1} \\
& \otimes \Phi(\gamma(01), \gamma(12) \otimes \gamma(23)) \otimes \Phi(\gamma(12), \gamma(23))
\end{aligned}
$$

[6] asserts that $L(0123, \gamma)$ doesn't depend on the choice of the numbering which preserve the orientation of $(0123)^{4}$ so we can choose any numbering (0123) which preserve the orientation of the 3 -simplex. The contraction on $V((012), \gamma)$ induces a contraction on $\operatorname{Hom}(\gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12), \gamma(02))$ given by the isomorphism : $V((012), \gamma) \cong$ $\operatorname{Hom}(\gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12), \gamma(02)):$

$$
\text { contr } \begin{aligned}
: \operatorname{Hom}(\gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12), \gamma(02)) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(\gamma(02), \gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12)) & \rightarrow k \\
f \otimes g & \mapsto t r(f g)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we consider two 3 -simplexes (0123) and (0214) with the same orientation, they have a common face. We change the numbering of (0214) without changing the orientation : (4012) (the cycle (042) has an even signature).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{L}((0123), \gamma)=\tilde{\lambda}(\gamma(03), \gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(23), \gamma(13), \gamma(02)) \\
& =\alpha(\gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(23)) \Phi(\gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12), \gamma(23))^{-1} \otimes \Phi(\gamma(01), \gamma(12))^{-1} \\
& \otimes \Phi(\gamma(01), \gamma(12) \otimes \gamma(23)) \otimes \Phi(\gamma(12), \gamma(23)) \\
& \tilde{L}((4012), \gamma)=\tilde{\lambda}(\gamma(42), \gamma(40), \gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(02), \gamma(41)) \\
& =\alpha(\gamma(40), \gamma(01), \gamma(12)) \Phi(\gamma(40) \otimes \gamma(01), \gamma(12))^{-1} \otimes \Phi(\gamma(40), \gamma(01))^{-1} \\
& \otimes \Phi(\gamma(40), \gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12)) \otimes \Phi(\gamma(01), \gamma(12)) \\
& \quad \operatorname{contr}\left(\Phi(\gamma(01), \gamma(12))^{-1} \otimes \Phi(\gamma(01), \gamma(12))=\operatorname{dim}(\gamma(01) \otimes \gamma(12))=1\right. \\
& Z(T, \gamma)=\prod_{(0123) \in T^{3}} \alpha(\gamma(01), \gamma(12), \gamma(23)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Topological interpretation of admissible coloring

In this section, we will give a topological interpretation of the admissible coloring of a triangulation.
5.1. The fundamental groupoïd of $T$. Let $T$ be a n-simplex, we denote $\Pi_{1}(T)$ the following category :

$$
O b\left(\Pi_{1}(T)\right)=T^{0}
$$

Arrows of $\Pi_{1}(T)$ are the oriented edges of $T$ and the 0 -simplex modulo the relation of 2 -simplex, that is if (012) is an oriented 2 -simplex then $(01) \cdot(12)=(02)$.

[^4]The composition is given by the concatenation of the edge, and the inverse of an edge is the same edge with the opposite orientation. The identity is given by the 0 -simplex himself. We can define the pointed fundamental groupoïd $\Pi_{1}(T, x)$ in the same way. There is only one object which is $x$ and the set of arrows are loops in x and a loop is a concatenation of edges.

Remark 5.1. If $T$ is the triangulation of a connected manifold $M$, then there is an equivalence of category between $\Pi_{1}(T)$ and the pointed category $\Pi_{1}(T, x)$ where $x$ is 0 -simplex of $T$. Moreover the set of arrows of $\Pi_{1}(T, x)$ is $\Pi_{1}(M, x)$.

If $G$ is a group then the groupoïd obtained thanks to $G$ will be denoted $\mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Group category we can define the following application :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi: \operatorname{Col}(T) & \rightarrow F u n\left(\Pi_{1}(T), \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \\
\gamma & \mapsto F_{\gamma},
\end{aligned}
$$

the functor $F_{\gamma}$ is defined in the following way : for all $x \in T^{0}$ we have $F_{\gamma}(x)=\star$, which is the object of $\mathcal{G}$. And $F_{\gamma}(01)=\gamma(01) . \gamma$ respects the 2 -simplex condition, thus $F_{\gamma}$ is well defined.

Lemma 5.2. $\Psi$ is bijective.
Proof : If $F_{\gamma}=F_{\theta}$ then for all oriented edges $e$ we have : $\gamma(e)=\theta(e)$ and so $\gamma=\theta$.
If $F \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Pi_{1}(T), \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ then for all oriented edge $e$ we have $F(e) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $F(\bar{e})=$ $F(e)^{\vee}$. Thus we can define a coloring of $T: \gamma(e)=F(e)$. We have to check the 2simplex condition. If (012) is a 2-simplex then : $F((02))=F((01) .(12))=F((01)) \otimes F((12))$, thus $\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}(T)$ and $\Psi(\gamma)=F_{\gamma}$ and for object $F_{\gamma}=F$ and for all arrow $e$ : $F_{\gamma}(e)=\gamma(e)=F(e)$ thus $\Psi$ is bijective.
5.2. The gauge action. We note $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{T^{0}}$ the set of application from the 0 -simplex of $T$ to $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$. We can define an action of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{T^{0}}$ on $\operatorname{Col}(T)$ in the following way :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{T^{0}} \times \operatorname{Col}(T) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Col}(T) \\
(\delta, \gamma) & \mapsto \gamma^{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

such that for all oriented edge (01) : $\gamma^{\delta}(01)=\delta(0) \otimes \gamma(01) \otimes \delta(1)^{\vee}$. By a straightforward computation we show that it is an action.
We define the following equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Col}(T):$

$$
\left(\gamma \sim \gamma^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(\exists \delta \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{T^{0}} \text { such that } \gamma^{\delta}=\gamma^{\prime}\right)
$$

we can construct the following application :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta: \frac{\operatorname{Col}(T)}{\sim} & \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Pi_{1}(T), \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)}{i s o}  \tag{5.1}\\
{[\gamma] } & \mapsto[\psi(\gamma)] \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

This application is well defined because if $\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma^{\delta}$ then : $\beta(x)=\delta(x)^{\vee}: F_{\gamma}(x) \rightarrow F_{\gamma^{\prime}}(x)$ is an in isomorphism in the groupoïd $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$. For all oriented edge (01) we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(1) F_{\gamma}(01) & =\gamma(01) \otimes \delta(1)^{\vee} \\
& =\delta(0)^{\vee} \otimes \delta(0) \otimes \gamma(01) \otimes \delta(1)^{\vee} \\
& =\delta(0)^{\vee} \gamma^{\delta}(01) \\
& =F_{\gamma^{\delta}}(01) \beta(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\beta$ is a natural isomorphism between $F_{\gamma}$ and $F_{\gamma^{\delta}}$.
Proposition 5.3. $\Theta$ is a bijection.
Proof: $\Psi$ is surjective thus it follows that $\Theta$ is surjective.
Let $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ two admissible colorings of $T$, if $\Theta(\gamma)=\Theta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$ then there is a natural isomorphism between $F_{\gamma}$ and $F_{\gamma^{\prime}}$. We note $\beta$ this isomorphism, for all 0 -simplex $x$ we have : $\beta(x) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\beta(x): F_{\gamma}(x) \cong F_{\gamma^{\prime}}(x)$. So $\beta \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{T^{0}}$ and for all oriented edges ( $x y$ ) we have the following commutative square :

thus $\gamma^{\prime \beta}=\gamma$.

## 6. Construction of TQFT

6.1. Triangulate TQFT. We recall some definitions on TQFT and some results of [9]. We denote $C o b$ the category of $1+2$ cobordism : $O b(C o b)$ is the set of oriented and closed surface, the morphism of $C o b$ are the class of oriented compact 3 -manifold, i.e : the classes of diffeomorphisms preserving the boundary. The disjoint union and $\emptyset$ give a strict monoidal structure to $C o b$.
Definition 6.1. A is a commutative ring with unit, a TQFT is a monoidal and $A$-linear functor from Cob to $A$-mod.

In [9], there is a way of obtaining a TQFT from a functor which is not monoidal.
Definition 6.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ a monoidal category, a monoidal, non unitary functor $F$ is the data
$\left(F, \Phi_{2}, \Phi_{0}\right): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} .\left(F, \Phi_{2}, \Phi_{0}\right)$ verify all the axioms of a monoidal functor expected the following : there is at least one $X \in O b(\mathcal{C})$ such that : $F\left(i d_{X}\right) \neq i d_{F(X)}$
Proposition $6.3([9])$. Let $\left(F, \Phi_{2}, \Phi_{0}\right): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a monoidal non unitary functor, with $\mathcal{D}$ a monoidal, A-linear and abelian category, then there is a monoidal functor $\tilde{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such as : for all $X \in O b(\mathcal{C}) \tilde{F}(X)$ is an sub object of $F(X)$.
6.2. Construction of Turaev-Viro. We recall the construction of Turaev-Viro [12] and we apply the result of [9].
First step
For every object $(\Sigma, T)$ we assign finite vector space :

$$
V(\Sigma, T)=\bigoplus_{c \in \operatorname{Col}(T)} \bigotimes_{f \in T^{2}} V(f, \gamma)=k[\operatorname{Col}(T)]
$$

it is the vector space spanned by the admissible coloration of $T$.
For every 3 -manifold $M$ whose boundary is $(-\Sigma, T) \coprod\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$ and for every admissible coloring $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ of $T$ and $T^{\prime}: T V_{M}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) \in k$. Thus we can define :

$$
\begin{align*}
V(M): V(\Sigma, T) & \rightarrow V\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)  \tag{6.1}\\
c & \mapsto \sum_{c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Col}\left(T^{\prime}\right)} T V_{M}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) c^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

By construction :

$$
\begin{align*}
V(M) V(N)(c) & =\sum_{c_{1}, c_{2}} T V_{N}\left(c, c_{1}\right) T V_{M}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) c_{2} \\
& =\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{n_{0}\left(\partial N_{+}\right)} \sum_{c_{2}} T V_{M \circ N}\left(c, c_{2}\right) c_{2} \\
& =\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{n_{0}\left(\partial N_{+}\right)} V(M \circ N)(c) . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

There are at least three ways of erasing the anomaly. Here are the normalization, with $M$ a 3 -manifold whose the boundary is $\partial M=\bar{M}_{-} \coprod M_{+}$and $c \in$ $\operatorname{Col}\left(M_{-}\right), c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Col}\left(M_{+}\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
T V_{i}(M)\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) & =\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{-n_{0}\left(M_{-}\right)} T V(M)\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)  \tag{6.3}\\
T V_{o}(M)\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) & =\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{-n_{0}\left(M_{+}\right)} T V(M)\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)  \tag{6.4}\\
T V_{m}(M)\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) & =\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{-n_{0}\left(M_{-}\right)-n_{0}\left(M_{+}\right)}{2}} T V(M)\left(c, c^{\prime}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

## Lemma 6.4.

(i) $T V_{i}, T V_{o}$ and $T V_{m}$ are invariants of 3-manifold with boundary.
(ii) $T V_{i}, T V_{o}$ and $T V_{m}$ define the same monoidal non unitary functor (up to monoidal equivalence).

Proof (i) : The theorem of Pachner define invariant of 3-manifold whose the boundary is fix, thus the triangulation of the boundary remains unchanged. That's why we obtain an invariant of 3 -manifold with boundary.

Proof (ii): Let $V_{i}$ (resp. $V_{o}, V_{m}$ ) the non unitary functor defines from the invariant $T V_{i}$ (resp. $T V_{o}, T V_{m}$ ). The natural transformation :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta: V_{o}(\Sigma, T)=k[\operatorname{Col}(T)] & \rightarrow V_{i}(\Sigma, T)=k[\operatorname{Col}(T)] \\
c & \mapsto\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{n_{0}((\Sigma, T))} c
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism. It remains to show that $\beta$ is monoidal. Since $V_{o}$ and $V_{i}$ are strict we the following square :

and for all $c \in \operatorname{Col}\left(T \amalg T^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Col}(T) \otimes \operatorname{Col}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left((\Sigma, T) \otimes\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)\right)(c) & =\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{n_{0}\left((\Sigma, T) \amalg\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)\right)} c \\
& =\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{n_{0}((\Sigma, T))+n_{0}\left(\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)\right)} c \\
& =\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{n_{0}((\Sigma, T))+n_{0}\left(\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)\right)} c_{1} \otimes c_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c=c_{1} \otimes c_{2}$. And for $c \in \operatorname{Col}(T), c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Col}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, we have :

$$
\beta((\Sigma, T)) \otimes \beta\left(\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(c \otimes c^{\prime}\right)=\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{n_{0}((\Sigma, T))}\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{n_{0}\left(\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)\right)} c \otimes c^{\prime} .
$$

Thus the square commutes.
We prove in the way the monoidal isomorphism between $V_{o}$ and $V_{m}$, the isomorphism is given by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa((\Sigma, T)): V(\Sigma, T) & \rightarrow V(\Sigma, T) \\
c & \mapsto\left(\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)^{-\frac{n_{0}(\Sigma, T)}{2}} c
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ In [15] and [11], the authors used $T V_{m}$, here we will $T V_{i}$ because in this case we don't have to compute the square of $\operatorname{Dim}(\mathcal{C})$. We replace $T V$ by $T V_{i}$ in the definition of (6.1), and we still denote it by $V$.

Proposition 6.5 ([11], [15]). $V$ is a monoidal non unitary functor from Cob $_{\text {tri }}$ to the category of finite dimensional vector spaces.

We fix $V(\Sigma \times I, T)$ the linear application given by the identity on $(\Sigma, T)$ in $C o b_{t r i}$. [15] and [11], or in a general framework [9], the TQFT is the following functor :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V C o b} & \rightarrow k-\text { vect } \\
\Sigma & \mapsto \mathcal{V}(\Sigma)=\operatorname{im}(V(\Sigma \times I, T)) \\
M \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Sigma, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) & \mapsto \mathcal{V}(M)=V(M)_{\mid i m(V(\Sigma \times I, T))}
\end{aligned}
$$

The functor is well defined because for all triangulations $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma$ we have : $\operatorname{im}(V(\Sigma \times I, T)) \cong \operatorname{im}\left(V\left(\Sigma \times I, T^{\prime}\right)\right)$. The isomorphism is given by $\Sigma \times I$ with $T$ the triangulation of $\Sigma \times\{0\}$ and $T^{\prime}$ the triangulation $\Sigma \times\{1\}$.

## 7. Examples

7.1. $\alpha=1$. If $\alpha=1$ then $\mathcal{C}$ is a strict Group category and $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to $k[G]$. Thanks to the gauge action (5.2) and the isomorphism (5.1), we have for all closed, oriented and connected 3-manifold $M$ :

$$
T V_{k[G]}(M)=\# G^{-n_{0}} \# \operatorname{Col}(T)=\# \frac{\operatorname{Col}(T)}{\sim}=\# \frac{F u n\left(\Pi_{1}(T, x), \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)}{i s o}
$$

the groupoïd has only one object and so a functor is only defined by the applications from the $\Pi_{1}(M, x)$ to $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}$ and an isomorphism between two functors implies that the applications are conjugate. So we have :

$$
T V_{k[G]}(M)=\# \frac{\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Pi_{1}(M), \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)}{\operatorname{conj}}
$$

7.2. $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. If $G$ is the cyclic group of order $n$ then we have : $H^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, and $\alpha$ given by (A.2) is a 3 -cocycle.
A triangulation of $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$ is given in [4] :


There are six 3 -simplex. And so the invariant is the following :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T V_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \alpha}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{g, h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n},[g, h]=[g, k]=[h, k]=1}} \frac{\alpha(g, h, k) \alpha(h, k, g) \alpha(k, g, h)}{\alpha(g, k, h) \alpha(h, g, k) \alpha(k, h, g)} \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{g, h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}} \frac{\alpha(g, h, k) \alpha(h, k, g) \alpha(k, g, h)}{\alpha(g, k, h) \alpha(h, g, k) \alpha(k, h, g)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For every finite group $G$ and for every 3 -cocycle $\alpha \in Z^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$, we can define :

$$
\beta(g, h, k)=\frac{\alpha(g, h, k) \alpha(h, k, g) \alpha(k, g, h)}{\alpha(g, k, h) \alpha(h, g, k) \alpha(k, h, g)},
$$

it verifies some properties :

## Lemma 7.1.

(i) For every finite group $G$ and for every $g, h, k \in G$, there is an action of $S_{3}$ over $\beta$ by permutating the terms and if $\sigma \in S_{3}: \sigma \cdot \beta(g, h, k)=\beta(g, h, k)^{\epsilon(\sigma)}$, $\epsilon$ is the signature.
(ii) if $G$ is abelian and $\alpha$ is $A .1$ then for all $g, h, k \in G: \beta(g, h, k)=1$,

Proof (i) : It is straightforward from the definition of $\beta$, we give only the calculation for the permutation (12) and for the cycle (123) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(h, g, k) & =\frac{\alpha(h, g, k) \alpha(g, k, h) \alpha(k, h, g)}{\alpha(h, k, g) \alpha(g, h, k) \alpha(k, g, h)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\frac{\alpha(g, h, k) \alpha(h, k, g) \alpha(k, g, h)}{\alpha(h, g, k) \alpha(g, k, h) \alpha(k, h, g)}} \\
& =\beta(g, h, k)^{-1} \\
\beta(h, k, g) & =\frac{\alpha(h, k, g) \alpha(k, g, h) \alpha(g, h, k)}{\alpha(h, g, k) \alpha(k, h, g) \alpha(g, k, h)} \\
& =\beta(g, h, k) \quad \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof (ii) :
Thus for $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and for $\alpha$ defined by (A.2), we have :

$$
T V_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \alpha}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{g, h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}} 1=n^{2}
$$

In the same way, we can compute $T V_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \alpha}\left(\Sigma_{g} \times S^{1}\right)$, where $\Sigma_{g}$ is the closed surface of genus $g$, using the following triangulation of $\Sigma_{g}$ :


The edges with the same labels are identified, we have 1 on the edges inside the polygon by commutativity of the group $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and the condition (ii) of the admissible coloration. We denote $T_{g}$ the previous triangulation of $\Sigma_{g}: \operatorname{Col}\left(T_{g}\right)=k\left[\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{2 g}\right]$. Thus $c=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is a coloring of $T_{g}$ We can define a triangulation of $\Sigma_{g} \times S^{1}$ :


To avoid problems of reading this triangulation, some edges are not coloring. We can recover the corresponding coloring thanks to the condition (ii) of coloring. So by definition of the invariant : $T V_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \alpha}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gamma \in \operatorname{Col}\left(T_{g}^{\prime}\right)} \prod_{\Delta \in T_{g}^{3}} \alpha(\Delta, \gamma)$. The previous triangulation can be divided into prisms :


Then we have to decompose this prism into 3 -simplex. Below we give such a decomposition :


If we assigns a coloring $\gamma$ to this prism we can compute the term $\alpha(\Delta, \gamma)$ of the prism and by construction we will have the invariant $T V\left(\Sigma_{g} \times I\right)$.

$(a, b, c)$ is a coloring of the prism, the scalar assigns to the prism is then : $\frac{\alpha(a, b, c) \alpha(c, a, b)}{\alpha(a, c, b)}$. In the triangulation of $\Sigma_{g} \times S^{1}$ for a given coloring, we have prism such that the coloring is : $\left(a, a^{-1}, c\right)$, where $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and so there are at least two edges whose the associated coloring is equal to $I$. The value of the scalar associated to the prism equip with a coloration $\left(a, a^{-1}, c\right)$ is given by the following lemma:

Lemma 7.2. If $G$ is a finite group and $\gamma$ is a coloring of a 3-simplex (oriented) $\Delta$ such that the coloring of one edge (at least) is 1 then for every normalized 3-cocycle in the group cohomology $H^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right), \alpha(\Delta, \gamma)=1$

Proof: If we fix $\gamma$ and $\Delta$ we have the following figure :


The numbering of the vertices gives an orientation of $\Delta$, for an orientation given by the numbering $0<1<2<3 \Delta$ will be noted : ( 0123 ). In this case we have : $\alpha\left(a, a^{-1}, c\right)=1$. It follows the definition of $H^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$ which isomorphic to : $H^{3}\left(B G, k^{\star}\right)$. If we change the orientation (presevering or not) we will have : $\alpha\left(a, a^{-1}, c\right)$ (preserving the orientation) or $\alpha\left(a, a^{-1}, c\right)^{-1}[15] . \quad \square$ Thus the invariant is equal to :

$$
\begin{align*}
T V_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \alpha}\left(\Sigma_{g} \times S^{1}\right) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g}, e\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}} \beta\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, e\right) \times \ldots \times \beta\left(a_{g}, b_{g}, e\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g}, e\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}} 1 \\
& =n^{2 g} \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

7.3. $G=S_{3}$. In the Annex B, we give a way of building 3 -cocycle, and this construction leads to the 3 -cocycle given in [15] and [8] for $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. And for $S_{3}$ we have $\alpha(x, y, z)=\exp \left(\frac{2 i \pi}{4} \operatorname{tr}(s(x))\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(s(y) s(z) s(y z)^{-1}\right)\right)\right)$. We denote $\mathcal{Z}(x)=\left\{g \in S_{3} \mid\right.$ $\left.g x g^{-1}=x\right\}$ the center of $x$ we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T V_{S_{3}, \alpha}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right) & =\frac{1}{6} \sum_{g, h, k \in S_{3},[g, h]=[g, k]=[h, k]=1} \frac{\alpha(g, h, k) \alpha(h, k, g) \alpha(k, g, h)}{\alpha(g, k, h) \alpha(h, g, k) \alpha(k, h, g)} \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(\sum_{h \in S_{3}} \sharp Z(h)+\sum_{h \neq 1}(\sharp Z(h))^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sharp S_{3}} \sum_{g, h, k \in S_{3},[g, h]=[g, k]=[h, k]=1} 1 \\
& =\frac{\sharp \operatorname{Col}\left(T_{0}\right)}{\sharp S_{3}} \\
& =\sharp \frac{\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Pi_{1}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right), S_{3}\right)}{\operatorname{conj}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, for every 3 -cocycle $\alpha \in Z^{3}\left(S_{3}, k^{\star}\right)$ and thanks to (7.1) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(g, g, k) & =\frac{\alpha(g, g, k) \alpha(g, k, g) \alpha(k, g, g)}{\alpha(g, k, g) \alpha(g, g, k) \alpha(k, g, g)} \\
& =1 \\
\beta(g, h, h) & =\frac{\alpha(g, h, h) \alpha(h, h, g) \alpha(h, g, h)}{\alpha(g, h, h) \alpha(h, g, h) \alpha(h, h, g)} \\
& =1 \\
\beta(g, h, g) & =\frac{\alpha(g, h, g) \alpha(h, g, g) \alpha(g, g, h)}{\alpha(g, g, h) \alpha(h, g, g) \alpha(g, h, g)} \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover if $\alpha$ is normalized then $\beta$ becomes normalized. Thus for every $\alpha \in$ $Z^{3}\left(G, k^{\star}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T V_{S_{3}}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right) & =\frac{1}{6} \sum_{g, h, k \in S_{3},[g, h]=[g, k]=[h, k]=1} \beta(g, h, k) \\
& =\frac{1}{6} \sum_{g \in S_{3}} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{Z}(g)} \sum_{k \in Z(g) \cap Z(h)} \beta(g, h, k) \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(\sum_{h \in S_{3}} \sum_{k \in Z(h)} \beta(1, h, k)+\sum_{g \neq 1}(\sharp \mathcal{Z}(g))^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(\sum_{h \in S_{3}} \sharp \mathcal{Z}(h)+\sum_{g \neq 1}(\sharp \mathcal{Z}(g))^{2}\right) \\
& =\sharp \frac{\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Pi_{1}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right), S_{3}\right)}{\operatorname{conj}}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.4. Examples of TQFTs.

7.4.1. $\alpha=1$. Let $\Sigma$ a closed and connected surface and $T$ a triangulation of $\Sigma$, for all $c \in \operatorname{Col}(T)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(\Sigma \times I, T)(c) & =\sum_{c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Col}(T)} T V^{n}((\Sigma, T) \times I)_{c, c^{\prime}} c^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{c^{\prime} \cong c} \frac{\sharp \operatorname{stab}(c)}{\sharp \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{n_{0}}} c^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sharp \mathcal{O}_{c}} \sum_{c^{\prime} \cong c} c^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus: $\mathcal{V}(\Sigma)=\frac{k[\operatorname{Col}(T)]}{\cong} \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Pi_{1}(\Sigma), \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}\right)}{\operatorname{conj}}$.
7.4.2. $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, and $\alpha$ is given by (1). The vector space $\mathcal{V}(\Sigma)$ doesn't depend on the choice of the triangulation. Thus we can consider the following triangulation :

where $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g}\right)$ is an admissible coloring. Thus for the cylinder $\Sigma_{g} \times I$ we have the following triangulation :

where $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g}\right)$ is an admissible coloring of the inward surface, $\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{g}^{\prime}, b_{g}^{\prime}\right)$ is an admissible coloring of the outward surface and $e$ is a gauge. In this case we
have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\mathbb{Z}_{n}, \alpha}\left(\Sigma_{g} \times I, T\right)(c) & =\sum_{c^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Col}(T)} T V_{i}\left(\Sigma_{g} \times I\right)_{c, c^{\prime}} c^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{c^{\prime} \cong c} n^{-1} n c^{\prime} \\
& =c
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathcal{V}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=k[\operatorname{Col}(T)]=k\left[\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{2 g}\right]$
Appendix A. Some computation of $H^{3}\left(g, k^{\star}\right)$
Let $G$ a finite group and $G^{\prime}$ a subgroup such that :

$$
G^{\prime} \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow\{1\}
$$

and $s$ is a section of $p$. Let $A$ an abelian group and $G$ acts trivially on $A$, then for every application : $<>: G^{\prime} \times G^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ which verify :
(i) $\langle\mathrm{xy}, \mathrm{z}\rangle=\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}\rangle+\langle\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\rangle$
(ii) $\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{yz}\rangle=\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle+\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}\rangle$
we can define the following application :

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha: G \times G \times G & \rightarrow A \\
(x, y, z) & \mapsto \alpha(x, y, z)=<s(x), s(y) s(z) s(y z)^{-1}> \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition A.1. If $<\operatorname{ker}(p), \operatorname{ker}(p)>=0$ then $\alpha \in Z^{3}(G, A)$.
Proof: For all $x, y, z, t \in G$ we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(\alpha)(x, y, z, t)=\alpha(y, z, t)-\alpha(x y, z, t)+\alpha(x, y z, t)-\alpha(x, y, z t)+\alpha(x, y, z) \\
& =<s(y), s(z) s(t) s(z t)^{-1}>-<s(x y), s(z) s(t) s(z t)^{-1}>+<s(x), s(y z) s(t) s(y z t)^{-1}> \\
& -<s(x), s(y) s(z t) s(y z t)^{-1}>+<s(x), s(y) s(z) s(y z)^{-1}> \\
& =<s(y) s(x y)^{-1}, s(z) s(t) s(z t)^{-1}>+<s(x), s(z)>+<s(x), s(t)>-<s(x), s(z t)> \\
& =<s(y), s(z) s(t) s(z t)^{-1}>+<s(x), s(z) s(t) s(z t)^{-1}> \\
& =<s(x) s(y) s(x y)^{-1}, s(z) s(t) s(z t)^{-1}> \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## A.1. Example.

A.1.1. $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. If we consider the following application :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n} \\
x & \mapsto \bar{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$s: \mathbb{Z}_{n} \rightarrow$ which assigns for all $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ its representative element in $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ is a section of $p$. We define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
<>\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
(x, y) & \mapsto \exp \left(\frac{2 i \pi}{n^{2}} s(x) s(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$<\quad>$ verifies $(i)$ and (ii) and if $x \in \operatorname{ker}(p)$ then $s(x)=0$ thus if $x$ and $y$ are elements of $\operatorname{ker}(p)$ then $\langle x, y\rangle=0$. It defines a 3 -cocycle :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x, y, z)=\exp \left(\frac{2 i \pi}{n^{2}} s(x)(s(y)+s(z)-s(y+z))\right) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we recover the 3 -cocycle defines in [15].
A.1.2. $G=S_{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
p: B_{n} & \rightarrow S_{n} \\
\tilde{\sigma} & \mapsto \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

and the section $s$ which assigns for all permutation in $S_{n}$ an elementary braid

$$
\begin{aligned}
<>: B_{n} \times B_{n} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
(\sigma, \tau) & \mapsto \exp \left(\frac{2 i \pi}{4} \operatorname{tr}(x) \operatorname{tr}(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\operatorname{tr}(x)=\sharp$ (positive crossing of x$)-\sharp($ negative crossing of x$)$.
Lemma A.2. (1) If $x \in k e r(p)$ then $\operatorname{tr}(x)$ is an even number.
(2) $\operatorname{tr}(x y)=\operatorname{tr}(x)+\operatorname{tr}(y)$.

Proof : We recall that $\operatorname{ker}(p)=P_{n}$ the pure braids group. The first assertion is then a consequence of the presentation of $P_{n}$ due to Markov (see [13]).
The last assertion is a consequence of the braid relations which preserve the number of signed crossings.
Thus $<>$ defines a 3 -cocycle $\alpha$ on $S_{n}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1} \mathcal{C}$ admits a right and a left duality which are isomorphic as monoidal functor.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ we can define a similar category, using $G$-graded free $A$-modules, with $A$ a commutative ring

[^3]:    $3_{\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{l}}=1$

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ In [6] the authors asserts this result for a sovereign category, but there is a problem with this condition. If we use spherical category the result is true.

