

Long-Time Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Boussinesq System

Min Chen, Olivier Goubet

▶ To cite this version:

Min Chen, Olivier Goubet. Long-Time Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Boussinesq System. 2006. hal-00087896

HAL Id: hal-00087896

https://hal.science/hal-00087896

Preprint submitted on 27 Jul 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LONG-TIME ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF DISSIPATIVE BOUSSINESQ SYSTEM

 $M. CHEN^1 AND O. GOUBET^2$

¹Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA. ²LAMFA CNRS UMR 6140 Université de Picardie Jules Verne, 33, rue Saint-Leu, 80039 Amiens, France.

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study various dissipative mechanics associated with the Boussinesq systems which model two-dimensional small amplitude long wavelength water waves. We will show that the decay rate for the damped one-directional model equations, such as the KdV and BBM equations, holds for some of the damped Boussinesq systems which model two-directional waves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Considered here are waves on the surface of an inviscid fluid in a flat channel. When one is interested in the propagation of one-directional irrotational small amplitude long waves, it is classical to model the waves by the well-known KdV (Korteweg-de Vries) equation (see [23])

$$u_t + u_x + u_{xxx} + uu_x = 0,$$

or its regularized version, the so-called regularized long wave equation or BBM (Benjamin-Bona-Mahony) equation,

$$u_t + u_x - u_{txx} + uu_x = 0.$$

When one is dealing with two-directional waves, and the effects of wave interactions and/or wave reflections are not excluded from the study, a restricted four-parameter family of systems (see [5]),

(1.1)
$$\eta_t + u_x + (u\eta)_x + au_{xxx} - b\eta_{xxt} = 0, u_t + \eta_x + uu_x + c\eta_{xxx} - du_{xxt} = 0,$$

may be used. The dimensionless variables $\eta(x,t)$, u(x,t), x, and t are scaled by the length scale h_0 and time scale $(h_0/g)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where h_0 denotes the still water depth and g denotes the acceleration of gravity. The variable $\eta(x,t)$ is the non-dimensional deviation of the water surface from its undisturbed

1

 $[\]it Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ water waves, two-way propagation, Boussinesq systems, dissipation, long-time asymptotics.

position and u(x,t) is the non-dimensional horizontal velocity at a height above the bottom of the channel corresponding to θh_0 with $0 \le \theta \le 1$. The constants a, b, c, d are called dispersive constants which satisfy the physical relevant constraints

(C0)
$$a+b+c+d=\frac{1}{3}$$
 and $c+d=\frac{1}{2}(1-\theta^2)\geq 0$.

This class of systems contains some of the well-known systems, such as the classical Boussinesq system (a = b = c = 0, d = 1/3) (see for example [9, 18, 22, 1, 20]) and the Bona-Smith system (a = 0, b = d > 0, c < 0) [8]. It is shown in [6] that a physically relevant system in (1.1) is linearly well posed in certain natural Sobolev spaces if the constants a, b, c, d satisfy

$$(C1)$$
 $b \ge 0, d \ge 0, a \le 0, c \le 0,$

or

(C2)
$$b \ge 0, d \ge 0, a = c > 0.$$

It is also shown in [4, 7] that above systems have the capacity of capture the main characteristics of the flow in an idea fluid. But when the damping effect is comparable with the effects of nonlinearity and/or dispersion, as occurs in the real laboratory-scale experiments and in the fields (see [7, 16, 12, 17]), it should be considered in order for the model and its numerical results to correspond in detail with the experiments. The full system would be the Navier-Stokes equations with a free boundary, which is very difficult to handle both theoretically and numerically (cf. [21, 3]). Therefore, it is useful to construct simpler model systems which are capable of capturing the main properties of water waves under various special circumstances.

For example, many researchers have studied the dissipative one-way propagation model equations, such as the dissipative KdV and dissipative regularized long-wave equations and their generalizations. As a model to our study, we recall the results from [2] for the dissipative BBM equation,

$$u_t - u_{xxt} - \nu u_{xx} + uu_x = 0,$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$$

where ν is a positive constant.

Theorem 1.1. Assume u_0 is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then there exists a constant C such that

(1.2)
$$||u(t)||_{L^2} \le C(1+t)^{-1/4}.$$

Here $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ are the classical Banach spaces. A similar result holds for the corresponding dissipative KdV equation. See also [7], [15] and the references therein.

In this article, we aim to analyze the effect of dissipation on systems (1.1) and study the decay rates of solutions (η, u) toward zero. We will restrict our study to the cases where constants a, b, c, d satisfy (C0)-(C1) or (C0)-(C2). The goal of this research is to find the appropriate dissipative term

(or terms) which will provide the right amount of energy dissipation for all wave numbers while keeping the mass conserved.

In this article, two kinds of dissipations will be considered:

Complete dissipation: replacing the $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in the right-hand side of (1.1) by

the vector
$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{xx} \\ u_{xx} \end{pmatrix}$$
, and

Partial dissipation: replace the $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in the right-hand side of (1.1) by the

vector
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u_{xx} \end{pmatrix}$$
.

We shall first study the decay rates of solutions to the linearized systems supplemented with either complete or partial dissipations. These equations read

(1.3)
$$\eta_t + u_x + au_{xxx} - b\eta_{xxt} = \nu\eta_{xx}, \\ u_t + \eta_x + c\eta_{xxx} - du_{xxt} = u_{xx},$$

with $\nu = 0$ or $\nu = 1$. Systems which satisfy the *dichotomy* property in the Fourier space:

- decay as $t^{-1/4}$ for low frequencies (small ξ);
- decay as $\exp(-\beta t)$ or $\exp(-\beta \xi^2 t)$ for high frequencies (large ξ);

will be identified and studied. It is shown in Section 3 that the dichotomy property will lead to the decay rate $t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ for $\|(\eta, u)\|_{L^2 \times H^h}$ (h will be specified later).

For later use, we shall emphasize (and it is easy to check) that the dichotomy property holds true for two fundamentally different equations: the linearized BBM-Burgers equation $u_t - u_{xxt} + u_x - u_{xx} = 0$ and the linearized KdV-Burgers equation $u_t + u_{xxx} + u_x - u_{xx} = 0$. If the high frequency part of the solution to a system is damped as $\exp(-\beta t)$, we say that the system belongs to the BBM-Burgers class since solutions to linearized BBM-Burgers equation feature this property. If the high frequency part of the solution is damped as $\exp(-\beta \xi^2 t)$, which is the case for linearized KdV-Burgers equation, then we say that the system belongs to the KdV-Burgers class. The low frequency parts of the solutions to the linearized BBM-Burgers and KdV-Burgers equations behave in a similar fashion.

The main result in Section 3 is to classify the linearized systems according to this property and to prove that for systems which satisfy the dichotomy property, a decay rate comparing to (1.2) is valid. We shall also present some systems where the decay rates can be arbitrarily small, behaving as the solution of

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + u = 0, \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x),$$

where by Fourier transform,

$$\widehat{u}(t,\xi) = e^{-\frac{t}{1+\xi^2}} \widehat{u}_0(\xi),$$

and therefore $||u(.,t)||_{L^2}$ could decay arbitrarily slow.

In Section 4, we extend the linear theory to nonlinear systems and show that the decay rate as (1.2) is valid for weakly dispersive systems, i.e. systems with b>0 and d>0, and for some systems in the KdV-Burgers class with total dissipation, which include the KdV-KdV system ($a=c=\frac{1}{6},b=d=0$), with small initial data. In Section 5, the decay rate with respect to L^{∞} -norm is presented and in Section 6, spectral method is used on several systems to demonstrate that the rates obtained in Section 4 and Section 5 are sharp and the constants involved in the bounds are reasonably sized.

It is worth to note that there are other methods, such as the energy methods (like the so-called Schonbek's splitting method applied to the classical Boussinesq system [19] in large dimensions), can be used in proving decay rate for solutions of these systems. This line of study will be carried elsewhere. We believe that those methods will be helpful especially in the cases when b=d so some Hamiltonian is conserved (see [6]).

On the other hand, to remove the smallness assumption on the initial data, the authors in [2] used a kind of Cole–Hopf transformation (that is valid for Burgers equation) and were able to control the extra-terms. We do not know if this *tour de force* (feat of skill) is possible for the systems in (1.1) with dissipation.

We complete this introduction by introducing some notations. Throughout the paper, the standard notation on Sobolev spaces will be used. The $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ norm will be denoted as $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and the H^s norm will be denoted as $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$. When several variables are involved, we may also set L^p_x for $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ to specify that we compute the norm with respect to the x-variable. The product space $X \times X$ will be abbreviated by X and a function $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2)$ in X carries the norm

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_X = (\|f_1\|_X^2 + \|f_2\|_X^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The Euclidean norm of a vector is denoted by $|\cdot|$. We will use C and β as generic positive constants whose values may change with each appearance. Fourier transform of a function f is denoted by either \hat{f} or $\mathcal{F}(f)$.

2. Notations and Preparations

2.1. Some notations. Consider $\nu \in \{0,1\}$. As stated before, we plan to first estimate the decay rates of solutions to the linear systems

(2.1)
$$\eta_t + u_x + au_{xxx} - b\eta_{xxt} = \nu\eta_{xx},$$
$$u_t + \eta_x + c\eta_{xxx} - du_{xxt} = u_{xx},$$

when t goes to $+\infty$.

Following [6], we introduce the Fourier multipliers

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1 - a\xi^2}{1 + b\xi^2}$$
 and $\omega_2 = \frac{1 - c\xi^2}{1 + d\xi^2}$.

Since a, b, c, d satisfy (C1) or (C2), $\omega_1\omega_2$ is nonnegative and we denote

$$\widehat{H} = \left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}\right)^{1/2}$$
 and $\sigma = (\omega_1 \omega_2)^{1/2}$,

with the conventional notation $\frac{0}{0} = 1$. We also denote

$$\alpha = \frac{\xi^2}{1 + b\xi^2}$$
 and $\varepsilon = \frac{\xi^2}{1 + d\xi^2}$.

Remark 2.1. When a system satisfying (C2) assumption is the subject of the study, ω_1 and ω_2 do change signs, but $\omega_1\omega_2 \geq 0$.

Definition 2.2. Consider a nonnegative function $\xi \to \hat{\kappa}(\xi)$. The order of $\hat{\kappa}$ (when it exists) is defined as the number m such that

$$\widehat{\kappa}(\xi) \sim C|\xi|^m$$

when $|\xi| \to +\infty$. The (pseudo-differential) operator κ with order m is defined by setting

$$\kappa u = v \quad iff \quad \widehat{\kappa}\widehat{u} = \widehat{v}.$$

Therefore κ maps L_x^2 into $H_x^{-order(\kappa)}$ (or H_x^n into $H_x^{n-order(\kappa)}$).

Since (2.1) is a linear system, it is convenient to use the Fourier transform. Let $(\widehat{\eta}, \widehat{u})$ denote the Fourier transform of (η, u) and set $\widehat{Y} = (\widehat{\eta}, \widehat{w})$ with $\widehat{w} = \widehat{H}\widehat{u}$, then (2.1) reads

$$\widehat{Y}_t + A\widehat{Y} = 0,$$

where

$$A(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu\alpha & i\operatorname{sgn}(\omega_1)\xi\sigma \\ i\operatorname{sgn}(\omega_2)\xi\sigma & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

is the *symbol* of the linear (unbounded) operator in (2.1). Since we are dealing with a system, $A(\xi)$ is a matrix.

By multiplying \widehat{Y}^* on (2.2) and taking the real part,

(2.3)
$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int (|\widehat{\eta}(t,\xi)|^2 + |\widehat{w}(t,\xi)|^2)d\xi + \nu \int \alpha(\xi)|\widehat{\eta}(t,\xi)|^2d\xi + \int \varepsilon(\xi)|\widehat{w}(t,\xi)|^2d\xi = 0.$$

Since $\alpha(\xi)$ and $\varepsilon(\xi)$ are positive,

(2.4)
$$E(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{Y}(t,\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

decays towards 0 as $t \to \infty$, where $|\widehat{Y}| = (|\widehat{\eta}|^2 + |\widehat{w}|^2)^{1/2}$ is the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{C}^2 .

2.2. **Linear algebra.** We recall some facts from linear algebra and then apply them to the dissipative systems (2.1).

Definition 2.3. Let M be a 2×2 matrix in the complex space, the norm of M is defined by

$$||M|| = \sup_{Y \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|MY|}{|Y|}.$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $\rho(M)$ denote the spectral radius of a matrix M and tr(M) denote the trace of M, then

$$||M|| = \rho(M^*M)^{1/2} \le \operatorname{tr}(M^*M)^{1/2}.$$

We are now going to bound E(t) (see (2.2) and (2.4)) by using the pointwise estimate

(2.5)
$$|\widehat{Y}(t,\xi)| \le ||e^{-tA}|| |\widehat{Y}_0(\xi)|.$$

Noticing that the matrix A can be written as A = D + U, where $D = \begin{pmatrix} \nu \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$ represents the dissipation terms and $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_1) \xi \sigma \\ i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_2) \xi \sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is skew-symmetric. When D and U commute, the behavior of $\|e^{-tA}\|$ with

is skew-symmetric. When D and U commute, the behavior of $||e^{-tA}||$ with respect to ξ is characterized by the behaviors of ε and α via

(2.6)
$$||e^{-tA}|| \le e^{-t\min\{\nu\alpha(\xi), \varepsilon(\xi)\}}.$$

But when D and U do not commute, more accurate estimate than (2.6) can be obtained by studying e^{-tA} in detail.

We now recall the following lemma (Theorem 9.28 from [13]).

Lemma 2.5. There exists a unitary matrix Q (i.e. $QQ^* = Q^*Q = I$) such that

$$A = Q^* \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & z \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} Q,$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the eigenvalues of A, ordered by $Re(\lambda_1) \leq Re(\lambda_2)$.

As a consequence, one can prove (which is given at the end of this subsection)

Lemma 2.6. There exists C > 0 such that

(2.7)
$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le C\left(1 + |z|\min\left(t, \frac{1}{|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|}\right)\right) \exp(-tRe(\lambda_1)).$$

It is easy to see that λ_1 and λ_2 are the roots of the characteristic equation

(2.8)
$$\lambda^2 - \operatorname{tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A) = 0$$

where

(2.9)
$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \nu \alpha + \varepsilon \ge 0$$

and

(2.10)
$$\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \nu \alpha \varepsilon + \xi^2 \sigma^2 \ge 0.$$

We now estimate $\|\exp(-tA)\|$ by separating the cases $\Delta \leq 0$ and $\Delta > 0$ where Δ is the determinant of (2.8), namely

(2.11)
$$\Delta = \operatorname{tr}(A)^2 - 4\det(A) = (\varepsilon - \nu\alpha)^2 - 4\xi^2\sigma^2.$$

Lemma 2.7. For any t > 0 and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

• when $\Delta \leq 0$ (perturbation range),

$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le C(1+\operatorname{tr}(A)t)\exp\left(-\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{2}t\right) \le C\exp\left(-\frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{4}t\right);$$

• when $\Delta > 0$ (non-perturbation range),

where λ_1 satisfies

(2.14)
$$\frac{\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)} \le \lambda_1 \le \min\left(\operatorname{tr}(A), \frac{2\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)}\right).$$

Proof. It is worth to note from Lemma 2.5 that

(2.15)
$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}) = |\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 + |\mathbf{z}|^2 = \nu^2 \alpha^2 + \varepsilon^2 + 2\xi^2 \sigma^2.$$

When $\Delta \leq 0$ (perturbation range): matrix A has two conjugate complex eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 with

$$|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2|, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_1) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_2) = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{2}, \quad |\lambda_1|^2 = |\lambda_2|^2 = \det(A).$$

Using (2.15) and then (2.10)-(2.9) leads to

$$|z|^{2} = \nu^{2} \alpha^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} + 2\xi^{2} \sigma^{2} - 2|\lambda_{1}|^{2}$$

= $\nu^{2} \alpha^{2} + \varepsilon^{2} + 2\xi^{2} \sigma^{2} - 2\det(A) = (\nu \alpha - \varepsilon)^{2} \le \operatorname{tr}(A)^{2}.$

Hence (2.12) follows from Lemma 2.6.

When $\Delta > 0$ (non-perturbation range): $tr(A) \geq 0$ and $det(A) \geq 0$ imply that the matrix A features two real eigenvalues $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Then (2.15) leads to

$$|z|^2 = \nu^2 \alpha^2 + \varepsilon^2 + 2\xi^2 \sigma^2 - \text{tr}(A)^2 + 2\det(A) = 4\xi^2 \sigma^2$$

and (2.13) is proved by using Lemma 2.6. Since $\lambda_2 \leq \operatorname{tr}(A) \leq 2\lambda_2$, one sees immediately that

$$\frac{\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)} \le \lambda_1 = \frac{\det(A)}{\lambda_2} \le \frac{2\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)}$$

and (2.14) follows.

It is noted that when $\Delta \leq 0$, the dissipation can be considered as a perturbation term with respect to the skew symmetric operator. More precisely, the decay is the same as pretending U and D commute, up to a linear correction.

When $\Delta > 0$, this is no longer valid. In the first case, matrix A has conjugate complex eigenvalues. In the latter case, A has real positive eigenvalues and the smallest one monitors the decay estimate. $\Delta = 0$ is the bifurcation point.

For the sake of completeness, we now give the proof of Lemma 2.6.

Proof of Lemma 2.6. Straightforward computations lead to

$$e^{-tA} = Q^* \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t\lambda_1} & z \frac{e^{-t\lambda_1} - e^{-t\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \\ 0 & e^{-t\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix} Q,$$

where

$$\frac{e^{-t\lambda_1} - e^{-t\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = -te^{-t\lambda_2}, \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2.$$

Lemma 2.4 then yields

(2.16)
$$||e^{-tA}||^2 \le \operatorname{tr}(e^{-tA^*}e^{-tA})$$

$$= e^{-2tRe(\lambda_1)} + e^{-2tRe(\lambda_2)} + |z|^2 \left| \frac{e^{-t\lambda_1} - e^{-t\lambda_2}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right|^2.$$

Therefore, if $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| > 0$

$$||e^{-tA}||^2 \le (2 + \frac{|z|^2}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^2})e^{-2tRe(\lambda_1)}$$

which proves part of the lemma.

Now, for $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \ge \Lambda$, where $\Lambda > 0$ will be chosen later,

(2.17)
$$\frac{|e^{-t\lambda_1} - e^{-t\lambda_2}|}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|} \le \frac{2}{\Lambda} e^{-tRe(\lambda_1)};$$

and for $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \le \Lambda$, using $|e^{\zeta} - 1| \le |\zeta| \exp(|\zeta|)$ for any complex number ζ ,

(2.18)
$$|e^{-t\lambda_1} - e^{-t\lambda_2}| = e^{-t\text{Re}(\lambda_1)}|e^{-t(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} - 1| \\ \leq e^{-t\text{Re}(\lambda_1)}t|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|e^{t\Lambda}.$$

Therefore, choosing $\Lambda = \frac{1}{t}$ in (2.17) and (2.18),

$$\frac{|e^{-t\lambda_1} - e^{-t\lambda_2}|}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|} \le Cte^{-tRe(\lambda_1)}.$$

Substituting above into (2.16) completes the proof.

3. Decay rate of linear systems

In subsections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, low-frequency ($|\xi|$ close to 0), high-frequency (large $|\xi|$) and middle range frequency analysis for the linear systems are performed respectively. We will identify systems for which there exist positive

09/16/04

constants β and δ_m such that for any t > 0 and

(3.1)
$$\bullet \text{ for } |\xi| \leq \delta_m, \ \|\exp(-tA)\| \leq C \exp(-\beta t \xi^2),$$
$$\bullet \text{ for } |\xi| > \delta_m, \ \|\exp(-tA)\| \leq C \exp(-\beta t).$$

Here $\|\exp(-tA)\|$ is the norm of the linear operator $\exp(-tA(\xi))$ acting on \mathbb{C}^2 . The generic constants C and β are independent of t and ξ . If $\delta_m = +\infty$ is feasible in (3.1), the system is in the KdV-Burgers class. Otherwise the system is in the BBM-Burgers class. A summary of decay rates for the linear systems is given in subsection 3.4.

Remark 3.1. It is worth to note that (3.1) is sufficient but not necessary for proving the desired decay rate. An example is given in Section 3.3 where (3.1) is not valid but the linear system has the desired decay rate.

3.1. Low frequency analysis. We now prove that for $|\xi| \to 0$, all systems are equivalent. This is to say

Proposition 3.2. There exists positive constants δ_m , β and C depending on the data a, b, c, d and ν , such that for $|\xi| \leq \delta_m$ and for any t > 0,

Consequently, for any initial data Y_0 with $supp(\widehat{Y}_0) \subset [-\delta_m, \delta_m]$,

$$E(t) \le C t^{-1/2} ||Y_0||_{L_x^1}^2$$

Proof. By referring to the definitions of Δ , α and ε , one sees that as $|\xi| \to 0$,

$$\Delta \sim -4\xi^2$$
 and $\operatorname{tr}(A) = \nu\alpha + \varepsilon \sim (\nu + 1)\xi^2$.

Therefore, there exists $\delta_m > 0$ such that for ξ in $[-\delta_m, \delta_m], \Delta \leq 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{\operatorname{tr}(A)}{(\nu+1)\xi^2} \le 2.$$

(3.2) then follows promptly from (2.12).

Now, for any initial data Y_0 with supp $(\hat{Y}_0) \subset [-\delta_m, \delta_m]$,

$$E(t) = \int_{|\xi| \le \delta_m} |\widehat{Y}(t,\xi)|^2 d\xi \le C \int \exp(-2\beta t \xi^2) d\xi (\sup_{\xi} (|\widehat{Y}_0(\xi)|^2))$$

$$\le Ct^{-1/2} ||Y_0||_{L^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2,$$

by using the change of variable $\tau = \sqrt{2\beta t}\xi$.

3.2. **High frequency analysis.** The complete dissipation and the partial dissipation cases have to be studied separately. In the latter case, we will give one example where the decay rate can be arbitrarily small.

Introducing the number

$$\{r\} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } r = 0, \end{cases}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = \{a\} + \{c\} - \{b\} - \{d\}$ and $\operatorname{order}(\varepsilon) = 2 - 2\{d\}$.

3.2.1. The complete dissipation case ($\nu = 1$). It is observed in the following that order(σ) dictates if the system is in the KdV-Burgers class or in the BBM-Burgers class.

Proposition 3.3. Assume $\nu = 1$. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\beta > 0$, such that if $supp(\widehat{Y}_0) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus [-\delta, \delta]$,

(3.3)
$$E(t) \le \exp(-2\beta t) \|Y_0\|_{L_x^2}^2,$$

for any t > 0. In addition,

• if $order(\sigma) \leq 0$, the system is in the BBM-Burgers class. Namely, there exist positive constants δ_M, β and C, such that for $|\xi| > \delta_M$ and t > 0,

$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le Ce^{-\beta t};$$

• if $order(\sigma) \geq 1$, the system is in the KdV-Burgers class. Namely, there exist positive constants δ_M , β and C, such that for $|\xi| > \delta_M$ and t > 0,

$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le Ce^{-\beta\xi^2t}.$$

Proof. ¿From (2.3), one finds that for ξ almost everywhere,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|\widehat{Y}(t,\xi)|^2 + \alpha(\xi)|\widehat{\eta}(t,\xi)|^2 + \varepsilon(\xi)|\widehat{w}(t,\xi)|^2 = 0.$$

This gives directly, by setting $\beta = \min\{\alpha(\delta), \varepsilon(\delta)\}$ which is positive, that (3.3) is valid. Furthermore, $\|\exp(-tA)\| \leq Ce^{-\beta t}$ for $|\xi| > \delta$. To figure out if the system is in the BBM-Burgers or in the KdV-Burgers class, we separate the cases as follows.

- Assume first $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) \geq 1$. Then either d = 0 or b = 0. Without loss of generality, let us assume d = 0.
 - If $\Delta = (\alpha \varepsilon)^2 4\xi^2\sigma^2 > 0$ for $|\xi|$ large enough, then order $(\sigma) = 1$. In that case, there exist $\beta > 0$ and $\delta_M > 0$

$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)} = \frac{\alpha + \sigma^2}{\frac{\alpha}{\xi^2} + 1} \ge 2\beta\xi^2$$

for $|\xi| > \delta_M$. By using (2.13)

$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le C(1+t\xi^2)e^{-2\beta t\xi^2} \le Ce^{-\beta t\xi^2}$$

for $|\xi| > \delta_M$ and the system is in the KdV-Burgers class.

- If $\Delta \leq 0$ for $|\xi|$ large enough, then there exists $\delta_M > 0$ such that for $|\xi| > \delta_M$, $\frac{1}{2}\xi^2 \leq \operatorname{tr}(A) \leq 2\xi^2$, and (2.12) implies that the system is in the KdV-Burgers class.
- Assume now that $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) \leq 0$.
 - If $b \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$ (weakly dispersive systems) then for $|\xi|$ large enough, $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_1) \leq \operatorname{tr}(A) \sim \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{d}$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$. This shows that a damping like $e^{-\beta t \xi^2}$ is unlikely for high frequencies. Therefore the weakly dispersive systems are in the BBM-Burgers class.

- if $(b \neq 0 \text{ and } d = 0)$ or $(b = 0 \text{ and } d \neq 0)$. Without loss of generality, let us consider the case $b \neq 0$ and d = 0. Since $\Delta \sim \xi^4$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, we have

$$\lambda_1 \le \frac{2 \det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)} = \frac{2(\alpha + \sigma^2)}{\frac{\alpha}{\xi^2} + 1} \sim C = O(1)$$

as $|\xi| \to \infty$. This shows that a damping like $e^{-\beta t \xi^2}$ is again unlikely for high frequencies. Therefore the system is in the BBM-Burgers class.

3.2.2. The partial dissipation case ($\nu=0$). We first note that when a system satisfies (C2) hypothesis, $\sigma=0$ and therefore $\lambda_1=0$ at $\xi=a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. But one can always chose δ_M large enough so for $|\xi|>\delta_M$, σ is positive, bounded from below and away from zero. Therefore the point where σ vanishes will be considered in the next subsection. We now prove that in the partial dissipation case, the decay rate is related to $\operatorname{order}(\sigma)$ and the strength of the dissipation which is characterized by $\operatorname{order}(\varepsilon)$.

Proposition 3.4. With $\nu = 0$,

- if $order(\sigma) \geq 2 \frac{1}{2}order(\varepsilon)$, then the system is in the KdV-Burgers class:
- if $|order(\sigma)| < 2 \frac{1}{2}order(\varepsilon)$, then the system is in the BBM-Burgers class.

In above two cases, when \widehat{Y}_0 is supported in $\mathbb{R}\setminus[-\delta_M,\delta_M]$, then for any t>0,

$$E(t) \leq C \exp(-2\beta t) ||Y_0||_{L_x^2}^2$$

• if $order(\sigma) \leq -2 + \frac{1}{2} order(\varepsilon)$, arbitrarily slow decay can occur.

Proof. To begin, one observes that $\Delta = \varepsilon^2 - 4\xi^2\sigma^2$. When $\Delta > 0$, λ_1 satisfies

(3.4)
$$2\lambda_1 = \varepsilon \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{4\xi^2 \sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

which is a direct consequence of (2.8).

- When $order(\varepsilon) = 0$, i.e $d \neq 0$ (and $order(\sigma) \leq 1$):
 - if $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) \ge -1$, and if $\Delta = \varepsilon^2 4\xi^2 \sigma^2 > 0$ for $|\xi|$ large enough, which is possible only for $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = -1$, we have

$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)} \ge C\xi^2\sigma^2 = O(1)$$

as $|\xi| \to \infty$. Then by (2.13) we have

$$||e^{-tA}|| \le C(1+t|\xi|\sigma)e^{-t\lambda_1} \le Ce^{-\beta t}$$

for $|\xi|$ large enough and the system is in the BBM-Burgers class. On the other hand, if $\Delta \leq 0$ for high frequencies, since $tr(A) \sim$

- $\frac{1}{d}$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, then (2.12) implies that the system is in the BBM-Burgers class;
- if order $(\sigma) = -2$, $\Delta \sim \frac{1}{d^2} > 0$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$ and by (3.4), $\lambda_1 \sim C|\xi|^{-2}$, therefore arbitrarily slow decay could occur. An example of such case will be given below.
- When $order(\varepsilon) = 2$ i.e d = 0 (and $order(\sigma) \ge -1$): $\Delta = \xi^2(\xi^2 4\sigma^2)$ has a limit Δ_0 in $[-\infty, +\infty]$ when $|\xi|$ approaches $+\infty$.
 - If Δ_0 is in $(-\infty,0]$, then since $tr(A) = \xi^2$, (2.12) implies that the system is in the KdV-Burgers class. This occurs when $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = 2$ and may occur when $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = 1$.
 - If Δ_0 is in $(0,+\infty]$, then since

$$\frac{2\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)} \ge \lambda_1 \ge \frac{\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)} = \sigma^2,$$

(2.13) implies for any ξ

(3.5)
$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le C\left(1 + |\xi|\sigma\min\left(t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\right)\right)\exp(-t\sigma^2).$$

- * If $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = 1$, then (3.5) implies the system is in the KdV-Burgers class.
- * If $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = 0$, $\frac{|\xi\sigma|}{\sqrt{\Delta}} = 0$ (1) as $|\xi| \to \infty$, the system is in the BBM-Burgers class. And similarly,
- * if $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = -1$, any arbitrarily slow decay could occur.

Example of slow decay: Consider the linearized BBM-BBM system with partial dissipation,

$$\eta_t + u_x - b\eta_{xxt} = 0,$$

$$u_t + \eta_x - du_{xxt} = u_{xx},$$

which has $\operatorname{order}(\varepsilon) = 0$ and $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = -2$. Since as $|\xi| \to +\infty$,

$$\Delta \sim \frac{1}{d} > 0, \quad |z| = 2|\xi|\sigma \sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{bd}} \frac{1}{|\xi|},$$
$$2\lambda_1 = \operatorname{tr}(A) \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{4 \det(A)}{(\operatorname{tr}(A))^2} \right)^{1/2} \right) \sim 2 \frac{\det(A)}{\operatorname{tr}(A)} \sim \frac{2}{d\xi^2}.$$

Therefore

$$||e^{-tA}|| \le C \exp\left(-\frac{\beta t}{\xi^2}\right)$$

which shows that any arbitrary slow decay could occur.

3.3. Middle range frequency analysis. We first note from Lemma 2.7 that to get the optimal decay estimate for the cases where det(A) (and therefore λ_1) has a zero for $|\xi| > 0$, these cases need to be discussed separately. Therefore, we have the following two propositions.

Proposition 3.5. Assume that $\nu = 1$, or that $\nu = 0$ and the dispersive coefficients a, b, c, d satisfy (C1). Then for any δ_m and δ_M , $0 < \delta_m \le \delta_M$, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that for $|\xi| \in [\delta_m, \delta_M]$ and for any t > 0,

Moreover for any \widehat{Y}_0 with support included in $[\delta_m, \delta_M] \cup [-\delta_M, -\delta_m]$,

$$E(t) \le C \exp(-2\beta t) ||Y_0||_{L_x^2}^2$$

Proof. Since tr(A) and det(A) cannot vanish for $|\xi| \in [\delta_m, \delta_M]$ under the assumptions, (3.6) is the direct consequence of (2.12) and (2.13). In addition

$$E(t) \le \sup_{\xi} ||e^{-tA}||^2 ||\widehat{Y_0}||_{L_{\xi}^2}^2 \le C \exp(-2\beta t) ||Y_0||_{L_x^2}^2,$$

which completes the proof of the proposition.

Remark 3.6. By noticing that (3.6) can be replaced by

$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le C \exp(-\beta^* t \xi^2).$$

with $\beta^* = \beta/\delta_M^2$, the middle range frequency analysis and the high frequency analysis can be combined to simplify certain calculations for these systems regardless if they are in BBM-Burgers class or KdV-Burgers class.

Proposition 3.7. Assume that $\nu = 0$ and the dispersive coefficients satisfy (C2). Then for any $0 < \delta_m < \delta_M$ with $r = a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in [\delta_m, \delta_M]$, there exists $\beta > 0$ and C > 0 such that for any $|\xi| \in [\delta_m, \delta_M]$ and for any t > 0,

(3.7)
$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le C\exp\{-\beta t(|\xi| - r)^2)\}.$$

Moreover for any \widehat{Y}_0 with support included in $[\delta_m, \delta_M] \cup [-\delta_M, -\delta_m]$ and for any t > 0,

$$E(t) \le Ct^{-1/2}||Y_0||_{L_x^1}^2$$

Remark 3.8. Proposition 3.7 shows that even when the dichotomy is not valid, the energy could decay as $O(t^{-1/4})$ when t goes to $+\infty$.

Proof. Since $\det(A)$ vanishes at $r = (\sqrt{a})^{-1}$ and, when $|\xi| \to r$, $\Delta \sim \frac{a}{a+d} > 0$, $\lambda_1 \sim \beta \det(A) \sim \beta \sigma^2 \sim \beta (|\xi| - r)^2$. Therefore, from (2.13),

$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le C(1+\min(t,1)\sigma)\exp(-\beta\sigma^2t)$$

as $|\xi|$ in the neighborhood of r. Using the fact that for t>0, $\min(t,1)\leq \sqrt{t}$, so there exists C>0 such that

$$\|\exp(-tA)\| \le C(1+\sqrt{t}\sigma)\exp(-\beta\sigma^2t) \le C\exp(-\frac{\beta}{2}\sigma^2t),$$

we obtain the estimate (3.7) for $|\xi|$ close to r. For other $|\xi|$ in $[\delta_m, \delta_M]$, the same argument in the proof of Proposition 3.5 and Remark 3.6 applies. For the decay rate of E(t), same argument as in the proof of Propositions 3.2 can be used. In fact, $|\xi| - r$ plays the same role as $|\xi|$ in that case.

3.4. **Decay for linear systems.** Since linear system (1.3) defines a semi-group e^{-tA} for $t \geq 0$, that is contracting on $L^2 \times L^2$ in the variable (η, w) , the initial value problem is therefore well-posed and the L^2 norm decays.

Combining the low, middle and high frequency analysis, the decay rate for the linear system (1.3) can be stated as

Theorem 3.9. For systems (1.3) with the dispersive constants a, b, c, d satisfy the constraints (C0)-(C1) or (C0)-(C2), assuming either $\{\nu = 1\}$ or, $\{\nu = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{order}(\sigma) > -2 + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{order}(\varepsilon)\}$, then for any $(\eta_0, Hu_0) = (\eta_0, w_0) \in (L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}))^2$ where $\widehat{H}\widehat{u}_0 = (\frac{(1-a\xi^2)(1+d\xi^2)}{(1-c\xi^2)(1+b\xi^2)})^{\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{u}_0$, there exists a constant C, such that for any t > 0

$$\|(\eta, Hu)\|_{L_x^2} = \|(\eta, w)\|_{L_x^2} \le Ct^{-1/4}.$$

Remark 3.10. This is equivalent to say, with respect to physical variables (η, u) , that for any $(\eta_0, u_0) \in (L_x^2 \cap L_x^1) \times (H_x^h \cap W_x^{h,1})$,

$$\|(\eta, u)\|_{L^2_x \times H^h_x} \le Ct^{-1/4}$$

for any t > 0, where $h = order(\widehat{H}) = \{a\} + \{d\} - \{c\} - \{b\}$.

Proof. Combining the low, middle and high frequency analysis, we have

$$E(t) = E_{low}(t) + E_{middle}(t) + E_{high}(t) \le C(\eta_0, u_0)(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} + e^{-2\beta t})$$

for t > 0 where $C(\eta_0, u_0)$ is a function of the dispersive coefficients and the norms of η_0 and u_0 .

We complete this section by the following

Corollary 3.11. For any dissipation, the classical Boussinesq system, the Bona–Smith system, the coupled KdV–BBM (b = c = 0) system, the BBM–KdV systems (a = d = 0) and the weakly dispersive systems (b > 0 and d > 0) with a < 0 or c < 0 belong to the BBM–Burgers class.

Corollary 3.12. With complete dissipation, the KdV-KdV system (b = d = 0, a = c > 0) belongs to the KdV-Burgers class; the weakly dispersive systems (b > 0 and d > 0) belong to the BBM-Burgers class.

Remark 3.13. When the consideration is restricted to the linear systems, a result similar to Theorem 3.9 (substituting α for ε in the statement) holds when one replaces $(\nu\eta_{xx}, u_{xx})$ by $(\eta_{xx}, \nu u_{xx})$ in the right-hand side of (2.1) since η and u play the same role.

4. Nonlinear Theory

For convenience, we will only consider in this section (i) the complete dissipation and (ii) the partial dissipation with a, b, c, d satisfy the (C1) assumption. The partial dissipation with a, b, c, d satisfy (C2) will be considered elsewhere.

4.1. A general result. Consider an evolution equation that reads

(4.1)
$$v_t + Lv + \partial_x(F(v)) = 0, \quad v(t=0) = v_0$$

where L is a linear unbounded operator with symbol A and F is a nonlinear quadratic operator.

Assuming that L generates a semi-group S(t) on L_x^2 that satisfies the dichotomy assumption (3.1), namely there exist $\beta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ (δ can be $+\infty$) such that for any t > 0 and

(4.2)
$$\bullet \text{ for } |\xi| \le \delta, \ ||S(t)|| \le C \exp(-\beta t \xi^2),$$

$$\bullet \text{ for } |\xi| > \delta, \ ||S(t)|| \le C \exp(-\beta t).$$

In addition,

(4.3)
$$\sup_{t\geq 0} (t^{1/4} ||S(t)v_0||_{L_x^2}) \leq C_1 ||v_0||_{L_x^1 \cap L_x^2} = \overline{C}.$$

Assuming also the nonlinear term F(v) satisfies

(4.4)
$$\sup_{|\xi| \le \delta} |\widehat{F}| + \left(\int_{|\xi| \ge \delta} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{F}|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \le C ||v||_{L_x^2}^2$$

for any t > 0, where $\widehat{F} = \mathcal{F}(F(v))$.

Let us recall that a mild solution to (4.1) is a solution to the integral equation

(4.5)
$$v(t) = S(t)v_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s)\partial_x F(v(s))ds.$$

Under the above assumptions, we may construct a solution to (4.5) by performing a fixed point argument (see [10], [14], [6], [11]) on the space

$$E = \left\{ u: \sup_{t>0} \{t^{1/4} \|u(t)\|_{L_x}\} < \infty \right\},$$

which is a Banach space of functions that are continuous in time with value in L^2 that are $O(t^{-1/4})$ when t goes to $+\infty$. If \overline{C} is small enough, a fixed point argument to the Duhamel's form of the equation in the ball in E centered at origin would provide the solution.

Theorem 4.1. For system (4.1) with assumptions (4.2)-(4.3)-(4.4), there exists a numerical constant C such that for any mild solution to (4.1) starting from v_0 with

$$||v_0||_{L^1_x \cap L^2_x} \le C,$$

then

(4.6)
$$||v(t)||_{L^2} \le O(t^{-1/4}) \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$

Proof. To begin, we first control the low frequency part of the nonlinear term. Let

$$\widehat{N} := \mathcal{F}\left(\int_0^t S(t-s)\partial_x F(v(s))ds\right)$$
$$= i \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \xi \widehat{F}(v(s))ds.$$

Using the first inequality in (4.2) in combination with (4.4), one obtains

$$\left(\int_{|\xi| \le \delta} |\widehat{N}|^2 d\xi\right)^{1/2} \le C \int_0^t \left[\int_{|\xi| \le \delta} \|e^{-(t-s)A}\|^2 \xi^2 |\widehat{F}|^2 d\xi\right]^{1/2} ds$$

$$\le C \int_0^t \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^2 e^{-2\beta(t-s)\xi^2} d\xi\right]^{1/2} \left(\sup_{|\xi| \le \delta} |\widehat{F}|\right) ds$$

$$\le C \int_0^t \frac{\|v(s)\|_{L_x^2}^2}{(t-s)^{3/4}} ds.$$

We now control the high frequency part of the nonlinear term, using the second inequality in (4.2) in combination with (4.4)

(4.8)
$$\left(\int_{|\xi| \ge \delta} |\widehat{N}|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \le C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \left(\int_{|\xi| > \delta} \xi^2 |\widehat{F}|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} ds$$

$$\le C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} ||v(s)||_{L_x^2}^2 ds.$$

Introducing the norm

(4.9)
$$M(t) = \sup_{s \in [0,t]} (s^{1/4} ||v(s)||_{L_x^2}),$$

and if v solves (4.5), then due to (4.7)–(4.8).

$$|t^{1/4}||v(t)||_{L_x^2} \le t^{1/4}||S(t)v_0||_{L_x^2} + t^{1/4}||\hat{N}(t)||_{L_x^2}$$

$$\leq t^{1/4} \|S(t)v_0\|_{L_x^2} + CM(t)^2 \int_0^t \left[\frac{t^{1/4}}{s^{1/2}(t-s)^{3/4}} + \frac{t^{1/4}}{s^{1/2}} \exp(-\beta(t-s)) \right] ds.$$

By applying the change of variable $s = \tau t$ in the integration, one finds

$$\int_0^t \left[\frac{t^{1/4}}{s^{1/2}(t-s)^{3/4}} + \frac{t^{1/4}}{s^{1/2}} \exp(-\beta(t-s)) \right] ds$$

$$\leq C + \int_0^1 \frac{t^{3/4}}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(-\beta t(1-\tau)) d\tau \leq C.$$

Therefore, using the property (4.3), the positive, nondecreasing function M(t) satisfies M(0) = 0 and for any $t \ge 0$,

$$(4.10) C_0 M(t)^2 - M(t) + \overline{C} \ge 0,$$

where C_0 is a positive constant. Choosing \overline{C} such that $C_0x^2 - x + \overline{C} = 0$ has two real roots $0 < r_1 < r_2$, namely choosing $\overline{C} < \frac{1}{4C_0}$, then (4.10) holds only if M(t) is trapped in the interval $[0, r_1]$. Therefore when

$$||v_0||_{L_x^1 \cap L_x^2} \le \frac{\overline{C}}{C_1} < \frac{1}{4C_0C_1}$$

M(t) is bounded and (4.6) is valid.

4.2. Applications to weakly dispersive systems with complete dissipation or partial dissipation. Since b > 0 and d > 0, order $(\sigma) \le 0$ and the corresponding linearized system is in the BBM-Burgers class. From Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, this corresponds to consider *complete dissipation*, or *partial dissipation* together with order $(\sigma) \ge -1$.

Theorem 4.2. Consider a weakly dispersive two-way wave model (b > 0) and (b > 0) with either the complete dissipation or the partial dissipation together with (a < 0) or (a < 0). Then, for small initial data,

• if H is of order 0,

(4.11)
$$\|\eta(t)\|_{L^{2}_{x}}^{2} + \|u(t)\|_{L^{2}_{x}}^{2} \leq O(t^{-1/2});$$

• if H is of order 1,

(4.12)
$$\|\eta(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H_x^1}^2 \le O(t^{-1/2});$$

• if H is of order -1,

(4.13)
$$\|\eta(t)\|_{H_x^1}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \le O(t^{-1/2});$$

as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Note that the theorem is proved after (4.4) is validated and we will do that by discussing the cases according to the order of H.

• If H is of order 0 or 1. Introducing the change of variable

$$v = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\eta}, \widehat{H}\widehat{u}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\eta}, \widehat{w}),$$

the full nonlinear system

(4.14)
$$\eta_t + u_x + au_{xxx} - b\eta_{xxt} + (\eta u)_x = \nu \eta_{xx}, u_t + \eta_x + c\eta_{xxx} - du_{xxt} + uu_x = u_{xx},$$

transforms to

$$v_t + Lv = -\partial_x F(v),$$

where L has symbol $A = \begin{pmatrix} \nu \alpha & i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_1) \xi \sigma \\ i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_2) \xi \sigma & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$ and F reads

$$F(v) = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - b\partial_x^2)^{-1} \eta H^{-1} w \\ \frac{1}{2} H (1 - d\partial_x^2)^{-1} (H^{-1} w)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To check (4.4), it is natural to separate the estimate into two parts.

- Low frequency ($|\xi| \leq \delta$) estimate: Since H^{-1} , which has order 0 or -1, is bounded on L_x^2 , straightforward computations lead to

$$\|\widehat{\eta H^{-1}w}\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}} + \|\widehat{(H^{-1}w)^{2}}\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + \|H^{-1}w\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2})$$

$$\leq C(\|\eta\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} + \|w\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}).$$

Because $(1 - b\partial_x^2)^{-1}$ and $H(1 - d\partial_x^2)^{-1}$ are bounded operators,

$$\sup_{|\xi| \le \delta} |\widehat{F}| \le C ||v||_{L_x^2}^2.$$

- High frequency ($|\xi| > \delta$) estimate: Since $\partial_x (1 - b\partial_x^2)^{-1}$ is a smoothing operator (it is of order -1) and H^{-1} is a bounded operator on L_x^2 ,

$$\|\partial_x (1 - b\partial_x^2)^{-1} (\eta H^{-1} w)\|_{L_x^2} \le C \|\eta H^{-1} w\|_{H_x^{-1}}$$

$$\le C \|\eta\|_{L_x^2} \|H^{-1} w\|_{L_x^2} \le C (\|\eta\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|w\|_{L_x^2}^2),$$

where Lemma 2.2(ii) in [6],

$$||fg||_{H^{-1}} \le C||f||_{L^2}||g||_{L^2}$$

is used. Now, consider the term $\partial_x (H(1-d\partial_x^2)^{-1})((H^{-1}w)^2)$ in F. If H is of order 0, it can be bounded in the same way. If H is of order 1, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x H (1 - d\partial_x^2)^{-1} (H^{-1} w)^2 \|_{L_x^2} &\le C \| (H^{-1} w)^2 \|_{L_x^2} \\ &\le C \| H^{-1} w \|_{H_x^1}^2 \le C \| w \|_{L_x^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.2(iv) in [6]

$$||fg||_{L^0} \le C||f||_{H^1}||g||_{H^1}$$

is used.

Combining the lower frequency and higher frequency analysis, one sees (4.4) is valid and Theorem 4.1 yields the desired result.

• If H is of order -1, introducing the change of variable

(4.15)
$$v = \mathcal{F}^{-1}((H^{-1}\hat{\eta}, \hat{u})).$$

and setting $\hat{\tau} = H^{-1}\hat{\eta}$, the full nonlinear system (4.14) reads

$$v_t + Lv = -\partial_x F(v)$$

where L has symbol $A=\begin{pmatrix} \nu\alpha & i\mathrm{sgn}(\omega_1)\xi\sigma\\ i\mathrm{sgn}(\omega_2)\xi\sigma & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$F(v) = \begin{pmatrix} H^{-1}(1 - b\partial^2 x)^{-1}(uH\tau) \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 - d\partial_x^2)^{-1}(u^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof is then very similar to the previous case and therefore omitted.

Corollary 4.3. For the following two special cases, we have

- solutions to Bona–Smith system (a = 0, b > 0, c < 0 and d > 0) with complete or partial dissipation satisfy (4.13);
- solutions to BBM-BBM system with complete dissipation ($a = c = 0, \nu = 1$) satisfy (4.11).

4.3. Application to KdV-Burgers systems with complete dissipation. Using Proposition 3.3, this implies that $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) \geq 1$, and then that b = 0 and/or d = 0.

First case: Consider the case where b=d=0. The analysis in [6] implies that a=c=1/6, so the system satisfies (C2) assumptions. Since the dichotomy assumption (4.2) was proved in Section 3 and the linearized system is in the KdV-Burgers class, Theorem 4.1 applies when (4.4) with $\delta=+\infty$ is verified.

Let us observe that H=1 and that the full nonlinear system reads

$$v_t + Lv = -\partial_x F(v)$$

where $v=(\eta,u),\,L$ has symbol $A=\begin{pmatrix} \xi^2 & i\mathrm{sgn}(\omega_1)\xi\sigma \\ i\mathrm{sgn}(\omega_2)\xi\sigma & \xi^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$F(v) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta u \\ \frac{u^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$||F(v)||_{L_x^1} \le C(||\eta||_{L_x^2}^2 + ||u||_{L_x^2}^2),$$

(4.4) with $\delta = \infty$ is a direct consequence.

Second case: Consider the case where b > 0 and d = 0. Due to (C0), $c \ge 0$. Since $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) \ge 1$, the system must have a = c > 0. Therefore $\operatorname{order}(H) = -1$. Introducing the change of variable (4.15) the system reads as (4.3) with the following nonlinearity

$$F(v) = \begin{pmatrix} H^{-1}(1 - b\partial^2 x)^{-1}(uH\tau) \\ \frac{u^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4.4) with $\delta = \infty$ is valid and the proof is straightforward and then omitted. Third case: Consider the case where b = 0 and d > 0. Since $\operatorname{order}(\sigma) = 1$, then a and c can not vanish and $\operatorname{order}(H) = 1$. In this case, with the change of variable (4.2), the system reads as (4.3) with F being

$$F(v) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta H^{-1} w \\ \frac{1}{2} H (1 - d\partial_x^2)^{-1} (H^{-1} w)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(4.4) with $\delta = \infty$ is again valid and the proof is straightforward and then omitted.

Therefore we can state

Theorem 4.4. Consider a KdV-Burgers system with complete dissipation. Then for small initial data,

• if H is of order 0,

(4.16)
$$\|\eta(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \le O(t^{-1/2});$$

• if H is of order 1,

(4.17)
$$\|\eta(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H_x^1}^2 \le O(t^{-1/2});$$

• if H is of order -1,

(4.18)
$$\|\eta(t)\|_{H_x^1}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \le O(t^{-1/2});$$

as $t \to \infty$.

Remark 4.5. This is not surprising for KdV-KdV system (b = d = 0) since if we introduce the new variables $\eta = w^1 + w^2$ and $u = w^1 - w^2$, then (4.14) reads as a system of two linear KdV-Burgers systems (weakly) coupled through nonlinear terms. See Section 2.3 in [6].

4.4. Other cases. In some other cases, the method presented here does not work straightforwardly. As pointed out in the Introduction, other methods exist which might enable the analysis to go further. These methods could also be helpful to extend our local results to global ones.

5. The
$$L_x^{\infty}$$
-decay rate

First, we observe that for the cases of weakly dispersive wave equations and KdV-KdV system, the nonlinear terms satisfy

(5.1)
$$\sup_{|\xi| \le \delta} |\xi \widehat{F}| + \left(\int_{|\xi| > \delta} |\xi|^4 |\widehat{F}|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \le C \|v\|_{L_x^2} \|v_x\|_{L_x^2}.$$

We now estimate the decay rate of $\partial_x v(t)$ in L_x^2 when v solves (4.5). To begin, we differentiate (4.5) with respect to x and treat the nonlinear term of the resulting equation with a procedure similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 4.1, but using (5.1) instead of (4.4).

We first note that for low frequencies,

$$\left(\int_{|\xi| \le \delta} \xi^2 |\widehat{N}|^2 d\xi\right)^{1/2} \le C \int_0^t \frac{\|v(s)\|_{L_x^2} \|v_x(s)\|_{L_x^2}}{(t-s)^{3/4}} ds$$

and for high frequencies

$$\left(\int_{|\xi| \ge \delta} \xi^2 |\widehat{N}|^2 d\xi\right)^{1/2} \le C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} ||v||_{L_x^2} ||v_x||_{L_x^2} ds.$$

We now consider the linear part. For v_0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the linear term can be estimated by splitting the region of integration into low frequencies

and high frequencies. Using (4.2), we have

$$\|\partial_x S(t)v_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 \le C \left[\left(\int \xi^2 e^{-\beta \xi^2 t} d\xi \right) \|v_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 + e^{-2\beta t} \|\partial_x v_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right]$$

$$\le \frac{C}{t^{3/2}} \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + Ce^{-2\beta t} \|v_0\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Therefore, the linear part behaves like $O(t^{-3/4})$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $||v||_{L^2}$ is $O(t^{-1/4})$ as $t \to \infty$, we have

$$\|\partial_x v(t)\|_{L_x^2} \le C(v_0)t^{-3/4} + C \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left(s^{1/4} \|v(s)\|_{L^2} \right)$$

$$\times \int_0^t \frac{\|v_x(s)\|_{L_x^2}}{(t-s)^{3/4}} \frac{1}{s^{1/4}} + \frac{e^{-\beta(t-s)}}{s^{1/4}} \|v_x(s)\|_{L_x^2} ds.$$

Simple calculations show

$$\int_0^t \frac{ds}{s^{1/4}(t-s)^{3/4}} + \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\beta(t-s)}}{s^{1/4}} ds \le C.$$

Therefore

(5.2)
$$\|\partial_x v(t)\|_{L_x^2} \le C(v_0)t^{-3/4} + C \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|\partial_x v(s)\|_{L_x^2} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} (s^{1/4} \|v(s)\|_{L^2})$$

$$\le C(v_0)t^{-3/4} + C_2 M(t) \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|\partial_x v(s)\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Since M(t), that is defined in (4.9), is bounded by the first root r_1 of

$$C_0 x^2 - x + \overline{C} = 0,$$

and $r_1 \sim \overline{C}$ as $\overline{C} \to 0$ (since $\frac{1}{C_0}(1 - (1 - 4C_0\overline{C})^{1/2}) \sim 2\overline{C}$ as $\overline{C} \to 0$). Therefore, when \overline{C} small enough, $M(t) \leq 2\overline{C}$. Hence by choosing \overline{C} such that

$$(5.3) 2C_2\overline{C} \le \frac{1}{2},$$

(5.2) leads to

(5.4)
$$\|\partial_x v(t)\|_{L_x^2} \le 2C(v_0)t^{-3/4}$$

and we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. For system (4.1) with assumptions (4.2)-(4.3)-(4.4), assume v_0 is in $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|v_0\|_{L^1 \cap L^2}$ is small enough. Then

$$||v||_{L_x^{\infty}} \le O(t^{-1/2})$$

 $as t \to \infty$.

Proof. Using (5.4) and (4.6) together with

$$||v||_{L_x^{\infty}} \le ||v||_{L_x^2}^{1/2} ||\partial_x v||_{L_x^2}^{1/2}$$

yields the desired result.

6. Numerical Result

Numerical simulations are performed on several systems and results on BBM-BBM and Bona-Smith systems with complete or partial dissipations are reported here. The results show not only that the theoretical results on the decay rates are sharp, but also the constants involved are reasonably sized.

In these numerical computations, the initial data are taken to be

$$\eta_0 = \operatorname{sech}^2(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(x - x_0)),
u_0 = \eta_0 - \eta_0^2 / 4,$$

where x_0 is in the spatial domain [0, L], where L is taken to be large enough so the solution near the boundary is smaller than the machine roundoff error during the whole computation. The spectral method is used on the spatial domain [0, L] and the leap-frog algorithm is used on the time advancing. The decay rate r and the constant C in

$$||v|| \sim Ct^{-r}$$
, as $t \to \infty$

is calculated by first computing

$$r(t_n) := -\frac{\log \frac{\|v\|(t_n)}{\|v\|(t_{n-1})}}{\log \frac{t_n}{t_{n-1}}}.$$

The computation is stopped when $r(t_n)$ is approaching to a constant and the value r is obtained by averaging the last 5 data. The constant C is then computed by averaging the last five $||v||(t_n)t_n^r$.

In the computations reported below, L = 320, dx = 0.1 and dt = 0.05, where dx and dt are the meshsize in space and time respectively.

BBM-BBM system (a = c = 0, b = d = 1/6) with complete dissipation. It is shown in Theorem 4.2 and 5.1 that for *small data*,

$$||v||_{L^2} \le C_1 t^{-1/4}$$
 and $||v||_{L^{\infty}} \le C_2 t^{-1/2}$.

The numerical computation is performed for time interval [0, 50], and the result shows

$$||v||_{L^2} \sim 1.4232t^{-0.2470}$$
 and $||v||_{L^\infty} \sim 1.4989t^{-0.4963}$.

Therefore, it is clear that the theoretical result is sharp and the constants involved are not large. Moreover, it seems that the small data requirement might be removed if, for example, other methods were employed.

Bona-Smith system (a = 0, b = -c = d = 1/3) with complete and partial dissipation. This case is again covered by Theorem 4.2 and 5.1. By direct computation, we obtain for complete dissipation,

$$||v||_{L^2} \sim 1.4015t^{-0.2477}, \quad ||v||_{L^\infty} \sim 1.4466t^{-0.4998},$$

and for partial dissipation

$$||v||_{L^2} \sim 0.6676t^{-0.2519}, \quad ||v||_{L^\infty} \sim 0.6595t^{-0.5105}.$$

Acknowledgment

Part of this work was performed when the second author was enjoying the hospitality of the mathematics department in Purdue University.

References

- [1] C. J. AMICK, Regularity and uniqueness of solutions to the Boussinesq system of equations, J. Diff. Eq., 54 (1984), pp. 231–247.
- [2] C. J. AMICK, J. L. BONA, AND M. E. SCHONBEK, Decay of solutions of some non-linear wave equations, J. Differential Equations, 81 (1989), pp. 1–49.
- [3] J. T. Beale, T. Y. Hou, and J. Lowengrub, Convergence of boundary integral methods for water waves, SIAM J. Numer. Anal, 33 (1996), pp. 1797–1843.
- [4] J. L. Bona and M. Chen, A Boussinesq system for two-way propagation of nonlinear dispersive waves, Physica D, 116 (1998), pp. 191–224.
- [5] J. L. Bona, M. Chen, and J.-C. Saut, Boussinesq equations and other systems for small-amplitude long waves in nonlinear dispersive media I: Derivation and the linear theory, J. Nonlinear Sci., 12 (2002), pp. 283–318.
- [6] ——, Boussinesq equations and other systems for small-amplitude long waves in nonlinear dispersive media II: Nonlinear theory, Nonlinearity, 17 (2004), pp. 925 – 952.
- [7] J. L. Bona, W. G. Pritchard, and L. R. Scott, An evaluation of a model equation for water waves, Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London, Ser. A, 302 (1981), pp. 457–510.
- [8] J. L. Bona and R. Smith, A model for the two-way propagation of water waves in a channel, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 79 (1976), pp. 167–182.
- [9] J. V. BOUSSINESQ, Théorie de l'intumescence liquide appelée onde solitaire ou de translation se propageant dans un canal rectangulaire, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 72 (1871), pp. 755–759.
- [10] T. CAZENAVE AND A. HARAUX, An introduction to semilinear evolution equations, vol. 13 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. Translated from the 1990 French original by Yvan Martel and revised by the authors.
- [11] T. CAZENAVE AND F. B. WEISSLER, Scattering theory and self-similar solutions for the nonlinear Schrdinger equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 31 (2000), pp. 625–650.
- [12] C.C.Mei and L.F.Liu, The damping of surface gravity waves in a bounded liquid, J. Fluid Mech., 59 (1973), pp. 239–256.
- [13] D. T. FINKBEINER, II, Introduction to matrices and linear transformations, Second edition, W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1966.
- [14] G. KARCH, Large-time behaviour of solutions to non-linear wave equations: higherorder asymptotics, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 22 (1999), pp. 1671–1697.
- [15] G. KARCH, Long-time asymptotics of solutions to some nonlinear wave equations, in Evolution equations: existence, regularity and singularities (Warsaw, 1998), vol. 52 of Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2000, pp. 133–146.
- [16] J. J. MAHONY AND W. G. PRITCHARD, Wave reflexion from beaches, J. Fluid Mech., 101 (1980), pp. 809–832.
- [17] J. W. MILES, Surface-wave damping in a closed basin, Proc. Royal Soc. London A, 297 (1967), pp. 459–475.
- [18] D. H. Peregrine, Equations for water waves and the approximation behind them, in Waves on beaches and resulting sediment transport; proceedings of an advanced

- seminar conducted by the Mathematics Research Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Academic Press: New York, 1972, pp. 95–121.
- [19] S. RAJOPADHYE, M. SCHONBEK, AND M. WIEGNER, Asymptotic decay for a generalized boussinesq system, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 11 (1999), pp. 595–623.
- [20] M. E. Schonbek, Existence of solutions for the Boussinesq system of equations, J. Diff. Eq., 42 (1981), pp. 325–352.
- [21] C. J. Tang, V. C. Patel, and L. Landweber, Viscous effects on propagation and reflection of solitary waves in shallow channels, J. Comput. Phys., 88 (1990), pp. 86–113.
- [22] M. H. TENG AND T. Y. Wu, A bidirectional long-wave model, Methods Appl. Anal., 1 (1994), pp. 108–117.
- [23] G. B. WHITHAM, Linear and nonlinear waves, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1999. Reprint of the 1974 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.