

## Weak Gibbs property and system of numeration Eric Olivier, Alain Thomas

### ▶ To cite this version:

Eric Olivier, Alain Thomas. Weak Gibbs property and system of numeration. 2006. hal-00087677

## HAL Id: hal-00087677 https://hal.science/hal-00087677

Preprint submitted on 27 Jul 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### Weak Gibbs property and systems of numeration

par ÉRIC OLIVIER et ALAIN THOMAS

RÉSUMÉ. Nous étudions les propriétés d'autosimilarité et la nature gibbsienne de certaines mesures definies sur l'espace produit  $\Omega_r := \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Cet espace peut être identifié à l'intervalle [0, 1] au moyen de la numération en base r. Le dernier paragraphe concerne la convolution de Bernoulli en base  $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , appelée mesure de Erdős, et son analogue en base  $-\beta = -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , que nous étudions au moyen d'un système de numeration approprié.

ABSTRACT. We study the selfsimilarity and the Gibbs properties of several measures defined on the product space  $\Omega_r := \{0, 1, \ldots, r-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . This space can be identified with the interval [0, 1] by means of the numeration in base r. The last section is devoted to the Bernoulli convolution in base  $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , called the Erdős measure, and its analogue in base  $-\beta = -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , that we study by means of a suitable system of numeration.

**Key-words:** Weak Gibbs measures, Bernoulli convolutions,  $\beta$ -numeration, Ostrowski numeration, infinite products of matrices.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 28A12, 11A55, 11A63, 11A67, 15A48.

#### 1. Introduction

One calls the Bernoulli convolution associated with the base  $\beta > 1$  and the parameter vector  $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, \ldots, p_{s-1})$ , the infinite product of the Dirac measures  $p_0 \delta_{\frac{0}{\beta^n}} + \cdots + p_{s-1} \delta_{\frac{s-1}{\beta^n}}$  for  $n \ge 1$  (see [5, 19, 12, 13]). In other words, it is the distribution measure of the random variable defined by

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\omega_n}{\beta^n}$$

when  $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a Bernoulli distribution such that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$P(\omega_n = 0) = p_0, \dots, P(\omega_n = s - 1) = p_{s-1}.$$

The Bernoulli convolution associated with  $\beta$  and **p** is the unique measure  $\mu$  with bounded support that satisfies the self-similarity relation ([17]):

$$\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p_i \cdot \mu \circ S_i^{-1},$$

where the affine contractions  $S_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are defined by  $S_i(x) := \frac{x+i}{\beta}$ . The measure  $\mu$  is purely singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure when **p** is uniform and  $\beta$  a Pisot number, that is, the conjuguates of  $\beta$  have modulus less than 1. The problem to know if  $\mu$  has the weak Gibbs property in the sense of Yuri [21] is not simple; it is solved in case  $\beta$  is a multinacci number ([6, 13]), but more complicated for other Pisot numbers of degree at least 3 (for instance in [13, Example 2.4], computing the values of the Bernoulli convolution in case  $\beta^3 = 3\beta^2 - 1$  requires matrices of order 8).

Section 2 recalls the definition of the weak Gibbs property, and its link with the notions of Bernoulli or Markov measure.

Section 3 is devoted to some results of Mukherjea, Nakassis and Ratti about products of i. i. d. random stochastic matrices, that we present in a slighty different way (Proposition 3.1). They have computed the density of the limit distribution, in case this distribution is the Bernoulli convolution in base  $\beta = \sqrt[m]{r}$  with parameters  $p_0 = \cdots = p_{r-1} = \frac{1}{r}$ .

The framework is different in the sections 5 to 7; we define a measure on  $\Omega_r := \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by giving its values on the cylinders of  $\Omega_r$ , under the form of products of  $2 \times 2$  matrices and vectors. Theorem 6.1 gives a condition for such a measure, to be related to a Bernoulli convolution, via the representation of the reals in the integral base r. Establishing the weak Gibbs property requires the convergence of the involved product of matrices and vectors in the projective space of dimension 2. It is proved in [6] that the uniform Bernoulli convolution in base  $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  is weak Gibbs; here, Section 7 give analogue result in the base  $-\beta = -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

#### 2. Weak Gibbs measures

One says that the probability measure  $\mu$  on the product space  $\Omega_r = \{0, 1, \ldots, r-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  has the weak Gibbs property if there exists a map  $\phi : \Omega_r \to \mathbb{R}$ , continuous for the product topology on  $\Omega_r$ , such that

(1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\mu[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]}{e^{\phi(\omega)} e^{\phi(\sigma^{\omega})} \dots e^{\phi(\sigma^{n-1}\omega)}} \right)^{1/n} = 1 \quad \text{uniformly on } \omega \in \Omega_r$$

(where  $\sigma$  is the shift on  $\Omega_r$ , and  $[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]$  is the cylinder of order n around  $\omega$  that is, the set of the  $\omega' \in \Omega_r$  such that  $\omega'_i = \omega_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). If (1) holds,  $\phi$  is called a potential of  $\mu$ .

Equivalently,  $\mu$  has the weak Gibbs property if and only if the measure of any cylinder  $[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]$  can be approached by a product in the following way: there exists a continuous map  $\varphi : \Omega_r \to ]0, +\infty[$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall n \ge N \ \forall \omega \in \Omega_r \\ (\varphi(\omega) - \varepsilon) \dots (\varphi(\sigma^{n-1}\omega) - \varepsilon) \le \mu[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n] \le (\varphi(\omega) + \varepsilon) \dots (\varphi(\sigma^{n-1}\omega) + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

In case  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant, the following theorem gives an equivalent definition (see [8], [20], [15]), which involves the map  $\phi_{\mu}$  defined as follows:

(2) 
$$\phi_{\mu}(\omega) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \log \frac{\mu[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]}{\mu[\omega_2 \dots \omega_n]}$$

at each point  $\omega \in \Omega_r$  such that this limit exists.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -invariant probability measure on  $\Omega_r$ , and  $\phi: \Omega_r \to \mathbb{R}$  a continuous map. The following assertions are equivalent:

(i)  $\mu$  is a weak Gibbs measure of potential  $\phi$  and, for any  $\omega \in \Omega_r$ ,

$$\sum_{a=0}^{n} e^{\phi(a\omega)} = 1;$$
(ii)  $\phi_{\mu}(\omega)$  exists for any  $\omega \in \Omega_r$ , and  $\phi_{\mu} = \phi;$ 
(iii)  $\mu$  has entropy  $h_{\mu} = -\mu(\phi)$  and, for any  $\omega \in \Omega_r$ ,  $\sum_{a=0}^{r-1} e^{\phi(a\omega)} = 1.$ 

This theorem can be used to prove that a  $\sigma$ -invariant probability measure has the weak Gibbs property, by using the implication  $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Now for any probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega_r$ , not necessarily  $\sigma$ -invariant, the following implication is straightforward (see [13]):

**Proposition 2.2.** If  $\phi_{\mu}$  is defined and continuous on  $\Omega_r$ , then  $\mu$  is a weak Gibbs measure of potential  $\phi_{\mu}$ .

The two following examples show that the Bernoulli and the Markovian measures are weak Gibbs. The third is a counterexample: the potential of the weak Gibbs measure  $\mu_3$  is not  $\phi_{\mu_3}$ .

**Example.** If  $\mu_1$  is a Bernoulli measure with support  $\Omega_r$ , then  $\phi_{\mu_1}$  is the continuous map such that  $\phi_{\mu_1}(\omega) = \log \mu_1[\omega_1]$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega_r$ .

**Example.** If  $\mu_2$  is a Markov measure with support  $\Omega_r$ , then  $\phi_{\mu_2}$  is the continuous map such that  $\phi_{\mu_2}(\omega) = \log \frac{\mu_2[\omega_1 \omega_2]}{\mu_2[\omega_2]}$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega_r$ .

**Example.** (see [12]) Let the probability measure  $\mu_3$  be defined on  $\Omega_r$  by

$$\mu_3[\omega_1\dots\omega_n] := \frac{1}{2\cdot(2r)^n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1' & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} \omega_n' & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\omega'_i = 1 + \frac{2\omega_i}{r-1}$ . Then  $\mu_3$  is weak Gibbs of potential  $\phi : \omega \mapsto \log \frac{\omega'_1}{2r}$ , although  $\phi_{\mu_3}$  is discontinuous at any point  $\omega$  such that the series  $S_{\omega} := \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{\omega'_1 \dots \omega'_n}$  converges:

$$\phi_{\mu_3}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \log \frac{1}{2r} + \log(1 + \frac{1}{S_\omega}) & \text{if } S_\omega < \infty\\ \log \frac{1}{2r} & \text{if } S_\omega = \infty. \end{cases}$$

The notion of weak Gibbs measure generalize the one of Gibbs measure (see for instance [1]). Let us generalize in the same way the notion of quasi-Bernoulli measure (see [3], [7]), and say that  $\mu$  is weakly quasi-Bernoulli if it satisfies the following condition:

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\mu[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]}{\mu[\omega_1 \dots \omega_i] \mu[\omega_{i+1} \dots \omega_n]} \right)^{1/n} = 1 \quad \text{uniformly on } \omega \in \Omega_r \text{ and } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$ 

Then one has the following

**Proposition 2.3.** If a probability measure on  $\Omega_r$  has the weak Gibbs property, it satisfies (3).

This proposition is straightforward, but can be used to prove that a probability measure do not have the weak Gibbs property:

**Example.** Let  $\mu_4$  be defined on  $\Omega_2$  by

$$\mu_4[\omega_1\dots\omega_n] := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} M_{\omega_1}\dots M_{\omega_n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $M_0 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M_1 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is not weak Gibbs because  $\left(\frac{\mu_4[1^n 0^n]}{\mu_4[1^n]\mu_4[0^n]}\right)^{1/n}$  do not converge to 1.

One can ask if the converse of Proposition 2.3 true, or if the condition (3) imply that  $\mu$  is weak Bernoulli in the sense of Bowen [2].

#### 3. Products of stochastic matrices

We consider a finite set of stochastic 2×2 matrices, let  $M_k = \begin{pmatrix} x_k & 1-x_k \\ y_k & 1-y_k \end{pmatrix}$ for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ , where  $x_k, y_k \in [0, 1]$ . We suppose the  $M_k$  are different from  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

A. Mukherjea and al. have studied in [11] and [10] the distribution of the random matrice  $\Omega_r \ni \omega \mapsto M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n}$  when the distribution of  $\omega$  is Bernoulli with positive parameters  $p_0, \dots p_{r-1}$ . This distribution converges when  $n \to \infty$ , though the matrix  $M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n}$  itself do not converge (that is, its entries are – in much cases – divergent sequences). But we shall prove the convergence of the matrix  $M_{\omega_n} \dots M_{\omega_1}$  (which has of course the same distribution as  $M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n}$  when the distribution of  $\omega$  is Bernoulli).

**Proposition 3.1.** The product matrix  $P_n^{\omega} := M_{\omega_n} \dots M_{\omega_1}$  converges uniformly on  $\omega \in \Omega_r$  to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} x^{\omega} & 1-x^{\omega} \\ x^{\omega} & 1-x^{\omega} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $x^{\omega} := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_{\omega_i} \det P_{i-1}^{\omega}$  and -by convention  $-P_0^{\omega}$  is the unit-matrix.

*Proof.* Setting  $x_n^{\omega} := y_n^{\omega} + \det P_n^{\omega}$  with  $y_n^{\omega} := \sum_{i=1}^n y_{\omega_i} \det P_{i-1}^{\omega}$  one check easily by induction that

$$P_n^{\omega} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} x_n^{\omega} & 1 - x_n^{\omega} \\ y_n^{\omega} & 1 - y_n^{\omega} \end{array}\right).$$

The uniform convergence of the sequences  $x_n^{\omega}$  and  $y_n^{\omega}$  is due to the fact that each matrix  $M_k$  has – from the hypotheses – a determinant less than 1 in absolute value.

**Theorem 3.2.** ([11, Section 2]) The distribution of  $\omega \mapsto x^{\omega}$  is \_ discrete if at least one of the matrices  $M_k$  is non invertible; \_ singular continuous if the product  $\left(\frac{|\det M_0|}{p_0}\right)^{p_0} \dots \left(\frac{|\det M_{r-1}|}{p_{r-1}}\right)^{p_{r-1}}$  belongs to ]0,1] and at least one of its factors is different from 1.

Selfsimilarity relation: The random variable  $\omega \mapsto x^{\omega}$  takes its values in [0,1] because  $\begin{pmatrix} x^{\omega} & 1-x^{\omega} \\ x^{\omega} & 1-x^{\omega} \end{pmatrix}$  is the limit of nonnegative matrices. Let  $\lambda$  be the probability distribution of  $\omega \mapsto x^{\omega}$ . If all the matrices  $M_k$  are invertible, then  $\lambda$  is selfsimilar in the sense that, for any borelian  $B \subset [0,1]$ ,

$$\lambda(B) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} p_k \,\lambda\left(\frac{B - y_k}{x_k - y_k}\right)$$

(see [11, equation (2.6)] for the proof).

Let us represent, for instance in the case r = 2 with  $(x_0 - y_0)(x_1 - y_1) < 0$ , the two maps  $x \mapsto \frac{x - y_k}{x_k - y_k}$  involved in the selfsimilarity relation:



**Example.** The probability distribution  $\lambda$  of  $\omega \mapsto x^{\omega}$  is related to the numeration in a given base  $\beta > 1$  if we suppose that  $x_k = y_k + \frac{1}{\beta}$  and that  $y_0, \ldots, y_{r-1}$  are in arithmetic progression. Since we want that  $x_k$  and  $y_k$  belong to [0, 1], the good choice is

$$y_k = \frac{k}{r-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \right)$$
 for  $k = 0, \dots, r-1$ .

Then  $x_{\omega} = \frac{\beta-1}{r-1} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\omega_n}{\beta^n}$  and  $\lambda \left(\frac{\beta-1}{r-1}\right)$  is the convolution of the measures  $p_0 \delta_{\frac{0}{\beta^n}} + \dots + p_{r-1} \delta_{\frac{r-1}{\beta^n}}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ 

In case  $\beta = \sqrt[m]{r}$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , if the distribution is uniform  $(p_0 = \cdots = p_{r-1} = \frac{1}{r})$ , it is proved in [11, Proposition 1] that the density of the (absolutely continuous) distribution of  $\omega \mapsto x^{\omega}$  is a piece-wise polynomial of degree at most m.

# 4. Uniform convergence (in direction) of the sequence of vectors $n \mapsto M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} V$

In this section  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_0, \ldots, M_{r-1}\}$  is a finite set of  $2 \times 2$  matrices, where each matrix  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$  has nonnegative entries and each of the columns  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix}$  is distinct from  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . One denotes by  $\mathcal{M}^2$  the set of matrices MM' for M and M' in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_1$  the set of matrices  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  with a = 0;  $\mathcal{M}_2$  the set of matrices in  $M \in \mathcal{M}^2$  with b = 0;  $\mathcal{M}_3$  the set of matrices in  $M \in \mathcal{M}^2$  with c = 0;  $\mathcal{M}_4$  the set of matrices in  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  with d = 0. **Proposition 4.1.** ([12, theorem A]) Let  $V = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$  be a column matrix with positive entries. The sequence  $n \mapsto \frac{M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} V}{\|M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} V\|}$  converges uniformly on  $\omega \in \Omega_r$  if and only if at least one of the following conditions holds:

(i)  $\exists M \in \mathcal{M}_2$  such that a > d and  $\exists M \in \mathcal{M}_3$  such that a < d and  $\mathcal{M}_2 \cap \mathcal{M}_3 = \emptyset$ 

(ii)  $\exists M \in \mathcal{M}_2$  such that  $a \leq d$ , and  $\exists M \in \mathcal{M}_3$  such that  $a \geq d$ (iii)  $\exists M \in \mathcal{M}_2$  such that  $a \leq d$  and  $\exists M \in \mathcal{M}_3$  such that a < d and  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \emptyset$ 

(iv)  $\not\exists M \in \mathcal{M}_2$  such that a > d and  $\not\exists M \in \mathcal{M}_3$  such that  $a \ge d$  and  $\mathcal{M}_4 = \emptyset$ 

(v) V is an eigenvector of all the matrices in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

#### 5. Application to the measures defined by products of matrices

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{M_0, \dots, M_{r-1}\}$  be a finite set of  $2 \times 2$  matrices whose columns are distinct from  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and let L (resp. V) be a positive row matrix (resp., a positive column matrix). If V is an eigenvector of  $\sum_i M_i$  for the eigenvalue 1, one can define some measure  $\eta$  on  $\Omega_r$  by setting

$$\eta[\omega_1\dots\omega_n] = LM_{\omega_1}\dots M_{\omega_n}V.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** The map  $\phi_n$  defined in (2), exists and is continuous if and only if  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies at least one of the above conditions  $(i), \ldots, (v)$ , or the following:

(vi) L is an eigenvector of all the matrices in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* The map  $\phi_{\eta}$  is related to the map  $\psi_{\mathcal{M}} : \omega \mapsto \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} V}{\|M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} V\|}$ . Indeed

$$\phi_{\eta}(\omega) = \frac{LM_{\omega_1}\psi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \sigma(\omega)}{L\psi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \sigma(\omega)}$$

for any  $\omega \in \Omega_r$  such that  $\psi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \sigma(\omega)$  exists. Moreover if (vi) does not hold, the domains of definition of  $\phi_{\eta}$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \sigma$  are the same.

Now this proposition gives a sufficient condition for  $\eta$  to have the weak Gibbs property (by using Proposition 2.2). This condition is of course not necessary (see Example 1.5).

6. Measures associated with the numeration in integral base r.

Let the map  $X_{q,r}: \Omega_q \mapsto \left[0, \frac{q-1}{r-1}\right]$  be defined by  $X_{q,r}(\omega) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\omega_n}{r^n}.$ 

In particular  $X_{r,r}$  is one-to-one except on a countable set because, if  $\omega$  is not eventually r-1, the real  $X_{r,r}(\omega)$  has expansion  $\omega$  in base r. In the present section we identify the set of sequences  $\Omega_r$  with the interval [0, 1], by means the map  $X_{r,r}$ .

The following theorem gives a condition for a measure defined by products of  $2 \times 2$  matrices, to be related to some Bernoulli convolution in base r:

**Theorem 6.1.** ([12], Theorem 4.25) Let  $\nu$  be a  $\sigma$ -invariant probability measure on  $\Omega_r$ ; the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) there exists a nonnegative row matrix L, a column matrix V and some square matrices  $M_0, \ldots, M_{r-1}$  such that

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega_r, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \nu[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n] = LM_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n}V,$$

where the matrices  $M_k = \begin{pmatrix} a_k & b_k \\ c_k & d_k \end{pmatrix}$  satisfy the conditions

$$b_0 = 0 \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} a_k \\ c_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} b_{k+1} \\ d_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } 0 \le k \le r-2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} d_0 \\ b_0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } k = r-1 \end{cases}$$

(ii) there exists a nonnegative parameter vector  $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, \ldots, p_{2r-2})$  such that  $\nu$  is the probability distribution  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}$  of the fractional part of  $X_{2r-1,r}(\omega)$ , when  $\omega \in \Omega_{2r-1}$  has a Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $\mathbf{p}$ .

The relations between the matrices  $M_k$  and the parameter **p** are

$$p_0 = a_0, \dots, p_{r-1} = a_{r-1}, p_r = c_0, \dots, p_{2r-2} = c_{r-2}$$

and thus  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}$  is weak Gibbs from Proposition 5.1 in certain cases, for instance if the  $p_k$  are positive.

Selfimilarity relation Let  $\mu_{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}$  be the probability distributions of  $X_{2r-1,r}$  and the fractionnal part of  $X_{2r-1,r}$ , respectively. Their respective supports are [0, 2] and [0, 1] and, for any borelian  $B \subset [0, 1]$ ,

$$\nu_{\mathbf{p}}(B) = \mu_{\mathbf{p}}(B) + \mu_{\mathbf{p}}(B+1).$$

Theorem 6.1 is a consequence of the selfsimilarity relation

(4) 
$$\mu_{\mathbf{p}}(B) = \sum_{k=0}^{2(r-1)} p_k \ \mu_{\mathbf{p}}(rB - k)$$

8

which allows to compute the column matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\mathbf{p}}(B) \\ \mu_{\mathbf{p}}(B+1) \end{pmatrix}$ . The measure  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}$  has support [0, 1], while the measure  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}^*$  defined for any

borelian  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$\nu_{\mathbf{p}}^*(B) = \mu_{\mathbf{p}}(B) + \mu_{\mathbf{p}}(B+1)$$

has support [-1,2], and coincide with  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}$  on [0,1]. The selfsimilarity relation for  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}^*$  can be deduced from (4):

(5) 
$$\nu_{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(B) = \sum_{k=-(r-1)}^{2(r-1)} p_{k}^{*} \nu_{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(rB-k)$$

where  $p_k^* = \sum_{j\geq 0} (p_{k+2j} - p_{k+2j+1} + p_{k+2j+b} - p_{k+2j+b+1})$ . Both measures  $\mu_{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\nu_{\mathbf{p}}^*$  are Bernoulli convolutions: they are – respectively – the infinite product of the measures  $p_0 \delta_{\frac{0}{r^n}} + \cdots + p_{2r-2} \delta_{\frac{2r-2}{r^n}}$  and the one of the measures  $p_{-r+1}^* \delta_{\frac{-r+1}{r^n}} + \dots + p_{2r-2}^* \delta_{\frac{2r-2}{r^n}}$ , for  $n \ge 1$ .

We represent below the maps  $x \mapsto rx - k$  involved in (4) and (5), in the case r = 4:



We consider in this section the measures  $\mu$  and  $\mu_{\star}$  which are respectively the distributions of the random variables X and Y, defined by

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\omega_n}{\beta^{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad Y(\omega) = \frac{1}{\beta} - \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\omega_n}{(-\beta)^{n+1}}$$

when the distribution of  $\omega \in \Omega_2$  is Bernoulli with positive parameter vector  $\mathbf{p} = (p, q)$ . We use consecutively two systems of numeration (see for instance [16], [14] and [4]): any real  $x \in [0, 1]$  can be represented in an unique way on the form

$$x = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^n}$$
 (Parry expansion) and  $x = \frac{1}{\beta} - \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\alpha_n}{(-\beta)^{n+1}}$ ,

where  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\geq 1} =: \varepsilon(x)$  and  $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1} =: \alpha(x)$  are two sequences with terms in  $\{0, 1\}$ , without two consecutive terms 1, such that  $\sigma^n \varepsilon(x)$  and  $\sigma^{2n+1}\alpha(x)$  differ from the periodic sequence 1010... for any  $n \geq 0$ . For any word  $w = \omega_1 \dots \omega_n$  on the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$  and without factor 11, we denote

$$\llbracket w \rrbracket := \{ x \in [0,1[ ; \varepsilon(x) \in [\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n] \} \\ \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star} := \{ x \in [0,1[ ; \alpha(x) \in [\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n] \}$$

In case  $\omega_n = 0$  we may compute  $\mu[\![w]\!]$  and  $\mu_{\star}[\![w]\!]_{\star}$  by the following formulas:

(6) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu(\frac{1}{\beta}\llbracket w \rrbracket) \\ \mu(\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta}\llbracket w \rrbracket) \\ \mu(\frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{\beta}\llbracket w \rrbracket) \end{pmatrix} = M_{\omega_1} \dots M_{\omega_n} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p}{p+q^2} \\ \frac{q^2}{p+q^2} \\ \frac{q}{p+q^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(7) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\star}(\llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star}) \\ \mu_{\star}(-\frac{1}{\beta} + \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star}) \\ \mu_{\star}(\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} + \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star}) \end{pmatrix} = A_{\omega_{1}} \dots A_{\omega_{n}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{q}{1+q} \\ \frac{1}{1+q} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q \\ q & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} p & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & q & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The formula (6) – and its extension to the multinacci bases – is proved in [13]. Let us sketch the proof of (7), which is equivalent to the following (assuming again that the word w do not have two consecutive letters 1 and ends by the letter 0):

(8)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\star}(\llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star}) \\ \mu_{\star}(-\frac{1}{\beta} + \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star}) \\ \mu_{\star}(\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} + \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star}) \end{pmatrix} = A_{\omega_{1}} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\star}(\llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star}) \\ \mu_{\star}(-\frac{1}{\beta} + \llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star}) \\ \mu_{\star}(\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} + \llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star}) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \mu([0,1[) \\ \mu(-\frac{1}{\beta} + [0,1[) \\ \mu(\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} + [0,1[) \end{pmatrix}) \\ \mu(\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} + [0,1[) \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{q}{1+q} \\ \frac{1}{1+q} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $w' = \omega_2 \dots \omega_n$  for  $n \ge 2$  and, by convention, if n = 1 the word w' is empty and  $\llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star} = \llbracket 0, 1 \llbracket$ . We first compute  $\mu_{\star}(\llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star})$ : it is the probability of the event  $Y(\xi) \in \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star}$ . This event is equivalent to  $Y(\sigma\xi) \in \frac{\omega_1 - \xi_1}{\beta} + \llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star}$ hence

• in case  $\omega_1 = 0$ , it is also equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \xi_1 = 0 \\ Y(\sigma\xi) \in \llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star} & \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \xi_1 = 1 \\ Y(\sigma\xi) \in -\frac{1}{\beta} + \llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star} \end{cases}$$

10

and this explain why the first row in  $A_0$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} p & q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ;

• in case  $\omega_1 = 1$  we have necessarily  $n \ge 2$  and  $\omega_2 = 0$ , and the event  $Y(\sigma\xi) \in \frac{1-\xi_1}{\beta} + \llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star}$  can occur only if  $\xi_1 = 1$  and  $Y(\sigma\xi) \in \llbracket w' \rrbracket_{\star}$ ; so the first row in  $A_1$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

• We compute in the same way  $\mu_{\star}(-\frac{1}{\beta} + \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star})$  and  $\mu_{\star}(\frac{1}{\beta^2} + \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\star})$  and we conclude that the first equality in (8) is true.

• The second equality in (8) can be deduced from the first, by making n = 1 and  $\omega_1 = 0$ .

7.1. Bernoulli convolution in base  $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  ([5]). The Gibbs properties of  $\mu$  have been studied in [13] in the following sense: let be the words

(9) 
$$w(0) := 00, \quad w(1) = 010 \text{ and } w(2) = 10;$$

then for any  $x \in [0, 1[$ , there exists a unique sequence  $\xi(x) = (\xi_n)_{n \ge 1} \in \Omega_3$ such that the Parry expansion  $\varepsilon(x)$  belongs for any  $n \ge 1$  to the cylinder  $[w(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)]$ , where  $w(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)$  is the concatenation of the words  $w(\xi_1), \dots, w(\xi_n)$ . The measure  $\mu \circ \xi^{-1}$  is weak Gibbs on  $\Omega_3$  if and only if p = q (this case is studied more in details in [6]); nevertheless  $\phi_{\mu \circ \xi^{-1}}(100 \dots) = \infty$  in this case.

**7.2. Bernoulli convolution in base**  $-\beta = -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ . The measure  $\mu_{\star}$  has better Gibbs properties than  $\mu$ : let us consider now – for any  $x \in [0, 1[$  – the sequence  $\xi_{\star}(x) = (\xi_n^{\star})_{n\geq 1} \in \Omega_3$  such that  $\alpha(x) \in [w(\xi_1^{\star} \dots \xi_n^{\star})]_{\star}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have the following

**Theorem 7.1.** (i) If  $p \ge q$  the measure  $\mu_{\star} \circ \xi_{\star}^{-1}$  is weak Gibbs on  $\Omega_3$ . (ii) if  $p \le q$  the measure  $\mu_{\star} \circ S \circ \xi_{\star}^{-1}$  is weak Gibbs on  $\Omega_3$ , where S(x) = 1 - x for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* (ii) can be deduced from (i) by using the symmetry relation

$$Y(\omega_1\omega_2\dots) = 1 - Y((1-\omega_1)(1-\omega_2)\dots)$$

which implies  $\mu_{\star}^{(p,q)} \circ S = \mu_{\star}^{(q,p)}$ .

In order to prove (i), we don't use the matrices  $A_k$  but the product matrices associated to the three words defined in (9): setting  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  we have

$$A_0^* := A_0^2 = pq \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1^* := A_0 A_1 A_0 = pq^2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$A_2^* := A_1 A_0 = pq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & \frac{1}{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us prove (i) by means of Proposition 2.2: more precisely we shall prove the uniform convergence of the (continuous) *n*-step potential  $\phi_n : \Omega_3 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by (10)

$$\phi_n(\omega) := \log \frac{\mu_\star \circ \xi_\star^{-1}[\omega_1 \dots \omega_n]}{\mu_\star \circ \xi_\star^{-1}[\omega_2 \dots \omega_n]} = \log \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{\omega_1}^* \dots A_{\omega_n}^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{q}{1+q} \\ \frac{1}{1+q} \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A_{\omega_2}^* \dots A_{\omega_n}^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{q}{1+q} \\ \frac{1}{1+q} \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Notice that

(11)  

$$A_0^{*n} = (pq)^n \begin{pmatrix} v_n(\alpha) & \alpha u_n(\alpha) & u_n(\alpha) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2^{*n} = (pq)^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_n(1/\alpha) & u_n(1/\alpha)/\alpha & v_n(1/\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $u_n(x) := x^{-1} + x^0 + \dots + x^{n-2}$  and  $v_n(x) := x^n$  for any positive real x.

From now on we use the formalism of continued fractions ([18]) in a same way as in [13]: given n (odd) and  $a_0 \ge 0$ ,  $a_1 > 0, \ldots, a_n > 0$  we put

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{-1} \\ q_{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ q_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and, for } 1 \le k \le n, \quad \begin{pmatrix} p_k \\ q_k \end{pmatrix} = u_k \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-1} \\ q_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} + v_{k-1} \begin{pmatrix} p_{k-2} \\ q_{k-2} \end{pmatrix}$$
where, for our purpose, 
$$\begin{cases} u_i := u_{a_i}(\alpha) & (i \text{ even}) \\ u_i := u_{a_i}(1/\alpha) & (i \text{ odd}) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} v_i := v_{a_i}(\alpha) & (i \text{ even}) \\ v_i := v_{a_i}(1/\alpha) & (i \text{ odd}) \end{cases}$$
We have

(12) 
$$A_0^{*a_0} A_2^{*a_1} A_0^{*a_2} \dots A_2^{*a_n} = (pq)^{a_0 + \dots + a_n} \begin{pmatrix} p_n & p_n/\alpha & v_n p_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q_n & q_n/\alpha & v_n q_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The difference  $\delta_k = \left| \frac{p_k}{q_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} \right|$  is known to be at most  $\frac{1}{a_1 + \cdots + a_k}$  in the case of the regular continued fractions ([9]) that is – with our notations – in the case  $\alpha = 1$ . We complete by the following

**Lemma 7.2.** If  $\alpha > 1$ , then (i) for  $k \ge 1$ ,  $\delta_k \le \frac{v_{k-1}}{u_k u_{k-1} + v_{k-1}} \delta_{k-1}$ ; (ii) for  $k \ge 1$  even,  $\delta_k \le \alpha^{1-(a_{k-1}+a_k)} \delta_{k-1}$ ; (iii) for  $k \ge 1$  even,  $\delta_k \le \alpha^{a_0-(a_1+\cdots+a_k)/2}$ .

12

*Proof.* (i) By the definition of  $p_k$  and  $q_k$ ,

$$\frac{p_k}{q_k} = \frac{u_k p_{k-1} + v_{k-1} p_{k-2}}{u_k q_{k-1} + v_{k-1} q_{k-2}} 
= \frac{u_k q_{k-1}}{u_k q_{k-1} + v_{k-1} q_{k-2}} \cdot \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} + \frac{v_{k-1} q_{k-2}}{u_k q_{k-1} + v_{k-1} q_{k-2}} \cdot \frac{p_{k-2}}{q_{k-2}}$$

hence

$$\frac{p_k}{q_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} = \frac{v_{k-1}q_{k-2}}{u_kq_{k-1} + v_{k-1}q_{k-2}} \cdot \left(\frac{p_{k-2}}{q_{k-2}} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}}\right)$$

and, since  $q_{k-1} \ge u_{k-1}q_{k-2}$ , we are done.

(*ii*) If k is even one has  $\frac{v_{k-1}}{u_k u_{k-1}} \leq \frac{\alpha^{-a_{k-1}}}{\alpha^{a_k-2}\alpha}$ , hence (*i*) implies (*ii*).

(*iii*) The inequalities (*i*) and (*ii*) imply respectively that the sequence  $(\delta_k)$  is non-increasing and, if k is even,  $\delta_k \leq \alpha^{-(a_{k-1}+a_k)/2}\delta_{k-1}$ ; hence  $\delta_2 \leq \alpha^{-(a_1+a_2)/2}\delta_1$  and, by induction  $\delta_k \leq \alpha^{-(a_1+\cdots+a_k)/2}\delta_1$  for any k even. Now  $\delta_1 = \frac{v_0}{u_1} \leq \alpha^{a_0}$ .

Notice that this lemma implies  $\delta_k \leq \alpha^{a_0 - (k-1)/2}$  for any  $k \geq 1$ , hence the sequence  $k \mapsto \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  converges. Now we can prove the following

**Lemma 7.3.** Suppose  $\alpha \geq 1$  and let  $\omega \in \Omega_3$ .

(i) At least one of the followings assertions is true:

 $\exists N \geq 0 \text{ such that } \omega_{N+1} \dots \omega_{N+n} \in \{0,2\}^{n-1} \times \{2\} \text{ for infinitely many } n \geq 1;$ 

 $\exists N \geq 0 \text{ such that } \omega_{N+1} \dots \omega_{N+n} \in \{0\}^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1;$ 

 $\exists N \ge 0 \text{ and } n \ge 2 \text{ such that } \omega_{N+1} \dots \omega_{N+n} \in \{1,2\} \times \{0\}^{n-2} \times \{1\}.$ 

(ii) In all cases there exists  $N \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$  such that

$$h, h' \ge N + n, \ \omega' \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_{N+n}] \Rightarrow |\phi_{h'}(\omega') - \phi_h(\omega)| \le \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* (i) If there exists  $N \ge 0$  such that  $\sigma^N \omega \in \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we are in the two first cases. If not, the digit 1 occurs infinitely many times in the sequence  $\omega$ . The second occurrence of 1 is necessarily preceded by a word in  $\{1, 2\} \times \{0\}^k$  for some  $k \ge 0$ , hence we are in the third case.

(*ii*) Let N and n be as in (*i*). From (10), for any  $h \ge N + n$  and  $\omega' \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_{N+n}]$  there exists some reals a, b, c, a', b', c', x, y, z such that

(13) 
$$\phi_h(\omega') = \log \frac{\begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \end{pmatrix} A^*_{\omega_{N+1}} \dots A^*_{\omega_{N+n}} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' & c' \end{pmatrix} A^*_{\omega_{N+1}} \dots A^*_{\omega_{N+n}} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}},$$

where only x, y and z depend on h and  $\omega'$ .

Suppose the first assertion in (i) is true. We deduce from the expression of  $A^*_{\omega_{N+1}} \dots A^*_{\omega_{N+n}}$  in (12) that

$$\phi_h(\omega') = \log \frac{(ap_n + cq_n)(x + \frac{y}{\alpha}) + v_n(ap_{n-1} + cq_{n-1})z}{(a'p_n + c'q_n)(x + \frac{y}{\alpha}) + v_n(a'p_{n-1} + c'q_{n-1})z}$$

This ratio lies between  $\log \frac{ap_n + cq_n}{a'p_n + c'q_n}$  and  $\log \frac{ap_{n-1} + cq_{n-1}}{a'p_{n-1} + c'q_{n-1}}$ . These bounds do not depend on h nor  $\omega'$ , and converge – for  $n \to \infty$  – to  $\log \frac{a\theta + c}{a'\theta + c'}$ when  $n \to \infty$ , where  $\theta := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ . We deduce that (*ii*) is true in this case, by choosing n large enough.

The proof is similar when the second assertion in (i) is true, by using the expression of  $A^*_{\omega_{N+1}} \dots A^*_{\omega_{N+n}}$  in (11). If the third assertion in (i) is true, the matrix  $A^*_{\omega_{N+1}} \dots A^*_{\omega_{N+n}}$  has rank

If the third assertion in (i) is true, the matrix  $A^*_{\omega_{N+1}} \dots A^*_{\omega_{N+n}}$  has rank 1; whence it maps  $\mathbb{R}^3$  into a space of dimension 1, and the ratio in (13) do not depend on h nor  $\omega'$  so that

$$h, h' \ge N + n, \ \omega' \in [\omega_1 \dots \omega_{N+n}] \Rightarrow |\phi_{h'}(\omega') - \phi_h(\omega)| = 0.$$

End of the proof of Theorem 7.1. Notice that Lemma 7.3(*ii*) implies – by making  $\omega = \omega'$  – that the sequence  $h \mapsto \phi_h(\omega)$  is Cauchy; let  $\phi(\omega)$  be its limit.

Now we make  $h, h' \to \infty$  in Lemma 7.3(*ii*): we obtain  $|\phi(\omega') - \phi(\omega)| \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $\omega'$  in the neighborhood  $[\omega_1 \dots \omega_{N+n}]$  of  $\omega$ , and this prove the continuity of  $\phi$  so, by Proposition 2.2  $\mu_{\star} \circ \xi_{\star}^{-1}$  is weak Gibbs.

#### References

- R. BOWEN, Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 470, Berlin-New York, 1975, i+108 pp.
- R. BOWEN, Smooth partitions of Anosov diffeomorphisms are weak Bernoulli. Israel J. Math. 21 (1975), 95–100.
- [3] G. BROWN, G. MICHON AND J. PEYRIÈRE, On the multifractal analysis of measures. J. Stat. Phys. 66 (1992), 775–790.
- [4] Y. DUPAIN AND V.T. Sós, On the one-sided boundedness of the discrepancy-function of the sequence {nα}. Acta Arith. 37 (1980), 363–374.
- [5] P. ERDŐS, On a family of symmetric Bernoulli convolutions. Amer. J. Math. 61 (1939), 974–976.
- [6] D-J. FENG & E. OLIVIER, Multifractal analysis of weak Gibbs measures and phase transition – application to some Bernoulli convolutions. Erg. Th. & Dyn. Systems 23 (2003), 1751– 1784.
- [7] Y. HEURTEAUX, Estimations de la dimension inférieure et de la dimension supérieure des mesures. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 34 (1998), 309–338.
- [8] M. KEANE, Strongly Mixing g-Measures. Invent. Math. 16 (1972), 309-324.

- [9] A.Y. KHINCHIN, Continued Fractions. Chicago and London, 1964, 95 pp.
- [10] A. MUKHERJEA & A. NAKASSIS, On the continuous singularity of the limit distribution of products of i. i. d. d×d stochastic matrices. J. Theor. Probab. 15 (2002), 903–918.
- [11] A. MUKHERJEA, A. NAKASSIS & J.S. RATTI, On the distribution of the limit of products of i. i. d. 2×2 random stochastic matrices. J. Theor. Probab. 12 (1999), 571–583.
- [12] E. OLIVIER, N. SIDOROV, & A. THOMAS, On the Gibbs properties of Bernoulli convolutions and related problems in Fractal Geometry. Preprint LATP 02-14 (2002).
- [13] E. OLIVIER, N. SIDOROV, & A. THOMAS, On the Gibbs properties of Bernoulli convolutions related to β-numeration in multinacci bases. Monatshefte für Math. 145 (2005), 145–174.
- [14] A. OSTROWSKI, Bemerkungen zur Theorie der Diophantischen Approximationen I, II. Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg I (1922), 77–98 and 250–251.
- [15] M.R. PALMER, W. PARRY & P. WALTERS, Large Sets of Endomorphisms and of g-Measures. Lecture Notes in Math. 668 (1978) 191–210.
- [16] W. PARRY, On the  $\beta$ -expansions of real numbers. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 11 (1960), 401–416.
- [17] Y. PERES, W. SCHLAG, B. SOLOMYAK, Sixty years of Bernoulli convolutions. Prog. Probab. 46 (2000), 39–65.
- [18] O. PERRON, Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen. New York, 1950, 524 pp.
- [19] N. SIDOROV, A. VERSHIK, Ergodic Properties of the Erdős measure, the Entropy of the Goldenshift, and Related Problems. Monatshefte für Math. 126 (1998), 215–261.
- [20] P. WALTERS, Ruelle's operator theorem and g-measures. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 214 (1975), 375–387.
- [21] M. YURI, Zeta functions for certain non-hyperbolic systems and topological Markov approximations. Erg. Th. & Dyn. Syst. 18 (1998), 1589–1612.

Éric OLIVIER Centre de Ressources Informatiques Université de Provence 3, place Victor Hugo 13331 MARSEILLE Cedex 3, France *E-mail*: Eric.0livier@up.univ-mrs.fr

Alain THOMAS Centre de Mathématiques et d'Informatique, LATP Équipe de théorie des nombres 39, rue F. Joliot-Curie 13453 Marseille Cedex 13, France *E-mail* : thomas@cmi.univ-mrs.fr