

Hyperdeterminantal calculations of Selberg's and Aomoto's integrals

Jean-Gabriel Luque, Jean-Yves Thibon

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Gabriel Luque, Jean-Yves Thibon. Hyperdeterminantal calculations of Selberg's and Aomoto's integrals. Molecular Physics, 2004, In Memory of Brian Garner Wybourne, 102 (11-12), pp.1351-1359. hal-00085637

HAL Id: hal-00085637 https://hal.science/hal-00085637v1

Submitted on 13 Jul 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hyperdeterminantal calculations of Selberg's and Aomoto's integrals

Jean-Gabriel Luque 1 Jean-Yves Thibon 2

Dedicated to the Memory of Brian G. Wybourne

 $^{^1\}mathrm{IGM},$ Université de Marne-la-Vallée, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex 2, France (luque@univ-mlv.fr)

²IGM, Université de Marne-la-Vallée, 77454 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex 2, France (jyt@univ-mlv.fr)

Abstract

The hyperdeteminants considered here are the simplest analogues of determinants for higher rank tensors which have been defined by Cayley, and apply only to tensors with an even number of indices. We have shown in a previous article that the calculation of certain multidimensional integrals could be reduced to the evaluation of hyperdeterminants of Hankel type. Here, we carry out this computation by purely algebraic means in the cases of Selberg's and Aomoto's integrals.

1 Introduction

Selberg's integral and its generalizations are multiple integrals encountered in many fields such as mathematical statistics, random matrices, statistical mechanics, special functions, integrable systems, and can be interpreted as generalized moments, correlation functions or partition functions.

Such integrals appear naturally in the computation of the normalization constants for various random matrix ensembles. For example the normalization of the eigenvalue probability density function for Gaussian, circular, Laguerre, Jacobi and Cauchy ensembles are limit cases of Selberg integrals (see [31, 14, 15]). Generalizations appear in the calculation of the partition functions of log-potential Coulomb systems [16]. Such multiple moment integrals are also connected with the problem of expanding even powers of the Vandermonde determinant

$$\Delta(x) = \det(x_i^{j-1}) = \prod_{1 \le i \le ji \le n} (x_j - x_i)$$
 (1)

in various bases of symmetric functions. Expansion of these powers in terms of Schur functions is still an open problem (see [32, 39, 21]), whose solution would find important applications to calculations related to some aspects of the fractional quantum Hall effect (see [32, 40]) described by Laughlin's wave function [26]

$$\Psi^{m}(z_{1}, \dots, z_{n}) = \prod_{i < j}^{N} (z_{i} - z_{j})^{2m+1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |z_{i}|^{2} \right\}$$
 (2)

The same expansion would allow one to compute many more interesting integrals (see [14, 16, 27, 28]).

Selberg's integral is a generalization of Euler's Beta integral, introduced by himself in 1944 (see [33]), in view of proving a generalization of a theorem of Gelfond. Selberg found that his integral could be written as a product of quotients of gamma functions

$$S_{n}(a,b;\gamma) = \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} |\Delta(x)|^{2\gamma} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a-1} (1-x_{i})^{b-1} dx_{i}$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(a+j\gamma)\Gamma(b+j\gamma)\Gamma((j+1)\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(a+b+(n+j-1)\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma+1)}.$$
(3)

This identity was subsequently proved in many different ways by other authors, such as Anderson [1], Aomoto [3] and Dotsenko and Fateev [12]. These

proofs are all interesting, as they involve generalizations of Selberg's integral or techniques which can be useful in other contexts. However, Selberg's original proof has the specificity that it is almost elementary, in the sense that he computed the integral in the case where γ is an integer k, using only arguments on the degrees of the polynomials appearing after integration of the terms obtained by expansion of $\Delta(x)^{2k}$, and a very simple change of variables. Then, he concluded by involving a classical theorem of Carlson to extend it to complex values of γ (see [31] or [36]). As we shall see below, the elementary part of this proof comes out naturally if we consider this object for $\gamma = k \in \mathbb{N}$ not as an integral but as a special case of a hyperdeterminant, a notion originally due to Cayley but whose only available textbook presentations seem to be Refs. [34, 35] (in Russian).

The hyperdeterminant is a polynomial invariant of tensors of even order (i.e., with an even number of indices). In the case of matrices, its definition gives back the classical determinant.

We have explained in [28] that Selberg's integral could be rewritten as a hyperdeterminant of Hankel type (i.e., whose entries depend only on the sum of the indices) built from the moments of the beta distribution. In this paper, we give a complete translation of Selberg's proof in the hyperdeterminantal formalism, using only very simple tools. The hyperdeterminantal aspects of multiple integral computations look very promising. At present, we know only a few tools to handle such polynomials, but this is already sufficient to deal with some interesting cases. To illustrate this point, we sketch a hyperdeterminantal proof of Aomoto's integral

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y) = \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \Delta(x)^{2k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y - x_{i}) x_{i}^{a-1} (1 - x_{i})^{b-1} dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n}$$
(4)
$$= (-2)^{-n} S_{n}(a, b; k) P_{n}^{\frac{a}{k} - 1, \frac{b}{k} - 1} (1 - 2y)$$
(5)

where $P_n^{a,b}$ are the monic Jacobi polynomials. Aomoto has proved this identity in [3] by means of differential equations. The main interest of Aomoto's original proof is its relation with the Calogero model. Barsky and Carpentier have given in [4] a different proof, based on Anderson's method.

2 Elementary hyperdeterminant calculus

2.1 Definition

We shall find it convenient to use a set of n Grassmann (that is, anticommutative, or fermionic) variables $\eta = \{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_i, \ldots\}$. A tensor of order k

and dimension n, that is, an element of $V^{\otimes k}$, where V is an n dimensional vector space, will be represented as

$$\mathbf{M} = \sum_{1 \le i_1, \dots, i_k \le n} M_{i_1 \dots i_k} \eta_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_k}. \tag{6}$$

That is, we identify V with the vector space spanned by η_1, \ldots, η_n . The array $(M_{i_1...i_k})$ will be called a hypermatrix. The hyperdeterminant $\text{Det}(\mathbf{M})$ of \mathbf{M} can be compactly defined as the coefficient of $(\eta_1 \cdots \eta_n)^{\otimes k}$ in $\frac{\mathbf{M}^n}{n!}$:

$$\mathbf{M}^n = n! \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}) (\eta_1 \cdots \eta_n)^{\otimes k}$$
 (7)

where the power \mathbf{M}^n is evaluated in the tensor product of k copies of the Grassmann algebra over the n distinct η_i 's, so that the only surviving terms are those involving $(\eta_1 \cdots \eta_n)^{\otimes k}$.

For convenience, we will use the notation

$$\operatorname{Det}_{k}(M_{i_{1}\cdots i_{k}})_{1}^{n} = \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}). \tag{8}$$

Note first that if **M** is a tensor of odd order k, one has $Det(\mathbf{M}) = 0$. If **M** is a tensor of even order 2k and dimension n, one has

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2k} \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma_1) \cdots \epsilon(\sigma_{2k}) \prod_{i=1}^n M_{\sigma_1(i) \dots \sigma_{2k}(i)}. \tag{9}$$

Setting k = 1, we recover the classical definition of the determinant for matrices.

2.2 The invariance property

Definition (7) is very useful for proving properties of hyperdeterminants. Let us consider the natural action of the group $GL(V)^{\times 2k}$ on $V^{\otimes 2k}$

$$(g^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes g^{(2k)}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{v}_{2k} = (g^{(1)} \mathbf{v}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (g^{(2k)} \mathbf{v}_{2k})$$
(10)

Then, for a general $\mathbf{M} \in V^{\otimes 2k}$,

$$(g^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes g^{(2k)}) \cdot \mathbf{M} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \cdots, j_{2k} \leq n} M_{j_1 \cdots j_{2k}} \times \times \sum_{i_1 = 1}^n g_{i_1 j_1}^{(1)} \eta_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sum_{i_{2k} = 1}^n g_{i_{2k} j_{2k}}^{(2k)} \eta_{j_{2k}}.$$
(11)

Hence,

$$\operatorname{Det}\left(\left(g^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes g^{(2k)}\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}\right) = \operatorname{det}\left(g^{(1)}\right) \cdots \operatorname{det}\left(g^{(2k)}\right) \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}). \tag{12}$$

2.3 Minor summation formula

Consider two tensors

$$\mathbf{M} = \sum_{1, \leq i_1, \dots, i_{2k} \leq n} M_{i_1 \dots i_{2k}} \eta_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_{2k}}$$
 (13)

and

$$\mathbf{N} = \sum_{1 \le i_1, \dots, i_{2k} \le n} N_{i_1 \dots i_{2k}} \eta_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_{2k}}. \tag{14}$$

Remarking that $\mathbf{MN} - \mathbf{NM} = 0$ (in the 2kth tensor power of the Grassmann algebra), one has

$$(\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{N})^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \mathbf{M}^i \mathbf{N}^{n-i}.$$
 (15)

If $I = (I_1, \dots, I_{2k})$ is a 2k-tuple of subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we will denote by $\mathbf{M}[I]$ the tensor

$$\mathbf{M}[I] = \sum_{i_1 \in I_1, \dots, i_{2k} \in I_{2k}} M_{i_1 \dots i_{2k}} \eta_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_{2k}}$$

$$\tag{16}$$

and by η_I the product

$$\eta_I = \overrightarrow{\prod_{i_1 \in I_1}} \eta_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overrightarrow{\prod_{i_{2k} \in I_{2k}}} \eta_{i_{2k}}$$
 (17)

where the symbol $\overrightarrow{\prod}_i$ denotes the product taken in increasing order of the subscripts.

Let $\mathfrak{C}^r_{n,k}$ be the set of pairs (I,J) of 2k-tuples $I=(I_1,\cdots,I_{2k})$ and $J=(J_1,\cdots,J_{2k})$ such that for each $s\in\{1,\ldots,2k\},\ (I_s,J_s)$ is a partition of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ into two blocks of sizes r and n-r. For each $(I,J)\in\mathfrak{C}^r_{n,k}$ we will denote by $\epsilon(I,J)$ the product of the signs of the permutations $\sigma_s=(i_1,\ldots,i_r,j_1,\ldots,j_n)$ (written as a word) where $I_s=\{i_1<\cdots< i_r\}$ and $J_s=\{j_1<\cdots< j_{n-r}\}$.

We can now write, for each $p \leq n$,

$$\mathbf{M}^p = p! \sum_{I} \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}[I]) \eta_I \tag{18}$$

where the sum is over the 2k-tuples $I = (I_1, \dots, I_{2k})$ of subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality p. Hence, by (15),

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{N}) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathfrak{C}_{n,k}^{r}} \epsilon(I,J) \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}[I]) \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{N}[J])$$
 (19)

Now, if we set

$$\mathbf{M}' = \sum_{1 < i_2, \dots, i_{2k} < n} M_{1, i_2, \dots, i_{2k}} \eta_1 \otimes \eta_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_{2k}}$$
 (20)

and

$$\mathbf{M}'' = \sum_{i_1=2}^{n} \sum_{1 \le i_2, \dots, i_{2k} \le n} M_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2k}} \eta_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_{2k}}, \tag{21}$$

remarking that $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}' + \mathbf{M}''$ we obtain from (19),

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}) = \sum_{I = (i_1 = 1 \le i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{2k} \le n)} \operatorname{sign}(I) M_{1, i_2, \dots, i_{2k}} \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}[\overline{I}])$$
 (22)

where $sign(I) = (-1)^{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_{2k}}$ and $\overline{I} = (\{1,\ldots,n\}-i_1,\ldots,\{1,\ldots,n\}-i_{2k})$. Equations (19) and (22) can be found in [5, 34, 35].

3 Hankel hypermatrices

For a sequence $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_{2k})$, we will set $|I| = i_1 + \cdots + i_{2k}$ and $\eta_I = \eta_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{i_{2k}}$.

3.1 Heine's integrals for Hankel determinants

Let μ be a measure on the real line, and $c_n = \int x^n d\mu(x)$ be its moments. Heine's integrals below are evaluated as Hankel determinants whose entries are the moments c_n (see [19])

$$\int \cdots \int \Delta(x)^2 d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_n) = n! \det(c_{i+j})_0^{n-1}.$$
 (23)

It is easy to give a direct proof of this equality, but it can also be seen as a very special case of de Bruijn's integral

$$\int \cdots \int_{a \le x_1 < \cdots < x_n \le b} \det \left(\phi_i(x_j) | \psi_i(x_j) \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \operatorname{Pf} \left(Q_{ij} \right)_{1 \le i, j \le 2n}$$
 (24)

where $Q_{ij} = \int_a^b [\phi_i(x)\psi_j(x) - \phi_j(x)\psi_i(x)]dx$ and $(\phi_i(x_j)|\psi_i(x_j))$ denotes the matrix whose *i*th row is $[\phi_i(x_1), \psi_i(x_1), \phi_i(x_2), \psi_i(x_2), \dots, \phi_i(x_n), \psi_i(x_n)]$ and Pf (M) denotes the Pfaffian of the matrix M. In [27], we have obtained a generalization of this identity, involving 2kn functions on the left hand side and a hyperpfaffian (see [5]) on the right hand side. In the case where the

determinant is an even power of the Vandermonde determinant, we obtain a generalization of Heine's theorem turning multiple integrals into Hankel hyperdeterminants. This generalization is discussed in [28] and will be recalled in the following subsection.

3.2 Generalization: Hankel hyperdeterminants

We consider here the integral

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,k}^{\mu} = \int \cdots \int \Delta(x_1, \cdots, x_n)^{2k} d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_n)$$
 (25)

Expanding the even power of the Vandermonde determinant, this integral can be expressed as a Hankel hyperdeterminant whose entries are the moments $c_n = \int x^n d\mu(x)$:

$$\mathcal{I}_{n,k}^{\mu} = n! \operatorname{Det} \left(c_{|I|} \right)_{0}^{n-1}.$$
 (26)

Consider now the following two tensors

$$\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k) = \sum_{0 \le i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{2k} \le n-1} \mathbf{B}(a+|I|,b)\eta_{I}$$
(27)

and

$$\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y) = \sum_{0 \le i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{2k} \le n-1} \mathbf{B}(a+|I|,b) \cdot \left(y - \frac{a+|I|}{a+b+|I|}\right) \cdot \eta_{I}, \qquad (28)$$

where B(a, b) denotes the Beta function $B(a, b) = \int_0^1 x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} dx$. Remarking that the B(a+n, b) are the moments of the Beta distribution, one obtains after applying (26)

$$S_n(a,b;k) = n! \operatorname{Det} (S_n(a,b;k))$$
(29)

and in the same way

$$\mathcal{A}_n^{a,b;k}(y) = n! \operatorname{Det} \left(\mathbf{A}_n^{a,b;k}(y) \right). \tag{30}$$

3.3 Expansion of Hankel hyperdeterminants

In this section we consider the hyperdeterminant of a general Hankel tensor

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,k} = \text{Det}_{2k} \left(X_{i_1 + \dots + i_{2k}} \right)_0^{n-1}. \tag{31}$$

Expanding this as a polynomial in the X_i , one finds

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,k} = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda}^{n,k} X_{\lambda_1} \cdots X_{\lambda_n}$$
 (32)

where the sum is over the *n*-tuples $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ such that $|\lambda| = kn(n-1)$ and such that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, (i-1)k \le \lambda_i \le k(n+i-2)$.

This expansion is derived in [28]. A special case appears in Selberg's proof (see [31, 33]).

Let $\mathfrak{d}_{n,k}$ be the coefficient of $X^n_{k(n-1)}$ in (32):

$$\mathfrak{d}_{n,k} = c_{(k(n-1))^n}. \tag{33}$$

If we set $X_i = 0$ for i > k(n-1), we obtain from (32) that

$$\operatorname{Det}_{2k} \left(X_{i_1 + \dots + i_{2k}} \right)_0^{n-1} = \mathfrak{d}_{n,k} X_{k(n-1)}^n. \tag{34}$$

A closed form for $\mathfrak{d}_{n,k}$ follows from a well-known constant term identity (the "Dyson conjecture")¹

$$C_{n,k} = C.T. \left\{ \prod_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right)^{a_i} \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + \dots + a_n\\ a_1, \dots, a_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

where C.T. means "constant term". On the other hand,

$$\prod_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}\right)^k = (-1)^k \Delta(x)^{2k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_i^{k(n-1)}}.$$
 (36)

Expanding the power of the Vandermonde determinant, one finds that for $a_i \equiv k$,

$$C_{n,k} = (-1)^k \text{C.T.} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2k} \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma_1) \dots \epsilon(\sigma_{2k}) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\sigma_1(i) + \dots + \sigma_{2k}(i) - 2k - k(n-1)} \right\}$$
$$= (-1)^k n! \text{Det}_{2k} \left(\delta_{i_1 + \dots + i_{2k}, n} \right)_0^{n-1}$$
(37)

where $\delta_{i,j} = 1$ if i = j and 0 otherwise (Kronecker symbol). Using (34), we obtain

$$C_{n,k} = (-1)^k n! \mathfrak{d}_{n,k}. \tag{38}$$

Hence,

$$\mathfrak{d}_{n,k} = (-1)^k \frac{1}{n!} \begin{pmatrix} kn \\ k, \cdots, k \end{pmatrix}. \tag{39}$$

¹This was conjectured by Dyson [13] in 1962. I. J. Good gave, in 1970, an elegant elementary proof involving only Lagrange interpolation [18].

3.4 Minors of a Hankel hyperdeterminant

We consider here a Hankel hyperdeterminant

$$\mathbf{M} = \sum_{I} M_{|I|} \eta_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_{i_{2k}} \tag{40}$$

and a family of 2k subsets $J=(J^1,\ldots,J^{2k})$ of a fixed size $m\leq n$ with $J^l=\{j_0^l\leq j_1^l\leq\cdots\leq j_m^l\}\subset\{0,\ldots,n-1\}$. We want to expand the polynomial $M[J]^m$, where

$$\mathbf{M}[J] = \sum_{I} M_{j_{i_1}^1 + \dots + j_{i_{2k}}^{2k}} \eta_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_{2k}}.$$
 (41)

One has

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{M}[J]) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2k} \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \epsilon(\sigma_1) \cdots \epsilon(\sigma_{2k}) \prod_{l=1}^m M_{j^1_{\sigma_1(l)} + \dots + j^{2k}_{\sigma_{2k}(l)}}.$$
 (42)

But for each 2k-tuple $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{2k})$, one has

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m} M_{j_{\sigma_1(l)}^1 + \dots + j_{\sigma_{2k}(l)}^{2k}} = \prod_{l=1}^{m} M_{\sigma_1(l) + \dots + \sigma_{2k}(l) - 2k + r_{\sigma_1(l)}^1 + \dots + r_{\sigma_{2k}(l)}^{2k}}$$
(43)

with $0 \le r_l^i \le n - m$. Hence, by a computation similar to that of a complete Hankel hyperdeterminant,

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m} M_{j_{\sigma_1(l)}^1 + \dots + j_{\sigma_{2k}(l)}^{2k}} = \prod_{l=1}^{m} M_{\lambda_i + s_i}$$
(44)

with $k(i-1) \le \lambda_i \le k(m+i-2)$ and $0 \le s_i \le 2k(n-m)$. And

$$\operatorname{Det}(M[J]) = \sum_{\tilde{\lambda}} c_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{n,k;J} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{M}_{\tilde{\lambda}_{i}}$$
(45)

where $k(i-1) \leq \tilde{\lambda}_i \leq k(2n-m+i-2)$.

4 Selberg's integral

4.1 From the Hankel form to the symmetric form

Selberg's integral admits another hyperdeterminantal representation which can be obtained directly from the previous one (without manipulating the integral). It suffices to remark the following property

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} {n \choose i} B(a+i,b) = B(a,b+n).$$
 (46)

Hence, we have from (refeq10)

$$\mathbf{S}_n(a,b;k) = (\overbrace{\mathbf{I},\cdots,\mathbf{I}}^{k}, \overbrace{g,\cdots,g}^{k}) \cdot \mathbf{S}_n^{Sym}(a,b;k)$$
 (47)

where I is the identity matrix, q is the $n \times n$ matrix

$$g = \sum_{1 \le i, j \le n} (-1)^j \binom{i}{j} \eta_i \otimes \eta_j, \tag{48}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{n}^{Sym}(a,b;k) = \sum_{I,J \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}^{k}} \mathbf{B}(a+|I|,b+|J|)\eta_{I} \otimes \eta_{J}$$
 (49)

It follows from (12) that

$$\det(g)^k \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathbf{S}_n^{Sym}(a,b;k)\right) = \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathbf{S}_n(a,b;k)\right)$$
(50)

and, since

$$\det(g) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}},\tag{51}$$

we find

$$\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathbf{S}_{n}^{Sym}(a,b;k)\right) = (-1)^{\frac{kn(n-1)}{2}} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)\right)$$
 (52)

without the help of the integral representation.

4.2 Selberg's original proof

Each step of Selberg's proof can be viewed as a manipulation on a hyperdeterminant (see [31] for example). It can be divided into two parts. In the first part, Selberg proved that

$$S_n(a,b;k) = c_{n,k} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(a+jk)\Gamma(b+jk)}{\Gamma(a+b+(n+j-1)k)}.$$
 (53)

where $c_{n,k}$ does not depend on a and b. In this proof, Selberg started from the expansion of the Vandermonde determinant. In term of hyperdeterminants, it is equivalent to the expansion (29). For our purpose, equation (53) reads

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_n(a,b;k)) = \alpha_{n,k} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(a+jk)\Gamma(b+jk)}{\Gamma(a+b+(n+j-1)k)}$$
 (54)

where $\alpha_{n,k} = n!c_{n,k}$. To obtain it, we start from formula (32)

$$Det(\mathbf{S}_n(a,b;k)) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda}^{n,k} B(a+\lambda_1,b) \cdots B(a+\lambda_n,b)$$
 (55)

where the sum is over all *n*-tuples $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ such that $|\lambda| = kn(n-1)$ and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $(i-1)k \le \lambda_i \le k(n+i-2)$. Then, extracting common factors, we arrive at an expression of the form

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_n(a,b;k)) = \frac{Q(a,b)}{R(b)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(a+jk)\Gamma(b+jk)}{\Gamma(a+b+(n+j-1)k)}$$
(56)

where Q(a, b) is a polynomial of degree at most kn(n-1)/2 and R(b) is a polynomial of degree kn(n-1)/2. But (52) implies that

$$Det(\mathbf{S}_n(a,b;k)) = Det(\mathbf{S}_n(b,a;k))$$
(57)

and then

$$\frac{Q(a,b)}{R(b)} = \frac{Q(b,a)}{R(a)} \tag{58}$$

which implies that $\alpha_{n,k} = \frac{Q(a,b)}{R(b)}$ is independent of a and b.

The second part of Selberg's proof consists in establishing a recurrence relation for $\alpha_{n,k}$:

$$\alpha_{n,k} = \frac{(nk)!}{n!} \alpha_{n-1,k}.$$
(59)

This can be found from the limit

$$L_{b;k,n} = \lim_{a \to 0^+} a \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_n(a,b;k))$$
(60)

Hence, one has

$$L_{b;k,n} = \lim_{a \to 0^+} \text{Det}(\mathbf{T}_a) \tag{61}$$

where \mathbf{T}_a is the tensor defined by

$$\mathbf{T}_{a} = \sum_{I \in \{0\} \times \{0, \dots, n-1\}^{2k-1}} a \mathbf{B}(a + |I|, b) \eta_{I} + \sum_{I \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \times \{0, \dots, n-1\}^{2k-1}} \mathbf{B}(a + I, b) \eta_{I}$$
(62)

Remarking that

$$\lim_{a \to 0^{+}} a B(a+n, b) = \delta_{n,0}$$
 (63)

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker symbol, one obtains

$$L_{b:k,n} = \text{Det}(\mathbf{T}) \tag{64}$$

where T is the tensor

$$\mathbf{T} = \eta_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_0 + \sum_{I \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \times \{0, \dots, n-1\}^{2k-1}} \mathbf{B}(|I|, b) \eta_I$$
 (65)

Hence, expanding by (22) with respect to the first subscript, one has

$$L_{b:k,n} = \text{Det}(\mathbf{S}_{n-1}(1,b;k)).$$
 (66)

Together with (54) this equality gives the recurrence relation (59) and proves Selberg's identity.

4.3 An alternative ending for Selberg's proof

We shall now give a simpler proof of Selberg's identity by reducing it to the Dyson conjecture, which, as we have already seen, is by now a familiar and elementary statement involving no more than Lagrange interpolation. Using the functional equation of the Γ function and the linearity properties of the hyperdeterminant, we can recast the Hankel hyperdeterminantal expression of Selberg's integral in the form

$$Det (\mathbf{S}_n(a, b; k)) = B(a, b)^n Det_{2k} \left(\frac{(a)_{|I|}}{(a+b)_{|I|}} \right)_0^{n-1}.$$
 (67)

where $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol. The result will follow if we can obtain a closed form for the hyperdeterminant

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,k}(a,b) = \operatorname{Det}_{2k}\left(\frac{(a)_{|I|}}{(b)_{|I|}}\right). \tag{68}$$

We start as in the previous proof, obtaining an analog of (54)

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,n}(a,b) = \alpha'_{n,k} \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(a)_{k(m-1)}(b-a)_{k(m-1)}}{(b)_{k(n+m-1)}}$$
(69)

where the constant $\alpha'_{n,k}$ is independent of a and b. Now, for every a'

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,n}(a,b) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(a)_{k(m-1)}(b-a)_{k(m-1)}}{(b)_{k(n+m-1)}(a')_{k(m-1)}} \operatorname{Det}_{2k} \left((a')_{|I|} \right)_{0}^{n-1}.$$
 (70)

This means that Selberg's integral can be deduced from the knowledge of $\operatorname{Det}_{2k}((a')_{|I|})_0^{n-1}$ for any particular choice of a'. If we set a' = -k(n-1), one has by (34)

$$\operatorname{Det}_{2k} \left((-k(n-1))_{|I|} \right)_0^{n-1} = (-1)^{kn(n-1)} \mathfrak{d}_{n,k}(k(n-1))!^n.$$
 (71)

But $\mathfrak{d}_{n,k}$ has already been evaluated by means of the Dyson conjecture (39) and the closed form of Selberg's integral follows.

5 Aomoto's integral

5.1 Another hyperdeterminantal representation

On the integral representation (4), we obtain easily the following identity through the substitution $x_i \to 1 - x_i$

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y) = (-1)^{n} \mathcal{A}_{n}^{b,a;k}(1-y). \tag{72}$$

There is also a simple hyperdeterminantal proof of this assertion. We consider the tensor

$$\mathbf{A}_{n}^{b,a;k}(1-y) = \sum_{I \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}^{2k}} \left(1 - y - \frac{b+|I|}{a+b+|I|} \right) \mathbf{B}(b+|I|,b) \eta_{I}$$
 (73)

and the action of 2k copies of the matrix g defined before. Using equality (46), one has

$$(g,\ldots,g)\mathbf{A}_n^{b,a,k}(1-y) = -\mathbf{A}_n^{a,b,k}(y)$$
(74)

Hence,

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y)) = (-1)^{n} \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{b,a;k}(1-y)). \tag{75}$$

5.2 Minors of Selberg's hyperdeterminant

To prove Aomoto's identity, we need some preliminary results on Selberg's hyperdeterminant. Let us consider a family of 2k subsets $I=(I^1,\ldots,I^{2k})$ with $I^j=\{i^j_0\leq i^j_1,\ldots,\leq i^j_{m-1}\}\subset\{1,\cdots,n\}$ and the associated sub-tensor of Selberg's Hankel tensor:

$$\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)[I] = \sum_{0 \leq j_{1}, \dots, j_{2k} \leq m-1} \mathbf{B}(a+i_{j_{1}}^{1} + \dots + i_{j_{2k}}^{2k}, b) \eta_{i_{j_{1}}^{1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_{i_{j_{k}}^{2k}}.$$
 (76)

One can write by (45)

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_n(a,b;k)[I]) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda}^{n,k;I} \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{B}(a+\lambda_i)$$
 (77)

where $k(i-1) \le \lambda_i \le k(2n-m+i-2)$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)[I]) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a+k(i-1))\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+k(2n-m+i-2))} \mathfrak{p}_{I}(a,b)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a+k(i-1))\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+k(2n-i-1))} \mathfrak{p}_{I}(a,b) \tag{78}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_I(a,b)$ is a polynomial. In the same way, we will use the following identity

$$Det(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a+1,b;k)[I]) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a+b+k(2n-i-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (a+k(i-1)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} (a+b+k(2n-i-1)) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(a+k(i-1))\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+k(2n-i-1))} \mathfrak{p}_{I}(a+1,b).$$
(79)

which follows immediately from (78).

5.3 A proof of Aomoto's identity

We start from the hyperdeterminantal representation and we remark that

$$\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y) = \mathbf{S}(a,b;k)y - \mathbf{S}(a+1,b;k). \tag{80}$$

From (19), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y)) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-r} y^{r} \times \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathfrak{C}_{n,k}^{r}} \epsilon(I,J) \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}(a,b;k)[I]) \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}(a+1,b;k)[J]). \tag{81}$$

From (78) and (79), one has

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a+b+k(2n-i-1)} \times \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n} y^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(a+k(i-1))\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b+k-2n-i-1)} \times \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-r} (a+k(i-1)) \prod_{i=n-r+1}^{n} (a+b+k(2n-i-1)) \mathfrak{P}_{r}(a,b)$$
(82)

where $\mathfrak{P}_r(a,b)$ is a polynomial in a and b.

On the other hand, we expand the hyperdeterminantal representation of Aomoto's integral by (32) and obtain, after extracting common factors as above,

$$Det(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}) = Det(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)) \sum_{\lambda} \frac{c_{\lambda}^{n,k}}{Q(b)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ y(a)_{k(i-1)}^{\lambda_{i}-1} (a+b)_{\lambda_{i}}^{k(n+i-2)-1} - (a)_{k(i-1)}^{\lambda_{i}} (a+b)_{\lambda_{i}+1}^{k(n+i-2)-1} \right\}$$
(83)

where Q(b) is a polynomial of degree kn(n-1) in b, $(a)_n^m$ denotes $(a+n)(a+n+1)\cdots(a+m)$ if $n \leq m$ and $(a)_n^m = 1$ otherwise and the sum is over the partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n)$ verifying $k(i-1) \leq \lambda_i \leq k(n+i-1)$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. After combining the coefficients of the y^i 's, one obtains

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y)) = \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)) \sum_{r=0}^{n} y^{r} \frac{\mathfrak{Q}_{r}(a,b)}{Q(b)}$$
(84)

where $\mathfrak{Q}_r(a,b)$ is a polynomial. Hence, comparing the coefficients of y^i in the expressions (82) and (84), we find

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y)) = \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a+b+k(n+i-1)} \times \left(85\right)$$

$$\times \sum_{i=0}^{n} y^{i} \frac{P_{i}(a,b)}{Q(b)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-i} (a+k(n-j)) \prod_{i=n-i+1}^{n} (a+b+k(2n-j-1))$$

where $P_i(a, b)$ is a polynomial. Remark that each $P_i(a, b)$ is of degree in b at most kn(n-1). Now, we apply (75) and we equate the coefficients of y^i in the left and right hand sides. After simplification, one obtains for each i

$$\sum_{j=i}^{n} {j \choose i} P_j(b, a) F_j(b, a) Q(b) = (-1)^{n-i} P_i(a, b) F_i(a, b) Q(a)$$
 (86)

where

$$F_i(a,b) = \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} (a+k(n-m)) \prod_{m=n-i+1}^{n} (a+b+k(2n-m-1)).$$
 (87)

Remarking that Q(b) does not divide $F_i(a,b)$, equality (86) implies

$$P_i(a,b) = R_i(a)Q(b). \tag{88}$$

Hence, setting as in the previous section, a = -k(n-1) in

$$\sum_{j=i}^{n} {j \choose i} R_j(b) F_j(b, a) = (-1)^{n-i} R_i(a) F_i(a, b)$$
 (89)

and remarking that

$$F_i(-k(n-1), b) = 0 (90)$$

and

$$F_i(b, -k(n-1)) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} (b + k(n-m)), \tag{91}$$

one obtains the recurrence relation

$$R_i(b) = -\sum_{j=i+1}^n \binom{j}{i} R_j(b). \tag{92}$$

The starting point of the induction is $R_n(b) = \frac{1}{n!}$, which follows from the fact that the coefficient of y^n in the expansion of the hyperdeterminant is the value of Selberg's integral. Solving the recurrence relation, one finds that each $R_i(b)$ is in fact independent of b, and that

$$R_i(b) = \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{n!} \binom{n}{i} \tag{93}$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y)) = \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)) \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a+b+k(n+i-1)} \times \\ \times \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \prod_{m=1}^{n-i} (a+k(n-m)) \prod_{m=n-i+1}^{n} (a+b+k(2n-m-1)) y^{i}(94) \\ = (-1)^{n} \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)) \frac{\binom{a}{k}_{n}}{n! \left(\frac{a}{k} + \frac{b}{k} + n - 1\right)_{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_{i} (\frac{a}{k} + \frac{b}{k} + n - 1)_{i}}{\binom{a}{k}_{i}} \frac{y^{i}}{i!}.$$

We recognize a hypergeometric function of type $_2F_1$ which can be evaluated as a monic Jacobi polynomial (see [2] for details)

$$\operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_{n}^{a,b;k}(y)) = (-1)^{n} \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)) \frac{\left(\frac{a}{k}\right)_{n}}{n! \left(\frac{a}{k} + \frac{b}{k} + n - 1\right)_{n}} \times \times_{2} F_{1} \left(-n, n + \frac{a}{k} + \frac{b}{k} - 1 \middle| y \right)$$

$$= \frac{(-2)^{-n}}{n!} \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{S}_{n}(a,b;k)) P_{n}^{\frac{a}{k} - 1, \frac{b}{k} - 1} (1 - 2y)$$

$$(95)$$

Aomoto's equality is therefore proved.

6 Conclusion

The examples discussed in this article show that the hyperdeterminantal calculus is a pertinent tool to handle an interesting class of multiple integrals. The hyperdeterminant is a particular invariant of hypermatrices. In the case of antisymmetric hypermatrices, another invariant has similar properties: the hyperpfaffian [5, 27]. In the classical case of matrices, de Bruijn has shown in [11] that multiple integrals of some determinants could be evaluated as Pfaffians. In [27], we have found some generalizations to hyperpfaffians of these identities. In fact, the generalized Heine theorem is a particular case of the generalized de Bruijn integral, where the determinant can be factored into a product of determinants of matrices whose dimension is the number of integration variables. There exist other invariant polynomials of hypermatrices [8, 9, 10, 17] which can be, in principle, computed using Cayley's Omega process or other methods of invariant theory (see [29, 6, 7] for examples involving $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2$ and $3 \times 3 \times 3$ hypermatrices). A natural question is whether there exist other integral identities involving some of them.

Other generalizations of Selberg integral are encountered in the physical litterature. For example the Dotsenko-Fateev (see [12]) and Kaneko integrals (see [20]) give the partition functions of various systems.

Kaneko's integral reads

$$K_{n,\gamma}^{a,b}(y_1,\cdots,y_m) = \int_0^1 |\Delta(x)|^{2\gamma} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(x_i^{a-1} (1-x_i)^{b-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (x_i - y_j) dx_i \right)$$
(96)

and is evaluated in terms of generalized orthogonal polynomials associated with Jack polynomials (see [23, 24, 25]). By the generalized Heine theorem, when γ is an integer, Kaneko's integral can be evaluated as a hyperdeterminant of moments (see [28]). Kaneko's proof of his identity is related to the Calogero-Sutherland model. Up to now, we have been unable unable to find a hyperdeterminantal interpretation of this. In the same way, Anderson's (see [1]) and Aomoto's (see [3]) proofs of Selberg's integral seem to contain information unrelated to the hyperdeterminantal representations.

References

- [1] Anderson, G.W., 1987, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 38, 385–399.
- [2] Andrews, G.E., Askey, R., and Roy, R., 1999, Special Functions, (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications 71, Cambridge University Press).
- [3] Aomoto, K., 1987, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18, 545-549.

- [4] Barsky, D., and Carpentier, M., 1996, Electronic J. Combinatorics 3, R1.
- [5] Barvinok, A.I., 1995, Math. Program., 69, 449-470.
- [6] Briand, E., Luque, J.-G., and Thibon, J.-Y., 2003, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, 9915-9927.
- [7] Briand, E., Luque, J.-G., Thibon, J.-Y., and Verstraete, F., 2003, arXiv:quant-ph/0306122.
- [8] Cayley, A., 1843, Trans. Cambridge Phil. Soc. VIII, 1–16.
- [9] Cayley, A., 1846, J. reine und angew. Math., 30, 1–37.
- [10] Cayley, A., 1851, Cambridge and Dublin Math. Journal VII, 40–51.
- [11] de Bruijn, N.G., 1956, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.), 19, 133-151.
- [12] Dotsenko, V.S., and Fateev, V.A., 1985, Nucl. Phys. B, 251, 691-734.
- [13] Dyson, F.J., 1962, J. Math. Phys., 3, 140–156.
- [14] Forrester, P.J., 1998, Random matrices, log-gases and the Calogero-Sutherland model, in Quantum Many-Body Problems and Representation theory (MSJ Mem. Vol1) (Tokyo: Mathematical Society of Japan), 97-181.
- [15] Forrester, P.J., 1993, Nucl. Phys. B, 402, 709-728.
- [16] Forrester, P.J., 1992, Phys. Lett. A, 163, 121-126.
- [17] Gelfand, I.M., Kapranov, M.M., and Zelevinsky, A.V, 1994, Discriminants, Resultants and Multidimensional Determinants, (Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA).
- [18] Good, I.J., 1970, J. Math. Phys, 11, 1884–1886.
- [19] Heine, H.E., 1878, 1881, Handbuch der Kugelfunktionen, 2 vol., (2nd edition, Berlin).
- [20] Kaneko, J., 1993, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 24, 1086–1110.
- [21] King, R.C., Toumazet, F., and Wybourne, B.G., 2003, preprint, http://www.phys.uni.torun.pl/~bgw/vanp.ps.
- [22] Krattenthaler, C., 1998, The Andrews Festschrift (Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, 42) 67pp (electronic).
- [23] Lassalle, M., 1991, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, ser. I, 312, 425–428.
- [24] Lassalle, M., 1991, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, ser. I, 312, 725–728.
- [25] Lassalle, M., 1991, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, ser. I, 313, 579-582.
- [26] Laughlin, R.B., 1983, Phys. Rev. Lett., 50, 1395–1398.
- [27] Luque, J.-G., and Thibon, J.-Y., 2002, Adv. Appl. Math., 29, 620–646.
- [28] Luque, J.-G., and Thibon, J.-Y., 2003, J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen., 36, 5267–5292.
- [29] Luque, J.-G., and Thibon, J.-Y., 2003, Phys. Rev. A, 67, 042303, 1–5.
- [30] Macdonald, I.G., 1995, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, 2nd ed., (Oxford: Clarendon press).

- [31] Mehta, M.L., 1991, Random matrices, 2nd ed., (Academic Press).
- [32] Scharf, T., Thibon, J.-Y., and Wybourne, B.G., 1994, J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen., 27, 4211–4219.
- [33] Selberg, A. 1944, Normat., 26, 71–78.
- [34] Sokolov, N.P., 1960, Spatial matrices and their applications, (Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Math.Lit., Moscow), in Russian.
- [35] Sokolov, N.P., 1972, Introduction to the Theory of Multidimensional Matrices, (Kiev: Nukova Dumka), in Rusian.
- [36] Titchmarsch, E.C., 1939, Theory of functions, (Oxford University Press, London and New-York).
- [37] Wigner, E.P., 1951, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 47, 790–798.
- [38] Wilson, K.G., 1962, J. Math. Phys, 3, 1040–1043.
- [39] Wybourne, B.G., 2002, The Vandermonde Determinant Revisited, in SSPCM'2002, Myczkowce, Poland, (Singapore: World Scientific), 74–87.
- [40] Wybourne, B.G., 2003, preprint http://www.phys.uni.torun.pl/ ~bgw/bgwybourne.ps, 2003.