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#### Abstract

For real Lévy processes $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t>0}$ having no Brownian component with Blumenthal-Getoor index $\beta$, the estimate $\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|\bar{X}_{s}-a_{p} s\right|^{p} \leq C_{p} t$ for every $t \in[0,1]$ and suitable $a_{p} \in \mathbb{R}$ has been established by Millar [6] for $\beta<p \leq 2$ provided $X_{1} \in L^{p}$. We derive extensions of these estimates to the cases $p>2$ and $p \leq \beta$.
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## 1 Introduction and results

We investigate the $L^{p}$-norm (or quasi-norm) of the maximum process of real Lévy processes having no Brownian component. A (càdlàg) Lévy process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is characterized by its so-called local characteristics in the Lévy-Khintchine formula. They depend on the way the "big" jumps are truncated. We will adopt in the following the convention that the truncation occurs at size 1 . So that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} e^{i u X_{t}}=e^{-t \Psi(u)} \text { with } \Psi(u)=-i u a+\frac{1}{2} \sigma 2 u 2-\int\left(e^{i u x}-1-i u x \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}\right) d \nu(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u, a \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma 2 \geq 0$ and $\nu$ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu(\{0\})=0$ and $\int x 2 \wedge 1 d \nu(x)<+\infty$. The measure $\nu$ is called the Lévy measure of $X$ and the quantities $(a, \sigma 2, \nu)$ are referred to as the characteristics of $X$. One shows that for $p>0, \mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ if and only if $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{t}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for every $t \geq 0$ and this in turn is equivalent to $\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for every $t \geq 0$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty \text { if and only if } \int_{\{x \mid>1\}}|x|^{p} d \nu(x)<+\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [7]). The index $\beta$ of the process $X$ introduced in [2] is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\inf \left\{p>0: \int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}|x|^{p} d \nu(x)<+\infty\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Necessarily, $\beta \in[0,2]$.

[^0]In the sequel we will assume that $\sigma 2=0$, i.e. that $X$ has no Brownian component. Then the Lévy-It decomposition of $X$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=a t+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} x(\mu-\lambda \otimes \nu)(d s, d x)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\{|x|>1\}} x \mu(d s, d x) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ denotes the Lebesgue measure and $\mu$ is the Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}$ associated with the jumps of $X$ by

$$
\mu=\sum_{t \geq 0} \varepsilon_{\left(t, \Delta X_{t}\right)} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\triangle X_{t} \neq 0\right\}},
$$

$\triangle X_{t}=X_{t}-X_{t-}, \triangle X_{0}=0($ see $[4],[7])$.
Theorem 1 Let $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Lévy process with characteristics $(a, 0, \nu)$ and index $\beta$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for some $p \in(\beta, \infty)$ or for $p=\beta$ provided $\int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}|x|^{\beta} d \nu(x)<+\infty$ and $\beta>0$. Then for every $t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}\right|^{p} & \leq C_{p} t & \text { if } p<1 \\
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}-s \mathbb{E} X_{1}\right|^{p} & \leq C_{p} t & \text { if } 1 \leq p \leq 2
\end{array}
$$

where $Y_{t}=X_{t}-t\left(a-\int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} x d \nu(x)\right)$. Furthermore, for every $p>2$

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O(t) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0
$$

for a finite real constant $C_{p}$.
If $X_{1}$ is symmetric one observes that $Y=X$ since the symmetry of $X_{1}$ implies $a=0$ and the symmetry of $\nu$ (see [7]). We emphasize that in view of the Kolmogorov criterion for continuous modifications the above bounds are best possible as concerns powers of $t$. In case $p>\beta$ and $p \leq 2$, these estimates are due to Millar [6]. However, the Laplace-transform approach in [6] does not work for $p>2$. Our proof is based on the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality.

For the case $p<\beta$ we need some assumptions on $X$. Recall that a measurable function $\varphi:(0, c] \rightarrow(0, \infty)(c>0)$ is said to be regularly varying at zero with index $b \in \mathbb{R}$ if, for every $t>0$,

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(t x)}{\varphi(x)}=t^{b}
$$

This means that $\varphi(1 / x)$ is regularly varying at infinity with index $-b$. Slow variation corresponds to $b=0$.

Theorem 2 Let $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Lévy process with characteristics $(a, 0, \nu)$ and index $\beta$ such that $\beta>0$ and $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for some $p \in(0, \beta)$. Assume that the Lévy measure satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists c \in(0,1], \mathbf{1}_{\{0<|x| \leq c\}} \nu(d x) \leq \varphi(|x|) \mathbf{1}_{\{0<|x| \leq c\}} d x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi:(0, c] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a regularly varying function at zero of index $-(\beta+1)$. Let $l(x)=$ $x^{\beta+1} \varphi(x)$ and assume that $l(1 / x), x \geq c$ is locally bounded. Let $\underline{l}(x)=\underline{l}_{\beta}(x)=l\left(x^{1 / \beta}\right)$.
(a) Assume $\beta>1$. Then as $t \rightarrow 0$, for every $r \in(\beta, 2], q \in[p \vee 1, \beta)$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p / \beta}\left[\underline{l}(t)^{p / r}+\underline{l}(t)^{p / q}\right]\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \beta<2
$$

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p / \beta}\left[1+\underline{l}(t)^{p / q}\right]\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \beta=2 .
$$

If $\nu$ is symmetric then this holds for every $q \in[p, \beta)$.
(b) Assume $\beta<1$. Then as $t \rightarrow 0$, for every $r \in(\beta, 1], q \in[p, \beta)$

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p / \beta}\left[\underline{l}(t)^{p / r}+\underline{l}(t)^{p / q}\right]\right)
$$

where $Y_{t}=X_{t}-t\left(a-\int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} x d \nu(x)\right)$. If $\nu$ is symmetric this holds for every $r \in(\beta, 2]$.
(c) Assume $\beta=1$ and $\nu$ is symmetric. Then as $t \rightarrow 0$, for every $r \in(\beta, 2], q \in[p, \beta)$

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}-a s\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p / \beta}\left[\underline{l}(t)^{p / r}+\underline{l}(t)^{p / q}\right]\right)
$$

It can be seen from strictly $\alpha$-stable Lévy processes where $\beta=\alpha$ that the above estimates are best possible as concerns powers of $t$.

Observe that condition (1.5) is satisfied for a broad class of Lévy processes. It implies that the tail function $t \mapsto \underline{\nu}(t):=\nu\left([-t, t]^{c}\right), t>0$ of the Lévy measure is dominated, for $t \leq c$, by $2 \int_{t}^{c} \varphi(x) d s+\nu(|x|>c)$, a regularly varying function at zero with index $-\beta$, so that $\underline{\nu}(t)=O(t \varphi(t))$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Important special cases are as follows.
Corollary 1.1 Assume the situation of Theorem 2 (with $\nu$ symmetric if $\beta=1$ ) and let $U$ denote any of the processes $X, Y,\left(X_{t}-a t\right)_{t \geq 0}$.
(a) Assume that the slowly varying part $l$ of $\varphi$ is decreasing and unbounded on $(0, c]\left(e . g .(-\log x)^{a}, a>\right.$ $0)$. Then as $t \rightarrow 0$, for every $\varepsilon \in(0, \beta)$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|U_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p / \beta} \underline{l}(t)^{p /(\beta-\varepsilon}\right)
$$

(b) Assume that $l$ is increasing on $(0, c]$ satisfying $l(0+)=0\left(e . g .(-\log x)^{-a}, a>0, c<1\right)$ and $\beta \in(0,2)$. Then as $t \rightarrow 0$, for every $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|U_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p / \beta} \underline{l}(t)^{p /(\beta+\varepsilon}\right)
$$

The remaining cases $p=\beta \in(0,2)$ if $\beta \neq 1$ and $p \leq 1$ if $\beta=1$ are solved under the assumption that the slowly varying part of the function $\varphi$ in (1.5) is constant.
Theorem 3 Let $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Lévy process with characteristics $(a, 0, \nu)$ and index $\beta$ such that $\beta \in(0,2)$ and $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{\beta}<+\infty$ if $\beta \neq 1$ and $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for some $p \leq 1$ if $\beta=1$. Assume that the Lévy measure satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists c \in(0,1], \exists C \in(0, \infty), \mathbf{1}_{\{0<|x| \leq c\}} \nu(d x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\beta+1}} \mathbf{1}_{\{0<|x| \leq c\}} d x \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then as $t \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{\beta}=O(t(-\log t)) \text { if } \beta \gg 1 \\
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}\right|^{\beta}=O(t(-\log t)) \text { if } \beta<1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left((t(-\log t))^{p}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \beta=1, p \leq 1
$$

where the process $Y$ is defined as in Theorem 2.
The above estimates are optimal (see Section 3).
The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1,2 and 3. Section 3 contains a collection of examples.

## 2 Proofs

We will extensively use the following compensation formula (see e.g. [4])

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t} \int f(s, x) \mu(d s, d x)=\mathbb{E} \sum_{s \leq t} f\left(s, \Delta X_{s}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\Delta X_{s} \neq 0\right\}}=\int_{0}^{t} \int f(s, x) d \nu(x) d s
$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a Borel function.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ and $p>\beta$ (or $p=\beta$ provided $\int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}|x|^{\beta} d \nu(x)<+\infty$ and $\beta>0$ ), it follows from (1.2) that

$$
\int|x|^{p} d \nu(x)<+\infty
$$

CASE 1: $0<p<1$. In this case we have $\beta<1$ and hence $\int_{\{x \mid \leq 1\}}|x| d \nu(x)<+\infty$. Consequently, $X$ a.s. has finite variation on finite intervals. By (1.4),

$$
Y_{t}=X_{t}-t\left(a-\int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} x d \nu(x)\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \int x \mu(d s, d x)=\sum_{s \leq t} \triangle X_{s}
$$

so that, using the elementary inequality $(u+v)^{p} \leq u^{p}+v^{p}$,

$$
\sup _{s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}\right|^{p} \leq\left(\sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}\right|\right)^{p} \leq \sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}\right|^{p}=\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{p} \mu(d s, d x)
$$

Consequently,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}\right|^{p} \leq t \int|x|^{p} d \nu(x) \text { for every } t \geq 0
$$

CASE 2: $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Introduce the martingale

$$
M_{t}:=X_{t}-t \mathbb{E} X_{1}=X_{t}-t\left(a+\int_{\{|x|>1\}} x d \nu(x)\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \int x(\mu-\lambda \otimes \nu)(d s, d x)
$$

It follows from the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality (see [5]) that

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} \leq C \mathbb{E}[M]_{t}^{p / 2}
$$

for some finite constant $C$. Since $p / 2 \leq 1$, the quadratic variation $[M]$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
[M]_{t}^{p / 2}=\left(\sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2} \leq \sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}\right|^{p}
$$

so that

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} \leq C t \int|x|^{p} d \nu(x) \text { for every } t \geq 0
$$

CASE 3: $p>2$. One considers again the martingale Lévy process $M_{t}=X_{t}-t \mathbb{E} X_{1}$. For $k \geq 1$ such that $2^{k} \leq p$, introduce the martingales

$$
N_{t}^{(k)}:=\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{2^{k}}(\mu-\lambda \otimes \nu)(d s, d x)=\sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}\right|^{2^{k}}-t \int|x|^{2^{k}} d \nu(x)
$$

Set $m:=\max \left\{k \geq 1: 2^{k}<p\right\}$. Again by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} & \leq C \mathbb{E}[M]_{t}^{p / 2} \\
& =C \mathbb{E}\left(t \int x 2 d \nu(x)+N_{t}^{(1)}\right)^{p / 2} \\
& =\leq C\left(t^{p / 2}\left(\int x 2 d \nu(x)\right)^{p / 2}+\mathbb{E}\left|N_{t}^{(1)}\right|^{p / 2}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(t+\mathbb{E}\left|N_{t}^{(1)}\right|^{p / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $t \in[0,1]$ where $C$ is a finite constant that may vary from line to line. Applying successively the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality to the martingales $N^{(k)}$ and exponents $p / 2^{k}>1,1 \leq k \leq$ $m$, finally yields

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} \leq C\left(t+\mathbb{E}\left[N^{(m)}\right]_{t}^{p / 2^{m+1}}\right) \text { for every } t \in[0,1] .
$$

Using $p \leq 2^{m+1}$, one gets

$$
\left[N^{(m)}\right]_{t}^{p / 2 m+1}=\left(\sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}\right|^{2^{m+1}}\right)^{p / 2^{m+1}} \leq \sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}\right|^{p}
$$

so that

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} \leq C\left(t+t \int|x|^{p} d \nu(x)\right) \quad \text { for every } t \in[0,1] .
$$

This implies $\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.
Proof of Theorems 2 and 3. Let $p \leq \beta$ and fix $c \in(0,1]$. Let $\nu_{1}=\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq c\}} \cdot \nu$ and $\nu_{2}=\mathbf{1}_{\{|x|>c\}} \cdot \nu$. Construct Lévy processes $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ such that $X \stackrel{d}{=} X^{(1)}+X^{(2)}$ and $X^{(2)}$ is a compound Poisson process with Lévy measure $\nu_{2}$. Then $\beta=\beta(X)=\beta\left(X^{(1)}\right), \beta\left(X^{(2)}\right)=0, \mathbb{E}\left|X^{(1)}\right|^{q}<+\infty$ for every $q>0$ and $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}^{(2)}\right|^{p}<+\infty$. It follows e.g. from Theorem 1 that for every $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}^{(2)}\right|^{p} \leq C_{p} t \quad \text { if } p<1,  \tag{2.1}\\
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X^{(2)}-s \mathbb{E} X_{1}^{(2)}\right|^{p} \leq C_{p} t \quad \text { if } 1 \leq p \leq 2
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathbb{E} X_{1}^{(2)}=\int x d \nu_{2}(x)=\int_{\{|x|>c\}} x d \nu(x)$.
As concerns $X^{(1)}$, consider the martingale

$$
Z_{t}^{(1)}:=X_{t}^{(1)}-t E X_{1}^{(1)}=X_{t}^{(1)}-t\left(a-\int x \mathbf{1}_{\{c<|x| \leq 1\}} d \nu(x)\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \int x\left(\mu_{1}-\lambda \otimes \nu_{1}\right)(d s, d x)
$$

where $\mu_{1}$ denotes the Poisson random measure associated with the jumps of $X^{(1)}$. The starting idea is to part the 'small' and the 'big' jumps of $X^{(1)}$ in a non homogeneous way with respect to the function $s \mapsto s^{1 / \beta}$. Indeed one may decompose $Z^{(1)}$ as follows

$$
Z^{(1)}=M+N
$$

where

$$
M_{t}:=\int_{0}^{t} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}}\left(\mu_{1}-\lambda \otimes \nu_{1}\right)(d s, d x)
$$

and

$$
N_{t}:=\int_{0}^{t} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}}\left(\mu_{1}-\lambda \otimes \nu_{1}\right)(d s, d x)
$$

are martingales. Observe that for every $q>0$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s & =\int|x|^{q}\left(|x|^{\beta} \wedge t\right) d \nu_{1}(x) \\
& \leq \int_{\{|x| \leq c\}}|x|^{\beta+q} d \nu(x)<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
N_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \mu_{1}(s, x)-\psi(t)
$$

where $\psi(t):=\int_{0}^{t} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s$. Furthermore, for every $r>\beta$ or $r=2$ and $t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s \leq t \int_{\{|x| \leq c\}}|x|^{r} d \nu(x)<+\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel let $C$ denote a finite constant that may vary from line to line.
We first claim that for every $t \geq 0, r \in(\beta, 2] \cap[1,2]$ and for $r=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right| \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / r} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, it follows from the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and from $p / r \leq 1, r / 2 \leq 1$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{p} & \leq\left(\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|M_{s}\right|^{r}\right)^{p / r} \\
& \leq C\left(\mathbb{E}[M]_{t}^{r / 2}\right)^{p / r} \\
& =C\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}^{(1)}\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\triangle X_{s}^{(1)}\right| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}}\right)^{r / 2}\right)^{p / r} \\
& \leq C\left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{s \leq t}\left|\triangle X_{s}^{(1)}\right|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\triangle X_{s}^{(1)}\right| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}}\right)^{p / r} \\
& =C\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Exactly as for $M$, one gets for every $t \geq 0$ and every $q \in[p, 2] \cap[1,2]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|N_{s}\right|^{p} \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / q} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\nu$ is symmetric then (2.4) holds for every $q \in[p, 2]$ (which of course provides additional information in case $p<1$ only). Indeed, $\psi=0$ by the symmetry of $\nu$ so that

$$
N_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \mu_{1}(s, x)
$$

and for $q \in[p, 1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|\int_{0}^{s} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>u^{1 / \beta}\right\}} \mu_{1}(d u, d x)\right|^{p} & \leq\left(\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>u^{1 / \beta}\right\}} \mu_{1}(d u, d x)\right|^{q}\right)^{p / q}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq\left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{s \leq t}\left|\Delta X_{s}^{(1)}\right|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\left|\Delta X_{s}^{(1)}\right|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}}\right)^{p / q} \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / q}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $\beta<1$ we consider the process

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t}^{(1)} & :=Z_{t}^{(1)}+t \int x d \nu_{1}(x)=X_{t}^{(1)}-t\left(a-\int_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} x d \nu(x)\right) \\
& =M_{t}+N_{t}+t \int x d \nu_{1}(x) \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} \mu_{1}(d s, d x)+\int_{0}^{1} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} \mu_{1}(d s, d x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Exactly as in (2.5) one shows that for $t \geq 0$ and $r \in(\beta, 1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|\int_{0}^{s} \int x \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq u^{1 / \beta}\right\}} \mu_{1}(d u, d x)\right|^{p} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / r} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.3) - (2.6) we obtain the following estimates. Let

$$
Z_{t}=X_{t}-t\left(a-\int x \mathbf{1}_{\{c<|x| \leq 1\}} d \nu(x)\right)
$$

CASE 1: $\beta \geq 1$ and $p<1$. Then for every $t \geq 0, r \in(\beta, 2] \cup\{2\}, q \in[1,2]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{p} \leq & C\left(t+\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / r}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / q}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\nu$ is symmetric (2.7) is even valid for every $q \in[p, 2]$.
CASE 2: $\beta \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$. Then for every $t \geq 0, r \in(\beta, 2] \cup\{2\}, q \in[p, 2]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}-s \mathbb{E} X_{1}\right|^{p} \leq & C\left(t+\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / r}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{0}^{t}|x|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / q}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

CASE 3: $\beta<1$. Then for every $t \geq 0, r \in(\beta, 1], q \in[p, 1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}\right|^{p} \leq & C\left(t+\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / r}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{0}^{t}|x|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s\right)^{p / q}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\nu$ is symmetric then $Y=Z=\left(X_{t}-a t\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and (2.9) is valid for every $r \in(\beta, 2], q \in[p, 2]$.
Now we deduce Theorem 2. Assume $p \in(0, \beta)$ and (1.5). The constant $c$ in the above decomposition of $X$ is specified by the constant from (1.5). Then one just needs to investigate the integrals appearing in the right hand side of the inequalities (2.7) - (2.10). One observes that Theorem 1.5.11 in [1] yields for $r>\beta$,

$$
\int|x|^{r} \varphi(|x|) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d x \sim \frac{2}{r-\beta} s^{\frac{r}{\beta}-1} l\left(s^{1 / \beta}\right) \text { as } s \rightarrow 0
$$

which in turn implies that for small $t$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s & \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \varphi(|x|) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d x d s  \tag{2.10}\\
& \sim \frac{2 \beta}{(r-\beta) r} t^{r / \beta} l\left(t^{1 / \beta}\right) \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for $0<q<\beta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s & \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{q} \varphi(|x|) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d x d s  \tag{2.11}\\
& \sim \frac{2 \beta}{(\beta-q) q} t^{q / \beta} l\left(t^{1 / \beta}\right) \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.2) for the case $\beta=2$ and $t+t^{p}=o\left(t^{p / \beta} \underline{l}(t)^{\alpha}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow 0, \alpha>0$, for the case $\beta>1$ one derives Theorem 2.

As for Theorem 3, one just needs a suitable choice of $q$ in (2.7) - (2.9). Note that by (1.6) for every $\beta \in(0,2)$ and $t \leq c^{\beta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{\beta} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x|>s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s & =\int_{0}^{t} \int^{t}|x|^{\beta} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{c \geq|x| \gg s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu(x) d s \\
& \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{c \geq|x| \gg s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d x d s \\
& =C t(-\log t)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $q=\beta$ is the right choice. (This choice of $q$ is optimal.) Since by (2.10), for $r \in(\beta, 2](\neq \emptyset)$,

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \int|x|^{r} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|x| \leq s^{1 / \beta}\right\}} d \nu_{1}(x) d s=O\left(t^{r / \beta}\right)
$$

the assertions follow from (2.7) - (2.9).

## 3 Examples

Let $K_{\nu}$ denote the modified Bessel function of the third kind and index $\nu$ given by

$$
K_{\nu}(z)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{\nu-1} \exp \left(-\frac{z}{2}\left(u+\frac{1}{u}\right)\right) d u, \quad z>0
$$

- The $\Gamma$-process is a subordinator (increasing Lévy process) whose distribution $\mathbb{P}_{X_{t}}$ at time $t>0$ is a $\Gamma(1, t)$-distribution

$$
\mathbb{P}_{X_{t}}(d x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(t)} x^{t-1} e^{-x} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) d x
$$

The characteristics are given by

$$
\nu(d x)=\frac{1}{x} e^{-x} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) d s
$$

and $a=\int_{0}^{1} x d \nu(x)=1-e^{-1}$ so that $\beta=0$ and $Y=X$. It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t} X_{s}^{p}=\mathbb{E} X_{t}^{p}=O(t)
$$

for every $p>0$. This is clearly the true rate since

$$
\mathbb{E} X_{t}^{p}=\frac{\Gamma(p+t)}{\Gamma(t+1)} t \sim \Gamma(p) t \text { as } t \rightarrow 0 .
$$

- The $\alpha$-stable Lévy Processes indexed by $\alpha \in(0,2)$ have Lévy measure

$$
\nu(d x)=\left(\frac{C_{1}}{x^{\alpha+1}} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x)+\frac{C_{2}}{|x|^{\alpha+1}} \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(x)\right) d x
$$

with $C_{i} \geq 0, C_{1}+C_{2}>0$ so that $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for $p \in(0, \alpha), \mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{\alpha}=\infty$ and $\beta=\alpha$. It follows from Theorems 2 and 3 that for $p \in(0, \alpha)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p} & =O\left(t^{p / \alpha}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha>1 \\
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|Y_{s}\right|^{p} & =O\left(t^{p / \alpha}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha<1, \\
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p} & =O\left((t(-\log t))^{p}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here Theorem 3 gives the true rate provided $X$ is not strictly stable. In fact, if $\alpha=1$ the scaling property in this case says that $X_{t} \stackrel{d}{=} t X_{1}+C t \log t$ for some real constant $C \neq 0$ (see [7], p.87) so that for $p<1$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|X_{t}\right|^{p}=t^{p} \mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}+C \log t\right|^{p} \sim C^{p} t^{p}|\log t|^{p} \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow 0
$$

Now assume that $X$ is strictly $\alpha$-stable. If $\alpha<1$, then $a=\int_{|x|<1} x d \nu(x)$ and thus $Y=X$ and if $\alpha=1$, then $\nu$ is symmetric (see [7]). Consequently, by Theorem $\overline{2}$, for every $\alpha \in(0,2), p \in(0, \alpha)$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p / \alpha}\right)
$$

In this case Theorem 2 provides the true rate since the self-similarity property of strictly stable Lévy processes implies

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=t^{p / \alpha} \mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq 1}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}
$$

- Tempered stable processes are subordinators with Lévy measure

$$
\nu(d x)=\frac{2^{\alpha} \cdot \alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} x^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{1 / \alpha} x\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) d x
$$

and first characteristic $a=\int_{0}^{1} x d \nu(x), \alpha \in(0,1), \gamma>0$ (see [8]) so that $\beta=\alpha, Y=X$ and $\mathbb{E} X_{1}^{p}<+\infty$ for every $p>0$. The distribution of $X_{t}$ is not generally known. It follows from Theorems 1,2 and 3 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} X_{t}^{p}=O(t) \quad \text { if } \quad p>\alpha, \\
& \mathbb{E} X_{t}^{p}=O\left(t^{p / \alpha}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad p<\alpha \\
& \mathbb{E} X_{t}^{\alpha}=O(t(-\log t)) \quad \text { if } \quad p=\alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\alpha=1 / 2$, the process reduces to the inverse Gaussian process whose ditribution $\mathbb{P}_{X_{t}}$ at time $t>0$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{P}_{X_{t}}(d x)=\frac{t}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} x^{-3 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{x}}-\gamma \sqrt{x}\right)^{2}\right) \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) d x
$$

In this case all rates are the true rates. In fact, for $p>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} X_{t}^{p} & =\frac{t}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{t \gamma} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{p-3 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t^{2}}{x}+\gamma^{2} x\right)\right) d x \\
& =\frac{t}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{t \gamma}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{p-3 / 2} t^{p-1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{p-3 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{t \gamma}{2}\left(\frac{1}{y}+\gamma^{2} y\right)\right) d y \\
& =\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \gamma^{p-3 / 2} t^{p+1 / 2} e^{t \gamma} K_{p-1 / 2}(t \gamma)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{p-1 / 2}(z) & \sim \frac{C_{p}}{z^{p-1 / 2}} \quad \text { if } \quad p>\frac{1}{2} \\
K_{p-1 / 2}(z) & \sim \frac{C_{p}}{z^{1 / 2-p}} \\
K_{0}(z) & \sim \left\lvert\, \log \quad p<\frac{1}{2}\right. \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{p}=2^{p-3 / 2} \Gamma(p-1 / 2)$ if $p>1 / 2$ and $C_{p}=2^{-p-1 / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-p\right)$ if $p<1 / 2$.

- The Normal Inverse Gaussian (NIG) process was introduced by Barndorff-Nielsen and has been used in financial modeling (see [8]). The NIG process is a Lévy process with characteristics $(a, 0, \nu)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu(d x) & =\frac{\delta \alpha}{\pi} \frac{\exp (\gamma x) K_{1}(\alpha|x|)}{|x|} d x \\
a & =\frac{2 \delta \alpha}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \sin h(\gamma x) K_{1}(\alpha x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$\alpha>0, \gamma \in(-\alpha, \alpha), \delta>0$. Since $K_{1}(|z|) \sim|z|^{-1}$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, the Lévy density behaves like $\delta \pi^{-1}|x|^{-2}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ so that (1.6) is satisfied with $\beta=1$. One also checks that $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for every $p>0$. It follows from Theorems 1 and 3 that, as $t \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O(t) \quad \text { if } \quad p>1 \\
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left((t(-\log t))^{p}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad p \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\gamma=0$, then $\nu$ is symmetric and by Theorem 2 ,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad p<1
$$

The distribution $\mathbb{P}_{X_{t}}$ at time $t>0$ is given by

$$
\mathbb{P}_{X_{t}}(d x)=\frac{t \delta \alpha}{\pi} \exp \left(t \delta \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-\gamma^{2}}+\gamma x\right) \frac{K_{1}\left(\alpha \sqrt{t^{2} \delta^{2}+x^{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{t^{2} \delta^{2}+x^{2}}} d x
$$

so that Theorem 3 gives the true rate for $p=\beta=1$ in the symmetric case. In fact, assuming $\gamma=0$, we get as $t \rightarrow 0$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|X_{t}\right|=\frac{2 t \delta \alpha}{\pi} e^{t \delta \alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x K_{1}\left(\alpha \sqrt{t^{2} \delta^{2}+x^{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{t^{2} \delta^{2}+x^{2}}} d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{2 t \delta \alpha}{\pi} e^{t \delta \alpha} \int_{t \delta}^{\infty} K_{1}(\alpha y) d y \\
& \sim \frac{2 \delta}{\pi} t \int_{t \delta}^{1} \frac{1}{y} d y \\
& \sim \frac{2 \delta}{\pi} t(-\log (t)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hyperbolic Lévy motions have been applied to option pricing in finance (see [3]). These processes are Lévy processes whose distribution $\mathbb{P}_{X_{1}}$ at time $t=1$ is a symmetric (centered) hyperbolic distribution

$$
\mathbb{P}_{X_{1}}(d x)=C \exp \left(-\delta \sqrt{1+(x / \gamma)^{2}}\right) d x, \quad \gamma, \delta>0
$$

Hyperbolic Lévy processes have characteristics $(0,0, \nu)$ and satisfy $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for every $p>0$. In particular, they are martingales. There (rather involved) symmetric Lévy measure has a Lebesgue density that behaves like $C x^{-2}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ so that (1.6) is satisfied with $\beta=1$. Consequently, by Theorems 1,2 and 3 , as $t \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p} & =O(t) \quad \text { if } \quad p>1, \\
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p} & =O\left(t^{p}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad p<1, \\
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right| & =O(t(-\log t)) \quad \text { if } \quad p=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Meixner processes are Lévy processes without Brownian component and with Lévy measure given by

$$
\nu(d x)=\frac{\delta e^{\gamma x}}{x \sinh (\pi x)} d x, \delta>0, \gamma \in(-\pi, \pi)
$$

(see [8]). The density behaves like $\delta / \pi x 2$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ so that (1.6) is satisfied with $\beta=1$. Using (1.2) one observes that $\mathbb{E}\left|X_{1}\right|^{p}<+\infty$ for every $p>0$. It follows from Theorems 1 and 3 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t} X_{s}\right|^{p}=O(t) \quad \text { if } \quad p>1, \\
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left((t(-\log t))^{p}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad p \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\gamma=0$, then $\nu$ is symmetric and hence Theorem 2 yields

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{s \leq t}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}=O\left(t^{p}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad p<1
$$
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