

\textbf{C} rystal graphs of irreducible $\mathcal{U_v}(\overline{s}\mathbf{l_e})$ -modules of level **two and Uglov bipartitions**

Nicolas Jacon

To cite this version:

Nicolas Jacon. Crystal graphs of irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{\perp}v(sl_e)$ -modules of level two and Uglov bipartitions. Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics / Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics An International Journal, 2008, 27 (No. 2), pp.143-162. hal-00084925

HAL Id: hal-00084925 <https://hal.science/hal-00084925v1>

Submitted on 11 Jul 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CRYSTAL GRAPHS OF IRREDUCIBLE $\mathcal{U}_v(\mathfrak{sl}_e)$ -MODULES OF LEVEL TWO AND UGLOV BIPARTITIONS

NICOLAS JACON

ABSTRACT. We give a simple description of the natural bijection between the set of FLOTW bipartitions and the set of Uglov bipartitions (which generalizes the set of Kleshchev bipartitions). These bipartitions, which label the crystal graphs of irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ -modules of level two, naturally appear in the context of the modular representation theory of Hecke algebras of type B_n .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n > 0$ and let W_n be the Weyl group of type B_n with set of simple reflections $S := \{t, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}\$ and relations symbolized by the following braid diagram:

$$
B_n \quad \overbrace{\bigcirc \qquad \bigcirc \qquad \qquad }^{t} \qquad S_1 \qquad S_2 \qquad \qquad S_{n-1}
$$

Let k be a field and $Q, q \in k^{\times}$. We denote by $H_n := H_k(W_n, Q, q)$ the corresponding Iwahori–Hecke algebra. This is an associative unitary k algebra generated by the elements T_s for $s \in S$, subject to the braid relations symbolized by the above diagram and the relations $(T_t - Q)(T_t + 1) = 0$, $(T_{s_i} - q)(T_{s_i} + 1) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. When H_n is semisimple, Tits deformation theorem shows that the simple modules of this algebra are in natural bijection with the simple modules of the group algebra kW_n . In the non semisimple case, the classification of the simple H_n -modules was achieved by Dipper-James in[[7](#page-20-0)], and Ariki, Ariki-Mathas in [[1](#page-19-0)],[\[2](#page-19-0)],[[4](#page-20-0)] using the theory of canonical bases and crystal graphs for quantum groups.

Let $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ be the quantum group of type $A_{e-}^{(1)}$ $_{e-1}^{(1)}$. Then Ariki and Ariki-Mathas have shown that the set of simple H_n –modules $\text{Irr}(H_n)$ is in natural bijection with the Kashiwara crystal basis of the irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\mathfrak{sl}_e)$ -module with highest weight a sum of two fundamental weights $\Lambda_{v_0} + \Lambda_{v_1}$ (0 \leq $v_0, v_1 < e$). There are several natural ways to obtain a parametrization of this basis, depending on a choice of integers s_0 and s_1 in the classes of v_0 and v_1 modulo e. Hence we obtain several possibilities for labelling the same set Irr (H_n) , there are given by a certain class of bipartitions $\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}$ named "Uglov bipartitions". This kind of bipartitions both generalizes the

Date: July, 2006.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B37; Secondary 20C08.

set of FLOTW bipartitions (which correspond to the case $0 \leq s_0, s_1 \leq e$, see[[12\]](#page-20-0)), and the set of Kleshchev bipartitions (corresponding to the case where $s_0 - s_1 > n - 1 - e$, see [[2](#page-19-0)]).

In [\[10](#page-20-0)], M. Geck and the author have given an interpretation of this fact in the context of the representation theory of Hecke algebras. We showed that each of the parameterizations by $\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}$ is linked with the existence of canonical basic sets and induced the unitriangularity of the decomposition matrix associated with a specialization and a choice of a "weight function" (in the sense of Lusztig [\[16](#page-20-0), §3.1]).

In general we only know a recursive definition of the sets of Uglov bipartitions and a natural problem is to obtain a non recursive (and simple) characterization of these sets. In the case where $s_0 - s_1 > n - 1 - e$ (known as the "asymptotic case"), this problem has been recently solved by Ariki, Kreiman and Tsuchioka in[[5](#page-20-0)] using results of Littelmann. Our purpose is to obtain a new characterization of all Uglov bipartitions using the following facts:

- in the case where $0 \leq s_0 \leq s_1 < e$, we know a simple non recursive characterization of the set $\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}$, the FLOTW bipartitions [\[6](#page-20-0)],
- if $s'_0 \equiv s_0 \pmod{e}$ and $s'_1 \equiv s_1 \pmod{e}$ or if $s'_0 \equiv s_1 \pmod{e}$ and $s'_1 \equiv s_0 \pmod{e}$, we have a bijection between $\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}$ and $\Phi_{e,n}^{(s'_0,s'_1)}$.

Hence, if we know a simple (and non recursive) description of the above bijection, the desired characterizations of all Uglov bipartitions will follow. Quite remarkably, the main result of this paper, Theorem [4.6,](#page-13-0) together with works of Leclerc and Miyachi shows that this bijection is controlled by the canonical bases of the irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty})$ -modules. As a special case, we obtain a quite simple and new characterization of the set of Kleshchev bipartitions (but which remains recursive ...) using the notion of symbols.

The paper will be organized as follows. The first section gives a brief exposition of the theory of crystal graphs and connections with the representation theory of Hecke algebras. In the second and third section, our main results are stated and proved: we study the combinatoric of Uglov bipartitions and we give a description of the above bijection. This description is largely inspired by the works of Leclerc and Miyachi. In the last section, we describe the relations of our results with these works.

2. Crystal graphs of v-deformed Fock spaces of level 2

2.1. Fock spaces. Let v be an indeterminate and let e be a positive integer. Let h be a free Z-module with basis $\{h_i, \mathfrak{d} \mid 0 \leq i < e\}$ and let $\{\Lambda_i, \delta \mid 0 \leq i \leq e\}$ $i < e$ } be the dual basis with respect to the pairing:

$$
\langle\ ,\ \rangle:\mathfrak{h}^*\times\mathfrak{h}\to\mathbb{Z}
$$

such that $\langle \Lambda_i, h_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \langle \delta, \mathfrak{d} \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \Lambda_i, \mathfrak{d} \rangle = \langle \delta, h_j \rangle = 0$ for $0 \leq i, j < e$. The Λ_k (1 \leq k \leq e) are called the *fundamental weights*. The quantum group $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{e})$ of type $A^{(1)}_{e-}$ $\binom{1}{e-1}$ is a unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}(v)$ which is

generated by elements $\{e_i, f_i \mid i \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}\}\$ and $\{k_h \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\}\$ subject to the relations described for example in[[17](#page-20-0), chapter 6].

In this paper, we want to study the irreducible $\mathcal{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ -modules with highest weight Λ where Λ is a sum of two fundamental weights $\Lambda_{v_0} + \Lambda_{v_1}$, with $0 \le v_0, v_1 < e$. These modules can be constructed by using the Fock space representation which we now define. Let $\Pi_{2,n}$ be the set of bipartitions of rank n, that is the set of 2-tuples $(\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)})$ such that $\lambda^{(0)}$ (resp. $\lambda^{(1)}$) is a partition or rank a_1 (resp. a_2) with $a_1 + a_2 = n$. Let $s = (s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that $s_0 \equiv v_0 \pmod{e}$ and $s_1 \equiv v_1 \pmod{e}$ or such that $s_0 \equiv v_1 \pmod{e}$ and $s_1 \equiv v_0 \pmod{e}$. The Fock space (of level 2) is defined to be the $\mathbb{C}(v)$ -vector space generated by the symbols $|\lambda, s\rangle$ with $\lambda \in \Pi_{2,n}$:

$$
\mathfrak{F}^{\boldsymbol{s}}:=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}\bigoplus_{{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\in\Pi_{2,n}}\mathbb{C}(v)|{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, {\boldsymbol{s}}\rangle.
$$

Let us introduce some additional notations concerning the combinatorics of bipartitions. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)})$ be a bipartition of rank n. The diagram of λ is the following set:

$$
[\lambda] = \left\{ (a, b, c) \mid 0 \le c \le 1, \ 1 \le b \le \lambda_a^{(c)} \right\}.
$$

The elements of this diagram are called the *nodes* of λ . Let $\gamma = (a, b, c)$ be a node of λ . The *residue* of γ associated to e and (s_0, s_1) is the element of $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ defined by:

$$
res(\gamma) \equiv (b - a + s_c) \pmod{e}.
$$

If γ is a node with residue i, we say that γ is an i-node. Let λ and μ be two bipartitions of rank n and $n + 1$ such that $[\lambda] \subset [\mu]$. There exists a node γ such that $[\mu] = [\lambda] \cup {\gamma}$. Then, we denote $[\mu]/[\lambda] = \gamma$ and if res(γ) = *i*, we say that γ is an *addable i*-node for λ and a *removable i*-node for μ . Let $i \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$, we introduce a total order on the set of *i*nodes of a bipartition. Let $\gamma = (a, b, c)$ and $\gamma' = (a', b', c')$ be two *i*-nodes of a bipartition. We denote $\gamma \lt_{(s_0, s_1)} \gamma'$ if:

$$
b-a+s_c < b' - a' + s_{c'}
$$
 or if $b-a+s_c = b' - a' + s_{c'}$ and $c' < c$.

Note that this order strongly depends on the choice of s_0 and s_1 in the classes of v_0 and v_1 modulo e . Note also this the order coincides with that of [\[10](#page-20-0)].

Using this order, it is possible to define an action of $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{e})$ on the Fock space \mathfrak{F}^s such that \mathfrak{F}^s becomes an integrable $\mathcal{U}_v(\mathfrak{sl}_e)$ -module. Moreover it is known that the submodule M_s generated by the empty bipartition is a highest weight module with weight $\Lambda_{v_0} + \Lambda_{v_1}$ ([\[14](#page-20-0)] for details). Hence, if $\mathbf{s}' = (s'_0, s'_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is such that $s_0 \equiv s'_0 \pmod{e}$ and $s_1 \equiv s'_1 \pmod{e}$ or such that $s_0 \equiv s_1' \pmod{e}$ and $s_1 \equiv s_0' \pmod{e}$, then the modules M_s and $M_{s'}$ are isomorphic. However, it is important to note that the actions of $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\mathfrak{sl}_e)$ on the elements of the standard basis $|\lambda, s\rangle$ and $|\lambda, s'\rangle$ are different in general.

4 Nicolas Jacon

Remark 2.1. Let $(v_0, v_1) \in \{0, 1, \dots, e-1\}^2$. Then it is possible to define another order on the set of i-nodes of a bipartition as follows : we write $\gamma = (a, b, c) <_{(v_0, v_1)_+} \gamma' = (a', b', c')$ if:

$$
c' < c \text{ or if } c = c' \text{ and } a' < a.
$$

Note that if we fix a bipartition λ of rank n, then the above order on the *i*-nodes of λ coincides with $\lt_{(s_0,s_1)}$ in the case where $s_0 \equiv v_0 \pmod{e}$ and $s_1 \equiv v_1 \pmod{e}$ and $s_0 >> s_1$. This order will be referred to the *positive* asymptotic order and this is the one used by Ariki [\[2\]](#page-19-0) in its determination of the simple modules for Hecke algebras of type B_n .

Similarly, we can define another order on the set of i -nodes of a bipartition as follows: $\gamma = (a, b, c) <_{(v_0, v_1)_-} \gamma' = (a', b', c')$ if:

$$
c' > c
$$
 or if $c = c'$ and $a' < a$.

If we fix a bipartition λ of rank n, then the above order on the *i*-nodes of λ coincides with $\lt_{(s_0,s_1)}$ in the case where $s_0 \equiv v_0 \pmod{e}$ and $s_1 \equiv v_1 \pmod{e}$ and $s_0 \ll s_1$. This order will be referred to the *negative asymptotic order*.

In the two cases, we obtain an action of $\mathcal{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ on the space $\mathfrak{F}^{(v_0,v_1)}$ and the submodules $M^+_{v_0,v_1}$ and $M^-_{v_0,v_1}$ generated by the empty bipartition are both irreducible highest weight modules with weight $\Lambda_{v_0} + \Lambda_{v_1}$ and they are isomorphic.

2.2. Crystal graph of M_s . As the modules M_s are integrable highest weight modules, the general theory of Kashiwara and Lusztig provides us with a *canonical basis of* M_s . We don't need in this paper the definition of this basis but by the deep results of Ariki[[1](#page-19-0)], one of the interest of this basis is that it provides a way to compute the decomposition matrices for Hecke algebras of type B_n (see [\[3,](#page-20-0) Theorem 14.49]). In order to make an efficient use of this, we need to determine a good parametrization of the canonical basis. This is given by studying the Kashiwara crystal graph which we now describe.

Let λ be a bipartition and let γ be an *i*-node of λ , we say that γ is a normal *i*-node of λ if, whenever η is an *i*-node of λ such that $\eta >_{(s_0,s_1)} \gamma$, there are more removable *i*-nodes between η and γ than addable *i*-nodes between η and γ . If γ is the minimal normal *i*-node of λ with respect to $\lt_{(s_0,s_1)}$, we say that γ is a good *i*-node.

Following [\[2,](#page-19-0) §2], the normal *i*-nodes of a bipartition λ can be easily obtained using the following process. We first read addable and removable *i*-nodes of λ in increasing order with respect to $\lt_{(s_0,s_1)}$. If we write A for an addable *i*-node and R for a removable one, we get a sequence of A and R. Then we delete RA as many as possible. The remaining removable *i*-nodes in the sequence are the normal i-nodes and the node corresponding to the leftmost R is a good *i*-node.

Example 2.2. Let $e = 4$, $s = (0, 6)$ and $\lambda = ((4, 3, 1, 1), (4))$, The Young diagram of λ with residues is the following one:

We have one addable 1-node $(2, 1, 1)$ and three removable 1-nodes $(4, 1, 0)$, $(2, 3, 0)$ and $(1, 4, 1)$. We have:

$$
(4,1,0) <_{(0,6)} (2,3,0) <_{(0,6)} (2,1,1) <_{(0,6)} (1,4,1),
$$

and the associated sequence of removable and addable 1-nodes is RRAR. Hence $(4, 1, 0)$ and $(1, 4, 1)$ are normal 1-nodes of λ and $(4, 1, 0)$ is a good 1-node for λ .

Note that this notion depends on the order $\lt_{(s_0,s_1)}$ and thus, on the choice of s. To define the crystal graph of M_s , we need to introduce the one of the Fockspace \mathcal{F}^s . This graph has been studied by Jimbo et al. [[14](#page-20-0)], Foda et al. [\[6](#page-20-0)] and Uglov[[18](#page-20-0)]. It is given by:

- vertices: the bipartitions,
- edges: $\lambda \stackrel{i}{\rightarrow} \mu$ if and only if $[\mu]/[\lambda]$ is a good *i*-node.

Then, the crystal graph of $M_{\rm s}$ is the connected components of that of $\mathcal{F}^{\rm s}$ which contain the vacuum vector \emptyset . The vertices of this graph, which are in natural bijection with the canonical basis elements of M_s , are given by the following class of bipartitions.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. The set of Uglov bipartitions $\Phi_{e,n}^{\mathbf{s}}$ is defined recursively as follows.

- We have $\mathbf{\emptyset} := (\emptyset, \emptyset) \in \Phi_{e,n}^{\mathbf{s}}$.
- If $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^s$, there exist $i \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$ and a good *i*-node γ such that if we remove γ from λ , the resulting bipartition is in $\Phi_{e,n-1}^{\mathbf{s}}$.

In the special case where $0 \leq s_0 \leq s_1 < e$, Foda, Leclerc, Okado, Thibon and Welsh have given a non recursive parametrization of this kind of bipartitions.

Proposition 2.4 (Foda et al. [\[6,](#page-20-0) Prop. 2.11]). Assume that $\mathbf{s} := (s_0, s_1) \in$ \mathbb{Z}^2 is such that $0 \le s_0 \le s_1 < e$ then $\lambda = (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)})$ is in $\Phi_{e,n}^s$ if and only if:

(1) for all
$$
i = 1, 2, \dots
$$
, we have :

$$
\lambda_i^{(0)} \ge \lambda_{i+s_1-s_0}^{(1)},
$$

$$
\lambda_i^{(1)} \ge \lambda_{i+e+s_0-s_1}^{(0)},
$$

(2) for all $k > 0$, among the residues appearing at the right ends of the length k rows of λ , at least one element of $\{0, 1, \dots, e-1\}$ does not occur.

Such bipartitions are called FLOTW bipartitions.

When the condition $0 \leq s_0 \leq s_1 < e$ isn't satisfied, the above characterization of Uglov bipartitions is no longer true. Hence, an important problem would be to obtain a simple description of $\Phi_{e,n}^s$ in all cases.

Assume that $\mathbf{s} := (s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\mathbf{s}' := (s'_0, s'_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ are such that $s'_0 \equiv s_0 \pmod{e}$ and $s'_1 \equiv s_1 \pmod{e}$ or such that $s'_0 \equiv s_1 \pmod{e}$ and $s_1 \equiv s_0 \pmod{e}$. Then the irreducible highest weight modules M_s and $M_{s'}$ are isomorphic and it implies that the associated Kashiwara crystal graphs are also isomorphic: only the labelling of the vertices by the sets of Uglov bipartitions changes. Hence, in these cases, there exists a bijection:

$$
\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0',s_1')}:\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}\to\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0',s_1')}.
$$

This bijection may be obtained by following a sequence of arrows back to the empty bipartition in the crystal graph of M_s and then applying the reversed sequence to the empty bipartition of $M_{s'}$. In other words, the bijection is obtained recursively as follows. We put $\Psi^{(s'_0, s'_1)}_{(s_0, s_1)}$ $\binom{(s_0, s_1)}{(s_0, s_1)}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Assume that we know $\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(s'_0, s'_1)}$ $\frac{\left(s'_0,s'_1\right)}{\left(s_0,s_1\right)}:\,\Phi_{e,n-1}^{\left(s_0,s_1\right)}\,\to\,\Phi_{e,n-1}^{\left(s'_0,s'_1\right)}$ (s'_0, s'_1) . Let $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0, s_1)}$. Then, there exist $i \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$ and a good *i*-node γ with respect to $\lt_{(s_0, s_1)}$ such that if we remove γ from λ , the resulting bipartition λ' is in $\Phi_{e,n-1}^{\mathbf{s}}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}' := \Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(s_0', s_1')}$ $(s'_{0}, s'_{1})(\lambda')$. Then there exist an *i*-node γ' and a bipartition μ such that $[\mu] = [\mu'] \cup {\gamma'}$ and such that γ' is a good *i*-node for μ with respect to $\langle s'_0, s'_1 \rangle$. Then, we put $\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(s'_0, s'_1)}$ $\binom{(8_0, 8_1)}{(s_0, s_1)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}.$

Remark 2.5. Let $(v_0, v_1) \in \{0, 1, \dots, e-1\}^2$. Then the crystal associated to the modules $M^+_{v_0,v_1}$ and $M^-_{v_0,v_1}$ may be obtained by the same way as Def. [2.3](#page-5-0) using the order $\langle v_0, v_1 \rangle_+$ and $\langle v_0, v_1 \rangle_-$. The bipartitions which label the vertices of the crystal graph are respectively called the positive Kleshchev bipartitions and the negative Kleshchev bipartitions. They are denoted by $\Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0,v_1)_+}$ and $\Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0,v_1)_-}$.

Let $\mathbf{s} := (s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that $s_0 \equiv v_0 \pmod{e}$ and $s_1 \equiv v_1 \pmod{e}$. Then the irreducible highest weight modules M_{s} , M_{v_0,v_1}^{+} and M_{v_0,v_1}^{-} are isomorphic and we also obtain bijections:

$$
\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(v_0, v_1)_-} : \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0, s_1)} \to \Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0, v_1)_-},
$$

$$
\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(v_0, v_1)_+} : \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0, s_1)} \to \Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0, v_1)_+},
$$

$$
\Psi_{(v_0, v_1)_-}^{(v_0, v_1)_-} : \Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0, v_1)_+} \to \Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0, v_1)_-}.
$$

Note that we also have bijections $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(v_1,v_0)-}$, $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(v_1,v_0)+}$ and $\Psi_{(v_0,v_1)+}^{(v_1,v_0)-}$. By the definitions of the order $\lt_{(v_0,v_1)_+}$ and $\lt_{(v_1,v_0)_-}$ and the definition of good nodes, it is clear that the last bijection is given by $\Psi_{(v_0,v_1)_+}^{(v_1,v_0)_-}(\lambda^{(0)},\lambda^{(1)}) =$ $(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(0)})$ for all $(\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0, v_1)+}$.

Now it is natural to try to obtain a more efficient description of these bijections. This is also motivated by the following results.

2.3. Hecke algebras of type B_n . One of the motivations for studying the class of Uglov bipartitions is provided by the study of the modular representations of Hecke algebras of type B_n . We briefly sketch this application in this subsection.

Let W_n be the Weyl group of type B_n , let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}^2$ and $\zeta_l := \exp(\frac{2i\pi}{l})$. Let $H_n := H_k(W_n, \zeta_l^b, \zeta_l^a)$ be the Hecke algebra with parameters $Q := \zeta_l^b$ and $q := \zeta_l^a$ defined over the field of complex numbers as it is defined in the introduction. In this case, the algebra H_n is non semisimple in general and one of the main problem is to determine a parametrization of its simple modules and to compute the associated decomposition matrix. An approach to solve this problem has been given by Geck[[8\]](#page-20-0) and Geck-Rouquier [\[11](#page-20-0)]. This approach which is closely related to the existence of Kazhdan-Lusztig theory shows the existence of "canonical sets" of bipartitions which are in natural bijection with the set $\text{Irr}(H_n)$. These sets are called "canonical basic sets" and they also show the unitriangularity of the decomposition matrix of H_n (for a good order on the rows provided by Lusztig *a*-function). A complete survey of this theory can be found in[[8](#page-20-0)] (see also [\[9\]](#page-20-0) for further applications). Now,[[10](#page-20-0), Theorem 5.4] shows that these canonical basic sets are precisely given by the Uglov bipartitions.

Theorem2.6 (Geck-Jacon [[10\]](#page-20-0)). Let $H_n := H_k(W_n, \zeta_l^b, \zeta_l^a)$ be the Hecke algebra with parameters $Q := \zeta_l^b$ and $q := \zeta_l^a$ where $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}^2$. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\zeta_l^b = -\zeta_l^{a.d}$. Let $e \geq 2$ be the multiplicative order of q and let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that:

$$
d + pe < \frac{b}{a} < d + (p+1)e.
$$

Then the set $\mathcal{B} = \Phi_{e,n}^{(d+pe,0)}$ is a canonical basic set in the sense of [[10](#page-20-0), Def. 2.4] and it is in natural bijection with $\text{Irr}(H_n)$.

Thus it could be interesting to obtain another characterization of the set of Uglov bipartitions.

3. First results

In this section, we show that the characterization of the map $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$ $\frac{(s_0,s_1+e)}{(s_0,s_1)}$ in the case where $s_0 \leq s_1$ is sufficient to obtain a characterization of the maps $\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(s'_0, s'_1)}$ $\binom{(s_0, s_1)}{(s_0, s_1)}$ in all cases.

3.1. Particular cases. the following proposition gives the explicit description of the map $\Psi_{(s'_0, s'_1)}^{(s_0, s_1)}$ in particular cases.

Proposition 3.1. Let $(s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and let e be a positive integer such that $e > 1$.

8 Nicolas Jacon

(1) Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for all $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}$ we have $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0+te,s_1+te)}$ $\lambda_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0+\iota e,s_1+\iota e)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\boldsymbol{\lambda}.$ Hence we have

$$
\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)} = \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0+te,s_1+te)}.
$$

(2) For all $\lambda = (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0, s_1)}$ we have $\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(s_1, s_0 + e)}$ $\chi^{(s_1,s_0+e)}_{(s_0,s_1)}(\lambda^{(0)},\lambda^{(1)})\,=\,$ $(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(0)})$. Hence we have

$$
\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_1,s_0+e)} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \Pi_{2,n} \mid (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(0)}) \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)} \right\}.
$$

Proof. The first assertion is clear as the order associated to (s_0, s_1) and $(s_0 + te, s_1 + te)$ on the set of *i*-nodes of a bipartition is the same in both cases.

We prove (2) by induction on the rank n. If $n = 0$, then the result is clear. Assume that $n > 0$. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0, s_1)}$ and let $\gamma = (a, b, c)$ be a good *i*-node of λ . We must show that $\gamma' = (a, b, c + 1 \pmod{2})$ is a good *i*-node for $(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(0)})$ for the order induced by $(s_1, s_0 + e)$ and the result will follow by induction. To do this, by the definition of good nodes §in [2.2](#page-4-0), it is enough to show the following property: let $i \in \{0, 1, ..., e-1\}$ then $\gamma_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$ is an *i*-node in $(\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)})$ such that $\gamma >_{(s_0, s_1)} \gamma_1$ if and only if $\gamma_1' = (a_1, b_1, c_1 + 1 \pmod{2})$ is an *i*-node in $(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(0)})$ such that $\gamma' >_{(s_1,s_0+e)} \gamma'_1$. We first assume that $\gamma >_{(s_0,s_1)} \gamma_1$ and we show $\gamma' >_{(s_1,s_0+e)} \gamma'_1$. γ'_1 . Note that as γ and γ_1 have the same residue modulo e, there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b - a + s_c = b_1 - a_1 + s_{c_1} + te$.

- If $c = c_1$ then it is clear that $\gamma' >_{(s_1, s_0 + e)} \gamma_1$.
- if $c = 0$ and $c_1 = 1$ then we have $t \geq 0$. Hence $b-a+s_0 \geq b_1-a_1+s_1$ thus $b - a + s_0 + e > b_1 - a_1 + s_1$ and $\gamma' >_{(s_1, s_0 + e)} \gamma'_1$.
- if $c = 1$ and $c_1 = 0$ then we have $t > 0$. Hence we have $b a + s_1 \geq$ $b_1 - a_1 + s_0 + e$. If $t > 1$ then we have $b - a + s_1 > b_1 - a_1 + s_0 + e$ and $\gamma' >_{(s_1,s_0+e)} \gamma'_1$. If $t = 1$ then we have $b-a+s_1 = b_1-a_1+s_0+e$ and $\gamma' >_{(s_1, s_0 + \epsilon)} \gamma'_1$ because γ' is in the first component of $(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(0)})$.

Assume now that $\gamma' >_{(s_1+e,s_0)} \gamma'_1$ then by the above argument $\gamma >_{(s_0+e,s_1+e)}$ γ_1 and we conclude using (1).

The following proposition deals with the characterization of the maps $\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(v_0, v_1)_{-}}$ $_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(v_0,v_1)-}$ and $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(v_0,v_1)+}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $(s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and let $(v_0, v_1) \in \{0, 1, ..., e-1\}^2$ be such that $v_0 \equiv s_0 \pmod{e}$ and $v_1 \equiv s_1 \pmod{e}$.

(1) if $s_1 - s_0 > n - 1$ then for all $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0, s_1)}$ we have $\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(v_0, v_1)}$ $\mathcal{L}_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(v_0,v_1)-}(\boldsymbol\lambda)=\boldsymbol\lambda.$ Hence we have

$$
\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}=\Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0,v_1)_-}.
$$

Crystal graphs of irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ -modules of level two 9

(2) If $s_0-s_1 > n-1-e$ then for all $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}$ we have $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(v_0,v_1)+}$ $\mathcal{L}_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(v_0,v_1)_+}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\boldsymbol{\lambda}.$ Hence we have $\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)} = \Phi_{e,n}^{(v_0,v_1)_+}.$

Proof. We prove (1). Let $(s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ be such that $s_1 - s_0 > n - 1$ and let $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}$. Let $\gamma = (a,b,c)$ be a removable *i*-node of λ and let $\gamma' =$ (a',b',c') be an addable or removable *i*-node of λ . We show that $\gamma <_{(s_0,s_1)} \gamma'$ if and only if $\gamma <$ _{(v₀,v₁)_− γ' and the result will follow by induction and by} the definition of good i -node as in the proof of the previous Proposition. Assume first that $\gamma \lt_{(s_0,s_1)} \gamma'$. If $c = c'$ then the result is clear. So assume that $c \neq c'$. If $c' = 1$ and $c = 0$ then we have $\gamma \lt_{(v_0, v_1)_{-}} \gamma'$. Assume that $c = 1$ and $c' = 0$. As γ and γ' have the same residue modulo e, there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b - a + s_1 = b' - a' + s_0 + te$. As $\gamma <_{(s_0, s_1)} \gamma'$, we have $t \leq 0$. Hence we have :

$$
b - a - (b' - a') \le (s_0 - s_1) < 1 - n
$$

This is impossible. Indeed, as λ is a bipartition of rank n, we must have:

$$
|b' - a' - (b - a)| \le n - 1.
$$

Assume now that $\gamma <_{(v_0,v_1)_-} \gamma'$. If $c = c'$ then $\gamma <_{(s_0,s_1)} \gamma'$. If otherwise, we have $c' = 1$ and $c = 0$ then $b' - a' + s_1 - (b - a + s_0) \ge 1 - n + s_1 - s_0 > 0$ and we conclude that $\gamma \lt_{(s_0,s_1)} \gamma'$. Hence the first assertion is proved. (2) follows by using Prop. [3.1](#page-7-0) (2) and Remark [2.5](#page-6-0).

 \Box

3.2. The map $\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(s_0, s_1 + e)}$ $(s_0, s_1 + e)$. In this subsection, we show that it is enough to characterize $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$ in the case where $s_0 \leq s_1$ to characterize $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s'_0,s'_1)}$ $\binom{s_0,s_1}{(s_0,s_1)}$ in all cases.

So, let's assume that we know $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$ and its reversed map if $s_0 \leq s_1$. Let $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. By Prop [3.1](#page-7-0) (2), we can assume that $0 \le u_0 \le u_1 < e$.

Then, we have a characterization of all the following maps:

$$
\Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0, u_1)} \quad \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0, u_1 + e)}^{(u_0, u_1 + e)}} \quad \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0, u_1 + e)} \quad \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0, u_1 + e)}^{(u_0, u_1 + e)}} \quad \cdots \quad \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0, u_1 + e)}^{(u_0, u_1 + e)}} \quad \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0, u_1 + e)}^{(u_0, u_1 + e)}} \quad \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0, u_1 + e)}.
$$

where t is such that $(t-1)e > n-1$. Now, by Prop [3.1](#page-7-0) (1), for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0,u_1+se)} = \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0-se,u_1)}$ and $\Psi_{(u_0,u_1+se)}^{(u_0-se,u_1)}$ is the identity. Hence all the following maps are known:

$$
\Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0, u_1)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0, u_1)}^{(u_0 - e, u_1)}} \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0 - e, u_1)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0 - 2e, u_1)}^{(u_0 - 2e, u_1)}} \cdots
$$
\n
$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0 - te, u_1)}^{(u_0 - te, u_1)}} \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0 - te, u_1)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0 - (t+1)e, u_1)}^{(u_0 - 2e, u_1)}} \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0, u_1) -}.
$$

As we have $0 \le u_0 \le u_1 < e$, we have $u_1 \le u_0 + e$. Hence, we have a characterization of the following maps:

$$
\Phi_{e,n}^{(u_1, u_0 + e)} \longrightarrow \Psi_{e,n}^{(u_1, u_1 + 2e)} \longrightarrow \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_1, u_0 + 2e)} \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

\n
$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \Psi_{(u_1, u_0 + (t+1)e)}^{(u_1, u_1 + 2e)} \longrightarrow \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_1, u_0 + (t+1)e)} \longrightarrow \Psi_{(u_1, u_0 + (t+2)e)}^{(u_1, u_0 + 2e)} \longrightarrow \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_1, u_0 + (t+2)e)}.
$$

Hence by Prop $3.1\(2)$, we have a characterization of the following maps:

$$
\Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0,u_1)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0+e,u_1)}^{(u_0+e,u_1)}} \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0+e,u_1)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0+e,u_1)}^{(u_0+e,u_1)}} \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0+te,u_1)} \xrightarrow{\Psi_{(u_0+e,u_1)}^{(u_0+2e,u_1)}} \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0,u_1)}.
$$

By Prop [3.1](#page-7-0) (1), for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0+se,u_1)} = \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0,u_1-se)}$ and $\Psi^{(u_0-se, u_1)}_{(u_0, u_1 + se)}$ $(u_0 - s e, u_1)$ is the identity. Hence all the following maps are known:

$$
\Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0,u_1)} \xrightarrow[\Psi_{(u_0,u_1)}^{(u_0,u_1-e)} \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0,u_1-e)} \xrightarrow[\Psi_{(u_0,u_1-(t-1)e)}^{(u_0,u_1-t+e)} \Psi_{(u_0,u_1-(t-1)e)}^{(u_0,u_1-t+e)} \xrightarrow[\Psi_{(u_0,u_1-t+e)}^{(u_0,u_1-t+e)} \Phi_{e,n}^{(u_0,u_1-t+e)} \xrightarrow[\Psi_{(u_0,u_1-t+e)}^{(u_0,u_1-t+e)} \Phi_{e,n}
$$

Thus, we conclude that the characterization of $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$ in the case where $s_0 \leq s_1$ yields a characterization of $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s'_0,s'_1)}$ $\binom{(s_0, s_1)}{(s_0, s_1)}$ in all cases.

4. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MAP $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$ (s_0,s_1)

4.1. Properties of Uglov bipartitions. We begin with a general result on the set of Uglov bipartitions. This will be useful for the proof of the main result.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{s} := (s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and assume that $s_1 \geq s_0$. Let $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^{\mathbf{s}}$ then $\lambda \in \Phi_{f,n}^{\mathbf{s}}$ where $f > \text{Max}(s_0 + n, s_1 + n)$. Hence, for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ we have :

$$
\lambda_i^{(0)} \geq \lambda_{i+s_1-s_0}^{(1)}.
$$

Proof. This is proved by induction on n. If $n = 0$, the result is trivial. Let $n > 0$ and let $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^s$. Then by the definition of Uglov bipartitions, there exists a good *i*-node $\eta = (a, b, c)$ such that if we remove η from λ , the resulting bipartition is in $\Phi_{e,n-1}^s$. We have $\lambda_a^{(c)} - a + s_c \equiv i \pmod{e}$. Now, we have two cases to consider:

• If there is no addable node $\eta' = (a', b', c')$ such that $\lambda_{a'}^{(c')}$ $a'_{a'}^{\left(c'\right)}-a'+s_{c'}=$ $\lambda_a^{(c)} - a + s_c$, as $f > \text{Max}(s_0+n, s_1+n)$, there is no addable node such that $\lambda_{a'}^{(c')} - a' + s_{c'} \equiv \lambda_a^{(c)} - a + s_c \pmod{f}$. It implies that η is a normal node for the order induced by s and f . If there is no removable node

 $\eta' = (a', b', c')$ such that $\lambda_{a'}^{(c')}$ $a'_{a'} - a' + s_{c'} = \lambda_a^{(c)} - a + s_c$ then this is a good node for the order induced by **s** and f. If otherwise, as η is a good node for the order induced by **s** and e , we must have $c' < c$. We conclude that η is a good *i*-node for the order induced by **s** and f.

• If there is an addable node $\eta' = (a', b', c')$ such that $\lambda_{a'}^{(c')}$ $a'_{a'}^{\left(c'\right)}-a'+s_{c'}=$ $\lambda_a^{(c)} - a + s_c$, then, as η is a good *i*-node for the order induced by s and e, we must have $c' > c$ (if otherwise, we have $\eta' >_{(s_0, s_1)} \eta$ and no removable *i*-node between these two *i*-nodes). η' is the only addable node which has the same residue as η' modulo f. Moreover, in this case, there is no removable node $\eta' = (a', b', c')$ such that $\lambda_{a'}^{(c')}$ $a'_{a'}$ – $a' + s_{c'} = \lambda_a^{(c)} - a + s_c$ and thus, such that $\lambda_{a'}^{(c')}$ $a'_{a'} - a' + s_{c'} \equiv$ $\lambda_a^{(c)} - a + s_c \pmod{f}$. Hence η must be a good *i*-node for the order induced by s and f .

Thus, the first part of the proposition follows by induction.

Now, as $f > \text{Max}(s_0 + n, s_1 + n)$, the elements of $\Phi_{f,n}^s$ are FLOTW bipartitions. Hence, we can use the characterization of Prop. [2.4](#page-5-0) to get the second part of the proposition.

4.2. Symbol of a bipartition. Let $s := (s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be such that $s_0 \leq s_1$ and let $\lambda := (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)})$ be a bipartition of rank $n \geq 0$. Assume that $\lambda^{(0)} = (\lambda_1^{(0)}$ $\lambda_1^{(0)}, \lambda_2^{(0)}, \cdots, \lambda_{r_0}^{(0)}$ and $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)})$ $\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda_{r_1}^{(1)}$ (where $\lambda_1^{(0)} \geq$ $\lambda_2^{(0)} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r_0}^{(0)}$ and $\lambda_1^{(1)} \geq \lambda_2^{(1)} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r_1}^{(1)}$). Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m > \text{Max}(r_0 - s_0, r_1 - s_1)$. We define the following numbers which depends on λ , s and m:

• for $i = 1, \dots, m + s_0$, we put $\beta_i^{(0)} = \lambda_{i}^{(0)} - i + s_0 + m$, • for $j = 1, \dots, m + s_1$, we put $\beta_j^{(1)} = \lambda_j^{(1)} - j + s_1 + m$.

where we put $\lambda_k^{(0)}$ $\lambda_k^{(0)} := 0$ (resp. $\lambda_k^{(1)}$ $k_k^{(1)} := 0$ if $k > r_0$ (resp. $k > r_1$). We have $\beta_1^{(1)} > \beta_2^{(1)} > \cdots > \beta_{m+s_1}^{(1)} \geq 0$ and $\beta_1^{(0)} > \beta_2^{(0)} > \cdots > \beta_{m+s_0}^{(0)} \geq 0$. Then, the s-symbol $S_{s}(\lambda)$ of λ is define to be the pair of these two partitions. This is written as follows:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \beta_{m+s_1}^{(1)} & \beta_{m+s_1-1}^{(1)} & \cdots & \cdots & \beta_1^{(1)} \\ \beta_{m+s_0}^{(0)} & \beta_{m+s_0-1}^{(0)} & \cdots & \beta_1^{(0)} \end{array}\right)
$$

On the other hand, given a s-symbol S_s , it is easy to get the bipartition λ such that $S_{\mathbf{s}} = S_{\mathbf{s}}(\lambda)$.

By Proposition [4.1](#page-10-0), note that if λ is in $\Phi_{e,n}^s$, the s-symbol $S_s(\lambda)$ has the property that $\beta_i^{(1)} \leq \beta_i^{(0)}$ $i_i^{(0)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m + s_0$. Such symbols are called standardin [[15](#page-20-0)].

We will now define a map from the set of Uglov bipartitions $\Phi_{e,n}^s$ to the set of bipartitions of rank n using this notion of s-symbol. Let $\lambda :=$

12 Nicolas Jacon

 $(\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \Phi_{e,n}^s$ and let $S_s(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{(1)} \\ \beta^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \beta^{(1)} \\ \beta^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$ be its s-symbol. Following [\[15](#page-20-0), §2.5], we first define an injective map $\theta : \beta^{(0)} \to \beta^{(1)}$ such that $\theta(\beta_i^{(0)})$ $\beta_j^{(0)})\leq\beta_j^{(0)}$ j

for all $j \in \{1, \cdots, m + s_0\}$ as follows.

- Let $\beta_i^{(1)}$ ⁽¹⁾ be the maximal element of $\beta^{(1)}$ such that $\beta^{(0)}_{m+s_0} \geq \beta^{(1)}_i$ $i^{(1)}$. Then we put $\theta(\beta_{m+1}^{(0)})$ $\beta_{m+s_0}^{(0)}$) = $\beta_i^{(1)}$ $i^{(1)}$.
- Assume that we have defined $\theta(\beta_i^{(0)})$ $j_j^{(0)}$ for $j = p+1, p+2, \cdots, m+s_0$. ${\rm Let\,} \beta_k^{(1)}$ ⁽¹⁾ be the maximal element of $\beta^{(1)} \setminus \left\{\theta(\beta_{m}^{(0)})\right\}$ $\left\{\phi^{(0)}_{m+s_0}\cup\cdots\cup\beta^{(0)}_{p+2}\cup\beta^{(0)}_{p+1}\right\}$ such that $\beta_p^{(0)} \geq \beta_k^{(1)}$ ⁽¹⁾, Then we put $\theta(\beta_p^{(0)}) = \beta_k^{(1)}$ $k^{(1)}$.

Observe that the standardness of $S_s(\lambda)$ implies that θ is well-defined. The 2-tuples $(j, \theta(j))$ such that $\theta(j) \neq j$ are called the pairs of $S_s(\lambda)$.

Example 4.2. Let $e = 4$, $\mathbf{s} = (0, 2)$. Then by Prop. [2.4](#page-5-0), the bipartition $\lambda := ((2, 2, 1), (3, 2))$ is in $\Phi_{4,10}^{(0,2)}$. The s-symbol of this bipartition is the following one (where we put $m = 4$):

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 & \end{array}\right)
$$

We have $\theta(0) = 0, \, \theta(2) = 2, \, \theta(4) = 3, \, \theta(5) = 1.$

Definition 4.3. Let e be a positive integer such that $e > 1$ and let $s :=$ $(s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be such that $s_0 \leq s_1$. We define a map :

$$
\Upsilon_{(s_0,s_1)}:\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}\to \Pi_{2,n}
$$

as follows. Let $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0,s_1)}$, let $S_{s}(\lambda)$ be the associated s-symbol. Let S'_{s} be the symbol obtained from $S_{s}(\lambda)$ by permuting the pairs in $S_{s}(\lambda)$ and reordering the rows. Let μ be the bipartition such that $S'_s = S_s(\mu)$. Observe that $\mu \in \Pi_{2,n}$. Then we put:

$$
\Upsilon_{(s_0,s_1)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})=\boldsymbol{\mu}
$$

Example 4.4. Keeping the above example, the symbol S'_s is given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array}\right)
$$

This is the s-symbol of the bipartition $(\emptyset, (3, 2, 2, 2, 1)).$

Remark 4.5. Note that the reversed map $\Upsilon_{(s_0,s_1)}^{-1}$ can be easily obtained as follows. Let $\mu := (\mu^{(0)}, \mu^{(1)}) \in \Upsilon_{(s_0, s_1)}(\Phi_{e,n}^{(s_0, s_1)})$ and let $S_{s}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{(1)} & \beta^{(2)} \\ \beta^{(2)} & \beta^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \beta^{(1)} \ \beta^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$ be its s-symbol. We define an injective map $\tau : \beta^{(0)} \to \beta^{(1)}$ such that $\tau(\beta_i^{(0)}$ $\beta_j^{(0)})\geq\beta_j^{(1)}$ $j_j^{(1)}$ for all $j \in \{1, \cdots, m+s_1\}$ as follows.

• Let $\beta_i^{(1)}$ ⁽¹⁾ be the minimal element of $\beta^{(1)}$ such that $\beta_1^{(0)} \leq \beta_i^{(1)}$ $i^{(1)}$. Then we put $\tau(\beta_1^{(0)})$ $\beta_1^{(0)}$) = $\beta_i^{(1)}$ $i^{(1)}$.

Crystal graphs of irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$ -modules of level two 13

• Assume that we have defined $\theta(\beta_i^{(0)})$ $j^{(0)}_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, p-1$. Let $\beta_k^{(1)}$ k be the minimal element of $\beta^{(1)} \setminus \left\{ \tau(\beta_1^{(0)} \cup \beta_2^{(0)} \cup \cdots \cup \beta_{p-1}^{(0)} \right\}$ $\{p-1\atop p-1\}$ such that $\beta_p^{(0)} \leq \beta_k^{(1)}$ ⁽¹⁾. Then we put $\tau(\beta_p^{(0)}) = \beta_k^{(1)}$ $k^{(1)}$.

Let λ be the bipartition associated to the s-symbol obtained from $S_s(\mu)$ by permuting the pairs $(j, \tau(j))$ with $j \neq \tau(j)$ and reordering the rows. Then we have $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \Upsilon_{(s_0,s_1)}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}).$

4.3. Main result. We can now state the main theorem of this paper which gives the explicit description of the bijection $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$.

Theorem 4.6. Let e be a positive integer such that $e > 1$ and let $s :=$ $(s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be such that $s_0 \leq s_1$ then:

$$
\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}=\Upsilon_{(s_0,s_1)}
$$

To prove this theorem, we will need combinatorial properties of the map $\Upsilon_{(s_0,s_1)}$. Recall that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $m > \text{Max}(r_0 - s_0, r_1 - s_1)$. For a bipartition $\nu \in \Pi_{2,n}$, let $S_{s}(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{(1)} & \beta^{(2)} & \beta^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \beta^{(1)} \\ \beta^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$ be its s-symbol. Observe that each node γ on the *border* of ν (that is at the right ends of the Young diagram of ν) corresponds to an element of $S_s(\nu)$. Indeed, to each node $(a, \nu_a^{(c)}, c)$, we can associate the element $\beta_a^{(c)} = \nu_a^{(c)} - a + s_c + m$. Observe also that:

- If the number $\beta_a^{(c)} 1$ doesn't occur in $\beta^{(c)}$ then γ is a removable node of ν .
- If the number $\beta_a^{(c)} + 1$ doesn't occur in $\beta^{(c)}$ then we have an addable node $\gamma' := (a, \nu_a^{(c)} + 1, c)$ in ν .
- The residue of the node γ associated to $\beta_a^{(c)}$ is $\beta_a^{(c)} m \pmod{e}$.

In addition, recall that if $\eta = (a, b, c)$ and $\eta' = (a', b', c')$ are two *i*-nodes of a bipartition, We have $\eta \lt_{(s_0,s_1)} \eta'$ if and only if :

 $b - a + s_c < b' - a' + s_{c'}$ or if $b - a + s_c = b' - a' + s_{c'}$ and $c > c'$.

On the other hand, assume that $\eta = (a, b, c)$ and $\eta' = (a', b', c')$ are two *i*-nodes such that $\eta <_{(s_0, s_1 + e)} \eta'$.

- if $c = c' = 0$ then we have $b a + s_0 < b' a' + s_0$,
- if $c = c' = 1$ then we have $b a + s_1 < b' a' + s_1$,
- if $c = 0$ and $c = 1$ then we have $b a + s_0 < b' a' + s_1 + e$. Thus we have $b - a + s_0 < b' - a' + s_1$ or $b - a + s_0 = b' - a' + s_1$,
- if $c = 1$ and $c' = 0$, we have $b a + s_1 + e < b' a' + s_0$. Thus we have $b - a + s_1 < b' - a' + s_0$.

Hence, if $\eta = (a, b, c)$ and $\eta' = (a', b', c')$ are two *i*-nodes of a bipartition, we have $\eta \lt_{(s_0, s_1 + e)} \eta'$ if and only if :

$$
b-a+s_c < b' - a' + s_{c'}
$$
 or if $b-a+s_c = b' - a' + s_c$ and $c < c'$.

4.4. **Proof of Theorem [4.6.](#page-13-0)** This is proved by induction on n. If $n = 0$ then the result is trivial as

$$
\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}(\boldsymbol{\emptyset})=\Upsilon_{(s_0,s_1)}=(\boldsymbol{\emptyset})=\boldsymbol{\emptyset}.
$$

Let $n > 0$, let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} := (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \Phi_{e,n}^{\mathbf{s}}$ and let $S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{(1)} & \beta^{(2)} & \beta^{(3)} & \beta^{(4)} & \beta^{(5)} & \beta^{(6)} & \beta^{(7)} & \beta^{(8)} & \beta^{(9)} &$ $\frac{\beta^{(1)}}{\beta^{(0)}}$) be its ssymbol. Let $\mu = (\mu^{(0)}, \mu^{(1)}) := \Upsilon_{(s_0, s_1)}(\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)})$ and let $S_s(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{(1)} \\ \alpha^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$ $\alpha^{(1)}\atop \alpha^{(0)}$ be its s-symbol.

As in §[2.2](#page-4-0), we write the sequence of removable and addable *i*-nodes of λ in increasing order with respect to $\lt_{(s_0,s_1)}$:

$$
A_1A_2R_3R_4A_5R_6\cdots A_s
$$

where we write R_i for a removable *i*-node and A_i for an addable *i*-node. We delete the occurrences R_iA_{i+1} in this sequence. Then, we obtain a sequence \Im of removable *i*-nodes and addable *i*-nodes:

$$
A_{j_1}\cdots A_{j_s}R_{i_1}R_{i_2}\cdots
$$

where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$. The R_{i_k} correspond to the normal *i*-nodes of λ and the leftmost one, R_{i_1} , is a good *i*-node for λ .

Let R_{i_l} be an element of G. As explained above, R_{i_l} corresponds to an element $\beta_a^{(c)}$ in $S_s(\lambda)$. As R_{i_l} is removable, we have $\beta_{a-1}^{(c)} < \beta_a^{(c)} - 1$. We will associate to this node a removable *i*-node R'_{i_l} in μ . To do this, we will distinguish several cases. In each case, we give an example of the symbols $S_{s}(\mu)$ and $S_{s}(\lambda)$ in which the elements corresponding to R_{i_l} and R'_{i_l} are written in bold.

(1) Assume that $c = 0$ and that we have $\theta(\beta_a^{(0)}) = \beta_b^{(1)} < \beta_a^{(0)}$ for $b \in \{1, \cdots, m + s_1\}$. Then to obtain $S_{\mathbf{s}}(\lambda)$, we have to permute $\beta_a^{(0)}$ and $\beta_h^{(1)}$ ⁽¹⁾. As $\beta_b^{(1)} < \beta_a^{(0)}$, the node R'_{i_l} associated to $\beta_a^{(0)}$ in $\alpha^{(1)}$ is a removable *i*-node (because $\beta_a^{(0)} - 1$ cannot occur in $\alpha^{(1)}$). Note that if we have $\beta_b^{(1)} = \beta_a^{(0)} - 1$, then we have an addable *i*-node *A* on the part of λ associated to $\beta_h^{(1)}$ $b_i^{(1)}$ in $\beta^{(1)}$ such that $A \lt_{(s_0,s_1)} R_{i_l}$. In this case, we have an addable *i*-node A' on the part of μ associated to $\beta^{(1)}_h$ $b_0^{(1)}$ in $\alpha^{(0)}$ such that $A' <_{(s_0, s_1 + e)} R'_{i_l}$.

Example 4.7. In the following example, we put
$$
\beta_a^{(0)} = j
$$
, $\beta_b^{(0)} = j - 1$
 $\beta_{a-1}^{(0)} = j - 2$ and $\beta_{b-1}^{(1)} = j - 3$.
 $S_s(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & j - 3 & j - 1 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j - 2 & j & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$

Then

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & j-2 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-3 & j-1 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}
$$

(2) Assume that $c = 0$ and that we have $\theta(\beta_a^{(0)}) = \beta_b^{(1)} = \beta_a^{(0)}$ for $b \in \{1, \dots, m + s_1\}$ and that $\beta_{b-1}^{(1)} < \beta_b^{(1)} - 1$. In this case, we have a removable *i*-node R associated $\beta_b^{(1)}$ $b^{(1)}$ in $\beta^{(1)}$. Observe that $R <_{(s_0,s_1)}$ R_{i_l} . Then to obtain $S_s(\lambda)$, $\beta_a^{(0)}$ isn't permuted with any elements of $\beta^{(1)}$. The node R'_{i_l} associated to $\beta_b^{(1)}$ $\delta_b^{(1)}$ in $\alpha^{(1)}$ is a removable *i*-node. Note that the removable *i*-node R' associated to $\beta_a^{(0)}$ in $\alpha^{(0)}$ is such that $R' <_{(s_0, s_1+e)} R'_{i_l}$.

Example 4.8. In the following example, we put $\beta_a^{(0)} = j = \beta_b^{(0)}$ b $\beta_{a-1}^{(0)} = j - 2$ and $\beta_{b-1}^{(1)} = j - 3$.

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\lambda) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-3 & j & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-2 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

Then

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-2 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-3 & j & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

(3) Assume that $c = 0$ and that we have $\theta(\beta_a^{(0)}) = \beta_b^{(1)} = \beta_a^{(0)}$ for $b \in \{1, \dots, m + s_1\}$ and that $\beta_{b-1}^{(1)} = \beta_b^{(1)} - 1$. Then to obtain $S_{s}(\lambda)$, $\beta_{a}^{(0)}$ isn't permuted with any elements of $\beta^{(1)}$. The node R'_{i_l} associated to $\beta_a^{(0)}$ in $\alpha^{(0)}$ is a removable *i*-node.

Example 4.9. In the following example, we put $\beta_a^{(0)} = j = \beta_b^{(0)}$ $\stackrel{(U)}{b}$ $\beta_{a-1}^{(0)} = j - 2$ and $\beta_{b-1}^{(1)} = j - 1$. $S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-1 & j & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-2 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots & \end{array} \right)$ Then $S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-1 & j & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-2 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots & \end{array} \right)$

(4) Assume that $c = 1$ and that we have $\theta(\beta_b^{(0)})$ $\beta_b^{(0)}$ = $\beta_a^{(1)} < \beta_b^{(0)}$ for a $b \in \{1, \dots, m + s_1\}$. Then to obtain $S_s(\lambda)$, $\beta_b^{(0)}$ must be permuted with $\beta_a^{(1)}$. The node R'_{i_l} associated to $\beta_a^{(1)}$ in $\alpha^{(0)}$ is a removable *i*node. Note that if we have $\beta_{b-1}^{(0)} = \beta_a^{(1)} - 1$, then we have an addable *i*-node A on the part of λ associated to $\beta_{h-1}^{(0)}$ $_{b-1}^{(0)}$ such that $A >_{(s_0,s_1)} R_{i_l}$. In this case, we have an addable *i*-node A' on the part of μ associated to $\beta_{b-}^{(0)}$ $b_{b-1}^{(0)}$ in $\alpha^{(1)}$ such that $A' >_{(s_0,s_1+e)} R'_{i_l}$.

Example 4.10. In the following example, we put $\beta_a^{(1)} = j$, $\beta_b^{(0)} =$ $j + 1$, $\beta_{a-1}^{(1)} = j - 3$ and $\beta_{b-1}^{(1)} = j - 1$. $S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-3 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-1 & j+1 & \cdots \end{array} \right)$

Then

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-1 & j+1 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-3 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

(5) Assume that $c = 1$ and that we have $\theta(\beta_b^{(0)})$ $\beta_b^{(0)} \big) \, = \, \beta_a^{(1)} \, = \, \beta_b^{(0)}$ $b^{(0)}$ for a $b \in \{1, \dots, m + s_1\}$. Then to obtain $S_s(\lambda)$, $\beta_a^{(1)}$ isn't permuted with any elements of $\beta^{(0)}$. The node R'_{i_l} associated to $\beta_b^{(0)}$ $\hat{b}^{(0)}$ in $\alpha^{(0)}$ must be a removable *i*-node. Note that if $\beta_{b-1}^{(0)} < \beta_b^{(0)} - 1$, then the node R associated to $\beta_b^{(0)}$ $b_b^{(0)}$ in $\beta^{(0)}$ is a removable *i*-node such that $R >_{(s_0,s_1)} R_{i_l}$. Then, the node R' associated to $\beta_a^{(1)}$ in $\alpha^{(1)}$ is a removable *i*-node such that $R' >_{(s_0, s_1 + e)} R'_{i_l}$.

Example 4.11. In the following example, we put $\beta_a^{(0)} = j = \beta_b^{(0)}$ $\stackrel{(U)}{b}$ $\beta_{a-1}^{(0)} = j - 2$ and $\beta_{b-1}^{(1)} = j - 1$.

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\lambda) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-2 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-1 & j & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

Then

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-1 & j & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-2 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

(6) Assume that $c = 1$ and that we have $\theta(\beta_b^{(0)})$ $\beta_b^{(0)}$ $\neq \beta_a^{(1)}$ for all $b \in$ $\{1, \dots, m + s_0\}$. Then the node R'_{i_l} associated to $\beta_a^{(1)}$ in $\beta^{(1)}$ is a removable *i*-node except possibly in the following case: there exists $d \in \{1, \dots, m + s_0\}$ such that $\beta_d^{(0)} = \beta_a^{(1)} - 1$. In this case, we have an addable *i*-node A in $\beta^{(0)}$ such that $A >_{(s_0,s_1)} R_{i_l}$ and there is no removable *i*-node between R_{i_l} and A in λ contradicting the fact that R_{i_l} is a normal *i*-node.

Example 4.12. In the following example, we put $\beta_a^{(1)} = j$,

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\pmb{\lambda}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-3 & \mathbf{j} & j+1 & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-2 & j+3 & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

Then

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \cdots & j-2 & \mathbf{j} & j+3 & \cdots \\ \cdots & j-3 & j+1 & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

Thus we have associated to each normal *i*-node R_{i_l} in λ a removable *i*-node R'_{i_l} in μ .

Similarly, one can easily check that if A_{i_t} is an addable *i*-node of λ in \mathfrak{S} , then we can associate an addable *i*-node A'_{i_t} in μ as above. If otherwise, one can show that there exists a removable *i*-node R such that $R \lt_{(s_0,s_1)} A_{it}$ and there is no addable or removable i -node between these two i -nodes for the order $>_{(s_0,s_1)}$. This contradicts the fact that we have deleted all the occurrences R_iA_{i+1} in the sequence \mathfrak{S} .

Hence, we have associated to the sequence \mathfrak{S} , a sequence of removable and addable *i*-nodes of μ :

$$
A'_{j_1}\cdots A'_{j_s}R'_{i_1}R'_{i_2}\cdots
$$

where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$. Note that by the above observations, this sequence is written in increasing order with respect to the order $<_{(s_0,s_1+e)}$.

Now, by the above observations, it is easy to verify that this sequence correspond to the sequence \mathfrak{S}' of the removable and addable *i*-nodes of μ , written in increasing order with respect to $\lt_{(s_0,s_1+e)}$ and where the occurrences RA have been deleted.

The only problem may appear in the following situation. We have an *i*-node corresponding to an element $\beta_a^{(1)}$ which is not removable, there exists $d \in \{1, \cdots, m + s_0\}$ such that $\theta(\beta_d^{(0)})$ $\beta_d^{(0)}$ = $\beta_a^{(1)} < \beta_d^{(0)}$ and $\beta_{d-1}^{(0)} < \beta_a^{(1)} - 1$. In this situation, to obtain $S_{s}(\lambda)$, we must permute $\beta_d^{(0)}$ $\beta_d^{(0)}$ and $\beta_a^{(1)}$. Moreover, $\beta_{a-}^{(1)}$ $a_{a-1}^{(1)}$ isn't permuted with any elements of $\beta^{(0)}$. Thus the *i*-node R' associated to $\beta_a^{(1)}$ in $\alpha^{(0)}$ must be removable for μ .

Example 4.13. In the following example, we put $\beta_a^{(1)} = j$, $\beta_d^{(0)} = j + 1$ and $\beta_{d-1}^{(0)} = j-2.$

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\lambda) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-3 & j-1 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & j-2 & j+1 & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

Then

$$
S_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cdots & j-2 & j-1 & j+1 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & j-3 & \mathbf{j} & \cdots \end{array} \right)
$$

Note that in this case, we have an addable *i*-node A' on the part of $\mu^{(1)}$ associated to $\beta_{a-}^{(1)}$ $\alpha_{a-1}^{(1)}$ such that $A' >_{(s_0,s_1+e)} R'$. Thus, to obtain \mathfrak{S}' , the occurrence $R'A'$ must be deleted.

Now the leftmost removable *i*-node R_{i_1} in \mathfrak{S} is a good *i*-node for λ (with respect to $\lt_{(s_0,s_1)}$ and the above discussion shows that this corresponds to a removable *i*-node R'_{i_1} in \mathfrak{S}' which must be a good *i*-node for μ (with respect to $\lt_{(s_0,s_1+e)}$.

Finally, let ν be the bipartition obtained by removing R_{i_1} from λ . Note that in case (2) above, the normal *i*-node R_{i_l} cannot be a good *i*-node. Indeed, we have a removable *i*-node R such that $R \lt_{(s_0,s_1)} R_{i_l}$ and no addable i -node between these two nodes. Hence R is a normal i -node such that $R \lt_{(s_0,s_1)} R_{i_l}$ and thus R_{i_l} is not a good *i*-node. Studying the other cases above, one can verify that $\Upsilon_{(s_0,s_1)}(\nu)$ is the bipartition obtained by removing R'_{i_1} from μ . This concludes the proof of the main Theorem.

4.5. Example. In this subsection, we give an example for the computation of the bijection $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$. We put $s = (0,1)$, $e = 4$ and we consider the

bipartition $\lambda := ((8), (4)) \in \Phi_{4,12}^{(0,1)}$ (this is a FLOTW bipartition, see Prop. [2.4\)](#page-5-0). We compute the $(0, 1)$ -symbol of $((8), (4))$ (with $m = 2$).

$$
S_{(0,1)}((8),(4)) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 9 \end{array}\right)
$$

Then, the injection $\theta: \{0, 9\} \to \{0, 1, 6\}$ is such that $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta(9) = 1$. Thus, the $(0, 1)$ -symbol of $\Psi_{(0,1)}^{(0,5)}((8), (4))$ is:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 9 \\ 0 & 6 \end{array}\right)
$$

Thus, we have $\Psi_{(0,1)}^{(0,5)}((8),(4)) = ((5),(7))$. We now want to find $\Psi_{(0,5)}^{(0,9)}((5),(7))$. The $(0, 9)$ -symbol of $((5), (7))$ is :

$$
S_{(0,5)}((5),(7))) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 13 \\ 0 & 6 & & & \end{array}\right)
$$

Then, the injection $\theta: \{0, 6\} \to \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 13\}$ is such that $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta(6) = 5$. Thus, the (0,5)-symbol of $\Psi_{(0,5)}^{(0,9)}((8), (4))$ is:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 13 \\ 0 & 5 & & & & \end{array}\right)
$$

Thus, we have $\Psi_{(0,5)}^{(0,9)}((8),(4)) = ((4),(7,1)).$ We want now to find the Uglov bipartition $\Psi_{(0,9)}^{(0,13)}((4),(7,1))$. The $(0,9)$ -symbol of $((4),(7,1))$ is :

$$
S_{(0,9)}((4),(7,1))) = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 17 \\ 0 & 6 & & & & & \end{array}\right)
$$

Then, the injection $\theta : \{0,6\} \rightarrow \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,17\}$ is such that $\theta(0) = 0$ and $\theta(6) = 6$.

Thus, the $(0, 9)$ -symbol of $\Psi_{(0,5)}^{(0,9)}((8), (4))$ is $S_{(0,9)}((4), (7, 1))$. Hence we have $\Psi_{(0,9)}^{(0,13)}((4),(7,1)) = ((4),(7,1))$. Now, we have $\Phi_{4,12}^{(0,13)} = \Phi_{4,12}^{(0,1)+}$ because $13 - 0 > n - 1$.

5. Relation with results of Leclerc and Miyachi

Following the works of Leclerc and Miyachi and using the above results, it is possible to describe the bijection $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$ using the theory of canonical basis for $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty})$ -modules. We first recall the results of [\[15](#page-20-0)].

Let $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty})$ be the quantum algebra associated to the doubly infinite diagram of type A_{∞} . The fundamental weights are denoted by Λ_i with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathbf{s} := (s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $s_0 \leq s_1$ and let $L_{\mathbf{s}}$ be the irreducible highest weight module with highest weight $\Lambda_{s_0} + \Lambda_{s_1}$. Then the theory of Kashiwara and Lusztig provides us with a canonical basis for L_s . This basis is naturally labelled by the vertices of the associated crystal graph which

may be constructed as in $\S2.2$ $\S2.2$. It is easy to see that the class of bipartitions which label this graph is given by :

$$
\Phi_{\infty,n}^s = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \Pi_{2,n} \mid \lambda_i^{(0)} \geq \lambda_{i+s_1-s_0}^{(1)}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \right\}
$$

with $n \geq 0$. Thus, if $\lambda \in \Phi_{\infty,n}^s$, the associated element of the canonical basis is given by :

$$
b(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \Pi_{2,n}} c_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\boldsymbol{\mu}}(v) \boldsymbol{\mu}
$$

with $c_{\lambda,\lambda}(v) = 1$ and $c_{\lambda,\mu}(v) \in v\mathbb{Z}[v]$ if $\mu \neq \lambda$.

Let $\lambda := (\lambda^{(0)}, \lambda^{(1)}) \in \Phi_{\infty,n}^s$ and let $S_s(\lambda)$ be its associated symbol. By the above characterization of $\Phi_{\infty,n}^s$, this symbol is standard. Let p be the numbers of pairs in this symbol (see §[4.2](#page-11-0)) and let $C(\lambda)$ be the set of bipartitions μ of n such that $S_s(\mu)$ is obtained from $S_s(\lambda)$ by permuting some pairs in $S_s(\lambda)$ and reordering the rows. For $\mu \in C(\lambda)$, we denote by $l(\mu)$ the number of pairs permuted in $S_s(\lambda)$ to obtain $S_s(\mu)$. In particular, we have $l(\lambda) = 0$. Then, the following result gives an explicit description of the canonical basis.

Theorem5.1 (Leclerc-Miyachi [[15](#page-20-0), Theorem 3]). Let $\lambda \in \Phi_{\infty,n}^s$ and let $b(\lambda)$ be the associated element of the canonical basis of L_s . Then, we have :

$$
b(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in C(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} v^{l(\boldsymbol{\mu})} \boldsymbol{\mu}.
$$

Now, let e be a positive integer such that $e > 1$ and let $\mathbf{s} := (s_0, s_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $s_0 \leq s_1$. Let $\lambda \in \Phi_{e,n}^s$. By Prop. [4.1,](#page-10-0) we have $\lambda \in \Phi_{\infty,n}^s$. Thus λ is labelling the element of the canonical basis of the irreducible highest weight module L_{s} with highest weight $\Lambda_{s_0} + \Lambda_{s_1}$. Hence Theorem [4.6](#page-13-0) together with Theorem 5.1 yields the following remarkable property:

Theorem 5.2. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Phi_{e,n}^{\mathbf{s}}$. Then we have $\Psi_{(s_0,s_1)}^{(s_0,s_1+e)}$ $\binom{(80,81)}{(80,81)}$ (λ) = μ if and only if the degree of $c_{\lambda,\mu}(v)$ is maximal in $b(\lambda)$.

It could be interesting to obtain a non combinatorial proof of the above theorem which shows why the bijections $\Psi_{(s_0, s_1)}^{(s'_0, s'_1)}$ $\binom{(s_0,s_1)}{(s_0,s_1)}$ is controlled by the canonical basis of irreducible $\mathcal{U}_{v}(\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty})$ -modules.

Another open problem would be to obtain similar statements for the irreducible highest weight $\mathcal{U}_v(\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty})$ -modules of level $l > 2$. In this case, relations between the sets of Uglov multipartitions and the representation theory of Ariki-Koike algebras have been established in[[13](#page-20-0)].

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ARIKI, On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of $G(m, 1, n)$, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 36 (1996), 789–808.
- [2] S. Ariki, On the classification of simple modules for cyclotomic Hecke algebras of type $G(m, 1, n)$ and Kleshchev multipartitions, Osaka J. Math. 38 (2001), 827–837.

20 Nicolas Jacon

- [3] S. Ariki, Representations of quantum algebras and combinatorics of Young tableaux, University Lecture Series 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [4] S. Ariki and A. Mathas, The number of simple modules of the Hecke algebras of type $G(r, 1, n)$, Math. Z. 233 (2000), 601–623.
- [5] S. ARIKI, V. KREIMAN AND S. TSUCHIOKA, On the tensor product of two basic representations of $U_v(\widehat{sl}_e)$, preprint available at http://arXiv.org/math.RT/0606044.
- [6] O. Foda, B. Leclerc, M. Okado, J.-Y. Thibon and T. Welsh, Branching functions of $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ and Jantzen-Seitz problem for Ariki-Koike algebras, Advances in Math. 141 (1999), 322–365
- [7] R. Dipper and G. D. James, Representations of Hecke algebras of type B, J. Algebra 146 (1992), 454–481.
- [8] M. Geck, Modular representations of Hecke algebras, EPFL Press, to appear, preprint available at http://arXiv.org/math.RT/0511548.
- [9] M. Geck, Modular principal series representations, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2006), Article ID 41957.
- [10] M. GECK AND N. JACON, Canonical basic sets in type B_n , J. Algebra (to appear).
- [11] M. GECK AND R. ROUQUIER, Filtrations on projective modules for Iwahori–Hecke algebras. In: Modular Representation Theory of Finite Groups (Charlottesville, VA, 1998; eds. M. J. Collins, B. J. Parshall and L. L. Scott), p. 211–221, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 2001.
- [12] N. Jacon, On the parametrization of the simple modules for Ariki-Koike algebras at roots of unity, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 44 (2004), 729–767.
- [13] N. Jacon, Crystal graphs of higher level q-deformed Fock spaces, Lusztig a-values and Ariki-Koike algebras, Algebras and Rep. Theory (to appear).
- [14] M. Jimbo, K. C. Misra, T. Miwa and M. Okado, Combinatorics of representations of $U_q(sl(n))$ at $q = 0$, Comm. Math. Phys. **136** (1991), 543–566.
- [15] B. Leclerc and H. Miyachi, Constructible characters and canonical bases, J. Algebra 277 (2004), no. 1, 298–317.
- [16] G. Lusztig, Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, CRM Monographs Ser. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [17] A. Mathas, Iwahori-Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group, University Lecture Series, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 15, 1999.
- [18] D. UGLOV, Canonical bases of higher-level q-deformed Fock spaces and Kazhdan– Lusztig polynomials; Physical combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999), 249–299; Progress in Math. 191, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.

Universit´e de Franche-Comt´e, UFR Sciences et Techniques, 16 route de GRAY, 25 030 BESANCON, FRANCE.

E-mail address: jacon@math.univ-fcomte.fr