



# The Ganges basin geometry records a pre-15 Ma isostatic rebound of Himalaya

Jean-Louis Mugnier, Pascale Huyghe

## ► To cite this version:

Jean-Louis Mugnier, Pascale Huyghe. The Ganges basin geometry records a pre-15 Ma isostatic rebound of Himalaya. *Geology*, 2006, 34, No. 6, pp. 445-448. 10.1130/G22089.1 . hal-00080062

**HAL Id: hal-00080062**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00080062>**

Submitted on 14 Jun 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

\*E-mail: mugnier@ujf-grenoble.fr.

# The Ganges basin geometry records a pre-15 Ma isostatic rebound of Himalaya

**Jean-Louis Mugnier\***

**Pascale Huyghe**

*CNRS, Université J. Fourier, Maison des Géosciences, BP 53, 38041, Grenoble Cedex, France*

## **ABSTRACT**

The Tertiary continental strata of the Himalayan foreland basin are subdivided in two groups, but the meaning of this subdivision was previously unclear. From the analysis of drill-holes, seismic lines, dated sections, field outcrops and balanced cross-sections, we find that the southward migration rate of the deposition pinch-out of the younger group is  $19 \pm 5$  mm/yr and equals the Himalayan shortening rate. This equality shows that the flexural foreland basin development is mainly controlled by the motion of the thrust load. The long-term pinch-out migration rate was slower for the older syn-orogenic group. Erosion locally occurred at the end of its deposition, due to tectonic reactivation of lineaments of the Indian shield. We suggest that this change in the basin development is linked to the detachment of the subducted Indian lithosphere that decreased the slab pull and increased the mean compressive stress within the Indian plate, whereas the plate motion remained constant. The most important implication of our work is that the associated isostatic rebound could increase the Himalayan elevation prior 15 Ma.

**Keywords:** Himalaya, flexure, foreland basin, relief, slab break-off, tectonic reactivation.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The timing of the rise of Himalaya is of great importance because Himalaya is the best example when trying to understand the relation between mountain belt tectonics and paleoclimate (Molnar et al., 1993; Zhisheng et al., 2001; Spicer et al., 2003). But this rise is highly debated, because there is no direct measurement of paleo-elevation. Therefore, geodynamical models that take into account the role of isostasy and horizontal stresses remain a powerful approach to deduce the relief evolution of a mountain belt (Molnar et al., 1993). In this paper, we hypothesize that the overall foreland basin geometry of the Ganga basin is controlled by flexural subsidence related to the neighbor Himalayan belt evolution. The basin geometry is used to specify the evolution of the stress that affected the Indian shield and to propose an evolution of the lithospheric root and relief of the Himalayan belt.

## **GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Indian shield was affected by several tectonic events before the convergence of India toward Asia. Its northern part was strongly affected by the formation of a Proterozoic fold belt and the Proterozoic to Cambrian Vindhyan basin (Shukla and Chakravorty, 1994). Therefore, the crust beneath the Ganga basin (Fig. 1) is affected by inherited tectonic lineaments. These lineaments delineate from NW to SE a succession of spurs and depressions in the Tertiary Ganga basin (Raiverman et al., 1994) and are very oblique to the structural trend of the Himalayan thrust belt (Powers et al., 1998). This thrust belt induces a flexural subsidence that is the prime control of the foreland basin development (Burbank et al., 1996). The depocenter was located close to the front of the collision belt (Fig. 2) and the sediment pinch-out migrated outwards (Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1985) due to the motion of the thrust wedge (Huyghe et al., 2001).

Two groups define the syn-orogenic continental sediments of the foreland basin: the pre-Siwalik and the Siwalik group (Burbank et al., 1996; Najman et al., 2004). The lithostatigraphic

distinction between the continental strata of the Pre-Siwalik and Siwalik group has been defined very early (Meddlicott, 1884), and the main distinction is the extent of the sedimentation domains. The base of the Siwalik group is at ca. 13 Ma in India (Najman et al., 2004) and older than 15.5 Ma in Nepal (Gautam and Fujiwara, 2000).

## **DEPOSITION PINCH-OUT MIGRATION RATE AND HIMALAYAN SHORTENING RATE DURING THE SIWALIK STAGE**

A previous estimate of the pinch-out migration rate was obtained from 8 drill-holes (Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1985). This result is revisited from a compilation of 26 drill-holes (Valdiya, 1980; Acharyya and Ray, 1982; Raiverman et al., 1994; Shukla and Chakravorty, 1994; Srinivasan and Khar, 1996; Bashial, 1998; Powers et al., 1998) and 5 outcrops of the Tertiary basal unconformity (Valdiya, 1980; Shresta and Sharma 1996; Sakai et al., 1999) (Table DR2<sup>1</sup>). Furthermore, 10 balanced cross-sections of the outer belt (Srivastava and Mitra, 1994; Srinivasan and Khar, 1996; Powers et al., 1998; Lavé and Avouac, 2000; Mishra, 2001; Mugnier et al., 2004) are used to estimate the displacement of the thrust sheets. The method of analysis is detailed in the Table DR2<sup>1</sup>. The Siwalik group is informally subdivided into lower, middle and upper lithostratigraphic units (Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1985) and the age of the Siwalik units in the drill-holes is estimated from the nearest, amongst eleven, magnetostratigraphic studies (Fig. 1 and Table DR1<sup>1</sup>) (Burbank et al., 1996; Gautam and Rosler, 1999; Brozovic and Burbank, 2000; Gautam and Fujiwara, 2000). Nonetheless, these lithostratigraphic boundaries are diachronic at

---

<sup>1</sup> GSA Data Repository item 2004070. Table DR1, Age of the Tertiary lithostratigraphic units inferred from magnetostratigraphic studies and others methods, Table DR 2, The migration of the pinch-out of the Tertiary basin, and Table DR3, Shortening rate estimate through the central Himalaya.

local scale (Brozovic and Burbank, 2000; Huyghe et al., 2005) and along cross-sections transverse to the foreland basin (Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1985). We take into account this diachronism to estimate the age uncertainty (see DR2<sup>1</sup>), leading to a smaller uncertainty to the pinch-outs located close to the dated sections.

We find that the pinch-out migration rate varies laterally for the Siwalik period. It is  $19 \pm 5$  mm/yr in front of the central part of Himalaya and only  $12 \pm 3$  mm/yr in the western part (Fig. 3). This lateral variation mimics the variation of the shortening rate: in central Himalaya, the shortening rate is  $20 \pm 5$  mm/yr (De Celles et al., 2002; Mugnier et al., 2004) (Fig. 3, DR 3<sup>1</sup>), and in western part is  $14 \pm 4$  mm/yr (Powers et al., 1998).

Our data sets are based on independent estimation procedures of the shortening and pinch-out migration rates and confirm their equality previously postulated by Lyon-Caen and Molnar (1985). Therefore our work reinforces the hypothesis that a flexural behavior of the lithospheric plate links the evolution of the Ganga basin to the translation of the Himalayan belt. Furthermore, the mean slope and the topography of the belt have probably not greatly changed since at least 15 Ma, because the Himalayan wedge migrates only if its taper is maintained (Dahlen and Barr, 1989).

## THE EVOLUTION OF THE BASIN PRIOR TO THE SIWALIK DEPOSITION

The pre-Siwalik group is formed of continental strata with an age between 13 Ma and less than 30 Ma (Sakai et al., 1999; Najman et al., 2004). The pre-Siwalik basin is restricted to the very northern part of the Ganga plain (Raiverman et al., 1994), to the footwall of the basal décollement of the Sub-Himalaya zone (Powers et al., 1998) and to the top of few tectonic Himalayan slices (Najman et al., 2004). An “intermediate sequence” (Fig. 2A) beneath the Ganga basin was initially interpreted as part of the Tertiary group (Lyon-Caen and Molnar,

1985), but further works suggest that it consists of Vindhyan deposits (Srinivasan and Khar, 1996).

The southward migration rate of the pinch-out for the pre-Siwaliks (Fig.3) is smaller than the migration rate for the Siwaliks. We discuss in the following six different hypotheses to explain this change: 1) variation of the rigidity of the flexed plate (Waschbuch and Royden, 1992); 2) onset of a thrusting event (Fleming and Jordan, 1990); 3) internal thickening and narrowing of the thrust belt (Sinclair et al., 1991); 4) change in the shortening rate; 5) erosional unloading of the topographic wedge (Burbank, 1992); 6) lost of the heavy roots of the orogen (Sinclair, 1997).

A variation of the rigidity of the flexed plate is unlikely, because the rigidity was already great during the pre-Siwalik stage, due to the old (more than 500 Ma) thermotectonic age of the Indian lithosphere (Burov and Diament, 1995). Furthermore, flexural modelling of the Eocene-early Miocene foreland basin indicates a flexural rigidity greater than  $7 \cdot 10^{23}$  Nm (De Celles et al., 1998), a value close to the present-day rigidity in central Himalaya (Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1985).

## **EROSION AND TRANSPRESSION AT THE BASE OF THE SIWALIK GROUP**

The fault activity evidenced beneath the foreland basin is used to test the others hypotheses proposed for the change of the migration rate.

Seismic data beneath the Ganga plain and the sub-Himalayan thrust belt (DMG, 1990; Shukla and Chakravorty, 1994; Srinivisan and Khar, 1996; Raiverman et al., 1994) indicate that the partitioning of the Ganges basin in a succession of spurs and depressions is controlled by basement fault reactivation (Raiverman et al., 1994; Bashial, 1998). These spurs influenced the thickness and the southern depositional limits of the Pre-Siwalik group (Raiverman et al., 1994).

Locally, the south boundary of the upper sub-group is located to the north of the pinch-out of the underneath sub-group (Raiverman et al., 1994). This apparent backward migration is due to erosion that had removed the southern part of the upper sub-group (Fig. 2A and B) beneath unconformities (Fig. 2C) at the top of the Pre-Siwalik sub-group. This retrogradation causes the reduction of the long term pinch-out migration rate, though the “instantaneous” Eocene-early Miocene and late Miocene-Pliocene migration rate could be similar (De Celles et al., 1998).

These unconformities, though largely extended (Pascoe, 1964), are discontinuous laterally (Raiverman et al., 1994). The erosion seems mainly expressed above the basement faults and the complex pattern of the sedimentary bodies suggests a left-lateral transpressional tectonic regime along the lineaments oblique to the Himalayan trend. Normal faults, parallel to the Himalayan trend, throw down toward the north the base of the Tertiary strata (Raiverman et al., 1994) (Fig. 2B). They are related to the reactivation of Indian shield lineaments due to the negative curvature of the flexed lithosphere during the pre-Siwalik stage (Powers et al., 1998) and positive structural inversion (Gillcrist et al., 1987) leads to basement folding at their hanging-wall at the end of the pre-Siwalik stage. Therefore, a phase of fault reactivation is synchronous with local erosion or deposition of the uppermost pre-Siwalik sequence and predates 15.5 Ma in Nepal and 13 Ma in India. This phase was linked to an increase of the mean horizontal forces applied by the plate motion close to the orogen area and/or a decrease of the bending moment that controls the curvature of a flexed plate.

#### **FLEXURE OF THE INDIAN PLATE: THE ROLE OF THE CRUSTAL LOADING OF THE THRUST WEDGE VERSUS LITHOSPHERIC SLAB BREAK-OFF**

Onset of a thrusting event and internal thickening of the thrust belt would change the geometry of the crustal thrust wedge (Fleming and Jordan, 1990; Sinclair et al., 1991), leading to

a retrogradation of the pinch-out and also an increase of the curvature of the flexed lithosphere. Such a curvature increase stage does not match to a stress increase, and we therefore exclude these hypotheses for the transition between pre-Siwalik and Siwalik stage.

Shortening rate during the pre-Siwalik stage is  $20 \pm 8$  mm/yr (Fig. 3). Choosing the lower value of 12-14 mm/yr would keep equal shortening rate and migration rate. Therefore, an increase of the shortening at the end of the pre-Siwalik stage would explain the stress increase. We nonetheless do not favour this interpretation because it is associated with a constant convergence between India and Eurasia (DeMets et al., 1990) and an increasing erosion of Himalaya (Clift et al., 2004; Bernet et al., 2005).

This regional increase of the erosion could drive an erosional unloading (Burbank, 1992) at the Siwalik/pre-Siwalik transition. Nonetheless, erosional unloading would imply that erosion exceeded the volume of rocks moved by tectonics above the Indian plate. A lower bound for the rate of tectonic loading is the product of the lower estimate of the shortening (12 mm/yr) by the lower estimate of the thrust thickness (20 km). Therefore the erosion would have to exceed 240 m<sup>3</sup>/yr for a swath of 1 m, or 0.5 km<sup>3</sup>/yr for the whole Himalaya, i.e., to be as great as the Plio-Quaternary erosion estimated by Métivier et al. (1999). No data suggests such a regional peak of erosion by that time.

We rather suggest that a lithospheric slab break-off increased the relief and consequently the erosion. This slab break-off increased the stresses within the Indian plate through two processes: a) The loss of the mantle lithospheric roots decreases the additional forces exerted at the trailing edge of the flexed lithosphere (Lyon-Caen and Molnar, 1985) and decreases the curvature of the plate; b) The loss of the continental mantle lithospheric roots increases the mean horizontal deviatoric forces applied by the orogen area and surrounding lowlands to one another



(Molnar et al., 1993). Tomographic analysis (Van der Voo et al., 1999) suggests that several detached portions of the lithospheric mantle are located beneath Tibet and Himalaya, due to a delamination of the Indian continental mantle and its break-off. Such a break-off (Fig. 4) fits with the Neogene magmatic evolution of Southern Tibet (Mahéo et al., 2002). We suggest, from the timing of the fault reactivation beneath the foreland basin, that the break-off was achieved before 15.5 Ma in Central Himalaya and progressively propagated westward over several millions years.

Numerical models (Buiter et al., 2002) indicate that the break-off related-uplift zone is much larger than an uplift zone at the hanging-wall of any mega thrust fault (Beaumont et al., 2001), but it is much smaller than the width of Tibet. The Tibetan uplift is probably linked to several processes, and the slab break-off could be one of them. It induced a kilometer-scale increase of the altitude of the very southern part of the Tibetan plateau and led to topographic emergence of a discrete Himalaya belt with respect to Tibetan plateau prior to 15 Ma.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Bernet and S. Guillot for numerous comments of the manuscript. The reviews of H. Sinclair and D. Burbank were very helpful to clarify the discussion. This work is granted by “Eclipse” and “Relief” French programs.

## REFERENCES CITED

- Acharyya, S., and Ray, K., 1982, Hydrocarbon Possibilities of Concealed Mesozoic-Paleogene sediments below Himalayan Nappes- reappraisal: The American Association of Petroleum Geologist Bulletin, v. 66, p. 57–70.
- Bashial, R.P., 1998, Petroleum exploration in Nepal: Journal of Nepal Geological Society, v. 18, p. 19–24.

- 179 Beaumont, C., Fullsack, P., and Hamilton, J., 2001, Himalayan tectonics explained by extrusion  
180 of a low-viscosity crustal channel coupled to focused surface denudation: *Nature*, v. 414,  
181 p. 738–742, doi: 10.1038/414738a.
- 182 Bernet, M., Van Der Beek, P., Huyghe, P., and Mugnier, J.-L., 2005, Continuous and episodic  
183 exhumation of the Central Himalayas from detrital zircon fission-track analysis of Siwalik  
184 sediments, Nepal. *20TH HKT Workshop*, Aussois, France, abstracts volume, p.10.
- 185 Brozovic, N., and Burbank, D., 2000, Dynamic fluvial systems and gravel progradation in the  
186 Himalayan foreland: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 112, p. 394–412, doi:  
187 10.1130/0016-7606(2000)112<0394:DFSAGP>2.3.CO;2.
- 188 Buiter, S., Govers, R., and Wortel, M.J.R., 2002, Two-dimensional simulations of surface  
189 deformation caused by slab detachment: *Tectonophysics*, v. 354, p. 195–210, doi:  
190 10.1016/S0040-1951(02)00336-0.
- 191 Burbank, D.W., 1992, Causes of recent uplift deduced from deposited pattern in the Ganges  
192 basin: *Nature*, v. 357, p. 680–683, doi: 10.1038/357680a0.
- 193 Burbank, D.W., Beck, R.A., and Mulder, T., 1996, The Himalayan Foreland: *in* *Asian Tectonics*  
194 (edited by A. Yin and T.M. Harrison). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 149-  
195 188.
- 196 Burov, E., and Diament, M., 1995, The effective elastic thickness of continental lithosphere:  
197 what does it mean?: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 100, p. 3905–3927, doi:  
198 10.1029/94JB02770.
- 199 Clift, P.D., Layne, G.D., and Blusztajn, J., 2004, Marine Sedimentary evidence for monsoon  
200 strengthening, Tibetan uplift and drainage evolution, *in* Clift, P.D., Wang, P., Hayes, D.,

- 201 and Kuhnt, Continent-Ocean Interactions in the East Asian Marginal Seas: American  
202 Geophysical Union, Monograph, series 149, p. 255-282.
- 203 Dahlen, F., and Barr, T., 1989, Brittle frictional mountain building- deformation and mechanical  
204 energy budget: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 94, p. 3906–3922.
- 205 De Celles, P.G., Gehrels, G.E., Quade, J., and Ojha, T.P., 1998, Eocene-early Miocene foreland  
206 basin development and the history of the Himalayan thrusting, western and central Nepal:  
207 Tectonics, v. 17, p. 741–765, doi: 10.1029/98TC02598.
- 208 De Celles, P.G., Robinson, D., and Zandt, G., 2002, Implication of shortening in the Himalayan  
209 fold-thrust belt for uplift of the Tibetan plateau: Tectonics, v. 21, p. 1062, 1087.
- 210 DeMets, C., Gordon, G., Argus, D., and Stein, S., 1990, Current plate motion: Geophysical  
211 Journal International, v. 101, p. 425–478.
- 212 DMG, 1990, Nepal exploration opportunities: Department of Mines and Geology - Ministry of  
213 Industry His Majesty's Government of Nepal, Kathmandu, 30 p.
- 214 Fleming, P., and Jordan, T., 1990, Stratigraphic modelling of foreland basins: interpreting thrust  
215 deformation and lithosphere rheology, Geology, v. 18, p. 430-434.
- 216 Gautam, P., and Fujiwara, Y., 2000, Magnetic polarity stratigraphy of Siwalik group sediments  
217 of Karnali River section in western Nepal: Geophysical Journal International, v. 142,  
218 p. 812–824, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-246x.2000.00185.x
- 219 Gautam, P., and Rosler, W., 1999, Depositional Chronology and fabric of Siwalik group  
220 sediments in Central Nepal from magnetostratigraphy and magnetic anisotropy: Journal of  
221 Asian Earth Sciences, v. 17, p. 659–682, doi: 10.1016/S1367-9120(99)00021-8.
- 222 Gillcrist, R., Coward, M., and Mugnier, J.L., 1987, Structural inversion and its controls:  
223 examples from the Alpine foreland and the French Alps: Geodinamica Acta, v. 1, p. 5–34.

- 224 Huyghe, P., Galy, A., Mugnier, J.-L., and France-Lanord, C., 2001, Propagation of the thrust  
225 system and erosion in the Lesser Himalaya: Geochemical and sedimentological evidence:  
226 Geology, v. 29, p. 1007–1010, doi: 10.1130/0091-  
227 7613(2001)029<1007:POTTSA>2.0.CO;2.
- 228 Huyghe P., Mugnier J.L., Gajurel A., and Delcaillau B., 2005, Tectonic and climatic controls of  
229 the changes in the sedimentary record of the Karnali river section (Western Nepal), Island  
230 Arc, v. 14, p. 311-327.
- 231 Lavé, J., and Avouac, J.P., 2000, Active folding of fluvial terraces across the Siwaliks hills,  
232 Himalayas of central Nepal, implications for Himalayan seismotectonics: Journal of  
233 Geophysical Research, v. 105, p. 5735–5770, doi: 10.1029/1999JB900292.
- 234 Lyon-Caen, H., and Molnar, P., 1985, Gravity anomalies, flexure of the Indian plate, and the  
235 structure, support and evolution of the Himalaya and Ganga basin: Tectonics, v. 4, p. 513–  
236 538.
- 237 Mahéo, G., Blichert-Toft, G., Rolland, Y., and Pêcher, A., 2002, A slab breakoff model for the  
238 Neogene thermal evolution of South Karakorum and South Tibet: Earth and Planetary  
239 Science Letters, v. 195, p. 45–58, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00578-7.
- 240 Meddlicott, H., 1884, On the geological structures and relations of the southern position of the  
241 Himalayan ranges between the rivers Ganges and Ravee: Indian Geological Survey  
242 Memory, v. 3, p. 1–206.
- 243 Métivier, F., Gaudemer Y., Tapponier, P., Klein, M., 1999, Mass accumulation rates in Asia  
244 during the Cenozoic: Geophysical Journal International, v. 137, p. 280-318, doi:  
245 10.1046/j.1365-246X.1999.00802.x.

- 246 Mishra, P., 2001, Balanced cross sections, structural evolution and shortening, NW Himalayan  
247 fold-thrust belt: Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, India,  
248 280 p.
- 249 Molnar, P., England, P., and Martinod, J., 1993, Mantle dynamics, uplift of the Tibetan Plateau  
250 and the Indian Monsoon: *Reviews of Geophysics*, v. 31, p. 357–396, doi:  
251 10.1029/93RG02030.
- 252 Mugnier, J.L., Huyghe, P., Leturmy, P., and Jouanne, F., 2004, Episodicity and rates of thrust  
253 sheet motion in Himalaya (Western Nepal), *in* “Thrust Tectonics and Hydrocarbon Systems:  
254 AAPG Mem., v. 82, p. 91-114.
- 255 Najman, Y., Johnson, K., White, N., and Grahame, O., 2004, Evolution of the Himalayan  
256 foreland basin, NW India: *Basin Research*, v. 16, p. 1–24, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-  
257 2117.2004.00223.x.
- 258 Pascoe, E., 1964, A manual of Geology of India and Burma: Gov. of India Publication, Dehli, p.  
259 2130 p.
- 260 Powers, P.M., Lillie, R.J., and Yeats, R.S., 1998, Structure and shortening of the Kangra and  
261 Dehra Dun reentrants, Sub-Himalayas, India: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*,  
262 v. 110, p. 1010–1027, doi: 10.1130/0016-7606(1998)110<1010:SASOTK>2.3.CO;2.
- 263 Raiverman, V., Chugh, M., Srivastava, A., Prasad, D., and Das, S., 1994, Cenozoic Tectonic of  
264 frontal fold belt of the Himalaya and Indo-Gangetic Foredeep with pointers Towards  
265 Hydrocarbon Prospects: *Proc. Second seminar on Petroliferous Basins of India*, 3, S.K.  
266 Biswas et al. (eds), Indian Petroleum Publishers, Dehra Dun, 248001, India, p. 25- 54.
- 267 Sakai, H., Takigami, Y., Nakamuta, Y., and Nomura, H., 1999, Inverted metamorphism in the  
268 Pre-siwalik foreland basin sediments beneath the crystalline nappe, western Nepal,

- 269 Himalaya: Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, v. 17, p. 727–741, doi: 10.1016/S1367-  
270 9120(99)00035-8.
- 271 Shrestha, R.B., and Sharma, S.R., 1996, The lower Siwalik-basement unconformity in the Sub-  
272 Himalaya of eastern Nepal and its significance: Journal of Nepal Geological Society, v. 13,  
273 p. 29–36.
- 274 Shukla, S.N., and Chakravorty, D., 1994, Status of exploration and future programme of  
275 Hydrocarbon exploration in Vindhyan and Gondwana Basins: Proc. Second seminar on  
276 Petroliferous Basins of India, 3, S.K. Biswas et al. (eds), Indian Petroleum Publishers, Dehra  
277 Dun, 248001, India, p. 63–100.
- 278 Sinclair, H., Coakley, B., Allen, P., and Watts, A., 1991, Simulation of foreland basin  
279 stratigraphy using a diffusion model of mountain belt uplift and erosion: an example from  
280 the central Alps, Switzerland, Tectonics, v. 10, p. 599-620.
- 281 Sinclair, H., 1997, Flysh to molasses transition in peripheral foreland basins: The role of the  
282 passive margin versus slab breakoff, Geology, v. 25, p. 1123-1126.
- 283 Spicer, R.A., Harris, N.B.W., Widdowson, M., Herman, A.B., Guo, S., Valdes, P.J., Wolfe, J.A.,  
284 and Kelley, S.P., 2003, Constant elevation of southern Tibet over the past 15 million years:  
285 Nature, v. 421, p. 622–624, doi: 10.1038/nature01356.
- 286 Srinivasan, S., and Khar, B.M., 1996, Status of hydrocarbon exploration in Northwest Himalaya  
287 and foredeep- Contribution to stratigraphy and structure: Geological Survey of India Special  
288 Publication 21, p. 295–405.
- 289 Srivastava, P., and Mitra, G., 1994, Thrust geometries and deep structures of the outer and lesser  
290 Himalaya, Kumaon and Garhwal (India): Implications for evolution of the Himalayan fold  
291 and thrust belt: Tectonics, v. 13, p. 89–109, doi: 10.1029/93TC01130.

- Valdiya, K.S., 1980, Geology of the Kumaon lesser Himalaya: Published by Wadia Institute of Geology, Dehradun, India, 291 p.
- Van der Voo, R., Spakman, W., and Bijwaard, H., 1999, Tethyan subducted slabs under India: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 171, p. 7–20, doi: 10.1016/S0012-821X(99)00131-4.
- Waschburch P.J., Royden, L.H., 1992, Neogene kinematics of the central and western Alps: episodicity in foredeep basins, Geology, v. 20, p. 915-918.
- Zhisheng, A., Kutzbach, J., Prell, W., and Porter, S., 2001, Evolution of Asian monsoons and phased uplift of the Himalaya-Tibetan plateau since late miocene times: Nature, v. 411, p. 62–66, doi: 10.1038/35075035.

Figure 1. Structural sketch of the Himalaya and its foreland basin. *Cu*—Magnetostatigraphic studies of the Tertiary units (see Table DR1<sup>1</sup>). • *Dr*—Drill holes (or outcrops) of the base of the Tertiary sediments (see Table DR2<sup>1</sup>). 1—Himalaya. 2—sub-Himalaya. 3—foreland basin. 4—Indian shield. 5—Linaments beneath the Ganga foreland basin from Raiverman et al. (1994) and Srinivasan and Khar (1996). 6—Main Himalayan Thrusts. 7— Pinch-out of the pre-Siwalik group from DMG (1990), Shresta and Sharma (1996), Srinivasan and Khar (1996) and Raiverman et al. (1994). 8— Southern edge line of the basin from Lyon-Caen and Molnar (1985).

Figure 2. Cross-sections through the Tertiary sediments. The vertical scale is magnified by 5. A: Cross-section through the foreland basin. Ages refer to the pinch-out: 1—Siwalik group; 2—Tertiary pre-Siwalik group; 3—Pre-Tertiary sequences. *BF*—Reactivation of an Indian shield lineament. Northern part of the Tertiary basin from Raiverman et al. (1994) and southern part

from Shukla and Chakravorty (1994); intermediate sequence from Srinivasan and Khar (1996),  
basement structures from Shukla and Chakravorty (1994). B: Structure of the Tertiary sediments  
beneath the sub-Himalayan belt of Dehra-Dun area from Raiverman et al. (1994) and Powers et  
al. (1998). MFT: Main Frontal Thrust; MBT: Main Boundary Thrust. Same scale for cross-  
section A and B. The thickness of pre-Siwalik sediments greatly varies close to the Mohand drill-  
hole. C: Zoom of a seismic profile (Location on Fig. 2B). Beneath the sub-Himalayan belt,  
toplaps occur beneath an unconformity at the base of the Siwaliks. Paleo-relief is preserved  
beneath the lower Siwaliks at the hanging-wall of steep faults. These faults are cut and  
transported by the basal décollement of the sub-Himalayan zone.

Figure 3. A plot of the age of the base of the Tertiary sediments versus the distance from the  
edge of the Ganges basin. Circles, squares, continuous and hatched lines refer respectively to  
drill-holes east of E78° and west of E78°, and to the cross-section of Figure 2B (see Table  
DR2<sup>1</sup>). The thick × refer to a plot of time versus Himalayan shortening (see Table DR3<sup>1</sup>) and  
the hatched line is a linear fit for these data.

Figure 4. A sketch of the Ganges basin-Himalaya-Tibet evolution. The vertical scale is  
magnified by 5 for the uppermost crust (shallower than 10 km) to see the foreland basin and the  
Himalayan relief. The lithospheric structures are not vertically magnified. 1—Tertiary foreland  
basin; 2—Crust of the Indian shield; 3—Himalaya; 4—Tibetan Zone; 5—Indian lithospheric  
mantle. MFT: Main Frontal Thrust; MCT: Main Central Thrust. A: Geometry at ca. 20 Ma. B:  
Geometry at ca. 15 Ma. Lithospheric mantle break-off induced (1) an increase of the stresses and  
(2) fault reactivation in the Indian shield, (3) local erosion of the foreland basin, (4) increase of



338 the altitude of the Himalaya (uplift profile adapted from Buiter et al.; 2002), and (5) volcanism in  
339 southern Tibet. C: Present day state.





Fig.1

# FIG. 2






Fig. 3




Fig.4