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#### Abstract

We study the mathematical evolution of a liquid fuel droplet inside a vessel. In particular, we give the radius evolution of the droplet on a finite time interval, starting from a hyperbolic system involving the pressure and the velocity of the surrounding gas. Existence of bounded solutions for the mass fraction of the liquid, submitted to nonlinear contraints, is shown. Numerical simulations are given, in agreement with known physical experiments.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The evaporation of a single drop in a gas involves simultaneous heat and mass transfer processes in which the heat for evaporation is transferred to the drop surface by conduction and convection, while vapor is carried by convection and diffusion back into the gas stream. The evaporation rate depends on the pressure, temperature and physical properties of the gas, the temperature, volatility and diameter of the drop in the spray.
In the experimental study of a single drop evaporation performed by the LCSR, Combustion Laboratory of the University of campus from Orleans, France, see also [7], the drop is suspended from a silicate tube. The elliptic shape of the drop is corrected to a sphere of equal volume. Important quantities of interest for these experiments are time evolution of the radius of the drop, as well as classical quantities such as mass fractions or temperatures of the liquid, gas. In the experiments
made above, the so-called $D^{2}$ law is used to simplify two-phase fluid models and then propose adequate numerical schemes. This law simply states that the time evolution of the radius behaves as $\frac{1}{t^{2}}$ in time flow, and is purely phenomenological.
Our purpose in this paper is exactly in the opposite sense. We start from phenomenological fluid (mixtures) PDE modeling the drop evaporation process, compute the time-evolution of the drop radius, and then deduce other quantities of interest such as mass fractions of the liquid and gas. Thus, we shall consider a drop initially represented by a single component mixture (chemical specie 1) while the surrounding gas at time $t=0$ is pure (chemical specie 2 ).

During the evaporation process, the vapor of liquid is transferred into the gas, while by condensation at the droplet surface and then by diffusion the specie 2 appears in the drop.
We make the important simplification that the moving interface between the drop and the surrounding gas (i.e. between the two species) is spherical with radius $R=R(t)$ evolving in time.
Let $\rho_{G}$ (resp. $\rho_{L}$ ) denote the gas density ( resp. liquid density). Let $v_{G}$ (resp. $v_{L}$ ) denote the gas velocity ( resp. velocity density). Then one has the classical overall continuity and momentum conservation laws

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} \rho_{k}+\operatorname{div}\left(\rho_{k} v_{k}\right)=0  \tag{1.1}\\
\rho_{k} \partial_{t} v_{k}+\rho_{k} v_{k} \cdot \nabla v_{k}=-\nabla p \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k$ is the gas $G$ or the liquid $L$ depending on whether one considers the gas or liquid and $p$ the state equation of the gas.
Let $Y_{L 1}, Y_{L 2}$ (resp. $Y_{G 1}, Y_{G 2}$ ) the mass fractions of the liquid (resp. gas) obtained after diffusion of species in the surrounding gas. Therefore for two species, one has

$$
Y_{G 1}+Y_{G 2}=Y_{L 1}+Y_{L 2}=1
$$

Along with equation (1.1), we have to add the equation giving the conservation of species. So for the liquid we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{L} \partial_{t} Y_{L k}+\rho_{L} v_{L} \cdot \nabla Y_{L k}+\operatorname{div}\left(\rho_{L} Y_{L k} v_{L k}\right)=-\rho_{L} f\left(Y_{L k}\right), \quad k=1,2 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Y_{L k}$ denoting the mass fraction of the liquid, and $f$ a continuous function associated to a friction or a resistance for the drop.
We assume that the speed of the liquid is small, and thus settled it to 0. Equations (1.1) and (1.3) can then be written under conservative form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}(\rho \tilde{g})+\operatorname{div}(\rho \tilde{g} v)=F(\tilde{g}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f(u))=F(u) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a system of particular coordinates.
If $\Gamma$ is a curve of discontinuity of $u$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
[f(u)]=[u] \frac{d x}{d t} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [.] denotes the jump of the inner quantity, $s=\frac{d x}{d t}$ is the speed of discontinuity along $\Gamma$. The jump Relation (1.6) is known as Rankine-Hugoniot condition. It merely means that discontinuities cannot be completely arbitrary. These classical facts are detailed for instance in [4].
In the case of the drop, to find interface condition at the surface of the drop i.e. for $r=R(t)$, it is sufficient to use (1.5) and (1.4) in polar coordinates, getting

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\rho \tilde{g} v]=[\rho \tilde{g}] \frac{d R}{d t} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus taking $\tilde{g}=1$, one has

$$
\left(\rho_{G}-\rho_{L}\right) \frac{d R}{d t}=\rho_{G} v_{G}-\rho_{L} v_{L}
$$

that is also with $v=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{G}\left(v_{G}-\frac{d R}{d t}\right)=-\rho_{L} \frac{d R}{d t} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\tilde{g}=Y$ in (1.7), $Y$ denoting the mass fraction of the liquid or the gas after diffusing, we get

$$
\left(\rho_{G} Y_{G k}-\rho_{L} Y_{L k}\right) \frac{d R}{d t}=\rho_{G} Y_{G k}\left(v_{G}+v_{G k}\right)-\rho_{L} Y_{L k} v_{L k}
$$

and this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{G} Y_{G k}\left(v_{G}-R^{\prime}\right)+\rho_{G} Y_{G k} v_{G k}=-\rho_{L} Y_{L k} R^{\prime}+\rho_{L} Y_{L k} v_{L k} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Above $v_{G k}\left(\operatorname{resp} . v_{L k}\right)$ is the speed of the specie $G k(\operatorname{resp} . L k), k=1,2$.
Combining relation (1.9) with Fick's law, see [3,4], that is

$$
Y_{G 1} v_{G 1}=-D_{12} \nabla Y_{G 1}, Y_{G 2} v_{G 2}=-D_{21} \nabla G_{G 2}
$$

where $D_{12}$ and $D_{21}$ are diffusion coefficients, and with equations relating the thermodynamic state at the interface $r=R(t)$

$$
Y_{G k}=K_{k} Y_{L k}, k=1,2
$$

we obtain for the mass fraction of the liquid $Y_{L 1}$ the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r} Y_{L 1}+\frac{R^{\prime}(t)\left(K_{1}-1\right)}{K_{2} \rho_{G}(R(t), t)-K_{3}} Y_{L 1}=0, \text { at } r=R(t) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

using polar coordinates.
In [2] we have made huge mathematical and physical simplifications taking the state equation of the gas $p$ as constant in (1.2) and considering the velocity of the gas $v_{G}$ as a given function of the time $t$. Thus in our previous work, the system (1.1), (1.2) was reduced to the equation (1.1) with $v_{G}(t)$ given.
In this paper, we first analyze the hyperbolic system (1.1), (1.2) with an auxiliary state equation of the gas $p_{1}=\rho^{\gamma}$. Once $\rho_{G}(r, t)$ and $v_{G}(r, t)$ determined, we compute the radius $R(t)$ of the drop suspended in the gas through the ordinary differential equation (1.8). $R(t)$ being known, we shall then study the mass fraction $Y_{L 1}$ of the liquid after the evaporation process through the PDE (1.3) along with the boundary condition (1.10), with a function $f$ submitted to suitable assumptions.
Let us note that spherical symmetry reduces the problem to a transcent process of 1-dimensional nature. Within the framework of weighted Sobolev spaces on initial data and for some continuous function $f$ subject to increasing condition, we shall provide an unique local solution for the mass fraction $Y_{L 1}$ of the liquid. In addition, we shall show that if the initial condition is bounded, then so is our solution.

## 2. HYPERBOLIC SYSTEM

The velocity $v_{G}(r, t)$ of the gas and its density $\rho_{G}(r, t)$ satisfy the following system, using polar coordinates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \rho_{G}}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \rho_{G} v_{G}\right)=0  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\rho_{G} v_{G}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \rho_{G} v_{G}^{2}\right)=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}
\end{gather*}
$$

Setting $\rho(r, t)=r^{2} \rho_{G}(r, t), v(r, t)=v_{G}(r, t)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r}+\rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial r} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{1}(r, t)$ is an auxiliary function connected to the state equation of the gas $p(r, t)$ by $\frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial r}=$ $r^{2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}$.
In (2.2) we choose the auxiliary function $p_{1}(r, t)$ such that $p_{1}=\rho^{\gamma}, \gamma>1$.
In this way, we have to consider the following system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r}+\rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}=0  \tag{2.3}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+\gamma \rho^{\gamma-2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

(2.3) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\rho}{v}+A \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\binom{\rho}{v}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ being the matrix $(2,2)$

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v & \rho \\
\gamma \rho^{\gamma-2} & v
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenvalues of $A$ (characteristics speeds) are $\lambda=v-c$ and $\mu=v+c, c=\sqrt{\gamma \rho^{\gamma-1}}$. Since $\lambda<\mu$, system (2.4) is hyperbolic. Then there exists two functions $W(\rho, v)$ and $Z(\rho, v)$ (Riemann invariants) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(\rho, v)=\text { constant on } \frac{d X^{1}}{d t}=\lambda  \tag{2.5}\\
& Z(\rho, v)=\text { constant on } \frac{d X^{2}}{d t}=\mu \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$W(\rho, v)$ is determined by the system $\frac{d v}{c / \rho}=\frac{d \rho}{1}$, the vector $R_{1}=(1, c / \rho)$ being the eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue $\mu$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\rho, v)=v-\frac{2 c}{\gamma-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, the Riemann invariant $Z(\rho, v)$ corresponding to $\lambda$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\rho, v)=v+\frac{2 c}{\gamma-1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $W(\rho, v)=W(t, r)$ and $Z(\rho, v)=Z(t, r)$ satisfy the following system equivalent to system (2.4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial W}{\partial t}+\lambda(W, Z) \frac{\partial W}{\partial r}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t}+\mu(W, Z) \frac{\partial Z}{\partial r}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda(W, Z)$ and $\mu(W, Z)$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda=-\left(\frac{\gamma-3}{4}\right) Z+\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{4}\right) W  \tag{2.10}\\
\mu=\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{4}\right) Z-\left(\frac{\gamma-3}{4}\right) W
\end{gather*}
$$

as can be seen from (2.7) and (2.8).
It is well known that a sufficient condition in order that (2.9) is authentically nonlinear is that $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial W}>0$ and $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial Z}>0$, which is the case here according to (2.10).

Integration along the characteristics defined by

$$
\frac{d X^{1}}{d t}=\lambda(W, Z), \quad X^{1}(0)=\beta
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{(0, \beta)}^{1}(t)=\beta+\int_{0}^{t} \lambda\left(W\left(s, X^{1}(s)\right), Z\left(s, X^{1}(s)\right)\right) d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore the solution of the value initial problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}+\lambda(W, Z) W_{r}=0, \quad W(0, r)=W_{0}(r) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t, r)=W_{0}\left(X_{(0, \beta)}^{1}(0)\right)=W_{0}(\beta), \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=r-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda\left(W\left(s, X^{1}(s)\right), Z\left(s, X^{1}(s)\right)\right) d s$.
Similarly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(t, r)=Z_{0}\left(X_{(0, \alpha)}^{2}(0)\right)=Z_{0}(\alpha) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
X_{(0, \alpha)}^{2}(t)=\alpha+\int_{0}^{t} \mu\left(W\left(s, X^{2}(s)\right), Z\left(s, X^{2}(s)\right)\right) d s
$$

Proposition 2.1. Let us suppose that $W_{0}^{\prime}(\beta)<0$ or $Z_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha)<0$. Then the solution of system (2.3) is defined on a finite interval $[0, T[$.

Proof
$\overline{\text { Differentiation of (2.5) and (2.11) with respect to } \beta \text { gives }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X_{\beta}^{1}}{d t}=\lambda_{\beta}(W, Z) \text { with } X_{\beta}^{1}(t=0)=1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X_{\alpha}^{2}}{d t}=\mu_{\alpha}(W, Z) \text { with } X_{\alpha}^{2}(t=0)=1 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\lambda_{\beta}=\lambda_{W} W_{\beta}+\lambda_{Z} Z_{\beta}=\lambda_{W} W_{0}^{\prime}(\beta)$ and $\mu_{\alpha}=\mu_{Z} Z_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha)$. Using these results in (2.15) and (2.16) and integrating w.r.t. $t$ along the characteristics gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{\beta}^{1}(t)=1+\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{W} W_{0}^{\prime}(\beta) d t=1+\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{4}\right) W_{0}^{\prime}(\beta) t  \tag{2.17}\\
& X_{\alpha}^{2}(t)=1+\int_{0}^{t} \mu_{Z} Z_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha) d t=1+\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{4}\right) Z_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha) t \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.17) we see that $X_{\beta}^{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ for $t_{1}=\frac{-4}{(\gamma+1) W_{0}^{\prime}(\beta)}>0$. Similarly $X_{\alpha}^{2}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$ for $t_{2}=$ $\frac{-4}{(\gamma+1) Z_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha)}>0$. Hence $\frac{\partial W}{\partial r}(t, r)$ becomes infinite for $T=\inf \left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ since $\frac{\partial W}{\partial r}=W_{\beta} \cdot \frac{d \beta}{d X}=$ $\frac{W_{0}^{\prime}(\beta)}{X_{\beta}}$.

We can deduce from this result the following facts.
Consequence 1: Proposition 2.1 implies that system (2.9) admits an unique $C^{1}$ solution on $[0, T$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and for initial data $\rho_{G}(0, r)=\rho_{0}(r)$ and $v_{G}(0, r)=v_{0}(r)$ belonging to $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
Consequence 2: Radius of the drop
From (1.8) we get the ODE giving the radius of the drop

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d R(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{G}(t, R(t)) \rho_{G}(t, R(t))}{\rho_{G}(t, R(t))-\rho_{L}}, R(0)=R_{0} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Cauchy problem (2.19) has an unique solution $R(t)$ on a maximal time interval $\left[0, T^{*}[\right.$ with $T^{*} \leq T$ for initial data $\rho_{0}(r)$ and $v_{0}(r)$ ensuring that $W_{0}^{\prime}(r)<0$ or $Z_{0}^{\prime}(r)<0$.

## 3. MASS FRACTION OF THE LIQUID

The mass fraction of the liquid $Y_{L 1}$ satisfies the conservation equation of specie (1.3) which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} Y_{L 1}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Y_{L 1}\right)+f\left(Y_{L 1}\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

using polar coordinates, the diffusion constant $D_{12}$ being taken equal to 1 . Then (3.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} Y_{L 1}-\Delta Y_{L 1}-\frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Y_{L 1}+f\left(Y_{L 1}\right)=0, \text { for } 0<r<s(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s(t)=R(t)$ is the radius of the drop determined in section II.
The boundary condition at the surface $s(t)$ is given by the Rankine-Hugoniot condition connected to the thermodynamic equilibrium i.e. formula (1.10). With the change of variable $r=R(t) x$, the function $Y_{L 1}(t, r)$ becomes the function $Y_{L 1}(t, R(t) x)=u(t, x)$ which satisfies the following initial boundary value (i.b.v.) problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} u-a(t)\left(\Delta u+\frac{2}{x} \partial_{x} u\right)-x \frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)} \partial_{x} u+f(u)=0,0<x<1, t>0  \tag{3.3}\\
\left|\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} x u_{x}(t, x)\right|<\infty, u_{x}(t, 1)+k(t) u(t, 1)=0  \tag{3.4}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x) \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)=\frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}, \quad k(t)=\frac{R(t) R^{\prime}(t)\left(K_{1}-1\right)}{K_{2} \rho_{G}(t, R(t))-K_{3}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\Omega=] 0,1[$ and $H$ for the Hilbert space defined by

$$
H=\left\{v: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text { measurable and such that } \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} v^{2}(x) d x<+\infty\right\} .
$$

Note that $H$ is the closure of $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ w.r.t. the norm $\|v\|_{H}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} v^{2}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2}$. We also introduce the real Hilbert space $V=\left\{v \in H \mid v^{\prime} \in H\right\}$. In the following, we shall often use the fact that $V$ is the closure of $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ w.r.t. the norm $\|v\|_{V}=\left(\|v\|_{H}^{2}+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. $V$ is continuously embedded in $H$. Identifying $H$ with his dual $H^{\prime}$, one has $V \subset H \subset V^{\prime}$ with continuous injections.
Note also that the norms $\|.\|_{H}$ and $\|.\|_{V}$ can be defined, respectively, from the inner products $\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} u(x) v(x) d x$ and $\langle u, v\rangle+\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
We then have the following results, the proofs of which can be found in [6].
Lemma 3.1. For every $v \in C^{1}([0,1]), \epsilon>0$ and $x \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|v\|_{0}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}+v^{2}(1) \\
v^{2}(1) \leq \epsilon\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}+C_{\epsilon}\|v\|_{0}^{2} \\
|v(x)| \leq 2\|v\|_{1},|x v(x)| \leq \sqrt{5}\|v\|_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C_{\epsilon}=3+\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{0}=\|\cdot\|_{H},\|\cdot\|_{1}=\|\cdot\|_{V}$.

Lemma 3.2. The embedding $V \subset H$ is compact.

Remark 3.1. Lemma 3.1 proves that $\left(\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}+v^{2}(1)\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\|v\|_{1}$ are two equivalent norms on $V$ since

$$
\frac{2}{3}\|v\|_{1}^{2} \leq v^{2}(1)+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2} \leq 5\|v\|_{1}^{2}, \quad \forall v \in V
$$

Remark 3.2. We have

$$
x v(x) \in C^{0}([0,1]), \quad \forall v \in V .
$$

Indeed, on one hand $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} x v(x)=0, \forall v \in V$ (see [1], p.128), and on the other hand $v_{\mid[\epsilon, 1]} \in$ $C^{0}([\epsilon, 1]), \forall \epsilon, 0<\epsilon<1$ since we have $H^{1}(\epsilon, 1) \subset C^{0}([\epsilon, 1])$ and $\epsilon\|v\|_{H 1(\epsilon, 1)} \leq\|v\|_{1} \forall v \in V$ $\forall \epsilon, 0<\epsilon<1$.

If $X$ is any Banch space, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ its norm, and by $X^{\prime}$ the dual space of $X$. We denote by $L^{p}(0, T ; X), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the standard Banach space of real functions $u:(0, T) \rightarrow X$, measurable, such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; X)}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{X}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty, \text { for } 1 \leq p<\infty
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; X)}=\operatorname{ess} \sup _{0<t<T}\|u(t)\|_{X}, \text { for } p=\infty
$$

Let $u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u_{x}(t), u_{x x}(t)$ denote $u(t, x), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x), \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, x), \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}(t, x)$ respectively.
We shall make the following assumptions:

- $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) u_{0} \in H$
- $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) a, k \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T), a(t) \geq a_{0}>0$
- $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}\right) f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$
- $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right)$ There exists positive constants $C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}$ and $p, 1<p<3$ such that
(i) $u f(u) \geq C_{1}|u|^{p}-C_{1}^{\prime}$
(ii) $|f(u)| \leq C_{2}\left(1+|u|^{p-1}\right)$

Let $u \in C^{2}([0, T] \times[0,1])$ be a solution of problem (3.3)-(3.5). Then, after multiplying equation (3.3) by $x^{2} v, v \in V$ w.r.t. the scalar product of the space $H$, integrating by parts and taking into account boundary condition (3.4), we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t}<u(t), v>+a(t) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} u_{x} v_{x} d x+a(t) k(t) u(1) v(1)-\frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} u_{x} v d x+<f(u), v>=0
$$

The weak formulation of the ibv problem (3.3)-(3.6) can be given in the following way:

Find $u(t)$ defined in the open set $(0, T)$ such that $u(t)$ satisfies the following variational problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}<u(t), v>+\tilde{a}(t ; u(t), v)+<f(u(t)), v>=0, \quad \forall v \in V \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u_{0} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{a}(t ; u, v)=a(t) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} u_{x} v_{x} d x+a(t) k(t) u(1) v(1)-\frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} u_{x} v d x, u, v \in V \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have the following lemma, the proof of which can be found in [2]
Lemma 3.3. There exists constants $K_{T}, \alpha_{T}$ and $\beta_{T}$ depending on $T$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|\tilde{a}(t ; u, v)| \leq K_{T}\|u\|_{1}\|v\|_{1}, \text { for all } u, v \in V \\
\tilde{a}(t ; u, u) \geq \alpha_{T}\|u\|_{1}^{2}-\beta_{T}\|u\|_{0}^{2}, u, v \in V \tag{3.11}
\end{array}
$$

We then have the following theorem
Theorem 3.1. Let $T>0$ and assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$ hold true. Then, there exists a solution $u$ of problem (3.7),(3.8) such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u \in L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap L^{\infty}(0, T ; H), x^{2 / p} u \in L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
t u \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; V), t u_{t} \in L^{2}(0, T ; H)
\end{gathered}
$$

Furthermore, if $f$ satisfies the additional condition

$$
(f(u)-f(v))(u-v) \geq-\delta|u-v|^{2}
$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, for some $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, then the solution is unique.

Proof: We divide it in several steps.
$\overline{\text { Step1. The Galerkin method: denote by }\left\{w_{j}\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots \text { an orthonormal basis in the separable }}$ Hilbert space $V$. We find $u_{m}(t)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{m j}(t) w_{j} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{m j}(t)$ satisfy the following system of nonlinear differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
<u_{m}^{\prime}(t), w_{j}>+\tilde{a}\left(t ; u_{m}(t), w_{j}\right)+<f\left(u_{m}(t)\right), w_{j}>=0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(0)=u_{0 m} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0 m} \rightarrow u_{0} \quad \text { strongly in } H \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that for each $m$, there exists a solution $u_{m}(t)$ of the form (3.12), which satisfies (3.13) and (3.14) almost everywhere on $0 \leq t \leq T_{m}$, for some $T_{m}, 0<T_{m} \leq T$. The following estimates allow us to take $T_{m}=T$ for all $m$.
Step2. A priori estimates.
(a) the first estimate:

Multiplying the $j^{t h}$ equation of the system (3.13) by $c_{m j}(t)$ and summing up w.r.t. $j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+\tilde{a}\left(t ; u_{m}(t), u_{m}(t)\right)+<f\left(u_{m}(t)\right), u_{m}(t)>=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}, \mathrm{i}\right)$, Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.1, it follows from (3.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+2 \alpha_{T}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}+2 C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|u_{m}(t, x)\right|^{p} d x \leq \frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime}}{3}+2 \beta_{T}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.17), with (3.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}(t) \leq C_{0}+\frac{2}{3} T C_{1}^{\prime}+2 \beta_{T} \int_{0}^{t} S_{m}(s) d s \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}(t)=\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+2 \alpha_{T} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s+2 C_{1} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|u_{m}(s, x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C_{0}$ is a constant depending only on $u_{0}$ with $\left\|u_{0 m}\right\|_{0}^{2} \leq C_{0} \forall m$.
By Gronwall's lemma, we obtain from (3.18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m}(t) \leq\left(C_{0}+\frac{2}{3} T C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \exp \left(2 \beta_{T} t\right) \leq M_{T}, \quad \forall m, \forall t, 0 \leq t \leq T_{m} \leq T \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $T_{m}=T$.

In the following $M_{T}$ will denote a generic constant depending only on $T$.
(b) the second estimate: replacing $w_{j}$ by $t^{2} u_{m}$ in (3.8) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left\|t u_{m}^{\prime}\right\|\right)_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[a(t)\left\|t u_{m}\right\|_{0}^{2}+a(t) k(t) t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(1)\right]+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \hat{f}\left(u_{m}\right) d x\right] \\
=\left\|u_{m x}\right\|_{0}^{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[t^{2} a(t)\right]+\frac{1}{2} u_{m}^{2}(1) \frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{2} a(t) k(t)\right)+2 t \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \hat{f}\left(u_{m}\right) d x  \tag{3.21}\\
+\frac{R^{\prime}(t) t^{2}}{R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} u_{m x} u_{m}^{\prime} d x
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(y) d y \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.21) w.r.t. time variable from 0 to $t$, we shall have, after some rearrangements

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+a(t)\left\|t u_{m x}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+a(t) t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(t, 1) \\
& =a(t)(1-k(t)) t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(t, 1)+\int_{0}^{t}\left[s^{2} a(s)\right]^{\prime}\left\|u_{m x}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left[s^{2} a(s) k(s)\right]^{\prime} u_{m}^{2}(s, 1) d s+2 \int_{0}^{t} \frac{R^{\prime}(s)}{R(s)} s^{2}<x u_{m x}(s), u_{m}^{\prime}(s)>d s  \tag{3.23}\\
& +4 \int_{0}^{t} s d s \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \hat{f}\left(u_{m}(s, x)\right) d x-2 t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \hat{f}\left(u_{m}\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

By means of assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and Remark 3.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+a(t) t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(t, 1) \geq \frac{2}{3} a_{0}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \quad \forall t \in[0, T], \forall m \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us choose $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a\|_{\infty}\|1-k\|_{\infty} \epsilon<\frac{a_{0}}{3} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}=\|\cdot\|_{L \infty(0, T)}$.
Using again Lemma 3.1, Remark 3.1 with $\epsilon>0$ as in (3.25) and first estimate (3.20), we estimate without difficulty the terms in the r.h.s. of (3.23) as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
a(t)(1-k(t)) t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(t, 1) \leq\|a\|_{\infty}\|1-k\|_{\infty}\left(\epsilon\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}+C_{\epsilon}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
\leq \frac{a_{0}}{3}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}+M_{T} \\
\int_{0}^{t}\left(s^{2} a(s)\right)^{\prime}\left\|u_{m x}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left(s^{2} a(s) k(s)\right)^{\prime} u_{m}^{2}(s, 1) d s \\
\leq\left[\left\|\left(t^{2} a\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\left(t^{2} a k\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right] \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left\|u_{m x}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}+u_{m}^{2}(s, 1)\right] d s  \tag{3.27}\\
\leq 5\left[\left\|\left(t^{2} a\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\left(t^{2} a k\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right] \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s \leq M_{T}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left|\int_{0}^{t} s^{2} \frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)}<x u_{m x}(s), u_{m}^{\prime}(s)>d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+\left\|\frac{R^{\prime}}{R}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from assumptions $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\hat{m}_{0}=-\int_{-z_{0}}^{z_{0}}|f(y)| d y \leq \hat{f}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(y) d y \leq C_{2}\left(|z|+\frac{|z|^{p}}{p}\right), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{0}=\left(C_{1}^{\prime} / C_{1}\right)^{1 / p}$.
Using the first estimate (3.20), (3.29) and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid 4 \int_{0}^{t} s d s \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \hat{f}\left(u_{m}(s, x)\right) d x-2 t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \hat{f}\left(u_{m}(t, x) d x \mid\right. \\
& \leq 4 C_{2} \int_{0}^{t} s d s \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left(\left|u_{m}(s, x)\right|+\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{m}(s, x)\right|^{p}\right) d x+2 t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \hat{m}_{0} d x  \tag{3.30}\\
& \leq 4 C_{2} \int_{0}^{t} s\left\|u_{m}(s)\right\|_{0} d s+\frac{4}{p} C_{2} t \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|u_{m}(s, x)\right|^{p} d x+\frac{2}{3} T^{2} \hat{m}_{0} \\
& \leq 2 C_{2} T \sqrt{M_{T}}+\frac{2 C_{2}}{p C_{1}} T M_{T}+\frac{2}{3} T^{2} \hat{m}_{0} \leq M_{T} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we deduce from $(3.23),(3.24),(3.26)-(3.28)$ and (3.30) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+\frac{a_{0}}{3}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq M_{T}+\left\|\frac{R^{\prime}}{R}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Gronwall's lemma, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+\frac{a_{0}}{3}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq M_{T} \exp \left(\left\|\frac{R^{\prime}}{R}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \cdot \frac{3 T}{a_{0}}\right) \leq M_{T}, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by using (3.20) and assumption ( $\mathrm{F}_{2}$,ii) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1}\left|x^{2 / p^{\prime}} f\left(u_{m}(s, x)\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x \leq\left(2 C_{2}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|u_{m}(s, x)\right|^{p} d x \leq M_{T} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}$.
step3: The limiting process.
$\overline{\text { By ( }} 3.20$ ), (3.32) and (3.33) we deduce that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$, still denoted $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m} \rightarrow u \text { weakly } * \text { in } L^{\infty}(0, T ; H) \\
& u_{m} \rightarrow u \text { weakly in } L^{2}(0, T ; V) \\
& x^{2 / p} u_{m} \rightarrow x^{2 / p} u \text { weakly in } L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
& t u_{m} \rightarrow t u \text { weakly } * \text { in } L^{\infty}(0, T ; V)  \tag{3.34}\\
& \left(t u_{m}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow(t u)^{\prime} \text { weakly in } L^{2}(0, T ; H) .
\end{align*}
$$

A compactness lemma ([5] p.57) and formula (3.34) enables us to extract from the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t u_{m} \rightarrow t u \text { strongly in } L^{2}(0, T ; H) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continuity of $f$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(u_{m}(t, x)\right) \rightarrow f(u(t, x)) \quad \text { a.e. }(t, x) \in Q_{T}=(0, T) \times(0,1) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

since we have the convergence of $u_{m}(t, x)$ to $u(t, x)$ a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_{T}$.
Applying a weak convergence lemma (see [5]) we obtain

$$
x^{2 / p^{\prime}} f\left(u_{m}\right) \rightarrow x^{2 / p^{\prime}} f(u) \text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right)
$$

Passing to the limit in (3.13), (3.14), then by (3.15), (3.34) and (3.36) one can show that the function $u(t)$ satisfies the i.b.v. problem (3.7), (3.8).
step4: Uniqueness of the solutions.
$\overline{\text { First }}$ of all, we shall need the following lemma, which is a slight improvement of a lemma used in [2] (see also [5])

Lemma 3.4. Let $w$ be the weak solution of the i.b.v. problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{t}-a(t)\left(w_{x x}+\frac{2}{x} w_{x}\right)=\tilde{f}(t, x), 0<t<T, 0<x<1 \\
& \left|\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} x w_{x}(t, x)\right|<+\infty, \quad w_{x}(t, 1)+k(t) w(t, 1)=0, w(0, x)=0 \\
& w \in L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap L^{\infty}(0, T ; H), x^{2 / p} w \in L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right) \\
& t w \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; V), t w_{t} \in L^{2}(0, T ; H)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2}\|w(t)\|_{0}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} a(s)\left[\left\|w_{x}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}+k(s) w^{2}(s, 1)\right] d s-\int_{0}^{t}<\tilde{f}(s), w(s)>d s=0 \\
\text { a.e. } t \in(0, T)
\end{array}
$$

Uniqueness of the solution will be deduced as follows. Let $u$ and $v$ be two weak solutions of (3.3)-(3.5). Then $w=u-v$ is a weak solution of the associated problem mentioned in Lemma 3.4, with the r.h.s. function $\tilde{f}(t, x)=\frac{x R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)} w_{x}-f(u)+f(v)$. Lemma 3.4 gives

$$
\frac{1}{2} \| w\left(t \|_{0}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{a}(s ; w(s), w(s)) d s+2 \int_{0}^{t}<f(u(s))-f(v(s)), w(s)>d s=0\right.
$$

Using Lemma 3.3 and $\left(\mathrm{F}_{3}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w(t)\|_{0}^{2}+2 \alpha_{T} \int_{0}^{t}\|w(s)\|_{1}^{2} \leq 2\left(\delta+\beta_{T}\right) \int_{0}^{t}\|w(s)\|_{0}^{2} d s \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta+\beta_{T} \geq 0$ we have $\|w(t)\|_{0}=0$ by applying Gronwall's lemma. In the case where $\delta+\beta_{T}<0$ the result is clearly still true.
This ends the proof of Theorem 3.1.

For the boundedness of solutions, we shall make use of the following assumptions

- $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }_{1}\right) u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(0,1),\left|u_{0}(x)\right| \leq M$, a.e. $x \in(0,1)$
- $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}\right) a, k \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T), a(t) \geq a_{0}>0, k(t) \geq k_{0}>0$
- ( $\left.\mathrm{F}^{\prime}{ }_{1}\right) u f(u) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|u| \geq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\infty}$, for a.e. $x \in(0,1)$.

We then have the following result
Theorem 3.2. Let $\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{3}\right)$ and $\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ hold. Then the unique weak solution of the ibv problem (3.7)-(3.9) as given by theorem 1, belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

Proof: Firstly, assuming that $u_{0}(x) \leq M, Z=u-M$ satisfies the i.b.v. problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t} Z-a(t)\left(\Delta Z+\frac{2}{x} \partial_{x} Z\right)-x \frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)} \partial_{x} Z+f(Z+M)=0,0<x<1, t \in(0, T)  \tag{3.38}\\
\left|\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} x Z_{x}(t, x)\right|<\infty, \quad Z_{x}(t, 1)+k(t)[Z(t, 1)+M]=0  \tag{3.39}\\
Z(0, x)=u_{0}(x)-M \tag{3.40}
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying equation (3.38) by $x^{2} v$, for $v \in V$, integrating by parts w.r.t. variable $x$ and taking into account boundary condition (3.39), one has after some rearrangements

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} Z_{t} v d x & +a(t) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} Z_{x} v_{x} d x+a(t) k(t) Z(t, 1) v(1)-\frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} Z_{x} v d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} f(Z+M) v d x=-M a(t) k(t) v(1), \quad \forall v \in V \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

$\forall v \in V$ hence for $v=Z^{+}=\frac{1}{2}(Z+|Z|)$ since $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(0,1)$. Thus it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|Z^{+}\right|^{2} d x+a(t) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|\left(Z^{+}\right)_{x}\right|^{2} d x+a(t) k(t)\left|Z^{+}(t, 1)\right|^{2} \\
& -\frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} Z_{x}^{+} Z^{+} d x+\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} f\left(Z^{+}+M\right) Z^{+} d x=-M a(t) k(t) Z^{+}(t, 1) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} Z_{t} Z^{+} d x=\int_{0, Z>0}^{1} x^{2}\left(Z^{+}\right)_{t} Z^{+} d x=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|Z^{+}\right|^{2} d x
$$

On the other hand, by assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and Remark 3.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t) \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|Z_{x}^{+}\right|^{2} d x+a(t) k(t)\left|Z^{+}(t, 1)\right|^{2} \geq \tilde{C}_{0}\left\|Z^{+}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{C}_{0}=\frac{2}{3} a_{0} \min \left\{1, k_{0}\right\}$.

Using the monotonicity of $f(u)+\delta u$ and $\left(\mathrm{F}^{\prime}{ }_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} f\left(Z^{+}+M\right) Z^{+} d x=\int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left[f\left(Z^{+}+M\right)-f(M)\right] Z^{+} d x+\int_{0}^{1} f(M) x^{2} Z^{+} d x \\
\geq-\delta \int_{0}^{1} x^{2}\left|Z^{+}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{1} f(M) x^{2} Z^{+} d x \geq-\delta\left\|Z^{+}\right\|_{0}^{2} \tag{3.43}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence it follows from (3.41)-(3.43) with Cauchy's inequality applied to the term $-\frac{R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} Z_{x}^{+} Z^{+} d x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|Z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+\tilde{C}_{0}\left\|Z^{+}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{C}_{0}}\left\|\frac{R^{\prime}}{R}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}+2|\delta|\right)\left\|Z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.44), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \leq\left\|Z^{+}(0)\right\|_{0}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{C}_{0}}\left\|\frac{R^{\prime}}{R}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}+2|\delta|\right) \int_{0}^{t}\left\|Z^{+}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Z^{+}(0)=(u(0, x)-M)^{+}=\left(u_{0}(x)-M\right)^{+}=0$, hence using Gronwall's lemma, we obtain $\left\|Z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}=0$. Thus $u(t, x) \leq M$ a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_{T}$.
The case $u_{0}(x) \geq-M$ can be dealt with, in the same manner as above by considering $Z=u+M$ and $Z^{-}=\frac{1}{2}(|Z|-Z)$. Thus we get $Z^{-}=0$ and hence $u(t, x) \geq-M$ a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_{T}$.
All in all, one obtains $|u(t, x)| \leq M$ a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_{T}$ and this ends the proof of Theorem 3.2.

## 4. NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS

For the numerical applications, we have taken in (2.3) $\gamma=3$, so that equations (2.9) reduce to Burger's equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
W_{t}+W W_{r}=0, W(0, r)=W_{0}(r)  \tag{4.1}\\
Z_{t}+Z Z_{r}=0, \quad Z(0, r)=Z_{0}(r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We know that the Burger's equation

$$
u_{t}+u u_{r}=0, \quad u(0, r)=u_{0}(r)
$$

admits the solution $u(t, r)=u_{0}(\xi(t, r))$ where $\xi(t, r)$ is defined by the parametrization $r=u_{0}(\xi) t+\xi$. In connection with (4.1), we have considered two examples. In the first example, we have chosen the initial conditions $W_{0}(r)=1, r>0 ; W_{0}(0)=0$ and $Z_{0}(r)=2, r>0 ; Z_{0}(0)=0$. The continuous solutions of (4.1) are given by

$$
W(t, r)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq r \\
\frac{r}{t} \text { if } 0 \leq r \leq t
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
Z(t, r)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \text { if } 0 \leq 2 t \leq r \\
\frac{r}{t} \text { if } 0 \leq r \leq 2 t .
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to Section 2, the radius of the drop is given by formula (2.19) which we rewrite with initial conditial taken equal to 1 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d R(t)}{d t}=\frac{v_{G}(t, R(t)) \rho_{G}(t, R(t))}{\rho_{G}(t, R(t))-\rho_{L}}, R(0)=1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
v_{G}(t, r)=\frac{1}{2}(W(t, r)+Z(t, r))  \tag{4.3}\\
\rho_{G}(t, r)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3} r^{2}}(Z(t, r)-W(t, r))
\end{array}\right.
$$

In figure 1 below, we have drawn the curve $t \longrightarrow R(t)$ on the time interval $[0,1]$ with a step $h=0.05$ and $\rho_{L}=0.9$.


Fig. 1
For the second example we have chosen the experimental conditions made by the LCSR in the study of single drop evaporation, the drop being suspended from a silicate tube. Drops are made up of nheptane fuel ( $\rho_{L}=683 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ )) in air at normalized atmospheric pressure and with an initial speed $v_{G}(0, r)=C_{1}=35 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. The initial density $\rho_{G}(0, r)$ of the gas is taken as $C_{2}=\frac{348}{T_{0}}, T_{0}=373 \mathrm{~K}$. So The solution of (4.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W(t, r)=C_{1}-\sqrt{3} C_{2} \xi^{2}, \quad \xi=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\left(r-C_{1} t\right) \sqrt{3} C_{2} t}}{2 \sqrt{3} C_{2} t} \\
& Z(t, r)=C_{1}+\sqrt{3} C_{2} \eta^{2}, \eta=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+4\left(r-C_{1} t\right) \sqrt{3} C_{2} t}}{2 \sqrt{3} C_{2} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figures 2 and 3 represent the velocity $v_{G}(t, r)$ and the pressure $\rho_{G}(t, r)$ given by $(4.3)$ for $(t, r) \in$ $(0,1) \times(0,1)$.


Fig. 2


Fig. 3
The curve of the radius $t \longrightarrow R(t)$ for this case is drawn in figure 4 .


Fig. 4


Fig. 5

Since $W_{0}^{\prime}(\xi)<0$ the maximal existence interval is finite (Proposition 2.1) as can be seen in our graphic. Let us remark that looking on the experimental curves made by the LCSR (figure 5) at the beginning, the function $t \longrightarrow R(t)$ is increasing around the vicinity of $t=0$. This fact is confirmed by our model which represents a good improvement of our previous model(see [1]) in which the velocity $v_{G}(t)$ was a given function of $t$.
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