

Accuracy on eigenvalues for a Schrodinger operator with a degenerate potential in the semi-classical limit

Abderemane Morame, Francoise Truc

▶ To cite this version:

Abderemane Morame, Francoise Truc. Accuracy on eigenvalues for a Schrodinger operator with a degenerate potential in the semi-classical limit. Cubo, a Mathematical Journal, 2007, 9 (2), pp.1-15. hal-00079167

HAL Id: hal-00079167

https://hal.science/hal-00079167

Submitted on 9 Jun 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accuracy on eigenvalues for a Schrödinger operator with a degenerate potential in the semi-classical limit

Abderemane MORAME¹ and Françoise TRUC²

¹ Université de Nantes, Faculté des Sciences, Dpt. Mathématiques, UMR 6629 du CNRS, B.P. 99208, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, (FRANCE), E.Mail: morame@math.univ-nantes.fr

² Université de Grenoble I, Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF, B.P. 74,

38402 St Martin d'Hères Cedex, (France),

E.Mail: Francoise.Truc@ujf-grenoble.fr

1

Abstract

We consider a semi-classical Schrödinger operator $-h^2\Delta + V$ with a degenerate potential V(x,y) = f(x) g(y). g is assumed to be a homogeneous positive function of m variables and f is a strictly positive function of n variables, with a strict minimum. We give sharp asymptotic behaviour of low eigenvalues bounded by some power of the parameter h, by improving Born-Oppenheimer ap-

1 Introduction

proximation.

In our paper [MoTr] we have considered the Schrödinger operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^m_y)$

$$\widehat{H}_h = h^2 D_x^2 + h^2 D_y^2 + f(x)g(y) \tag{1.1}$$

with $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\})$ homogeneous of degree a > 0,

$$g(\mu y) = \mu^a g(y) > 0$$
, $\forall \mu > 0$ and $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$. (1.2)

Mathematical Classification: 35P20.

 $^{^1} Keywords$: eigenvalues, semi-classical asymptotics , Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

h > 0 is a semiclassical parameter we assume to be small.

We have investigated the asymptotic behavior of the number of eigenvalues less then λ of \widehat{H}_h ,

$$N(\lambda, \ \widehat{H}_h) = tr(\chi_{]-\infty,\lambda[}(\widehat{H}_h) = \sum_{\lambda_k(\widehat{H}_h)<\lambda} 1 \ . \tag{1.3}$$

(tr(P) denotes the trace of the operator P).

If P is a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we denote respectively by sp(P), $sp_{ess}(P)$ and $sp_d(P)$ the spectrum, the essential spectrum and the discret spectrum of P.

When $-\infty < \inf sp(P) < \inf sp_{ess}(P)$, we denote by $(\lambda_k(P))_{k>0}$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of P, repeated according to their multiplicity:

$$sp_d(P) \cap]-\infty$$
, inf $sp_{ess}(P)[= \{\lambda_k(P)\}.$ (1.4)

In this paper we are interested in a sharp estimate for some eigenvalues of \hat{H}_h . We make the following assumptions on the other multiplicative part of the potential:

$$f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \ (|f(x)| + 1)^{-1} \partial_x^{\alpha} f(x) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$0 < f(0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$$

$$f(0) < \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} f(x) = f(\infty)$$

$$\partial^2 f(0) > 0$$

$$(1.5)$$

 $\partial^2 f(a)$ denotes the hessian matrix:

$$\partial^2 f(a) = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(a)\right)_{1 \le i,j \le n}.$$

By dividing \widehat{H}_h by f(0), we can change the parameter h and assume that

$$f(0) = 1. (1.6)$$

Let us define : $\hbar = h^{2/(2+a)}$ and change y in $y\hbar$; we can use the homogeneity of g (1.2) to get :

$$sp(\widehat{H}_h) = \hbar^a sp(\widehat{H}^h),$$
 (1.7)

with
$$\widehat{H}^{\hbar} = \hbar^2 D_x^2 + D_y^2 + f(x)g(y) = \hbar^2 D_x^2 + Q(x, y, D_y)$$
:
$$Q(x, y, D_y) = D_y^2 + f(x)g(y).$$

Let us denote the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $D_y^2 + g(y)$, (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$), by $(\mu_i)_{i>0}$.

The associated eigenfunctions will be denoted by $(\varphi_i)_i$:

$$D_y^2 \varphi_j(y) + g(y)\varphi_j(y) = \mu_j \varphi_j(y)$$

$$\langle \varphi_j \mid \varphi_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}$$
(1.8)

and $(\varphi_j)_j$ is a Hilbert base of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

By homogeneity (1.2) the eigenvalues of $Q_x(y, D_y) = D_y^2 + f(x)g(y)$, on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$), for a fixed x, are given by the sequence $(\lambda_j(x))_{j>0}$, where : $\lambda_j(x) = \mu_j \ f^{2/(2+a)}(x)$.

So as in [MoTr] we get:

$$\widehat{H}^{\hbar} \ge \left[\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \right].$$
 (1.9)

This estimate is sharp as we will see below.

Then using the same kind of estimate as (1.9), one can see that

inf
$$sp_{ess}(\hat{H}^{\hbar}) \ge \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(\infty)$$
. (1.10)

We are in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation situation described by A. Martinez in [Ma]: the "effective" potential is given by $\lambda_1(x) = \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(x)$, the first eigenvalue of Q_x , and the assumptions on f ensure that this potential admits one unique and nondegenerate well $U = \{0\}$, with minimal value equal to μ_1 . Hence we can apply theorem 4.1 of [Ma] and get:

Theorem 1.1 Under the above assumptions, for any arbitrary C > 0, there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that, if $0 < \hbar < h_0$, the operator (\widehat{H}^{\hbar}) admits a finite number of eigenvalues $E_k(\hbar)$ in $[\mu_1, \mu_1 + C\hbar]$, equal to the number of the eigenvalues e_k of $D_x^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2+a} < \partial^2 f(0) x$, x > in [0, +C] such that:

$$E_k(\hbar) = \lambda_k(\widehat{H}^{\hbar}) = \lambda_k \left(\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \right) + \mathbf{O}(\hbar^2) .$$
 (1.11)

More precisely $E_k(\hbar) = \lambda_k(\widehat{H}^{\hbar})$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$E_k(\hbar) \sim \mu_1 + \hbar \left(e_k + \sum_{j \ge 1} \alpha_{kj} \hbar^{j/2} \right).$$
 (1.12)

If $E_k(\hbar)$ is asymptotically non degenerated, then there exists a quasimode

$$\phi_k^{\hbar}(x,y) \sim \hbar^{-m_k} e^{-\psi(x)/\hbar} \sum_{j\geq 0} \hbar^{j/2} a_{kj}(x,y) ,$$
 (1.13)

satisfying

$$C_{0}^{-1} \leq \|\hbar^{-m_{k}} e^{-\psi(x)/\hbar} a_{k0}(x, y)\| \leq C_{0}$$

$$\|\hbar^{-m_{k}} e^{-\psi(x)/\hbar} a_{kj}(x, y)\| \leq C_{j}$$

$$\|\left(\widehat{H}^{\hbar} - \mu_{1} - \hbar e_{k} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} \alpha_{kj} \hbar^{j/2}\right)$$

$$\hbar^{-m_{k}} e^{-\psi(x)/\hbar} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq J} \hbar^{j/2} a_{kj}(x, y)\| \leq C_{J} \hbar^{(J+1)/2}$$

$$(1.14)$$

The formula (1.12) implies

$$\lambda_k(\widehat{H}^{\hbar}) = \mu_1 + \hbar \lambda_k \left(D_x^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2+a} < \partial^2 f(0) \ x \ , \ x > \right) + \mathbf{O}(\hbar^{3/2}) \ , \ (1.15)$$

and when k=1, one can improve $\mathbf{O}(\hbar^{3/2})$ into $\mathbf{O}(\hbar^2)$. The function ψ is defined by : $\psi(x) = d(x,0)$, where d denotes the Agmon distance related to the degenerate metric μ_1 $f^{2/(2+a)}(x)dx^2$.

2 Lower energies

We are interested now with the lower energies of \widehat{H}^\hbar . Let us make the change of variables

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (x, f^{1/(2+a)}(x)y)$$
. (2.1)

The Jacobian of this diffeomorphism is $f^{m/(2+a)}(x)$, so we perform the change of test functions: $u \to f^{-m/(4+2a)}(x)u$, to get a unitary transformation.

Thus we get that

$$sp(\widehat{H}^{\hbar}) = sp(\widetilde{H}^{\hbar})$$
 (2.2)

where \widetilde{H}^{\hbar} is the self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ given by

$$\widetilde{H}^{\hbar} = \hbar^2 L^{\star}(x, y, D_x, D_y) L(x, y, D_x, D_y) + f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \left(D_y^2 + g(y)\right), \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$L(x, y, D_x, D_y) = D_x + \frac{1}{(2+a)f(x)}[(yD_y) - i\frac{m}{2}]\nabla f(x)$$
.

We decompose \widetilde{H}^{\hbar} in four parts:

$$\widetilde{H}^{\hbar} = \hbar^{2} D_{x}^{2} + f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \left(D_{y}^{2} + g(y)\right)
+ \hbar^{2} \frac{2}{(2+a)f(x)} (\nabla f(x)D_{x})(yD_{y})
+ i\hbar^{2} \frac{1}{(2+a)f^{2}(x)} (|\nabla f(x)|^{2} - f(x)\Delta f(x)) [(yD_{y}) - i\frac{m}{2}]
+ \hbar^{2} \frac{1}{(2+a)^{2}f^{2}(x)} |\nabla f(x)|^{2} [(yD_{y})^{2} + \frac{m^{2}}{4}]$$
(2.4)

Our goal is to prove that the only significant role up to order 2 in \hbar will be played by the first operator, namely: $\widetilde{H}_{1}^{\hbar} = \hbar^{2}D_{x}^{2} + f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \left(D_{y}^{2} + g(y)\right)$. Let us denote by $\nu_{j,k}^{\hbar}$ the eigenvalues of the operator $\hbar^{2}D_{x}^{2} + \mu_{j}f^{2/(2+a)}(x)$

and by $\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}$ the associated normalized eigenfunctions .

Let us consider the following test functions:

$$u_{i,k}^{\hbar}(x,y) = \psi_{i,k}^{\hbar}(x)\varphi_j(y)$$
,

where the φ_j 's are the eigenfunctions defined in (1.8); we have immediately :

$$\widetilde{H}_1^{\hbar}(u_{i,k}^{\hbar}(x,y)) = \nu_{i,k}^{\hbar}u_{i,k}^{\hbar}(x,y)$$
.

We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 . For any integer N, there exists a positive constant C depending only on N such that for any $k \leq N$, the eigenfunction $\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}$ satisfies the following inequalities : for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq 2$,

$$\| \, \hbar_j^{|\alpha|/2} \, |D_x^{\alpha} \, \psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}| \, \| < C$$

$$\| \, \left(\frac{\nabla f(x)}{f(x)} \right)^{\alpha} \, \psi_{j,k}^{\hbar} \, \| < \hbar_j^{|\alpha|/2} C$$

$$(2.5)$$

with $hbar{h}_j = \hbar \mu_j^{-1/2}$.

Proof.

Let us recall that it is well known, (see [He-Sj1]), that

$$\forall k \leq N, \quad \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} = 1 + \mathbf{O}(\hbar_j).$$

It is clear also that

$$\left[\hbar_{j}^{2}D_{x}^{2} + f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_{j}^{-1}\nu_{j,k}^{\hbar}\right]\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x) = 0.$$
 (2.6)

We shall need the following inequality, that we can derive easily from (2.6) and the Agmon estimate (see [He-Sj1]) : $\forall \varepsilon \in]0,1[$,

$$\varepsilon \int \left[f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_{j}^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} \right]_{+} e^{2(1-\varepsilon)^{1/2} d_{j,k}(x)/\hbar_{j}} |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^{2} dx \leq \int \left[f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_{j}^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} \right]_{-} |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^{2} dx , \tag{2.7}$$

where $d_{j,k}$ is the Agmon distance associated to the metric $\left[f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1}\nu_{j,k}^{\hbar}\right]_+ dx^2$. Let us prove the lemma for $|\alpha| = 1$.

As
$$\int \left[\hbar_j^2 |D_x \, \psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^2 + (f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar}) |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^2\right] dx = 0$$
, $\mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} - 1 = \mathbf{O}(\hbar_j)$, and $f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - 1 > 0$, we get that $\hbar_j \| |D_x \, \psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)| \|^2 \le C$.

Furthermore, we use that $C^{-1}|\nabla f(x)|^2 \leq f^{2/(2+a)}(x)-1 \leq C|\nabla f(x)|^2$, for $|x| \leq C^{-1}$, the exponential decreasing (in \hbar_j) of $\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}$ given by (2.7) and the boundness of $|\nabla f(x)|/f(x)$ to get

$$\|\frac{|\nabla f(x)|}{f(x)}\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)\|^{2} \leq C \int [f^{2/(2+a)}(x)-1] |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^{2} dx \leq \hbar_{j}C.$$

Now we study the case $|\alpha| = 2$.

If $c_0 \in]0,1]$ is large enough and $|x| \in [\hbar_j^{1/2} c_0, 2c_0]$, then we have

$$|x|^2/C \le f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_i^{-1} \nu_{i,k}^{\hbar} \le C|x|^2$$
 (2.8)

Therefore there exists $C_1>1$ such that $C_1^{-1}|x|^2\leq d_{j,k}(x)\leq C_1|x|^2$, and then

$$|x|^2 \le \hbar_j C e^{d_{j,k}(x)/\hbar_j} . \tag{2.9}$$

Then the inequality : $C^{-1}|x| \leq |\nabla f(x)| \leq C|x|$. together with (2.8) , (2.9) and (2.7) entail that

$$\int_{|x| \geq C_0 \hbar_j^{12}} \frac{|\nabla f(x)|^4}{f^4(x)} |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^2 dx
\leq \hbar_j C \int \left[f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} \right]_+ e^{d_{j,k}(x)/\hbar_j} |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^2 dx
\leq \hbar_j C \int \left[f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} \right]_- |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^2 dx
\leq \hbar_j^2 C.$$

It remains to estimate $hbeta_j^2 \| D_x^\alpha \psi_{j,k}^\hbar(x) \|$ with $|\alpha| = 2$. We use that $-\hbar_j^2 \Delta \psi_{j,k}^\hbar(x) = [-f^{2/(2+a)}(x) + \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^\hbar] \psi_{j,k}^\hbar(x)$, and that we have proved that $\|[-f^{2/(2+a)}(x) + \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^\hbar] \psi_{j,k}^\hbar(x)\| \leq \hbar_j C$; so $\|D_x^\alpha \psi_{j,k}^\hbar(x)\| \leq C/\hbar_j$ if $|\alpha| = 2$.

We will need the following result.

Proposition 2.2 Let $V(y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\exists s > 0, C_0 > 0 \text{ s.t. } -C_0 + |y|^s / C_0 \leq V(y) \leq C_0(|y|^s + 1)$$

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m, (1 + |y|^2)^{(s - |\alpha|)/2} \partial_y^{\alpha} V(y) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m).$$

$$(2.10)$$

If $u(y) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{m})$ and $D_{y}^{2}u(y) + V(y)u(y) \in S(\mathbb{R}^{m})$, then $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^m)$. $(S(\mathbb{R}^m) \text{ is the Schwartz space}).$

The proof comes from the fact that there exists a parametrix of $D_y^2+V(y)$ in some class of pseudodifferential operator: see for the more general case in [Hor], or for this special case in Shubin book [Shu].

Theorem 2.3.

Under the assumptions (1.2) and (1.5), for any fixed integer N > 0, there exists a positive constant $h_0(N)$ verifying: for any $\hbar \in]0, h_0(N)[$, for any $k \leq N$ and any $j \leq N$ such that

$$\mu_j < \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(\infty) ,$$

there exists an eigenvalue $\lambda_{ik} \in sp_d(\widehat{H}^{\hbar})$ such that

$$|\lambda_{jk} - \lambda_k (\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_j f^{2/(2+a)}(x))| \le \hbar^2 C.$$
 (2.11)

Consequently, when k = 1, we have

$$|\lambda_{j1} - \left[\mu_j + \hbar(\mu_j)^{1/2} \frac{tr((\partial^2 f(0))^{1/2})}{(2+a)^{1/2}}\right]| \le \hbar^2 C.$$
 (2.12)

Proof.

The first part of the theorem will follow if we prove that:

$$\|(\widehat{H}^{\hbar} - \widetilde{H}_{1}^{\hbar})(u_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x,y))\| = \|(\widehat{H}^{\hbar} - \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar})u_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x,y)\| = \mathbf{O}(\hbar^{2}).$$

Let us consider a function $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\chi(t) = 1$$
 if $|t| \le 1/2$ and

$$\chi(t) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |t| > 1 \ .$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \chi(t)=0 & \text{if} \quad |t|>1 \ . \\ \text{Then} \quad (D_y^2+g(y))(1-\chi(|y|))\varphi_j(y) \in S(\mathbb{R}^m) \ , \\ \text{and Proposition 2.2 shows that} \quad (1-\chi(|y|))\varphi_j(y) \in S(\mathbb{R}^m) \ . \end{array}$

As $D_y^2 \varphi_j(y) = (\mu_j - g(y))\varphi_j(y)$, we get that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} , \quad (1+|y|)^k [|\varphi_j(y)|^2 + |D_y \varphi_j(y)|^2 + |D_y^2 \varphi_j(y)|^2] \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m) . \quad (2.13)$$

The quantity $(\widehat{H}^{\hbar} - \widetilde{H}_1^{\hbar})(u_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x,y))$ is, by (2.4), composed of 3 parts. According to Lemma 2.1 and the estimate (2.13), the two last parts are bounded in L^2 -norm by $\hbar^2 C$, $(\mu_j \leq C)$.

To obtain a bound for the first part, we integrate by parts to get that

$$\|\frac{\nabla f(x)}{f(x)}D_x\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\|^2 \leq C\left[\|D_x^2\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \times \|\frac{|\nabla f(x)|^2}{f^2(x)}\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| + \|D_x\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \times \|\frac{|\nabla f(x)|}{f(x)}\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\|\right],$$

and then we use again Lemma 2.1. Thus : $\|\frac{\nabla f(x)}{f(x)}D_x\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \leq C$.

According to estimate (2.13) we have finally $\|\frac{\nabla f(x)}{f(x)}D_x(yD_y)u_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \leq C.$

3 Middle energies

We are going to refine the preceding results when $a \geq 2$ and $f(\infty) = \infty$. It is possible then to get sharp localization near the μ_j 's for much higher values of j's. More precisely we prove:

Theorem 3.1 . We assume (1.5) with $f(\infty) = \infty$, (1.2) with $a \ge 2$ and with $q \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Let us consider j such that $\mu_j \leq \hbar^{-2}$;

then for any integer N, there exists a constant C depending only on N such that, for any $k \leq N$, there exists an eigenvalue $\lambda_{jk} \in sp_d(\widehat{H}^{\hbar})$ verifying

$$|\lambda_{jk} - \lambda_k \left(\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_j f^{2/(2+a)}(x)\right)| \le C\mu_j \hbar^2.$$
 (3.1)

Consequently, when k = 1, we have

$$|\lambda_{j1} - \left[\mu_j + \hbar(\mu_j)^{1/2} \frac{tr((\partial^2 f(0))^{1/2})}{(2+a)^{1/2}}\right] | \le C\mu_j \hbar^2.$$
 (3.2)

Proof:

Let us define the class of symbols $\ S(p^s(y,\eta))$, $\ s\in \mathbb{R}$, with $\ p(y,\eta)=|\eta|^2+g(y)+1$.

$$q(y,\eta) \;\in\; S(p^s(y,\eta)) \quad \text{iff} \quad q(y,\eta) \;\in\; C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m)$$

and for any α and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^m$,

$$p^{-s}(y,\eta)(|\eta|+1)^{-|\alpha|}(|y|+1)^{-|\beta|}D_{\eta}^{\alpha}D_{\eta}^{\beta}q(y,\eta) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2m}).$$

For such a symbol $q(y,\eta) \in S(p^s(y,\eta))$, we define the operator Q on $S(\mathbb{R}^m)$:

$$Qf(y) = (2\pi)^{-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} q(\frac{y+z}{2}, \eta) e^{i(y-z)\eta} f(z) dz d\eta$$
.

We will say that $Q \in OPS(p^s(y, \eta))$.

It is well known, (see [Hor]) that $(D_y^2 + g(y))^s \in OPS(p^s(y,\eta))$. As $a \geq 2$, we get that $yD_y \in OPS(p(y,\eta))$, and then that $yD_y(D_y^2 + g(y))^{-1} \in OPS(1)$.

Therefore $yD_y(D_y^2+g(y))^{-1}$ and $(yD_y)^2(D_y^2+g(y))^{-2}$ are bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, and we get as a consequence the following bound:

$$\mu_j^{-1} \|y D_y \varphi_j\| + \mu_j^{-2} \|(y D_y)^2 \varphi_j\| \le C.$$
 (3.3)

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, using (3.3) instead of (2.13), we get easily

$$\|(\widehat{H}^{\hbar} - \widetilde{H}^{\hbar})u_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \leq C[\,\hbar^2\mu_j \,+\, \hbar^3\mu_j^{3/2}] \leq C\hbar^2\mu_j \,,$$

and then Theorem 3.1 follows.

An application 4

We consider a Schrödinger operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_z)$ with $d \geq 2$,

$$P^h = -h^2 \Delta + V(z) \tag{4.1}$$

with a real and regular potential V(z) satisfying

$$V \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; [0, +\infty[)$$

$$\lim \inf_{|z| \to \infty} V(z) > 0$$
 (4.2)

$$\Gamma = V^{-1}(\{0\}) \text{ is a regular hypersurface.}$$

By hypersurface, we mean a submanifold of codimension 1. Moreover we assume that Γ is connected and that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that for any z verifying $d(z, \Gamma) < C_0^{-1}$

$$C_0^{-1} d^{2m}(z, \Gamma) \le V(z) \le C_0 d^{2m}(z, \Gamma)$$
 (4.3)

(d(E,F) denotes the euclidian distance between E and F).

We choose an orientation on Γ and a unit normal vector N(s) on each $s \in \Gamma$, and then, we can define the function on Γ ,

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{(2m)!} \left(N(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^{2m} V(s) , \quad \forall s \in \Gamma .$$
 (4.4)

Then by (4.2) and (4.6), f(s) > 0, $\forall s \in \Gamma$.

Finally we assume that the function f achieves its minimum on Γ on a finite number of discrete points:

$$\Sigma_0 = f^{-1}(\{\eta_0\}) = \{s_1, \dots, s_{\ell_0}\}, \quad if \quad \eta_0 = \min_{s \in \Gamma} f(s),$$
 (4.5)

and the hessian of f at each point $s_j \in \Sigma_0$ is non degenerated:

$$\exists \eta_1 > 0 \ s.t.$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle d(\langle df; w \rangle); w \rangle (s_j) \geq \eta_1 |w(s_j)|^2, \quad \forall w \in T\Gamma, \forall s_j \in \Sigma_0. \quad (4.6)$$

If $g=(g_{ij})$ is the riemannian metric on Γ , then $|w(s)|=(g(w(s),w(s)))^{1/2}$. The hessian of f at each $s_j\in\Sigma_0$, is the symmetric operator on $T_{s_j}\Gamma$, $Hess(f)_{s_j}$, associated to the two-bilinear form defined on $T_{s_j}\Gamma$ by :

$$(v,w) \in (T_{s_j}\Gamma)^2 \to \frac{1}{2} \langle d(\langle df; \widetilde{v} \rangle); \widetilde{w} \rangle (s_j),$$
 (4.7)

 $\forall \; (\widetilde{v},\widetilde{w}) \; \in (T\Gamma)^2 \; \; s.t. \quad (\widetilde{v}(s_j),\widetilde{w}(s_j)) \; = \; (v,w) \; .$

 $Hess(f)_{s_j}$ has d-1 non negative eigenvalues

$$\rho_1^2(s_i) \le \ldots \le \rho_{d-1}^2(s_i), \quad (\rho_i(s_i) > 0).$$

In local coordinates, those eigenvalues are the ones of the symmetric matrix

$$\frac{1}{2}G^{1/2}(s_j) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_\ell} f(s_j) \right)_{1 \le k, \ell \le d-1} G^{1/2}(s_j) , \quad (G(x) = (g_{k,\ell}(x))_{1 \le k, \ell \le d-1}) .$$

The eigenvalues $\rho_k^2(s_j)$ do not depend on the choice of coordinates. We denote

$$Tr^{+}(Hess(f(s_{j}))) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d-1} \rho_{\ell}(s_{j}).$$
 (4.8)

We denote by $(\mu_j)_{j\geq 1}$ the increasing sequence of the eigenvalues of the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dt^2}+t^{2m}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and by $(\varphi_j(t))_{j\geq 1}$ the associated orthonormal Hilbert base of eigenfunctions.

Theorem 4.1 Under the above assumptions, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exist $h_0 \in]0,1]$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that, if $\mu_j << h^{-4m/(m+1)(2m+3)}$, and if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ and $|\alpha| \leq N$, then $\forall s_\ell \in \Sigma_0$, $\exists \lambda_{j\ell\alpha}^h \in sp_d(P^h)$ s.t.

$$\left| \lambda_{j\ell\alpha}^{h} - h^{2m/(m+1)} \left[\eta_{0}^{1/(m+1)} \mu_{j} + h^{1/(m+1)} \mu_{j}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}_{\ell}(\alpha) \right] \right|$$

$$\leq h^{2} \mu_{j}^{2+3/2m} C_{0} ;$$

with
$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\eta_0^{m/(2m+2)}(m+1)^{1/2}} \left[2\alpha \rho(s_{\ell}) + Tr^+(Hess(f(s_{\ell}))) \right] .$$

 $(\alpha \rho(s_{\ell}) = \alpha_1 \rho_1(s_{\ell}) + \dots + \alpha_{d-1} \rho_{d-1}(s_{\ell})) .$

Proof:

Let $\mathcal{O}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open neighbourhood of $s_l \in \Sigma_0$, such that there exists $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_0; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \cap \mathcal{O}_0 = \{ z \in \mathcal{O}_0 ; \ \phi(z) = 0 \} ;$$

$$|\nabla \phi(z)| = 1 , \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{O}_0 .$$

$$(4.9)$$

After changing \mathcal{O}_0 into a smaller neighbourhood if necessary, we can find $\tau \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_0; \mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ such that $\tau(s_l) = 0$ and $\forall z \in \mathcal{O}_0$,

$$\nabla \tau_j(z).\nabla \phi(z) = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, d-1$$

$$\operatorname{rank}\{\nabla \tau_1(z), \dots, \nabla \tau_{d-1}(z)\} = d-1.$$
(4.10)

Then $(x,y) = (x_1,\ldots,x_{d-1},y) = (\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_{d-1},\phi)$ are local coordinates in \mathcal{O}_0 such that

$$\Delta = |\widetilde{g}|^{-1/2} \sum_{1 \le i, j \le d-1} \partial_{x_i} \left(|\widetilde{g}|^{1/2} \, \widetilde{g}^{ij} \partial_{x_j} \right) + |\widetilde{g}|^{-1/2} \partial_y \left(|\widetilde{g}|^{1/2} \partial_y \right)$$

$$V = y^{2m} \widetilde{f}(x, y) \quad \text{with} \quad \widetilde{f} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_0) ;$$

$$(4.11)$$

 \mathcal{V}_0 is an open neighbourhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^d , $\widetilde{g}^{ij}(x,y) = \widetilde{g}^{ji}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_0; \mathbb{R})$, $|\widetilde{g}|^{-1} = \det\left(\widetilde{g}^{ij}(x,y)\right) > 0$. $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$ are local coordinates on Γ_0

and the metric $g = (g_{ij})$ on Γ_0 is given by

$$(g_{ij}(x))_{1 \le i,j \le d-1} = G(x)$$
, with $(G(x))^{-1} = (\widetilde{g}^{ij}(x,0))_{1 \le i,j \le d-1}$.

If $w \in C_0^2(\mathcal{O}_0)$ then

$$P^{h}w = \widehat{P}^{h}u \quad \text{with}$$

$$u = |\widetilde{g}|^{1/4}w \quad \text{and}$$

$$\widehat{P}^{h} = -h^{2} \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq d-1} \partial_{x_{i}} \left(\widetilde{g}^{ij} \partial_{x_{j}} \right) - h^{2} \partial_{y}^{2} + V + h^{2} V_{0} ,$$

$$(4.12)$$

for some $V_0 \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_0; \mathbb{R})$.

Let us write

$$V(x,y) = y^{2m} f(x) + y^{2m+1} f_1(x) + y^{2m+2} \widetilde{f}_2(x,y) : \tag{4.13}$$

$$f(x) = \widetilde{f}(x,0)$$
 and $\widetilde{f}_2 \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_0)$.

We perform the change of variable (2.1) and the related unitary transformation,

$$(x,y) \rightarrow (x,t) = (x, f^{1/(2(m+1))}(x)y), \quad u \rightarrow v = f^{-1/(4(m+1))}u,$$

to get that

$$\widehat{P}^{h}u = \widehat{Q}^{h}v \quad \text{with}$$

$$\widehat{Q}^{h} = Q_{0}^{h} + t^{2m+1}f_{1}^{0}(x) + h^{2}R_{0} + +h^{2}tR_{1} + t^{2m+2}\widetilde{f}_{2}^{0} :$$

$$Q_{0}^{h} = -h^{2}\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq d-1} \partial_{x_{i}} \left(g^{ij}\partial_{x_{j}}\right) + f^{1/(m+1)}(x)\left(-h^{2}\partial_{t}^{2} + t^{2m}\right)$$
(4.14)

and $R_0 = ta(x,t)(\partial_x f(x)\partial_x)\partial_t + b(x,t)t\partial_t +$

$$\sum_{ij} b_{ij}(x,t)\partial_{x_i} f(x)\partial_{x_j} f(x)(t\partial_t)^2 + c(x,t) ,$$

 $R_1 = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le d-1} \partial_{x_i} \left(\alpha_{ij}(x,t) \partial_{x_j} \right)$, all coefficients are regular in a neighbour-

hood of the zero in \mathbb{R}^d .

Let μ_j be as in the theorem 4.1. We define $h_j = h^{1/(m+1)}/\mu_j^{1/2}$. Let \mathcal{O}'_0 be a bounded open neighbourhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} such that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}'_0 \subset \mathcal{O}_0 \cap \{(x,0) \; ; \; x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\}$.

We consider the Dirichlet operator on $L^2(\mathcal{O}_0')$, $H_0^{h_j}$:

$$H_0^{h_j} = -h_j^2 \sum_{1 \le k, \ell \le d-1} \partial_{x_k} \left(g^{k\ell}(x) \partial_{x_\ell} \right) + f^{1/(m+1)}(x) . \tag{4.15}$$

It is well known, (see for example [He1] or [He-Sj1], that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ satisfying the assumptions of the theorem 4.1, one has:

$$\exists \lambda_{j,\alpha}^{h} \in sp(H_0^{h_j}) \text{ s.t. } |\lambda_{j,\alpha}^{h} - [\eta_0^{1/(m+1)} + h_j \mathcal{A}_l(\alpha)| \leq h_j^2 C;$$

 $\mathcal{A}_l(\alpha)$ is defined in theorem 4.1 in relation with our $s_l \in \Sigma_0$. C is a constant depending only on N. We will denote by $\psi_{j,\alpha}^{h_j}(x)$ any associated eigenfunction with a L^2 -norm equal to 1. Let $\chi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\chi_0(t) = 1$$
 if $|t| \le 1/2$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ if $|t| \ge 1$.

We define the following function:

$$u_{j,\alpha}^h(x,t) = h^{-1/(2m+2)} \chi_0(t/\epsilon_0) \psi_{j,\alpha}^{h_j}(x) \left[\varphi_j(h^{-1/(m+1)}t) - h^{1/(m+1)} F_j^h,(x,t) \right] ,$$

with

$$F_j^h(x,t) = f_1^0(x)f^{-1/(m+1)}(x)\phi_j(h^{-1/(m+1)}t),$$

where $\phi_j \in S(\mathbb{R})$ is solution of:

$$-\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\phi_j(t) + (t^{2m} - \mu_j)\phi_j(t) = t^{2m+1}\varphi_j(t) ,$$

and $\epsilon_0 \in]0,1]$ is a small enough constant, but independent of h and j. ϕ_j exists because μ_j is a non-degenerated eigenvalue and the related eigenfunction φ_j (see 1.8) verifies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{2m+1} \varphi_j^2(t) \ dt = 0$, since it is a real even or odd function.

Using the similar estimates as in chapter 3, one can get easily that

$$\mu_j^{-1} \| t \partial_t \varphi_j \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \mu_j^{-2} \| (t \partial_t)^2 \varphi_j \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C$$

and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists C_k > 0$ s.t. $\mu_j^{-k/2m} || t^k \varphi_j ||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_k$.

It is well known that there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ s.t.

 $|\mu_j - \mu_\ell| \ge \epsilon_1$, $\forall \ell \ne j$, then the inverse of $-\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + t^{2m} - \mu_j$ is $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded by $1/\epsilon_1$, (on the orthogonal of φ_j). So in the same way as in chapter 3, we get also that

$$\mu_j^{-2-1/2m} \|t\partial_t \phi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \mu_j^{-3-1/2m} \|(t\partial_t)^2 \phi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C$$

and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists C_k > 0$ s.t. $\mu_j^{-1-(k+1)/2m} ||t^k \phi_j||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C_k$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get easily that

$$\|[\widehat{Q}^h - \mu_j \lambda_{j,\alpha}^h] \chi_0(|x|/\epsilon_0) u_{j,\alpha}^{h_j}(x,t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_0)} \le h^2 \mu_j^{(4m+3)/2m} C$$

and

$$\| \|\chi_0(|x|/\epsilon_0) u_{j,\alpha}^{h_j}(x,t) \|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_0)} - 1 \| = \mathbf{O}(h^{1/(m+1)} \mu_j^{(2m+1)/2m}) = \circ(1) .$$
 So the theorem 4.1 follows easily.

Remark 4.2 If in Theorem 4.1 we assume that j is also bounded by N, then, as in [He-Sj4], we can get a full asymptotic expansion

$$\lambda_{j\ell\alpha}^h \sim h^{2m/(m+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_{j\ell k\alpha} h^{k/(m+1)}$$
,

and for the related eigenfunction, a quasimode of the form

$$u_{j\ell\alpha}^h(x,t) \sim c(h)e^{-\psi(x)/h^{1/(m+1)}}\chi_0(t/\epsilon_0)\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}h^{k/(2m+2)}a_{j\ell k\alpha}(x)\phi_{jk}(t/h^{1/(m+1)})$$
.

References

- [Do] S. Dozias: Clustering for the spectrum of h-pseudodifferential operators with periodic flow on an energy surface. J. Funct. Anal. 145,(1997),p.296-311.
- [He1] B. Helffer: Introduction to the semiclassical analysis for the Schrödinger operator and applications. Springer lecture Notes in Math., n^0 1336 (1988).
- [He-Ro-1] B. Helffer, D. Robert, Propriétés asymptotiques du spectre d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels sur \mathbb{R}^n . Comm. in P.D.E., 7(7),(1982),p.795-882.
- [He-Ro-2] B. Helffer, D. Robert, Comportement semi-classique du spectre des hamiltoniens quantiques hypoelliptiques. Annales ENS Pise IV, 9,(3),(1982),p.405-431.
- [He-Sj1] B. Helffer, J. Sjoestrand, Multiple wells in the semi-classical limit. I. Comm. in P.D.E., 9, (4), (1984), p.337-408.
- [He-Sj4] B. Helffer, J. Sjoestrand, Puits multiples en mecanique semi-classique. VI. Ann. Institut H. Poincaré, Phys. Theor., 46,(4),(1987),p.353-372.

- [Hor] L. Hörmander, The Weyl calculus of pseudo-differential operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 32, (1979), p.359-443.
- [Ivr] V. Ivrii, Microlocal analysis and precise spectral asymptotic. Springer, Berlin 1998.
- [Khu] D. Khuat-Duy, A semi-classical trace formula for Schroedinger operators in the case of critical energy level. J. Funct. Anal., 146,(2),(1997),p.299-351.
- [Ma] A. Martinez, Développement asymptotiques et effet tunnel dans l'approximation de Born-Oppenheimer. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Vol. 49,(3),(1989),p.239-257.
- [MoTr] A. Morame, F. Truc, Semiclassical Eigenvalue Asymptotics for a Schrödinger Operator with Degenerate Potential. Asymptotic Anal., 22(1), (2000), p. 39-49.
- [Rob] D. Robert, Comportement asymptotique des valeurs propres d'opérateurs du type de Schrödinger à potentiel dégénéré. J. Math. Pures et Appl., 61,(1982),p.275-300.
- [Roz] G. V. Rozenbljum, Asymptotics of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator. Math. USSR Sbornik, (Eng. trans.), 22,(3),(1974),p.349-371.
- [Sim] B. Simon, Nonclassical eigenvalue asymptotics. J. of Funct. Analysis, 53,(1983),p.84-98.
- [Si1] B. Simon: Semi-classical analysis of low lying eigenvalues I. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, 38, (1983), p. 295-307.
- [Sol] M. Z. Solomyak, Asymptotics of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator with nonregular homogeneous potential. Math. USSR Sbornik, (Eng. trans.), 55,(1),(1986),p19-37.
- [Shu] M.A. Shubin, Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1987.
- [Tru] F. Truc, Semi-classical asymptotics for magnetic bottles. Asymp. Analysis, 15,(1997),p.385-395.