

Origin of the approximate universality of distributions in equilibrium correlated systems

Maxime Clusel, Jean-Yves Fortin, Peter C.W. Holdsworth

▶ To cite this version:

Maxime Clusel, Jean-Yves Fortin, Peter C.W. Holdsworth. Origin of the approximate universality of distributions in equilibrium correlated systems. 2006. hal-00069372v1

HAL Id: hal-00069372 https://hal.science/hal-00069372v1

Preprint submitted on 17 May 2006 (v1), last revised 27 Oct 2006 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Origin of the approximate universality of distributions in equilibrium correlated systems

MAXIME CLUSEL^{1,3}, JEAN-YVES FORTIN² and PETER C.W. HOLDSWORTH¹

¹ Institut Laue-Langevin, 6 rue J. Horowitz, 38042 Grenoble cedex, France

² Laboratoire Poncelet, CNRS/UMI 2615, Bolshoy Vlasyevskiy Pereulok 11, Moscow 119002, Russia

³ Laboratoire de Physique, École normale supérieure de Lyon, 46, Allée d'Italie, 69007 Lyon, France

PACS. 05.40.-a – Fluctuation phenomena, random processes, noise and Brownian motion. PACS. 05.70.Fh – Phase transition: General studies. PACS. 05.50.-y – Classical statistical physics.

Abstract. – We propose an interpretation of previous experimental and numerical experiments, showing that for a large class of systems, distributions of global quantities are similar to a distribution originally obtained for the magnetization in the 2D-XY model [1]. This approach, developed for the Ising model, is based on previous numerical observations [2]. We obtain an effective action using a perturbative method, which successfully describes the order parameter fluctuations near the phase transition. This leads to a direct link between the D-dimensional Ising model and the XY model in the same dimension, which appears to be a generic feature of many equilibrium critical systems and which is at the heart of the above observations.

Following a first observation by Bramwell, Holdsworth and Pinton [1], many studies report that the probability density functions (PDF), for spatially or temporarily averaged quantities in correlated equilibrium [3] and out-of-equilibrium [4–6] systems have a generic asymmetric form characteristic of magnetic fluctuations in the two-dimensional (2D) XY model of magnetism, in the spin wave approximation (BHP distribution) [7] (see figure 1(b)). This "superuniversality" is clearly incompatible with the notion of universality classes in critical phenomena and its ubiquitous presence in out-of-equilibrium phenomena appears mysterious to say the least. It is easy actually to find critical systems where the distribution is radically different and in most situations, some deviation from BHP distribution is apparent, on detailed analysis of the data [8]. There are therefore strict physical criteria associated with both the observation of this generic behaviour and with the deviations from it, just as in the case of Gaussian fluctuations through the application of the central limit theorem. In this paper we expose these criteria through the study of a well known and well controlled equilibrium system, the 2D Ising model.

Using numerical simulations for the 2D Ising model [2,3] we established that a PDF similar to the BHP function is obtained in a range of temperatures $T^*(L)$, or applied field $H^*(L)$

EUROPHYSICS LETTERS

close to the critical point⁽¹⁾ ($T_c(L), H = 0$), where L is the system size. At this specific temperature or field the magnetisation shows intermittent behaviour, where coherent structures appear on intermediate time scales, in analogy with injected power fluctuations in enclosed turbulent flow [2,4]. This should be contrasted with the behaviour at the critical point, where the amplitude of the structures and the ensuing intermittency are cut off by the boundaries of the available phase space. The cross over from "superuniversality" to universality class dependence is related to this change of regime. We approach the critical point from the ordered phase, making a perturbation expansion about the ordered state. The calculation shows that there is indeed a quantitative similarity between the fluctuations for 2D XY and Ising models up to this threshold and this is the origin of generic behaviour in the Ising system. Through this result we are able to make some precise statements about the criteria leading to such generic behaviour in more disparate and less well controlled systems.

We consider the 2D classical Ising model on a square lattice of size $L \times L$ described, after the Hubbard-Stratronovitch transformation, by $N = L^2$ continuous variables ϕ_i , leading to the partition function \mathcal{Z} [9]:

$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \left(\frac{\det \mathbf{K}}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \phi_i K_{ij} \phi_j + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \cosh\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{ij} \phi_j + \beta H\right)\right], \quad (1)$$

where \boldsymbol{K} is the coupling matrix whose elements are $K_{ij} = K(2\lambda\delta_{r_i,r_j} + \sum_{\delta}\delta_{r_j,r_i+\delta})$, and $\beta = K = 1/T$. The vectors δ are the lattice unit vectors $\pm \hat{x}$ and $\pm \hat{y}$, and λ is an arbitrary parameter introduced by the Hubbard-Stratonovitch transformation. It is chosen so that all the eigenvalues of \boldsymbol{K} are positive, and the mean-field critical temperature $T_c^{\text{mf}} = 2(D+\lambda)$ is usually defined with $\lambda = 0$. The local spin σ_i is thus mapped onto the local magnetisation $m_i = \tanh\left(\sum_{j=1}^N K_{ij}\phi_j + \beta H\right)$.

Generalised Ginsburg criterion for fluctuations at large length scale. – The usual criterion used in critical phenomena to discuss the validity of a perturbative approach to the fluctuations is the Ginsburg criterion. This is defined such that the ratio of the two-point correlation function averaged up to the correlation length ξ and the magnetisation averaged on the same scale be small. For the 2D Ising model it is well known that this ratio is not small compare to unity, and therefore a perturbative approach can not capture the physical behaviour of the model up to this length scale. In our particular case however we are interested in the fluctuations at large scale l, not equal to ξ . It is hence natural to define a generalised Ginsburg criterion by the ratio (for D< 4):

$$R_l = \frac{\int_0^l G(\boldsymbol{r}) d\boldsymbol{r}}{\int_0^l \langle m(\boldsymbol{r}) \rangle^2 d\boldsymbol{r}} \simeq A\left(\frac{\xi}{l}\right)^D.$$
(2)

Here, $G(\mathbf{r})$ is the two-point correlation function which is roughly equal to $1/r^{D-2+\eta}$ for $r < \xi$ and 0 for $r > \xi$. $\langle m(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ the local magnetisation, which scales like $\xi^{-\beta/\nu}$. The second and approximate equality is obtained using the scaling hypothesis and is true independently of the value of the critical exponents. The traditional Ginsburg criterion is recovered for $l \sim \xi$ and it is unsatisfied for all dimension D< 4 ($A \simeq 1$). The main result of ref. [2] is that along the locus of temperatures $T^*(L)$, while the correlation length diverges with L as expected for a critical phenomenon, its amplitude is small compared to L: $\xi/L \simeq 0.03$. Therefore we

 $^(^{1})$ As usual for finite size systems, we define the critical temperature the one corresponding to the maximum value of the susceptibility.

have $R_L(T^*(L)) \ll 1$ and we expect that the fluctuations at the integral scale l = L could be described, to an excellent approximation, by a perturbative development. Such an approach cannot of course give correct critical exponents but this is consistent with the observation that such behaviour is, to a very good approximation, independent of the exponents at hand [3,11]. From the point of view of renormalisation, we are expanding about the zero temperature fixed point, which is Gaussian. The major contribution to the fluctuations will come from length scales of the order of the correlation length. From the microscopic scale up to ξ the effective action stays close to the non-trivial fixed point, only to cross over towards the zero temperature fixed point for $l \simeq L$. The calculation approximates the behaviour at this scale with contributions estimated from the zero temperature sink.

To make the perturbative development we define the "fast", fluctuating variables $\theta_i = \phi_i - \phi_0$ which we separate from the "slow" variable $\phi_0 = \sum_i \phi_i / N$. Here the terms "fast" and "slow" are taken from the dynamical point of view as we will see in detail below. We take the θ_i to be small. The effective action, expanded to *lowest* order in the θ_i and Fourier transformed can be separated into two parts:

where S_1 is the minimal set of Fourier modes $\boldsymbol{q} = (2\pi p/L, 2\pi q/L) \neq (0,0)$ that, in addition to the operation $\boldsymbol{q} = (2\pi (L-p)/L, 2\pi (L-q)/L)$, fill up the entire Brillouin zone except the zero mode. We also define $\mathcal{T} = \tanh[2K(D+\lambda)\phi_0 + \beta H]$ and $K_{\boldsymbol{q}} = 2K(\lambda + \cos q_x + \cos q_y)$. The total magnetisation is then given by $m_{\text{tot}} \simeq \mathcal{T} - 2\mathcal{T}(1-\mathcal{T}^2) \sum_{\boldsymbol{q} \in S_1} K_{\boldsymbol{q}} \theta_{\boldsymbol{q}} \theta_{-\boldsymbol{q}}/N$.

Dynamical approach. – To this lowest order, the action (3) looks similar to that of the 2D-XY model with a propagator that is a function of H and ϕ_0 . Setting ϕ_0 constant would make it truly XY-like, with a massive propagator. However, at zero field this is not the case as we have here a finite size system. Hence, in dealing with the effective action we have to take into account the fact that there is no rigorous symmetry breaking and that the equilibrium, low temperature magnetisation is strictly zero, in zero field. For this reason we choose a dynamical approach separating time scales for fluctuations about a local free energy minimum from those for a passage from one local minimum to the other. This separation of scales defines the fast modes and slow modes of evolution. At low temperature, or in finite field, the slow, or ergodic time scale will be outside the numerical or experimental observation time scale and we expect fluctuations around a single minimum. As the critical point is approached, we expect this separation of scales to be no longer possible with the result that the symmetry is restored.

We can now follow the Langevin dynamics from the action (3). Defining the fields $\phi_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{(1)}$ (resp. $\phi_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{(2)}$) as Re $(\theta_{\boldsymbol{q}})$ (resp. Im $(\theta_{\boldsymbol{q}})$), we obtain the following Langevin equations for the fast and slow degrees of freedom:

$$\dot{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{(\alpha)}(t) = -2G_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{-1}\phi_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{(\alpha)}(t) + \eta_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{(\alpha)}(t), \ \dot{\phi}_{0}(t) = -\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}_{0}[\phi_{0}(t)]}{\delta\phi_{0}(t)} + \eta_{0}(t), \tag{4}$$

where the η are Gaussian δ -correlated noise: $\langle \eta_{q}^{(\alpha)}(t)\eta_{q'}^{(\alpha')}(t')\rangle = 2\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}\delta_{q,q'}\delta(t-t')$, and $\langle \eta_0(t)\eta_0(t')\rangle = 2\delta(t-t')$. We have now all the ingredients to compute $P(m,\tau)$, the PDF of the instantaneous magnetisation m at time τ . It is given by: $P(m,\tau) = \langle \delta(m-m_{\rm tot}(\tau)) \rangle_{\{\eta_0,\eta_q\}}$.

EUROPHYSICS LETTERS



(a) Comparison at $T < T_c$ and H = 0

(b) Comparison at $T = T^*$ and H = 0

Fig. 1 – Numerical test of the Langevin dynamics, for $\lambda = 2.5$ and L = 65. (a) The data (×) are Monte Carlo simulations at T = 1.7 and L = 64. The data (•) are obtained by Langevin dynamics from equation (4) at $T_{\rm dyn} = T_{\rm c}^{\rm mf} \times 0.74$. The plain curve corresponds to the distribution of the Gaussian model (L = 64) with mass $M = 3.5 \times 10^{-1}$ [13]. (b) The plain curve is the BHP distribution [7]. The data (×) are Monte Carlo simulations for L = 64 system at $T^*(L) = T_{\rm c} \times 0.94$ [2]. The data (•) are from the Langevin dynamics (4), at $T^*(L, \lambda) = T_{\rm c}^{\rm mf} \times 0.95$. Inset: examples of magnetisation dynamics.

As previously [4,7] we introduce a reduced scaling variable for the magnetisation. Here we are interested in fluctuations around the typical value of m, $\bar{m} = \langle m_{\text{tot}} \rangle_{\{\eta_q\}}$, where the average is performed over all noise except η_0 . Similarly we define the width of the distribution as $\bar{\sigma}^2 = \langle m_{\text{tot}}^2 \rangle_{\{\eta_q\}} - \bar{m}^2$, and the reduced magnetisation $\mu_{\text{tot}} = (m - \bar{m})/\bar{\sigma}$. We stress that \bar{m} and $\bar{\sigma}^2$ are *not* the mean and variance of the instantaneous magnetisation, as we have not averaged over the noise η_0 .

At this stage of our derivation, it is useful to numerically check the approximations and assumptions made so far, *i.e.* the perturbation expansion, the Langevin dynamics and the logic of the separation of time scales. In figure 1(a) we show data generated by integrating numerically equations (4) for a temperature below the mean-field critical temperature, at zero field. This shows that perturbation theory can indeed capture the first departure from Gaussian fluctuations, as the critical point is approached. In figure 1(b) we compare the PDF obtained from Monte Carlo simulations at $T^*(L = 64) = T_c \times 0.94$ [2] with the results of Langevin dynamics at $T^*(L = 65, \lambda = 2.5) = T_c^{\text{mf}} \times 0.95$. As one can see the agreement is good and the data give an equally good fit to the BHP function. It is clear that, at least in the case where the symmetry is effectively broken and where our criterion (2) is satisfied, the perturbation scheme captures the magnetic fluctuations of the Ising model to an excellent approximation. Our scheme has to be compare with mean-field treatment of Zheng [12] where a simple approximation or ansatz can also describe most of the features. Here however, the connection with the XY-model is revealed through a separation of the "fast" variables, described by spin waves like excitations, and "slow" variables, representing the evolution of the global magnetisation within the effective potential minima.

Probability density function of the fluctuations. – Using the integral representation of the Dirac function, $P(m, \tau)$ can be written as a path integral over the noise η_0 and η_q . As

the equations on $\phi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(\alpha)}$ are linear (4), they can be integrated out if we assume that ϕ_0 is slowly varying with time. This is true at low temperature: ϕ_0 is the instantaneous magnetisation within the mean-field approximation, and the fluctuations around this value are represented by the $\phi_{\mathbf{q}}^{(\alpha)}$. The amplitude of the fluctuations of the global variable ϕ_0 in equation (4) are scaled by the factor 1/N coming from \mathcal{S}_0 , and therefore ϕ_0 does not, at least at low temperature, venture far from the energy minima. In this case, the quantity $G_{\mathbf{q}}$, which depends on ϕ_0 , can be considered as constant. That is, we can replace the propagator by its time averaged value during the period τ .

After some algebra, defining $g_k = 2 \sum_{q \in S_1} \left[K_q G_q \coth(\tau/G_q) \right]^k / N^k$, one finally obtains

$$P(m,\tau) = \int \mathcal{D}\eta_0(t) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \int_0^\tau \eta_0^2(u) \mathrm{d}u\right) \Pi_{XY}[\phi_0](\mu_{\mathrm{tot}},\tau), \tag{5}$$
$$\Pi_{XY}[\phi_0](\mu_{\mathrm{tot}},\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi\bar{\sigma}} \exp\left(ix\mu_{\mathrm{tot}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{g_k}{k} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{g_2}} ix\right)^k\right).$$

The function Π_{XY} is the PDF for the 2D-XY model in the spin wave approximation with a massive propagator, $G_q^{-1} \propto q^2 + M(\phi_0)^2$ [13]. In the limit $M \to 0$, this is nothing but the BHP function.

In order to obtain the PDF for m_{tot} , we would have to evaluate the last path integral over η_0 . This integral is related to the non linear Langevin equation (4). At T = 0 the dominant solution of the equation of motion is $\phi_0^{(0)}(t) = \text{constant}$, which is a solution of $\delta S_0 / \delta \phi_0(u) = 0$: the mode $\phi_0^{(0)}$ does not have any dynamics and it affects the PDF only by imposing a finite mass $M(\phi_0^{(0)})$. Physically this means that the PDF for the Ising model at low temperature should be the same as that obtained for the 2D-XY model with a small magnetic field. This is exactly what we have observed in figure 1(a). At finite temperature however non constant solutions exist: these are the instantons associated with the non-linear Langevin equation (4). Expressing the path integral in (5) over $\eta_0(t)$ as a path integral over $\phi_0(t)$, using equation (4), it follows that the time dependent solutions extremize the integral $\int_0^{\tau} [\dot{\phi}_0(u) + \delta S_0 / \delta \phi_0(u)]^2 du$. This leads to

$$P(m,\tau) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_0(t) \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{S}_0[\phi_0(\tau)] - \mathcal{S}_0[\phi_0(0)]\right)\right] \times$$

$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{4} \int_0^\tau \left(\dot{\phi}_0^2(u) + \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{S}_0}{\delta \phi_0(u)}\right)^2 - 2\frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{S}_0}{\delta \phi_0(u)^2}\right) \mathrm{d}u\right] \Pi_{\mathrm{XY}}[\phi_0](\mu_{\mathrm{tot}},\tau).$$
(6)

The second order derivative of S_0 , which comes from the Jacobian of the transformation, is of order N compared with order N^2 for the other terms and is therefore negligible. To simplify the analysis, we assume periodic boundary conditions, $\phi_0(0) = \phi_0(\tau)$, and the first argument of the exponential in (6) vanishes. The non constant solutions $\phi_0^{(k)}(u)$, k > 1verify $\dot{\phi}_0(u)^2/2 = V_0 - V_{\rm eff}[\phi_0(u)]$, where $V_0 > 0$ is a constant of motion that depends on the instanton trajectory and $2V_{\rm eff}[\phi_0(u)] = -[\delta S_0/\delta \phi_0(u)]^2$ is an effective inverted potential. From (6) we define an effective action $S_{\rm eff}$ whose $\phi_0^{(k)}$ are the classical time dependent solutions: $S_{\rm eff}[\phi_0(u)] = \int_0^{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}_0^2(u) - V_{\rm eff}[\phi_0(u)]\right) du$. Expressing du as function of $d\phi_0$, the constant V_0 satisfies the equation $\int \pm \frac{d\phi_0}{\sqrt{2(V_0 - V_{\rm eff})}} = \tau$. The sign is positive (resp. negative) when ϕ_0 is increasing (resp. decreasing) with time. Generally, we assume that V_0 tends exponentially to zero when τ is large. For an action with two wells $S_0 = -a\phi_0^2/2 + \phi_0^4/4$, with $a \propto T_c - T$, we can

EUROPHYSICS LETTERS

evaluate this constant. Indeed, if we consider a trajectory going from $\phi_0(0) = \sqrt{a}$ to $\phi_0 = 0$ at some later fixed time, then going back to \sqrt{a} at time τ , this corresponds to solving the following equation: $2\int_0^{\sqrt{a}} \frac{d\phi_0}{\sqrt{2(V_0 - V_{\text{eff}})}} = \tau$. When τ is large, V_0 has to be small, and the main contributions from the previous integral come from the end points: $V_{\text{eff}} \simeq -2a^2(\phi_0 \mp \sqrt{a})^2$ for ϕ_0 close to $\pm\sqrt{a}$, and $V_{\text{eff}} \simeq -a^2\phi_0^2/2$ for ϕ_0 close to 0. It is then easy to show that $V_0 \propto \exp(-\sqrt{2}a\tau)$ and it is hence legitimate to discard this constant for large time τ . The effective action S_{eff} can be simplified in this case, and is equal to $\Delta S_0^{(k)}$, the sum of the energy barriers crossed by the instanton $\phi_0^{(k)}$ during the time τ . Finally, the distribution (6) can be put into the following form

$$P(m,\tau) \sim \Pi_{\rm XY}[\phi_0^{(0)}](\mu_{\rm tot}) + \sum_{k\geq 1} C_k \exp\left(-\Delta \mathcal{S}_0^{(k)}\right) \Pi_{\rm XY}[\phi_0^{(k)}](\mu_{\rm tot},\tau),\tag{7}$$

where the C_k 's are coefficients related to the Gaussian fluctuations around the saddle point solutions $\phi_0^{(k)}$. These instantons restor the system symmetry. Below the critical region they appear only on exponentially large time scales, and can be neglected on the time scale of any observations. Near the critical point they appear more frequently and lead to a phase transition in the finite system. It is extremely challenging to exactly compute the contribution from the instantons [14] and to do so would, in any case, give only an approximate description of the true critical dynamics, so we do not attempt it here. Rather, the final expression (7) is sufficient for our purposes as it exposes both the origin of generic, or superuniversal critical fluctuations and of the dependence on universality classes through the generation of instanton like excitations.

Interpretation and generalisation. – We have shown that at this level there are two distinct contributions to the PDF for magnetic fluctuations in equation (7). The first is a Gaussian action coming directly from the perturbation expansion and as long as quadratic fluctuations are present, such a term must appear. The limiting case of zero mass corresponds to the magnetic fluctuations of the 2D-XY model in the spin-wave approximation. The Ising model universality class does not appear in this term and in this sense it is superuniversal. The fluctuations described here are localised around the typical value of the magnetisation and are sensitive to the local geometry around this point in phase space, not to the global structure of the phase space related to the universality class. The second term comes from the contribution of instantons that restore phase space symmetry and so is strongly dependent on the universality class.

The existence of a point, or points, in the phase diagram where the PDF of the 2D Ising model is close to the BHP distribution [2] results from a compromise between these two terms: to be as close as possible to BHP, we have to reduce the mass in the Gaussian propagators of the first term. This is exactly what happens as one approaches T_c and the instantaneous value of ϕ_0 reduces. At the same time the contribution from instantons has to be small, which is not the case at T_c : reducing ϕ_0 increases the probability of inducing an instanton, taking the system from one local minimum to the other. This occurs just as the correlation length becomes of the order of the system size, hence the instanton contribution being small corresponds exactly to our criterion (2) being satisfied. The temperature T^* studied in [2] is the point of best compromise. The application of a small magnetic field breaks the symmetry, increasing the barriers $\Delta S_0^{(k)}$. The contribution from instantons is then reduced and one can expect better agreement with BHP than in zero field. This is just what is observed. However, while the agreement with BHP can be excellent it is approximate and there will always be corrections to it.

What other correlated systems show similar behaviour? The previous analysis suggests that generic behaviour reminiscent of the D-dimensional Gaussian model should indeed be commonly observed in equilibrium correlated systems in D-dimensions. We show in fact that fluctuations of this generic type correspond to perturbative corrections to the central limit theorem and that in this sense such systems should be thought of as being weakly, rather than strongly correlated. It suggests also that one should expect variations. These variations can be large, coming from non-linear instanton-like objects, taking the distribution far from the generic asymmetric form shown in Fig.1(b), or they can be smaller, coming from the harmonic contribution itself. That is, the BHP function is not a miraculous unique function for all circumstances, rather distributions of the form of the first term in equation (7) depend weakly on the boundary conditions [15, 16], on the mass [13] and even on the temperature [11, 17]. Of these parameters the strongest dependence is on dimension: we expect that 3D correlated equilibrium systems are related to the 3D Gaussian model, which has weakly asymmetric order parameter fluctuations and is not critical [7,18]. Interestingly, out of equilibrium three dimensional systems show results resembling the 2D-XY model [1,3,5], or the closely related Gumbel distribution characteristic of a one-dimensional system with long range interactions not those of the 3D-XY model. These results seem to suggest the presence of a dimensional reduction in such systems that remains to be explained.

* * *

It is a pleasure to thank S.T. Bramwell, S.T. Banks, F. Delduc and P. Pujol for useful discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.T. Bramwell, P.C.W. Holdsworth and J.-Y. Pinton, Nature **396**, 512 (1998)
- [2] M. Clusel, J.-Y. Fortin and P.C.W. Holdsworth, Phys. Rev. E 70, 046112 (2004)
- [3] S.T. Bramwell et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3744 (2000)
- [4] R. Labbé, J.-F. Pinton, and S. Fauve, J. Phys. II (France) 6 1099 (1996)
- [5] T. Tóth-Katona and J. Gleeson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 24501 (2003).
- [6] B. Ph. van Milligen et al., Phys. of Plasmas, 12, 052507 (2005).
- [7] S.T. Bramwell *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 041106 (2001)
- [8] G. Palma, T. Meyer and R. Labbe, Phys. Rev. E 66, 026108 (2002)
- [9] G. Parisi, *Statistical Field Theory*, Perseus press (1998)
- [10] N. Goldenfeld, Lectures on Phase Transitions and the Renormalisation group, Addison-Wesley (1992)
- [11] S.T. Banks and S.T. Bramwell, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38, 5603 (2005)
- [12] B. Zheng, Phys. Rev. E 67, 026114 (2003)
- [13] B. Portelli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 036111 (2001).
- [14] F.J. Alexander, S. Habib, and A. Kovner, Phys. Rev. E 48, 4284 (1993)
- [15] T. Antal et al. Phys. Rev. Lett, 87, 240601, (2001). ibidi Phys. Rev. E 65, 046140 (2002).
- [16] A. Rosso *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 036128 (2003)
- [17] G. Mack, G. Palma and L. Vergara, Phys. Rev. E 72, 026119 (2005)
- [18] C. Chamon et al., J. Chem. Phys, 121, 10120 (2004)