HAL open science

sup x inf inequality on manifold of dimension 3

Samy Skander Bahoura

To cite this version:

Samy Skander Bahoura. sup x inf inequality on manifold of dimension 3. 2006. hal-00023382

HAL Id: hal-00023382

https://hal.science/hal-00023382

Preprint submitted on 25 Apr 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SUP-INF INEQUALITY ON MANIFOLD OF DIMENSION 3

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA

ABSTRACT. We give an estimate of type $\sup \times \inf$ on Riemannian manifold of Dimension 3 for prescribed curvature equation.

INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS.

We are on Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension 3 not necessary compact. In this paper we denote $\Delta = -\nabla^j(\nabla_j)$ the geometric laplacian.

The scalar curvature equation is:

$$8\Delta u + R_g u = V u^{N-1},\ u > 0. \qquad (E)$$

Where R_g is the scalar curvature and V is a function (prescribed scalar curvature).

We consider three positive real number a, bA and we suppose V lipschitzian:

$$0 < a \leq V(x) \leq b < +\infty \text{ and } ||\nabla V||_{L^\infty(M)} \leq A. \qquad (C)$$

The equation (E) was studied lot of when $M = \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ or $M = \mathbb{S}_n$ see for example, [B], [CL1], [L1]. In this case we have a $\sup \times \inf$ inequality.

The corresponding equation in two dimensions on open set Ω of $\mathbb{R}^2$, is:

$$\Delta u = V e^u, \qquad (E')$$

The equation (E') was studed lot of and we can find very important result about a priori estimates in [BM], [BLS], [CL2], [L2], and [S].

In the case $V \equiv 1$ and M compact, the equation (E) is Yamabe equation. It was studed lot of, T.Aubin and R.Schoen have proved the existence of solution in this cas, see for example [Au] and [L-P].

When M is a compact Riemannian manifold, it exist some compactness result for equation (E) see [L-Zh]. Li and Zhu [L-Zh], proved that the energy is bounded and if we suppose M not diffeormorfic to the three sphere, the solutions are uniformly bounded. To have this result they use the positive mass theorem.

Now, if we suppose M Riemannian manifold (not necessarily compact) and $V \equiv 1$, Li and Zhang [L-Z] proved that the product $\sup \times \inf$ is bounded.

Here, we give an equality of type $\sup \times \inf$ for the equation (E) with general conditions (C). We have:

Theorem. For all compact set K of M and all positive numbers a,b,A, it exists a positive constant c, which depends only on, K,a,b,A,M,g such that:

$$(\sup_K u)^{1/3} \times \inf_M u \leq c,$$

for all u solution of (E) with conditions (C).

Note that in our work, we have not assumption on energy or boundary condition if we suppose the manifold M with boundary.

Next, in the proof of the previous theorem, we can replace the scalar curvature by any smooth function f, but here we do the proof with R_g the scalar curvature.

ccsd-00023382, version 1 - 25 Apr 2006

Proof of the theorem.

Part I: The metric and the laplacian in polar coordinates.

Let (M, g) a Riemannian manifold. We note $g_{x,ij}$ the local expression of the metric g in the exponential map centred in x.

We are concerning by the polar coordinates expression of the metric. By using Gauss lemma, we can write:

$$g = ds^2 = dt^2 + g^k_{ij}(r,\theta)d\theta^i d\theta^j = dt^2 + r^2\tilde{g}^k_{ij}(r,\theta)d\theta^i d\theta^j = g_{x,ij}dx^i dx^j,$$

in a polar chart with origin x", $]0,\epsilon_0[\times U^k$, with (U^k,ψ) a chart of $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. We can write the element volume:

$$dV_g = r^{n-1}\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}drd\theta^1\dots d\theta^{n-1} = \sqrt{[det(g_{x,ij})]}dx^1\dots dx^n,$$

then,

$$dV_g = r^{n-1}\sqrt{[det(g_{x,ij})]}[\exp_x(r\theta)]\alpha^k(\theta)drd\theta^1\dots d\theta^{n-1},$$

where, α^k is such that, $d\sigma_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}} = \alpha^k(\theta)d\theta^1\dots d\theta^{n-1}$. (Riemannian volume element of the la sphere in the chart (U^k,ψ)).

Then,

$$\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|} = \alpha^k(\theta)\sqrt{[det(g_{x,ij})]},$$

Clearly, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 1: Let $x_0 \in M$, there exist $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and if we reduce U^k, we have:

$$|\partial_r\tilde{g}^k_{ij}(x,r,\theta)| + |\partial_r\partial_{\theta^m}\tilde{g}^k_{ij}(x,r,\theta)| \leq Cr,\ \forall\ x \in B(x_0,\epsilon_1)\ \forall\ r \in [0,\epsilon_1],\ \forall\ \theta \in U^k.$$

and,

$$|\partial_r|\tilde{g}^k|(x,r,\theta)| + \partial_r\partial_{\theta^m}|\tilde{g}^k|(x,r,\theta) \leq Cr,\ \forall\ x \in B(x_0,\epsilon_1)\ \forall\ r \in [0,\epsilon_1],\ \forall\ \theta \in U^k.$$

Remark:

$\partial_r[\log\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}]$ is a local function of θ, and the restriction of the global function on the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$, $\partial_r[\log\sqrt{det(g_{x,ij})}]$. We will note, $J(x,r,\theta) = \sqrt{det(g_{x,ij})}$.

Let's write the laplacian in $[0,\epsilon_1]\times U^k$,

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[\log\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}]\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}}\partial_{\theta^i}(\tilde{g}^{\theta^i\theta^j}\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}\partial_{\theta^j}).$$

We have,

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r\log J(x,r,\theta)\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}}\partial_{\theta^i}(\tilde{g}^{\theta^i\theta^j}\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k|}\partial_{\theta^j}).$$

We write the laplacian (radial and angular decomposition),

$$-\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[\log J(x,r,\theta)]\partial_r - \Delta_{S_r(x)},$$

where $\Delta_{S_r(x)}$ is the laplacian on the sphere $S_r(x)$.

We set $L_\theta(x,r)(...) = r^2\Delta_{S_r(x)}(...)[\exp_x(r\theta)]$, clearly, this operator is a laplacian on $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ for particular metric. We write,

$$L_\theta(x,r) = \Delta_{g_{x,r,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}},$$

and,

$$\Delta = \partial_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r[J(x,r,\theta)]\partial_r - \frac{1}{r^2}L_\theta(x,r).$$

If, u is function on M, then, $\bar{u}(r,\theta) = uo\exp_x(r\theta)$ is the corresponding function in polar coordinates centred in x. We have,

$$-\Delta u = \partial_{rr}\bar{u} + \frac{n-1}{r}\partial_r\bar{u} + \partial_r[J(x,r,\theta)]\partial_r\bar{u} - \frac{1}{r^2}L_\theta(x,r)\bar{u}.$$

Part II: "Blow-up" and "Moving-plane" methods

The "blow-up" technic

Let, $(u_i)_i$ a sequence of functions on M such that,

$$\Delta u_i + R_g u_i = V_i {u_i}^5,\ u_i > 0, \qquad (E')$$

We argue by contradiction and we suppose that $\sup^{1/3} \times \inf$ is not bounded.
We assume that:

$\forall\ c, R > 0\ \exists\ u_{c,R}$ solution of (E') such that:

$$R[\sup_{B(x_0,R)} u_{c,R}]^{1/3} \times \inf_M u_{c,R} \geq c, \qquad (H)$$

Proposition 2:

There exist a sequence of points $(y_i)_i$, $y_i \to x_0$ and two sequences of positive real number $(l_i)_i, (L_i)_i$, $l_i \to 0$, $L_i \to +\infty$, such that if we consider $v_i(y) = \dfrac{u_i o\exp_{y_i}(y)}{u_i(y_i)}$, we have:

$$0 < v_i(y) \leq \beta_i \leq 2^{1/2},\ \beta_i \to 1.$$

$$v_i(y) \to \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{1/2},\ \text{uniformly on every compact set of } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

$$l_i^{1/2}[u_i(y_i)]^{1/3} \times \inf_M u_i \to +\infty$$

Proof:
We use the hypothesis (H), we can take two sequences $R_i > 0, R_i \to 0$ and $c_i \to +\infty$, such that,

$$R_i[\sup_{B(x_0,R_i)}]^{1/3} u_i \times \inf_M u_i \geq c_i \to +\infty,$$

Let, $x_i \in B(x_0,R_i)$, such that $\sup_{B(x_0,R_i)} u_i = u_i(x_i)$ and $s_i(x) = [R_i - d(x,x_i)]^{1/2}u_i(x), x \in B(x_i,R_i)$. Then, $x_i \to x_0$.

We have,

$$\max_{B(x_i,R_i)} s_i(x) = s_i(y_i) \geq s_i(x_i) = {R_i}^{1/2}u_i(x_i) \geq \sqrt{c_i} \to +\infty.$$

Set :

$$l_i = R_i - d(y_i,x_i),\ \bar{u}_i(y) = u_i o\exp_{y_i}(y),\ v_i(z) = \frac{u_i o\exp_{y_i}\left(z/[u_i(y_i)]^2\right)}{u_i(y_i)}.$$

Clearly, $y_i \to x_0$. We obtain:

$$L_i = \frac{l_i}{(c_i)^{1/2}}[u_i(y_i)]^2 = \frac{[s_i(y_i)]^2}{c_i^{1/2}} \geq \frac{c_i^1}{c_i^{1/2}} = c_i^{1/2} \to +\infty.$$

If $|z| \leq L_i$, then $y = \exp_{y_i}[z/[u_i(y_i)]^2] \in B(y_i, \delta_i l_i)$ with $\delta_i = \dfrac{1}{(c_i)^{1/2}}$ and $d(y, y_i) < R_i - d(y_i, x_i)$, thus, $d(y, x_i) < R_i$ and, $s_i(y) \leq s_i(y_i)$, we can write,

$$u_i(y)[R_i - d(y, y_i)]^{1/2} \leq u_i(y_i)(l_i)^{1/2}.$$

But, $d(y, y_i) \leq \delta_i l_i$, $R_i > l_i$ and $R_i - d(y, y_i) \geq R_i - \delta_i l_i > l_i - \delta_i l_i = l_i(1 - \delta_i)$, we obtain,

$$0 < v_i(z) = \frac{u_i(y)}{u_i(y_i)} \leq \left[\frac{l_i}{l_i(1-\delta_i)}\right]^{1/2} \leq 2^{1/2}.$$

We set, $\beta_i = \left(\dfrac{1}{1-\delta_i}\right)^{1/2}$, clearly $\beta_i \to 1$.

The function v_i is solution of:

$$-g^{jk}[\exp_{y_i}(y)]\partial_{jk} v_i - \partial_k \left[g^{jk}\sqrt{|g|}\right][\exp_{y_i}(y)]\partial_j v_i + \frac{R_g[\exp_{y_i}(y)]}{[u_i(y_i)]^4} v_i = V_i {v_i}^5,$$

By elleptic estimates and Ascoli, Ladyzenskaya theorems, $(v_i)_i$ converge uniformely on each compact to the function v solution on $\mathbb{R}^3$ of,

$$\Delta v = V(x_0) v^5, \ v(0) = 1, \ 0 \leq v \leq 1 \leq 2^{1/2},$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose $V(x_0) = 3$.

By using maximum principle, we have $v > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$, the result of Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck (see [C-G-S]) give, $v(y) = \left(\dfrac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{1/2}$. We have the same propreties for v_i in the previous paper [B2].

<u>Polar coordinates and "moving-plane" method</u>

Let,

$$w_i(t, \theta) = e^{1/2}\bar{u}_i(e^t, \theta) = e^{t/2} u_i o \exp_{y_i}(e^t \theta), \ \text{et} \ a(y_i, t, \theta) = \log J(y_i, e^t, \theta).$$

<u>Lemma 1:</u>

The function w_i is solution of:

$$-\partial_{tt} w_i - \partial_t a \partial_t w_i - L_\theta(y_i, e^t) + c w_i = V_i w_i^5,$$

with,

$$c = c(y_i, t, \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_t a - \lambda e^{2t},$$

<u>Proof:</u>

We write:

$$\partial_t w_i = e^{3t/2}\partial_r \bar{u}_i + \frac{1}{2} w_i, \ \partial_{tt} w_i = e^{5t/2}\left[\partial_{rr}\bar{u}_i + \frac{2}{e^t}\partial_r \bar{u}_i\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 w_i.$$

$$\partial_t a = e^t \partial_r \log J(y_i, e^t, \theta), \partial_t a \partial_t w_i = e^{5t/2}\left[\partial_r \log J \partial_r \bar{u}_i\right] + \frac{1}{2}\partial_t a w_i.$$

the lemma is proved.

Now we have, $\partial_t a = \dfrac{\partial_t b_1}{b_1}$, $b_1(y_i, t, \theta) = J(y_i, e^t, \theta) > 0$,

We can write,

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}}\partial_{tt}(\sqrt{b_1} w_i) - L_\theta(y_i, e^t) w_i + [c(t) + b_1^{-1/2} b_2(t, \theta)] w_i = V_i {w_i}^{N-1},$$

where, $b_2(t,\theta) = \partial_{tt}(\sqrt{b_1}) = \dfrac{1}{2\sqrt{b_1}}\partial_{tt}b_1 - \dfrac{1}{4(b_1)^{3/2}}(\partial_t b_1)^2$.

Let,

$$\tilde{w}_i = \sqrt{b_1}w_i.$$

<u>Lemma 2:</u>

The function $\tilde{w}_i$ is solution of:

$$-\partial_{tt}\tilde{w}_i + \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}(\tilde{w}_i) + 2\nabla_\theta(\tilde{w}_i).\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1}) + (c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2)\tilde{w}_i =$$

$$= V_i\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^2 \tilde{w}_i^5,$$

where, $c_2 = [\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\sqrt{b_1}) + |\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1})|^2]$.

<u>Proof:</u>

We have:

$$-\partial_{tt}\tilde{w}_i - \sqrt{b_1}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}w_i + (c + b_2)\tilde{w}_i = V_i\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^2 \tilde{w}_i^5,$$

But,

$$\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}(\sqrt{b_1}w_i) = \sqrt{b_1}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}w_i - 2\nabla_\theta w_i.\nabla_\theta\sqrt{b_1} + w_i\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}(\sqrt{b_1}),$$

and,

$$\nabla_\theta(\sqrt{b_1}w_i) = w_i\nabla_\theta\sqrt{b_1} + \sqrt{b_1}\nabla_\theta w_i,$$

we deduce,

$$\sqrt{b_1}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}w_i = \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}(\tilde{w}_i) + 2\nabla_\theta(\tilde{w}_i).\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1}) - c_2\tilde{w}_i,$$

with $c_2 = [\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}(\sqrt{b_1}) + |\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1})|^2]$. The lemma is proved.

<u>The "moving-plane" method:</u>

Let ξ_i a real number, and suppose $\xi_i \leq t$, we set $t^{\xi_i} = 2\xi_i - t$ and $\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta) = \tilde{w}_i(t^{\xi_i},\theta)$.

We have,

$$-\partial_{tt}\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^{t^{\xi_i}}\mathbb{S}_2}}(\tilde{w}_i) + 2\nabla_\theta(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}).\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1})\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + [c(t^{\xi_i}) + b_1^{-1/2}(t^{\xi_i},.)b_2(t^{\xi_i}) - c_2^{\xi_i}]\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} =$$

$$= V_i^{\xi_i}\left(\frac{1}{b_1^{\xi_i}}\right)^2 (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^5.$$

By using the same arguments than in [B], we have:

<u>Proposition 3:</u>

We have:

$$1)\ \ \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i,\theta) - \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i + 4,\theta) \geq \tilde{k} > 0,\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}_2.$$

For all $\beta > 0$, there exist $c_\beta > 0$ such than:

$$2)\ \ \frac{1}{c_\beta}e^{t/2} \leq \tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i + t,\theta) \leq c_\beta e^{t/2},\ \forall\ t \leq \beta,\ \forall\ \theta \in \mathbb{S}_2.$$

We set,

$$\bar{Z}_i = -\partial_{tt}(...) + \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}}(...) + 2\nabla_\theta(...).\nabla_\theta \log(\sqrt{b_1}) + (c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2)(...)$$

Remark: In the opertor $\bar{Z}_i$, by using the proposition 3, the coeficient $c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2$ verify:

$$c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2 \geq k' > 0, \text{ pour } t << 0,$$

it is fundamental if we want to apply Hopf maximum principle.

<u>Goal:</u>

Like in [B], we have elliptic second order operator, here it's $\bar{Z}_i$, the goal is to use the "moving-plane" method to have a contradiction. For this, we must have:

$$\bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) \leq 0, \text{ if } \tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i \leq 0.$$

We write:

$$\bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) = (\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^{t^{\xi_i}},\mathbb{S}_2}} - \Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}})(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}) +$$

$$+2(\nabla_{\theta,e^{t^{\xi_i}}} - \nabla_{\theta,e^t})(w_i^{\xi_i}).\nabla_{\theta,e^{t^{\xi_i}}} \log(\sqrt{b_1^{\xi_i}}) + 2\nabla_{\theta,e^t}(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}).\nabla_{\theta,e^{t^{\xi_i}}}[\log(\sqrt{b_1^{\xi_i}}) - \log\sqrt{b_1}] +$$

$$+2\nabla_{\theta,e^t}w_i^{\xi_i}.(\nabla_{\theta,e^{t^{\xi_i}}} - \nabla_{\theta,e^t})\log\sqrt{b_1} - [(c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2)^{\xi_i} - (c + b_1^{-1/2}b_2 - c_2)]\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} +$$

$$+V_i^{\xi_i}\left(\frac{1}{b_1^{\xi_i}}\right)^2(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^5 - V_i\left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^2\tilde{w}_i^5. \qquad (***1)$$

Clearly, we have:

<u>Lemma 3 :</u>

$$b_1(y_i,t,\theta) = 1 - \frac{1}{3}Ricci_{y_i}(\theta,\theta)e^{2t} + \ldots,$$

$$R_g(e^t\theta) = R_g(y_i) + < \nabla R_g(y_i)|\theta > e^t + \ldots.$$

According to proposition 1 and lemma 3,

<u>Propostion 4 :</u>

$$\bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} - \tilde{w}_i) \leq V_i b_1{}^{(-2}[(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^5 - \tilde{w}_i^5] + 2(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^5|V_i^{\xi_i} - V_i| +$$

$$+C|e^{2t} - e^{2t^{\xi_i}}|\left[|\nabla_\theta\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}| + |\nabla_\theta^2(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})| + |Ricci_{y_i}|[\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i} + (\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^5] + |R_g(y_i)|\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}\right] + C'|e^{3t^{\xi_i}} - e^{3t}|.$$

<u>Proof:</u>

We use proposition 1, we have:

$$a(y_i,t,\theta) = \log J(y_i,e^t,\theta) = \log b_1, |\partial_t b_1(t)| + |\partial_{tt}b_1(t)| + |\partial_{tt}a(t)| \leq Ce^{2t},$$

and,

$$|\partial_{\theta_j}b_1| + |\partial_{\theta_j,\theta_k}b_1| + \partial_{t,\theta_j}b_1| + |\partial_{t,\theta_j,\theta_k}b_1| \leq Ce^{2t},$$

then,

$$|\partial_t b_1(t^{\xi_i}) - \partial_t b_1(t)| \leq C'|e^{2t} - e^{2t^{\xi_i}}|, \text{ sur }]-\infty, \log\epsilon_1] \times \mathbb{S}_2, \forall\, x \in B(x_0,\epsilon_1)$$

Locally,

$$\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_2}} = L_\theta(y_i,e^t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k(e^t,\theta)|}}\partial_{\theta^l}[\tilde{g}^{\theta^l\theta^j}(e^t,\theta)\sqrt{|\tilde{g}^k(e^t,\theta)|}\partial_{\theta^j}].$$

Thus, in $[0,\epsilon_1]\times U^k$, we have,

$$A_i=\left[\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}}\partial_{\theta^l}(\tilde g^{\theta^l\theta^j}\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}\partial_{\theta^j})\right]^{\xi_i}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}}\partial_{\theta^l}(\tilde g^{\theta^l\theta^j}\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}\partial_{\theta^j})\right](\tilde w_i^{\xi_i})$$

then, $A_i=B_i+D_i$ with,

$$B_i=\left[\tilde g^{\theta^l\theta^j}(e^{t^{\xi_i}},\theta)-\tilde g^{\theta^l\theta^j}(e^t,\theta)\right]\partial_{\theta^l\theta^j}\tilde w_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta),$$

and,

$$D_i=\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}(e^{t^{\xi_i}},\theta)}\partial_{\theta^l}[\tilde g^{\theta^l\theta^j}(e^{t^{\xi_i}},\theta)\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}(e^{t^{\xi_i}},\theta)]-\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}(e^t,\theta)}\partial_{\theta^l}[\tilde g^{\theta^l\theta^j}(e^t,\theta)\sqrt{|\tilde g^k|}(e^t,\theta)]\right]\partial_{\theta^j}\tilde w_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta),$$

we deduce,

$$A_i\le C_k|e^{2t}-e^{2t^{\xi_i}}|\left[|\nabla_\theta\tilde w_i^{\xi_i}|+|\nabla^2_\theta(\tilde w_i^{\xi_i})|\right],$$

If we take $C=\max\{C_i,1\le i\le q\}$ and if w use $(***1)$, we obtain proposition 4.
We have,

$$c(y_i,t,\theta)=\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2+\frac{n-2}{2}\partial_t a+R_g e^{2t},\qquad(\alpha_1)$$

$$b_2(t,\theta)=\partial_{tt}(\sqrt{b_1})=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{b_1}}\partial_{tt}b_1-\frac{1}{4(b_1)^{3/2}}(\partial_t b_1)^2,\qquad(\alpha_2)$$

$$c_2=[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_1}}\Delta_{g_{y_i,e^t,\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}}(\sqrt{b_1})+|\nabla_\theta\log(\sqrt{b_1})|^2],\qquad(\alpha_3)$$

Then,

$$\partial_t c(y_i,t,\theta)=\frac{1}{2}\partial_{tt}a+2e^{2t}R_g(e^t\theta)+e^{3t}<\nabla R_g(e^t\theta)|\theta>,$$

by proposition 1,

$$|\partial_t c_2|+|\partial_t b_1|+|\partial_t b_2|+|\partial_t c|\le K_1e^{2t},$$

Now, we consider the function, $\bar w_i(t,\theta)=\tilde w_i(t,\theta)-\dfrac{[u_i(y_i)]^{1/3}\times\min_M u_i}{2}e^t$, and $\lambda>2>0$.

For $t\le t_i=-(2/3)\log u_i(y_i)$, we have:

$$\bar w_i(t,\theta)=e^t\left[b_1(t,\theta)e^{-t/2}u_i o\exp_{y_i}(e^t\theta)-\frac{[u_i(y_i)]^{1/3}\times\min_M u_i}{2}\right]\ge$$

$$\ge e^t\frac{[u_i(y_i)]^{1/3}\times\min_M u_i}{2}>0,$$

We set, $\mu_i=\dfrac{[u_i(y_i)]^{1/3}\times\min_M u_i}{2}$.

We use proposition 3 and the same arguments than in [B], we obtain:

<u>Lemma 4:</u>
There exists $\nu<0$ such that for $\mu\le\nu$:

$$\bar w_i^\mu(t,\theta)-\bar w_i(t,\theta)\le 0,\ \forall\ (t,\theta)\in[\mu,t_i]\times\mathbb{S}_2,$$

We set, $\lambda_i=-2\log u_i(y_i)$, then,

<u>Lemma 5:</u>

$$\bar w_i(\lambda_i,\theta)-\bar w_i(\lambda_i+4,\theta)>0.$$

Proof of lemma 5:

Clearly:

$$\bar{w}_i(\lambda_i,\theta)-\bar{w}_i(\lambda_i+4,\theta)=\tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i,\theta)-\tilde{w}_i(\lambda_i+4,\theta)+\mu_i(e^4-1),$$

we deduce lemma 6 from proposition 3.

Let, $\xi_i=\sup\{\mu\leq\lambda_i+2,\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta)-\bar{w}_i(t,\theta)\leq 0,\ \forall\ (t,\theta)\in[\xi_i,t_i]\times\mathbb{S}_2\}$.

The real ξ_i exists (see [B]), if we use $(***2)$, we have:

$$\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta)+|\nabla_\theta\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta)|+|\nabla_\theta^2\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t,\theta)|\leq C(R),\ \ \forall\ (t,\theta)\in]-\infty,\log R]\times\mathbb{S}_2,$$

We can write:

$$\bar{Z}_i(\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i}-\bar{w}_i)=\bar{Z}_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i}-\tilde{w}_i)-\mu_i\bar{Z}_i(e^{2t^{\xi_i}}-e^{2t}),$$

$$-\bar{Z}_i(e^{2t^{\xi_i}}-e^{2t})=[1-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{2}\partial_t a-R_g e^{2t}+b_1^{-1/2}b_2-c_2](e^{2t^{\xi_i}}-e^{2t})\leq c_1(e^{t^{\xi_i}}-e^t),$$

with $c_1>0$, car $|\partial_t a|+|\partial_t b_1|+|\partial_{tt}b_1|+|\partial_{t,\theta_j}b_1|+|\partial_{t,\theta_j,\theta_k}b_1|\leq C'e^{2t}<1$, for t very small.

We use proposition 4, to obtain on, $[\xi_i,t_i]\times\mathbb{S}_2$,

$$\bar{Z}_i(\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i}-\bar{w}_i)\leq c_2[V_i(\tilde{w}_i^{\xi_i})^5-\tilde{w}_i^5]+|V_i^{\xi_i}-V_i|(w_i^{\xi_i})^5+[\mu_i c_1-C'(R)](e^{t^{\xi_i}}-e^t)\leq 0,$$

Like in [B], after using Hopf maximum principle, we have,

$$\sup_{\theta\in\mathbb{S}_2}[\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t_i,\theta)-\bar{w}_i(t_i,\theta)]=0.$$

We have:

$$\bar{w}_i^{\xi_i}(t_i,\theta_i)-\bar{w}_i(t_i,\theta_i)=0,\ \forall\ i.$$

We deduce,

$$[u_(y_i)]^{1/3}\times\inf_M u_i\leq c,\ \forall\ i.$$

References:

[Au] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag 1998.

[B] S.S Bahoura. Majorations du type $\sup u\times\inf u\leq c$ pour l'équation de la courbure scalaire sur un ouvert de $\mathbb{R}^n, n\geq 3$. J. Math. Pures. Appl.(9) 83 2004 no, 9, 1109-1150.

[B-L-S] H. Brezis, Yy. Li Y-Y, I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.

[BM] H.Brezis and F.Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up bihavior for solutions of $-\Delta u=Ve^u$ in two dimensions, Commun Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), 1223-1253.

[C-G-S], L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck. Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 369-402.

[CL1] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. L(1997) 0971-1017.

[CL2] A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).

[L,P] J.M. Lee, T.H. Parker. The Yamabe problem. Bull.Amer.Math.Soc (N.S) 17 (1987), no.1, 37 -91.

[L1] Yy. Li. Prescribing scalar curvature on $\mathbb{S}_n$ and related Problems. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 317 (1993) 159-164. Part I: J. Differ. Equations 120 (1995) 319-410. Part II: Existence and compactness. Comm. Pure Appl.Math.49 (1996) 541-597.

[L2] Yy. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the Method of Moving Planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).

[L-Z] Yy. Li, L. Zhang. A Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe equation in low dimensions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 20 (2004), no. 2, 133–151.

[L-Zh] Yy.Li, M. Zhu. Yamabe Type Equations On Three Dimensional Riemannian Manifolds. Commun.Contem.Mathematics, vol 1. No.1 (1999) 1-50.

[S] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = Ve^u$. C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PATRAS UNIVERSITY, 26500 PATRAS , GREECE
E-mail address: samybahoura@yahoo.fr, bahoura@ccr.jussieu.fr