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#### Abstract

The present work deals with the two-dimensional incompressible, laminar, steady-state boundary layer equations. First, we determine a family of velocity distributions outside the boundary layer such that these problems may have similarity solutions. Then, we examen in detail new exact solutions, called Pseudo-similarity, where the external velocity varies inversely-linear with the distance along the surface $\left(u_{e}(x)=u_{\infty} x^{-1}\right)$. The analysis shows that solutions exist only for a lateral suction. For specified conditions, we establish the existence of an infinite number of solutions, including monotonic solutions and solutions which oscillate an infinite number of times and tend to a certain limit. The properties of solutions depend on the suction parameter. Furthermore, making use of the fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme together with the shooting method, numerical solutions are obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the classical two-dimensional laminar incompressible boundary layer flow past a wedge or a flat plate 28]. For the first approximation, the model is described by the Prandlt equations or the boundary layer equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \partial_{x} u+v \partial_{y} u=u_{e} \partial_{x} u_{e}+\nu \partial_{y y}^{2} u, \quad \partial_{x} u+\partial_{y} v=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x, y)$ denote the usual orthogonal Cartesian coordinates parallel and normal to the boundary $y=0$ (the wall), $u$ and $v$ are the corresponding velocity components, and the constant $\nu>0$ is the kinematic-viscosity. The function $u_{e}$ is a given exterior streaming velocity flow which is assumed throughout the paper to be nonnegative function of the single variable $x ; u_{e}=u_{e}(x)$, and is such that $u(x, y)$ tends to $u_{e}(x)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$. Equations (1.1) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{y} \psi \partial_{x y}^{2} \psi-\partial_{x} \psi \partial_{y y}^{2} \psi=u_{e} \partial_{x} u_{e}+\nu \partial_{y y y}^{3} \psi \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is the well-known stream function defined by $u=\partial_{y} \psi, v=-\partial_{x} \psi$.

[^0]This problem with appropriate external velocity flow has been the main focus of studies of particular exact solutions. Research on this subject has a long history, which dates to the pioneering works by Blasius [6] and Falkner and Skan [13] in which the external velocity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e}(x)=u_{\infty} x^{m} \quad\left(u_{\infty}>0\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their investigations lead to solutions to (1.2) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, y)=a x^{\alpha} f\left(b y x^{-\beta}\right), a, b>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{m+1}{2} \text { and } \beta=\frac{1-m}{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation for $f$ is the well-known equation obtained by Falkner-Skan [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{m+1}{2} f f^{\prime \prime}+m\left(1-f^{\prime 2}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad(0, \infty) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, if $m>-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f f^{\prime \prime}+\sigma\left(1-f^{\prime 2}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \quad(0, \infty) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma=\frac{2 m}{m+1}
$$

Such equations occur at wedge flows [28, p. 170]. These equations have received considerable attention in the literature. We refer the reader to the works of Rosenhead [27, Schlichting and Gersten 28], Weyl [30] and Coppel [11] and the references therein. Note that from (1.4) it is easily verified that,

$$
\psi(x, y)=\left(\frac{x}{x_{0}}\right)^{\alpha} \psi\left(x_{0}, y\left(\frac{x}{x_{0}}\right)^{-\beta}\right)
$$

This means that a solution $\psi(x, y)$ for $y$ fixed is similar to the solution $\psi\left(x_{0}, y\right)$ at a certain $x_{0}$. This solution is called invariant or similarity solution and the function $f$ is called the shape function or the dimensionless stream function.
The broad goals of this paper is to study equation (1.7) when taking the limit $\sigma \rightarrow-\infty$. This limit case, corresponding to $m=-1$, is that of flow in a two-dimensional divergent channel (or sink flow) [28, p. 170]. We prove that transformation (1.4) is much too restrictive; that is problem (1.1) has no similarity solution and we shall see, by rigouros arguments, that the term $\gamma \log (x)$ must be added to the expression (1.4) and the surface must be permeable with suction to obtain new exact solutions which are not similarity. In addition, we shall prove that there is an infinite number of solutions. These results are given in Section 3. Before this analysis, we shall identify, in Section 2, external flows, such that problem (1.2) may have similarity solutions. The main result of this section indicates that problem (1.2) has solutions under the form (1.4) if the external flow is of the power-law type (1.3).

## 2. Similarity solutions

In this section we shall obtain external flows such that the partial differential equation (1.2) accompanied by the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{y} \psi(x, y)=\partial_{y} \psi(x, \infty)=u_{e}(x), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution under the form (1.4), where $\alpha+\beta=1$.
The main problems, arising in the study of similarity solutions, are related to the existence of the exponents $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and to the rigorous study of the ordinary differential equation satisfied by the profile $f$ which is, in general, nonlinear. For the layer equation (1.2), the classical approach for identifying $\alpha$ and $\beta$ is the scaling and transformation group []. The essential idea is to seek $a$ and $b$ such that if $\psi$ satisfies (1.2) the new function $\psi_{\kappa}(x, y)=\kappa^{a} \psi\left(\kappa^{b} x, \kappa y\right)$ is also a solution.
Let $\psi$ be a stream-function to (1.2) defined by (1.4) where $\alpha+\beta=1$. Assume first that $\beta \neq 0$. We choose $a=-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, b=\frac{1}{\beta}$, and define $\psi_{\kappa}(x, y)=\kappa^{a} \psi\left(\kappa^{b} x, \kappa y\right)$. Hence $a+b=1, \psi \equiv \psi_{\kappa}$ and

$$
L\left(\psi_{\kappa}\right)(x, y)=\kappa^{a+3} L(\psi)\left(\kappa^{b} x, \kappa y\right)
$$

for any $\kappa>0$, where $L$ is the operator defined by

$$
L(\psi)=\partial_{y} \psi \partial_{x y}^{2} \psi-\partial_{x} \psi \partial_{y y}^{2} \psi-\nu \partial_{y y y}^{3} \psi .
$$

According to equation (1.2) we deduce

$$
h(x)=\kappa^{a+3} h\left(\kappa^{b} x\right),
$$

where $h(x)=u_{e}(x) \partial_{x} u_{e}(x)$. In particular, for fixed $x_{0}>0$

$$
h\left(\kappa^{b} x_{0}\right)=\kappa^{-(a+3)} h\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

Setting $x=\kappa^{b} x_{0}$ we infer

$$
h(x)=x^{-\frac{a+3}{b}} x_{0}^{\frac{a+3}{b}} h\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

Solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e} \frac{d u_{e}}{d x}=x^{-\frac{a+3}{b}} x_{0}^{\frac{a+3}{b}} h\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields us

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e}^{2}(x)=c_{1} x^{2 m}+c_{2}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x>0$, where $m=\alpha-\beta$ and $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants, for $\beta \neq 0$, since $-\frac{a+3}{b}+1=2(\alpha-\beta)$.
For $\beta=0$, hence $\alpha=m=1$, the new function

$$
\psi_{\kappa}(x, y)=\kappa^{a} \psi\left(\kappa^{-a} x, y\right)
$$

for any fixed $a \neq 0$, is equivalent to $\psi$ and satisfies

$$
L\left(\psi_{\kappa}\right)(x, y)=\kappa^{a} L(\psi)\left(\kappa^{-a} x, y\right)
$$

for any $\kappa>0$. Arguing as in the case $\beta \neq 0$ one arrives at (2.3) with $m=1$. Next, because $\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} x^{2 m} f^{\prime}\left(y x^{-\beta}\right)^{2}=c_{1} x^{2 m}+c_{2}$, the function $f^{\prime 2}$ has a finite limit at infinity, which is unique and is given by $c_{1}+c_{2} x^{-2 m}$. This is acceptable only for $c_{2}=0$.

The above result indicates, in particular, that for a prescribed external velocity satisfying (2.3) the real numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are given by (1.5) and condition (2.3) is necessary and sufficient to obtain similarity solution under the form (1.4) where $\alpha+\beta=1$. However, for a general external velocity, it is possible to obtain an exact solution which is not similarity solution as it is seen in [24]. In this paper the authors considered

$$
u_{e}(x)=c_{1} x^{1 / 3}+c_{2} x^{-1 / 3}
$$

A stream-function $\psi$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, y)=x^{2 / 3} f\left(y x^{-1 / 3}\right)+c x^{-\frac{1}{3}} y, \quad c=\text { const } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\alpha f f^{\prime \prime}-(\alpha-\beta) f^{\prime 2}=\xi, \quad \xi=\text { const } . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The pseudo-similarity solutions

In the present section we restrict the attention to the case $m=-1$ and get new solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{y} \psi \partial_{x y}^{2} \psi-\partial_{x} \psi \partial_{y y}^{2} \psi=\nu \partial_{y y y}^{3} \psi-u_{\infty}^{2} x^{-3} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{y} \psi(x, 0)=u_{w} x^{-1}, \quad \partial_{x} \psi(x, 0)=-v_{w} x^{-1}, \quad \partial_{y} \psi(x, \infty)=u_{\infty} x^{-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{w}$ is a real number ( $v_{w}>0$ for suction and $v_{w}<0$ for injection), $u_{w}$ and $u_{\infty}$ are nonnegative and satisfy $u_{w}<u_{\infty}$. The velocity distribution $u_{e}=\frac{u_{\infty}}{x}$ is found in the case of divergent channel (or sink flow) [28, p. 170]. The analysis of this section is motivated by the work by Magyari, Pop and Keller (26) concerning a boundary-layer flow, over a permeable continuous plane surface, where the $x$-component velocity tends to zero for $y$ large $\left(u_{\infty}=0\right)$. In 26] the authors showed that if $m=-1$ problem (1.2),(2.1) has no solution in the usual form (1.4). For $u_{\infty} \neq 0$ and according to Section 2, the function $\psi$ can be written as

$$
\psi(x, y)=\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}} f\left(\sqrt{\frac{u_{\infty}}{\nu}} y x^{-1}\right)
$$

Since $v_{w}=\frac{m+1}{2} \sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}} f(0)$ (see the Appendix) we deduce $v_{w}=0$ for $m=-1$ and the following oridinary differential equation for $f$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+f^{\prime 2}-1=0  \tag{3.3}\\
f^{\prime}(0)=\zeta, f^{\prime}(\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\zeta=\frac{u_{w}}{u_{\infty}}$ is in the interval $[0,1)$ and $f(0)$ can be any real number. Since this problem does not contain $f$ it is convenient to study the equation satisfied by $\theta=f^{\prime}$; that is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta^{\prime \prime}+\theta^{2}-1=0  \tag{3.4}\\
\theta(0)=\zeta, \theta(\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The stability of equilibrium point $(1,0)$ of $(3.4)$ cannot be determined from the linearization. To analyze the behavior of the nonlinear equation $(3.4)_{1}$, we observe that

$$
E^{\prime}(t)=0
$$

where $E$ is the Liapunov function given by

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \theta^{\prime}(t)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \theta(t)^{3}-\theta(t)
$$

Then, for some constant $c$, the following

$$
\theta^{\prime}= \pm \sqrt{2}\left(c+\theta-\frac{1}{3} \theta^{3}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

holds. The analysis of the algebraic equation of the phase path in the phase plane reveals that the equilibrium point $(1,0)$ is a center. Hence, Problem (3.4) has no solution for any $\zeta>-1$ except the trivial one $\theta=1$ (see Fig. 3.1).
Note that if we impose the condition $\theta(\infty)=-1$ instead of $\theta(\infty)=1$, which is also of physical interest, it is easy to see that, for any $\zeta \leq 2$, there exists a unique solution up to translation. This solution satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{2} \theta^{\prime}(t)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \theta(t)^{3}-\theta(t)=\frac{2}{3}
$$

and we find that

$$
\left.\theta(t)=2-3 \tanh ^{2}\left[ \pm t / \sqrt{2}+\operatorname{arctanh}\{(2-\zeta) / 3)^{1 / 2}\right\}\right]
$$



Fig. 3.1 Classification of solutions of $\theta^{\prime \prime}+\theta^{2}-1=0$ according to $\theta(0)$ and $\theta^{\prime}(0)$.
To obtain exact solutions to (3.1),(3.2), we look for "pseudo-similarity" solutions under the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, y)=a F\left(x, b y x^{-1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}}$ and $b=\sqrt{\frac{u_{\infty}}{\nu}}$. Assuming $F(x, t)=f(t)+H(x)$ one sees that (cf. Appendix)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\gamma f^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime 2}-1=0  \tag{3.6}\\
f^{\prime}(0)=\zeta, \quad f^{\prime}(\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
H(x)=\gamma \log x+c,
$$

where $\gamma=v_{w}\left(\nu u_{\infty}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ and $c$ is constant. Without loss of generality we may take $c=0$, since $\psi(x, y)=\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}} f(t)+v_{w} \log (x)+\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}} c$ satisfies (3.1) - (3.2) for any real number $c$. Note that $\gamma$ plays the role of suction/injection parameter.
To study (3.6) it is more convenience to consider the second ordinary differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta^{\prime \prime}+\gamma \theta^{\prime}+\theta^{2}-1=0  \tag{3.7}\\
\theta(0)=\zeta, \quad \theta(\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0 \leq \zeta<1$ and $\gamma \neq 0$. In fact, the real number $\gamma$ will be taken in $(0, \infty)$. The existence of solutions to (3.7) will be proved by means of shooting method. Hence, the boundary condition at infinity is replaced by the condition $\theta^{\prime}(0)=d$, where $d$ is a real number. For any $d$ the new initialvalue problem has a unique local solution $\theta_{d}$ defined in the maximal interval of the existence, say $\left(0, T_{d}\right), T_{d} \leq \infty$. We shall see that for an appropriate $d$ the solution $\theta_{d}$ is global and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{d}(\infty)=1 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple analysis in the phase plane shows that problem (3.6) may have solutions only for $\gamma>0$. In fact, the ordinary differential equation in (3.6) is considered as a nonlinear autonomous system in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with the unknown ( $\theta, \theta^{\prime}$ ), mainly

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta^{\prime}=\varphi  \tag{3.9}\\
\varphi^{\prime}=-\gamma \varphi+1-\theta^{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(0)=\zeta, \quad \varphi(0)=d . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear part of the above system at $(1,0)$ is the matrix

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-2 & -\gamma
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The eigenvalues of $J$ are

$$
\lambda_{1}=\frac{-\gamma-\sqrt{\gamma^{2}-8}}{2}, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{-\gamma+\sqrt{\gamma^{2}-8}}{2},
$$

if $\gamma \geq \sqrt{8}$ and for $|\gamma|<\sqrt{8}$,

$$
\lambda_{3}=\frac{-\gamma-i \sqrt{8-\gamma^{2}}}{2}, \quad \lambda_{4}=\frac{-\gamma+i \sqrt{8-\gamma^{2}}}{2} .
$$

Therefore, the hyperbolic equilibrium point $(1,0)$ is asymptotically stable if $\gamma$ is positive and unstable for negative $\gamma$. In particular problem (3.5) has no nontrivial solutions if $\gamma<0$. If $\gamma>0$ we deduce from the above that there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $d$ and $\zeta$ satisfying $d^{2}+(\zeta-1)^{2}<\delta^{2}$ the local solution $\theta_{d}$ is global and satisfies (3.8). In the following we construct solution to (3.6) where the condition $d^{2}+(\zeta-1)^{2}<\delta^{2}$ is not necessarily required. For a mathematical consideration the parameter $\zeta$ will be taken in $(-1, \sqrt{3}]$. The following result deals with nonnegative values of $\zeta$. Let us consider a real number $d$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2} \leq 2 \zeta\left(1-\frac{\zeta^{2}}{3}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \zeta \leq \sqrt{3}$. We shall see that any local solution of (3.9),(3.10) is global and satisfies (3.8). To this end we consider again the Liapunov function $E(\theta(t), \varphi(t))=\frac{1}{2} \varphi(t)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \theta^{3}-\theta$. Along an orbit we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E(\theta(t), \varphi(t))=-\gamma \varphi(t)^{2} \leq 0
$$

Hence

$$
E\left(\theta_{d}(t), \theta_{d}^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq E(\zeta, d),
$$

for any $t<T_{d}$. On the other hand, from (3.9) and (3.10), there exists $t_{0}>0$, small, such that $\theta_{d}$ is positive on $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$. Assume that $\theta_{d}$ vanishes at some $t_{1}>t_{0}$ and suppose that $\theta_{d}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \neq 0$. Because

$$
E(\zeta, d) \geq E\left(\theta_{d}(t), \theta_{d}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \theta_{d}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq t_{1}$. we deduce $\frac{1}{2} d^{2}>\zeta\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \zeta^{2}\right)$, which contradicts (3.11). Therefore $\theta_{d}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. In this case we deduce from the equation of $\theta_{d}$ that $\theta_{d}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=1$ and then $\theta_{d}$ is nonnegative on a some neighbourhood of $t_{1}$. Consequently the local solution is nonnegative as long as there exists. To show that $\theta_{d}$ is global we note that

$$
E(\zeta, d) \geq \frac{1}{2} \theta_{d}^{\prime}(t)^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \theta_{d}^{3}(t)-\theta_{d}(t) \geq-\frac{2}{3},
$$

for all $t \leq T_{d}$, since $\theta_{d}$ is nonnegative. Hence $\theta_{d}$ and (then) $\theta_{d}^{\prime}$ are bounded. Consequently $\theta_{d}$ is global. It remains to show that $\theta$ goes to unity at infinity. To this end we use the Bendixon Criterion. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the trajectory of $\left(\theta_{d}, \theta_{d}^{\prime}\right)$ in the phase plane $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ for $t \geq 0$ and let $\Gamma^{+}(\mathcal{T})$ be its $w$-limit set at infinity. From the boudedness of $\mathcal{T}$ it follows that $\Gamma^{+}(\mathcal{T})$ is a nonempty connected and compact subset of $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ ( see, for example [1], p 226]). Moreover $(-1,0) \notin \Gamma^{+}(\mathcal{T})$, since $\theta_{d}$ is nonnegative. Note that if $\Gamma^{+}(\mathcal{T})$ contains the equilibrium point $(1,0)$ then $\Gamma^{+}(\mathcal{T})=\{(1,0)\}$, since $(1,0)$ is asymptotically stable. Assume that $(1,0) \notin \Gamma^{+}(\mathcal{T})$, Applying the Poincaré-Bendixon Theorem [14, p 44] we deduce that $\Gamma^{+}(\mathcal{T})$ is a cycle, surrounding $(1,0)$. To finish, we shall prove the nonexistence of such a cycle. We define $P(\theta, \varphi)=\varphi, Q(\theta, \varphi)=-\gamma \varphi+1-\theta^{2}, \varphi=\theta_{d}^{\prime}$ and $\theta=\theta_{d}$. The function $(\theta, \varphi)$ satisfies the system $\theta^{\prime}=P(\theta, \varphi), \varphi^{\prime}=Q(\theta, \varphi)$. Let $D$ be the bounded domain of the $(\theta, \varphi)$-plane with boundary $\Gamma^{+}$. As $P$ and $Q$ are regular we deduce, via the Green-Riemann Theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{D}\left(\partial_{\varphi} Q+\partial_{\theta} P\right) d \varphi d \theta=\int_{\Gamma^{+}}(Q d \theta-P d \varphi)=0, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

thanks to the system satisfied by $(\theta, \varphi)$. But $\partial_{\varphi} Q+\partial_{\theta} P=\gamma$ which is positive. We get a contradiction. To complete our analysis, we shall determine a basin of the critical point ( 1,0 ). Let

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left\{(\zeta, d) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \zeta>-1, \frac{1}{2} d^{2}+\zeta\left(\frac{1}{3} \zeta^{2}-1\right)<\frac{2}{3}\right\} .
$$

Let us consider a one-parameter of family of curves defined by

$$
E(\theta, \varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{2}+\frac{1}{3} \theta^{3}-\theta=C,
$$

where $C$ is a real parameter. Note that, in the phase plane, this family is solution curves of system (3.4). The curve $\varphi^{2}=2 \theta-\frac{2}{3} \theta^{3}+\frac{4}{3}$, corresponding to $C=\frac{2}{3}$, goes through the point $(2,0)$ and has the saddle $(-1,0)(\gamma=0)$ as its $\alpha$ and $w$-limit sets. We note this solution curve by $\mathcal{H}$, which is, in fact, an homoclinic orbit and define a separatrix cycle for (3.4). We shall see that the bounded open domain with the boundary $\mathcal{H}$ is an attractor set for $(1,0)$ of system (3.9) where $\gamma>0$. This domain is given by $E(\theta, \varphi)=C, \theta>-1$, for all $-\frac{2}{3} \leq C<\frac{2}{3}$, which is $\mathcal{P}$. As $\frac{d}{d t} E \leq 0$ any solution, with initial data in $\mathcal{P}$ cannot leave $\mathcal{P}$. By LaSalle invariance principle we deduce that for any $(\zeta, d)$ in $\mathcal{P}$ the $w$-limit set, $\Gamma^{+}(\zeta, d)$ is a nonempty, connected subset of $\mathcal{P} \cap\{\varphi=0\}$, (see [1] p. 234]). However, if $\theta \neq 1, \varphi=0$ is a transversal of the phase-flow, so the $w$-limit set is $\{(1,0)\}$. This means that $\mathcal{P}$ is a basin of the critical point $(1,0)$ of $(3.9)$.


Fig. 3.2 A basin of attraction $\mathcal{P}$ of the critical point $(1,0)$.

## 4. Numerical results

In this section numerical solutions of the boundary-value problem (3.7) are obtained by using the fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme with the shooting method. We plot the dimensionless velocity $\theta$ in term of the similarity variable $t$, for various value of the shooting parameter $d$.


Fig. 4.1 Velocity profiles in terms of $d=\theta^{\prime}(0)$ for fixed $\zeta=0.2$ and $\gamma=0.5$


Fig. 4.2 Velocity profiles in terms of $d=\theta^{\prime}(0)$ for fixed $\zeta=0.2$ and $\gamma=2.83$

## 5. Conclusion

In this work the laminar two-dimensional steady incompressible, boundary layer flow past a moving plane is considered. It has been shown that the problem has solutions having a similarity form if the velocity distribution outside the boundary layer is proportional to $x^{m}$, for some real number $m$. In the second part of this paper, we are interested in question of existence of solutions in the case where the external velocity is the inverse-linear function; $m=-1$. This situation occurs in the case of sink flow. To obtain exact solutions the stream function $\psi$ is written under the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, y)=\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}} f(t)+v_{w} \log (x) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is shown that the ordinary differential equation satisfied by $f$ has multiple solutions for any $v_{w}$ positive and no solution can exist if $v_{w} \leq 0$. A sufficient condition for the existence is derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta>-1, \quad \frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(0)^{2}+\zeta\left(\frac{\zeta^{2}}{3}-1\right)<\frac{2}{3} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have obtained two family of solutions according to $\gamma=v_{w}\left(\mu u_{\infty}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. If $\gamma \geq \sqrt{8}, f^{\prime}$ is monotonic and goes to 1 at infinity, but if $0<\gamma<\sqrt{8}$, we have a stable spiral. The function $f^{\prime}$ oscillates an infinite number of times and goes to 1 . So if we are interested in solutions to (3.7) such that

$$
-1<f^{\prime}<1
$$

we must take $u_{w}, v_{w}$ and $u_{\infty}>0$ satisfying $-u_{\infty}<u_{w}<u_{\infty}$ and $v_{w}>\left(8 \nu u_{\infty}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
Condition (4.1) indicates also that for the same positive value of the suction parameter the permeable wall stretching with velocity $u_{w} x^{-1}, u_{w}>0$ has multiple boundary-layer flows. Every flow is uniquely determined by the dimensionless skin friction $f^{\prime \prime}(0)$ which can be any real number in the interval $\left(-\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}+2 \zeta\left(1-\zeta^{2} / 3\right)}, \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}+2 \zeta\left(1-\zeta^{2} / 3\right)}\right)$, where $\zeta=u_{w} u_{\infty}^{-1}$. The case $u_{\infty}=0$ was considered by Magyari, Pop and Keller [26]. The authors showed, by numerical solutions, that the boundary layer flow exists only for a large suction parameter ( $\gamma \geq 1.079131$ ).
The existence of exact solutions of the Falkner-Skan equation under the present condition was discussed by Rosenhead [27, pp. 244-246] who mentioned that these results may be obtained by rigorous arguments which, in fact, motivated the present work. We note, in passing, that it is possible to obtain solutions if the the skin friction satisfies $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|>\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}+2 \zeta\left(1-\zeta^{2} / 3\right)}$.

## Appendix

Let us now derive problem (3.5). We assume that the external velocity is given by $u_{e}(x)=u_{\infty} x^{m}$, where $m$ is not necessary equal to -1 . We recall that the stream function satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{y} \psi \partial_{x y}^{2} \psi-\partial_{x} \psi \partial_{y y}^{2} \psi=\nu \partial_{y y y}^{3} \psi+m u_{\infty}^{2} x^{2 m-1}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{y} \psi(x, 0)=u_{w} x^{m}, \quad \partial_{x} \psi(x, 0)=-v_{w} x^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, \quad \partial_{y} \psi(x, \infty)=u_{\infty} x^{m} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain exact solutions to (5.3),(5.4), we look for "pseudo-similarity" solutions under the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, y)=a x^{\alpha} F\left(x, b y x^{-\beta}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{m+1}{2}, \beta=-\frac{m-1}{2}, a=\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}}$ and $b=\sqrt{\frac{u_{\infty}}{\nu}}$. Inserting (4.4) into (4.2),(4.3) leads to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1+m}{2} F F^{\prime \prime}-m\left(F^{\prime 2}-1\right)+x\left(F^{\prime \prime} \partial_{x} F-F^{\prime} \partial_{x} F^{\prime}\right)=0  \tag{5.6}\\
F^{\prime}(x, 0)=\zeta, \quad F^{\prime}(x, \infty)=1 \\
\frac{1+m}{2} F(x, 0)+x \partial_{x} F(x, 0)=\frac{v_{w}}{\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the primes denote partial differential with respect to $t=\sqrt{\frac{u_{\infty}}{\nu}} y x^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$. By writing

$$
F(x, t)=f(t)+H(x)
$$

we find

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1+m}{2} f f^{\prime \prime}-m\left(f^{\prime 2}-1\right)+f^{\prime \prime}\left(x H^{\prime}+\frac{1+m}{2} H\right)=0  \tag{5.7}\\
\frac{1+m}{2} f(0)+\frac{1+m}{2} H(x)+x H^{\prime}(x)=\frac{v_{w}}{\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}}}, \quad f^{\prime}(0)=\zeta \in[0,1), \quad f^{\prime}(\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, there exists a real number $\gamma$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1+m}{2} f f^{\prime \prime}-m\left(f^{\prime 2}-1\right)+\gamma f^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad t>0  \tag{5.8}\\
x H^{\prime}+\frac{1+m}{2} H=\gamma, \quad x>0 \\
\frac{1+m}{2} f(0)+\gamma=\frac{v_{w}}{\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}}}, \quad f^{\prime}(0)=\zeta, \quad f^{\prime}(\infty)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

First, let us assume that $m \neq-1$. The solution $H$ is given by

$$
H(x)=c x^{-\frac{1+m}{2}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{1+m}
$$

where $c$ is a constant, and then $\psi(x, y)=a c+a x^{\frac{1+m}{2}}\left(f(t)+\frac{2 \gamma}{1+m}\right)$. The new function $g=f+\frac{2 \gamma}{1+m}$ satisfies the Falkner-Skan equation. Thereafter, we will assume that $m=-1$ and this leads to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}+\gamma f^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime 2}-1=0  \tag{5.9}\\
f^{\prime}(0)=\zeta, \quad f^{\prime}(\infty)=1, \gamma=\frac{v_{w}}{\sqrt{\nu u_{\infty}}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
H(x)=\gamma \log x+c, \quad c=\text { const } .
$$
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