



HAL
open science

Smooth critical sub-solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

Patrick Bernard

► **To cite this version:**

Patrick Bernard. Smooth critical sub-solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 2007, 14 (3), pp.503-511. hal-00014966v1

HAL Id: hal-00014966

<https://hal.science/hal-00014966v1>

Submitted on 30 Nov 2005 (v1), last revised 10 Jul 2008 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Smooth critical sub-solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

Patrick Bernard

december 2005

Abstract:

We establish the existence of smooth critical sub-solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation on compact manifolds for smooth convex Hamiltonians, that is in the context of weak KAM theory, under the assumption that the Aubry set is the union of finitely many hyperbolic periodic orbits.

Résumé:

On montre l'existence d'une sous-solution critique lisse de l'équation de Hamilton-Jacobi sur une variété compacte, pour un Hamiltonien convexe lisse, c'est à dire dans le contexte de la théorie KAM faible, lorsque l'ensemble d'Aubry est la réunion d'un nombre fini d'orbites périodiques hyperboliques.

Patrick Bernard

CEREMADE, UMR CNRS 7534

Université de Paris Dauphine

Pl. du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny

75775 Paris Cedex 16

France

`patrick.bernard@ceremade.dauphine.fr`

ccsd-00014966, version 1 - 30 Nov 2005

Let M be a compact manifold without boundary. A function $H(x, p) : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Tonelli Hamiltonian if it is C^2 and if, for each $x \in M$ the function $p \mapsto H(x, p)$ is convex with positive definite Hessian and superlinear on the fibre T_x^*M . A Tonelli Hamiltonian generates a complete Hamiltonian flow ψ_t . It is known that there exists one and only one real constant $\alpha(H)$ such that the equation

$$H(x, du_x) = \alpha(H) \tag{HJ}$$

has a solution in the viscosity sense. This equation, then, may have several solutions. These solutions are Lipschitz but, in general, none of them is C^1 . It is a natural question whether there exist sub-solutions of (HJ) which are more regular. In this direction, Fathi and Siconolfi proved the existence of a C^1 sub-solution, see [14]. More recently, I proved the existence of $C^{1,1}$ sub-solutions see [4]. Examples show that, in general, C^2 sub-solutions do not exist. In the present paper, we establish, under the additional assumption that the Aubry set is a finite union of hyperbolic orbits and hyperbolic fixed points, the existence of smooth sub-solutions. This answers a question asked several times to the author and to Albert Fathi during various conferences on weak KAM theory. This also provides a nice class of examples, and is a useful technical step for deeper studies of this class of examples, see [1] and other papers.

This paper was born from conversations which occurred during the Workshop on Conservative Dynamics in Rio, august 2005. I wish to thank Leonardo Marcarini for organizing this meeting, and also for participating in these conversations and encouraging me to write the present paper. I also thank Albert Fathi for listening patiently to my successive attempts of proving the existence of smooth sub-solutions. Gonzalo Contreras and Renato Iturriaga were also interested and motivating interlocutors, they proposed some simplifications in the proofs from which the present text takes advantage.

1 introduction

Let M be a compact Manifold and H a Tonelli Hamiltonian on T^*M . We denote by $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$ the canonical projection. We say that the function $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sub-solution of the equation

$$H(x, du_x) = c \tag{HJc}$$

if it is Lipschitz continuous and satisfies the inequality $H(x, du_x) \leq c$ at all its points of differentiability. It is equivalent to say that u is a sub-solution in the viscosity sense (see [12, 2, 6] and many other texts for a definition), or that u is Lipschitz and that the inequality above holds at Lebesgue almost every point. It is known, see [9] for example, that the set of real numbers c such that a sub-solution exists is of the form $[\alpha(H), \infty)$, for a given real number $\alpha(H)$. We take this as a definition of $\alpha(H)$, and call this number the Mañé critical value. If $c > \alpha(H)$, then the equation (HJc) has smooth sub-solutions, see [9]. It is known that $\alpha(H)$ is the only value of c such that the equation (HJc) has a solution in the viscosity sense, see [12], or [14].

A sub-solution of (HJ) is called strict at x if there exists a neighborhood U of x and a positive number δ such that the inequality

$$H(x, du_x) \leq \alpha(H) - \delta$$

holds at all point of differentiability x of the function u in U .

One of the main reasons why we pay a special attention to sub-solutions is that they are calibrators for the problem of minimization of the Lagrangian action. In order to be more precise, we associate to the Hamiltonian H the Lagrangian $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$L(x, v) = \max_{p \in T_x^*M} (p(v) - H(x, p)).$$

The function $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sub-solution of (HJc) if and only if the inequality

$$\int_S^T c + L(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}) dt \geq u(\gamma(T)) - u(\gamma(S)) \quad (1)$$

holds for all absolutely continuous curves $\gamma : [S, T] \rightarrow M$ (see [12]). Of course, having equality in this inequality implies that the curve γ is minimizing the action with fixed endpoints. Following Fathi, we say that the absolutely continuous curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, is calibrated by u (for (H, c)) if there is equality in (1) for all $[S, T] \subset I$. This implies that γ is C^2 and that there exists a Hamiltonian trajectory $(\gamma(t), p(t))$ above γ .

It is known, see [12], that there exist curves $\gamma \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, M)$ calibrated by u for (H, c) on the whole real line if and only if $c = \alpha(H)$. Given a sub-solution u of (HJ), we define the nonempty compact invariant set $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(H, u)$ as the union of the images of all the Hamiltonian trajectories of H whose projection on M are calibrated by u on the whole real line. We then define the Aubry set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H) := \bigcap_u \tilde{\mathcal{I}}(H, u),$$

where the intersection is taken on all sub-solutions of (HJ). It is known that this set is not empty. We denote by $\mathcal{I}(H, u)$ and $\mathcal{A}(H)$ the projections of $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(H, u)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ on M . These are compact and non-empty subsets of M . We are now ready to state our main result:

Theorem 1. *Let H be a C^k Tonelli Hamiltonian, $2 \leq k \leq \infty$. Assume that the Aubry set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ is a finite union of hyperbolic periodic orbits and hyperbolic fixed points. Then there exists a C^k sub-solution of (HJ) which is strict outside of the projected Aubry set $\mathcal{A}(H)$.*

2 Examples

2.1 Mechanical Hamiltonian system

Let us consider the case

$$H(x, p) = \frac{1}{2} \|p\|_x^2 + V(x)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_x$ is a Riemannian metric on M and V is a smooth function on M . Then it is easy to see that $\alpha(H) = \max V$, and that there exists a smooth sub-solution to (HJ): any constant function is such a sub-solution!

2.2 Non-existence of a C^2 sub-solution

Let us now specialise to $M = \mathbb{T}$, and consider the Hamiltonian

$$H_P(x, p) = \frac{1}{2}(p + P)^2 - \sin^2(\pi x)$$

depending on the real parameter P . For $P = 0$ this is a Mechanical system as discussed above, and the constants are sub-solutions of (HJ). Let $X(x) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function such that $X(x) = \sin(\pi x)$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. For $P = 0$, there is one and only one viscosity solution, and this solution is not differentiable. This solution is the primitive of the function $s(x)X(x)$, where $s(x) = 1$ on $[0, 1/2[$ and $s(x) = -1$ on $[1/2, 1]$.

Let us set

$$a = \frac{2}{\pi} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} X(x) dx.$$

For each smooth function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow M$ let us denote by P_f the average of f , and by F a primitive of $f - P_f$. It is not hard to see that, if we assume that $|f(x)| \leq X(x)$, then the function F is a

sub-solution of (HJ) for the value P_f of the parameter. We conclude that, for $P \in [-a, a]$, we have $\alpha(H_P) = 0$. In addition, for each $P \in]-a, a[$, the equation (HJ) has smooth sub-solutions. For these values of P , the Aubry set is the fixed point $(0, 0)$.

However, for $P = a$, there is one and only one sub-solution of (HJ), which turns out to be a solution. It is given by the primitive of the function $X - a$. This function is $C^{1,1}$ but not C^2 . Note that the Aubry set, then, is not reduced to the hyperbolic fixed point $(0, -a)$ but is the whole graph of $X - a$.

3 Some prelimineries

3.1 On Weak KAM Theory

We recall some important facts of Weak KAM theory and Aubry-Mather theory, see [18, 16, 7, 12] for the proofs. Let us begin with the definition of viscosity solutions.

Definition 1. *The function u is a viscosity solution of (HJc) if u is a sub-solution and if, for each $x \in M$, there exists a curve $\gamma_x \in C^2((-\infty, 0], M)$ which satisfies $\gamma(0) = x$ and which is calibrated by u for (H, c) .*

This definition, due to Fathi, is the one we will use. Fathi proves in [12] that it is equivalent to the standard definition of viscosity solutions. Recall that there exists a viscosity solution of (HJc) if and only if $c = \alpha(H)$.

An important observation of Weak KAM theory is that, if $\gamma(t) : [S, T] \rightarrow M$ is calibrated by a sub-solution u for (H, c) , then the function u is differentiable on $\gamma(]S, T[)$. In addition, if u is differentiable at $\gamma(t)$, $t \in [S, T]$, then $du_{\gamma(t)} = p(t)$, where $p(t)$ is such that $(\gamma(t), p(t))$ is the orbit of the Hamiltonian flow of H which projects on $\gamma(t)$.

The basic result of Aubry-Mather theory and weak KAM theory is the that there exists a Lipschitz section $X(x) : \mathcal{A}(H) \rightarrow T^*M$ over $\mathcal{A}(H)$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H) = X(\mathcal{A}(H))$. In other words, if $(\gamma(t), p(t)) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T^*M$ is a Hamiltonian trajectory, then it is equivalent to say that the curve γ is calibrated by all sub-solutions of (HJ) and to say that $\gamma(0) \in \mathcal{A}(H)$ and $p(0) = X(\gamma(0))$. All the sub-solutions of (HJ) are differentiable on $\mathcal{A}(H)$, and satisfy $du_x = X(x)$ at each point $x \in \mathcal{A}(H)$.

3.2 On hyperbolic periodic orbits and fixed points

We say that the fixed point P is a Hyperbolic fixed point if the linearized equation at P does not have any eigenvalue of zero real value. In this cas, the tangent space $T_P(T^*M)$ splits as the sum of two Lagrangian manifolds L^+ and L^- , the stable and unstable spaces, which are invariant by the linearized map, and such that the linearized flow on L^+ has only eigenvalues of positive real part, while the linearized flow on L^- has eigenvalues of negative real part.

Let now $P(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T^*M$ be a T - periodic orbit. We denote by \tilde{A} the image of this orbit, which is a simple closed curve in T^*M . For each point $P \in \tilde{A}$, we consider the linear endomorphism $d\psi_T(P)$ of $T_P(T^*M)$. This endomorphism has 1 as an eigenvalue with multiplicity at least two. We say that the orbit \tilde{A} is hyperbolic if, for each $P \in \tilde{A}$, the multiplicity of 1 as an eigenvalue of $d\psi_T(P)$ is exactly 2, and if the other eigenvalues have modulus different from one. Then, there exist, at each point $p \in \tilde{A}$ two Lagrangian subspace $W^+(P)$ and $W^-(P)$ of $T_P(T^*M)$, which are invariant under $d\psi_T(P)$ and such that the restriction of this linear map to $W^+(P)$ has all but one of its eigenvalues of modulus greater than one, and the restriction to $W^-(P)$ has all but one of its eigenvalues of modulus smaller than one. These subspaces depend continuously on P . In addition, the spaces $W^+(P)$ and $W^-(P)$ are transversal in the kernel of dH_P , which means that $W^+(P) + W^-(P) = \ker(dH_P)$. Finally, we have $W^+(P) \cap W^-(P) = \mathbb{R}Y(P)$, where Y is the Hamiltonian vectorfield.

If a hyperbolic fixed point or a hyperbolic periodic orbit is minimizing, in the sense that the orbit $\pi \circ P(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is minimizing the action with fixed endpoints on each compact interval, then the stable and unstable subspaces W^\pm are transversal to the vertical at each point. This is because they have to coincide with the so-called Green spaces, see [8], Proposition B. We then have:

Theorem 2. *If $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is either a hyperbolic fixed point or a hyperbolic periodic orbit, it has a C^{k-1} local unstable manifold W^+ , which is locally the graph of a C^{k-1} closed one-form. There exists a neighborhood \tilde{V} of \tilde{A} such that each point $P \in T^*M$ whose backward orbit is contained in \tilde{V} satisfies $P \in W^+$.*

4 Outline of the proof

Of central interest will be the notion of *sharp potential*.

Definition 2. *A sharp potential is a smooth function $V : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is null on $\mathcal{A}(H)$ and positive outside of this set.*

Given a function (and in particular a sharp potential) $V : \mathbb{T} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $H + V$ the Hamiltonian

$$(H + V)(x, p) = H(x, p) + V(x).$$

We will prove in section 5 the following general result:

Theorem 3. *Assume that H is a C^2 Tonelli Hamiltonian, then there exists a sharp potential V_0 such that, for all sharp potential $V \leq V_0$, we have $\alpha(H + V) = \alpha(H)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H + V) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$.*

Assume now that the Aubry set $\mathcal{A}(H)$ is the union of finitely many hyperbolic periodic orbits or fixed points. Then the Aubry set $\mathcal{A}(H + V)$ is the union of the same family of points and closed curves, which are also Hamiltonian orbits of $H + V$. In addition, the potential V in Theorem 3 can be chosen very flat on $\mathcal{A}(H)$, in such a way that the linearized Hamiltonian flow along these orbits is the same for H and $H + V$. As a consequence, the orbits remain hyperbolic as orbits of $H + V$. We will prove in Section 6:

Theorem 4. *Let H be a C^k Tonelli Hamiltonian, $2 \leq k \leq \infty$. Assume that the Aubry set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ is a finite union of hyperbolic periodic orbits or fixed points. Then there exists a solution of (HJ) which is C^k in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}(H)$.*

Applying Theorem 4 to the Hamiltonian $H + V$, we obtain a solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$H(x, du_x) + V(x) = \alpha(H + V) = \alpha(H)$$

which is smooth in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}(H + V) = \mathcal{A}(H)$. This function is then a sub-solution of (HJ) which is strict outside of the Aubry set and smooth in a neighborhood of the Aubry set. It is easy to see that this function can be smoothed out to a C^k sub-solution of (HJ). We have reduced the proof of Theorem 1 to the proof of Theorems 3 and 4. Note that smoothing in this kind of context has been done in [9], [13], Theorem 8.1, and [14], Theorem 9.2.

5 Sharp potentials and strict sub-solutions

This section is general. We work with an arbitrary Tonelli Hamiltonian and make no assumption on its Aubry set. We prove Theorem 3.

Proposition 3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. There exists a Lipschitz sub-solution which is strict at each point x of the complement of $\mathcal{A}(H)$.
2. There exists a sharp potential $V_0 : \mathbb{T} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that, for each sharp potential $V \leq V_0$, we have $\alpha(H + V) = \alpha(H)$.
3. There exists a sharp potential $V_0 : \mathbb{T} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that, for each sharp potential $V \leq V_0$, we have $\alpha(H + V) = \alpha(H)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H + V) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$.

PROOF. It is enough to prove that $2 \Rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 3$. Let us first assume 2. Let u be a Lipschitz sub-solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$H(x, du_x) + V(x) = \alpha(H + V) = \alpha(H). \quad (\text{HVJ})$$

Such a solution exists by the definition of $\alpha(H + V)$. Then it is clear that u is also a Lipschitz sub-solution of (HJ), which is strict outside of $\mathcal{A}(H)$.

Let us now assume 1. We have a Lipschitz sub-solution u which is strict outside of $\mathcal{A}(H)$, which means that the function $H(x, du_x)$ is bounded from below by a positive constant on each compact set disjoint from $\mathcal{A}(H)$. Then, there exists a sharp potential V_0 such that $2V_0(x) + H(x, du_x) \leq \alpha(H)$ at all point of differentiability of u . As a consequence, for each sharp potential $V \leq V_0$, the function u is a sub-solution of the equation

$$H(x, du_x) + V(x) = \alpha(H)$$

which is strict outside of $\mathcal{A}(H)$. This implies that $\alpha(H + V) = \alpha(H)$. In addition, the sub-solution u of (HVJ) being strict outside of $\mathcal{A}(H)$, there is no point of $\mathcal{A}(H + V)$ outside of $\mathcal{A}(H)$, or in other words $\mathcal{A}(H + V) \subset \mathcal{A}(H)$. We now claim that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H + V) \supset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$, which terminates the proof of the equality between the Aubry sets. Let us consider an orbit $(q(t), p(t)) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T^*M$ of the Hamiltonian flow of H which is contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$. This curve is also an orbit of the Hamiltonian flow of $H + V$, because V is flat on $\mathcal{A}(H)$. In order to prove that this orbit is contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H + V)$, it is enough to prove that the curve $q(t)$ is calibrated by all sub-solutions of (HVJ). This is true because the curve $q(t)$ is calibrated by all sub-solutions of (HJ), and because each sub-solution of (HVJ) is a sub-solution of (HJ). \square

This is relevant in view of:

Proposition 4. *The equivalent properties of Proposition 3 hold true for all Tonelli Hamiltonians.*

PROOF. The item 1 has been established by Fathi and Siconolfi in [14]. It was one of the key steps in the construction of a C^1 sub-solution. Another proof consists of establishing directly item 2. This is what we will do now. We shall first state without proof a Lemma which was introduced in an easier situation in [5], and has been proved by Daniel Massart [17] in the present setting:

Lemma 5. *Let U be an open set whose closure is disjoint from $\mathcal{A}(H)$. Then there exists a smooth function $W : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is positive on U and null outside of U , and such that $\alpha(H + aW) = \alpha(H)$ for all real numbers $a \in [0, 1]$.*

Let us now cover the complement of $\mathcal{A}(H)$ by a countable family U_n of open sets whose closure do not intersect $\mathcal{A}(H)$. Let W_1 be the function given by the Lemma applied on U_1 . Let W_2 be the function given by the Lemma applied to the Hamiltonian $H + W_1$ on U_2 . We define by recurrence the function W_n as the function given by the Lemma applied to the Hamiltonian

$H + W_1 + \cdots + W_{n-1}$ on U_n . Then for each sequence a_n of real numbers in $]0, 1]$, and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\alpha(H + a_1 W_1 + \cdots + a_n W_n) = \alpha(H).$$

We can assume that the sequence a_n is decreasing so fast that the sequence $a_1 W_1 + \cdots + a_n W_n$ is converging uniformly to a limit W , which is a continuous function positive outside of $\mathcal{A}(H)$. Let then V be a sharp potential such that $0 \leq V \leq W$. We claim that $\alpha(H + V) = \alpha(H)$. Indeed, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\epsilon + a_1 W_1 + \cdots + a_n W_n \geq W \geq V$$

but then we have, setting $S_n = a_1 W_1 + \cdots + a_n W_n$

$$\epsilon + \alpha(H) = \epsilon + \alpha(H + S_n) = \alpha(\epsilon + H + S_n) \geq \alpha(H + V) \geq \alpha(H).$$

Since this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain $\alpha(H + V) = \alpha(H)$ as desired. \square

We Mention that all the content of the present section remains true in the case of time-periodic Hamiltonian systems. Note that the proof we present of Proposition 4 can be transposed easily to this more general setting, while the original proof of Fathi and Siconolfi can not.

6 Existence of a locally smooth solution

We prove Theorem 4. In order to do so, we consider a C^k Tonelli Hamiltonian H , and assume that the Aubry set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$ is a finite union of hyperbolic periodic orbits or fixed points. Let us first recall, without proof, a useful result of Fathi, [12].

Proposition 6. *There exists a viscosity solution u of (HJ) such that $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(H, u) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$.*

The definition of the set $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(H, u)$ is given in the introduction. Let us fix from now on one of the viscosity solutions u given by Proposition 6, and prove that this viscosity solution satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 4. Let \tilde{A} be one of the connected components of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$, and A be its projection on M . Note that both A and \tilde{A} are either points or simple closed curves. It is enough to prove:

Proposition 7. *The function u is C^k in a neighborhood of A .*

PROOF. Let us call Γ_u the set of points $(q, p) \in T^*M$ such that the curve $q(t) = \pi \circ \psi_t(q, p)$ (where π is the projection on the base and ψ_t is the Hamiltonian flow) is calibrated by u on $(-\infty, 0]$. It is known that the function u is differentiable at a point x if and only if the set $\Gamma_u \cap T_x^*M$ contains only one point p , and then $d_x u = p$. We claim that the germ of Γ_u along A is equal to the germ of the local unstable manifold W^+ . It means that there exists a neighborhood V of A such that $A \cap V = W^+ \cap V$. Since W^+ is the graph of a C^{k-1} one-form, this implies the desired result. The claim follows from:

Lemma 8. *Let V be a compact neighborhood of A satisfying $V \cap \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H) = \tilde{A}$. There exists a neighborhood U of A such that all the curves $\gamma \in C^2((-\infty, 0], M)$ which are calibrated by u and satisfy $\gamma(0) \in U$ satisfy $(\gamma(t), \xi(t)) \in V$ for all $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, where $(\gamma(t), \xi(t))$ is the Hamiltonian trajectory lifting γ .*

PROOF. Assume that the conclusion does not hold. Then, there exists a sequence $(\gamma_n(t), \xi_n(t))$ of trajectories in $C^1((-\infty, 0], T^*M)$ such that the curves γ_n are calibrated on $(-\infty, 0]$, and such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\gamma_n(0), A) = 0$, and a sequence $-T_n \leq 0$ of times such that $\gamma_n(-T_n) \in \partial V$ (the boundary of V). Let $(q_n, p_n) : (-\infty, T_n] \rightarrow T^*M$ be the trajectory $(q_n(t), p_n(t)) =$

$(\gamma_n(t - T_n), \xi_n(t - T_n))$. We can assume by taking a subsequence that the sequence $(q_n(t), p_n(t))$ converges uniformly on compact sets to a limit trajectory $(q(t), p(t)) : I \rightarrow M$, where I is either an interval of the form $(-\infty, T]$ or is \mathbb{R} . It is easy to see that the curve $q(t)$ is calibrated by u , and that $(q(0), p(0)) \in \partial V$, hence $(q(0), p(0)) \notin \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$.

If $I = (-\infty, T]$, then we have $q(T) \in A$ and, since u is differentiable on A , we have $p(T) = du_{q(T)} = X(q(T))$, where $X(q(t)) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H) \cap T_{q(t)}^* M$. But this implies that the curve $(q(t), p(t))$ is contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$, which is a contradiction.

If $I = \mathbb{R}$, then $(q(0), p(0)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}(H, u)$, which is in contradiction with the fact that $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}(H, u) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(H)$. □

This ends the proof of Theorem 4. □

References

- [1] N. Anantharaman; R. Iturriaga; P. Padilla and H. Sánchez-Morgado, *Physical solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **5** (2005), no. 3, 513–528.
- [2] G. Barles, Solutions de viscosité des équations de Hamilton-Jacobi. (French) [Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations] Mathématiques et Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics and Applications], **17**. Springer-Verlag, Paris, 1994.
- [3] P. Bernard, *Connecting orbits of time dependent Lagrangian systems*. Ann. Inst. Fourier **52** (2002), 1533–1568.
- [4] P. Bernard, *Existence of $C^{1,1}$ critical sub-solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation on compact manifolds* preprint.
- [5] P. Bernard and B. Buffoni, *Optimal mass transportation and Mather theory*, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc..
- [6] P. Cannarsa and C. Sinestrari, Semiconcave functions, Hamilton-Jacobi equations and optimal control, *Progress in nonlinear differential equations and their applications*, Birkhäuser (2004).
- [7] G. Contreras, J. Delgado and R. Iturriaga, *Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits. II*. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), no. 2, 155–196.
- [8] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, *Convex Hamiltonians without conjugate points*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **19** (1999), no. 4, 901–952.
- [9] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, G. P. Paternain and M. Paternain, *Lagrangian graphs, minimizing measures and Mañé’s critical values*. GAFA **8** (1998), no. 5, 788–809.
- [10] A. Fathi, *Théorème KAM faible et théorie de Mather sur les systèmes lagrangiens*, (French) [A weak KAM theorem and Mather’s theory of Lagrangian systems] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. **324** (1997), no. 9, 1043–1046.
- [11] A. Fathi, *Solutions KAM faibles conjuguées et barrières de Peierls*. (French) [Weakly conjugate KAM solutions and Peierls’s barriers] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. **325** (1997), no. 6, 649–652.
- [12] A. Fathi, *Weak KAM Theorem in Lagrangian Dynamics*, Book to appear.
- [13] A. Fathi and E. Maderia, *A weak KAM theorem on non compact manifolds*, preprint.
- [14] A. Fathi and A. Siconolfi, *Existence of C^1 critical sub-solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), no. 2, 363–388.
- [15] A. Fathi and A. Siconolfi, *PDE aspects of Aubry-Mather theory for quasiconvex Hamiltonians*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **22** (2005), no. 2, 185–228.
- [16] R. Mañé, *Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits*. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) **28** (1997), no. 2, 141–153.
- [17] D. Massart, *Vertices of Mather’s beta function 2*, preprint.

- [18] J. N. Mather, *Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, Math. Z. **207** (1991), 169-207.
- [19] J. N. Mather *Variational construction of connecting orbits*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **43** (1993), 1349-1368.