



HAL
open science

A note on the Grothendieck ring of the symmetric group

Cédric Bonnafé

► **To cite this version:**

Cédric Bonnafé. A note on the Grothendieck ring of the symmetric group. Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série I, Mathématique, 2006, 342, pp.533-538. hal-00013014v2

HAL Id: hal-00013014

<https://hal.science/hal-00013014v2>

Submitted on 30 Nov 2005

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A NOTE ON THE GROTHENDIECK RING OF THE SYMMETRIC GROUP

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ

ABSTRACT. Let p be a prime number and let n be a non-zero natural number. We compute the descending Loewy series of the algebra $\mathcal{R}_n/p\mathcal{R}_n$, where \mathcal{R}_n denotes the ring of virtual ordinary characters of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n .

Let p be a prime number and let n be a non-zero natural number. Let \mathbb{F}_p be the finite field with p elements and let \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group of degree n . Let \mathcal{R}_n denote the ring of virtual ordinary characters of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n and let $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n = \mathbb{F}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}_n = \mathcal{R}_n/p\mathcal{R}_n$. The aim of this paper is to determine the descending Loewy series of the \mathbb{F}_p -algebra $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$ (see Theorem A). In particular, we deduce that the Loewy length of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$ is $[n/p] + 1$ (see Corollary B). Here, if x is a real number, $[x]$ denotes the unique $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r \leq x < r + 1$.

Let us introduce some notation. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}_n$, we denote by $\bar{\varphi}$ its image in $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$. The radical of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$ is denoted by $\text{Rad } \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$. If X and Y are two subspaces of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$, we denote by XY the subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$ generated by the elements of the form xy , with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

Compositions, partitions. A *composition* is a finite sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ of non-zero natural numbers. We set $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r$ and we say that λ is a *composition of $|\lambda|$* . The λ_i 's are called the *parts* of λ . If moreover $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$, we say that λ is a *partition of $|\lambda|$* . The set of compositions (resp. partitions) of n is denoted by $\text{Comp}(n)$ (resp. $\text{Part}(n)$). We denote by $\hat{\lambda}$ the partition of n obtained from λ by reordering its parts. So $\text{Part}(n) \subset \text{Comp}(n)$ and $\text{Comp}(n) \rightarrow \text{Part}(n)$, $\lambda \mapsto \hat{\lambda}$ is surjective. If $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote by $r_i(\lambda)$ the number of occurrences of i as a part of λ . We set

$$\pi_p(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{r_i(\lambda)}{p} \right].$$

Recall that λ is called *p -regular* (resp. *p -singular*) if and only if $\pi_p(\lambda) = 0$ (resp. $\pi_p(\lambda) \geq 1$). Note also that $\pi_p(\lambda) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [n/p]\}$ and that $\pi_p(\hat{\lambda}) = \pi_p(\lambda)$. Finally, if $i \geq 0$, we set

$$\text{Part}_i^{(p)}(n) = \{\lambda \in \text{Part}(n) \mid \pi_p(\lambda) \geq i\}.$$

Young subgroups. For $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, let $s_i = (i, i + 1) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Let $S_n = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$. Then (\mathfrak{S}_n, S_n) is a Coxeter group. We denote by $\ell : \mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ the associated length function. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \text{Comp}(n)$, we set

$$S_\lambda = \{s_i \mid \forall 1 \leq j \leq r, i \neq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_j\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda = \langle S_\lambda \rangle$. Then $(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda, S_\lambda)$ is a Coxeter group: it is a standard parabolic subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n which is canonically isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_r}$. Note that

(1) \mathfrak{S}_λ and \mathfrak{S}_μ are conjugate in \mathfrak{S}_n if and only if $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\mu}$.

Date: November 30, 2005.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20C30; Secondary 05E10.

We write $\lambda \subset \mu$ if $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{S}_\mu$ and we write $\lambda \leq \mu$ if \mathfrak{S}_λ is contained in a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n conjugate to \mathfrak{S}_μ . Then \subset is an order on $\text{Comp}(n)$ and \leq is a preorder on $\text{Comp}(n)$ which becomes an order when restricted to $\text{Part}(n)$.

Let $X_\lambda = \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \forall x \in \mathfrak{S}_\lambda, \ell(wx) \geq \ell(w)\}$. Then X_λ is a cross-section of $\mathfrak{S}_n/\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. Now, let $\mathcal{N}_\lambda = N_{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$ and $W(\lambda) = \mathcal{N}_\lambda \cap X_\lambda$. Then $W(\lambda)$ is a subgroup of \mathcal{N}_λ and $\mathcal{N}_\lambda = W(\lambda) \times \mathfrak{S}_\lambda$. Note that

$$(2) \quad W(\lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{r_1(\lambda)} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{r_n(\lambda)}.$$

Recall that, for a finite group G , the p -rank of G is the maximal rank of an elementary abelian p -subgroup of G . For instance, $[n/p]$ is the p -rank of \mathfrak{S}_n . So

$$(3) \quad \pi_p(\lambda) \text{ is the } p\text{-rank of } W(\lambda).$$

If $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Comp}(n)$, we set

$$X_{\lambda\mu} = (X_\lambda)^{-1} \cap X_\mu.$$

Then $X_{\lambda\mu}$ is a cross-section of $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n / \mathfrak{S}_\mu$. Moreover, if $d \in X_{\lambda\mu}$, there exists a unique composition ν of n such that $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \cap {}^d\mathfrak{S}_\mu = \mathfrak{S}_\nu$. This composition will be denoted by $\lambda \cap {}^d\mu$ or by ${}^d\mu \cap \lambda$.

The ring \mathcal{R}_n . If $\lambda \in \text{Comp}(n)$, we denote by 1_λ the trivial character of \mathfrak{S}_λ and we set $\varphi_\lambda = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_\lambda}^{\mathfrak{S}_n} 1_\lambda$. Then, by (1), we have $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi_{\hat{\lambda}}$. We recall the following well-known old result of Frobenius:

$$(4) \quad (\varphi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \text{Part}(n)} \text{ is a } \mathbb{Z}\text{-basis of } \mathcal{R}_n.$$

Moreover, by the Mackey formula for tensor product of induced characters, we have

$$(5) \quad \varphi_\lambda \varphi_\mu = \sum_{d \in X_{\lambda\mu}} \varphi_{\lambda \cap {}^d\mu} = \sum_{d \in X_{\lambda\mu}} \widehat{\varphi_{\lambda \cap {}^d\mu}}.$$

Let us give another form of (5). If $d \in X_{\lambda\mu}$, we define $\Delta_d : \mathcal{N}_\lambda \cap {}^d\mathcal{N}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\lambda \times \mathcal{N}_\mu$, $w \mapsto (w, d^{-1}wd)$. Let $\tilde{\Delta}_d : \mathcal{N}_\lambda \cap {}^d\mathcal{N}_\mu \rightarrow W(\lambda) \times W(\mu)$ be the composition of Δ_d with the canonical projection $\mathcal{N}_\lambda \times \mathcal{N}_\mu \rightarrow W(\lambda) \times W(\mu)$. Then the kernel of $\tilde{\Delta}_d$ is $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda \cap {}^d\mu}$, so $\tilde{\Delta}_d$ induces an injective morphism $\tilde{\Delta}_d : W(\lambda, \mu, d) \hookrightarrow W(\lambda) \times W(\mu)$, where $W(\lambda, \mu, d) = (\mathcal{N}_\lambda \cap {}^d\mathcal{N}_\mu) / \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda \cap {}^d\mu}$. Now, $W(\lambda) \times W(\mu)$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n / \mathfrak{S}_\mu$ and the stabilizer of $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d \mathfrak{S}_\mu$ in $W(\lambda) \times W(\mu)$ is $\tilde{\Delta}_d(W(\lambda, \mu, d))$. Moreover, if d' is an element of $X_{\lambda\mu}$ such that $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d \mathfrak{S}_\mu$ and $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda d' \mathfrak{S}_\mu$ are in the same $(W(\lambda) \times W(\mu))$ -orbit, then $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda \cap {}^d\mu}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda \cap {}^{d'}\mu}$ are conjugate in \mathcal{N}_λ . Therefore,

$$(6) \quad \varphi_\lambda \varphi_\mu = \sum_{d \in X'_{\lambda\mu}} \frac{|W(\lambda)| \cdot |W(\mu)|}{|W(\lambda, \mu, d)|} \varphi_{\lambda \cap {}^d\mu},$$

where $X'_{\lambda\mu}$ denotes a cross-section of $\mathcal{N}_\lambda \backslash \mathfrak{S}_n / \mathcal{N}_\mu$ contained in $X_{\lambda\mu}$.

The descending Loewy series of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$. We can now state the main results of this paper.

$$\text{THEOREM A. If } i \geq 0, \text{ we have } (\text{Rad } \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n)^i = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Part}_i^{(p)}(n)} \mathbb{F}_p \bar{\varphi}_\lambda.$$

$$\text{COROLLARY B. The Loewy length of } \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n \text{ is } [n/p] + 1.$$

Corollary B follows immediately from Theorem A. The end of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Let $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Part}_i^{(p)}(n)} \mathbb{F}_p \bar{\varphi}_\lambda$. Note that

$$0 = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{([n/p]+1)} \subset \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{([n/p])} \subset \cdots \subset \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(1)} \subset \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(0)} = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n.$$

Let us first prove the following fact:

(♣) *If $i, j \geq 0$, then $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i)} \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(j)} \subset \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i+j)}$.*

Proof of (♣). Let λ and μ be two compositions of n such that $\pi_p(\lambda) \geq i$ and $\pi_p(\mu) \geq j$. Let $d \in X'_{\lambda\mu}$ be such that p does not divide $\frac{|W(\lambda)| \cdot |W(\mu)|}{|W(\lambda, \mu, d)|}$. By (6), we only need to prove that this implies that $\pi_p(\lambda \cap^d \mu) \geq i + j$. But our assumption on d means that $\tilde{\Delta}_d(W(\lambda, \mu, d))$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup of $W(\lambda) \times W(\mu)$. In particular, the p -rank of $W(\lambda, \mu, d)$ is greater than or equal to the p -rank of $W(\lambda) \times W(\mu)$. By (3), this means that the p -rank of $W(\lambda, \mu, d)$ is $\geq i + j$. Since $W(\lambda, \mu, d)$ is a subgroup of $W(\lambda \cap^d \mu)$, we get that the p -rank of $W(\lambda \cap^d \mu)$ is $\geq i + j$. In other words, again by (3), we have $\pi_p(\lambda \cap^d \mu) \geq i + j$, as desired. \square

By (♣), $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i)}$ is an ideal of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$ and, if $i \geq 1$, then $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i)}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$. Therefore, $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(1)} \subset \text{Rad } \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$. In fact:

(◇) $\text{Rad } \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(1)}$.

Proof of (◇). First, note that $\text{Rad } \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$ consists of the nilpotent elements of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$ because $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$ is commutative. Now, let φ be a nilpotent element of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n$. Write $\varphi = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Part}(n)} a_\lambda \bar{\varphi}_\lambda$ and let $\lambda_0 \in \text{Part}(n)$ be maximal (for the order \leq on $\text{Part}(n)$) such that $a_{\lambda_0} \neq 0$. Then, by (6), the coefficient of φ_{λ_0} in φ^r is equal to $a_{\lambda_0}^r |W(\lambda_0)|^{r-1}$. Therefore, since φ is nilpotent and $a_{\lambda_0} \neq 0$, we get that p divides $|W(\lambda_0)|$, so that $\lambda_0 \in \text{Part}_1^{(p)}(n)$ (by (3)). Consequently, $\varphi - a_{\lambda_0} \bar{\varphi}_{\lambda_0}$ is nilpotent and we can repeat the argument to find finally that $\varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(1)}$. \square

We shall now establish a special case of (5) (or (6)). We need some notation. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ is a composition of n' and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ is a composition of n'' , let $\alpha \sqcup \beta$ denote the composition of $n' + n''$ equal to $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$. If $1 \leq j \leq n$ and if $0 \leq k \leq [n/j]$, we denote by $\nu(n, j, k)$ the composition $(n - jk, j, j, \dots, j)$ of n , where j is repeated k times (if $n = jk$, then the part $n - jk$ is omitted). If $\lambda \in \text{Comp}(n)$, we set

$$M(\lambda) = \{0\} \cup \{1 \leq j \leq n \mid p \text{ does not divide } r_j(\lambda)\},$$

$$\mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \max M(\lambda),$$

$$J(\lambda) = \{0\} \cup \{1 \leq j \leq n \mid r_j(\lambda) \geq p\},$$

$$\mathbf{j}(\lambda) = \min J(\lambda)$$

and

$$\mathbf{jm}(\lambda) = (\mathbf{j}(\lambda), \mathbf{m}(\lambda)).$$

Let $I = \{0, 1, \dots, [n/p]\}$. Then $\mathbf{jm}(\lambda) \in I \times I$. Let us now introduce an order \preceq on $I \times I$. If $(j, m), (j', m')$ are two elements of $I \times I$, we write $(j, m) \preceq (j', m')$ if one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

$$(a) \ j < j'.$$

$$(b) \ j = j' \text{ and } m \geq m'.$$

Now, let $i \geq 1$ and let $\lambda \in \text{Part}_{i+1}^{(p)}(n)$. Let $(j, m) = \mathbf{jm}(\lambda)$. Then $\lambda = \widehat{\alpha \sqcup \nu_0}$, where α is a partition of $n - m - jp$ and $\nu_0 = \nu(m + jp, j, p)$. Let $\tilde{\lambda} = \alpha \sqcup (m + jp)$.

Then $\pi_p(\tilde{\lambda}) = i$ (indeed, $r_{m+jp}(\tilde{\lambda}) = 1 + r_{m+jp}(\alpha) = 1 + r_{m+jp}(\lambda)$ and, by the maximality of m , we have that p divides $r_{m+jp}(\lambda)$) and

$$(\heartsuit) \quad \bar{\varphi}_{\nu(n,j,p)} \bar{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}} \in \bar{\varphi}_{\lambda} + \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Part}_{i+1}^{(p)}(n) \\ \mathbf{jm}(\mu) \prec (j,m)}} \mathbb{F}_p \bar{\varphi}_{\mu} \right).$$

Proof of (\heartsuit) . Let $\nu = \nu(n, j, p)$. Since $\pi_p(\lambda) = i + 1 \geq 2$, there exists $j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lceil n/p \rceil\}$ such that $r_{j'}(\lambda) \geq p$. Then $j' \geq j$ (by definition of j), so $n \geq 2pj$. In particular, $n - pj > j$, so $W(\nu) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_p$. Now, if $m' > m$, then $r_{m'}(\alpha) = r_{m'}(\lambda)$, so

$$(\heartsuit') \quad \forall m' > m, r_{m'}(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Also

$$(\heartsuit'') \quad \forall l \neq m + jp, r_l(\tilde{\lambda}) = r_l(\alpha)$$

and

$$(\heartsuit''') \quad r_{m+jp}(\tilde{\lambda}) = r_{m+jp}(\alpha) + 1.$$

Now, keep the notation of (6). We may, and we will, assume that $1 \in X'_{\nu\tilde{\lambda}}$. First, note that $\nu \cap \tilde{\lambda} = \alpha \sqcup \nu_0$ and that the image of $\tilde{\Delta}_1$ in $W(\nu) \times W(\tilde{\lambda})$ is equal to $W(\nu) \times W(\alpha)$. But, by (\heartsuit') , (\heartsuit'') and (\heartsuit''') , the index of $W(\alpha)$ in $W(\tilde{\lambda})$ is $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Thus, by (6), we have

$$\bar{\varphi}_{\nu} \bar{\varphi}_{\tilde{\lambda}} = \bar{\varphi}_{\lambda} + \sum_{d \in X'_{\nu\tilde{\lambda}} - \{1\}} \frac{|W(\nu)| \cdot |W(\tilde{\lambda})|}{|W(\nu, \tilde{\lambda}, d)|} \bar{\varphi}_{\nu \cap d\tilde{\lambda}}.$$

Now, let d be an element of $X_{\nu\tilde{\lambda}}$ such that p does not divide $\frac{|W(\nu)| \cdot |W(\tilde{\lambda})|}{|W(\nu, \tilde{\lambda}, d)|} = x_d$

and such that $\mathbf{jm}(\nu \cap d\tilde{\lambda}) \succ \mathbf{jm}(\lambda)$. It is sufficient to show that $d \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu} \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$. Write $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$. Then

$$\nu \cap d\tilde{\lambda} = (n_1, \dots, n_r, n_0) \sqcup j^{(1)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup j^{(p)},$$

where $n_k \geq 0$ and $j^{(l)}$ is a composition of j with at most $r + 1$ parts. Since p does not divide x_d , the image of $\mathcal{N}_{\nu} \cap d\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ in $W(\nu)$ contains a Sylow p -subgroup of $W(\nu) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_p$. Let $w \in \mathcal{N}_{\nu} \cap d\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ be such that its image in $W(\nu)$ is an element of order p . Then there exists $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\nu}$ such that $w\sigma$ normalizes $\mathfrak{S}_{\nu \cap d\tilde{\lambda}}$. In particular, $\widehat{j^{(1)}} = \dots = \widehat{j^{(p)}}$. So, if $j^{(1)} \neq (j)$, then $\mathbf{j}(\nu \cap d\tilde{\lambda}) < \mathbf{j}(\lambda)$, which contradicts our hypothesis. So $j^{(1)} = \dots = j^{(p)} = (j)$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu = \nu(\alpha_1, j, k_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \nu(\alpha_r, j, k_r) \sqcup \nu(m + jp, j, k_0),$$

where $0 \leq k_i \leq p$ and $\sum_{i=0}^r k_i = p$. Note that $(n_1, \dots, n_r, n_0) = (\alpha_1 - k_1j, \dots, \alpha_r - k_rj, \alpha_0 - k_0j)$ where, for simplification, we denote $\alpha_0 = m + jp$. Also, $\mathbf{j}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) \leq j$ and, since $\mathbf{jm}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) \succ (j, m)$, we have that $\mathbf{m}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) \leq m$. Recall that $d^{-1}wd \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$. So two cases may occur:

- Assume that there exists a sequence $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq r$ such that $0 \neq k_{i_1} = \dots = k_{i_p}$ ($= 1$) and such that $\alpha_{i_1} = \dots = \alpha_{i_p}$. So $r_l(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) \equiv r_l(\tilde{\lambda}) \pmod{p}$ for every $l \geq 1$. In particular, $r_{m+jp}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) \equiv 1 + r_{m+jp}(\alpha) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ by (\heartsuit') and (\heartsuit''') . Thus, $\mathbf{m}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) \geq m + jp > m$, which contradicts our hypothesis.

- So there exists a unique $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ such that $k_i = p$. Consequently, $k_{i'} = 0$ if $i' \neq i$. If $\alpha_i > m + jp$, then $r_{\alpha_i}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) = r_{\alpha_i}(\tilde{\lambda}) - 1 = r_{\alpha_i}(\alpha) - 1$ (by (\heartsuit'')), so p does not divide $r_{\alpha_i}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu)$ (by (\heartsuit')), which implies that $\mathbf{m}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) \geq \alpha_i > m$,

contrarily to our hypothesis. If $\alpha_i < m + jp$, then $r_{m+jp}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu) = r_{m+jp}(\tilde{\lambda}) = r_{m+jp}(\alpha) + 1$ (by (\heartsuit''')), so p does not divide $r_{m+jp}(\tilde{\lambda} \cap d^{-1}\nu)$ (by (\heartsuit')), contrarily to our hypothesis. This shows that $\alpha_i = m + jp$. In other words, $d \in \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\mathcal{N}_{\nu}$, as desired. \square

By (\diamond) , Theorem A follows immediately from the next result: if $i \geq 0$, then

$$(\spadesuit) \quad \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(1)}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i)} = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i+1)}.$$

Proof of (\spadesuit) . We may assume that $i \geq 1$. By (\clubsuit) , we have $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(1)}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i)} \subset \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i+1)}$. So we only need to prove that, if $\lambda \in \text{Part}_{i+1}^{(p)}(n)$ then $\bar{\varphi}_\lambda \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(1)}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_n^{(i)}$. But this follows from (\heartsuit) and an easy induction on $\mathbf{jm}(\lambda) \in I \times I$ (for the order \preceq). \square

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BESANÇON, (CNRS - UMR 6623), UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: bonnafe@math.univ-fcomte.fr