



HAL
open science

Laguerre Process and Generalised Hartman-Watson Law

Nizar Demni

► **To cite this version:**

| Nizar Demni. Laguerre Process and Generalised Hartman-Watson Law. 2006. hal-00009439v3

HAL Id: hal-00009439

<https://hal.science/hal-00009439v3>

Preprint submitted on 28 Nov 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LAGUERRE PROCESS AND GENERALIZED HARTMAN-WATSON LAW

N. DEMNI*

* Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, Université de Paris VI, 4 Place Jussieu, Case 188, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, e-mail : demni@ccr.jussieu.fr

Key Words: Generalized Hartman-Watson law, Gross-Richards formula, Laguerre process, Special functions of matrix argument.

ABSTRACT. : In this paper, we study complex Wishart processes or the so-called *Laguerre processes* $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$. We are interested in the behaviour of the eigenvalue process, we derive some useful stochastic differential equations and compute both the infinitesimal generator and the semi-group. We also give absolute-continuity relations between different indices. Finally, we compute the density function of the so-called *generalized Hartman-Watson* law as well as the law of $T_0 := \inf\{t, \det(X_t) = 0\}$ when the size of the matrix is 2.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Real Wishart process is a symmetric matrix-valued process which was introduced by M.F.Bru (1989) as follows : Let $B_t = (B_{ij}(t))_{i,j}$ be a $n \times m$ Brownian matrix and define $X_t = B_t^T B_t$. The process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the following stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= B_t^T dB_t + dB_t^T B_t + nI_m dt \\ &= \sqrt{X_t} dN_t + dN_t^T \sqrt{X_t} + nI_m dt, \quad X_0 = B_0^T B_0 \end{aligned}$$

where I_m denotes the unit matrix, the superscript T stands for the transpose, $\sqrt{X_t}$ is the matrix square root of the positive matrix X_t and $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a $m \times m$ Brownian matrix. This process is called the Wishart process of dimension n , of size m , starting from X_0 and is denoted $W(n, m, X_0)$. Then, the $W(\delta, m, X_0)$ where δ runs over the Gindikin ensemble $\{1, \dots, m-1\} \cup]m-1, \infty[$ is defined as the unique solution of the latter SDE with δ instead of n . Thus, it can be viewed as an extension of the squared Bessel process to higher dimension. In this way, Donati et al. (2004) tried to extend some well known properties of the squared Bessel processes to the matrix case and derived expressions such as the Laplace transform and the tail distribution of some random variables, in which many multivariate special functions of symmetric matrix argument appear, such as Gamma, modified Bessel and hypergeometric functions (Muirhead 1982). However, the latter is quite complicated to deal with and to our knowledge, there are no more precise results on the law of these variables. Nevertheless, in the complex case, hypergeometric functions of Hermitian matrix argument can be expressed as a determinant of a matrix

Date: November 28, 2006.

whose entries are one-dimensional hypergeometric functions. In fact, Gross and Richards (1991) established the following result :

$${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q; X) = \frac{\det(x_i^{n-j} {}_p\mathcal{F}_q(a_1 - j + 1, \dots, a_p - j + 1, \dots, b_q - j + 1; x_i))}{V(X)}$$

where X is a $m \times m$ Hermitian matrix, (x_i) are its eigenvalues, ${}_p\mathcal{F}_q$ denotes the standard hypergeometric functions with scalar argument, $V(X) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant and ${}_pF_q$ is the hypergeometric function with Hermitian matrix argument defined by :

$${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q; X) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\tau} \frac{(a_1)_{\tau} \cdots (a_p)_{\tau} C_{\tau}(X)}{(b_1)_{\tau} \cdots (b_q)_{\tau} k!}$$

where $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)$ is a partition of length $\leq m$ and of weight k (i.e. $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \dots \geq \tau_m, \sum_i \tau_i = k$), $(a)_{\tau}$ is the generalized Pochhammer symbol and C_{τ} is the so-called zonal polynomial. We refer to Macdonald (1995) for further details and Lassalle (1991), (1991a) for analogous expressions for multivariate orthogonal polynomials. The determinantal representation above is due to the fact that the zonal polynomial is identified with the (normalized) *Schur functions* defined by :

$$s_{\tau}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{\det(x_i^{\tau_j + m - j})}{\det(x_i^{m - j})}$$

Consequently, one can use integral representations as well as other properties of standard hypergeometric functions to get, at least when $m = 2$, some results that are till now unknown in the Wishart case. The rest of this paper consists of seven sections, which are respectively devoted to the following topics: in section 2, we introduce the Laguerre process of integer dimension. In section 3, we study the behaviour of the eigenvalue process. Then, in section 4, we define the Laguerre process of positive real dimension. Section 5 is devoted to the absolute-continuity relations, from which we deduce the Laplace transform of the so-called *generalized Hartman-Watson* law as well as the tail distribution of T_0 , the first hitting time of 0. In section 6, we focus on the case $m = 2$ for which we invert this Laplace transform, and finally, in section 7, we compute the density of $S_0 := 1/(2T_0)$.

2. LAGUERRE PROCESS OF INTEGER INDEX

Let B be a $n \times m$ complex Brownian matrix starting from B_0 , i.e. $B = (B_{ij})$ where the entries B_{ij} are independent complex Brownian motions, so we can write $B = B^1 + iB^2$ where B^1, B^2 are two independent real Brownian matrices. We are interested in the matrix-valued process $X_t := B_t^* B_t$ which satisfies the following SDE :

$$dX_t = dB_t^* B_t + B_t^* dB_t + 2nI_m dt \quad (1)$$

Definition 1. $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is called the Laguerre process of size m , of dimension n and starting from $X_0 = B_0^* B_0$, and will be denoted by $L(n, m, X_0)$.

Remark 1. For $m = 1$, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a squared Bessel process of dimension $2n$, denoted by $BESQ(2n, X_0)$.

Remark 2. Set $X_t = (X_{ij}(t))_{i,j}$. One can easily check that

$$dX_{ii}(t) = 2\sqrt{X_{ii}(t)}d\gamma_i(t) + 2ndt \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

where $(\gamma_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ are independent Brownian motions, thus, X_{ii} is a $BESQ(2n, (X_0)_{ii})$.

Remark 3. The equation above implies that :

$$d(\text{tr}(X_t)) = 2\sqrt{\text{tr}(X_t)}d\beta_t + 2nm dt \quad (2)$$

where β is a Brownian motion. Consequently, $(\text{tr}(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a $BESQ(2nm, \text{tr}(X_0))$ of dimension $2nm$ starting from $\text{tr}(X_0)$. One can also deduce from (1) that for every $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$\langle dX_{ij}, dX_{kl} \rangle_t = 2(X_{il}\delta_{kj} + X_{kj}\delta_{il})dt,$$

which differs from equation (I-1-5) derived by Bru (1989) since for a complex Brownian motion γ , we have $d\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_t = 0$ and $\langle \gamma, \bar{\gamma} \rangle_t = 2t$.

2.1. Infinitesimal generator. Let H_m, \tilde{H}_m^+ be respectively the space of $m \times m$ Hermitian matrices and the space of $m \times m$ positive definite Hermitian matrices. On the space of Hermitian matrix-argument functions, we define the matrix-valued differential operators :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{jk}} \right)_{j,k}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{jk}} \right)_{j,k}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{jk}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{jk}} \right)_{j,k},$$

We also define:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)_{ij}^2 := \sum_k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_{ik} \partial z_{kj}}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)_{ij} := \sum_k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{ik} \partial y_{kj}}$$

Proposition 1. *Let functions f satisfying:*

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{ij}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{ji}}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{ij}} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{ji}} \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

Then, the infinitesimal generator of a Laguerre process $L(n, m, x)$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = 2n \text{tr}(\Re \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)) + 2[\text{tr}(x \Re \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2) + \text{tr}(y \Im \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2)] \quad (3)$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is the operator defined above.

Remark 4. Using the fact that $x^T = x$, $y^T = -y$ and $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA) = \text{tr}(B^T A^T)$ for any two matrices A and B , we can see that

$$\text{tr} \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y \right) = \text{tr} \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \Rightarrow \left(y \Im \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right) = 2 \text{tr} \left(y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

3. EIGENVALUES OF LAGUERRE PROCESS

In this section, we will suppose that $n \geq m$. The following result is due to König and O'Connell (2001), Katori and Tanemura (2004) and Bru in the real case (1989a) :

Theorem 1. *Let $\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_m(t)$ denote the eigenvalues of X_t . Suppose that at time $t = 0$, all the eigenvalues are distinct. Then, the eigenvalue process $(\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_m(t))$ satisfies the following stochastic differential system:*

$$d\lambda_i(t) = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i(t)} d\beta_i(t) + 2 \left[n + \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i(t) + \lambda_k(t)}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \right] dt \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad t < \tau,$$

where the $(\beta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ are independent Brownian motions and τ is defined by $\tau := \inf\{t, \lambda_i(t) = \lambda_j(t) \text{ for some } (i, j)\}$.

Remark 5. With the help of the SDE satisfied by the eigenvalues, we can compute the ones satisfied by both processes $(\text{tr}(X))$ and $(\det(X))$: the former is done. For the second, we find that for $t < T_0 := \inf\{t, \det(X_t) = 0\}$ and for $r \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\det(X_t)) &= 2 \det(X_t) \sqrt{\text{tr}(X_t^{-1})} d\nu_t + 2(n - m + 1) \det(X_t) \text{tr}(X_t^{-1}) dt \\ d(\log(\det(X_t))) &= 2 \sqrt{\text{tr}(X_t^{-1})} d\nu_t + 2(n - m) \text{tr}(X_t^{-1}) dt, \\ d(\det(X_t)^r) &= 2r(\det(X_t))^r \sqrt{\text{tr}(X_t^{-1})} d\nu_t + 2r(n - m + r)(\det(X_t))^r \text{tr}(X_t^{-1}) dt \end{aligned}$$

so, we can see that for $n = m$, $\log(\det(X))$ is a local martingale and so is $(\det(X))^{m-n}$.

Lemma 1. *Take $X_0 \in \tilde{H}_m^+$. Then for $n \geq m$, $X_t \in \tilde{H}_m^+$.*

Proof: In fact, this result is a direct consequence of the fact that for $n = m$, $\log \det(X)$ is a local martingale, and so is $(\det(X))^{m-n}$. Hence, for $n \geq m$, these two continuous processes tend to infinity when $t \rightarrow T_0$ which is possible only if $T_0 = \infty$, because every continuous local martingale is a time-changed Brownian motion. \square

Corollary 1. *If $\lambda_1(0) > \dots > \lambda_m(0)$, then, the process U defined by*

$$U(t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t))}, \quad t < \tau$$

is a local martingale.

Proof: We could follow the proof given by Bru (1989a) or make straightforward computations using the derivatives of the Vandermonde function. But we prefer use a result from König and O'Connell (2001): for $n \geq m$, the eigenvalue process is the V -transform (in the Doob sense) of the process obtained from m independent $BESQ(2(n - m + 1))$. Thus, if G and \hat{G} denote respectively the infinitesimal generators of these two processes, then, $G(h) = 0$ and, for all C^2 function f ,

$$\hat{G}(f) = \frac{1}{V} G(Vf) \Rightarrow \hat{G}(U) = \frac{1}{V} G(\mathbf{1}) = 0.$$

Corollary 2. *If at time $t = 0$, the eigenvalues of X are distinct, then, they will never collide, i.e. $\tau = \infty$ almost surely.*

Proof: This result follows from the fact that the continuous process U tends to infinity when $t \rightarrow \tau$ which is possible only if $\tau = \infty$ almost surely (We use the same argument as before). \square

3.1. Additivity Property. The proof of this result is similar to the one derived by Bru in the real case (1989):

Proposition 2. *If $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are two independent Laguerre processes $L(n, m, X_0)$ and $L(p, m, Y_0)$ respectively, then the process $(X_t + Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Laguerre process $L(n + p, m, X_0 + Y_0)$.*

Now, we introduce the Laguerre processes of noninteger dimensions δ .

4. LAGUERRE PROCESSES WITH NONINTEGER DIMENSIONS

Let X be a Laguerre process $L(n, m, X_0)$ with $n \geq m$. If $X_0 \in \tilde{H}_m^+$, and if $\sqrt{X_t}$ stands for the symmetric matrix square root of X_t , it is easy to show that the matrix O defined by $O_t := \sqrt{X_t}^{-1} B_t^*$, where $X_t = B_t^* B_t$, satisfies $O^* O = O O^* = I_m$. Thus,

$$d\gamma_t = O_t dB_t = \sqrt{X_t}^{-1} B_t^* dB_t$$

is a $m \times m$ complex Brownian matrix. Replacing this expression in (1), one obtains :

$$dX_t = \sqrt{X_t} d\gamma_t + d\gamma_t^* \sqrt{X_t} + 2n I_m dt$$

Theorem 2. *If (B_t) is a $m \times m$ complex Brownian matrix, then for every $X_0 \in \tilde{H}_m^+$ and for all $\delta \geq m$, the SDE*

$$dX_t = \sqrt{X_t} dB_t + dB_t^* \sqrt{X_t} + 2\delta I_m dt \quad (4)$$

has a unique strong solution in \tilde{H}_m^+ . Furthermore, if the eigenvalues are distinct at time $t = 0$, then they satisfy the stochastic differential system:

$$d\lambda_i(t) = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i(t)} d\beta_i(t) + 2 \left[\delta + \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i(t) + \lambda_k(t)}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \right] dt \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

where the $(\beta_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ are independent Brownian motions.

Proof: The proof of the second part of the theorem is the same as before with δ instead of n . So, we have to prove the first part. Note first that $(\det(X_t))$, $(\log \det(X_t))$ and $(\det(X_t)^r)$ verify the same SDE with δ instead of n . Hence, arguing as before, we can see that $T_0 = \infty$ almost surely. On the other hand, the map $a \mapsto a^{1/2}$ is analytic in \tilde{H}_m^+ (see Roger and Williams 1987, p. 134), so, the SDE has a unique strong solution for all $t \geq 0$. \square

Definition 2. Such a process is called the *Laguerre process* of dimension δ , size m and initial state X_0 . It will be denoted by $L(\delta, m, X_0)$.

Remark 6. Any process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ solution of (4) is a diffusion whose infinitesimal generator is given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = 2\delta \operatorname{tr}(\Re(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})) + 2[\operatorname{tr}(x\Re(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^2) + \operatorname{tr}(y\Im(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^2)]$$

Remark 7. A simple computation shows that

$$d\langle X_{ij}, X_{kl} \rangle_t = 2(X_{il}(t)\delta_{kj} + X_{kj}(t)\delta_{il})dt, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

Now, we focus on both existence and uniqueness when $\delta > m - 1$ and $X_0 \in H_m^+$ (see Bru (1989) for the real case).

4.1. The Process X^+ . If X is a Hermitian matrix, let X^+ be the Hermitian matrix $\max(X, 0)$. If we denote by (λ_i) the eigenvalues of X , then $(\lambda_i^+ = \max(\lambda_i, 0))$ are those of X^+ .

Theorem 3. For all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $X_0 = x \in H_m$, the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \sqrt{X_t^+} dB_t + dB_t^* \sqrt{X_t^+} + 2\delta I_m dt \quad (5)$$

has a solution in H_m .

Proof: The mapping $a \mapsto \sqrt{a^+}$ is continuous on H_m . Hence, X exists up to its explosion time (Ikeda and Watanabe 1989, theorem. 2. 3). Furthermore, from

$$\|\sqrt{X^+}\|^2 + \|\delta I\|^2 \leq \delta^2 + \|X\|^2 \leq C(1 + \|X\|^2),$$

we can deduce that this explosion time is infinite almost surely (Ikeda and Watanabe 1989, theorem 2. 4). \blacksquare

Proposition 3. If $\lambda_1(0) > \dots > \lambda_m(0) \geq 0$, then, for all $t < S := \inf\{t, \lambda_i = \lambda_j \text{ for some } (i, j)\}$, the eigenvalues of X^+ verify the following differential system:

$$d\lambda_i(t) = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i^+(t)} d\nu_i(t) + 2 \left(\delta + \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i^+(t) + \lambda_k^+(t)}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_k(t)} \right) dt, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

Proof: This differential system can be shown in the same way as in theorem 1 using :

$$\langle dX_{ij}, dX_{kl} \rangle_t = 2(X_{il}^+(t)\delta_{kj} + X_{kj}^+(t)\delta_{il})dt, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

Proposition 4. If $\lambda_1(0) > \dots > \lambda_m(0) \geq 0$, then, for all $\delta > m - 1$, $t > 0$, $\lambda_m(t) \geq 0$.

Proof: First, we note that $S = \infty$ almost surely. Indeed, one can easily show that the process U defined by :

$$U(\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_m(t)) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t))}$$

is a local martingale. For the proof, we follow in the same way as Bru (1989).

Theorem 4. If $\lambda_1(0) > \dots > \lambda_m(0) \geq 0$, then, for all $\delta > m - 1$, (4) has a unique solution in H_m^+ in the sense of probability law.

Proof: By Proposition 4, the solution of the SDE (5) remains positive for all $t > 0$, thus, it is a solution of (4). \square

Theorem 5. Let H_m^+ be the space of positive Hermitian matrices. Then, whenever the SDE (4) has a solution in H_m^+ , for fixed t , its distribution is given by its Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}_{X_0}(\exp(-\text{tr } u X_t)) = (\det(I_m + 2tu))^{-\delta} \exp(-\text{tr}(X_0(I_m + 2tu)^{-1} u)), \quad (6)$$

for all u in H_m^+ .

Proof: For $s \in H_m^+$, let $g(t, s) = \Delta_t^{-\delta} \exp(-V(t, s))$, where

$$\Delta_t = \det(I_m + 2ut), \quad W_t = (I_m + 2ut)^{-1} u, \quad V(t, s) = \text{tr}(sW_t),$$

First, note that $W \in H_m$. To proceed, we need a lemma :

Lemma 2. *The function g satisfies the heat equation: $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}g$ where \mathcal{L} is the infinitesimal generator of X .*

Proof of the lemma: If we write $s = x + iy$, then, using the fact that x is symmetric, y is skew-symmetric and W is Hermitian, we can see that $\text{tr}(sW_t) = \text{tr}(xM + iyN)$ where

$$M = \frac{W + \bar{W}}{2} \quad N = \frac{W - \bar{W}}{2}.$$

Observing that $M^T = M$ and $N^T = -N$, we can deduce that g satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1. Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} &= -g(2\delta \text{tr}(W_t) - 2\text{tr}(sW_t^2)) \\ \text{tr}\left(y \left(\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y \partial x} \right)\right) &= -ig \text{tr}(yW^2), \\ \text{tr}\left(x \left(\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2} \right)\right) &= g \text{tr}(x(M^2 + N^2)) = g \text{tr}(xW^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, noting that $\text{tr}(M) = \text{tr}(W)$, we obtain the equality. Now, we consider the process $(Z(t, X_t))$ defined by $Z(t, X_t) = g(t_1 - t, X_t)$ for all $t \leq t_1$ for fixed t_1 . From the lemma, we deduce that Z is a bounded local martingale and thus is a martingale. So, the result follows from a simple application of the optional stopping theorem. \blacksquare

Corollary 3. *Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Laguerre process $L(\delta, m, x)$ where $x \in \tilde{H}_m^+$. For $\delta > m - 1$, its semi-group is given by the following density:*

$$p_t^\delta(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2t)^{m\delta} \Gamma_m(\delta)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \text{tr}(x + y)\right) (\det y)^{\delta-m} {}_0F_1\left(\delta; \frac{xy}{4t^2}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{y > 0\}}$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure $dy = \prod_{p \leq q} dy_{pq}^1 \prod_{p < q} dy_{pq}^2$ where $y = y^1 + iy^2$ and ${}_0F_1$ is a hypergeometric function of Hermitian matrix argument (Chikuze 1976, Gross and Richards 1991).

Proof: In fact, this result can be easily deduced from the case where $\delta = n$ is integer, since, in this case, X_t is a non-central complex Wishart variable $W(n, 2tI_m, x)$ (James 1964) with density given by:

$$f_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2t)^{mn} \Gamma_m(n)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \text{tr}(x + y)\right) (\det y)^{n-m} {}_0F_1\left(n; \frac{xy}{4t^2}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{y > 0\}}$$

with respect to dy . Hence, taking δ instead of n and denoting by W_t this new variable (starting from x), we can see that : (we will use $|y|$ to denote $\det(y)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
E_x(e^{-\text{tr } uW_t}) &= \frac{1}{(2t)^{m\delta}\Gamma_m(\delta)} e^{-\frac{\text{tr}x}{2t}} \int_{y>0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \text{tr}((I+2ut)y)\right) |y|^{\delta-m} {}_0F_1(\delta; \frac{xy}{4t^2}) dy \\
&= \frac{2t^{m\delta}|x|^{-\delta}}{\Gamma_m(\delta)} e^{-\frac{\text{tr}x}{2t}} \int_{z>0} \exp(-2t \text{tr}(x^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I+2ut)x^{-\frac{1}{2}}z)) |z|^{\delta-m} {}_0F_1(\delta; z) dz \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{\text{tr}x}{2t}\right) |I+2ut|^{-\delta} \exp\left(\text{tr}\left(\frac{x}{2t}(I+2ut)^{-1}\right)\right) \\
&= |I+2ut|^{-\delta} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \text{tr}(x(I+2ut)^{-1}(I+2ut-I))\right) \\
&= |I+2ut|^{-\delta} \exp\left(-\text{tr}(x(I+2ut)^{-1}u)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to (6). ■

Remark 8. In the last proof, we used the change of variables $z = x^{1/2}yx^{1/2}$ which gives $dz = |x|^m dy$. For the second integral, see Faraut and Korányi (1994), proposition XV.1.3.

Remark 9. The expression of the semi-group extends continuously to the degenerate case, namely:

$$p_t^\delta(0_m, y) = \frac{1}{(2t)^{m\delta}\Gamma_m(\delta)} \exp\left(-\frac{\text{tr}(y)}{2t}\right) (\det y)^{\delta-m} \mathbf{1}_{\{y>0\}}$$

where 0_m denotes the null matrix.

Corollary 4. For $\delta > m - 1$, the semi-group of eigenvalue process is given by:

$$q_t(x, y) = \frac{V(y)}{V(x)} \det\left(\frac{1}{2t} \begin{pmatrix} y_j \\ x_i \end{pmatrix}^{\nu/2} e^{-\frac{(x_i+y_j)}{2t}} I_\nu\left(\frac{\sqrt{x_i y_j}}{t}\right)\right)$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m), y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ so that $x_1 > \dots > x_m > 0, y_1 > \dots > y_m > 0, \delta = m + \nu$ such that $\nu > -1$ and I_ν denotes the modified Bessel function (Lebedev 1972).

Proof: The expression of the semi-group can be computed using Karlin and MacGregor formula (1959) since, for $\delta > m - 1$, the eigenvalue process is the h -transform of the process consisted of m independent $BESQ(2(\delta - m + 1))$ conditioned never to collide, as stated by König and O'Connell (2001). Another proof is given by Pécché (2003, p. 68). Here, we will deduce the expression of $q_t(x, y)$ from $p_t(x, y)$ following Muirhead (1982), namely, by projection on the unitary group : we will use Weyl integration formula, then give a determinantal representation of hypergeometric functions of two matrix arguments. First, we state Weyl integration formula (Faraut 2006) in the complex case: for any Borel function f ,

$$\int_{H_m} f(A) dA = C_m \int_{U(m)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(uau^*) \alpha(du) (V(a))^2 da_1 \dots da_m,$$

where $C_m = \frac{\pi^{m(m-1)}}{\Gamma_m(m)}$, $U(m)$ is the unitary group, α is the normalized Haar measure on $U(m)$, $a = \text{diag}(a_i)$ and $A = uau^*$. Hence, the semi-group of the eigenvalue process is

given by (James 1964):

$$\begin{aligned}
q_t(x, y) &= C_m(V(y)^2) \int_{U(m)} p_t(\tilde{x}, u\tilde{y}u^*)\alpha(du) \\
&= \frac{C_m(V(y)^2)}{(2t)^{m\delta}\Gamma_m(\delta)} \prod_{i,j=1}^m e^{-\frac{x_i+y_j}{2t}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m y_j \right)^{\delta-m} \int_{U(m)} {}_0F_1(\delta; \frac{\tilde{x}u\tilde{y}u^*}{4t^2})\alpha(du) \\
&= \frac{\pi^{m(m-1)}(V(y)^2)}{(2t)^{m(m+\nu)}\Gamma_m(m)\Gamma_m(m+\nu)} \prod_{i,j=1}^m e^{-\frac{x_i+y_j}{2t}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m y_j \right)^\nu {}_0F_1(m+\nu; \frac{\tilde{x}}{4t^2}; \tilde{y}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{y} = \text{diag}(y_j)$, x is a positive definite matrix whose eigenvalues are x_1, \dots, x_m , ${}_0F_1$ in the third line is an hypergeometric function with two matrix arguments (Gross and Richards, 1991) and $\delta = m + \nu, \nu > -1$. Next, we need a lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $B, C \in H_m$ and let $(b_i), (c_i)$ be respectively their eigenvalues. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_pF_q((m+\mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, (m+\phi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; B, C) &= \pi^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}(p-q-1)} \Gamma_m(m) \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{(\Gamma(\mu_i+1))^m}{\Gamma_m(m+\mu_i)} \\
&\quad \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{\Gamma_m(m+\phi_j)}{(\Gamma(\phi_j+1))^m} \frac{\det({}_p\mathcal{F}_q((\mu_i+1)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, (1+\phi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; b_l c_f)_{l,f})}{V(B)V(C)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\mu_i, \phi_j > -1, 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q$.

Proof: Recall that the hypergeometric function of two matrix arguments is given by the following series:

$${}_pF_q((a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, (e_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; B, C) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p (a_i)_{\tau} C_{\tau}(B)C_{\tau}(C)}{\prod_{j=1}^q (e_j)_{\tau} C_{\tau}(I)k!},$$

It is well known that

$$C_{\tau}(B) = \frac{k!d_{\tau}}{(m)_{\tau}} s_{\tau}(b_1, \dots, b_m),$$

where s_{τ} is the Schur function and $d_{\tau} = s_{\tau}(1, \dots, 1)$ is the representation trace or degree (Gross and Richards 1991, Faraut 2006). Substituting in the series, one gets:

$${}_pF_q((m+\mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, (m+\phi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; B, C) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p (m+\mu_i)_{\tau} s_{\tau}(B)s_{\tau}(C)}{\prod_{j=1}^q (m+\phi_j)_{\tau} (m)_{\tau}},$$

Now, we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
(m+\mu_i)_{\tau} &= \prod_{r=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(\mu_i+m+k_r-r+1)}{\Gamma(\mu_i+m-r+1)} = \prod_{r=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(\mu_i+1+k_r+\delta_r)}{\Gamma(\mu_i+m-r+1)} \\
&= \pi^{m(m-1)/2} \frac{(\Gamma(\mu_i+1))^m}{\Gamma_m(m+\mu_i)} \prod_{r=1}^m (\mu_i+1)_{k_r+\delta_r}, \quad \delta_r = m-r
\end{aligned}$$

Doing the same for each $(m + \phi_j)_\tau$ and for $(m)_\tau$, we can see that:

$${}_pF_q((m + \mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, (m + \phi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; B, C) = \pi^\beta \Gamma_m(m) \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{(\Gamma(\mu_i + 1))^m}{\Gamma_m(m + \mu_i)} \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{(\Gamma(\phi_j + 1))^m}{\Gamma_m(m + \phi_j)}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau} \prod_{r=1}^m \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^p (\mu_i + 1)_{k_r + \delta_r}}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\phi_j + 1)_{k_r + \delta_r}} \right) \frac{s_\tau(B) s_\tau(C)}{\prod_{r=1}^m (1)_{k_r + \delta_r}}$$

where $\beta = \left(\frac{m(m-1)}{2} \right) (p-q-1)$. To get the desired result, we use the "Hua formula" (Faraut 2006) :

Lemma 4. *Given an entire function f , i.e. $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_k z^k$, then*

$$\frac{\det(f(b_i c_j))_{i,j}}{V(B)V(C)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau} \left(\prod_{r=1}^m e_{k_r + \delta_r} \right) \frac{s_\tau(B) s_\tau(C)}{s_\tau(I_m)}.$$

Thus, we get:

$${}_pF_q((m + \mu_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, (m + \phi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; B, C) = \pi^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}(p-q-1)} \Gamma_m(m) \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(\mu_i + 1)}{\Gamma_m(m + \mu_i)}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^q \frac{\Gamma_m(m + \phi_j)}{\Gamma(\phi_j + 1)} \frac{\det \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^p (\mu_i + 1)_k}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\phi_j + 1)_k} \frac{(b_l c_p)^k}{k!} \right)_{l,p}}{V(B)V(C)}$$

$$= \pi^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}(p-q-1)} \Gamma_m(m) \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(\mu_i + 1)}{\Gamma_m(m + \mu_i)} \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{\Gamma_m(m + \phi_j)}{\Gamma(\phi_j + 1)}$$

$$\frac{\det({}_p\mathcal{F}_q((\mu_i + 1)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, (1 + \phi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; b_l c_f)_{l,f}}{V(B)V(C)} \quad \square$$

For $p = 0$ and $q \geq 1$, it reads :

$${}_0F_q((m + \phi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; B, C) = \pi^{-\frac{m(m-1)}{2}(q+1)} \Gamma_m(m) \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{\Gamma_m(m + \phi_j)}{(\Gamma(\phi_j + 1))^m}$$

$$\frac{\det({}_0\mathcal{F}_q((1 + \phi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; b_l c_f)_{l,f}}{V(B)V(C)},$$

and similarly,

$${}_0F_0(B, C) = \frac{\Gamma_m(m)}{\pi^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}} \frac{\det(e^{b_l c_f})_{l,f}}{V(B)V(C)}$$

which can be viewed as Harish-Chandra formula for the "Itzykson-Zuber" integral (Collins 2003). We now proceed to the end of the proof. Taking $p = 0$, $q = 1$, $B = \frac{\tilde{x}}{4t^2}$, $C = \tilde{y}$, we get:

$${}_0F_1(m + \nu; \frac{\tilde{x}}{4t^2}; \tilde{y}) = \frac{(4t^2)^{m(m-1)/2} \Gamma_m(m + \nu) \Gamma_m(m)}{\pi^{m(m-1)} (\Gamma(\nu + 1))^m} \frac{\det({}_0\mathcal{F}_1((\nu + 1); \frac{x_i y_j}{4t^2}))}{V(x)V(y)}$$

The expression of $q_t(x, y)$ follows from a simple computation and from the fact that:

$$\frac{{}_0\mathcal{F}_1((\nu + 1); x_i y_j / 4t^2)_{i,j}}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} = \left(\frac{2t}{\sqrt{x_i y_j}} \right)^\nu I_\nu \left(\frac{\sqrt{x_i y_j}}{t} \right) \quad \blacksquare$$

Proposition 5. *The measure defined by $\rho(dx) = (\det(x))^{\delta-m} dx$ on \tilde{H}_m^+ is invariant under the semi-group, i. e, $\rho P_t = \rho$.*

Proof: Denote by P_t the semi-group of Laguerre process $L(\delta, m, x)$ for $\delta > m - 1$. Then, we have to show that

$$\int_{x>0} P_t f(x) \rho(dx) = \int_{y>0} f(y) \rho(dy) \quad f \in C_0(\tilde{H}_m^+).$$

This follows by a similar computation and the same arguments as in the proof of corollary 3. \blacksquare

Remark 10. For Wishart processes, it is easy to see that $\mu(dx) := (\det(x))^{\frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{m+1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} dx$ is invariant under the semi-group.

5. GIRSANOV FORMULA AND ABSOLUTE-CONTINUITY RELATIONS

The index $\nu > -1$ of a $L(\delta, m, x)$ is defined by $\nu = \delta - m$. In this section, we will discuss in the same way as in [] to derive absolute-continuity relations between different indices.

5.1. Positive Indices. Take a matrix-valued Hermitian predictable process H . Let Q_x^δ be the probability law of $L(\delta, m, x)$ for $\delta > m - 1$ and $x \in \tilde{H}_m^+$. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} L_t &= \int_0^t \frac{\operatorname{tr}(H_s dB_s + \overline{H_s} d\overline{B_s})}{2}, \\ \Phi_t &= \exp \left(L_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(H_s^2) ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

where B is a complex Brownian matrix under Q_x^δ . We can easily see that the process β defined by $\beta_t = B_t - \int_0^t H_s ds$ is a Brownian matrix under the probability

$$\mathbb{P}_x^H |_{\mathcal{F}_t} := \Phi_t \cdot Q_x^\delta |_{\mathcal{F}_t},$$

Furthermore, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution of

$$dX_t = \sqrt{X_t} d\beta_t + d\beta_t^* \sqrt{X_t} + (\sqrt{X_t} H_t + H_t \sqrt{X_t} + 2\delta I_m) dt. \quad (7)$$

For $H_t = \nu \sqrt{X_t}^{-1}$, (7) becomes

$$dX_t = \sqrt{X_t} d\beta_t + d\beta_t^* \sqrt{X_t} + 2(\delta + \nu) I_m dt,$$

so that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a $L(\delta + \nu, m, x)$ under \mathbb{P}_x^H . Thus, we proved that :

Theorem 6. *For $\delta > m - 1$,*

$$Q_x^{\delta+\nu} |_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp \left(\frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{X_s}^{-1} dB_s + \overline{\sqrt{X_s}^{-1}} d\overline{B_s}) - \frac{\nu^2}{2} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds \right) \cdot Q_x^\delta |_{\mathcal{F}_t}. \quad (8)$$

Proposition 6.

$$Q_x^{m+\nu}|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \left(\frac{\det(X_t)}{\det(x)} \right)^{\nu/2} \exp \left(-\frac{\nu^2}{2} \int_0^t \text{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds \right) \cdot Q_x^m|_{\mathcal{F}_t}. \quad (9)$$

Proof: We know that $\nabla_u(\det(u)) = \det(u)u^{-1}$, hence, $\nabla_u(\log(\det(u))) = u^{-1}$. Then, using the fact that for $\delta = m$, $(\log(\det(X_t)))$ is a local martingale, we get from Itô formula that:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\det(X_t)) &= \log(\det(X_0)) + \int_0^t \text{tr}(X_s^{-1}(\sqrt{X_s}dB_s + dB_s^* \sqrt{X_s})) \\ &= \log(\det(X_0)) + \int_0^t \text{tr}(\sqrt{X_s}^{-1}dB_s + \overline{\sqrt{X_s}^{-1}}dB_s). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From (9), it follows that:

Corollary 5.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_x^m \left(\exp \left(-\frac{\nu^2}{2} \int_0^t \text{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds \right) \middle| X_t = y \right) &= \frac{\det(y)^{-\nu/2} p_t^{m+\nu}(x, y)}{\det(x) p_t^m(x, y)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_m(m)}{\Gamma_m(m+\nu)} (\det(z))^{\nu/2} \frac{{}_0F_1(m+\nu, z)}{{}_0F_1(m, z)} \\ &:= \frac{\tilde{I}_\nu(z)}{\tilde{I}_0(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $z = \frac{xy}{4t^2}$.

Now, we state the following asymptotic result:

Corollary 6. *Let X be a Laguerre process $L(m, m, x)$, then, as $t \rightarrow \infty$:*

$$\frac{4}{(m \log t)^2} \int_0^t \text{tr}(X_s)^{-1} ds \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} T_1(\beta)$$

where T_1 is the first hitting time of 1 by a standard Brownian motion β .

Proof: From (9), we deduce that:

$$Q_x^m \left(\exp \left(-\frac{2\nu^2}{(m \log t)^2} \int_0^t \text{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds \right) \middle| X_t = ty \right) = \frac{\Gamma_m(m)}{\Gamma_m(m+2\nu/m \log t)} (\det(xy/4t))^{\nu/m \log t} \frac{{}_0F_1(m+2\nu/m \log t, xy/4t^2)}{{}_0F_1(m, xy/4t^2)}.$$

Noting that $(t^m)^{-\nu/m \log t} = e^{-\nu}$, and since both hypergeometric functions converge to 1 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain:

$$Q_x^m \left(\exp \left(-\frac{2\nu^2}{(m \log t)^2} \int_0^t \text{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds \middle| X_t = ty \right) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\nu}$$

Then, since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{m^2} p_t^m(x, 2y) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{-\operatorname{tr}(x)/2t}}{\Gamma_m(m)} e^{-\operatorname{tr}(y)} {}_0F_1\left(m, \frac{xy}{2t}\right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\operatorname{tr}(y)}}{\Gamma_m(m)}\end{aligned}$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned}Q_x^m\left(\exp\left(-\frac{2\nu^2}{(m \log t)^2} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds\right)\right) \\ &= \int_{y>0} Q_x^m\left(\exp\left(-\frac{2\nu^2}{(m \log t)^2} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds \mid X_t = y\right)\right) p_t^m(x, y) dy \\ &= \int_{y>0} Q_x^m\left(\exp\left(-\frac{2\nu^2}{(m \log t)^2} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds \mid X_t = ty\right)\right) t^{m^2} p_t^m(x, ty) dy \\ &\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\nu},\end{aligned}$$

by dominated convergence Theorem. ■

5.2. Negative Indices. Take $0 < a \leq \det(x)$. The same computation as in parag. 5.1 with $H_t = -\nu\sqrt{X_t}^{-1}$, $0 < \nu < 1$, shows that

$$Q_x^{m-\nu} \mid_{\mathcal{F}_{t \wedge T_a}} = \left(\frac{\det(x)}{\det(X_{t \wedge T_a})}\right)^{\nu/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu^2}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge T_a} \operatorname{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds\right) Q_x^m \mid_{\mathcal{F}_{t \wedge T_a}}$$

where $T_a := \inf\{t, \det(X_t) = a\}$. Letting $a \rightarrow 0$ and using the fact that $T_0 = \infty$ a.s under Q_x^m , we get :

$$\begin{aligned}Q_x^{m-\nu} \mid_{\mathcal{F}_{t \wedge T_0}} &= \left(\frac{\det(x)}{\det(X_t)}\right)^{\nu/2} \exp\left(\frac{\nu^2}{2} \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds\right) Q_x^m \mid_{\mathcal{F}_t} \\ &= \left(\frac{\det(x)}{\det(X_t)}\right)^{\nu} Q_x^{m+\nu} \mid_{\mathcal{F}_t}\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7. For all $t > 0$ and $0 < \nu < 1$,

$$Q_x^{m-\nu}(T_0 > t) = \frac{\Gamma_m(m)}{\Gamma_m(m+\nu)} \det\left(\frac{x}{2t}\right)^{\nu} {}_1F_1\left(\nu, m+\nu, -\frac{x}{2t}\right)$$

Proof: From the absolute-continuity relation above, we deduce that :

$$Q_x^{m-\nu}(T_0 > t) = Q_x^{m+\nu}\left(\left(\frac{\det(x)}{\det(X_t)}\right)^{\nu}\right),$$

On the other hand, using the expression of the semi-group, one has :

$$\begin{aligned}Q_x^{\delta}(\det(X_t)^s) &= (2t)^{ms} \frac{\Gamma_m(s+\delta)}{\Gamma_m(\delta)} {}_1F_1\left(-s; \delta; -\frac{x}{2t}\right) \\ &= (2t)^{ms} \frac{\Gamma_m(s+\delta)}{\Gamma_m(\delta)} \exp\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{x}{2t}\right)\right) {}_1F_1\left(\delta+s; \delta; \frac{x}{2t}\right)\end{aligned}$$

by Kummer relation (cf Th 7. 4. 3 in Muirhead 1982). Taking $s = -\nu$, we are done. ■

6. GENERALIZED HARTMAN-WATSON LAW

Henceforth, we will write \mathcal{F} to denote one-dimensional hypergeometric functions. We define the *generalized Hartman-Watson* law as the law of

$$\int_0^t \text{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds \quad \text{under} \quad Q_x^m(\cdot | X_t = y).$$

Its Laplace transform is given by:

$$Q_x^m(\exp\left(\frac{-\nu^2}{2} \int_0^t \text{tr}(X_s^{-1}) ds\right) | X_t = y) = \frac{\Gamma_m(m)}{\Gamma_m(m + \nu)} \det(z)^{\nu/2} \frac{{}_0F_1(m + \nu, z)}{{}_0F_1(m, z)} \quad (10)$$

$z = xy/4t^2$. Recall that for $m = 1$, this is the well-known Hartman-Watson law and that its density was computed by Yor (1980). Here, we will investigate the case $m = 2$. The Gross and Richards formula is written for $p = 0$ and $q = 1$:

$${}_0F_1(m + \nu, z) = \frac{\det(z_i^{m-j} {}_0\mathcal{F}_1(m + \nu - j + 1, z_i))}{V(z)},$$

where (z_i) denote the eigenvalues of z and $V(z) = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant . Noting that $\Gamma_m(m + \nu) = \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(m + \nu - j + 1)$, then :

$$(10) = \frac{\det(z_i^{(m-j)/2} I_{m+\nu-j}(2\sqrt{z_i}))}{\det(z_i^{(m-j)/2} I_{m-j}(2\sqrt{z_i}))}$$

Without loss of generality, we will take $t = 1$.

Proposition 8. *For $m = 2$, let $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ be the eigenvalues of \sqrt{xy} . Then, the density of the generalized Hartman-Watson law is given by :*

$$f(v) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} v \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty z \sinh(p\sqrt{1-z^2}) e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} z \cosh y} e^{-\frac{2(y^2 - \pi^2)}{v}} (\sinh y) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{v}\right) dz dy}{p\pi \sqrt{2\pi v^3} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u \cosh(pu\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_0(2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} ux) du dx},$$

for $v > 0$, where $p = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. Furthermore, if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 := \lambda$, then:

$$f(v) = \frac{4\lambda v e^{\frac{2\pi^2}{v}} \int_0^\infty g(y) e^{-\frac{2y^2}{v}} (\sinh y) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{v}\right) dy}{\pi^2 \sqrt{2\pi v^3} {}_1\mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}; 1; 2; \lambda^2\right)},$$

where

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_2(2\lambda \cosh y) + \mathbf{L}_2(2\lambda \cosh y)}{2\lambda \cosh y},$$

and \mathbf{L}_2 is the Struve function (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, 1994).

Proof: For $m = 2$, (10) becomes:

$$(10) = \frac{\lambda_1 I_{\nu+1}(\lambda_1) I_\nu(\lambda_2) - \lambda_2 I_{\nu+1}(\lambda_2) I_\nu(\lambda_1)}{\lambda_1 I_1(\lambda_1) I_0(\lambda_2) - \lambda_2 I_1(\lambda_2) I_0(\lambda_1)},$$

so, using the integral representations below (Brychkov, Marichev, Prudnikov 1986, p. 46) :

$$x(a I_{\nu+1}(ax) I_\nu(bx) - b I_{\nu+1}(bx) I_\nu(ax)) = (a^2 - b^2) \int_0^x u I_\nu(au) I_\nu(bu) du$$

with $x = 1, a = \lambda_1, b = \lambda_2$, and (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 1994, p. 734):

$$\frac{\pi}{2} I_\nu\left(\frac{a}{2}(\sqrt{b^2 + c^2} + b)\right) I_\nu\left(\frac{a}{2}(\sqrt{b^2 + c^2} - b)\right) = \int_0^a \frac{\cosh(b\sqrt{a^2 - x^2})}{\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} I_{2\nu}(cx) dx$$

where $a > 0, \Re(\nu) > -1$, with $a = 1, b = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u := pu$ et $c = 2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}u$, the numerator of (10) is then equal to:

$$\frac{2}{\pi}(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u \cosh(pu\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_{2\nu}(2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux) dudx.$$

Taking $\nu = 0$, the denominator is then equal to:

$$\frac{2}{\pi}(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u \cosh(pu\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_0(2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux) dudx.$$

Thus, (10) becomes:

$$\frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u \cosh(pu\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_{2\nu}(2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux) dudx}{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u \cosh(pu\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_0(2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux) dudx}$$

Now, we only have to use the integral representation of $I_{2\nu}$ (Yor 1980):

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2\nu}(2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux) &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_C e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux \cosh \omega} e^{-2\nu\omega} d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_C e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux \cosh \omega} \int_0^\infty \frac{2\omega e^{-\nu\omega^2/2}}{(2\pi v^3)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{2\omega^2}{v}} dv d\omega \end{aligned}$$

where C is the contour indicated in Yor (1980), hence, the density function is given by:

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{i\pi\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_C u\omega \frac{\cosh(pu\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} e^{2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux \cosh \omega} e^{-\frac{2\omega^2}{v}} dudx d\omega}{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u \cosh(pu\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_0(2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux) dudx} \mathbf{1}_{\{v>0\}}$$

We can simplify this expression by integrating over C to see that the numerator is equal to (Yor 1980):

$$\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}v}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty u^2 x \frac{\cosh(pu\sqrt{1-x^2})}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}ux \cosh y} e^{-\frac{2(y^2-\pi^2)}{v}} (\sinh y) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{v}\right) dudx dy$$

Setting $z = ux$, The numerator is written

$$\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}v}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \int_0^1 \int_0^u \int_0^\infty z \frac{u \cosh(p\sqrt{u^2-z^2})}{\sqrt{u^2-z^2}} e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}z \cosh y} e^{-\frac{2(y^2-\pi^2)}{v}} (\sinh y) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{v}\right) dudz dy,$$

that we can integrate with respect to u to get

$$\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}v}{p\pi\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty z \sinh(p\sqrt{1-z^2}) e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}z \cosh y} e^{-\frac{2(y^2-\pi^2)}{v}} (\sinh y) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{v}\right) dz dy.$$

Now, we prove the second part. In this case, $p = 0$ and we have to evaluate :

$$\frac{\lambda v e^{\frac{2\pi^2}{v}}}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty \frac{u^2 x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} e^{-2\lambda ux \cosh y} e^{-\frac{2y^2}{v}} (\sinh y) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{v}\right) dudx dy}{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u I_0(2\lambda ux)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dudx}$$

Setting $z = ux$, the numerator reads :

$$\frac{\lambda v e^{\frac{2\pi^2}{v}}}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty z \sqrt{1-z^2} e^{-2\lambda z \cosh y} e^{-\frac{2y^2}{v}} (shy) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{v}\right) dz dy,$$

Integration with respect to z yields (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 1994, p. 369):

$$\frac{\lambda v e^{\frac{2\pi^2}{v}}}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi v^3}} \int_0^\infty g(y) e^{-\frac{2y^2}{v}} (shy) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{v}\right) dy$$

For the denominator, we use the fact that $\frac{d}{dz}(zI_1(z)) = zI_0(z)$, which yields:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{uI_0(2\lambda ux)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} du dx = \int_0^1 \frac{I_1(2\lambda x)}{2\lambda x \sqrt{1-x^2}} dx$$

Then, the following formula

$$\int_0^a x^{\alpha-1} (a^2 - x^2)^{\beta-1} I_\nu(cx) dx = 2^{-\nu-1} a^{2\beta+\alpha+\nu-2} c^\nu \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma((\alpha+\nu)/2)}{\Gamma(\beta+(\alpha+\nu)/2)\Gamma(\nu+1)} \quad (11)$$

$${}_1\mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\alpha+\nu}{2}; \beta + \frac{\alpha+\nu}{2}; \nu+1; \frac{a^2c^2}{4}\right)$$

taken with $\alpha = 0, a = 1, \beta = 1/2, c = 2\lambda, \nu = 1$ gives:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{I_1(2\lambda x)}{2\lambda x \sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \frac{\pi}{4} {}_1\mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}; 1; 2; \lambda^2\right)$$

We can proceed differently : let $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + h$ then (10) reads:

$$\frac{((\lambda_2 + h)I_{\nu+1}(\lambda_2 + h)I_\nu(\lambda_2) - \lambda_2 I_{\nu+1}(\lambda_2)I_\nu(\lambda_2 + h))/h}{((\lambda_2 + h)I_1(\lambda_2 + h)I_0(\lambda_2) - \lambda_2 I_1(\lambda_2)I_0(\lambda_2 + h))/h}$$

Next, we let $h \rightarrow 0$. As usual, we first compute the numerator and then take $\nu = 0$. To do this, we shall evaluate :

$$A = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\lambda_2 + h)I_{\nu+1}(\lambda_2 + h) - \lambda_2 I_{\nu+1}(\lambda_2)}{h}$$

$$B = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_\nu(\lambda_2 + h) - I_\nu(\lambda_2)}{h}$$

which are equal respectively to $\frac{d}{dx}(xI_{\nu+1}(x))$ and $\frac{d}{dx}(I_\nu(x))$ taken for $x = \lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

Using the differentiation formula $\frac{d}{dx}(x^\nu I_\nu(x)) = x^\nu I_{\nu-1}(x)$ (Lebedev 1972, p. 110), we get:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(xI_{\nu+1}(x)) = -\nu I_{\nu+1}(x) + xI_\nu(x), \quad \frac{d}{dx}(I_\nu(x)) = -\frac{\nu}{x}I_\nu(x) + I_{\nu-1}(x),$$

thus:

$$N = I_\nu(\lambda)(-\nu I_{\nu+1}(\lambda) + \lambda I_\nu(\lambda)) - \lambda I_{\nu+1}(\lambda)(-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}I_\nu(\lambda) + I_{\nu-1}(\lambda))$$

$$= \lambda(I_\nu^2(\lambda) - I_{\nu+1}(\lambda)I_{\nu-1}(\lambda))$$

$$A = \frac{I_\nu^2(\lambda) - I_{\nu+1}(\lambda)I_{\nu-1}(\lambda)}{I_0^2(\lambda) - I_1(\lambda)I_{-1}(\lambda)}$$

Using the integral representation below (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 1994, p. 757):

$$I_\mu(z)I_\nu(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos((\mu - \nu)\theta) I_{\mu+\nu}(2z \cos \theta) d\theta, \quad \Re(\mu + \nu) > -1.$$

the numerator is written as :

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (1 - \cos 2\theta) I_{2\nu}(2\lambda \cos \theta) d\theta = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin^2 \theta) I_{2\nu}(2\lambda \cos \theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^1 \sqrt{1-r^2} I_{2\nu}(2\lambda r) dr, \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (11), the denominator is equal to

$$D = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^1 \sqrt{1-r^2} I_0(2\lambda r) dr = \frac{\pi}{4} {}_1\mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{1}{2}; 1; 2; \lambda^2\right)$$

Finally, the integral representation of I_ν gives :

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= \frac{\lambda u e^{2\pi^2/u} \int_0^\infty e^{-2y^2/u} \sinh(y) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{u}\right) \int_0^1 r \sqrt{1-r^2} e^{-2\lambda r \cosh y} dr du}{\pi \sqrt{2\pi u^3} \int_0^1 \sqrt{1-r^2} I_0(2\lambda r) dr} \\ &= \frac{\lambda u e^{2\pi^2/u} \int_0^\infty g(y) e^{-2y^2/u} \sinh(y) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi y}{u}\right) du}{\pi \sqrt{2\pi u^3} \int_0^1 \sqrt{1-r^2} I_0(2\lambda r) dr}, \end{aligned}$$

7. THE LAW OF T_0

Recall that: For $0 < \nu < 1$,

$$Q_x^{m-\nu}(T_0 > t) = \frac{\Gamma_m(m)}{\Gamma_m(m+\nu)} \det\left(\frac{x}{2t}\right)^\nu {}_1F_1(\nu, m+\nu, -\frac{x}{2t})$$

Proposition 9. *Let $m = 2$ and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ be the eigenvalues of x . The density of $S_0 := 1/(2T_0)$ under $Q_x^{m-\nu}$ is given by:*

$$f(u) = \frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^\nu u^{2\nu-2} e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)u}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\nu)} \frac{{}_1\mathcal{F}_1(2, \nu+1, \lambda_1 u) - {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(2, \nu+1, \lambda_2 u)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}$$

Corollary 7. *If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 := \lambda$, the density is written:*

$$f(u) = \frac{2\lambda^{2\nu} u^{2\nu-1} e^{-\lambda u}}{\Gamma(\nu+2)\Gamma(\nu)} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu-1, \nu+2, -\lambda u)$$

Proof : Recall first that when $m = 1$, $S_0 \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \gamma_\nu/x$, where γ_ν is a Gamma variable with density $r^{\nu-1} e^{-r} dr$. With the help of the Gross-Richards formula, it follows that for $m = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_x^{m-\nu}(S_0 \leq u) &= \frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^\nu}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\Gamma_2(\nu+2)} u^{2\nu} (\lambda_{11} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu+2, -\lambda_1 u) {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu-1, \nu+1, -\lambda_2 u) \\ &\quad - \lambda_{21} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu+2, -\lambda_2 u) {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu-1, \nu+1, -\lambda_1 u)), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_0 := 1/(2T_0)$. This is a C^∞ function in u . Hence, we will compute its derivative to get the density. Recall that :

$$\frac{d}{dz} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(a, b, z) = \frac{a}{b} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(a + 1, b + 1, z),$$

thus :

$$f(u) = \frac{d}{du} Q_x^{m-\nu}(S_0 \leq u) = K(\nu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) u^{2\nu-1} (A - B)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K(\nu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= \frac{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^\nu}{\Gamma_2(\nu + 2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} \\ A &= 2\nu((\lambda_1 {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 2, -\lambda_1 u))_1 \mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_2 u) \\ &\quad - \lambda_{21} \mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 2, -\lambda_2 u)_1 \mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_1 u)) \\ B &= \frac{\nu}{\nu + 2}((\lambda_1^2 u {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 3, -\lambda_1 u))_1 \mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_2 u) \\ &\quad - \lambda_2^2 u {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 3, -\lambda_2 u)_1 \mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_1 u)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we use the contiguous relation :

$$b {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(a, b, z) - b {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(a - 1, b, z) = z {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(a, b + 1, z)$$

to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 u {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 3, -\lambda_1 u) &= (\nu + 2)({}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 2, -\lambda_1 u) - {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 2, -\lambda_1 u)) \\ \lambda_2 u {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 3, -\lambda_2 u) &= (\nu + 2)({}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 2, -\lambda_2 u) - {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 2, -\lambda_2 u)) \end{aligned}$$

implies that:

$$f(u) = K_1(\nu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) u^{2\nu-1} (C + D - E - F)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(\nu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= \frac{\nu(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^\nu}{\Gamma_2(\nu + 2)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} \\ C &= \lambda_{11} \mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 2, -\lambda_1 u)_1 \mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_2 u) \\ D &= \lambda_{11} \mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 2, -\lambda_1 u)_1 \mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_2 u) \\ E &= \lambda_{21} \mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 2, -\lambda_2 u)_1 \mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_1 u) \\ F &= \lambda_{21} \mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 2, -\lambda_2 u)_1 \mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_1 u), \end{aligned}$$

Applying again the above contiguous relation yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 u {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 2, -\lambda_1 u) &= (\nu + 1)({}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 1, -\lambda_1 u) - {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_1 u)) \\ \lambda_2 u {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 2, -\lambda_2 u) &= (\nu + 1)({}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 1, -\lambda_2 u) - {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu + 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_2 u)) \\ \lambda_2 u {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 2, -\lambda_2 u) &= (\nu + 1)({}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_2 u) - {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 1, -\lambda_2 u)) \\ \lambda_1 u {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 2, -\lambda_1 u) &= (\nu + 1)({}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu - 1, \nu + 1, -\lambda_1 u) - {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu, \nu + 1, -\lambda_1 u)) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in the expression of f , we obtain

$$f(u) = K_2(\nu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) u^{2\nu-2} (G - H),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(\nu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= \frac{\nu(\nu+1)(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^\nu}{\Gamma_2(\nu+2)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)} \\ G &= {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu+1, \nu+1, -\lambda_2u) {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu-1, \nu+1, -\lambda_1u) \\ H &= {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu+1, \nu+1, -\lambda_1u) {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu-1, \nu+1, -\lambda_2u) \end{aligned}$$

Eventually, writing

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(\nu+2) &= \Gamma(\nu+2)\Gamma(\nu+1) = \nu(\nu+1)\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\nu) \\ {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(a, a, z) &= e^{-z} \quad {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(a, b, -z) = e^{-z} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(b-a, b, z), \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$f(u) = \frac{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^\nu u^{2\nu-2} e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)u}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\nu)} \frac{{}_1\mathcal{F}_1(2, \nu+1, \lambda_1u) - {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(2, \nu+1, \lambda_2u)}{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}$$

The case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ is treated in the same way as before (for the Hartman-Watson law). In fact, writing $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + h$ and letting $h \rightarrow 0$, we see that the density is given by :

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= \frac{\lambda^{2\nu} u^{2\nu-2} e^{-2\lambda u}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\nu)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(2, \nu+1, \lambda u) = \frac{2\lambda^{2\nu} u^{2\nu-1} e^{-2\lambda u}}{\Gamma(\nu+2)\Gamma(\nu)} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(3, \nu+2, \lambda u) \\ &= \frac{2\lambda^{2\nu} u^{2\nu-1} e^{-\lambda u}}{\Gamma(\nu+2)\Gamma(\nu)} {}_1\mathcal{F}_1(\nu-1, \nu+2, -\lambda u) \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

8. CONCLUSION

The Gross-Richards formula has been the main ingredient in this paper, since it enables us to express more explicitly the special functions of matrix argument. The case $m = 3$ can be treated in the same way, but computation becomes too complicated. So, if we want to deal with the general case, it will be convenient to find a more explicit formula. Indeed, Schur functions can be expressed as polynomials in the elementary symmetric functions e_r or as polynomials in the completely symmetric functions h_r . More precisely, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda &= \det(e_{\lambda_i - i + j}) \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ s_\lambda &= \det(h_{\lambda'_i - i + j}) \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n \end{aligned}$$

where λ is a partition of length $\leq n$, and λ' is the conjugate of λ (Macdonald 1995). So, using these two identities, can we improve our results?

9. APPENDIX: SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

9.1. The hypergeometric series. The multivariate hypergeometric functions were studied by Muirhead (1982) in the real symmetric case, Chikuze (1976) for the complex Hermitian case and Faraut and Koranyi (1994) in a more general setting. For Hermitian matrix argument, they are defined by:

$${}_pF_q((a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}, (b_j)_{1 \leq j \leq q}; X) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\tau \perp k} \frac{(a_1)_\tau \cdots (a_p)_\tau}{(b_1)_\tau \cdots (b_q)_\tau} \frac{C_\tau(X)}{k!}$$

where $\tau = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ is a partition of weight k and length m such that $k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_m$, $(a)_\tau$ is the generalised Pochhammer symbol defined by:

$$(a)_\tau = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(a + k_i - i + 1)}{\Gamma(a - i + 1)}, \quad \tau = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$$

and $C_\tau(X)$ is the zonal polynomial of X such that :

$$(\text{tr}(X))^k = \sum_{\tau \perp k} C_\tau(X)$$

Several normalizations for this polynomial exist in the litterature but we consider this one. This polynomial is symmetric, homogeneous, of degree k in the eigenvalues of X and is an eigenfunction of the following differential operator :

$$\Delta_X = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq k \neq i \leq m} \frac{x_i^2}{x_i - x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

Besides, it is identified with the Schur function s_τ and $C_\tau(YX) = C_\tau(\sqrt{Y}X\sqrt{Y})$ for any Hermitian matrix Y . It is well-known that, if $p = q + 1$, then the hypergeometric series is convergent for $0 \leq \|X\| < 1$ ($\|\cdot\|$ is the norm given by the spectral radius) , if $p \leq q$, then it converges everywhere and else, it diverges.

9.2. The modified Bessel function (Lebedev 1972). The modified Bessel function with index $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by the following series :

$$I_\nu(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k! \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k+\nu}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It can be represented through standard hypergeometric functions ${}_0F_1$ and ${}_1F_1$:

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu {}_0F_1(\nu + 1; z^2)$$

Acknowledgments I would like to thank *C. Donati-Martin* (my Ph. D. supervisor), *J. Faraut* and *M. Yor* for helpful comments and encouragements.

REFERENCES

- Baker T. H, Forrester P. J.* (1997) The Calogero-Sutherland model and generalized classical polynomials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **188**, 175-216.
- Bru M. F.* (1989) Wishart Process. *J. Theoretical Probability*, **4** , no. 4, 1991, 725-751.
- Bru M. F.* (1989a) Diffusions of perturbed principal component analysis. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **29**, 127-136.

- Yu.A.Brychkov, O.I.Marichev, A.P.Prudnikov.* (1986) Integrals and Series, vol 2: special functions. *Gordon and Breach science publishers.*
- Yu.A.Brychkov, O.I.Marichev, A.P.Prudnikov.* (1986a) Integrals and Series, vol 3: more special functions. *Gordon and Breach science publishers.*
- Chikuze Y.* (1976) Partial differential equations. *Ann. Institute. Stat. Math.*, **28**, 187-199.
- Collins B.* (2003) Intégrales Matricielles et Probabilités Non-commutatives. *Ph. D. Thesis.*
- Donati-Martin C, Doumerc Y, Matsumoto H, Yor M.* (2004) Some properties of Wishart process and a matrix extension of the Hartman-Watson law. *P. R. I. M. S. Kyoto university*, **40**, No 4, 2004, 1385-1412.
- Faraut J.* (2006) Infinite dimensional harmonic analysis and probability. *Prob. Meas. on Groups : Recent Directions and Trends. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Narosa Publishing House*, 179-254.
- Faraut J, Korányi A.* (1994) Analysis on Symmetric Cones. *Clarendon Press, Oxford.*
- Gradshteyn I. S, Ryzhik I. M.* (1994) Table of integrals, series and products. Fifth Edition. *Academic Press.*
- Gross K. I, Richards St. P.* (1991) Special functions of matrix argument, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24**, no 2, 349-355.
- Ikeda N, Watanabe S.* (1989) Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusions Processes, 2nd ed. *North-Holland Publishing Company.*
- James A. T.* (1964) Distributions of matrix variates and latent roots derived from normal samples. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **35**, 475-501.
- Karlin S. P, MacGregor G.* (1959) Coincidence probabilities. *Pacif. J. Math.* **9**, 1141-1164.
- Katori M, Tanemura H.* (2004) Symmetry of matrix-valued processes and noncolliding diffusion particle systems. *J. Math. Phy.* No 45, 3058-3085.

- König W, O'Connell N.* (2001) Eigenvalues of the Laguerre process as non-colliding squared Bessel processes. *Elec. Comm. in Proba.* **6**, 107-114.
- Lassalle M.* (1991) Polynômes de Laguerre généralisés. *C. R. A. S.* **312**. Série I, 725-728.
- Lassalle M.* (1991a) Polynômes de Hermite généralisés. *C. R. A. S.* **313**. Série I, 579-582.
- Lebedev N. N.* (1972) Special Functions And Their Applications. *Dover Publications, INC.*
- Macdonald L. G.* (1995) Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, 2nd ed. *Clarendon Press, Oxford.*
- Muirhead R. J.* (1982) Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory. *Wiley Series in Probability.*
- Péché S.* (2003) Universality of local eigenvalue statistics for random sample covariance matrices. *Ph. D. Thesis.*
- Revuz D, Yor M.* (1999) Continuous Martingales And Brownian Motion, 3rd ed, Springer.
- Rogers L. C. G, Williams D.* (1987) Diffusions, Markov Processes and Martingales. Vol 2, Itô Calculus. *Cambridge University Press.*
- Yor M.* (1980) Loi de l'indice du lacet Brownien et distribution de Hartman-Watson, *P.T.R.F.*, **53**, No.1 71-95.