

# The young stellar population in the Serpens Cloud Core: An ISOCAM survey

A. A. Kaas, G. Olofsson, Sylvain Bontemps, P. Andre, L. Nordh, M.

Huldtgren, T. Prusti, P. Persi, A. J. Delgado, F. Motte, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

A. A. Kaas, G. Olofsson, Sylvain Bontemps, P. Andre, L. Nordh, et al.. The young stellar population in the Serpens Cloud Core: An ISOCAM survey. Astronomy and Astrophysics - A&A, 2004, 421, pp.623-642. 10.1051/0004-6361:20035775 . hal-00009366

# HAL Id: hal-00009366 https://hal.science/hal-00009366v1

Submitted on 15 Apr 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The young stellar population in the Serpens Cloud Core: An ISOCAM survey<sup>\*,\*\*,\*\*\*</sup>

A. A. Kaas<sup>1,2</sup>, G. Olofsson<sup>2</sup>, S. Bontemps<sup>3,2</sup>, P. André<sup>4</sup>, L. Nordh<sup>2</sup>, M. Huldtgren<sup>2</sup>, T. Prusti<sup>5</sup>, P. Persi<sup>6</sup>,
A. J. Delgado<sup>7</sup>, F. Motte<sup>4</sup>, A. Abergel<sup>8</sup>, F. Boulanger<sup>8</sup>, M. Burgdorf<sup>14</sup>, M. M. Casali<sup>9</sup>, C. J. Cesarsky<sup>4</sup>,
J. Davies<sup>10</sup>, E. Falgarone<sup>11</sup>, T. Montmerle<sup>12</sup>, M. Perault<sup>11</sup>, J. L. Puget<sup>8</sup>, and F. Sibille<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nordic Optical Telescope, Apdo 474, 38700 Santa Cruz de La Palma, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Stockholm Observatory, Roslagstullsbacken 21, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>3</sup> Observatoire de Bordeaux, BP 89, 33270 Floirac, France

<sup>4</sup> Service d'Astrophysique, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>5</sup> Research and Scientific Support Department of ESA, Postbus 229, 2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup> Instituto Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica, CNR, Rome, Italy

<sup>7</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucia, Granada, Spain

<sup>8</sup> IAS, Université Paris XI, 91405 Orsay, France

<sup>9</sup> Royal Observatory, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK

<sup>10</sup> Joint Astronomy Centre, 660 N. A'Ohoku Place, University Park, Hilo, HI 96720, USA

<sup>11</sup> ENS Radioastronomie, 24 Rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France

<sup>12</sup> Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Grenoble, 38041 Grenoble Cedex, France

<sup>13</sup> Observatoire de Lyon, 69230 Saint Genis Laval, France

<sup>14</sup> SIRTF Science Center, California Institute of Technology, 220-6, Pasadena, CA 91125

Received 1 December 2003 / Accepted 18 March 2004

**Abstract.** We present results from an ISOCAM survey in the two broad band filters LW2 (5–8.5  $\mu$ m) and LW3 (12–18  $\mu$ m) of a 0.13 square degree coverage of the Serpens Main Cloud Core. A total of 392 sources were detected in the 6.7  $\mu$ m band and 139 in the 14.3  $\mu$ m band to a limiting sensitivity of ~2 mJy. We identified 53 Young Stellar Objects (YSOs) with mid-IR excess from the single colour index [14.3/6.7], and 8 additional YSOs from the  $H - K/K - m_{6.7}$  diagram. Only 32 of these 61 sources were previously known to be YSO candidates. Only about 50% of the mid-IR excess sources show excesses in the near-IR J - H/H - K diagram. In the 48 square arcmin field covering the central Cloud Core the Class I/Class II number ratio is 19/18, i.e. about 10 times larger than in other young embedded clusters such as  $\rho$  Ophiuchi or Chamaeleon. The mid-IR fluxes of the Class I and flat-spectrum sources are found to be on the average larger than those of Class II sources. Stellar luminosities are estimated for the Class II sample, and its luminosity function is compatible with a coeval population of about 2 Myr which follows a three segment power-law IMF. For this age about 20% of the Class IIs are found to be young brown dwarf candidates. The YSOs are in general strongly clustered, the Class I sources more than the Class II sources, and there is an indication of sub-clustering. The sub-clustering of the protostar candidates has a spatial scale of 0.12 pc. These sub-clusters are found along the NW-SE oriented ridge and in very good agreement with the location of dense cores traced by millimeter data. The smallest clustering scale for the Class II sources is about 0.25 pc, similar to what was found for  $\rho$  Ophiuchi. Our data show evidence that star formation in Serpens has proceeded in several phases, and that a "microburst" of star formation has taken place very recently, probably within the last 10<sup>5</sup> yrs.

**Key words.** stars: formation – stars: pre-main-sequence – stars: luminosity function, mass function – stars: low-mass, brown dwarfs – ISM: individual objects: Serpens Cloud Core

# 1. Introduction

The youngest stellar clusters are found deeply embedded in the molecular clouds from which they form. There are several reasons why very young clusters are particularly interesting for statistical studies such as mass functions and spatial

Send offprint requests to: A. A. Kaas, e-mail: akaas@not.iac.es

<sup>\*</sup> Based on observations with ISO, an ESA project with instruments funded by ESA Member States (especially the PI countries: France, Germany, The Netherlands and the United Kingdom) and with participation of ISAS and NASA.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Tables 2 and 3 are only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/421/623

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Appendix A is only available in electronic form at http://www.edpsciences.org

distributions. Because mass segregation and loss of low mass members due to dynamical evolution has not had time to develop significantly for ages  $\leq 10^8$  yrs (Scalo 1998), the stellar IMF can in principle be found for the complete sample, at least for sufficiently rich clusters. For ages  $\leq 10^5$  yrs the spatial distribution should in gross reflect the distribution at birth, which gives important input to the studies of cloud fragmentation and cluster formation. Only in the youngest regions of low mass star formation do we find the co-existence of newly born stars and pre-stellar clumps, which allows one to compare the mass functions of the different evolutionary stages. Low mass stars are more luminous when they are young, being either in their protostellar phase or contracting down the Hayashi track, which permits probing lower limiting masses. Severe cloud extinction, however, requires sensitive IR mapping at high spatial resolution to sample the stellar population of embedded clusters

ISOCAM, the camera aboard the ISO satellite (Kessler et al. 1996), provided sensitivity and relatively high spatial resolution in the mid-IR (Cesarsky et al. 1996). The two broad band filters LW2 (5–8.5  $\mu$ m) and LW3 (12–18  $\mu$ m), designed to avoid the silicate features at 10 and 20  $\mu$ m, were selected to sample the mid-IR Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) of Young Stellar Objects (YSOs) in different evolutionary phases. According to the current empirical picture for the early evolution of low mass stars (Adams et al. 1987; Lada 1987; André et al. 1993; André & Montmerle 1994), newborn YSOs can be observationally classified into 4 main evolutionary classes. Class 0 objects are in the deeply embedded main accretion phase ( $\geq 10^4$  yrs), and have measured circumstellar envelope masses larger than their estimated central stellar masses, with overall SEDs resembling cold blackbodies and peaking in the far-IR. Class I sources ( $\sim 10^5$  yrs) are observationally characterised by a broad SED with a rising spectral index<sup>1</sup> towards longer wavelengths ( $\alpha_{IR} > 0$ ) in the mid-IR. The Class II sources spend some 10<sup>6</sup> yrs in a phase where most of the circumstellar matter is distributed in an optically thick disk, displaying broad SEDs with  $-1.6 < \alpha_{IR} < 0$ . At  $\alpha_{IR} \approx -1.6$ the disk turns optically thin, and the sources evolve into the  $(\sim 10^7 \text{ yrs})$  Class III stage where the mid-IR imprints of a disk eventually disappear. A normal stellar photosphere has  $\alpha_{\rm IR} = -3$ . Thus, while Class 0 objects are not favourably traced by mid-IR photometry, they are expected to be rare. At the other extreme, Class III sources cannot generally be distinguished using mid-IR photometry since most of them have SEDs similar to normal stellar photospheres. But mid-IR photometry from two broad bands, as obtained in this study with ISOCAM, is highly efficient when it comes to detection and classification of Class I and Class II sources. Thus, considering the fact that these latter objects constitute the major fraction of the youngest YSOs, the ISOCAM surveys provide a better defined sample for statistical studies than e.g. near-IR surveys for regions with very recent star formation (see Prusti 1999).

This paper presents the results from an ISOCAM survey of  $\sim 0.13$  square degrees around the Serpens Cloud Core in

two broad bands centred at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m. This cloud, located at  $b^{\text{II}} = 5^{\circ}$  and  $l^{\text{II}} = 32^{\circ}$  at a distance of  $259 \pm 37$  pc (Straižys et al. 1996; Festin 1998), comprises a deeply embedded, very young cluster with large and spatially inhomogeneous cloud extinction, exceeding 50 mag of visual extinction. Only a few sources are detected in the optical (Hartigan & Lada 1985; Gómez de Castro et al. 1988; Giovannetti et al. 1998). Serpens contains one of the richest known collection of Class 0 objects (Casali et al. 1993; Hurt & Barsony 1996; Wolf-Chase et al. 1998; Davis et al. 1999), an indication that this cluster is young and active. On-going star formation is also evident from the presence of several molecular outflows (Bally & Lada 1983; White et al. 1995; Huard et al. 1997; Herbst et al. 1997; Davis et al. 1999), pre-stellar condensations seen as sub-mm sources (Casali et al. 1993; McMullin et al. 1994; Testi & Sargent 1998; Williams & Myers 2000), a far-IR source (FIRS1) possibly associated with a non-thermal triple radio continuum source (Rodriguez et al. 1989; Eiroa & Casali 1989; Curiel et al. 1993), a FUor-like object (Hodapp et al. 1996), and jets and knots in the 2.1  $\mu$ m H<sub>2</sub> line (Eiroa et al. 1997; Herbst et al. 1997). Investigations of the stellar content have been made with near-IR surveys (Strom et al. 1976; Churchwell & Koornneef 1986; Eiroa & Casali 1992; Sogawa et al. 1997; Giovannetti et al. 1998; Kaas 1999a), identifying YSOs using different criteria, such as e.g. near-IR excesses, association with nebulosities, and variability.

In this paper we identify new cluster members, characterize the YSOs into Class I, flat-spectrum, and Class II sources, estimate a stellar luminosity function for the Class II sample and search for a compatible IMF and age, and finally describe the spatial distribution of both the protostars and the pre-main sequence population in this cluster.

#### 2. Observations and reductions

#### 2.1. ISOCAM

This work presents data from the two ISOCAM star formation surveys LNORDH.SURVEY\_1 and GOLOFSSO.D\_SURMC. These were surveys within the ISO central programme mapping selected parts of the major nearby star formation regions in the two broad band filters LW2 (5-8.5  $\mu$ m) and LW3 (12–18  $\mu$ m). Other results on young stellar populations based on these surveys comprise the Chamaeleon I, II and III regions (Nordh et al. 1996; Olofsson et al. 1998; Persi et al. 2000), the  $\rho$  Ophiuchi star formation region (Bontemps et al. 2001), the R Corona Australis region (Olofsson et al. 1999), and the L1551 Taurus region (Gålfalk et al. 2004), all observations performed basically in the same way. Data reduction methods were generally the same for all regions, using a combination of the CIA<sup>2</sup> (CAM Interactive Analysis) package and own dedicated software. For overviews of survey results, see Nordh et al. (1998), Kaas & Bontemps (2000), and Olofsson (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The spectral index is defined as  $\alpha_{IR} = d \log (\lambda F_{\lambda})/(d \log \lambda)$  and is usually calculated between 2.2  $\mu$ m and 10 or 25  $\mu$ m.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A joint development by the ESA Astrophysics Division and the ISOCAM Consortium led by the ISOCAM PI, C. Cesarsky, Direction des Sciences de la Matière, CEA, France.

625

**Table 1.** Observational parameters, detection statistics and photometric results for each of the 6 ISOCAM rasters CE, CW, CS, D1, D2, D3. See Fig. 10 and text.

	$\alpha(2000)$	δ(2000)	Size <sup>1</sup>	$T_{\rm int}$	pfov	$\langle n_{\rm ro} \rangle$	N <sub>det</sub>	N <sub>6.7</sub>	N <sub>14.3</sub>	$N_{\rm both}$	$1\sigma_{6.7}$	$1\sigma_{14.3}$
			(' × ')	(s)	(")						(mJy)	(mJy)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
CE	18 29 48.3	01 16 04.5	$13 \times 13$	0.28	3/6	$4 \times 13$	133	113	56	51	2	3
CW	18 29 06.3	01 16 01.4	$13 \times 13$	2.1	6	7	152	139	43	38	0.8	2
CS	18 29 52.5	01 02 37.9	$13 \times 16.5$	0.28	6	$4 \times 13$	165	152	41	34	1.2	4
D1	18 29 48.7	01 15 20.5	$1.8 \times 4.6$	2.1	3	44	20	15	9	9	1	2
D2	18 29 52.3	01 15 20.8	$1.8 \times 4.6$	2.1	3	44	24	19	11	10	1	4.5
D3	18 29 57.8	01 12 55.4	$4.6 \times 1.8$	2.1	3	44	30	21	15	13	1	3
Tot <sup>2</sup>							421	392	140	124		

<sup>1</sup> Approximate size since each raster has tagged edges.

<sup>2</sup> The total number is corrected for multiply observed sources in overlapped regions.

In the Serpens Core region about 0.13 square degrees (deg2) were covered at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m in 3 separate, but overlapping main rasters named CE, CW and CS (see Fig. 10). In addition, 3 smaller fields within CE (named D1, D2, and D3) were observed at a higher sensitivity. CE covers the well known Serpens Cloud Core, CW is a reference region to the west of the Core which is substantially opaque in the optical but without appreciable 60  $\mu$ m IRAS emission (Zhang et al. 1988a), and CS is a region directly to the south of the Cloud Core which has a peak in the 60  $\mu$ m flux. The rasters were always made along the right ascension, with about half a frame (90") overlap in  $\alpha$ and 24" overlap in  $\delta$ . For the larger rasters the pixel field of view (PFOV) was set to the nominal survey value of 6" except for the CE region in LW2, where a PFOV of 3" was selected because of the risk of otherwise saturating the detector. Also in order to avoid saturation, the intrinsic integration time was set to  $T_{int} = 0.28$  s except for field CW where the nominal survey value of  $T_{int} = 2.1$  s was used. Each position in the sky was observed during about 15 s. For the deeper imaging within CE the overlap was 72" in  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$ , and each position was observed during about 92 s. The individual integration time was  $T_{int} = 2.1$  s and a PFOV of 3" was used for better spatial resolution. See Table 1 for an overview.

#### 2.1.1. Image reduction

Each raster consists of a cube of frames which is reduced individually, and in total 9112 individual frames were analysed. The dark current was subtracted using the CAL-G dark from the ISOCAM calibration library and, if necessary, further improved by a second order dark correction using a FFT thresholding method (Starck et al. 1996a). Cosmic ray hits were detected and masked by the multiresolution median transform (MMT) method (Starck et al. 1996b). The transients in the time history of each pixel due to the slow response of the LW detector were treated with the IAS inversion method v.1.0 (Abergel et al. 1996a,b). Flat field images were constructed from the observations themselves. See Starck et al. (1999) for a general description of ISOCAM data processing.

#### 2.1.2. Point source detection and photometry

Bright point sources, even well below the saturation level, produce strong memory effects which are not entirely taken out by the transient correction. Due to the large PFOV which undersamples the point spread function (PSF), also remnants of cosmic ray glitches may be mistaken for faint sources. By looking at the time history of the candidate source fluxes, however, it is easy to distinguish real sources from memory effects, glitches or noise. This is done for each individual sky coverage, the redundancy being 2–6. Both the source detection and the photometry was made with the interactive software developed by our team. See also previous papers on this survey (Nordh et al. 1996; Olofsson et al. 1999; Persi et al. 2000; Bontemps et al. 2001).

The fluxes of each verified source were measured by aperture photometry, with nominal aperture radii 1.5 and 3 pixels, for the 6" and 3" PFOV, respectively, and aperture correction applied using the empirical PSF. For each redundant observation (2-6 overlaps) the flux is the median flux from the  $N_{\rm ro}$  readouts per sky position. The sky level was estimated from the median image to reduce the noise. Uncertainties are estimated both from the standard deviation around this median (i.e. the temporal noise and also a measure of the efficiency of the transient correction method) and from the  $\sigma$  of the sky background (i.e. the spatial noise). The quoted flux uncertainties are these two contributions added in quadrature. The photometric scatter between the overlaps is estimated and deviating measurements are discarded. If a source is affected by the dead column (no 24 was disconnected) or is hit by a serious glitch or memory effect in one of the redundant overlaps, this one is skipped, and the remaining ones are used to estimate the flux. If the redundancy is not sufficient (i.e. along raster edges), then the fluxes are flagged if affected by the dead column, detector edges, glitches, memory effects, close neighbours etc.

Source positions were calculated from that of the redundant images where the source is closest to the centre, in order to diminish the effects of field distortion. The source centre is taken to be the peak pixel, and the default ISO pointing was used as a first source position value. The ISO position was then compared to near-IR positions of known sources in the literature (Eiroa & Casali 1992; Sogawa et al. 1997; Giovannetti et al. 1998), and ISO positions of bright optical sources to the digital sky survey. Bulk offsets found for each raster registration were then corrected. The maximum bulk offset found was 5", and we estimated an average uncertainty of  $\pm$ 3" in RA and Dec. When 2MASS became available we checked the positions of 61 sources in Table 2 which have 2MASS counterparts and are not multiples unresolved by ISOCAM. The median deviation between ISOCAM and 2MASS positions is 2.2". One star deviates by as much as 9.2" (ISO-356), and 5 more sources by more than 4.5" (these are ISO-29, 207, 272, 357 and 367).

#### 2.1.3. Photometric calibration

The two broad band filters LW2 and LW3 have defined reference wavelengths at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m, respectively. The fluxes in ADU/s are converted to mJy through the relations 2.32 and 1.96 ADU/gain/s/mJy for the bands LW2 and LW3, respectively (from in-orbit latest calibration by Blommaert et al. 2000). These flux conversions are strictly valid only for sources with  $F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-1}$ , however, and therefore a small colour correction must be applied to the blue sources (cf. Sect. 3.2 for blue vs. red). This correction is obtained by dividing the above conversion factors by 1.05 and 1.02 for LW2 and LW3, respectively, yielding the effective factors 2.21 and 1.92 ADU/gain/s/mJy for blue sources.

Conversion from flux density to magnitude is defined as  $m_{6.7} = -2.5 \log (F_v (6.7 \ \mu m)/82.8)$  and  $m_{14.3 \ \mu m} = -2.5 \log (F_v (14.3)/18.9)$ , where  $F_v$  is given in Jy. The ~5% responsivity decrease throughout orbit has not been corrected for.

#### 2.2. Nordic Optical Telescope near-IR imaging

A 6' × 8' region inside Serp-CE, the area which is usually referred to as the Serpens Cloud Core and has been covered to a smaller or larger extent by several studies in the near-IR (Eiroa & Casali 1992; Sogawa et al. 1997; Giovannetti et al. 1998; Kaas 1999a), was mapped deeply in J (1.25  $\mu$ m), H (1.65  $\mu$ m) and K (2.2  $\mu$ m) in August 1996, only 4 months after the ISOCAM observations. See Fig. 10 for the location of the different maps. Also, a region to the NW of the JHK field has been mapped in the K band, see Fig. 4, but with a total coadded integration time from only 30 s to 1 min. These observations were made with the ARcetri Near Infrared CAmera (Arnica) at the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope, La Palma. See Kaas (1999a) for details on this near-IR dataset.

#### 2.3. IRAM 30 m telescope observations

A 1.3 mm dust continuum mosaic of the Serpens main cloud core was taken with the IRAM 30-m telescope equipped with the MPIfR 37-channel bolometer array MAMBO-I (Kreysa et al. 1998) during four night observing sessions in March 1998. The passband of the MAMBO bolometer array has an equivalent width  $\approx$ 70 GHz and is centered at  $v_{\text{eff}} \approx$  240 GHz.

The  $\sim 19' \times 6'$  mosaic consists of eleven individual on-thefly maps which were obtained in the dual-beam raster mode with a scanning velocity of 8"/s and a spatial sampling of 4" in elevation. In this mode, the telescope is scanning continuously in azimuth along each mapped row while the secondary mirror is wobbling in azimuth at frequency of 2 Hz. A wobbler throw of 45" or 60" was used. The typical azimuthal size of individual maps was 4'. The size of the main beam was measured to be ~11" (HPBW) on Uranus and other strong pointlike sources such as quasars. The pointing of the telescope was checked every ~1 h using the VLA position of the strong, compact Class 0 source FIRS1 (good to ~0.1" – Curiel et al. 1993); it was found to be accurate to better than  $\sim 3''$ . The zenith atmospheric optical depth, monitored by "skydips" every ~2 h, was between ~0.2 and ~0.4. Calibration was achieved through onthe-fly mapping and on-off observations of the primary calibrator Uranus (e.g., Griffin & Orton 1993, and references therein). In addition, the Serpens secondary calibrator FIRS1, which has a 1.3 mm peak flux density ~2.4 Jy in an 11" beam was observed before and after each map. The relative calibration was found to be good to within  $\sim 10\%$  by comparing the individual coverages of each field, while the overall absolute calibration uncertainty is estimated to be  $\sim 20\%$ .


The dual-beam maps were reduced and combined with the IRAM software for bolometer-array data ("NIC"; cf. Broguière et al. 1995) which uses the EKH restoration algorithm (Emerson et al. 1979).

#### 3. ISOCAM results

#### 3.1. Source statistics, sensitivity and completeness

Table 1 gives an overview of the observational parameters and the results of the point source photometry for each of the six rasters named in Col. 1. Columns 2-4 give the centre position and size of the raster fields. Columns 5-7 give the unit integration times, the PFOV and the average number of readouts per sky position. Column 8 gives the total number of source detections. Columns 9 and 10 give the number of sources for which photometry was obtained at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m, respectively. Column 11 gives the number of sources with flux determinations in both of the photometric bands. Columns 12 and 13 give the measured  $1\sigma$  photometric limits which are about 4 and 6 times the read-out-noise for the large and the deep fields, respectively. Practically all sources detected at 14.3  $\mu$ m are also detected at 6.7  $\mu$ m, but there are 15 cases of 14.3  $\mu$ m detections without 6.7  $\mu$ m counterparts. On the average, only 30% of the 6.7  $\mu$ m detections are also detected at 14.3  $\mu$ m, but this value is clearly larger for the CE field. The sensitivity, which depends on the PFOV and  $T_{int}$  as well as the amount of nebulosity and bright point sources, is lowered by memory effects from bright sources in the CE field and by the presence of a nebula in the CS field. For the deep fields (D1, D2, D3) the main limiting factor in terms of sensitivity is the memory effect and the fact that the flat fields are based on fewer frames.

The deep fields within the CE area are independently repeated observations (observed within the same satellite orbit), and therefore permit a check of the photometric repeatability




**Fig. 1.** Histograms of sources at 6.7  $\mu$ m (*left*) and 14.3  $\mu$ m (*right*) for the CW field (*upper*) and the total sample (*lower*). The bold-face steps in the lower panels correspond to the population of mid-IR excess sources. The expected number of galactic sources in each bin, normalised to each field, from modelling the stellar content in a cone along the line-of-sight is inserted for zero cloud extinction (solid lines),  $A_V \approx 5$  (dotted lines), and  $A_V \approx 10$  (dashed lines).

for a number of sources. The median values of the individual direct scatter between the measured fluxes were found to be: about 30% for the 6.7  $\mu$ m band measured from 28 sources between 4 mJy and 2 Jy, and about 15% for the 14.3  $\mu$ m band, measured from 14 sources between 30 mJy and 5.7 Jy (note different pixel scale). This corresponds to a median error of 0.13 dex in the [14.3/6.7] colour index, which is in good agreement with the scatter (of sources without mid-IR excesses) around the value expected for normal photospheres (see Fig. 2) at a flux density of 10 mJy in the 14.3  $\mu$ m band.

To estimate how many field stars to expect at a given sensitivity within the observed fields, the stellar content in a cone along the line-of-sight was integrated in steps of 0.02 kpc out to a distance of 20 kpc. The Galaxy was represented by an exponential disk, a bulge, a halo and a molecular ring, following Wainscoat et al. (1992). Absolute magnitudes at 2.2  $\mu$ m ( $M_K$ ) and in the 12  $\mu$ m IRAS band ( $M_{12}$ ), local number densities and scale heights of the different stellar populations, as well as their contribution to the different components of the Galaxy were provided by Wainscoat et al. (1992) in their model of the mid-IR point source sky. Absolute magnitudes at 7  $\mu$ m,  $M_7$ , are estimated by linear interpolation between  $M_K$  and  $M_{12}$ .

The upper panels of Fig. 1 show the histograms of the 6.7  $\mu$ m and 14.3  $\mu$ m sources in the CW field, which is free of nebulosity and practically free of IR excess sources. The bin size of 0.2 in log  $F_{\nu}$  corresponds to 0.5 mag. Inserted are the model counts of galactic sources at 7 and 12  $\mu$ m, scaled to the CW field size. The expected source number per bin is calculated assuming no cloud extinction (solid line), an average extinction of 0.2 mag in the 6.7  $\mu$ m band (dotted line), and  $A_{6.7} = 0.4$  mag (dashed line), corresponding to roughly  $A_{\rm V} \sim 5$  and  $A_{\rm V} \sim 10$ , respectively (cf. Sects. 4 and 5 about extinction). For the CW field an average cloud extinction of  $A_{\rm V} \sim 7-8$  mag is in agreement with the location of the majority of the sources in a DENIS I - J/J - K' diagram, as well



**Fig. 2.** The colour index [14.3/6.7] defined as  $\log (F_v^{14.3}/F_v^{6.7})$  is shown on the *y*-axis and the flux  $F_v^{14.3}$  also given in magnitudes  $m_{14}$  is shown on the *x*-axis. The SED index between 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m is given on the right hand *y*-axis. 53 sources with substantial IR excess are located above [14.3/6.7] = -0.2 or  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14} = -1.6$  (dotted line). While 28 of these were previously suggested as YSOs, 25 are new YSO candidates (filled circles).

as an extinction map based on R star counts (Cambrésy 1999). Comparing the number of observed sources at 14.3  $\mu$ m with the model expectation at 12  $\mu$ m indicates completeness at ~6 mJy or  $m_{14.3} = 8.7$  mag. The observations at 6.7  $\mu$ m are estimated to be complete to ~5 mJy or  $m_{6.7} = 10.6$  mag. The lower panels of Fig. 1 show the histograms for the total sample. The boldface steps show the contribution of the mid-IR excess sources. The dashed line represents the model expectation assuming an average cloud extinction of about 10 mag of visual extinction, i.e.  $A_{6.7} = 0.41$  mag and  $A_{14.3} = 0.36$  mag (cf. Sects. 4 and 5). Thus, the whole sample taken together, and allowing for a subtraction of the mid-IR excess sources, indicates an overall completeness at ~6 mJy for the 6.7  $\mu$ m band and at 8 mJy for the 14.3  $\mu$ m band (i.e. at  $m_{6.7} = 10.4$  mag and  $m_{14.3} = 8.5$  mag).

#### 3.2. Sources with mid-IR excesses

For the 124 sources with fluxes in both bands we present a colour magnitude diagram in Fig. 2. The colour index [14.3/6.7], defined as  $\log(F_{\nu}^{14.3}/F_{\nu}^{6.7})$ , is plotted against  $F_{\nu}^{14.3}$ . This colour index can be converted to the commonly used index of the Spectral Energy Distribution (SED):

$$\alpha_{\rm IR}^{7-14} = \frac{\log\left(\lambda_{14}F_{\lambda_{14}}\right) - \log\left(\lambda_{7}F_{\lambda_{7}}\right)}{\log\lambda_{14} - \log\lambda_{7}},\tag{1}$$

calculated between 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m and indicated on the right hand *y*-axis. The completeness limit is given with solid lines as the combined effect of the completeness in each of the two bands.

The sources tend to separate into two distinct groups, with some few intermediate objects. The "red" sources (circles) are interpreted as pre-main-sequence (PMS) stars surrounded by circumstellar dust. This sample is not believed to be contaminated with galaxies. At the completeness flux level of 8 mJy at 14.3  $\mu$ m the expected extragalactic contamination is about half a source within our map coverage and at the level of 3 mJy (the faintest source in our sample at 14.3  $\mu$ m) the contamination is below two sources within the mapped region (Hony 2003). No correction for extinction has been made on these numbers, so that they should be considered as upper limits to the extragalactic contamination.


We have decided to set the division line between mid-IR excess objects and "blue" objects (triangles) at [14.3/6.7] = -0.2 or  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14} = -1.6$  (dotted line), which corresponds to the classical border between Class II and Class III objects (cf. Sect. 5).

Except for a few transition objects, the "blue" group (triangles) is mainly located at the colour index of normal photospheres, i.e. [14.3/6.7] = -0.66 or  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14} = -3$  (dashed line). The spread around this value indicates the increasing photometric uncertainty with decreasing flux, although some of the scatter might be real. M giants have intrinsic excesses in the colour index [14.3/6.7] of less than 0.1, while late M giants are expected to have excesses of up to  $\sim 0.2$  above normal photospheres. Although late M giants are few, a number of M giants are expected in the observed sample of field stars. Thus, the slight displacement of this group of sources above the colour of normal photospheres could be due to a combination of extinction and intrinsic colours of M giants. The effect of extinction is small, however. A reddening vector of size corresponding to  $A_K = 3$  is indicated in the figure. (More about extinction in Sect. 4.)

This same dichotomy in colour was found also in the Chamaeleon dark clouds (Nordh et al. 1996), in RCrA (Olofsson et al. 1999), and in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001). According to the SED index,  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14}$ , the mid-IR excess sources are Class II and Class I types of Young Stellar Objects (YSOs). In Chamaeleon I about 40% of the mid-IR excess sources had been previously classified as Classical T Tauri stars (CTTS), and in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi ~50% were previously known as Class II and Class Is. In Serpens, very few sources are optically visible and the IRAS data suffer badly from source confusion. The known YSOs are thus a sample of sources classified from near-IR excesses, association with nebulosity, emission-line stars, ice features, clustering properties, and variability (Eiroa & Casali 1992; Hodapp et al. 1996; Horrobin et al. 1997; Sogawa et al. 1997; Giovannetti et al. 1998; Kaas 1999a). Previously known YSOs are not found in the "blue" group, although Class III sources, young stars with marginal or no IR excess, have their locus there. A few objects in the transition phase between Class II and Class III are evident in the "blue" group. Class III sources without IR excess cannot be distinguished from field stars purely on the basis of broad band infrared photometry. They can, however, be efficiently identified through X-ray observations.

The fact that intrinsic IR excess is so easily distinguished from reddening at these wavelengths, enables an unambiguous classification of the youngest YSOs on the basis of one single colour index [14.3/6.7]. In this way ISOCAM found 53 Class I and Class II YSOs, of which only 28 had been previously suggested as YSO candidates.

Positions and photometry of the 53 Class I and Class II YSOs are listed among other members of the Serpens Core cluster in Table 2. The 71 "blue" sources are listed in Table 3.




**Fig. 3.** The  $H - K/K - m_{6.7}$  diagram of the Serpens Cloud Core with individual error bars for each source, and some *H* upper limits (arrows). The IR excess sources from Fig. 2 are encircled. The intrinsic colours of main-sequence (bold solid), giants (bold dotted), and supergiant (bold dashed) stars cluster close to (0,0). A reddening vector (solid line) is estimated on the basis of the four sources without [14.3/6.7] excess (triangles). Eight additional IR excess YSOs (numbered) were found in this diagram, i.e. displaced by more than  $1\sigma$  to the right of the reddening band (dotted vector).

Many of these are field stars, but some are likely to be young cluster members of Class III type. Figure 1 shows that the CW region contains as many as 20 (10) sources detected at 6.7  $\mu$ m (14.3  $\mu$ m) above the expected field star contribution according to the galactic model by Wainscoat et al. (1992). Because there are only two IR excess sources in the CW field, of which one is very faint, these are practically all belonging to the "blue" sample. Since the total region surveyed is about 3 times as large as the CW field, perhaps more than 20 "blue" sources from Table 3 could be Class IIIs belonging to the cluster.

#### 4. Near-IR and mid-IR excess YSOs

When it comes to detecting IR excesses, the *J* band usually limits the number of sources in a J - H/H - K diagram because of its sensitivity to extinction. For the ISOCAM observations the 14.3  $\mu$ m band is the less sensitive, unless the sources are extremely red (see limits in Fig. 2). Using the  $H - K/K - m_{6.7}$  diagram as in Olofsson et al. (1999), however, we should be able to detect more IR excess objects. Figure 3 shows that practically all sources with excesses in the colour index [14.3/6.7] are also found to have excesses in their  $K - m_{6.7}$  index. Approximate intrinsic colours of main-sequence, giant and supergiant stars, taken from the source table of Wainscoat et al. (1992) and interpolated between 2.2 and 12  $\mu$ m, are given as boldface curves (solid, dotted and dashed, respectively).

Sources with ISOCAM mid-IR excesses (circles) separate well from those without mid-IR excesses (triangles). A reddening vector has been calculated by fitting a line from origin through the 4 sources without mid-IR excesses. The slope (1.23) is mainly constrained by the star CK2, which is believed to be a background supergiant, see e.g.



**Fig. 4.** Arnica *K* band mapping of the region to the NW of the *JHK* field. ISOCAM sources are encircled and their identifications given. Sources 159, 202, 216 and EC38 are YSOs with [14.3/6.7] colour excess. Sources SVS9 and 209 are without such an excess. The remaining sources are not detected at 14.3  $\mu$ m. See Appendix A.

Casali & Eiroa (1996). On the basis of a number of background stars in the J - H/H - K diagram, Kaas (1999a) found a reddening law of the form  $A_{\lambda} \sim \lambda^{-1.9}$  to fit the Serpens data. Extrapolation of this law to 6.7  $\mu$ m would give a slope of 0.83 in the  $H - K/K - m_{6.7}$  diagram, which is in disagreement with all the 4 sources without mid-IR excess. The  $A_{\lambda} \sim \lambda^{-1.7}$  law (Whittet 1988) gives an even shallower slope. By assuming, however, the  $A_{\lambda} \sim \lambda^{-1.9}$  law to hold for H and K, and using the empirical slope found in Fig. 3, the extinction in the 6.7  $\mu$ m band is estimated to be  $A_{6.7} = 0.41 A_K$ . This is in good agreement with the values 0.37, 0.35 and 0.47 found by Jiang et al. (2003) from the ISOGAL survey, whose three values depend on the actual form of the near-IR extinction curve applied.

As shown in Kaas & Bontemps (2000) only about 50% of the IR excess sources which display clear excesses in the single ISOCAM colour index [14.3/6.7] show up as IR excess objects in the J - H/H - K diagram. This was demonstrated by plotting the same sources in the two diagrams, using a statistically significant sample of several hundred sources in Serpens,  $\rho$  Ophiuchi, Chamaeleon I, and RCrA. This result implies that a sole use of the J - H/H - K diagram will severely underestimate the IR-excess population of YSOs in active star formation regions. Bontemps et al. (2001) showed that in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi only 50% of the 123 Class II sources have near-IR excesses large enough to be recognizable in the J - H/H - K diagram. Similarly we confirm here specifically for Serpens that the mid-IR excess sources cluster along the reddening line when plotted in a J - H/H - K diagram, and that only 50% would be recognized as having IR excesses from JHK data alone.


The  $H - K/K - m_7$  diagram is more efficient than the J - H/H - K diagram in distinguishing between intrinsic circumstellar excess (circles) and reddening (triangles). Thus, by sampling the SED a bit more into the mid-IR, the use of two colour indices to select sources with intrinsic IR excesses becomes substantially less prone to the influence from cloud extinction. Figure 3 also shows that the mid-IR excesses apparent from the [14.3/6.7] index, always appear already at 6.7  $\mu$ m, but only in half of the cases at 2.2  $\mu$ m.

In addition to the 53 IR excess sources obtained from Fig. 2, another eight sources were found to have IR excess from the  $H - K/K - m_7$  diagram, i.e. displaced by more than  $1\sigma$  to the right of the reddening band (dotted line). This means we find 25% more IR excess sources within the centre region than by using the [14.3/6.7] index alone.

Class II sources are most likely Classical T Tauri stars (CTTS), but we can not exclude that some of them are weak-lined T Tauri stars (WTTS). Among the Class II sources detected with ISOCAM in Chamaeleon I about 1/3 had been previously classified as WTTS (Nordh et al. 1996). For WTTS one could attribute the presence of mid-IR excess but a lack of near-IR excess to an inner hole in the circumstellar disk (Moneti et al. 1999). CTTS, on the other hand, are believed to have inner disks, since they show strong H $\alpha$  emission, which is commonly interpreted as a signature of the accretion process onto the surface of the object, but could also arise in stellar winds. Optical information is scarce in the Serpens Cloud Core because of the large cloud extinction, and we do not know what fraction of the Class IIs are strong H $\alpha$  emitters. It is well known from studies of CTTS locations in the J - H/H - K diagram (Lada & Adams 1992; Meyer et al. 1997), that many CTTS lack detectable near-IR excesses; about 40% in Taurus-Auriga according to Strom et al. (1993).

For typical CTTS the near-IR wavelength region is strongly dominated by the photospheric emission, and rather large amounts of dust hot enough to produce a strong excess at 2  $\mu$ m are therefore needed in order to distinguish intrinsic IR excess from the effects of scattering and extinction in the J - H/H - K diagram. In a region such as the Serpens Cloud Core, where 7 of the Class IIs in question here were originally proposed to be YSOs due to their association with nebulosity (Eiroa & Casali 1992), it is likely that scattered light in the J and H bands adds to the lack of detectable near-IR excesses as it gives a bluer H - K colour index.

From an ISOCAM sample of CTTS with mid-IR excesses in Chamaeleon I, Comerón et al. (2000) found evidence for the presence of near-IR excesses to be correlated with luminosity, suggesting an incapability of objects with very low temperatures and luminosities (young brown dwarfs and very low mass CTTS) to raise the temperature needed at the inner part of the circumstellar disk to produce a detectable excess at 2.2  $\mu$ m, in agreement with model predictions (Meyer et al. 1997). The majority of the Serpens sources are substantially more luminous. As pointed out by Hillenbrand et al. (1998), the larger the stellar radius (i.e. the younger the star is), the more difficult it is to separate near-IR excesses from the stellar flux. This could perhaps be part of the explanation for the youngest sources (e.g. two flat-spectrum sources without near-IR excess). But we found all the Class I sources to possess near-IR excesses, such that the role of larger radii in these cases seems to be well compensated for, probably by their larger disk accretion rates. A statistical interpretation based on broad band photometry is likely over-simplified, however, and there are many properties intrinsic to the star-disk system (such as disk inclination angle, disk accretion rate, stellar mass and radius) which contribute to the complexity of the individual YSOs. From the results presented here for Serpens, 630



**Fig. 5.** The spectral index  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-7}$  versus  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$ , or equivalently, the  $K - m_7/K - m_{14}$  colour diagram, for a subset of the ISOCAM sample. The locations of Class I, Class II and Class III sources and field stars are indicated. Note the relatively large fraction of Class I sources in this diagram.

in Bontemps et al. (2001) for  $\rho$  Ophiuchi, and in Kaas & Bontemps (2000) for the ISOCAM star formation surveys in general, it is evident that one should be careful in estimating disk frequencies among YSOs based on near-IR excesses only, see also Haisch et al. (2001). Since our study samples only IR excess objects, we cannot say anything about the disk fraction among YSOs in Serpens.

#### 5. Characterization of the YSO population

#### 5.1. Large fraction of Class I sources

We have combined the photometry from ISOCAM with the available *K* band photometry from 1996<sup>3</sup> (Kaas 1999a) and calculated the two SED indices:  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  and  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-7}$ , which are plotted against each other in Fig. 5 for the 39 mid-IR excess sources and the 6 sources without mid-IR excesses. The index  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  is close to the index originally used to define the three Classes I–III from the shape of their SED between 2.2 and 10 (or 25)  $\mu$ m by Lada & Wilking (1984); Lada (1987). Figure 5 indicates the loci of these classes in addition to a transitional class referred to as "flat spectrum" sources.

According to the updated IR spectral classification scheme (André & Montmerle 1994; Greene et al. 1994) we tentatively define YSOs with  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14} > 0.3$  as Class I sources, those with  $-0.3 < \alpha_{IR}^{2-14} < 0.3$  as flat-spectrum sources, and objects with  $-1.6 < \alpha_{IR}^{2-14} < -0.3$  as Class II sources. There is a marginal hint of a gap in the distribution of sources along the [14.3/6.7] = -0.2 axis at  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14} \approx 0.3$ , which was seen also in the  $\rho$  Ophiuchi sample (Bontemps et al. 2001), though at  $\approx 0.5$ . It is apparent that we cannot distinguish between Class III sources and field stars. (The transition sources in the "blue" group (see Fig. 2) with some mid-IR excesses are all located outside the field for which we have *K*-band observations.)

IR excess sources without detection at 14.3  $\mu$ m (see Sect. 4.2) can be classified from the approximately linear relation between  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  and  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-7}$  found in Fig. 5. In this case Class Is are those which have  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-7} > 1.2$ , corresponding to  $K - m_7 > 4.8$ , Class IIs are those which have  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-7} < -0.25$ , corresponding to  $K - m_7 < 3.2$ , and flat-spectrum sources are those in between. Thus, the total number of sources in each category is larger than apparent from Fig. 5. From Fig. 3 we have 1 more Class I, 2 more flat-spectrum sources, and 5 more Class IIs. Three sources (260, 277 and 308) have only K and 6.7  $\mu$ m detections, but their very red colours strongly suggest membership in the Class I category.

The number of Class I sources (19) is thus about equal to the number of Class II sources (18) in the central part of the Serpens cluster. This is very unusual since Class II sources outnumber Class I sources by typically 10 to 1 in star formation regions. If we include the 13 "flat spectrum" sources in the Class II group, the Class I/Class II number ratio is still as high as 19/31. This is exceptional, also compared to the results obtained from ISOCAM surveys in other regions; in Chamaeleon I this number ratio is 5/42 (Kaas 1999b) and in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi: 16/123 (Bontemps et al. 2001). Such a large population of Class I sources indicates a recent burst of star formation in this region and would be in line with the rich collection of Class 0 objects found in this cluster by Casali et al. (1993) and Hurt & Barsony (1996).

# 5.2. Reddening effect on the $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$ index?

While a spectral index between two fixed wavelengths, such as 2.2 and 14.3  $\mu$ m, is practical and provides a preliminary classification for a large number of sources, a truly reddening-independent classification is obtainable only when complete SEDs are available over the ~2 to 100  $\mu$ m range (or to 1.3 mm if Class 0 sources are involved). In the following we discuss the possibility that sources defined as Class Is from the  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  index could be heavily extinguished Class II or flat-spectrum sources.

The source CK2 (probably a background supergiant) is seen through more than 50 mag of visual extinction (Kaas 1999a), and indicates an empirical slope (=1.6) of the extinction law in Fig. 5, as do five other sources without mid-IR excesses. This reddening line translates to a slope of 0.92 in the  $K-m_7/K-m_{14}$  diagram, which gives the relation  $A_{14} = 0.88 A_7$ in terms of magnitudes<sup>4</sup>, by using the relation  $A_7 = 0.41 A_K$ obtained in Sect. 4. It is remarkable that the group of mid-IR excess sources are lined up along the same slope as the one outlined by the reddened field stars. In this sense, some of the sources classified as Class I objects on the basis of Fig. 5 could

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This means the central  $8' \times 6'$  *JHK* field and the NW field shown in Fig. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The same was found in Cha I (unpublished) as well as in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001). This implies that, for all these star formation regions, the extinction is slightly larger at 6.7  $\mu$ m than at 14.3  $\mu$ m, see also Olofsson et al. (1999). Hence, we see no minimum in the extinction law in the 4–8  $\mu$ m interval, as expected for standard graphite-silicate mixtures (Mathis et al. 1979; Draine & Lee 1984). But our results agree with the extinction law found towards the Galactic centre by Lutz et al. (1996) and the ISOGAL results in Jiang et al. (2003) who find  $A_{14} = 0.87 A_7$  when using the Rieke & Lebofsky law updates(Rieke et al. 1989) for the near-IR extinction.





Fig. 6. SEDs of HB1 and EC129. The flux points at 25, 60 and 100  $\mu$ m are taken from Hurt & Barsony (1996). Note that the 60 and 100  $\mu$ m points for EC129 are upper limits only.

be interpreted as highly extinguished Class II objects, either deeply embedded in the cloud or seen edge-on. Flat-spectrum sources and Class IIs are generally expected to be optically visible objects. In Serpens, however, only one (ISO-Ser-159) of the 13 flat-spectrum sources and only three of the 18 Class IIs are optically visible. This shows the extreme degree of embeddedness, and is in agreement with the C<sup>18</sup>O map of White et al. (1995), which suggests that the visual cloud extinction is larger than 30 mag everywhere in the NW-SE ridge and may reach values as high as  $A_V \sim 200$  in some places. The fact that the slopes are so similar suggests that Class Is are either merely more embedded Class IIs, or that the extinction behaves roughly in the same way for Class I envelopes as for the cloud in general at these wavelengths. This would be in line with Padgett et al. (1999) who observed three Class I SED YSOs with HST/NICMOS and found edge-on disks in all three cases.

As shown in Fig. 2 the  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14}$  index is rather insensitive to extinction. As many as 15 YSOs in our sample have  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14} > 0$ , but  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14} < 0$ , among them the well known DEOS. For some of these we have more spectral information than the three flux points at 2.2, 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m. The two sources HB1 and EC129 are relatively isolated YSOs both in the near-IR and mid-IR images and should not suffer so much from the source confusion that sets a limit to the usefulness of IRAS data for the other sources. We use HIRES IRAS fluxes and upper limits from Hurt & Barsony (1996) and extend our mid-IR SEDs to longer wavelengths. Figure 6 shows that both HB1 and EC129 (HB2) have rising spectra beyond a clear dip in the SED at ~14  $\mu$ m. These sources have clearly Class I SEDs, but the dip at ~14  $\mu$ m will produce a blue  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14}$  index.

Hurt & Barsony (1996) divided the flux from their HIRES map of IRAS 18272+0114 between the three sources DEOS, EC53 and S68N, while ISOCAM resolves six mid-IR excess sources (cf. Fig. 11), of which only EC37 has  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14} > 0$ .

It is possible that a broad silicate absorption feature and/or the presence of  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  ices in the 14.3  $\mu$ m band (Whittet et al. 1996; de Graauw et al. 1996) may cause absorption effects that correspond in magnitude to the



**Fig. 7.** The average flux at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m versus  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  for a subset of the ISOCAM sample. The location of Class I, Class II and Class III sources and field stars are indicated. Filled circles mark the 15 YSOs with  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14} > 0$  and  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14} < 0$ .

observed dips in the SEDs at ~14  $\mu$ m, noting that the effect is there for the most deeply embedded sources. Recently, ISOCAM-CVF spectroscopy of 42 Class I and Class II YSOs in Serpens, p Ophiuchi, Chamaeleon and RCrA by Alexander et al. (2003) reveals a number of absorption features from 5 to 18  $\mu$ m. They find that the majority of Class I sources fall in their category **a**, having deep absorption features of ices and silicates, and conclude that in the cases of large extinction the continuum spectral index between 2 and 14  $\mu$ m provides a truer value of the shape of the underlying continuum than the observed mid-IR spectrum. For the sub-sample of 20 sources that were studied by Alexander et al. (2003) in Serpens we found: four Class Is, six flat-spectrum sources, four Class II sources, one "blue" source, and we did not detect five of their sources. All four Class Is, three flat-spectrum sources, and one Class II fall in their group **a**, and two flat-spectrum sources and three Class IIs in their category **b** (only SVS2 is of type **c**). This comparison shows overall good agreement, and indicates that we have not overestimated the number of Class Is in our study.

Also, the presence of shocked molecular H<sub>2</sub> line emission in the 6.7  $\mu$ m band (e.g., Cabrit et al. 1998; Larsson et al. 2002) included in the measured flux of some of the youngest sources could contribute to give a bluer  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14}$  index than expected from a dust continuum.

Recent 2-D models of Class I source geometries by Whitney et al. (2003) which include flared disk and bipolar cavity produce mid-IR SEDs with very broad dips around 10  $\mu$ m, and overall much bluer mid-IR colours than produced by simple 1D or simplified 2-D models.

Based on the previous discussion we trust that the  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  index gives a more reliable measure of the SED than the  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14}$  index. We have also looked at the mid-IR fluxes of Class Is versus Class IIs. If all the 15 YSOs with  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14} > 0$  and  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14} < 0$  were reddened Class II sources, one would expect them to be on the average fainter than (or at most as bright as) the YSOs with  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14} < 0$ . We note, however, that there is a slight tendency that sources with large  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  indices also are, on the average, the brightest ones in the mid-infrared. Figure 7 shows the average

flux at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m versus  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$ , with a reddening vector of  $A_K = 3$  mag inserted. The filled circles in the figure mark the 15 sources that have  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14} > 0$  and  $\alpha_{IR}^{7-14} < 0$ . Excluding the flat-spectrum sources, the median fluxes are 0.18 and 0.029 Jy for **15** Class Is and **27** Class IIs (including all those from Table 6 that have mid-IR fluxes), respectively. For comparison, the median mid-IR fluxes of 16 Class Is and 76 Class IIs in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi are 1.17 and 0.095 Jy, respectively (Bontemps et al. 2001). In Chamaeleon I for 5 Class Is and 42 Class IIs these numbers are 0.28 and 0.077 Jy, respectively. While the statistics is low for the Chamaeleon I Class I sources, there is an indication of luminosity evolution from the Class I to the Class II phase, the case being strongest for  $\rho$  Ophiuchi. We conclude that on the basis of this statistical luminosity argument, there seems to be an intrinsic difference between the Class I and the Class II populations.

Noting that Class Is are on the average more luminous in the mid-IR than Class IIs (cf. Bontemps et al. 2001), we caution that it is not entirely excluded that the large number fraction of Class I sources in Serpens could be a direct effect of a lower sensitivity because of a larger distance. There are about 80 faint YSO candidates in the Serpens Cloud Core below the sensitivity limit of ISOCAM (Kaas 1999a).

Although the exact Class I/Class II number ratio might become subject to modification when future observations at high spatial resolution and sensitivity towards longer wavelengths are available (SIRTF-Spitzer at 24  $\mu$ m and FIRST-Herschel at 90–250  $\mu$ m), we believe that the main conclusion of an unusually large fraction of Class I sources in the Serpens Cloud Core will be maintained.

#### 5.3. The protostar sample in Serpens

Based on the discussion in the previous paragraph, we have arrived at a sample of 20 Class I SED sources which are listed in Table 4 with the two SED indices  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  and  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-7}$ . These are all protostar candidates. Although Class 0 sources normally are not expected to be detectable at shorter wavelengths than about 25  $\mu$ m, we detect two Class I sources, ISO-241 and ISO-308, within the ±3" positional uncertainties of S68N and SMM4, respectively<sup>5</sup>.

Motte & André (2001) found that about 60% of the Class I sources in Taurus-Auriga are "true protostars", using the criterion that the envelope mass to stellar mass fraction is  $\geq 0.1$ . A similar method has been applied to the Serpens data. But first we exclude 3 sources in order to account for the possible confusion with Class 0 sources (in the case of EC41) or contribution of Class 0 sources (in the cases: ISO-241, ISO-308) in the sample of 19 Class Is in the region mapped by IRAM. The

ISO	Other ID	$\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$	$\alpha_{IR}^{2-7}$	FWHM	$\Delta K$
#				"	mag
270		2.56	3.54	2.31	
331		2.51	4.12	4.57	
330	HB1	2.35	4.26	1.47	0.32
250	DEOS	2.06	3.52	7.52	0.92
276	GCNM53	2.02	3.05	1.89	
249	EC37	1.98	2.66	1.25	0.26
241		1.74	3.26	1.49	
308	HCE170/1711	_	2.84	4.48	0.64
253	EC40	1.23	2.76	1.21	
265	EC53	0.98	2.27	2.27	0.59
259		_	$1.94^{2}$	-	
260		_	1.88	1.83	
258a	EC41	0.96	1.81	1.33	
312	EC88/89 <sup>3</sup>	0.85	1.73	9.53	0.11
254	EC38	0.82	1.78	$1.00^{4}$	_
326	EC103	0.62	1.27	1.55	0.22
327	HCE175	0.53	1.73	1.42	
306	EC80	0.44	1.70	1.32	
277	EC63	_	1.21	1.26	
313	GCNM94	-	1.19	1.32	0.43

**Table 4.** The 20 Class I sources.  $\Delta K$  and *FWHM* refers to measured variability 1995–1996 (Kaas 1999a) and extendedness in the *K*-band, respectively. *K*-band magnitudes from 1996 are found in Table 2.

<sup>1</sup> Both are extended, HCE171 = K32 is variable.

 $^{2}$  Ks = 14.48 from 2MASS PSC, 16" south-west of extended IRAS 18273+0059, possible K extendedness not investigated.

<sup>3</sup> Both are extended, EC88 is variable.

<sup>4</sup> From image serp-nw which has better seeing.

Identifier acronyms as in Table 2.

fraction of bona-fide protostars in the Serpens Core is then estimated to be 9 out of 16 Class Is or 56%, with an uncertainty of  $\pm 10\%$  because of source confusion problems with the strong clustering.

Extended, elongated and polarized near-IR emission is predicted by models of infalling envelopes which have developed cavities owing to bipolar outflows, where near-IR radiation from the central object may escape and scatter off dust in the cavity and the outer envelope, see e.g. Whitney et al. (1997). Park & Kenyon (2002) find that 70% of the bonafide protostars in Taurus are extended in the near-IR, and that less than 10% of the sources that are extended in the near-IR show no extension in mm continuum. Our near-IR data has a spatial resolution about 10 times better than the IRAM map, and we have included in Table 4 the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of a Gaussian fit to the source profiles in the K band images. The median FWHM of 160 isolated and relatively bright sources over the field is  $1''_{27} \pm 0''_{10}$ . Sources with a FWHM greater than 1".6, i.e. above  $3\sigma$ , are here defined as extended in the K-band. For the Class I sources this concerns 8 of 19 sources or 42%, highly coinciding with continuum sources except for ISO-331 and ISO-260. There are no extended sources in the flat-spectrum and Class II samples.

Substantial *K*-band variability was found in 8 of the above Class I sources, 5 of the flat-spectrum sources and 5 of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> According to André et al. (2000) the following sources satisfy the Class 0 criteria: FIRS1, S68N, SMM3, and SMM4, all of which are bright sources in the 1.3 mm IRAM map in Fig. 11. In addition, SMM2 is a candidate Class 0. ISO-258b, which may be a knot in the extended emission (cf. Fig. A.1), is 8" away from FIRS1, but could result from scattered light (see Sect. A). SMM2 and SMM3 have no ISOCAM detections within the positional uncertainties, that is to a  $3\sigma$  upper limit of 6 and 9 mJy for 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m, respectively (cf. Table 1).

**Table 5.** The 13 flat-spectrum sources.  $\Delta K$  and *FWHM* refers to measured variability 1995–1996 (Kaas 1999a) and extendedness in the *K*-band, respectively. *K*-band magnitudes from 1996 are found in Table 2.

ISO	Other ID	$\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$	$\alpha_{IR}^{2-7}$	FWHM	$\Delta K$
#				"	mag
234	EC26	-	0.63	1.31	
322	EC98	-	0.17	1.31	
345	EC125	0.26	0.44	1.30	
317	EC92/95	0.19	-0.03	1.35	
159	IRAS 18269+0116	0.11	0.23	$1.00^{1}$	-
237	EC28	0.07	0.99	1.23	
307	SVS2	0.06	-0.06	1.38	0.19
347	EC129	0.06	0.53	1.34	
314	SVS20 (double)	-0.03	0.30	$2.74^{2}$	0.14
318	EC94	-0.05	0.56	1.35	
341	EC121	-0.14	0.30	1.27	0.21
294	EC73	-0.24	-0.18	1.31	0.66
320	EC91	-0.24	0.45	1.33	0.32

<sup>1</sup> From image serp-nw which has better seeing.

<sup>2</sup> Double source, not extended.

Identifier acronyms as in Table 2.

Class II sources (Kaas 1999a). The brightness variations of the Class I sources are given in Table 4 as  $\Delta K$ . The median value of the amplitude is  $0.38 \pm 0.27$  mag, larger than the variations found for Class Is in Taurus (Park & Kenyon 2002).

The flat-spectrum sources are believed to be in a transition phase between the Class I and the Class II stage, but a few of these might be found to be true protostars. We have listed them in Table 5 with SED indices, *K*-band *FWHM* and variability amplitude. Both SVS2 and SVS20 have centrosymmetric polarization patterns, indicating that evacuated bipolar cavities surround them, and both are double sources (Huard et al. 1997). Among the flat-spectrum sources ISO-159, EC129, SVS20, and ISO-237 (i.e. 30%) are detected as mm continuum sources in our IRAM map.

#### 5.4. The pre-main sequence sample in Serpens

Based on the ISOCAM YSO sample found in Table 2 we have used a combination of criteria to arrive at a tentative sample of Class II sources in Serpens. These are listed in Table 6 with SED indices, whenever available, and additional criteria used to argue for a Class II status. Since our K imaging from 1996 only covers about 10% of the ISOCAM survey, we have also used published K band photometry whenever available to calculate the SED indices. Also, we have assumed that if the source shows strong mid-IR excess and is optically visible, it is more likely to be a Class II than a flat-spectrum or Class I type of YSO. As a supporting argument for Class II designation we have found for a few mid-IR excess sources without JHK data, strong H $\alpha$  in emission (to be published in a future paper). When the 2MASS All-Sky Data Release became available while we were finalizing our investigation, we used the near-IR photometry from their point source catalogue for the Class II source

candidates where such data was lacking, and found spectral indices in agreement with our above reasoning.

The pre-main sequence population also includes the Class III type of YSOs, objects which show no IR signatures of an optically thick disk. Since the basic criterion for selecting YSOs in our study is IR excess, we do not sample these sources. With the existing photometric data alone we cannot distinguish Class IIIs from field stars, except for a few objects in the transition zone between the Class II and Class III phases. The clear gap between the two groups formed in the ISOCAM colour-magnitude diagram in Fig. 2 demonstrates that there are few objects undergoing this transition, i.e. that the transition must be rapid. This is evident in all the star formation regions surveyed by ISOCAM. In Serpens at least five sources from Table 3 belong to this transition group, cf. Fig. 2, and we list them as candidate Class IIIs in Table 7. None of these are located in the central Cloud Core region covered by Arnica deep near-IR imaging.

#### 6. Luminosity distribution

Only about 10% of the Serpens area surveyed by ISOCAM was covered by deep JHK photometry. The total sample is therefore not as homogeneous as our surveys in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001), Chamaeleon (Persi et al. 2000) and RCrA (Olofsson et al. 1999). Also, since Serpens is at a larger distance the overall sensitivity is lower, and source confusion is worse, especially in the very clustered active centre. With our deep RIJHK photometric coverage over the whole ISOCAM survey, which will be published in a future paper, we expect to extend the population of IR excess sources, and reveal YSOs which are below the ISO sensitivity. With near-IR photometry for the whole sample we can also improve the luminosity estimate of the Class II sources, by dereddening the J-band fluxes, analogously to what was done for  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001). At the moment we estimate the luminosities from the mid-IR 6.7  $\mu$ m band only, because this is the most homogeneous measurement we have. The 6.7  $\mu$ m luminosity function is shown in Fig. 8 for the Class I and flat-spectrum sources (lower) and Class II sources (upper).

Protostars are expected to radiate most of their luminosity at longer wavelengths. To derive their bolometric luminosities we need to integrate the observed SEDs. As shown in Sect. 8, however, the four IRAS sources found in the CE region correspond each to a number of protostars. For reasons of source confusion we have not attempted to make calorimetric luminosity estimates of the Class Is in Serpens, as was done for the Class I sample in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi, see Bontemps et al. (2001) who found that the typical fraction of the luminosity radiated between 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m for a Class I source is ~10%.


As shown in Fig. 8 the protostars span a range in mid-IR luminosities about equal to that of the Class IIs. It is clear that the protostars are on the average more luminous at 6.7  $\mu$ m than the Class IIs. This is expected as a large fraction of the luminosity of a protostar is the accretion luminosity. The accretion can be partly continuous and partly happen in bursts, so that the luminosity of a protostar is far from being a simple function of its age and mass.

**Table 6.** The 43 ISOCAM YSOs found to be Class II sources or candidates. A hyphen for the SED indices means no detection at 14  $\mu$ m.  $A_K$  is the derived extinction in the *K*-band, and  $L_{\star}$  is the stellar luminosity estimated as explained in Sect. 6.  $\Delta K$  is the amplitude of the *K*-band variability 1995–1996 (Kaas 1999a). None of the sources for which Arnica *K* images were available was found to be extended in *K*.

#         (mag) $(L_2)$ 29         -2.13         -3.96         0.32         0.009         Mid-IR excess, $Ks = 11.17$ from 2MASS           150         -1.30         -1.59         0.30         0.19" from th of radio source 568 3, $Ks = 10.69$ from 2MASS           158         BD+01 3687         -1.88         -2.92         0.0         0.086 $K = 9.8$ from Chavarria-K et al. (1988). Transition object 11-117           160         -         -0.66         2.28         2.4         See Appendix A           173         [CDP88] 6         -1.58         -2.46         0.0         0.57 $Ks = 9.64$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)           216         -1.68         -1.47         0.0         0.057 $Ks = 11.61$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)           218         FGM 2         -1.70         0.58         0.077 $Ks = 11.01$ from 2MASS, See also Clark (1991)           214         EC11         -1.14         -1.53         1.09         36 $Ks = 15.38$ from 2MASS, see also Clark (1991)           215         EC3         -0.84         -2.0         0.61         Clear R excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram           226         EC3         -1.70         0.66         0.072         Uncertain, see Appendi	ISO	Other ID	$\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$	$\alpha_{IR}^{2-7}$	$A_K$	$L_{\star}$	Additional information
150         -1.30         -1.59         0.30         0.19         15" north of radio source S68 3, $K = 10.69$ from 2MASS           158         BD+01 3687         -1.88         -2.92         0.00         0.86 $K = 9.8$ from Chavarria-K et al. (1988). Transition object II-III?           160         -1.58         -2.46         0.00         0.57 $K = 9.21$ from 2MASS           020         -1.55         -1.42         0.00         0.57 $K = 9.21$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)           160         -0.86         -1.47         0.00         0.057 $L cated 1'$ east of HH470           171         IRAS 18271+0102         -1.14         -1.33         1.09         36 $K = 11.60$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)           121         IRAS 18271+0102         -1.18         3.00         0.037         Cacetad 1' east of HH477           123         IEC1         -         -1.58         0.10         0.062         Cleart IR excess in the H - K/K - m; diagram           123         IEC21         -         -         -         0.70         0.21         NIRe excess           124         KE/S3         -         0.70         0.21         NIRe excess         0.14         0.27         Mass Asse	#		In	In	(mag)	$(L_{\odot})$	
158       BD+01 3687       -1.88       -2.92       0.00       0.086 $K = 9.8$ from Chavarria-K et al. (1988). Transition object II-III?         160       -       -0.69       2.28       See Appendix A         173       [CDF88] 6       -1.58       -2.46       0.00       0.57       K s = 9.21 from 2MASS         202       -1.05       -1.42       0.70       0.096       Located 30'' south-east of HH400         203       STGM 2       -       -1.70       0.88       0.077       K s = 9.21 from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         216       STGM 2       -       -1.14       -1.53       0.11       0.062       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         226       EC11       -       -1.18       3.30       0.03       Uncertain, see Appendix A         231       EC21       -       -       1.18       3.30       0.021       Uncertain, see Appendix A         232       EC23       -       -       -1.58       0.70       0.24       K s = 1.51       0.70       0.74         233       EC3       -       -       0.70       0.24       K s = 0.21       0.77       0.76       0.21       NIR excess         242       KSE       - <td>29</td> <td></td> <td>-2.13</td> <td>-3.96</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>0.009</td> <td>Mid-IR excess, <math>Ks = 11.17</math> from 2MASS</td>	29		-2.13	-3.96	0.32	0.009	Mid-IR excess, $Ks = 11.17$ from 2MASS
160       -       -0.69       2.28       2.4       See Appendix A         173       [CDF88] 6       -1.58       -2.46       0.0       0.57 $Ks = 9.21$ from 2MASS         20       -1.05       -1.42       0.77       0.096       Located 30" south-east of HH460         207       0.086       -1.18       -0.13       0.0057 $Ks = 9.64$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         216       -0.86       -1.70       0.58       0.077       Ks = 5.38 from 2MASS, see also Clark (1991)         221       IRAS 18271+0102       -1.14       -1.53       1.09       36 $Ks = 5.38$ from 2MASS, see also Clark (1991)         224       EC11       -       -1.58       0.11       0.062       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         225       EC13       -       -0.84       -2.00       0.061       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         226       EC13       -       -1.17       0.70       0.24       Ks = 1.12       Located 30"         226       EC23       -       -1.57       0.70       0.24       Ks = 1.12       For Alse         227       EC3       -       -1.58       -1.70       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ Scor <td>150</td> <td></td> <td>-1.30</td> <td>-1.59</td> <td>0.30</td> <td>0.19</td> <td>15" north of radio source S68 3, <math>Ks = 10.69</math> from 2MASS</td>	150		-1.30	-1.59	0.30	0.19	15" north of radio source S68 3, $Ks = 10.69$ from 2MASS
173       [CDF88] 6       -1.8       -2.46       0.0       0.57 $K_s = 9.21$ from 2MASS         200       -1.05       -1.42       0.77       0.096       Located 30" south-east of HH460         201       STGM 3       -1.18       -1.48       0.35       0.57 $K = 9.64$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         216       -0.86       -1.47       0.0       0.057 $Located 1'$ east of HH477         219       STGM 2       -1.4       -1.53       0.09 $K_s = 1.60$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         221       IRAS 18271+0102       -1.14       -1.53       0.10       0.62       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         224       EC11       -       -1.88       0.30       0.33       Uncertain, see Appendix A         232       EC23       -       -2.33       0.69       0.072       Uncertain, See Appendix A         242       K8,EC33       -1.12       0.08       0.28       0.099       Variable, $AK = 0.21$ 252       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.24 $K_S = 11.12$ from 2MASS       167         272       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.080       Uncertain, see Appendix A	158	BD+01 3687	-1.88	-2.92	0.0	0.086	K = 9.8 from Chavarria-K et al. (1988). Transition object II-III?
202       -1.05       -1.42       0.77       0.096       Located 30" south-east of HH460         207       STGM 3       -1.18       -1.48       0.0       0.057       Ks = 9.04 from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         216       -0.86       -1.47       0.0       0.057       Ks = 9.04 from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         219       STGM 2       -       -1.70       0.58       0.077       Ks = 11.60 from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         221       IRAS 18271+0102       -1.14       -1.53       0.011       0.062       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         226       EC13       -       -0.84       ~2.0       0.061       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         218       EC21       -       -1.18       3.30       0.33       Uncertain, See Appendix A         222       EC3       -       -2.33       0.69       0.021       NIR excess         224       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.09       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 225       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.32       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ NIT         226       GCNM35,EC61       -       -1.56       -1.4       0.20       Va	160		-	-0.69	2.28	2.4	See Appendix A
207       STGM 3       -1.8       -1.48       0.35       0.57 $K s = 9.64$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         216       -0.86       -1.47       0.0       0.057       Located 1' east of HH477         219       STGM 2       -       -1.70       0.58       0.0577       K s = 11.60 from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         218       IRAS 18271+0102       -1.14       -1.53       1.09       36       K s = 5.38 from 2MASS, sea loss Clark (1991)         224       EC11       -       -1.18       3.01       0.03       Uncertain, see Appendix A         231       EC21       -       -1.18       3.00       0.31       Uncertain, see Appendix A         242       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.099       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 252       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.24       K s = 1.12 from 2MASS       0.218         272       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.080       Uncertain, see Appendix A         273       StGM14,EC66       -       -1.54       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21 + NIR excess         283       EC67       -1.44       -1.22       -0.51       0.32         294     $	173	[CDF88] 6	-1.58	-2.46	0.0	0.57	Ks = 9.21 from 2MASS
216       -0.86       -1.47       0.0       0.057       Located 1' east of HH477         219       STGM 2       -       -1.70       0.58       0.077       Ks = 11.60 from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         218       RAS 18271+0102       -1.14       -1.53       1.00       6       Ks = 5.38 from 2MASS, Ssee also Clark (1991)         224       EC11       -       -1.88       0.11       0.062       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         226       EC13       -       -0.84       ~2.0       0.061       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         221       EC21       -       -1.18       3.30       0.021 Miratin, see Appendix A         232       EC23       -       -2.33       0.69       0.021       Nirable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 232       EC3       -       -1.77       0.76       0.021       Nirable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 232       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.080       Uncertain, see Appendix A         233       EC66       -       -1.56       ~1.4       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 235       GCNM63,EC68       -0.89       -0.77       1.47       0.097         245       GCNM63,EC64<	202		-1.05	-1.42	0.77	0.096	Located 30" south-east of HH460
219       STGM 2       -       -1.70       0.58       0.077 $K s = 11.60$ from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)         211       IRAS 18271+0102       -1.14       -1.53       1.10       36 $K s = 5.38$ from 2MASS, see also Clark (1991)         224       EC11       -       -1.8       0.11       0.062       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         231       EC21       -       -1.18       3.30       0.33       Uncertain, see Appendix A         242       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.097       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 252       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.24 $K s = 11.12$ from 2MASS         266       GCNM35,EC51       -       -1.78       ~1.7       0.20       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 272       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.080       Uncertain, see Appendix A         273       STGM14,EC66       -       -1.58       ~1.7<07.00	207	STGM 3	-1.18	-1.48	0.35	0.57	Ks = 9.64 from 2MASS, NIR excess (Sogawa et al. 1997)
221       IRAS 18271+0102       -1.14       -1.53       1.09       36 $Ks = 5.38$ from 2MASS, see also Clark (1991)         224       EC11       -       -1.58       0.11       0.062       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         231       EC21       -       -1.18       3.30       0.031       Uncertain, see Appendix A         232       EC23       -       -2.33       0.69       0.072       Uncertain, see Appendix A         242       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.099       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 252       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.24       Ks = 11.12 from 2MASS         266       GCNM35,EC51       -       -1.77       0.76       0.021       NIR excess         272       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.080       Uncertain, see Appendix A         273       STGM14,EC66       -       -1.56       ~1.4       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ NIR         283       EC67       -1.14       -1.22       -0.51       0.30       Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II         284       EC047(10       -       -1.42       0.50       0.045       See Appendix A       1	216		-0.86	-1.47	0.0	0.057	Located 1' east of HH477
224       EC11       -       -1.58       0.11       0.062       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         226       EC13       -       -0.84       ~2.0       0.061       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         231       EC21       -       -1.18       3.00       0.33       Uncertain, see Appendix A         232       EC23       -       -2.33       0.69       0.072       Uncertain, see Appendix A         242       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.099       Variable, $AK = 0.21$ 252       -0.87       -1.17       0.76       0.21       NIR excess         266       GCNM35,EC51       -       -1.58       ~1.7       0.020       Variable, $AK = 0.21$ 272       EC59       -       -1.58       ~1.4       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ NIR         273       STGM14,EC66       -       -1.56       ~1.4       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ NIR         274       EC69       -       -1.42       0.50       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ NIR         278       EC67       -1.14       -1.22       ~0.50       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ NIR         289 <td>219</td> <td>STGM 2</td> <td>-</td> <td>-1.70</td> <td>0.58</td> <td></td> <td></td>	219	STGM 2	-	-1.70	0.58		
226       EC13       -       -0.84       -2.0       0.061       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram         231       EC21       -       -1.18       3.30       0.033       Uncertain, see Appendix A         232       EC23       -       -2.33       0.69       0.072       Uncertain, see Appendix A         232       EC23       -       -2.73       0.69       0.072       Uncertain, see Appendix A         242       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.09       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 252       -0.87       -1.56       -1.7       0.70       0.24       Ks = 11.12 from 2MASS         266       GCNM35,EC51       -       -1.77       0.76       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 272       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.086       Uncertain, see Appendix A         278       STGM14,EC66       -       -1.56       ~1.7       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ NIR excess         288       EC67       -1.14       -1.22       ~0.030       Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II         289       EC67       -       -1.42       2.56       0.45       See Appendix A	221	IRAS 18271+0102	-1.14	-1.53	1.09	36	$K_{\rm S} = 5.38$ from 2MASS, see also Clark (1991)
231       EC21       -       -1.18       3.30       0.33       Uncertain, see Appendix A         232       EC23       -       -2.33       0.69       0.072       Uncertain, See Appendix A         242       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.099       Variable, ΔK = 0.21         252       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.24       Ks = 11.12 from 2MASS         266       GCNM35,EC51       -       -1.77       0.76       0.021       NIR excess         279       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.060       Uncertain, see Appendix A         270       STGM14,EC66       -       -1.56       -1.14       0.020       Variable, ΔK = 0.21         278       EC69,CK10       -       -1.42       -0.51       0.32         285       GCNM70,EC70       -       -2.05       0.09       Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II         289       EC74,CK9,GEL4       -0.48       -0.29       1.67       1.7       Variable, ΔK = 0.15         304       EC79,GEL5       -0.64       -5.5       1.40       0.50         319       EC93,CK13       -       -2.21       1.36       0.25       No IR excess i	224	EC11	-	-1.58			
232       EC23       -       -2.33       0.69       0.072       Uncertain, See Appendix A         242       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.099       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 252       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.24       Ks = 11.12 from 2MASS         266       GCNM35,EC51       -       -1.77       0.76       0.021       NIR excess         269       K16,EC56       -       -1.58       -1.7       0.029       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 272       EC59       -       -1.56       ~1.4       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ + NIR excess         285       GCNM63,EC66       -       -1.56       ~1.4       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ + NIR excess         285       GCNM63,EC68       -0.89       -0.77       1.47       0.097         287       -0.80       -1.04       0.75       0.030       Mid-IR excess         298       EC69,CK10       -       -1.42       2.56       0.45       See Appendix A         291       GCNM70,EC70       -       -2.05       1.69       1.7       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 304       EC79,CK13       -       -0.88       0.55       2.4			-	-0.84	~2.0	0.061	Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram
242       K8,EC33       -1.02       -0.80       2.28       0.099       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 252       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.24 $K s = 11.12$ from 2MASS         266       GCNM35,EC51       -       -1.77       0.60       0.021       NIR excess         269       K16,EC56       -       -1.58       ~1.7       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 272       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.080       Uncertain, see Appendix A         279       STGM14,EC66       -       -1.56       ~1.4       0.020       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ + NIR excess         283       EC67       -1.14       0.22       0.32       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ + NIR excess         285       GCNM63,EC68       -0.89       -0.77       1.47       0.097         287       -0.80       -1.04       0.75       0.030       Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II         289       EC69,CK10       -       -1.42       2.56       0.44       0.52       1.42       0.50         304       EC179,GEL5       -0.64       -0.52       1.42       0.50       1.67       1.7       Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 318       EC	231	EC21	-	-1.18			
252       -0.87       -1.15       0.70       0.24       Ks = 11.12 from 2MASS         266       GCNM35,EC51       -       -1.77       0.76       0.021       NIR excess         269       K16,EC56       -       -1.82       3.42       0.086       Uncertain, see Appendix A         272       EC59       -       -1.82       3.42       0.086       Uncertain, see Appendix A         279       STGM14,EC66       -       -1.56       ~1.4       0.020       Variable, ΔK = 0.21 + NIR excess         283       EC67       -1.14       -1.22       ~0.51       0.32         285       GCNM63,EC68       -0.89       -0.77       1.47       0.097         287       CC69,CK10       -       -1.42       2.56       0.45       See Appendix A         291       GCNM70,EC70       -       -0.25       0.49       0.016       NIR excess         304       EC74,CK9,GEL4       -0.48       -0.29       1.67       1.7       Variable, ΔK = 0.15         304       EC74,CK9,GEL4       -0.44       -0.52       1.42       0.50       -         319       EC36,CK13       -       -0.22       1.42       0.50       Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K -$	232	EC23	-	-2.33	0.69		
266GCNM35,EC511.770.760.021NIR excess269K16,EC561.581.70.029Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 272EC591.823.420.080Uncertain, see Appendix A279STGM14,EC661.400.020Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21 + \text{NIR excess}$ 283EC671.14-1.22-0.510.32285GCNM63,EC68-0.89-0.771.470.0972870.80-1.040.750.030Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II289EC69,CK101.422.560.45See Appendix A291GCNM70,EC702.050.090.016NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.671.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC93,CK130.892.350.97319EC93,CK130.880.252.4321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8321EC15,CGR20E152.211.360.25No IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram321EC46,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram	242	K8,EC33	-1.02	-0.80	2.28	0.099	Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$
269K16,EC561.58-1.70.029Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ 272EC591.823.420.086Uncertain, see Appendix A279STGM14,EC661.56~1.40.020Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21$ + NIR excess283EC67-1.14-1.22~0.510.32285GCNM63,EC68-0.89-0.771.470.097287-0.80-1.040.750.030Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II289EC69,CK101.422.560.45See Appendix A291GCNM70,EC702.050.090.016NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.67.1.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5-0.64-0.521.420.50309EC84,GEL7-0.49-0.551.800.79319EC35,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.552.4328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.25338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.96Ks = 11.23 from 2MASS359-0.92-1.20 <td>252</td> <td></td> <td>-0.87</td> <td>-1.15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	252		-0.87	-1.15			
272EC591.823.420.086Uncertain, see Appendix A279STGM14,EC661.56 $\sim 1.4$ 0.020Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21 + \text{NIR excess}$ 283EC67-1.14-1.22 $\sim 0.51$ 0.32285GCNM63,EC68-0.89-0.771.470.007287-0.80-1.040.750.030Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II289EC69,CK101.422.560.45See Appendix A291GCNM70,EC702.050.090.016NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.671.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5-0.64-0.551.800.79319EC93,CK130.892.350.97Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.25No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.06Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096K s = 11.23 from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33K s = 10.26 from 2MASS, strong H\$\alpha\$ emission (to be published) <td>266</td> <td>GCNM35,EC51</td> <td>-</td> <td>-1.77</td> <td>0.76</td> <td>0.021</td> <td>NIR excess</td>	266	GCNM35,EC51	-	-1.77	0.76	0.021	NIR excess
279STGM14,EC661.56~1.40.020Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21 + \text{NIR excess}$ 283EC67-1.14-1.22~0.510.32285GCNM63,EC68-0.89-0.771.470.097287-0.80-1.040.750.030Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II289EC69,CK101.422.560.45See Appendix A291GCNM70,EC702.050.090.016NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.671.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5-0.64-0.521.420.50309EC84,GEL7-0.49-0.551.800.79319EC93,CK130.892.350.97212CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.552.4Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096Ks = 11.23 from 2MASSstrong Hα emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08			-				
283EC67-1.14-1.22 $\sim 0.51$ 0.32285GCNM63,EC68-0.89-0.771.470.097287-0.80-1.040.750.030Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II289EC69,CK101.422.560.45See Appendix A291GCNM70,EC702.050.090.016NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.671.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5-0.64-0.521.420.50309EC84,GEL7-0.49-0.551.800.79319EC93,CK130.892.350.97321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.552.4Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram348EC135,CGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.06Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096Ks = 11.23 from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33Ks = 10.36 from 2MASS, strong H\$\alpha\$ em.360STGM8-1.08-1.290.590.59370 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>3.42</td> <td></td> <td></td>			-		3.42		
285GCNM63,EC68 $-0.89$ $-0.77$ $1.47$ $0.097$ 287 $-0.80$ $-1.04$ $0.75$ $0.030$ Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II289EC69,CK10 $ -1.42$ $2.56$ $0.45$ See Appendix A291GCNM70,EC70 $ -2.05$ $0.09$ $0.016$ NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4 $-0.48$ $-0.29$ $1.67$ $1.7$ Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5 $-0.64$ $-0.52$ $1.42$ $0.50$ 309EC84,GEL7 $-0.49$ $-0.55$ $1.80$ $0.79$ 319EC93,CK13 $ -0.89$ $2.35$ $0.97$ 321CK4,GEL12,EC97 $-0.42$ $-0.44$ $1.16$ $2.8$ 328EC105,CK8,GEL13 $-0.73$ $-0.38$ $0.25$ No IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram321CK4,GEL12,EC97 $-0.42$ $-0.44$ $1.16$ $2.8$ 328EC117,CK6,GEL15 $ -2.21$ $1.36$ $0.25$ 348EC135,GGD29 $-1.01$ $-1.34$ $0.39$ $0.42$ 351EC141 $  -1.12$ $2.05$ 356K40,EC152 $ -1.03$ $0.70$ $0.30$ 357 $-1.11$ $-1.73$ $0.25$ $0.96$ 358 $-0.92$ $-1.20$ $0.38$ $0.33$ 359 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ 360STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.09$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 370 $-0.$							Variable, $\Delta K = 0.21 + \text{NIR excess}$
287-0.80-1.040.750.030Mid-IR excess + optically visible, likely Class II289EC69,CK101.422.560.45See Appendix A291GCNM70,EC702.050.090.016NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.671.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5-0.64-0.521.420.50309EC84,GEL7-0.49-0.551.800.79319EC93,CK130.892.350.97321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.552.4Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess, but ass, with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096Ks = 11.23 from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33Ks = 10.56 from 2MASS, strong Hα emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08-1.290.590.59377-1.160.340.12Ks = 11.67 from 2MASS, strong Hα em.370-0.83-1.000.020.54Ks = 10.13							
289EC69,CK101.422.560.45See Appendix A291GCNM70,EC702.050.090.016NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.671.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5-0.64-0.521.420.50309EC84,GEL7-0.49-0.551.800.79319EC93,CK130.892.350.97321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.552.4Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.96Ks = 11.23 from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33Ks = 10.56 from 2MASS, strong H\$\alpha\$ emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08-1.290.590.59367-0.83-1.000.020.54Ks = 10.13 from 2MASS, strong H\$\alpha\$ em.370-0.83-1.000.020.54Ks = 10.13 from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H\$\alpha\$ em. (to be published)379		GCNM63,EC68					
291GCNM70,EC702.050.090.016NIR excess298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.671.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5-0.64-0.521.420.50309EC84,GEL7-0.49-0.551.800.79319EC93,CK130.892.350.97Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.552.4Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram355K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096Ks = 11.23 from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33Ks = 10.56 from 2MASS, strong H\$\alpha\$ emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08-1.090.590.59367-0.83-1.000.020.54Ks = 10.13 from 2MASS, strong H\$\alpha\$ em.370-0.83-1.000.020.54Ks = 10.13 from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H\$\alpha\$ em. (to be published)379-1.95-2.240.811.2Ks = 8.09 from			-0.80				1 5 7 5
298EC74,CK9,GEL4-0.48-0.291.671.7Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$ 304EC79,GEL5-0.64-0.521.420.50309EC84,GEL7-0.49-0.551.800.79319EC93,CK130.892.350.97Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.552.4Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096Ks = 11.23 from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33Ks = 10.56 from 2MASS, strong Hα emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08-1.290.590.59367-0.87-1.160.340.12Ks = 11.67 from 2MASS, strong Hα em.370-0.83-1.000.020.54Ks = 10.13 from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both Hα em. (to be published)379-1.95-2.240.811.2Ks = 8.09 from 2MASS393-1.44-2.270.440.066double in K		,					
304EC79,GEL5 $-0.64$ $-0.52$ $1.42$ $0.50$ 309EC84,GEL7 $-0.49$ $-0.55$ $1.80$ $0.79$ 319EC93,CK13 $ -0.89$ $2.35$ $0.97$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram321CK4,GEL12,EC97 $-0.42$ $-0.44$ $1.16$ $2.8$ 328EC105,CK8,GEL13 $-0.73$ $-0.38$ $0.55$ $2.4$ Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL15 $ -2.21$ $1.36$ $0.25$ No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29 $-1.01$ $-1.34$ $0.39$ $0.42$ 351EC141 $ -1.12$ $2.05$ $0.16$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC152 $ -1.03$ $0.70$ $0.030$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357 $-1.11$ $-1.73$ $0.25$ $0.096$ $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359 $-0.92$ $-1.20$ $0.38$ $0.33$ $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.29$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K </td <td></td> <td>· ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		· ·					
309EC84,GEL7 $-0.49$ $-0.55$ $1.80$ $0.79$ 319EC93,CK13 $ -0.89$ $2.35$ $0.97$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram321CK4,GEL12,EC97 $-0.42$ $-0.44$ $1.16$ $2.8$ 328EC105,CK8,GEL13 $-0.73$ $-0.38$ $0.55$ $2.4$ Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL15 $ -2.21$ $1.36$ $0.25$ No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29 $-1.01$ $-1.34$ $0.39$ $0.42$ 351EC141 $ -1.12$ $2.05$ $0.16$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC152 $ -1.03$ $0.70$ $0.30$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357 $-1.11$ $-1.73$ $0.25$ $0.096$ $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359 $-0.92$ $-1.20$ $0.38$ $0.33$ $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.29$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K		, ,					Variable, $\Delta K = 0.15$
319EC93,CK130.892.350.97Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram321CK4,GEL12,EC97-0.42-0.441.162.8328EC105,CK8,GEL13-0.73-0.380.552.4Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096Ks = 11.23 from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33Ks = 10.56 from 2MASS, strong H\$\alpha\$ emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08-1.290.590.59367-0.87-1.160.340.12Ks = 11.67 from 2MASS, strong H\$\alpha\$ em.370-0.83-1.000.020.54Ks = 10.13 from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H\$\alpha\$ em. (to be published)379-1.95-2.240.811.2Ks = 8.09 from 2MASS393-1.44-2.270.440.066double in K							
321CK4,GEL12,EC97 $-0.42$ $-0.44$ $1.16$ $2.8$ 328EC105,CK8,GEL13 $-0.73$ $-0.38$ $0.55$ $2.4$ Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL15 $ -2.21$ $1.36$ $0.25$ No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29 $-1.01$ $-1.34$ $0.39$ $0.42$ 351EC141 $ -1.12$ $2.05$ $0.16$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC152 $ -1.03$ $0.70$ $0.030$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357 $-1.11$ $-1.73$ $0.25$ $0.096$ $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359 $-0.92$ $-1.20$ $0.38$ $0.33$ $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.29$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K							
328EC105,CK8,GEL13 $-0.73$ $-0.38$ $0.55$ $2.4$ Variable, $\Delta K = 0.63$ 338EC117,CK6,GEL15 $ -2.21$ $1.36$ $0.25$ No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29 $-1.01$ $-1.34$ $0.39$ $0.42$ 351EC141 $ -1.12$ $2.05$ $0.16$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC152 $ -1.03$ $0.70$ $0.030$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357 $-1.11$ $-1.73$ $0.25$ $0.096$ $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359 $-0.92$ $-1.20$ $0.38$ $0.33$ $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.29$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in $K$		,					Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram
338EC117,CK6,GEL152.211.360.25No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity348EC135,GGD29-1.01-1.340.390.42351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096 $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33 $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08-1.290.590.59367-0.87-1.160.340.12 $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370-0.83-1.000.020.54 $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379-1.95-2.240.811.2 $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393-1.44-2.270.440.066double in K							
348EC135,GGD29 $-1.01$ $-1.34$ $0.39$ $0.42$ 351EC141 $ -1.12$ $2.05$ $0.16$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC152 $ -1.03$ $0.70$ $0.030$ Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357 $-1.11$ $-1.73$ $0.25$ $0.096$ $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359 $-0.92$ $-1.20$ $0.38$ $0.33$ $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.29$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in $K$							,
351EC1411.122.050.16Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram356K40,EC1521.030.700.030Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096 $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33 $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08-1.290.590.59367-0.87-1.160.340.12 $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370-0.83-1.000.020.54 $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379-1.95-2.240.811.2 $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393-1.44-2.270.440.066double in $K$							No IR excess, but ass. with nebulosity
356K40,EC1520.30Clear IR excess in the $H - K/K - m_7$ diagram357-1.11-1.730.250.096 $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359-0.92-1.200.380.33 $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8-1.08-1.290.590.59367-0.87-1.160.340.12 $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370-0.83-1.000.020.54 $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379-1.95-2.240.811.2 $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393-1.44-2.270.440.066double in $K$							
357 $-1.11$ $-1.73$ $0.25$ $0.096$ $Ks = 11.23$ from 2MASS359 $-0.92$ $-1.20$ $0.38$ $0.33$ $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.29$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K							
359 $-0.92$ $-1.20$ $0.38$ $0.33$ $Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)366STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.29$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K		K40,EC152					
366STGM8 $-1.08$ $-1.29$ $0.59$ $0.59$ 367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K							
367 $-0.87$ $-1.16$ $0.34$ $0.12$ $Ks = 11.67$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ em.370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K		<b>ATT CL</b> (0)					$Ks = 10.56$ from 2MASS, strong H $\alpha$ emission (to be published)
370 $-0.83$ $-1.00$ $0.02$ $0.54$ $Ks = 10.13$ from 2MASS, dbl in the optical, both H $\alpha$ em. (to be published)379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS393 $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K		STGM8					
379 $-1.95$ $-2.24$ $0.81$ $1.2$ $Ks = 8.09$ from 2MASS $393$ $-1.44$ $-2.27$ $0.44$ $0.066$ double in K							-
-1.44 -2.27  0.44  0.066  double in  K							
407 $-1.24 -2.13  0.19  0.021  K_{\rm S} = 12.36 \text{ from 2MASS}$							
	407		-1.24	-2.13	0.19	0.021	Ks = 12.36 from 2MASS

Identifier acronyms as in Table 2.

Class II sources are found to radiate the bulk of their flux in the near-IR. The 6.7  $\mu$ m band is expected to be dominated by disk emission, e.g. for a simple black-body at T = 3700 K only about 8% of the luminosity is radiated in this band (Bontemps et al. 2001). Thus we can relate the mid-IR flux to the total stellar luminosity by assuming that what we see is dust emission from a passive reprocessing circumstellar disk. For most Class II sources any contribution to the luminosity from an active accretion disk is assumed to be negligible. This is supported by measurements of the disk accretion rates of CTTS in Taurus, which are found to have a median value of only  $10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (e.g., Gullbring et al. 1998). If the dust in the disk is distributed roughly as in the ideal case of an infinite, spatially flat disk, 25% of the central source luminosity is absorbed and reradiated by the dust (Adams & Shu 1986). If the disk is flared, the percentage increases, and if it has an inner hole, it decreases. Furthermore, the disk inclination angle *i* (to the line of sight) determines what fraction of the disk



**Fig. 8.** The 6.7  $\mu$ m luminosity function for the sample of Class Is and flat-spectrum sources (*lower*) and Class II sources (*upper*).

luminosity we observe. In addition, we have to correct for cloud extinction. Since many of our Class II sources are not detected at 14.3  $\mu$ m we select to use the 6.7  $\mu$ m flux only.

Olofsson et al. (1999) calibrated an empirical relation between the observed 6.7  $\mu$ m flux and the stellar luminosity by selecting sources with known spectral class and very small extinction in RCrA and Chamaeleon I. Correcting this relation to the larger distance of Serpens we get here that  $\log (L_{\star}/L_{\odot}) =$  $\log F_{6.7}(Jy) + 0.95$ . Before we can apply this relation, however, we have to correct  $F_{6.7}$  for cloud extinction. For the 21 Class IIs which have Arnica JHK photometry, we assume an intrinsic colour of  $(J - H)_0 = 0.85$ , which is a median value with small dispersion found for CTTS (Strom et al. 1993; Meyer et al. 1997), and estimate the extinction in the K-band,  $A_K = 0.97 \times [(J - H) - (J - H)_0]$ , applying the  $\lambda^{-1.9}$  extinction law for the near-IR found for Serpens (Kaas 1999a). Sources without J-band photometry are either not detected in J – probably owing to extinction - or they are located outside the area observed in the near-IR. In the first case (ISO-Ser-226, 269, 279) we interpolate extinction values of their neighbouring Class II sources, and in the second case we use the recently available 2MASS PSC and a dereddening as above. We do not transform from 2MASS Ks to Arnica K, since the difference is less than or about equal to the estimated errors in the photometry (0.01-0.02 mag).

All extinction values are listed in Table 6 expressed as  $A_K$ , but only approximate values are given for ISO-Ser-226, 269 and 279, since it cannot be known at which depth in the cloud these objects reside. In Sect. 4 we found the relation  $A_{6.7} =$  $0.41 A_K$ , which is used to correct the 6.7  $\mu$ m flux. The derived stellar luminosity  $L_{\star}$  for each Class II source is also listed in Table 6. The uncertainty in the luminosity estimate is a function of the uncertainties in: 1) the extinction estimate; 2) the distance; 3) the  $L_{\star}$  vs.  $F_{6.7}$  relation itself, of which the last two will be systematic errors for the whole sample. Comparison with previous luminosity estimates by Eiroa & Casali (1992), but scaled to the distance we use, shows that for the 13 objects that overlap in these two samples, there is a large scatter; the

**Table 7.** Five candidate Class III sources from Table 3. These are probably in the transition phase between Class II and III having some remaining IR excess. *K*-band magnitudes for two sources are obtained from Kaas et al. (1995).

ISC	O Other ID	$\alpha_{IR}^{7-14}$	$\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$	$\alpha_{IR}^{2-7}$
112	2	-1.67		
19	)	-1.98	-1.83	-1.73
210	)	-2.00		
31	BD+01 3689B	-1.73	-2.21	-2.54
332	2	-1.72		

Identifier acronyms as in Table 3.


fraction  $L/L_{EC92}$  varies from 0.5 to 3.7 but the median value is 1.1.

The completeness estimate we found in Sect. 3.1 for detections in the 6.7  $\mu$ m band is 6 mJy. Because of the variable extinction this cannot be directly translated to a completeness for Class II sources in terms of stellar luminosities. We have used the average measured extinction of  $A_K = 1.0$  for our Class II sample and calculated that the completeness limit is at  $L = 0.08 L_{\odot}$ .

#### 7. Implications for the IMF in Serpens

Eiroa & Casali (1992) first estimated a luminosity function (LF) for the young cluster in the Serpens Cloud Core, including their 51 identified cluster members. The stellar luminosities were obtained from a trapezoidal integration over the detected wavelengths, extrapolated to longer wavelengths and extinction-corrected. This LF showed a pronounced peak around 1  $L_{\odot}$  and a turnover below 0.2  $L_{\odot}$ . Giovannetti et al. (1998) evaluated synthetic K-band luminosity functions (KLFs) and found a best fit to their observed KLF with two bursts of star formation, one 0.1 Myrs ago and the other around 3 Myrs ago, and an underlying Miller & Scalo IMF. This was partly based on their finding of a turnover in the KLF above K = 14 mag. A later study expanded the number of Serpens members and found no evidence for a turnover of the KLF down to a limit of K = 16 mag (Kaas 1999a). The weakness of KLFs is that differential extinction is not taken into account, and for Serpens this is especially important as values as high as  $A_V \sim 50$  mag have been observed for background stars, and  $A_{\rm V} \sim 100$  mag is expected for the densest regions of the NW-SE ridge (White et al. 1995).

By selecting sources in the Class II phase only, we here constrain the age spread somewhat (Bontemps et al. 2001). This phase is thought to last of the order of a few Myr. This means that Class IIs can have a similar age spread, but we cannot rule out that the Class IIs once formed in a burst like we see now for the protostars (cf. Sect. 8). We have here made the simple assumption of coeval star formation. In Fig. 9 we show our observed LF for the sample of 43 Class II sources (shaded histograms). The bin width of the histogram is d log L = 0.325, based on a factor of two uncertainty in the luminosity estimate, and the histogram has been shifted and the bin size slightly



**Fig. 9.** Synthetic LFs were calculated for 4 different ages of a coeval population on the basis of pre-main sequence tracks of D'Antona & Mazzitelli (1998) and 3 different underlying IMFs. The number of sources per bin is plotted vs. log *L*, and the synthetic LFs are plotted over the observed LF for the Class II sources in Serpens (histogram). Both the Scalo (1998) three-segment power-law IMF (solid) and the Kroupa et al. (1993) three-segment power-law IMF (dashed) seem plausible for a coeval population of ~2 Myr all the way down to the estimated completeness limit at  $L \sim 0.08 L_{\odot}$  (dotted vertical line). The observed LF is also consistent with the Salpeter IMF (dashed-dotted) for this age at the high luminosity end (it has been extrapolated to low masses for reference only).

varied to check that the presented distribution remains stable. The observed LF shows a pronounced peak at  $L \sim 0.09 L_{\odot}$ , but this corresponds roughly to the completeness limit we have estimated for this sample (dotted vertical line). The error bars are the statistical counting errors ( $\sqrt{N}$ ). The many luminous objects of around 1  $L_{\odot}$  found by Eiroa & Casali (1992) have disappeared as the sample has been restricted to Class II sources.

We have assumed coeval populations and three different underlying IMFs: the Salpeter IMF (Salpeter 1955), the Kroupa et al. three-segment power-law IMF (Kroupa et al. 1993), and the Scalo three-segment power-law IMF (Scalo 1998), hereafter S55, KTG93 and S98, respectively. With the IMF in the form:  $dN/d \log M_{\star} \propto M_{\star}^{\alpha}$ , the Salpeter IMF has one single index:  $\alpha = -1.35$ , originally determined for the mass interval 0.4 to 10  $M_{\odot}$  but in Fig. 9 extrapolated to lower masses for reference. Both the KTG93 and S98 IMFs have three different values of  $\alpha$  for three (differently divided) segments. Bontemps et al. (2001) found for a large sample of Class II objects in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi that the high mass end of the mass function was well fitted with the index  $\alpha = -1.7$ . Also, they found that the IMF starts to flatten at  $M_{\text{flat}} = 0.55 M_{\odot}$  and stays "flat" down to 0.055  $M_{\odot}$  with a power-law index  $\alpha_{\text{flat}} = -0.35$ . These best fits of the two free parameters  $M_{\text{flat}}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{flat}}$  result in a mass function close to both the KTG93 and S98 IMFs for  $\rho$  Ophiuchi.


On the basis of the pre-main sequence evolutionary models of D'Antona & Mazzitelli (1994, 1998), with the 1998 upgrade for low mass stars, we have computed synthetic LFs for each IMF and for the four different ages: 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 Myr of a coeval cluster. Each window in Fig. 9 shows the result for one age, and the computed LFs are overplotted on the observed LF. The peak in the LF which wanders towards lower luminosities with increasing age arises because of the deuterium burning phase (Zinnecker et al. 1993). Deuterium burning acts like a thermostat and hampers somewhat the contraction down the Hayashi track, causing a build-up of sources in a given luminosity bin for the case of coeval star formation. The peak in the observed LF, however, is approximately at the completeness limit of the sample, and we cannot put too much confidence in it. Nevertheless, it is obvious from the figure that the observed LF excludes ages of less than about 1 Myr for this Class II population for any of three underlying IMFs, which is in agreement with current understanding of YSO evolution. It is not possible to distinguish between the S98 IMF (solid line) and the KTG93 IMF (dashed), but both of them are plausible for a coeval population with an age of about 2 Myr, all the way down to the estimated completeness limit. Also the Salpeter IMF (dashed-dotted line) is in agreement with the observed LF for ages of 2–3 Myr at the high luminosity end. Its extrapolation to lower masses is given in the plots just for reference.

Note, however, that if star formation proceeds in a more continuous fashion, the structures in the model LFs will smooth out. We have checked the model LFs for the case of continuous star formation over several intervals from 0.5 to 3 Myrs. Although the statistics in the observed LF is poor, it seems we can exclude the combination of the above tested IMFs and continuous SF over periods of less than 2 Myrs. We also tested the case of a single star formation burst taking place 2 Myr ago but having various durations from 0.2 to 1.0 Myr. The peak in the observed LF is not secure enough to discriminate between the various burst durations. For the tested IMFs and SF histories, all we can conclude is that an age of less than 2 Myr for the Class II population seems implausible.

Corrections for binarity have not been applied, but such a correction would increase the populations in the lowest luminosity bins. We conclude that with the currently obtained LF the Class II mass function in Serpens is similar to the one in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001), even though flat-spectrum sources were included in the  $\rho$  Ophiuchi sample. We note that the typical stellar mass found for the Serpens Class II sample (i.e. the median) is 0.17  $M_{\odot}$ , the same as in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001). The total mass of the Class II sample in Serpens is 16.3  $M_{\odot}$ .

We have also used the Baraffe et al. (1998) evolutionary tracks and calculated synthetic LFs with the same underlying IMFs as above and for the ages: 1, 2, 3, and 4 Myr. This model gives basically the same result as that of D'Antona & Mazzitelli (1998) for the degree of confidence we can put in our observed LF, taking the large error bars in the histogram into account.

Adopting the above deduced age of 2 Myr for the Serpens Class II population, our study is estimated to be complete to about 0.17  $M_{\odot}$ , but to reach down to 0.03–0.04  $M_{\odot}$ . Taking 0.08  $M_{\odot}$  as the border between low mass stars and brown dwarfs, we find 9 sub-stellar size objects in the Serpens Class II sample (those with  $L_{\star} \leq 0.04 L_{\odot}$  in Table 6). These are young *brown dwarfs* (BDs) apparently going through a Class II phase



**Fig. 10.** Spatial distribution of the ISOCAM sources in the Serpens Cloud. Sources with mid-IR excesses (filled circles) are seen to cluster strongly in the Cloud Core (field CE), while the CW field contains almost exclusively sources without mid-IR excesses (open circles). Indicated are also sources with 6.7  $\mu$ m detections only (plus signs) and 14.3  $\mu$ m detections only (crosses). The coordinates have epoch J2000. Each of the 3 separate ISOCAM rasters, CE, CW, and CS are indicated, and the small box outlines the region where deep *JHK* imaging is available (Kaas 1999a).

just like low mass stars. They all have IR excesses with no apparent deviations from other Class IIs in any aspect, which is consistent with the idea that they formed in the same way as low-mass stars do. Our sample of BDs is not complete, however, and we cannot discuss the frequency of occurrence of disks among BDs or set strong constraints on BD formation models.

Based on the age estimate above, we find that ~21% of the Class II sample studied is made up of free-floating brown dwarfs. This is similar to the percentage found in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001). The percentage in terms of mass is, however, only about 3% of the total mass for the whole Class II sample.


#### 8. Spatial distributions

The spatial distribution of the ISOCAM sources is shown in Fig. 10 together with the location of the three main rasters CE, CW and CS. The filled circles indicate sources with mid-IR excesses (cf. Table 2), the open circles are the sources without mid-IR excesses (cf. Table 3), the plus signs are sources with 6.7  $\mu$ m fluxes only, and crosses sources with 14.3  $\mu$ m fluxes only. The small box outlines the 8' × 6' field for which deep *JHK* imaging is obtained. The overall spatial distribution of the mid-IR excess YSOs shows a very clear concentration along a SE–NW oriented ridge within the CE field, mainly coinciding

with what is known as the Serpens Cloud Core. In the CW field there is practically no sign of activity, while the distribution of IR-excess YSOs in the CS field is quite scattered. The majority of the mid-IR excess sources seem to be distributed along a curved filament pointing towards a centre of curvature around 18:29:10, +01:05:00 (J2000). Nothing particular was found at this location searching SIMBAD, however, and whether star formation along this arc may be externally triggered remains speculative. The IRAS Point Source Catalogue contains six sources in the area surveyed by ISOCAM (cf. Appendix A for details).

#### 8.1. Clustering scales

The concentration of young sources found along the NW–SE ridge, corresponds well to the pronounced density enhancement seen in the IRAM 1300  $\mu$ m map in Fig. 11. It is evident that the Class I and the flat-spectrum sources are spatially more confined to the NW–SE ridge than the Class II sources, which have a more scattered distribution. In particular, the protostars seem to form a set of sub-clusters lined up along the ridge. These sub-clusters are in good positional agreement with the kinematically separated N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> cores A, B, C, D found by Testi et al. (2000), especially with B, C, and D. The SVS4 sub-cluster




**Fig. 11.** Dust continuum mosaic (contours and greyscale) of the Serpens main core taken at 1.3 mm with the IRAM 30 m telescope and the MPIfR 37-channel bolometer array (MAMBO). Contour levels: 50. to 200 by 50, 300 to 1000. by 100. mJy/11"-beam; rms noise level:  $\sim$ 17 mJy/11"-beam. The Class 0 sources are indicated with names and arrows. The location of Class I sources (red circles), flat-spectrum sources (red crosses), and Class II sources (blue filled squares) demonstrates the strong clustering of protostar candidates along the dense filament.

lies between cores A and B, however, and is also displaced to the west of the SMM4 mm continuum peak.

The spatial distribution of all the classes of YSOs within the central  $6' \times 8'$  of the Cloud Core can be seen overlaid on a *K* band image in Fig. 12. To quantify the scale of sub-clustering of the two populations: protostar candidates (Class I and flatspectrum sources) and Class II sources, we show for each population the distribution of separations between the sources. Figure 13 shows the result as the number of pairs vs. separation. The bin size was chosen to be 6 times the minimum separation. The difference between the two populations is clear. The protostar candidates (circles) have a minimum scale of clustering of about 0.12 pc (95"), a secondary peak at 0.36 pc, and practically no scattered distribution, while the Class II sources have a minimum clustering scale of about 0.25 pc, a secondary peak at 0.59 pc and a much more distributed population, in agreement with the maps. The clustering scale of 0.12 pc for the protostars agrees well with the range of radii of the N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> cores: 0.055 to 0.115 pc (Olmi & Testi 2002), and the second peak at about 0.4 pc reflects well the size scale of the most active region in the Serpens Core.

The correspondence between the high density gas and the compactness of the protostar clusters indicates that these sources are found close to their birth place. Assuming a




**Fig. 12.** A *K* band image of the Serpens Cloud Core  $(6' \times 8')$  from Kaas (1999a) with the Class Is (circles), flat-spectrum sources (squares) and Class IIs (hexagonals) marked. The "blue" ISOCAM sources are marked with triangles. The ridge along SE–NW is well outlined by the Class I and flat-spectrum sources.

typical velocity dispersion in the range from 0.1 to 1 km s<sup>-1</sup>, YSOs younger than 10<sup>5</sup> yrs can be expected to have moved at most 0.1 pc from their birth place. The typical ages of Class I sources are estimated to be of this order in other regions such as  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Greene et al. 1994) and Taurus (Kenyon et al. 1990). Our results indicate that the protostar candidates in each cluster must have formed from the same core at about the same time, which may put constraints on models of cloud fragmentation and core formation within clumps. Each sub-cluster contains between 6 and 12 protostar candidates, and this is just a lower limit because of the spatial resolution of ISOCAM. Adopting the Serpens distance of 260 pc yields a protostar surface density in the sub-clusters in the range from  $500-1100 \text{ pc}^{-2}$ . To our knowledge, such a high spatial density of protostars has not been found in any other nearby star formation region, although we note that the Class 0 surface density in Orion-OMC3 is comparable to that in the Serpens Core (Chini et al. 1997).

The sub-clustering in the observed 2D projection of the cluster does not necessarily correspond to the true spatial distribution of the objects, but it seems highly unlikely that these concentrations are due to elongated cloud structures seen endon. Sub-clustering was also found for the Class II sources in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001), with a similar size scale as for the Class II population in Serpens. It seems likely that the strong clustering of Serpens protostars will evolve into looser clusters of Class IIs over a few 10<sup>6</sup> yrs. The expansion of the clustering scales from 0.12 to 0.25 pc and the assumed ages of these two populations suggest that the velocity dispersion of the young stars is of the order of only 0.05 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 9. Star formation rate and efficiency

Assuming that a recent burst of star formation took place  $\sim 2 \times 10^5$  yrs ago, producing the current population of



**Fig. 13.** The distribution of source separations for Class I and flatspectrum objects (circles) and Class II sources (dots). The number of separations between sources (per bin) is plotted on the *y*-axis as a function of separation in arc minutes on the *x*-axis. The chosen bin size was 6 times the minimum separation, translating to 46.1" and 66.1" for the protostar and Class II source samples, respectively.

protostars, we can derive a rough estimate of the star formation rate (*SFR*) and the star formation efficiency (*SFE*) in this burst. We use our sample of protostar candidates, the flat-spectrum and Class I sources found clustered along the NW–SE oriented ridge. We are not able to estimate their masses, but we hypothesize that they follow the same IMF as the Class II sample. Comparing the total mass of the Class II sample and correcting for the number of sources, we estimate a total mass of 12.1  $M_{\odot}$ for the protostar population. If these sources were formed gradually over  $2 \times 10^5$  yrs, the *SFR* in this microburst would be  $6.1 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ /yr, or for typical masses of  $0.17 M_{\odot}$ , one newborn star every ~2800 yr. This *SFR* is significantly higher than the one found for the whole YSO population in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001).

Comparing the protostellar masses with the gaseous mass in these cores will give us the star formation efficiency, defined as  $SFE = M_{star}/(M_{star} + M_{gas})$ . According to Olmi & Testi (2002) the two sub-clumps they name NW and SE, which contain our four sub-clusters of protostars, are virialised with masses of 60  $M_{\odot}$  each. For our protostar candidates this yields a *SFE* of about 9%. This is the *local SFE* for the sub-clusters along the NW–SE ridge. The global *SFE* calculated for the Class IIs and protostars over the entire cluster (i.e. 28.8  $M_{\odot}$ of stellar mass) is much lower. In the literature we find estimates of the total gas mass from 300  $M_{\odot}$  (McMullin et al. 2000) to 1500  $M_{\odot}$  (White et al. 1995) for surveyed areas of 5 to 10 arcmin, which gives only upper limits on the *SFE* of the order of 2–9%.

The above estimates are based on the hypothesis that the protostars follow the same IMF as the one found for the Class II sources. We have not attempted to derive the protostar mass function. Future high resolution far-IR observations (e.g. ESA's Herschel Space Observatory) would be needed to yield bolometric luminosities of these clustered protostars, and with some assumptions on the accretion rates one could estimate their masses.

#### 10. Summary and conclusions

We have used ISOCAM to survey 0.13 square degrees of the Serpens Cloud Core in two broad bands centred at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m. In combination with our ground based deep *JHK* imaging of the 48 square arcmin central region as well as additional *K* band imaging of about 30 square arcmin to the NW, and a 1.3 mm IRAM map of the central dense filament, we have investigated the mid-IR properties of the young stellar population. The following results are found:

- The number of point sources with reliable flux measurements are 392 at 6.7 μm and 139 at 14.3 μm. Of these, 124 are detected in both bands.
- On the basis of one single colour index, [14.3/6.7], we found that 53 of the 124 objects possess strong mid-IR excesses. Only 28 of these were previously suggested YSO candidates. The large scale spatial distribution of these mid-IR excess sources is strongly concentrated towards the Cloud Core, where it is elongated along NW-SE.
- The near-IR J H/H K diagram is found to have an efficiency of less than 50% in detecting IR excess sources. This efficieny is comparable to that one found in star formation regions in general from ISOCAM data (Kaas & Bontemps 2000) and  $\rho$  Ophiuchi in particular (Bontemps et al. 2001) and should be kept in mind when interpreting *JHK* based data in terms of disk fractions.
- The H-K/K-m<sub>7</sub> diagram separates well intrinsic IR excess from the effects of reddening. From this diagram we were able to increase the number of mid-IR excess sources to 61. This means a fractional increase in the investigated region by 25%.
- Combination of near-IR and mid-IR photometry for reddened stars without IR excesses enables us to estimate the extinction at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m relative to that in the *K* band in the Serpens direction. We find  $A_7 = 0.41 A_K$  and  $A_{14} = 0.36 A_K$ . Our results agree with the extinction law measured towards the Galactic centre by Lutz et al. (1996), as well as with the results from the ISOGAL survey (Jiang et al. 2003).
- Classification of the Serpens YSOs in terms of the SED indices gives 20 Class I sources, 13 flat-spectrum sources, and 43 Class II sources. The number of Class I sources appears to be exceptionally large along the NW–SE oriented ridge, and the number fraction Class I/Class II is almost 10 times higher than normal, an indication that this part of the cluster is extremely young and active.
- The mid-IR luminosities of the Class I sources are on the average larger than those of the Class II sources. Since Class II sources are expected to have SEDs which peak approximately in the near and mid-IR, in contrast to Class Is which radiate most of their luminosity in the far-IR, we conclude that there is a weak indication of luminosity evolution in the Serpens Cloud Core.

- We have estimated extinction and stellar luminosities for the 43 Class II sources found in our survey. The Class II luminosity function is found to be compatible with co-eval formation about 2 Myrs ago and an underlying IMF of the three-segment power-law type (Kroupa et al. 1993; Scalo 1998), similar to the mass function found in  $\rho$  Ophiuchi (Bontemps et al. 2001). With this assumption on age, every fifth Class II is a young brown dwarf.
- Except for one case, the Class I sources are exclusively found in sub-clusters of sizes ~0.12 pc distributed along the NW-SE oriented ridge. The sub-clusters also contain several flat-spectrum sources. In total, each core has formed between 6 and 12 protostars (lower limit) within a very short time. The spatial distribution of the Class II sources, on the other hand, is in general much more dispersed.
- On the assumption that the protostar candidates follow roughly the same IMF as the Class IIs, we derive a *SFR* of  $6.1 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ /yr and a local *SFE* of 9% in the recent microburst of star formation forming the dense sub-clusters of protostars.

The results presented in this study show evidence that the subclusters in the central part of the Serpens Cloud Core were formed by a recent microburst of star formation. The extreme youth of this burst, deduced from the compact clusters of protostar candidates, is supported by independent investigations, such as the rich collection of Class 0 sources found by Casali et al. (1993) and Hurt & Barsony (1996) in the same regions. In addition to the clustered protostar population, we also find a more distributed population of Class II sources, for which we have deduced an age more or less typical of Class IIs found in other regions (2 Myr). In addition to these YSO generations, there is probably also a population of Class III sources, which is undistinguishable from the field star population in our study, but which should be looked for with proper search tools, such as e.g. X-ray mapping (Grosso et al. 2000).

Casali et al. (1993) suggested that their submm sources without near-IR counterparts represented a second phase of active star formation in Serpens. Here we show the co-existence of sources in the various evolutionary stages from Class II and flat-spectrum to Class I and Class 0 sources. While we find an age estimate of 2 Myr for the Class II population, it is highly unlikely that the clustered Class I and flat-spectrum sources are older than a few 10<sup>5</sup> yrs. Our results therefore support the conclusions of Casali et al. (1993) that star formation has proceeded in several phases in Serpens.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Carlos Eiroa for stimulating discussions and helpful comments. We also thank an anonymous referee for comments that helped us improve the paper. The ISOCAM data presented in this paper were reduced using "CIA", a joint development by the ESA Astrophysics Division and the ISOCAM Consortium led by the ISOCAM PI, C. Cesarsky, Direction des Sciences de la Matière, C.E.A., France. The near-IR data presented in this paper were obtained with the ARcetri Near InfraRed CAmera (ARNICA) at the Nordic Optical Telescope in 1996, and Carlo Baffa, Mauro Sozzi, Ruggero Stanga and Leonardo Testi from the ARNICA team are acknowledged for the instrument support. The Nordic Optical Telescope is operated on the island of La Palma jointly by Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, and Sweden, in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias. This publication made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France, and data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. Financial support from the Swedish National Space Board is acknowledged.

#### References

- Abergel, A., Bernard, J. P., Boulanger, F., et al. 1996, A&A, 315, L329
- Abergel, A., Desert, F.-X., & Aussel, H. 1996, IAS model for ISOCAM LW transient correction
- Adams, F. C., & Shu, F. H. 1986, ApJ, 308, 836
- Adams, F. C., Lada, C. J., & Shu, F. H. 1987, ApJ, 312, 788
- Alexander, R. D., Casali, M. M., André, P., Persi, P., & Eiroa, C. 2003, A&A, 401, 613
- André, P., Ward-Thompson, D., & Barsony, M. 1993, ApJ, 406, 122
- André, P., Ward-Thompson, D., & Barsony, M. 2000, in Protostars and Planets IV, ed. V. Mannings, A. P. Boss, & S. Russel, 59
- André, P., & Montmerle, T. 1994, ApJ, 420, 837
- Bally, J., & Lada, C. J. 1983, ApJ, 265, 824
- Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 1998, A&A, 337, 403
- Blommaert, J., Metcalfe, L., Altieri, B., et al. 2000, Experimental Astronomy, 10, 241
- Bontemps, S. 1996, Ph.D. Thesis
- Bontemps, S., Nordh, L., Olofsson, G., et al. 1998, in Starformation with ISO, ed. J. Yun, & R. Liseau, 141
- Bontemps, S., Nordh, L., Olofsson, G., et al. 1999, in The Universe as seen by ISO, ESA SP-427, 475
- Bontemps, S., André, P., Kaas, A. A., et al. 2001, A&A, 372, 173
- Brandner, W., Zinnecker, H., Alcalá, J. M., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 950
- Broguière, D., Neri, R., & Sievers, A. 1995, NIC bolometer users guide (IRAM internal report)
- Cabrit, S., Couturier, S., André, P., et al. 1998, in Starformation with ISO, ed. J. Yun, & R. Liseau, 326
- Cambrésy, L. 1999, A&A, 345, 965
- Calvet, N., Magris, C. G., Patiño, A., & D'Alessio, P. 1992, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis., 24, 27
- Casali, M. M., & Eiroa, C. 1996, A&A, 306, 427
- Casali, M. M., & Matthews, H. E. 1992, MNRAS, 258, 399
- Casali, M. M., Eiroa, C., & Duncan, W. D. 1993, A&A, 275, 195
- Chavarria-K, C., de Lara, E., Finkenzeller, U., Mendoza, E. E., & Ocegueda, J. 1988, A&A, 197, 151
- Chiang, E. I., & Goldreich, P. 1999, ApJ, 519, 279
- Chini, R., Reipurth, B., Ward-Thompson, D., et al. 1997, ApJ, 474, L135
- Chiar, J. E., Adamson, A. J., Kerr, T. H., & Whittet, D. C. B. 1994, ApJ, 426, 240
- Churchwell, E., & Koornneef, J. 1986, ApJ, 300, 729 (CK)
- Clark, F. O. 1991, ApJS, 75, 611
- Cesarsky, C. J., Abergel, A., Agnèse, P., et al. 1996, A&A, 315, L32
- Comerón, F., Neuhäuser, R., & Kaas, A. A. 2000, A&A, 359, 269
- Curiel, S., Rodríguez, L. F., Moran, J. M., & Cantó, J. 1993, ApJ, 415, 191
- Curiel, S., Rodríguez, L. F., Gómez, J. F., et al. 1995, ApJ, 456, 677
- D'Antona, F., & Mazzitelli, I. 1994, ApJS, 90, 467
- D'Antona, F., & Mazzitelli, I. 1998, in Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets, ed. R. Rebolo, E. L. Martin, & M. R. Zapatero Osorio, 442

- Davis, C. J., Matthews, H. E., Ray, T. P., Dent, W. R. F., & Richer, J. S. 1999, MNRAS, 309, 141
- de Graauw, Th., Whittet, D. C. B., Gerakines, P. A., et al. 1996, A&A, 315, L345
- Delaney, M. 1997, ed. of ISOCAM Interactive Analysis User's Manual v1.5
- Draine, B. T., & Lee, H. M. 1984, ApJ, 285, 89
- Emerson, D. T., Klein, U., & Haslam, C. G. T. 1979, A&A, 76, 92
- Eiroa, C., & Casali, M. M. 1989, A&A, 223, L17
- Eiroa, C., & Casali, M. 1992, A&A, 262, 468 (EC)
- Eiroa, C., Palacios, J., Eislöffel, J., Casali, M. M., & Curiel, S. 1997, in Low Mass Star Formation – from infall to Outflow, ed. F. Malbet, & A. Castets, 103
- Festin, L. 1998, A&A, 336, 883
- Fletcher, A. B., & Stahler, S. W. 1994, ApJ, 435, 313
- Fletcher, A. B., & Stahler, S. W. 1994, ApJ, 435, 329
- Gålfalk, M., Olofsson, G., Kaas, A. A., et al. 2004, A&A, submitted
- Giovannetti, P., Caux, E., Nadeau, D., & Monin J.-L. 1998, A&A, 330, 990 (GCNM)
- Gómez de Castro, A. I., Eiroa, C., & Lenzen, R. 1988, A&A, 201, 299 (GEL)
- Greene, T. P., Wilking, B. A., André, P., Young, E. T., & Lada, C. J. 1994, ApJ, 434, 614
- Griffin, M. J., & Orton, G. S. 1993, Icarus, 105, 337
- Grosso, N., Montmerle, T., Bontemps, S., André, P., & Feigelson, E. D. 2000, A&A, 359, 113
- Gullbring, E., Hartmann, L., Briceño, C., & Calvet, N. 1998, ApJ, 492, 323
- Gyulbudaghian, A. L., Glushkov, Yu. I., & Denisyuk, E. K. 1978, ApJ, 224, L137 (GGD)
- Hartigan, P., & Lada, C. J. 1985, ApJS, 59, 383 (HL)
- Haisch, K. E., Jr, Lada, E. A., & Lada, C. J. 2001, ApJ, 553, L153
- Herbst, T. M., Beckwith, S. V. W., & Robberto, M. 1997, ApJ, 486, L59
- Hillenbrand, L. A., Strom, S. E., Calvet, N., et al. 1998, AJ, 116, 1816
- Hodapp, K.-W. 1999, AJ, 118, 1338
- Hodapp, K.-W., Hora, J. L., Rayner, J. T., Pickles, A. J., & Ladd, E. F. 1996, ApJ, 468, 861
- Hony, S. 2003, private communication
- Horrobin, M. J., Casali, M. M., & Eiroa, C. 1997, A&A, 320, L41 (HCE)
- Huard, T. L., Weintraub, D. A., & Kastner, J. H. 1997, MNRAS, 290, 598
- Hurt, R. L., & Barsony, M. 1996, ApJ, 460, L45 (HB)
- Jiang, B. W., Omont, A., Ganesh, S., Simon, G., & Schuller, F. 2003, A&A, 400, 903
- Kaas, A. A., Olofsson, G., & Fridlund, M. 1995, Mem. S. A. It., 66, 685
- Kaas, A. A. 1999a, AJ, 118, 558 (K)
- Kaas, A. A. 1999b, Ph.D. Thesis, Stockholm University
- Kaas, A. A., & Bontemps, S. 2000, in From Darkness to Light: Origin and Evolution of Young Stellar Clusters, ed. T. Montmerle, & P. André, ASP Conf. Proc., 243, 367
- Kenyon, S. J., & Hartmann, L. 1990, ApJ, 349, 197
- Kenyon, S. J., & Hartmann, L. 1995, ApJS, 101, 117
- Kenyon, S. J., Hartmann, L., Strom, K., & Strom, S. 1990, AJ, 99, 869
- Kessler, M. F., Steinz, J. A., Anderegg, M. E., et al. 1996, A&A, 315, L27
- Koornneef, J. 1983, A&A, 128, 84
- Kreysa, E., Gemünd, H.-P., Gromke, J., et al. 1998, in Advanced Technology MMW, Radio, and Terahertz Telescopes, ed. T. G. Phillips, Proc. SPIE, 3357, 319
- Kroupa, P., Tout, C., & Gilmore, G. F. 1993, MNRAS, 262, 545

- Lada, C. J. 1987, in Star Forming Regions, ed. M. Peimbert, & J. Jugaku,, IAU Symp., 115, 1
- Lada, C. J., & Wilking, B. A. 1984, ApJ, 287, 610
- Lada, C. J., & Adams, F. C. 1992, ApJ, 393, 278
- Larsson, B., Liseau, R., Men'shchikov, A. B., et al. 2000, A&A, 363, 253
- Larsson, B., Liseau, R., & Men'shchikov, A. B. 2002, A&A, 386, 1055
- Little, L. T., Brown, A. T., MacDonald, G. H., Riley, P. W., & Matheson, D. N. 1980, MNRAS, 193, 115
- Lutz, D., Feuchtgruber, H., Genzel, R., et al. 1996, A&A, 315, L269
- Mathis, J. S., Rumpl, W., & Nordsieck, K. H. 1977, ApJ, 217, 425
- McMullin, J. P., Mundy, L., Wilking, B. A., Hezel, T., & Blake, G. A. 1994, ApJ, 424, 222 (MMW)
- McMullin, J. P., Mundy, L., Blake, G. A., et al. 2000, ApJ, 536, 845
- Meyer, M. R., Calvet, N., & Hillenbrand, L. A. 1997, ApJ, 114, 288
- Moneti, A., et al. 1999, in Astrophysics with Infrared Surveys: A Prelude to SIRTF, ASP Conf. Ser., 177, 355
- Motte, F., & André, P. 2001, A&A, 365, 440
- Natta, A., & Testi, L. 2001, A&A, 376, L22
- Nordh, H. L., van Duinen, R. J., Sargent, A. J., et al. 1982, A&A, 115, 308
- Nordh, L., Olofsson, G., Abergel, A., et al. 1996, A&A, 315, L185
- Nordh, L., Olofsson, G., Bontemps, S., et al. 1998, in Star formation with ISO, ed. J. L. Yun, & R. Liseau, 127
- Olmi, L., & Testi, L. 2002, A&A, 392, 1053
- Olofsson, G. 2000, in Tetons 4: Galactic Structure, Stars and the Interstellar Medium, ed. C. E. Woodward, M. D. Bicay, & J. M. Shull, ASP Conf. Ser., 231, 419
- Olofsson, G., Kaas, A. A., Nordh, L., et al. 1998, in Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets, ed. R. Rebolo, E. L. Martin, & M. R. Zapatero Osorio, 81
- Olofsson, G., Huldtgren, M., Kaas, A. A., et al. 1999, A&A, 350, 883
- Padgett, D. L., Brandner, W., Stapelfeldt, K. R., et al. 1999, AJ, 117, 1490
- Park, S., & Kenyon, S. J. 2002, AJ, 123, 3370
- Persi, P., Marenzi, A. R., Olofsson, G., et al. 2000, A&A, 357, 219
- Preibisch, T. 1998, A&A, 338, 25
- Prusti, T. 1999, in The Universe as seen by ISO, ESA SP-427, 453
- Prusti, T., Whittet, D. C. B., & Wesselius, P. R. 1992, MNRAS, 254, 361
- Rieke, G. H., Rieke, M. J., & Paul, A. E. 1989, ApJ, 336, 752
- Rodriguez, L. F., Curiel, S., Moran, J. M., et al. 1989, ApJ, 346, L85

- Salpeter, E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Scalo, J. 1998, in The Stellar Initial Mass Function, ed. G. Gilmore, & D. Howell, Astron. Soc. of the Pacific, 142, 201
- Schutte, W. A., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Whittet, D. C. B., et al. 1996, A&A, 315, L333
- Shu, F. H., Adams, F. C., & Lizano, S. 1987, ARA&A, 25, 23
- Siebenmorgen, R., Blommaert, J., Sauvage, M., & Starck, J.-L. 2000, The ISO Handbook, III, The ISO Camera, 1.1, SAI-99-057
- Snell, R. L., & Bally, J. 1986, ApJ, 303, 683
- Sogawa, H., Tamura, M., Gatley, I., & Merrill, K. M. 1997, AJ, 113, 1057
- Starck, J. L., & Pantin, E. 1996, Second Order Dark Correction
- Starck, J. L., Murtagh, F., Pirenne, B., & Albrecht, M. 1996, PASP, 108, 446
- Starck, J. L., Abergel, A., Aussel, H., et al. 1999, A&AS, 134, 135
- Stephenson, C. B. 1992, AJ, 103, 263
- Straižys, V., Černis, K., & Bartašiūtė, S. 1996, Baltic Astron., 5, 125
- Strom, S. E., Vrba, F. J., & Strom, K. M. 1976, AJ, 81, 683 (SVS)
- Strom, K. M., Strom, S. E., & Merrill, K. M. 1993, ApJ, 412, 233
- Testi, L., & Sargent, A. I. 1998, ApJ, 508, L91
- Testi, L., Sargent, A. I., Olmi, L., & Onello, J. S. 2000, ApJ, 540, L53
- Wainscoat, R. J., Cohen, M., Volk, K., Walker, H. J., & Schwartz, D. E. 1992, ApJS, 83, 111
- White, G. J., Casali, M. M., & Eiroa, C. 1995, A&A, 298, 594
- Whitney, B. A., Kenyon, S. J., & Gómez, M. 1997, ApJ, 485, 703
- Whitney, B. A., Wood, K., Bjorkman, J. E., & Wolff, M. J. 2003, [arXiv:astro-ph/0303479]
- Whittet, D. C. B. 1988, in Dust in the Universe, ed. M. E. Bailey, & D. A. Williams, 25
- Whittet, D. C. B., Schutte, W. A., Tielens, A. G. G. M., et al. 1996, A&A, 315, L357
- Williams, J. P., & Myers, P. C. 2000, ApJ, 537, 891
- Wolf-Chase, G. A., Barsony, M., Wootten, H. A., et al. 1998, ApJ, 501, L193
- Zhang, C. Y., Laureijs, R. J., Clark, F. O., & Wesselius, P. R. 1988, A&A, 199, 170
- Zhang, C. Y., Laureijs, R. J., & Clark, F. O. 1988, A&A, 199, 236
- Ziener, R., & Eislöffel, J. 1999, A&A, 347, 565
- Zinnecker, H., MacCaughrean, M. J., & Wilking, B. A. 1993, in Protostars and Planets III, ed. V. Mannings, A. P. Boss, & S. S. Russel (Tucson: University of Arizona Press), 429

A. A. Kaas et al.: ISOCAM survey in Serpens, Online Material p 1

# **Online Material**

#### Appendix A: Notes on some individual sources

#### A.1. IRAS 18269+0116 (ISO-159, 160)

At the location of IRAS 18269+0116 we find ISO-159 and ISO-160, of which the latter is not detected at 14.3  $\mu$ m. A *K*-band image shows that ISO-159 has a bow-shaped nebulosity 7" to WNW (at a level of about 3–4 $\sigma$ , see Fig. 4). The SED index classifies ISO-159 as a flat-spectrum source, while ISO-160 is either an extremely extinguished background source (analogous to CK2) or most likely a Class II object (cf. Fig. 5).

## A.2. IRAS 18271+0102 (ISO-221)

Identified as a YSO by Clark (1991) on the basis of its steeply rising SED towards longer wavelengths. With ISOCAM we see two very bright point sources (221 and 238), of which only ISO-221 has IR-excess.

## A.3. EC21 (ISO-231)


This source was suggested as a YSO on the basis of its location in the K vs H - K diagram by Eiroa & Casali (1992). Deeper near-IR imaging detected the source also in the J band, but found no near-IR excess (Kaas 1999a). It is located in the very dense and active S68N region, but whether it has intrinsic IR-excess at 7  $\mu$ m or is only reddened (in that case, by 3 mag in the K band, which translates to  $A_V \sim 30$  mag), is not clear.

## A.4. EC23 (ISO-232)

Proposed as a YSO on the basis of its H-K colour and 3.08  $\mu$ m ice feature (Eiroa & Casali 1992), but not found to have near-IR excess in a deeper *JHK* study (Kaas 1999a), nor mid-IR excess in this study.

# A.5. IRAS 18272+0114 (DEOS, EC37, EC38, EC53, 234, 237, 241)

IRAS 18272+0114 is resolved into a cluster of protostar candidates: the Deeply Embedded Outburst Source (Hodapp et al. 1996), EC37, EC38 and EC53 (Eiroa & Casali 1992), and three new ISOCAM sources (234, 237, 241). ISO-241 is within the positional uncertainties associated with S68N, a radio source detected by McMullin et al. (1994) and found to satisfy the Class 0 criteria. We use the position at its 450  $\mu$ m emission peak (Wolf-Chase et al. 1998). ISO-241 is a Class I, however, from the shape of its SED from 2 to 14  $\mu$ m. Hodapp (1999) denotes the *K*-band source SMM9-IR, since it is located close to the SMM9 positions quoted in the literature (White et al. 1995; Wolf-Chase et al. 1998; Testi & Sargent 1998; Davis et al. 1999), and shows that it has a very faint *K* companion about 2" to the NE.



**Fig. A.1.** The deep map D2 at 6.7  $\mu$ m (*left*) and 14.3  $\mu$ m (*right*). North up and East left.

#### A.6. EC41 (ISO-258a) and FIRS1 (ISO-258b)

IRAS 18273+0113, also called FIRS1 and SMM1 (Rodriguez et al. 1989; Eiroa & Casali 1989; Casali et al. 1993), is associated with 5 mid-IR excess sources (EC41, 253, 270, 276, 277) plus one 14.3  $\mu$ m source (258b).

ISO-Ser-258a is in agreement with the near-IR source positions of EC41 (Eiroa & Casali 1992) and GCNM23 (Giovannetti et al. 1998), and these are most likely the same source, a Class I YSO according to the  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-14}$  index. The ISOCAM source appeared slightly extended towards the SE both at 6.7 and 14.3  $\mu$ m in most of our data. Larsson et al. (2000) found evidence for two separate sources, however, tracing pixel by pixel the 5 to 15  $\mu$ m SED from CVF observations. This prompted us to take a more careful look, and we identified two clearly separated sources at 14.3  $\mu$ m (rather than one extended source) in one of the deeper (and higher resolution) survey maps, D2 (cf. Fig. A.1). The new source (called 258b), is located about 13" to the SE of EC41. Since the radio position at RA(2000) = 18 29 49.73, Dec(2000) = 01 15 20.8 (Curiel et al. 1993) is 8.6" to the west of 258b we find it unlikely that this source is the mid-IR counterpart of the far-IR source FIRS1, but it could be scattered light we see in the mid-IR. It is not entirely clear if this source is just a knot of the extended emission which appears to begin somewhat south of DEOS and is seen in both filters in Fig. A.1. The northernmost part of this extended emission is, however, disturbed by a memory feature from the strong DEOS source in the raster scanning.

#### A.7. IRAS 18273+0059 (ISO-259)

A prominent extended emission seen in the ISOCAM images corresponds in position to IRAS 18273+0059 (Clark 1991). With ISOCAM, however, we see no apparent bright point source embedded in the nebulosity, but a moderately faint object is detected at 6.7  $\mu$ m only. On optical plates the SE part of this extended emission is recognised as an optical reflection nebula.

#### A.8. IRAS 18274+0112

IRAS 18274+0112 is also composed of multiple mid-IR excess sources centred on SVS20 (cf. Fig. 12). Higher spatial 25  $\mu$ m resolution was obtained by Hurt & Barsony (1996), and their sources PS1 and PS2 (EC129) are among the bright mid-IR excess sources resolved with ISOCAM.

## A.9. EC59 (ISO-272)

Included as a YSO candidate solely on the basis of its location in the K vs. H - K diagram (Eiroa & Casali 1992), and no near-IR excess found in a deeper *JHK* study (Kaas 1999a). Since we have no indication of mid-IR excess its status remains inconclusive.

## A.10. EC69 ISO-289

This source (also called CK10) was suggested as a YSO on the basis of its location in the *K* vs. H - K diagram (Eiroa & Casali 1992), and while near-IR excess was found by Sogawa et al. (1997), no near-IR excess was found in a deeper *JHK* study (Kaas 1999a). The *J*-band magnitude has varied though: 15.7 in 1989, 14.96 in May 1992, 15.6 in Nov. 1992, and 16.15 in Aug. 1996 (Eiroa & Casali 1992; Sogawa et al. 1997; Giovannetti et al. 1998; Kaas 1999a). We conclude that it is

a YSO, and with an  $\alpha_{IR}^{2-7} = -1.42$  it belongs in the Class II group.

#### A.11. EC95 & EC92 (ISO-317)

ISO-317 is located at the position of EC92 according to the coordinates given by Eiroa & Casali (1992), but the positional uncertainty is roughly  $\pm 3''$ . Also, the spatial resolution of ISOCAM is not sufficient to resolve the two neighbours EC92 and EC95, and it is likely that both are included in the ISOCAM fluxes. The mid-IR SED suggests a flat-spectrum source. Slightly closer to the position of EC95, but also with some positional uncertainty, Preibisch (1998) found an extremely strong X-ray source (Ser-X3).

# A.12. ISO-331

This bright mid-IR source is detectable in K as a nebulous spot only. Probably the K flux is dominated by scattered light, and it is therefore not entirely correct to place ISO-331 in colour diagrams together with continuum sources. Nevertheless, the K measurement gives a lower limit to the colour index of the object, which is classified as a Class I source. Its mid-IR position is about 25" to the NNW of SMM2 (Casali et al. 1993), and coincides roughly with the VLA 3.6 cm continuum source #11 found by Bontemps (1996).

## A.13. CK2 (ISO-337)

According to ISOCAM data the source CK2, which is extremely red in the near-IR, has no mid-IR excess and can be interpreted as a background source, consistent with the suggestions of Churchwell & Koornneef (1986), Chiar et al. (1994), Casali & Eiroa (1996) and Sogawa et al. (1997). The extinction towards CK2 is high,  $A_V > 50$  mag.