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Abstract. In this paper we consider the following nonlinear parabolic equation
(*)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}-a(t)\left(u_{r r}+\frac{\gamma}{r} u_{r}\right)+F(r, u)=f(r, t), 0<r<1,0<t<T \\
\left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0_{+}} r^{\gamma / 2} u_{r}(r, t)\right|<+\infty, u_{r}(1, t)+h(t)\left(u(1, t)-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=0 \\
u(r, 0)=u_{0}(r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\gamma>0, \widetilde{u}_{0}$ are given constants, $a(t), h(t), F(r, u), f(r, t)$ are given functions. In section III, we use the Galerkin and compactness method in appropriate Sobolev spaces with weight to prove the existence of a unique weak solution of the problem $\left(^{*}\right)$ on $(0, T)$, for every $T>0$. In section IV, we prove that if the initial condition is bounded, then so is the solution. In section V , we study asymptotic behavior of the solution as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. In section VI we give numerical results.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will consider the following initial and boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}-a(t)\left(u_{r r}+\frac{\gamma}{r} u_{r}\right)+F(r, u)=f(r, t), 0<r<1,0<t<T,  \tag{1.1}\\
& \left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0_{+}} r^{\gamma / 2} u_{r}(r, t)\right|<+\infty, u_{r}(1, t)+h(t)\left(u(1, t)-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=0,  \tag{1.2}\\
& u(r, 0)=u_{0}(r), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma>0, \widetilde{u}_{0}$ are given constants, $a(t), h(t), F(r, u), f(r, t)$, are given functions satisfying conditions specified later.
The equation (1.1) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\frac{a(t)}{r^{\gamma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{\gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)+F(r, u)=f(r, t), 0<r<1,0<t<T . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\gamma=1$ with $F=0$, the problem describes the radial axisymmetric heat flow in a cylinder.
With $\gamma=2$ and always $F=0$, the problem (1.2)-(1.4) represents in polar coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ the mass fraction of a liquid fuel droplet in the case of his evaporation inside an infinite vessel, the boundary condition (1.2) being associated to the Rankine-Hugoniot condition on the surface of the droplet after a changing of the scale [8].
In [6], Minasjan studied a special case of the problem (1.1), (1.2) associated with the following $T$-periodic condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, 0)=u(r, T) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=1, F(r, u)=0, \widetilde{u}_{0}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functions $a(t), h(t), f(r, t)$ are $T$-periodic in time $t$. The physical interpretation of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.5), (1.6) is that of a periodic heat flow in an infinite cylinder with the assumption that the cylinder is subjected to convective heat transfer (periodic in time) at the boundary surface $(r=1)$ at zero temperature. Inside the cylinder, there are circular symmetric sources of heat that change periodically. Minasjan[6] gave for this problem a classical solution using Fourier transforms. This method leads to an infinite pseudoregular system of linear algebraic equations. However, the solvability of this system is not proved in detail in [6]. In [3] Lauerova has proved that with $T$-periodic data, the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.5), (1.6) has a $T$-periodic weak solution in $t$.
In the case of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=1, \widetilde{u}_{0}=0, f=0, F=F(u), F \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}), F^{\prime}(u) \geq-\varepsilon, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\varepsilon>0$ small enough), we have proved [4] that the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.5) has a $T$-periodic unique weak solution in appropriate Sobolev spaces with weight. Furthermore, the solution also depends continuously on the functions $a(t)$ and $h(t)$.

The paper consists of three sections. In section III, under appropriate conditions of $a(t)$, $h(t), F(r, u), f(r, t)$ we prove the existence of a unique solution on $(0, T)$, for every $T>0$. Theses results generalize relatively the ones in [3, 4, 6].
In section IV, we shall show that if the initial condition is bounded, then so is the solution $u$. More precisely if $u_{0} \in L^{\infty}((0,1))$ then the solution $u \in L^{\infty}((0,1) \times(0, T))$. This last result generalizes to the nonlinear case the same result obtained in the linear case [8]. In section V , we study asymptotic behavior of the solution as $t$ tends to infinity: assuming some asymptotic exponential decay on the data, we show that $u(t)$ converges as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ to the solution $u_{\infty}$ of the corresponding steady state equation, with an exponential decay to 0 of the difference $u(t)-u_{\infty}$.

The aim of this paper is mainly to get some integral inequalities via various assumptions on the nonlinear term $F(r, u)$ in order to have some a priori estimates for $u(t), t u(t)$ and his respectives derivatives in appropriate Sobolev spaces with weight. The hypotheses on $F(r, u)$ are sufficiently large to include a class enough great of nonlinear problems. For instance if we consider $\gamma=2$ ( radial Laplace in polar coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) all the functions $F$ of the kind $F(u)=\operatorname{sgn}(u)|u|^{\alpha}, \alpha \in(0,2)$. In section VI we give numerical results.

## II. PRELIMINARY RESULTS, NOTATIONS, FUNCTION SPACES

We omit the definitions of the usual function spaces $C^{m}([0,1]), L^{p}(0,1), H^{m}(0,1)$, $W^{m, p}(0,1)$. For any function $v \in C^{0}([0,1])$ we define $\|v\|_{0}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{0} \equiv\|v\|_{0, \gamma}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} v^{2}(r) d r\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define the space $V_{0}$ as completion of the space $C^{0}([0,1])$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{0}$. Similarly, for any function $v \in C^{1}([0,1])$ we define $\|v\|_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{1} \equiv\|v\|_{1, \gamma}=\left(\|v\|_{0}^{2}+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define the space $V_{1}$ as completion of the space $C^{1}([0,1])$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. Note that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ can be defined, respectively, from the inner products

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} u(r) v(r) d r \text { and } \\
& \langle u, v\rangle+\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left[u(r) v(r)+u^{\prime}(r) v^{\prime}(r)\right] d r . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

It is then easy to prove that $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$ are Hilbert spaces, with $V_{1}$ continuously and densely embedded into $V_{0}$. Identifying $V_{0}$ with its dual $V_{0}^{\prime}$ we have $V_{1} \hookrightarrow V_{0} \equiv V_{0}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow V_{1}^{\prime}$. On the other
hand, the notation $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is used for the pairing between $V_{1}$ and $V_{1}^{\prime}$.
We then have the following lemmas, the proofs of which can be found in [5].
Lemma 1. For every $v \in C^{1}([0,1]), \gamma>0, \varepsilon>0$, and $r \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|v\|_{0}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}+v^{2}(1)  \tag{2.4}\\
& |v(1)| \leq K_{1}\|v\|_{1}  \tag{2.5}\\
& r^{\gamma / 2}|v(r)| \leq K_{2}\|v\|_{1}  \tag{2.6}\\
& v^{2}(1) \leq \varepsilon\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{0}^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=\sqrt{\gamma+2}, K_{2}=\sqrt{\gamma+3}, C_{\varepsilon}=1+\gamma+1 / \varepsilon \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. The embedding $V_{1} \hookrightarrow V_{0}$ is compact.
Remark 1. The result of (2.4), (2.5) proves that $\left(v^{2}(1)+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\|v\|_{1}$ are two equivalent norms on $V_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}\|v\|_{1}^{2} \leq v^{2}(1)+\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2} \leq(\gamma+3)\|v\|_{1}^{2}, \text { for all } v \in V_{1} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0_{+}} r^{\gamma / 2} v(r)=0, \text { for all } v \in V_{1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See [1], Lemma 5.40, p.128).
On the other hand, by $H^{1}(\varepsilon, 1) \hookrightarrow C^{0}([\varepsilon, 1]), 0<\varepsilon<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\gamma / 2}\|v\|_{H^{1}(\varepsilon, 1)} \leq\|v\|_{1}, \text { for all } v \in V_{1}, 0<\varepsilon<1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.v\right|_{[\varepsilon, 1]} \in C^{0}([\varepsilon, 1]), \text { for all } \varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.10), (2.12) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\gamma / 2} v \in C^{0}([0,1]), \text { for all } v \in V_{1} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ the norm in the Banach space $X$. We call $X^{\prime}$ the dual space of $X$. We denote by $L^{p}(0, T ; X), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ for the Banach space of the real functions $u:(0, T) \rightarrow X$ measurable, such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}(0, T ; X)}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{X}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}<+\infty, \text { for } 1 \leq p<\infty
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; X)}=\underset{0 \lll T}{e \operatorname{ss} \sup }\|u(t)\|_{X}, \text { for } p=\infty .
$$

Let $u(t), u^{\prime}(t)=u_{t}(t), u_{r}(t)=\nabla u(t), u_{r r}(t)$ denote $u(r, t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(r, t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, t), \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}(r, t)$, respectively.

## III. THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS THEOREM

We form the following assumptions
$\left(H_{1}\right) \quad u_{0} \in V_{0}, \widetilde{u}_{0} \in \mathbb{R} ;$
$\left(H_{2}\right) \quad a, h \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T), a(t) \geq a_{0}>0 ;$
$\left(H_{3}\right) \quad f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)$;

$$
F:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { satisfies the Caratheodory condition, i.e., }
$$

$\left(F_{1}\right) \quad F(\cdot, u)$ is measurable on $(0,1)$ for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and $F(r, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ for a.e., $r \in(0,1)$.
$\left(F_{2}\right) \quad$ There exist positive constants $C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}$ and $p, 1<p<2+2 / \gamma$
such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { (i) } \quad u F(r, u) \geq C_{1}|u|^{p}-C_{1}^{\prime} \\
(2 i) \quad|F(r, u)| \leq C_{2}\left(1+|u|^{p-1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The weak formulation of the initial and boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3) can be make in the following manner:

Find $u(t)$ defined in the open set $(0, T)$ such that $u(t)$ satisfies the following variational problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\langle u(t), v\rangle & +a(t)\left\langle u_{r}(t), v_{r}\right\rangle+a(t) h(t) u(1, t) v(1)+\langle F(r, u(t)), v\rangle  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\langle f(t), v\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0} a(t) h(t) v(1), \text { for all } v \in V_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

and the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u_{0} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $T>0$ and $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right),\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$ hold. Then, there exists a solution $u$ of problem (3.1)- (3.2) such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right), r^{\gamma / p} u \in L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right),  \tag{3.3}\\
& t u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right), t u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, if F satisfies the following condition, in addition,
$\left(F_{3}\right) \quad(F(r, u)-F(r, v))(u-v) \geq-\varepsilon|u-v|^{2}$, for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, for a.e., $r \in(0,1)$, with $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small,
then the solution is unique.
Proof. The proof consists of several steps.
Step1. The Galerkin method. Denote by $\left\{w_{j}\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots$ an orthonormal basis in the separable Hilbert space $V_{1}$. We find $u_{m}(t)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{m j}(t) w_{j} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{m j}$ satisfy the following system of nonlinear differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle u_{m}^{\prime}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle+a(t)\left\langle u_{m r}(t), w_{j r}\right\rangle+a(t) h(t) u_{m}(1, t) w_{j}(1)+\left\langle F\left(r, u_{m}(t)\right), w_{j}\right\rangle \\
&\left.=\langle f(t)), w_{j}\right\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0} a(t) h(t) w_{j}(1), 1 \leq j \leq m,
\end{aligned} \\
u_{m}(0)=u_{0 m}, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0 m} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { strongly in } V_{0} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that for each $m$ there exists a solution $u_{m}(t)$ in form (3.4) which satisfies (3.5) and (3.6) almost everywhere on $0 \leq t \leq T_{m}$ for some $T_{m}, 0<T_{m} \leq T$. The following estimates allow one to take $T_{m}=T$ for all $m$.
Step 2. A priori estimates.
a) The first estimate. Multiplying the $j^{\text {th }}$ equation of the system (3.5) by $c_{m j}(t)$ and summing up with respect to $j$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+ & 2 a(t)\left\|u_{m r}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+2 u_{m}^{2}(1, t)+2\left\langle F\left(r, u_{m}(t)\right), u_{m}(t)\right\rangle \\
= & 2(1-a(t) h(t)) u_{m}^{2}(1, t)+2\left\langle f(t), u_{m}(t)\right\rangle  \tag{3.8}\\
& +2 \widetilde{u}_{0} a(t) h(t) u_{m}(1, t) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the assumptions $\left(H_{2}\right),\left(F_{2}, i\right)$, and the inequalities (2.5), (2.7), (2.9), it follows from (3.8), that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+ & 2 C_{3}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}+2 C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|u_{m}(r, t)\right|^{p} d r \\
& \leq \frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime}}{\gamma+1}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{1}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{2} K_{1}^{2}\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}^{2}+\|f(t)\|_{0}^{2}  \tag{3.9}\\
& +2 \varepsilon_{1}\left(2+\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}\right)\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& +\left(1+2 C_{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(1+\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}\right)\right)\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varepsilon_{1}>0$. Choosing $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varepsilon_{1}\left(2+\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}\right) \leq \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \min \left\{1, a_{0}\right\} \equiv C_{3} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (3.9), (3.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+C_{3}\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}+2 C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|u_{m}(r, t)\right|^{p} d r \\
& \quad \leq \frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime}}{\gamma+1}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{1}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{2} K_{1}^{2}\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}^{2}+\|f(t)\|_{0}^{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \quad+\left(1+2 C_{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(1+\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}\right)\right)\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.11) and by means of (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} & +C_{3} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s+2 C_{1} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|u_{m}(r, s)\right|^{p} d r \\
& \leq M_{T}^{(2)}+M_{T}^{(1)} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{T}^{(1)}, M_{T}^{(2)}$ are the constants depending only on $T$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{T}^{(1)}=1+2 C_{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(1+\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}\right) \\
& M_{T}^{(2)} \geq\left\|u_{0 m}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\left(\frac{2 C_{1}^{\prime}}{\gamma+1}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{1}}\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|^{2} K_{1}^{2}\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}^{2}\right) T+\int_{0}^{T}\|f(s)\|_{0}^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $m$.
By the Gronwall's lemma, we obtain from (3.12), that
(3.13)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|u_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+C_{3} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s+2 C_{1} \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|u_{m}(r, s)\right|^{p} d r \\
\leq M_{T}^{(2)} \exp \left(t M_{T}^{(1)}\right) \leq M_{T}
\end{array}
$$

for all $m$, for all $t, 0 \leq t \leq T_{m} \leq T$, i.e., $T_{m}=T$.
b) The second estimate. Multiplying the $j^{\text {th }}$ equation of the system (3.5) by $t^{2} c_{m j}^{\prime}(t)$ and summing up with respect to $j$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left\|t u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} & +\frac{d}{d t}\left(a(t)\left\|t u_{m r}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+a(t) h(t) t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(1, t)\right) \\
& +2 \frac{d}{d t}\left(t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} \widehat{F}\left(r, u_{m}(r, t)\right) d r\right) \\
& =\left\|u_{m r}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left[t^{2} a(t)\right]+u_{m}^{2}(1, t) \frac{d}{d t}\left[t^{2} a(t) h(t)\right]  \tag{3.14}\\
& +4 t \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} \widehat{F}\left(r, u_{m}(r, t)\right) d r+2\left\langle t f(t), t u_{m}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \\
& +2 \widetilde{u}_{0} \frac{d}{d t}\left[t^{2} a(t) h(t) u_{m}(1, t)\right]-2 \widetilde{u}_{0} u_{m}(1, t) \frac{d}{d t}\left[t^{2} a(t) h(t)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{F}(r, \lambda)=\int_{0}^{\lambda} F(r, s) d s \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.14) with respect to time variable from 0 to $t$, we shall have, after some rearrangements

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+a(t)\left\|t u_{m r}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(1, t) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[1-a(t) h(t)] t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(1, t)+\int_{0}^{t}\left[s^{2} a(s)\right]^{\prime}\left\|u_{m r}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left[s^{2} a(s) h(s)\right]^{\prime} u_{m}^{2}(1, s) d s \\
& +4 \int_{0}^{t} s d s \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} \widehat{F}\left(r, u_{m}(r, s)\right) d r-2 t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} \widehat{F}\left(r, u_{m}(r, t)\right) d r \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle s f(s), s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle d s+2 \widetilde{u}_{0} t^{2} a(t) h(t) u_{m}(1, t) \\
& -2 \widetilde{u}_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left[s^{2} a(s) h(s)\right]^{\prime} u_{m}(1, s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of the assumption $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and the inequality (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)\left\|t u_{m r}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(1, t) \geq C_{3}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$, for all $m$, where $C_{3}$ is constant defined by (3.10).
Using the inequalities (2.5), (2.7), and with $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ as in (3.10), we estimate without difficulty the following terms in the right-hand side of (3.16) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1-a(t) h(t)] t^{2} u_{m}^{2}(1, t) \leq\left(1+\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{1}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}+C_{\varepsilon_{1}} t^{2} M_{T}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left[s^{2} a(s)\right]^{\prime}\left\|u_{m r}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left[s^{2} a(s) h(s)\right]^{\prime} u_{m}^{2}(1, s) d s \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq\left(\left\|\left(t^{2} a\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}+K_{1}^{2}\left\|\left(t^{2} a h\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}\right)\left(M_{T} / C_{3}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left|\widetilde{u}_{0} \int_{0}^{t}\left[s^{2} a(s) h(s)\right]^{\prime} u_{m}(1, s) d s\right| \leq 2\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|\left\|\left(t^{2} a h\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)} K_{1} \sqrt{t}\left(M_{T} / C_{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left|\widetilde{u}_{0} t^{2} a(t) h(t) u_{m}(1, t)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{1}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(K_{1} \widetilde{u}_{0} t\|a h\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}\right)^{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left|\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle s f(s), s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t}\|s f(s)\|_{0}^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from the assumptions $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-m_{0} & \equiv-\int_{-\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda_{0}}|F(r, s)| d s \leq \widehat{F}(r, \lambda)=\int_{0}^{\lambda} F(r, s) d s  \tag{3.23}\\
& \leq C_{2}\left(|\lambda|+\frac{|\lambda|^{p}}{p}\right), \quad \text { for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}=\left(C_{1}^{\prime} / C_{1}\right)^{1 / p}$.
Using the inequalities (2.6), (3.13), (3.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \int_{0}^{t} s d s \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} \widehat{F}\left(r, u_{m}(r, s)\right) d r-2 t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} \widehat{F}\left(r, u_{m}(r, t)\right) d r \\
\leq \frac{4 C_{2} K_{2}}{1+\gamma / 2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1} d s  \tag{3.24}\\
+\frac{4 C_{2} t}{p}\left(M_{T} / 2 C_{1}\right)+\frac{2 m_{0} t^{2}}{1+\gamma}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, we deduce from (3.16) - (3.22), and (3.24) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} C_{3}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}  \tag{3.25}\\
& \quad \leq M_{T}^{(3)}+\frac{4 C_{2} K_{2}}{1+\gamma / 2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1} d s \leq M_{T}^{(4)}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}(s)\right\|_{1}^{2} d s,
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{T}^{(3)}, M_{T}^{(4)}$ are the constants depending only on $T$.
By the Gronwall's lemma, we obtain from (3.25), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left\|s u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} C_{3}\left\|t u_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq M_{T}^{(4)} \exp (t) \leq M_{T}^{(5)} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by using (3.13), and assumption $\left(F_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1}\left|r^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} F\left(r, u_{m}(r, s)\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d r \\
& \qquad \leq 2^{p^{\prime}-1} C_{2}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\frac{T}{1+\gamma}+\int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|u_{m}(r, s)\right|^{p} d r\right) \leq M_{T}^{(6)} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{T}^{(6)}$ is a constant depending only on $T$.
Step 3. The limiting process.
By (3.13), (3.26), (3.27) we deduce that, there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{m} \rightarrow u & \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) & \text { weak* } \\
u_{m} \rightarrow u & \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) & \text { weak, } \\
r^{\gamma / p} u_{m} \rightarrow r^{\gamma / p} u & \text { in } L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right) & \text { weak, } \\
t u_{m} \rightarrow t u & \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) & \text { weak* } \\
\left(t u_{m}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow(t u)^{\prime} & \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) & \text { weak. } \tag{3.32}
\end{array}
$$

Using a compactness lemma ( [2], Lions, p.57) applied to (3.31), (3.32), we can extract from the sequence $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ a subsequence still denotes by $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t u_{m} \rightarrow t u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Riesz- Fischer theorem, we can extract from $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(r, t) \rightarrow u(r, t) \quad \text { a.e. }(r, t) \text { in } Q_{T}=(0,1) \times(0, T) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $F$ is continuous, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(r, u_{m}(r, t)\right) \rightarrow F(r, u(r, t)) \quad \text { a.e. }(r, t) \text { in } Q_{T} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now require the following lemma, the proof of which can be found in [2].
Lemma 3. Let $Q$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $G_{m}, G \in L^{q}(Q), 1<q<\infty$, such that,

$$
\left\|G_{m}\right\|_{L^{q}(Q)} \leq C \text {, where } C \text { is a constant independent of } m
$$

and

$$
G_{m} \rightarrow G \text { a.e. }(r, t) \text { in } Q .
$$

Then $G_{m} \rightarrow G$ in $L^{q}(Q)$ weakly.
Applying Lemma 3 with $N=2, q=p^{\prime}, G_{m}=r^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} F\left(r, u_{m}\right), G=r^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} F(r, u)$, we deduce from (3.27), (3.35) that in

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} F\left(r, u_{m}\right) \rightarrow r^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} F(r, u) \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right) \text { weakly. } \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit in (3.5), (3.6) by (3.7), (3.28), (3.29), (3.36) we have satisfying the equation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d}{d t}\langle u(t), v\rangle & +a(t)\left\langle u_{r}(t), v_{r}\right\rangle+a(t) h(t) u(1, t) v(1)+\langle F(r, u(t)), v\rangle \\
& =\langle f(t), v\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0} a(t) h(t) v(1), \text { for all } v \in V_{1},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Step 4. Uniqueness of the solutions.
First, we shall need the following Lemma.
Lemma 4. Let w be the weak solution of the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{t}-a(t)\left(w_{r r}+\frac{\gamma}{r} w_{r}\right)=\widetilde{f}(r, t), 0<r<1,0<t<T \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0_{+}} r^{\gamma / 2} w_{r}(r, t)\right|<+\infty, \quad w_{r}(1, t)+h(t) w(1, t)=0 \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r, 0)=0 \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right), \quad r^{\gamma / p} w \in L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
t w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right), t w_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\|w(t)\|_{0}^{2} & +\int_{0}^{t} a(s)\left[\left\|w_{r}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}+h(s) w^{2}(1, s)\right] d s \\
& -\int_{0}^{t}\langle\widetilde{f}(s), w(s)\rangle d s=0, \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T) \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

The lemma 4 is a slight improvement of a lemma used in [8] ( see also Lions's book [2]). Now, we will prove the uniqueness of the solutions. Let $u$ and $v$ be two weak solutions of (1.1)- (1.3). Then $w=u-v$ is a weak solution of the following problem (3.39)- (3.42) with the right hand side function replaced by $\widetilde{f}(r, t)=-F(u)+F(v)$. Using Lemma 4 we have equality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\|w(t)\|_{0}^{2} & +\int_{0}^{t} a(s)\left[\left\|w_{r}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2}+h(s) w^{2}(1, s)\right] d s \\
& =-\int_{0}^{t}\langle F(r, u)-F(r, v), w(s)\rangle d s \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the monotonicity of $F(r, u)+\varepsilon u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\langle F(r, u)-F(r, v), w(s)\rangle d s \geq-\varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\|w(s)\|_{0}^{2} d s \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.44), (3.45) and Gronwall's Lemma that $w=0$.
Therefore, Theorem 1 is proved.

## IV. THE BOUNDEDNESS OF THE SOLUTION

Now we make the following assumptions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right) & u_{0} \in L^{\infty}(0,1), \widetilde{u}_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, \max \left\{\left|u_{0}(r)\right|,\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|\right\} \leq M \text { a.e. } r \in(0,1) . \\
\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right) & a, h \in W^{1, \infty}(0, \infty), a(t) \geq a_{0}>0, h(t) \geq h_{0}>0 \\
\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right) & f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right), f(r, t) \leq 0 \text { a.e. }(r, t) \in Q_{T .} \\
\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right) & u F(r, u) \geq 0 \forall u \in \mathbb{R},|u| \geq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,1)}, \text { for a.e., } r \in(0,1) .
\end{array}
$$

We then have the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Let $\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(H_{3}^{\prime}\right),\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{3}\right),\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ hold. Then the unique weak solution of the initial and boundary value problem (3.1)- (3.2), as given by theorem 1, belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

Remark 3. Assumption $\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is both physically and mathematically natural in the study of partial differential equation of the kind of (1.1)-(1.3), by means of the maximum principle.
Proof of Theorem 2. First, let us assume that $u_{0}(r) \leq M$ and $\widetilde{u}_{0}$. Then $z=u-M$ satisfies the initial and boundary value

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}-a(t)\left(z_{r r}+\frac{\gamma}{r} z_{r}\right)+F(r, z+M)=f(r, t), 0<r<1,0<t<T, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0_{+}} r^{\gamma / 2} z_{r}(r, t)\right|<+\infty,  \tag{4.2}\\
& z_{r}(1, t)+h(t)\left(z(1, t)+M-\widetilde{u}_{0}\right)=0, \\
& z(r, 0)=u_{0}(r)-M . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying equation (4.1) by $r^{\gamma} v$, for $v \in V_{1}$ integrating by parts with respect to variable $r$ and taking into account boundary condition (4.2), one has after some rearrangements

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} z_{t} v d r & +a(t) \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} z_{r} v_{r} d r+a(t) h(t) z(1, t) v(1) \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} F(r, z+M) v d r  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} f v d r+\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-M\right) a(t) h(t) v(1), \text { for all } v \in V_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing from assumption $\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ we deduce that the solution of the initial and boundary value problem (3.1) - (3.2) belongs to $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right)$, so that we are allowed to take $v=z^{+}=\frac{1}{2}(|z|+z)$ in (4.4). Thus, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|z^{+}\right|^{2} d r & +a(t) \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|\left(z^{+}\right)_{r}\right|^{2} d r+a(t) h(t)\left|z^{+}(1, t)\right|^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} F\left(r, z^{+}+M\right) z^{+} d r  \tag{4.5}\\
& =\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} f z^{+} d r+\left(\widetilde{u}_{0}-M\right) a(t) h(t) z^{+}(1, t) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} z_{t} z^{+} d r & =\int_{0, z>0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left(z^{+}\right)_{t} z^{+} d r=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0, z>0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|z^{+}\right|^{2} d r \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|z^{+}\right|^{2} d r=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and on the domain $z>0$ we have $z^{+}=z$ and $z_{r}=\left(z^{+}\right)_{r}$.
On the other hand, by the assumption $\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and the inequality (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(t) \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|\left(z^{+}\right) r\right|^{2} d r+a(t) h(t)\left|z^{+}(1, t)\right|^{2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\gamma a_{0}}{\gamma+1} \min \left\{1, h_{0}\right\}\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}=\widetilde{C}_{0}\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the monotonicity of $F(r, u)+\varepsilon u$ and $\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} F\left(r, z^{+}+M\right) z^{+} d r & =\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left[F\left(r, z^{+}+M\right)-F(r, M)\right] z^{+} d r+\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} F(r, M) z^{+} d r \\
& \geq-\varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|z^{+}\right|^{2} d r+\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma} F(r, M) z^{+} d r \geq-\varepsilon\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, it follows from (4.5)-(4.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+2 \widetilde{C}_{0}\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq 2 \varepsilon\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (4.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \leq\left\|z^{+}(0)\right\|_{0}^{2}+2 \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t}\left\|z^{+}(s)\right\|_{0}^{2} d s \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z^{+}(0)=(u(r, 0)-M)^{+}=\left(u_{0}(r)-M\right)^{+}=0$, hence, using Gronwall's Lemma, we obtain $\left\|z^{+}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}=0$. Thus $z^{+}=0$ and $u(r, t) \leq M$ for a.e. $(r, t) \in Q_{T}$.

The case $-M \leq u_{0}(r)$ and $-M \leq \widetilde{u}_{0}$ can be dealt with, in the same manner as above, by considering $z=u+M$ and $z^{-}=\frac{1}{2}(|z|-z)$, we also obtain $z^{-}=0$ and hence $u(r, t) \geq-M$ for a.e. $(r, t) \in Q_{T}$.
From all above, one obtains $|u(r, t)| \leq M$ a.e. $(r, t) \in Q_{T}$ and this ends the proof of Theorem 2.

## V. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE SOLUTION AS $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

In this part, let $T>0,\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{3}\right)$, and $\left(F_{1}\right)-\left(F_{3}\right)$ hold. Then, there exists a unique solution $u$ of problem (3.1) - (3.2) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right), r^{\gamma / p} u \in L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right), \\
t u & \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right), t u^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall study asymptotic behavior of the solution $u(t)$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
We make the following supplementary assumptions on the functions $a, h, f$.
$\left(H_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad f \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; V_{0}\right) ;$
$\left(H_{4}\right)$
There exist the positive constants $C_{a}, C_{h}, C_{f}, \gamma_{a}, \gamma_{h}, \gamma_{f}, a_{\infty}, h_{\infty}$ and a function $f_{\infty} \in V_{0}$ such that
(i) $\left|a(t)-a_{\infty}\right| \leq C_{a} e^{-\gamma_{a} t}, \forall t \geq 0$,
(ii) $\left|h(t)-h_{\infty}\right| \leq C_{h} e^{-\gamma_{h} t}, \forall t \geq 0$,
(iii) $\quad\left\|f(t)-f_{\infty}\right\|_{0} \leq C_{f} e^{-\gamma_{f} t}, \forall t \geq 0$.

First, we consider the following stationary problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& -a_{\infty}\left(u_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{\gamma}{r} u_{\infty}^{\prime}(r)\right)+F\left(r, u_{\infty}(r)\right)=f_{\infty}(r), 0<r<1,  \tag{5.1}\\
& \left|\lim _{r \rightarrow 0_{+}} r^{\gamma / 2} u_{\infty}^{\prime}(r)\right|<+\infty, u_{\infty}^{\prime}(1)+h_{\infty} u_{\infty}(1)=h_{\infty} \widetilde{u}_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

The weak solution of problem (5.1)-(5.2) is obtained from the following variational problem.
Find $u_{\infty} \in V_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\infty}\left\langle u_{\infty}^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle & +a_{\infty} h_{\infty} u_{\infty}(1) v(1)+\left\langle F\left(r, u_{\infty}\right), v\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f_{\infty}, v\right\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0} a_{\infty} h_{\infty} v(1), \text { for all } v \in V_{1} . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We then have the following theorem.
Theorem 3. Let $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold. Then there exists a solution $u_{\infty}$ of the variational problem (5.3) such that

$$
u_{\infty} \in V_{1} \text { and } r^{\gamma / p} u_{\infty} \in L^{p}(0,1)
$$

Furthermore, if F satisfies the following condition, in addition,

$$
F(r, u)+\varepsilon u \text { is nondecreasing with respect to variable } u,
$$

$\left(F_{4}\right)$

$$
\text { with } 0<\varepsilon<\frac{\gamma a_{\infty}}{\gamma+1} \min \left\{1, h_{\infty}\right\} .
$$

Then the solution is unique.
Proof. Denote by $\left\{w_{j}\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots$ an orthonormal basis in the separable Hilbert space $V_{1}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{m}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{m j} w_{j} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{m j}$ satisfy the following nonlinear equation system:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\infty}\left\langle y_{m}^{\prime}, w_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle & +a_{\infty} h_{\infty} y_{m}(1) w_{j}(1)+\left\langle F\left(r, y_{m}\right), w_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f_{\infty}, w_{j}\right\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0} a_{\infty} h_{\infty} w_{j}(1), 1 \leq j \leq m . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

By the Brouwer's lemma( see Lions [2], Lemma 4.3, p.53), it follows from the hypotheses $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)$ that system (5.4), (5.5) has a solution $y_{m}$.
Multiplying the $j^{\text {th }}$ equation of system (5.5) by $d_{m j}$, then summing up with respect to $j$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\infty}\left\|y_{m}^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}+a_{\infty} h_{\infty} y_{m}^{2}(1)+ & \left\langle F\left(r, y_{m}\right), y_{m}\right\rangle  \tag{5.6}\\
& =\left\langle f_{\infty}, y_{m}\right\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0} a_{\infty} h_{\infty} y_{m}(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

By using the inequalities (2.5), (2.9) and by the hypotheses $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{0}\left\|y_{m}\right\|_{1}^{2}+ & C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|y_{m}(r)\right|^{p} d r  \tag{5.7}\\
& \leq\left(\left\|f_{\infty}\right\|_{0}+\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right| a_{\infty} h_{\infty} K_{1}\right)\left\|y_{m}\right\|_{1}+\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{\gamma+1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{0}=\frac{\gamma a_{\infty}}{\gamma+1} \min \left\{1, h_{\infty}\right\}$.

Hence, we deduce from (5.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|y_{m}\right\|_{1} \leq C,  \tag{5.8}\\
& \int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|y_{m}(r)\right|^{p} d r \leq C, \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$C$ is a constant independent of $m$.
By means of (5.8), (5.9) and Lemma 2, the sequence $\left\{y_{m}\right\}$ has a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{y_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{m} \rightarrow u_{\infty} \text { in } V_{1} \text { weakly, } \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.11) $\quad y_{m} \rightarrow u_{\infty}$ in $V_{0}$ strongly and a.e. in $(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\gamma / p} y_{m} \rightarrow r^{\gamma / p} u_{\infty} \text { in } L^{p}(0,1) \text { weakly. } \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (5.11) and the hypothesis $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(r, y_{m}\right) \rightarrow F\left(r, u_{\infty}\right) \text { a.e. in }(0,1), \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also deduce from the hypothesis $\left(F_{2}\right)$ and from (5.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|r^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} F\left(r, y_{m}(r)\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d r \leq 2^{p^{\prime}-1} C_{2}^{p^{\prime}}\left[1+\int_{0}^{1} r^{\gamma}\left|y_{m}(r)\right|^{p} d r\right] \leq C, \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independing of $m$.
Applying Lemma 3 with $N=1, q=p^{\prime}, G_{m}=r^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} F\left(r, y_{m}\right), G=r^{\gamma / p^{\prime}} F\left(r, u_{\infty}\right)$, we deduce from (5.13), (5.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\gamma^{\prime} / p^{\prime}} F\left(r, y_{m}\right) \rightarrow r^{\gamma^{\prime} / p^{\prime}} F\left(r, u_{\infty}\right) \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(0,1) \text { weakly. } \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit in Eq.(5.5), we find without difficulty from (5.10), (5.15) that $u_{\infty}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\infty}\left\langle u_{\infty}^{\prime}, w_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle+a_{\infty} h_{\infty} u_{\infty}(1) w_{j}(1)+\left\langle F\left(r, u_{\infty}\right), w_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{\infty}, w_{j}\right\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0} a_{\infty} h_{\infty} w_{j}(1) . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.16) holds for every $j=1,2, \ldots$, i.e., (5.3) holds.
The solution of the problem (5.3) is unique; that can be showed using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 4. The result of Theorem 3 is similar to one in [7].
Now we consider asymptotic behavior of the solution $u(t)$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
We then have the following theorem.
Theorem 4. Let $\left(F_{1}\right),\left(F_{2}\right),\left(F_{4}\right),\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(H_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold. Then we have

$$
\left\|u(t)-u_{\infty}\right\|_{0}^{2} \leq\left(\left\|u_{0}-u_{\infty}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{\widetilde{C}_{2}}{2\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{0}\right)}\right) e^{-2 \gamma_{0} t}, \forall t \geq 0,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{C}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\delta}\left(C^{2} C_{a}^{2}+C_{f}^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\left(\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|+C K_{1}\right)^{2}\left(C_{a}\|h\|_{\infty}+C_{h} a_{\infty}\right)^{2}\right), \\
\delta & =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\gamma a_{0}}{\gamma+1} \min \left\{1, h_{0}\right\}-\varepsilon\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

${\underset{\sim}{\gamma}}_{0}$ is a constant depending only on the constants $\gamma_{1}=\min \left\{\gamma_{a}, \gamma_{h}, \gamma_{f}\right\}$ and $\widetilde{C}_{1}=\frac{\gamma a_{0}}{\gamma+1} \min \left\{1, h_{0}\right\}-\varepsilon$.

Proof. Put $Z_{m}(t)=u_{m}(t)-y_{m}$. Let us subtract (3.5) with (5.5) to obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
&\left\langle Z_{m}^{\prime}(t), w_{j}\right\rangle+a(t)\left\langle Z_{m r}(t), w_{j r}\right\rangle+\left(a(t)-a_{\infty}\right)\left\langle y_{m r}, w_{j r}\right\rangle  \tag{5.17}\\
&+a(t) h(t) Z_{m}(1, t) w_{j}(1)+\left(a(t) h(t)-a_{\infty} h_{\infty}\right) y_{m}(1) w_{j}(1) \\
&+\left\langle F\left(r, u_{m}(t)\right)-F\left(r, y_{m}\right), w_{j}\right\rangle \\
&=\left\langle f(t)-f_{\infty}, w_{j}\right\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0}\left(a(t) h(t)-a_{\infty} h_{\infty}\right) w_{j}(1), 1 \leq j \leq m \\
& Z_{m}(0)=u_{0 m}-y_{m}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

By multiplying (5.17) by $c_{m j}(t)-d_{m j}$ and summing up in $j$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} & +a(t)\left\|Z_{m r}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+\left(a(t)-a_{\infty}\right)\left\langle y_{m r}, Z_{m r}(t)\right\rangle \\
& +a(t) h(t) Z_{m}^{2}(1, t)+\left(a(t) h(t)-a_{\infty} h_{\infty}\right) y_{m}(1) Z_{m}(1, t) \\
& +\left\langle F\left(r, u_{m}(t)\right)-F\left(r, y_{m}\right), Z_{m}\right\rangle  \tag{5.18}\\
= & \left\langle f(t)-f_{\infty}, Z_{m}\right\rangle+\widetilde{u}_{0}\left(a(t) h(t)-a_{\infty} h_{\infty}\right) Z_{m}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

From the assumption $\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and the inequality (2.9), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t)\left\|Z_{m r}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+a(t) h(t) Z_{m}^{2}(1, t) \geq \widetilde{C}_{0}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{C}_{0}=\frac{\gamma a_{0}}{\gamma+1} \min \left\{1, h_{0}\right\}$.
By $\left(F_{4}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F\left(r, u_{m}(t)\right)-F\left(r, y_{m}\right), Z_{m}\right\rangle \geq-\varepsilon\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.18)-(5.20), and (2.5), that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} & +2 \widetilde{C}_{0}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq 2\left|a(t)-a_{\infty}\right|\left\|y_{m r}\right\|_{0}\left\|Z_{m r}(t)\right\|_{0} \\
& +2\left|a(t) h(t)-a_{\infty} h_{\infty}\right| K_{1}^{2}\left\|y_{m}\right\|_{1}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}+2 \varepsilon\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}  \tag{5.21}\\
& +2\left\|f(t)-f_{\infty}\right\|_{0}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}+2\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\left\|a(t) h(t)-a_{\infty} h_{\infty} \mid K_{1}\right\| Z_{m}(t) \|_{1}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\left\|y_{m}\right\|_{1} \leq C$, we obtain from (5.21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} & +2 \widetilde{C}_{0}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \leq 2 C\left|a(t)-a_{\infty}\right|\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}+2 \varepsilon\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2}+2\left\|f(t)-f_{\infty}\right\|_{0}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}  \tag{5.22}\\
& +2 K_{1}\left(\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|+C K_{1}\right)\left|a(t) h(t)-a_{\infty} h_{\infty}\right|\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\delta>0$ such that $3 \delta<\widetilde{C}_{0}-\varepsilon \equiv \widetilde{C}_{1}$, then we have from (5.22)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} & +\widetilde{C}_{1}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\delta} C^{2}\left|a(t)-a_{\infty}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{\delta}\left\|f(t)-f_{\infty}\right\|_{0}^{2}  \tag{5.23}\\
& +\frac{1}{\delta} K_{1}^{2}\left(\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|+C K_{1}\right)^{2}\left|a(t) h(t)-a_{\infty} h_{\infty}\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Put $\gamma_{1}=\min \left\{\gamma_{a}, \gamma_{h}, \gamma_{f}\right\}$, we deduce from (5.23) and ( $H_{4}$ ) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2}+ & \widetilde{C}_{1}\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\left(C^{2} C_{a}^{2}+C_{f}^{2}+K_{1}^{2}\left(\left|\widetilde{u}_{0}\right|+C K_{1}\right)^{2}\left(C_{a}\|h\|_{\infty}+C_{h} a_{\infty}\right)^{2}\right) e^{-2 \gamma_{1} t}  \tag{5.24}\\
& \equiv \widetilde{C}_{2} e^{-2 \gamma_{1} t}, \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Put $\gamma_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\gamma_{1}, \widetilde{C}_{1}\right\}$. Hence, we obtain from (5.24) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Z_{m}(t)\right\|_{0}^{2} & \leq e^{-2 \gamma_{0} t}\left\|Z_{0 m}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\widetilde{C}_{2} e^{-2 \gamma_{0} t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-2\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{0}\right) s} d s \\
& =e^{-2 \gamma_{0} t}\left\|Z_{0 m}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\frac{\widetilde{C}_{2}}{2\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{0}\right)} e^{-2 \gamma_{0} t}\left(1-e^{-2\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{0}\right) t}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\left\|Z_{0 m}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\frac{\widetilde{C}_{2}}{2\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{0}\right)}\right) e^{-2 \gamma_{0} t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow+\infty$ in (5.25) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u(t)-u_{\infty}\right\|_{0}^{2} & \leq \liminf _{m \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{m}(t)-y_{m}\right\|_{0}^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\left\|u_{0}-u_{\infty}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\frac{\tilde{c}_{2}}{2\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{0}\right)}\right) e^{-2 \gamma_{0} t}, \text { for all } t \geq 0 \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

First, we present some results of numerical comparison of the approximated representation of the solution of a nonlinear problem of the type (1.1)-(1.3) and the corresponding exact
solution of this problem.
Let the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}-\left(u_{r r}+\frac{2}{r} u_{r}\right)+F(u)=0,  \tag{6.1}\\
& u_{r}(1, t)+u(1, t)=0, u_{r}(0, t)=0,  \tag{6.2}\\
& u(r, 0)=0 \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(r, t)=e^{-\alpha r}(1+\alpha r) \cos t+\alpha^{2} e^{-\alpha r} \sin t(3-\alpha r)+e^{-\frac{3}{2} \alpha r}(1+\alpha r)^{3 / 2} \operatorname{sgn}(\sin t), \\
& F(u)=|u|^{3 / 2} \operatorname{sgn}(u) \\
& \alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \text { and the domain } D=\{(r, t): 0 \leq r \leq 1,0 \leq t \leq 1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact solution of the problem (6.1)-(6.3) is $v(r, t)=e^{-\alpha r}(1+\alpha r) \sin t$.
To solve numerically the problem (6.1)-(6.3), we consider the nonlinear differential system for the unknowns $u_{k}(t)=u\left(r_{k}, t\right), r_{k}=k h, h=1 / N$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d u_{k}}{d t}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(1-\frac{2}{k}\right) u_{k-1}+\frac{2}{h^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right) u_{k}+\frac{u_{k+1}}{h^{2}}-F\left(u_{k}\right)+f\left(r_{k}, t\right)  \tag{6.4}\\
u_{1}=u_{0}, u_{N}=\frac{u_{N-1}}{h+1} \\
u_{k}(0)=0, k=1,2, \ldots, N-1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

To solve the nonlinear differential (6.4) at the time $t$, we use the following linear recursive scheme generated by the nonlinear term $F\left(u_{k}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d u_{k, n}}{d t}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(1-\frac{2}{k}\right) u_{k-1, n}+\frac{2}{h^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right) u_{k, n}+\frac{u_{k+1, n}}{h^{2}}-F\left(u_{k, n}\right)+f\left(r_{k}, t\right)  \tag{6.5}\\
u_{k, n}(0)=0, k=1,2, \ldots, N-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The linear differential system (6.5) is solved by searching the associated eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. With a spatial step $h=\frac{1}{10}$ on the interval $[0,1]$ and for $t \in[0,2]$, we have drawn the corresponding approximate surface solution $(t, t) \rightarrow u(r, t)$ in figure 1 , obtained by successive re-initializations in $t$ with a time step $\Delta t=\frac{1}{50}$. For comparison in figure 2, we have also drawn the exact surface solution $(t, t) \rightarrow v(r, t)$.

Now consider the following problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}-\left(u_{r r}+\frac{2}{r} u_{r}\right)+|u|^{3 / 2} \operatorname{sgn}(u)=0,  \tag{6.6}\\
& u_{r}(1, t)+u(1, t)=0, u_{r}(0, t)=0  \tag{6.7}\\
& u(r, 0)=\frac{1}{4} \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the same method as previously we have drawn in figure 3 the approximate surface
solution $(t, t) \rightarrow u(r, t)$ which decreases exponentially to 0 as $t$ tends to infinity, 0 being the unique solution ot the corresponding steady state problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{r r}+\frac{2}{r} u_{r}-|u|^{3 / 2} \operatorname{sgn}(u)=0, \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{r}(1)+u(1)=0, u_{r}(0)=0 . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice, since the function $F(u)=|u|^{3 / 2} \operatorname{sgn}(u)$ has a derivative positive the solution of the problem (6.6)-(6.8) is bounded and unique according section IV.
approainate solition


Figure 1. Approximate solution


Figure 2. Exact solution
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Figure 3. Asymptotic behavior
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