

## Rankin-Cohen brackets on quasimodular forms

François Martin, Emmanuel Royer

### ▶ To cite this version:

François Martin, Emmanuel Royer. Rankin-Cohen brackets on quasimodular forms. 2005. hal- $00009145 \mathrm{v1}$ 

# HAL Id: hal-00009145 https://hal.science/hal-00009145v1

Preprint submitted on 27 Sep 2005 (v1), last revised 12 Apr 2008 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### **RANKIN-COHEN BRACKETS ON QUASIMODULAR FORMS**

FRANÇOIS MARTIN AND EMMANUEL ROYER

A . We give the algebra of quasimodular forms a collection of Rankin-Cohen operators. These operators extend those defined by Cohen on modular forms and, as for modular forms, the first of them provide a Lie structure on quasimodular forms. They also satisfy a "Leibniz rule" for the usual derivation. Rankin-Cohen operators are useful for proving arithmetic identities.

### Ι

The purpose of this paper is to present a generalisation for quasimodular forms of the Rankin-Cohen brackets for modular forms: for each  $n \ge 0$ ,  $k, \ell, s, t$  positive integers, we define bilinear differential operators  $[, ]_n$  sending  $\widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s} \times \widetilde{M}_\ell^{\leq t}$  to  $\widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}$ , and prove that these operators are unique (in a sense defined below). We have denoted  $\widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s}$  the vector space of quasimodular forms of weight k and depth less or equal than s. The set of quasimodular forms of weight k and depth s is denoted  $\widetilde{M}_k^s$  (see section 1.1 for the definitions).

Then we prove that the Rankin-Cohen brackets satisfy for the usual derivation (D = d/dz) the "Leibniz rule": for  $f \in \widetilde{M}_k^s$  and  $g \in \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^t$ , and for each  $n \ge 0$ ,  $[f, g]'_n = [f', g]_n + [f, g']_n$ . Here the Rankin-Cohen brackets are the canonical ones for f and g (those corresponding to their exact depth).

As usual, the complex upper half-plane is denoted by  $\mathscr{H}$ . For  $k \ge 0$ ,  $f: \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  the function  $(f|\gamma)$  is defined by  $(f|\gamma)(z) = (cz+d)^{-k}f(\gamma z)$ .

We introduce the following notations: let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , we define

$$X(\gamma, z) \coloneqq \frac{c}{cz+d}$$

and

$$X(\gamma): z \mapsto X(\gamma, z).$$

Finally, for  $n \ge 0$ , f, g two holomorphic functions on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_r)_{1 \le r \le n}$  we define

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(f,g) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} a_r f^{(r)} g^{(n-r)}.$$

Date: September 28, 2005.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F11,11F22,16W25.

Key words and phrases. Rankin-Cohen operators, quasimodular forms, Leibniz rule.

The first section is a presentation of definitions and previous results concerning quasimodular forms and Rankin-Cohen brackets.

In the second section, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $k, \ell$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $s \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor\}$ ,  $t \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . For  $\mathbf{a} = (a_r)_{1 \leq r \leq n}$  defined by

$$a_{r} = (-1)^{r} \binom{k-s+n-1}{n-r} \binom{\ell-t+n-1}{r}$$

for all r, one has

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}, \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}\right) \subset \widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}.$$

Moreover, if  $\Psi$  is a bilinear differential operator sending  $\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}(\Gamma) \times \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}(\Gamma)$  to  $\widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}(\Gamma)$  for all  $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  a finite index subgroup, then (up to constant)  $\Psi = \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

Writing

$$\Phi_{n;k,s;\ell,t}(f,g) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^r \binom{k-s+n-1}{n-r} \binom{\ell-t+n-1}{r} f^{(r)} g^{(n-r)}$$

we hence obtain a bilinear differential operator from  $\widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s} \times \widetilde{M}_\ell^{\leq t}$  to  $\widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}$ . For  $f \in \widetilde{M}_k^s$  and  $g \in \widetilde{M}_\ell^t$ , we write  $[f, g]_n$  instead of  $\Phi_{n;k,s;\ell,t}(f, g)$ .

- *Remark* 1. (1) This notation is consistent with the one for modular forms,  $\Phi_{n;k,0;\ell,0}(f,g)$  is equal to the standard Rankin-Cohen bracket of f and g for  $f \in M_k$  and  $g \in M_\ell$  (see paragraph 1.2).
  - (2) For  $n \ge 0$ , a bilinear differential operator  $\Psi$  sending  $\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\le s} \times \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\le t}$  to  $\bigcup_{v} \widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\le v}$  is necessarily (for weight compatibility reasons) a linear combination of  $(f, g) \mapsto f^{(r)}g^{(n-r)}$ ,  $r \in \{0, ..., n\}$ . Such a differential operator sends in principle  $\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\le s} \times \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\le t}$  to  $\widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\le s+t+n}$  (see lemma 6). So the operator  $\Phi$  introduced before has the advantage of reducing the depth of the quasimodular form obtained, and it was not obvious that such an operator exists.

In the third section, we show that the comportement of this operator under derivation is natural, as described in our second theorem:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $k, \ell$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $s \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor\}$ ,  $t \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . For all  $f \in \widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}$  and  $g \in \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}$ ,

$$\Phi_{n;k,s;\ell,t}(f,g)' = \Phi_{n;k,s;\ell+2,t+1}(f,g') + \Phi_{n;k+2,s+1;\ell,t}(f',g).$$

*Remark* 2. Recall (see proposition 5) that if  $h \in \widetilde{M}^d_{w}$ , then  $h' \in \widetilde{M}^{d+1}_{w+2}$ . The following theorem may then be rewritten as

$$[f,g]'_{n} = [f',g]_{n} + [f,g']_{n}.$$

For modular forms, Zagier, Cohen and Manin showed [CMZ97] that the sum of Rankin-Cohen brackets defines an associative product on the algebra  $M = \prod_{k\geq 0} M_k$ . In a recent paper, Bieliavski, Tang and Yao [BTY05] showed that this sum is isomorphic to the standard Moyal product. Do the Rankin-Cohen brackets for quasimodular forms introduced here have such a geometric interpretation? The result of theorem 2 suggests it.

The existence of Rankin-Cohen brackets, and the lowering of the depth (see remark 1) provides a new tool to obtain arithmetic identities. For example, we have  $[E_2, E'_2]_1 \in \widetilde{M}_8^{\leq 3}[SL(2, \mathbb{Z})] = \mathbb{C}E_8 \oplus \mathbb{C}E'_6 \oplus \mathbb{C}E''_4$  (see [LR05, Lemma 6]). Since  $[E_2, E'_2]_1$  has its Fourier coefficient of order 0 equal to 0, we actually have  $[E_2, E'_2]_1 \in \mathbb{C}E'_6 \oplus \mathbb{C}E''_4$ . By theorem 2 and  $[E'_2, E'_2]_1 = 0$ , we have  $[E_2, E''_2]_1 = [E_2, E'_2]'_1 \in \mathbb{C}E''_6 \oplus \mathbb{C}E'''_4$ , hence

$$E_2 E_2^{\prime\prime\prime} - 3E_2^{\prime} E_2^{\prime\prime} = -\frac{1}{10} E_4^{\prime\prime\prime}.$$

The Fourier developments lead then to the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $n \ge 1$  be an integer,

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} a^2 (3n-4a)\sigma_1(a)\sigma_1(n-a) = \frac{1}{24}n^3 [\sigma_3(n) - \sigma_1(n)].$$

As usual,

$$\sigma_h(n) \coloneqq \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\ d \mid n}} d^h$$

and

$$E_h(z) \coloneqq 1 - \frac{2h}{B_h} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_h(n) \exp(2\pi i n z)$$

is the usual Eisenstein series of weight *h*.

1. O

1.1. **Quasimodular forms.** In this section, we introduce usual definitions and notations and recall some useful properties of quasimodular forms. For a more detailed introduction, see [RM05, §17].

**Definition 4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . An holomorphic function

$$f\colon \mathscr{H}\to \mathbb{C}$$

is a quasimodular form of weight k, depth s on  $\Gamma$  if there exist holomorphic functions  $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_s$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

(1) 
$$(f_k|\gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} f_i X(\gamma)^i$$

for all  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$  and such that  $f_s$  is holomorphic at the cusps and not identically vanishing. By convention, the 0 function is a quasimodular form of depth 0 for each weight.

The set of quasimodular forms of weight *k* and depth *s* for  $\Gamma$  is denoted by  $\widetilde{M}_k^s(\Gamma)$ . It is often more convenient to use the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vectorial space of quasimodular forms of weight *k* and depth  $\leq s$ , which is denoted by  $\widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s}(\Gamma)$ .

One has to explain the signification of the holomorphicity of  $f_s$  at the cusps. One can show [RM05, Lemme 119] that if f satisfies the quasimodularity condition (1), then  $f_s$  satisfies the modularity condition

$$(cz+d)^{-(k-2s)}f_s\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = f_s(z)$$

for all  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ . We ask  $f_s$  to be a modular form of weight k - 2s on  $\Gamma$ : it means that, for all  $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , the function

$$z \mapsto (\gamma z + \delta)^{-(k-2s)} f_s\left(\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}\right)$$

has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \widehat{f_{s,M}}(n) \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{nz}{u_M}\right)$$

where

$$u_M = \inf\{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \colon T^u \in M^{-1}\Gamma M\}.$$

It follows that if *f* is a quasimodular form of weight *k* and depth *s*, non identically vanishing, then  $k \ge 0$  and  $s \le k/2$ .

- *Remark* 3. (1) With this definition, the space  $M_k(\Gamma)$  of modular forms of weight k for  $\Gamma$  is exactly the space  $\widetilde{M}_k^{\leq 0}(\Gamma)$ .
  - (2) A basic example of quasimodular form which is not a modular form is  $E_2$ , the so-called "Eisenstein series of weight 2", which satisfies for all  $\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  the transformation property

$$(E_2|\gamma) = E_2 + \frac{6}{i\pi} X(\gamma),$$

proving that  $E_2 \in \widetilde{M}_2^{\leq 1}(SL(2,\mathbb{Z})).$ 

In the sequel, we will fix a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$ , and write  $\widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s}$  for  $\widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s}(\Gamma)$  if no confusion is possible.

The space  $\widetilde{M}_* = \bigcup_{k,s} \widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s}$  is equipped with a natural filtered-graded al-

gebra structure (the graduation according to the weight, the filtration according to the depth). The canonical multiplication  $(f,g) \mapsto fg$  defines a morphism  $\widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s} \times \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t} \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}_{k+\ell}^{\leq s+t}$ .

If  $f \in \widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s}$ , the sequence  $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is defined by the quasimodularity condition

$$(f_k|\gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{s} f_n X(\gamma)^n$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , if  $i \in \{0, ..., s\}$ , and  $f_i = 0$  for  $i \notin \{0, ..., s\}$ . One can show that  $f_0 = f$  and  $f_i \in \widetilde{M}_{k-2i}^{\leq s-i}$  [RM05, Lemma 119].

The quasimodular forms are the natural extension of modular forms into a stable by derivation space, because of the following proposition: **Proposition 5.** The derivation  $D = \frac{d}{dz}$  maps  $\widetilde{M}_k^s$  to  $\widetilde{M}_{k+2}^{s+1}$ .

For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , write  $f^{(r)} = D^r(f)$ . The notation  $f_i^{(r)}$  always means  $D^r(f_i)$ . The following lemma connects the transformation equation of f and  $f^{(r)}$ :

**Lemma 6.** Let  $f \in \widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s}$ , satisfying the quasimodular condition

$$(f_k|\gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} f_i X(\gamma)^i \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

*Then, for all*  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  *and*  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *,* 

(2) 
$$(f^{(r)}_{k+2r}\gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{s+r} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r} j! \binom{r}{j} \binom{k+r-i+j-1}{j} f^{(r-j)}_{i-j}\right] X(\gamma)^{i}.$$

*Proof.* The result is obtained inductively on *r*: it is obvious for r = 0, and for the induction suppose that for  $r \ge 0$ , formula (2) holds. For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $g_i = \sum_{j=0}^r j! \binom{r}{j} \binom{k+r-i+j-1}{j} f_{i-j}^{(r-j)} \in \widetilde{M}_{k+2r-2i}^{\leq s+r-i}$ . Then using previous proposition (which implies that  $f^{(r+1)} \in \widetilde{M}_{k+2r+2}^{\leq r+s+1}$ ) and lemma 118 of [RM05]<sup>1</sup> we find (recall that  $f_i$  is defined to be the identically zero function for  $i \notin \{0, \ldots, s\}$ )

$$(f^{(r+1)} |_{k+2r+2} \gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{s+r+1} \left( g'_i + (k+2r-i+1)g_{i-1} \right) X(\gamma)^i$$

Now  $g_{i-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} (j-1)! \binom{r}{j-1} \binom{k+r-i+j-1}{j-1} f_{i-j}^{(r+1-j)}$ , and we obtain (denoting  $h_i$  for  $g'_i + (k+2r-i+1)g_{i-1}$ )

$$h_{i} = f_{i}^{(r+1)} + (k+2r-i-1)r! \binom{k+2r-i}{r} f_{i-r-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} f_{i-j}^{(r+1-j)} \times \left(\frac{r!}{(r-j)!}\binom{k+r-i+j-1}{j} + \frac{(k+2r-i-1)r!}{(r+1-j)!}\binom{k+r-i+j-1}{j-1}\right).$$

The lemma follows by expanding the binomial coefficients.

1.2. Usual Rankin-Cohen brackets for modular forms. The Rankin-Cohen brackets have been introduced by Cohen after a work of Rankin. These are bilinear differential operators, whose main property is to preserve modular forms. More precisely, for each  $n \ge 0$ ,  $(f, g) \in M_k \times M_\ell$ , define the *n*-Rankin-Cohen bracket of *f* and *g* by

$$[f,g]_n = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \binom{k+n-1}{n-r} \binom{\ell+n-1}{r} f^{(r)} g^{(n-r)}.$$

Then it satisfies the following proposition

**Proposition 7.** (1) *The function*  $[f, g]_n \in M_{k+\ell+2n}$ .

(2) Let  $k, \ell$  and n be fixed nonnegative integers. If  $\Phi$  is a bilinear differential operator sending  $M_k(\Gamma) \times M_\ell(\Gamma)$  to  $M_{k+\ell+2n}(\Gamma)$  for all  $\Gamma \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  a finite index subgroup, then (up to constant)  $\Phi(f,g) = [f,g]_n$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Be careful, in this lemma the derivation differs from a  $2i\pi$  factor.

For an overview of Rankin-Cohen brackets including a proof of this proposition, see for instance [Zag94], [Zag92] or [RM05].

*Remark* 4. The statement of the second point of the previous proposition needs explanations: the result of uniqueness is proved by using only algebraic arguments, the demonstration doesn't depend on the group  $\Gamma$  or on growth conditions. Of course, it is possible that for some fixed group  $\Gamma$  the uniqueness result doesn't hold (for instance if  $M_k(\Gamma) = \{0\}$  !).

The Rankin-Cohen brackets are very useful for many arithmetical applications, and appear in various mathematical domains as for instance invariant theory ([UU96] and [CMS01]) or non-commutative geometry ([CM04b] and [CM04a]).

In this section, we want to prove theorem 1 as stated in the introduction. We first establish a condition on **a** implying the result, in lemma 8 and then prove the existence of the Rankin-Cohen brackets (lemma 10). For *s*, *t* and *n* nonnegative integers, we introduce the set

$$\mathcal{E}(s,t,n) = \left\{ (u,v,\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^4 : u \le s, v \le t, \alpha + \beta \le u + v + n - s - t - 1 \right\}.$$

**Lemma 8.** Let  $k, \ell$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, s \in \{0, ..., \lfloor k/2 \rfloor\}, t \in \{0, ..., \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . For  $\mathbf{a} = (a_r)_{0 \le r \le n}$  verifying

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} a_r \binom{r}{\alpha} \binom{n-r}{\beta} (k+r-u-1)! (\ell+n-r-v-1)! = 0$$

for all  $(u, v, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}(s, t, n)$ , one has

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s},\widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}\right)\subset\widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \widetilde{M}_k^{\leq s}$  and  $g \in \widetilde{M}_\ell^{\leq t}$ . From lemma 6 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(f,g)_{k+\ell+2n} \gamma) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n} a_{r}(f^{(r)}_{k+2r} \gamma)(g^{(n-r)}_{\ell+2(n-r)} \gamma) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s+t+n} X(\gamma)^{i} \sum_{\substack{(i_{1},i_{2}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2} \\ i_{1}+i_{2}=i}} \sum_{r=0}^{n} a_{r} \\ &\times \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{r} j_{1}! \binom{r}{j_{1}} \binom{k+r-i_{1}+j_{1}-1}{j_{1}} \\ &\times \sum_{j_{2}=0}^{n-r} j_{2}! \binom{n-r}{j_{2}} \binom{\ell+n-r-i_{2}+j_{2}-1}{j_{2}} \\ &\times f_{i_{1}-j_{1}}^{(r-j_{1})} g_{i_{2}-j_{2}}^{(n-r-j_{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(f,g) \in \widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}$  if and only if

(3) 
$$\sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n\\i_1+i_2=s+t+i}}\sum_{r=0}^n a_r \sum_{j_1=0}^r \sum_{j_2=0}^{n-r} j_1! j_2! \binom{r}{j_1}\binom{n-r}{j_2} \times \binom{k+r-i_1+j_1-1}{j_1}\binom{\ell+n-r-i_2+j_2-1}{j_2} f_{i_1-j_1}^{(r-j_1)} g_{i_2-j_2}^{(n-r-j_2)} = 0$$

for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . This is easily seen to be equivalent to

$$\sum_{u} \sum_{v} \sum_{\substack{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2} \\ \alpha+\beta=n+u+v-s-t-i}} \sum_{r} a_{r}(r-\alpha)!(n-r-\beta)! \times {\binom{r}{\alpha}\binom{n-r}{\beta}\binom{k+r-u-1}{r-\alpha}\binom{\ell+n-r-v-1}{n-r-\beta}} f_{u}^{(\alpha)}g_{v}^{(\beta)} = 0$$

for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , the sets of summation being determined by the binomial coefficients. Hence,  $\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}, \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}\right) \in \widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}$  is implied by

(4) 
$$\sum_{r} a_{r} \binom{r}{\alpha} \binom{n-r}{\beta} (k+r-u-1)! (\ell+n-r-v-1)! = 0$$

for all  $(u, v, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}(s, t, n)$ .

*Remark* 5. The statement of the previous lemma is in fact an equivalence, if we ask  $\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}$  to satisfy  $\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}(\Gamma), \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}(\Gamma)\right) \subset \widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}(\Gamma)$  for each  $\Gamma$  a finite index subgroup of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ): indeed for  $\{a(u, v, \alpha, \beta)\}$  a non identically zero family of complex numbers, if  $\Psi: (f, g) \mapsto \sum_{(u,v,\alpha,\beta)\in \mathcal{E}(s,t,n)} a(u, v, \alpha, \beta) f_{u}^{(\alpha)} g_{v}^{(\beta)}$ satisfy  $\Psi(\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}(\Gamma), \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}(\Gamma)) = 0$ , then exists M > 0 such that the minimum of dim $(\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}(\Gamma))$  and dim $(\widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}(\Gamma))$  is strictly smaller than M. However, as for modular forms, for each A > 0 exists  $\Gamma$  a finite index subgroup of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) such that dim  $\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}(\Gamma) > A$  and dim  $\widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}(\Gamma) > A$  (recall that  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ).

We shall now give a necessary condition for **a** satisfying the condition of lemma 8.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $k, \ell$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, s \in \{0, ..., \lfloor k/2 \rfloor\}, t \in \{0, ..., \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . If  $\mathbf{a} = (a_r)_{0 \le r \le n}$  verifies

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} a_r \binom{r}{\alpha} \binom{n-r}{\beta} (k+r-u-1)! (\ell+n-r-v-1)! = 0$$

for all  $(u, v, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}(s, t, n)$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$a_r = \lambda (-1)^r \binom{k+n-s-1}{n-r} \binom{\ell+n-t-1}{r}$$

for all  $r \in \{0, ..., n\}$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\mathbf{b} = (b_r)_{0 \le r \le n}$  by

$$b_r = a_r(k + r - s - 1)!(\ell + n - r - t - 1)!$$

for all *r*. Then

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} b_r \binom{r}{\alpha} \binom{n-r}{\beta} \binom{k+r-u-1}{s-u} \binom{\ell+n-r-v-1}{t-v} = 0$$

for all  $(u, v, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}(s, t, n)$ . Choosing u = s, t = v and  $\beta = 0$  leads to  $F^{(\alpha)}(1) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \{0, ..., n - 1\}$  where *F* is the generating function of **b** defined by

$$F(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} b_r x^r.$$

This implies the existence of  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $F(x) = \mu(x-1)^n$  and thus  $b_r = \mu(-1)^r \binom{n}{r}$ . The result follows by defining

$$\lambda = \mu \frac{n!}{(k - s + n - 1)!(\ell - t + n - 1)!}.$$

We obtain the existence of the Rankin-Cohen operator for quasimodular forms in showing that the vector **a** we found in lemma 9 is admissible.

**Lemma 10.** Let  $k, \ell$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $s \in \{0, ..., \lfloor k/2 \rfloor\}$ ,  $t \in \{0, ..., \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_r)_{1 \le r \le n}$  be defined by

$$a_r = (-1)^r \binom{k-s+n-1}{n-r} \binom{\ell-t+n-1}{r}.$$

Then

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\widetilde{M}_{k}^{\leq s}, \widetilde{M}_{\ell}^{\leq t}\right) \subset \widetilde{M}_{k+\ell+2n}^{\leq s+t}.$$

*Proof.* By lemma 8 it suffices to verify

$$\sum_{\substack{(r_1,r_2)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\\r_1+r_2=n}} \frac{(-1)^{r_1}}{r_1!r_2!} \binom{r_1}{\alpha} \binom{r_2}{\beta} \binom{k-u-1+r_1}{s-u} \binom{\ell-v-1+r_2}{t-v} = 0$$

for all  $(u, v, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}(s, t, n)$ . Fix  $(u, v, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}(s, t, n)$  and define

$$F(X) = \sum_{\substack{(r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ r_1 + r_2 = n}} \frac{(-1)^{r_1}}{r_1! r_2!} \binom{r_1}{\alpha} \binom{r_2}{\beta} \binom{k - u - 1 + r_1}{s - u} \binom{\ell - v - 1 + r_2}{t - v} X^n.$$

It is the coefficient of order *n* in the product  $P_1(X)P_2(X)$  where

$$P_{1}(X) = \sum_{r_{1}=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r_{1}}}{r_{1}!} {\binom{r_{1}}{\alpha}} {\binom{k-u-1+r_{1}}{s-u}} X^{r_{1}}$$
$$P_{2}(X) = \sum_{r_{2}=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{r_{2}!} {\binom{r_{2}}{\beta}} {\binom{\ell-v-1+r_{2}}{t-v}} X^{r_{2}}.$$

We have

$$P_1(X) = \frac{X^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} Q_1^{(\alpha)}(X) \text{ with } Q_1(X) = \sum_{r_1=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r_1}}{r_1!} \binom{k-u-1+r_1}{s-u} X^{r_1}$$

and

$$Q_1(X) = \frac{X^{-k+s+1}}{(s-u)!} R_1^{(s-u)}(X) \text{ with } R_1(X) = \sum_{r_1=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r_1}}{r_1!} X^{r_1+k-u-1}$$
$$= X^{k-u-1} e^{-X}.$$

We therefore may write  $P_1(X) = \Pi_1(X)e^{-X}$  where  $\Pi_1$  is a polynomial of degree  $\alpha + s - u$ . Similary,  $P_2(X) = \Pi_2(X)e^X$  where  $\Pi_2$  is a polynomial of degree  $\beta + t - v$ . It follows that  $P_1P_2$  is a polynomial of degree  $\alpha + \beta + s + t - u - v$ . Finally, F(X) = 0 (recall that, by definition,  $\alpha + \beta - u - v < n - s - t$ ) hence the result.

The previous lemmas prove the theorem 1, the remark 5 explaining the unicity statement.

3. R -C

In this section, we prove theorem 2. First, we remark that

(5) 
$$\Phi_{n;k,s;\ell,t}(f,g)' = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^r \left[ \binom{k-s+n-1}{n-r} \binom{\ell-t+n-1}{r} - \binom{k-s+n-1}{n-r-1} \binom{\ell-t+n-1}{r+1} \right] f^{(r+1)} g^{(n-r)} + \binom{k-s+n-1}{n} f^{(n+1)} g^{(n+1)} + (-1)^n \binom{\ell-t+n-1}{n} f^{(n+1)} g^{(n+1)} g^{(n+$$

Next,

$$\Phi_{n;k,s;\ell+2,t+1}(f,g') = \binom{k-s+n-1}{n} fg^{(n+1)} - \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^r \binom{k-s+n-1}{n-r-1} \binom{\ell-t+n}{r+1} f^{(r+1)} g^{(n-r)}$$

so that

(6) 
$$\Phi_{n;k+2,s+1;\ell,t}(f',g) + \Phi_{n;k,s;\ell+2,t+1}(f,g') = \binom{k-s+n-1}{n} fg^{(n+1)} + (-1)^n \binom{\ell-t+n-1}{n} f^{(n+1)}g + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^r \left[\binom{k-s+n}{n-r}\binom{\ell-t+n-1}{r} - \binom{k-s+n-1}{n-r-1}\binom{\ell-t+n}{r+1}\right] f^{(r+1)}g^{(n-r)}$$

and equality from (5) and (6) follows by expanding the binomial coefficients.

10

#### R

- [BTY05] Pierre Bieliavsky, Xiang Tang, and Yijun Yao, *Rankin-Cohen brackets and quantization of foliation, Part I : formal quantization*, preprint (arXiv:math.QA/0506506) (2005), 21 pages.
- [CM04a] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici, *Modular Hecke algebras and their Hopf symmetry*, Mosc. Math. J. 4 (2004), no. 1, 67–109, 310. MR MR2074984 (2005f:11079a)
- [CM04b] \_\_\_\_\_, Rankin-Cohen brackets and the Hopf algebra of transverse geometry, Mosc. Math. J. 4 (2004), no. 1, 111–130, 311. MR MR2074985 (2005f:11079b)
- [CMS01] Y. Choie, B. Mourrain, and P. Solé, Rankin-Cohen brackets and invariant theory, J. Algebraic Combin. 13 (2001), no. 1, 5–13. MR MR1817700 (2002a:11039)
- [CMZ97] Paula Beazley Cohen, Yuri Manin, and Don Zagier, Automorphic pseudodifferential operators, Algebraic aspects of integrable systems, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 26, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997, pp. 17–47. MR MR1418868 (98e:11054)
- [LR05] Samuel Lelièvre and Emmanuel Royer, *Counting integer points by Teichmuller discs* in  $\Omega \mathcal{M}(2)$ , preprint (arXiv:math.GT/0509205) (2005), 18 pages.
- [RM05] Emmanuel Royer and François Martin, Formes modulaires et périodes, Formes modulaires et transcendance – Colloque JEUNES (Stéphane Fischler, Éric Gaudron, and Sami Khémira, eds.), Sémin. Congr., Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2005, Course given at the conference "Formes Modulaires et Transcendance" held in Luminy, 26–30, 2003. To appear. Available at http://carva.org/emmanuel.royer/art/cirm03/, pp. 1–118.
- [UU96] André Unterberger and Julianne Unterberger, Algebras of symbols and modular forms, J. Anal. Math. 68 (1996), 121–143. MR MR1403254 (97i:11044)
- [Zag92] Don Zagier, Introduction to modular forms, From number theory to physics (Les Houches, 1989), Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp. 238–291. MR MR1221103 (94e:11039)
- [Zag94] \_\_\_\_\_, Modular forms and differential operators, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 104 (1994), no. 1, 57–75, K. G. Ramanathan memorial issue. MR MR1280058 (95d:11048)
- U ´B P -C -F ,L M ´ P , L C´ ,F-63177 A ` ,F

*E-mail address*: Francois.Martin@math.univ-bpclermont.fr

U P V -M III, MIA, F-34199 M 5, F E-mail address: emmanuel.royer@polytechnique.org

*Current address*: Université Montpellier II, I3M – UMR CNRS 5149, cc051, F–34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France

*E-mail address*: royer@math.univ-montp2.fr