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#### Abstract

We consider either 3 spinless bosons or 3 spin- $1 / 2$ fermions, interacting with a short range potential of infinite scattering length and trapped in an isotropic harmonic potential. In the zero-range limit, we obtain analytically the exact spectrum and eigenfunctions, and find that even the bosonic universal states have a vanishing collisional loss rate. For realistic values of the interaction range, the numerical solution of a finite range model shows that the coupling between universal and efimovian states remains weak, which confirms that the bosonic universal states are long-lived and observable.


PACS numbers: 03.75.Ss, 05.30.Jp

With a Feshbach resonance, it is now possible to produce a stable quantum gas of fermionic atoms in the unitary limit, i.e. with an interaction of negligible range and scattering length $a=\infty$ [1, 2, 3]. The properties of this gas, including its superfluidity, are under active experimental investigation (4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. They have the remarkable feature of being universal, as was checked in particular for the zero temperature equation of state of the gas using a Monte Carlo method 11, 12. In contrast, experiments with Bose gases at a Feshbach resonance suffer from high loss rates [13, 14, 15, 16], and even the existence of a unitary Bose gas phase is a very open subject 17 .
In this context, fully understanding the few-body unitary problem is a crucial step. In free space, the unitary 3-boson problem has Efimov bound states 18. In a trap, it has efimovian states 19, 20 but also universal states whose energy depends only on the trapping frequency [19. Several experimental groups are currently trapping a few particles at a node of an optical lattice 21] and are controlling the interaction strength via a Feshbach resonance. Results have already being obtained for two particles per lattice node 22], a case that was solved analytically [23]. Anticipating experiments with 3 atoms per node, we derive in this Letter exact expressions for all universal and efimovian eigenstates of the 3-body problem for bosons (generalizing 19 to a non-zero angular momentum) and for identical fermions in a trap. We also show the long lifetime of the universal states and their observability in a real experiment, extending to universal states the numerical calculations of 20 .

Assuming that the effective range and the true range of the interaction potential are negligible as compared to the de Broglie wavelength of the 3 particles, we replace the interaction potential by the Bethe-Peierls contact conditions: there exists a function $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{r_{i j}}-\frac{1}{a}\right) A\left(\mathbf{R}_{i j}, \mathbf{r}_{k}\right)+O\left(r_{i j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the limit $r_{i j} \rightarrow 0$ taken for fixed positions of the other particle $k$ and of the center of mass $\mathbf{R}_{i j}$ of $i$ and $j$. In the
unitary limit considered in this paper, $a=\infty$. When all the distances $r_{i j}$ are non zero, the 3-body wavefunction $\psi$ obeys the non-interacting Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta_{\mathbf{r}_{i}}+\frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} r_{i}^{2}\right] \psi=E \psi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the oscillation frequency and $m$ the mass of a particle.

To solve this problem, we extend the approach of Efimov 18, 24 to the trapped case, and obtain the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)=\psi_{\mathrm{cm}}(\mathbf{C}) F(R)(1+Q) \frac{1}{r \rho} \varphi(\alpha) Y_{l}^{m}(\boldsymbol{\rho} / \rho) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the center of mass is separable for a harmonic trapping, we have singled out the wavefunction $\psi_{\mathrm{cm}}(\mathbf{C})$ of its stationary state of energy $E_{\mathrm{cm}}$, with $\mathbf{C}=\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}+\mathbf{r}_{2}+\mathbf{r}_{3}\right) / 3$. The operator $Q$ ensures the correct exchange symmetry of $\psi$ : for spinless bosons, $Q=P_{13}+P_{23}$, where $P_{i j}$ transposes particles $i$ and $j$; for spin $1 / 2$ fermions, we assume a spin state $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow$ so that $Q=-P_{13}$. The Jacobi coordinates are $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}=\left(2 \mathbf{r}_{3}-\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) / \sqrt{3}$. For a given total internal angular momentum $l$ of the system, the function $\varphi(\alpha)$, where $\alpha=\arctan (r / \rho)$ is a hyperrangle, solves the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\varphi^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\frac{l(l+1)}{\cos ^{2} \alpha} \varphi(\alpha) & =s^{2} \varphi(\alpha)  \tag{4}\\
\varphi(\pi / 2) & =0  \tag{5}\\
\varphi^{\prime}(0)+\eta(-1)^{l} \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \varphi(\pi / 3) & =0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\eta=-1$ for fermions, $\eta=2$ for bosons. An analytical expression can be obtained for $\varphi(\alpha)$ 25, which leads to the transcendental equation for $s$ (26]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im}\left[i ^ { l } \sum _ { k = 0 } ^ { l } \frac { ( - l ) _ { k } ( l + 1 ) _ { k } } { k ! 2 ^ { k } } \frac { ( 1 - s ) _ { l } } { ( 1 - s ) _ { k } } \left(i(k-s) e^{i s \frac{\pi}{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\eta(-1)^{l} \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} e^{i \frac{\pi}{6}(2 k+s)}\right)\right]=0, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 1: The constants $s_{l, n}$ for (a) 3 identical fermions and (b) 3 bosons, obtained by numerical solution of the transcendental equation Eq.(J). We have not represented the $s_{l=0, n=0}$ solution for bosons, which is purely imaginary. According to Eq.(10), each real $s_{l, n}$ gives rise to a semi-infinite ladder of universal states. Note that the ground universal state has a total angular momentum $l=1$ for fermions ( $E \simeq 4.27 \hbar \omega$ ) and $l=2$ for bosons $(E \simeq 5.32 \hbar \omega)$.
with the notation $(x)_{n} \equiv x(x+1) \ldots(x+n)$. This equation is readily solved numerically: for each $l$, the solutions form an infinite sequence $\left(s_{l, n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$, see Fig.1. As we show below, all solutions are real, except for bosons in the $l=0$ channel, where a single purely imaginary solution exists, $s_{l=0, n=0} \simeq i \times 1.00624 \equiv s_{0}$, the well known Efimov solution. Finally, the function $F(R)$, where the hyperradius is $R=\left(r^{2}+\rho^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, solves the problem:
$\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m}\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R} \frac{d}{d R}\right)+V(R)\right] F(R)=\left(E-E_{\mathrm{cm}}\right) F(R)$
where $V(R)=\hbar^{2} s^{2} /\left(m R^{2}\right)+m \omega^{2} R^{2} / 4, s$ being one of the $s_{l, n}$. This is the Schrödinger equation for a fictive particle of zero angular momentum and mass $m / 2$ moving in two dimensions in the potential $V(R)$.
When $s^{2}>0$, the solution for $F$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(R)=e^{-R^{2} / 4 a_{\mathrm{ho}}^{2}} R^{s} L_{q}^{(s)}\left(R^{2} / 2 a_{\mathrm{ho}}^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\mathrm{ho}}=(\hbar / m \omega)^{1 / 2}$ is the harmonic oscillator length, $L_{q}^{(\cdot)}$ is the generalized Laguerre polynomial of degree $q$, $q$ being an arbitrary non-negative integer. The resulting spectrum for the 3 -body problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{\mathrm{cm}}+\left(s_{l, n}+1+2 q\right) \hbar \omega . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum number $q$ leads to a semi-infinite ladder structure of the spectrum with a regular spacing $2 \hbar \omega$. This is related to the existence of a scaling solution for the trapped unitary gas 27] and the subsequent embedding of the Hamiltonian in a $S O(2,1)$ algebra 28].

When $s^{2}<0$, as is the case in the $l=n=0$ channel for bosons, the Schrödinger equation Eq. (8) does not
define by itself an Hermitian problem 29] and has to be supplemented by a boundary condition for $R \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(R) \propto \operatorname{Im}\left[\left(\frac{R}{R_{t}}\right)^{s_{0}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{t}$ is an additional 3-body parameter. For the resulting efimovian states, the function $F$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(R)=R^{-1} W_{\left(E-E_{\mathrm{cm}}\right) / 2 \hbar \omega, s_{0} / 2}\left(R^{2} / 2 a_{\mathrm{ho}}^{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is a Whittaker function; and the energy solves:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\arg \Gamma\left[\frac{1+s_{0}-\left(E-E_{\mathrm{cm}}\right) / \hbar \omega}{2}\right]=-\left|s_{0}\right| \ln \left(R_{t} / \sqrt{2} a_{\mathrm{ho}}\right) \\
\quad+\arg \Gamma\left(1+s_{0}\right) \bmod \pi \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

We did not yet obtain all the 3-body eigenstates [30]. Indeed, all the above states satisfy the contact condition (11) with a non-zero function $A$. But there are wavefunctions of the unitary gas which vanish when two particles are at the same point; these are also eigenstates of the non-interacting case. An example is the Laughlin state of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect for fermions and bosons [31]:
$\psi=e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_{i}^{2} / 2 a_{\mathrm{ho}}^{2}} \prod_{1 \leq n<m \leq 3}\left[\left(x_{n}+i y_{n}\right)-\left(x_{m}+i y_{m}\right)\right]^{|\eta|}$.
In the limit of high energies $E \gg \hbar \omega$, there are actually many of these $A \equiv 0$ states: their density of states (DOS) is almost as high as the DOS of the non-interacting case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{A \equiv 0}(E)}{\rho_{\text {non-inter }}(E)} \underset{E \rightarrow \infty}{=} 1-O\left(\left(\frac{\hbar \omega}{E}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast, the DOS of the $A \neq 0$ states is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{A \neq 0}(E)}{\rho_{\text {non-inter }}(E)} \underset{E \rightarrow \infty}{=} O\left(\left(\frac{\hbar \omega}{E}\right)^{3}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(16) is a consequence of Eq.(17). We found Eq.(15) by applying the rank theorem to the operator $\psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(\psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right.$, $\left.\psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right)\right)$ which associates, to each non-interacting eigenstate $\psi_{0}$ of energy $E, 3$ functions of 2 atomic positions, and whose kernel is the space of $A \equiv 0$ states of energy $E$ [32].

This completes our derivation of all the eigenstates of the unitary 3 -body problem in a trap. Three types of states are obtained in general: eigenstates common to the non-interacting case, universal interacting states, and efimovian states depending on a 3-body parameter $R_{t}$.

We now prove that the Efimov effect is absent for 3 fermions. This fact is known but to our knowledge not demonstrated. This is important in practice: if Efimov states would exist, they could be populated in an experiment, thus destroying universality. Numerically one
can only check the absence of imaginary solution of the transcendental equation in some finite interval of $s$ and $l$. Here we prove that for any $l$ and any imaginary $s$, there is no solution to the problem (4.5.(6). Let us assume that $s^{2} \leq l(l+1)$, and that (4,5) are satisfied. We will show that the quantity $Q\left(l, s^{2}\right) \equiv \varphi^{\prime}(0)-(-1)^{l} \varphi(\pi / 3)$ is non zero, which is incompatible with (6). We rewrite (4) as $\varphi^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)=U(\alpha) \varphi(\alpha)$, and take the normalization: $\varphi(0)=1$. From $U\left(\alpha ; l, s^{2}\right)=\frac{l(l+1)}{\cos ^{2} \alpha}-s^{2}>0$, it follows: $\varphi^{\prime}(0) \leq 0$, and $\varphi(\alpha)>0$ for $0 \leq \alpha<\pi / 2$. Thus, $Q\left(l, s^{2}\right)<0$ for $l$ even. For $l$ odd, one needs two intermediary results: (i) $Q\left(l=1, s^{2}=2\right)<0$ (which we check by explicit calculation); (ii) if $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ are two solutions with $U_{2} \geq U_{1}$, then $\varphi_{2} \leq \varphi_{1}$, and $Q_{2} \leq Q_{1}$. Now the assumption $s^{2} \leq l(l+1)$ implies $U\left(\alpha ; l, s^{2}\right) \geq U\left(\alpha ; l=1, s^{2}=2\right)$. One concludes that: $Q\left(l, s^{2}\right) \leq Q\left(l=1, s^{2}=2\right)<0$. For bosons, we proved similarly that all the $s^{2}$ are positive, except for the well known $s_{n=0, l=0} \simeq i \times 1.00624$.
It appears clearly on Fig. 11 that $s_{l, n}$ gets close to an integer value $\bar{s}_{l, n}$ as soon as $l$ or $n$ increases, with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{s}_{l, n}=l+1+2 n & \text { for } l \geq|\eta| \\
\bar{s}_{l, n}=2 n-l+(2 \eta+11) / 3 & \text { for } l<|\eta| . \tag{17}
\end{array}
$$

To check this analytically, the transcendental equation is not useful. We rather applied semi-classical WKB techniques to the problem (4.5, 6 ), and obtained (33):

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{l, 0}-\bar{s}_{l, 0} \underset{l \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \eta(-1)^{l+1} 2^{1-l} / \sqrt{3 \pi l}  \tag{18}\\
& s_{l, n}-\bar{s}_{l, n} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \eta \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{3}(l+1-n)\right] \frac{(-1)^{l+n+1} 4}{\pi \sqrt{3} n}  \tag{19}\\
& \quad \max _{n}\left|s_{l, n}-\bar{s}_{l, n}\right| \underset{l \rightarrow \infty}{\sim}|\eta| \frac{4 A i_{\max }}{3^{7 / 12} \pi^{1 / 2}} l^{-5 / 6} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

with $A i_{\max } \simeq 0.5357$ the maximum of the Airy function.
We now discuss the lifetime of the 3-body universal states found here in the trap, due to 3 -body recombination to a deeply bound molecular state. We estimate the loss rate $\Gamma_{\text {loss }}$ as the probability that 3 particles approach each other to distances of the order of the range $\sigma$ of the interaction potential, times $\hbar / m \sigma^{2}$ [34]. Using the 3-body wavefunctions obtained above for the zero range model, this gives for a universal state with exponent $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{loss}} \propto \omega\left(\frac{\sigma}{a_{\mathrm{ho}}}\right)^{2 s} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s \geq 1.77$ for fermions and $s \geq 2.82$ for bosons (Fig. 1), the rescaled lifetime $\omega / \Gamma_{\text {loss }}$ of all the universal states tends to infinity when the rescaled range $\sigma / a_{\text {ho }}$ tends to zero. Therefore, the loss rate vanishes in the zero range limit, not only for fermions, but also for universal bosonic states. The Pauli principle is thus not a necessary condition for a reduced loss rate.

What is the experimental relevance of our results? The unitary three-body problem in an isotropic harmonic trap


FIG. 2: Numerical solution of the separable potential model: (a) 3-body eigenenergies and (b) estimate of the 3-body loss rate in units of $\omega$ [34], as a function of the potential range $\sigma$ (lower axis) and the 3 -body parameter $R_{t}$ (upper axis). (a) The lowest energy universal branch (stars) has a very weak avoided crossing with an efimovian branch (crosses). The analytical predictions of the zero-range model (solid lines) are in good agreement with the numerics; a linear extrapolation of the stars to $\sigma=0$ (dotted line) matches the zero-range result. (b) The universal branch has a reduced loss rate except very close to the avoided crossing, where mixed states are formed (triangles).
may be realized by trapping 3 bosons or 3 fermions at a site of a deep optical lattice, and using a Feshbach resonance. A crucial condition is that the interaction potential range is much smaller than $a_{\text {ho }}$. For a broad Feshbach resonance with an effective range of the order of the Van der Waals range, we find that the potential range may be only one order of magnitude smaller than $a_{\text {ho }}$, for an usual lattice spacing of $\sim 0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a lattice modulation depth of $\sim 50$ recoil energies. One may then fear that this experimental situation is not in the asymptotic regime of a zero range potential. In the zero range model, there are energy crossings between universal and efimovian states as a function of $R_{t} / a_{\text {ho }}$ (see solid lines in Fig. 2 a); for a finite range, one expects a mixing between universal and efimovian states, leading to avoided crossings and a reduced lifetime for the universal states.

We therefore solve a finite interaction range model, the Gaussian separable potential of range $\sigma$ 20], defined as $\left\langle\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}\right| V\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{r}_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \pi^{3 / 2} m \sigma^{5}} e^{-\left(r_{12}^{2}+r_{12}^{\prime 2}\right) / 2 \sigma^{2}} \delta\left(\mathbf{R}_{12}-\mathbf{R}_{12}^{\prime}\right)$.

This leads to an integral equation that we solve numerically, as will be detailed elsewhere. In Fig.2a, we show two $l=0$ energy branches as a function of $\sigma$, corresponding in the zero-range model to the lowest $l=0$ universal state and to an efimovian branch. The linear
extrapolation of the universal branch to the $\sigma=0$ limit reproduces the analytical zero-range result at the $10^{-3}$ level. The zero-range prediction for the efimovian branch is also in acceptable agreement with the separable potential (35]. The avoided crossing between the two branches is hardly visible, which reveals that the coupling due to the finite range is weak. To estimate the 3 -body loss rate, we calculate the quantity $\left|\psi_{\text {int }}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})\right|^{2} \hbar \sigma^{4} / m$, with $\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)=\psi_{\mathrm{cm}}(\mathbf{C}) \psi_{\text {int }}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\rho})$ and $\psi_{\text {int }}$ normalized to unity 34. Fig.2b shows that there is indeed a very long lifetime on the universal branch, as compared to the efimovian one, except very close to the avoided crossing.

Finally, we propose the following experimental sequence: if one starts with the non-interacting ground state, a superposition of 3-body unitary eigenstates can be prepared by switching suddenly the scattering length from zero to infinity. The Bohr frequencies in the subsequent evolution of an observable would give information on the 3-body spectrum. For bosons, there will be a finite fraction of the sites where the three atoms have a long lifetime. This fraction is equal to the probability of having populated a universal state, which we calculate to be $0.174 .$. , a value dominated by the contribution ( $0.105 \ldots$ ) of the lowest $l=0$ universal state.

In summary, we have obtained the complete analytical solution of the unitary three-body problem in a trap. For bosons, there are both efimovian and universal states, while for fermions we proved that all states are universal. All universal states are stable in the zero-range limit with respect to three-body losses, not only for fermions, but also for bosons. From the numerical solution of a finite range model, we conclude that the bosonic universal states are indeed long-lived and observable in present experimental state of the art.

We acknowledge very useful discussions with L. Pricoupenko, D. Petrov and A. Bulgac. Laboratoire Kastler Brossel is a Unité de Recherche de l'École Normale Supérieure et de l'Université Paris 6, associée au CNRS.
[1] K. M. O'Hara, S. L. Hemmer, M. E. Gehm, S. R. Granade, J. E. Thomas, Science 298, 2179 (2002).
[2] C.A. Regal, C. Ticknor, J.L. Bohn, D.S. Jin, Nature 424, 47 (2003).
[3] T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, L. Khaykovich, K. M. F. Magalhães, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, G. V. Shlyapnikov, C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 020402 (2003).
[4] C. A. Regal, M. Greiner and D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 040403 (2004); M.W. Zwierlein, C.A. Stan, C.H. Schunck, S. M. F. Raupach, A. J. Kerman, W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 120403 (2004).
[5] M. Bartenstein, A. Altmeyer, S. Riedl, S. Jochim, C. Chin, J. Hecker Denschlag, R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 120401 (2004).
[6] T. Bourdel, L. Khaykovich, J Cubizolles, J. Zhang, F. Chevy, M. Teichmann, L. Tarruell, S.J.J.M.F. Kokkel-
mans, C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 050401 (2004).
[7] C. Chin, M. Bartenstein, A. Altmeyer, S. Riedl, S. Jochim, J. Hecker Denschlag, R. Grimm, Science 305, 1128 (2004).
[8] G. B. Partridge, K. E. Strecker, R. I. Kamar, M. W. Jack, R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 020404 (2005).
[9] J. Kinast, A. Turlapov, J. E. Thomas, Q. Chen, J. Stajic, K. Levin, Science 307, 1296 (2005).
[10] M.W. Zwierlein, J.R. Abo-Shaeer, A. Schirotzek, C.H. Schunck, W. Ketterle, Nature 435, 1047-1051 (2005).
[11] J. Carlson, S.-Y. Chang, V.R. Pandharipande and K. E. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 050401 (2003).
[12] G. E. Astrakharchik, J. Boronat, J. Casulleras, and S. Giorgini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 200404 (2004).
[13] J. Stenger, S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2422 (1999).
[14] J. L. Roberts, N. R. Claussen, S. L. Cornish, C. E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 728 (2000).
[15] A. Marte, T. Volz, J. Schuster, S. Dürr, G. Rempe, E. G. M. van Kempen, B. J. Verhaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 283202 (2002).
[16] T. Weber, J. Herbig, M. Mark, H.-C. Nägerl, and R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 123201 (2003).
[17] E. Braaten, H.-W. Hammer, and T. Mehen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 040401 (2002).
[18] V. N. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 12, 589 (1971).
[19] S. Jonsell, H. Heiselberg, and C. J. Pethick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 250401 (2002).
[20] M. Stoll, T. Köhler, Phys. Rev. A 72, 022714 (2005).
[21] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hänsch and I. Bloch, Nature 415, 39 (2002).
[22] M. Köhl, H. Moritz, T. Stöferle, K. Günter and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 080403 (2005).
[23] T. Busch, B. G. Englert, K. Rzazewski, and M. Wilkens, Found. Phys. 28, 549 (1998).
[24] V. Efimov, Nucl. Phys. A210, 157 (1973).
$[25] \varphi(\alpha)=\operatorname{Im}\left[i^{l} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \frac{(-l)_{k}(l+1)_{k}}{k!2^{k}} \frac{(1-s)_{l}}{(1-s)_{k}}(1+i \tan \alpha)^{k} e^{i s\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha\right)}\right]$.
[26] The integer solutions must be eliminated $(l=0, s=2$ for fermions; $l=0, s=4$ and $l=1, s=3$ for bosons).
[27] Y. Castin, Comptes Rendus Physique 5, 407 (2004).
[28] L.P. Pitaevskii, A. Rosch, Phys. Rev. A 55, R853 (1997).
[29] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics, part II, page 1665 (Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, 1953).
[30] The above solution is based on a sequence of ansatz, which misses some of the eigenstates.
[31] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395 (1983).
[32] The rank theorem states that for an operator $T$ acting on a finite dimensional space $\mathcal{E}, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{E}=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} T)+$ $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} T)$. An upper bound on $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im} T)$ then leads to the result.
[33] For (18) we used $\partial_{s^{2}} \varphi^{\prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \varphi^{2}(\alpha)$ where $\varphi$ solves (7,5) with the normalization $\varphi(0)=1$.
[34] This quantity is expected to be proportional to the true loss rate within a numerical factor depending on shortrange details of the interaction. See also: D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 090404 (2004); E. Nielsen, H. Suno, and B. D. Esry, Phys. Rev. A 66, 012705 (2002).
[35] We find $R_{t} \simeq 5.09 \sigma$ for the separable potential by calculating the energies of Efimov states in free space.

