



**HAL**  
open science

# Tensor Products and $p$ -induction of Representations on Banach Spaces

Philippe Jaming, William Moran

► **To cite this version:**

Philippe Jaming, William Moran. Tensor Products and  $p$ -induction of Representations on Banach Spaces. *Collectanea Mathematica*, 2000, 51, No 1 pp 83-109. hal-00007481

**HAL Id: hal-00007481**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00007481>**

Submitted on 12 Jul 2005

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# TENSOR PRODUCTS AND $p$ -INDUCTION OF REPRESENTATIONS ON BANACH SPACES

PHILIPPE JAMING AND WILLIAM MORAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain  $L^p$  versions of the classical theorems of induced representations, namely, the inducing in stages theorem, the Kronecker product theorem, the Frobenius Reciprocity theorem and the subgroup theorem. In doing so we adopt the tensor product approach of Rieffel to inducing.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of the present paper is to carry over the theory of induced representations of locally compact groups on Hilbert spaces to more general Banach spaces. The cornerstone of this theory is the work of Mackey. Several generalisations have already been considered by various authors (*cf.* [1], [12], [22]). However these treatments do not give a complete and coherent account of the basic theorems of induced representations: the Inducing-in-stages Theorem, the Kronecker Product Theorem, the Frobenius Reciprocity Theorem and the Subgroup Theorem, in this context. This statement is slightly misleading; in fact, [12] does contain an inducing-in-stages theorem and [19], [22] contain Frobenius Reciprocity Theorems for "1-inducing". Our aim here is to investigate the problems involved in finding such theorems in the more general context of  $p$ -inducing, rather than the classical 2-inducing. We obtain versions of all of these theorems. To do this, we follow the philosophy of Rieffel in using tensor products as the mechanism for inducing. In doing this, we have as does Rieffel to impose restrictions which prevent us from obtaining an inducing in stages theorem as sharp as that of [12]. On the other hand, our version of the Frobenius Reciprocity Theorem is valid for  $1 < p < \infty$  instead of  $p = 1$  from [19], [22]. We also obtain a version of the subgroup theorem and of the Kronecker product theorem, neither of which are, to our knowledge, available in the literature.

It turns out that the extension of the basic theorems to this context relies heavily on properties of the Banach spaces involved and that a full theory requires the Banach spaces on which the groups are represented to be close to  $L^p$ -spaces. Accordingly we spend some time discussing the properties of these spaces in the next section of the paper, followed by the new definition of  $p$ -inducing as a tensor product in section 3. In section 4, we prove the inducing in stages theorem, the Kronecker product theorem and the Frobenius Reciprocity theorem. Finally we give a version of the subgroup theorem.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

All groups considered here will be locally compact and separable. All Banach spaces considered will be complex, separable and reflexive. In particular they have the Radon-Nikodym property. We will also assume that they have the approximation property. Let us also define what we mean by a representation of a group  $G$  on a Banach space  $X$ .

**2.1. Representations of groups. Definition** Let  $G$  be a group and  $X$  a Banach space. A representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on  $X$  is a set  $(\pi_g)_{g \in G}$  of linear mappings  $\pi_g : X \rightarrow X$  such that

1.  $\pi_e = I$  and for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $\pi_{g_1 g_2} = \pi_{g_1} \pi_{g_2}$ ;
2. for every  $g \in G$ ,  $\pi_g$  is continuous;

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A65, 22D12, 22D30.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -induction, tensor products,  $p$ -nuclear operators, induction in stages, Kronecker product, Frobenius reciprocity theorem, Peter Weil theorem, subgroup theorem.

Part of the work exposed here was done while the first author was visiting the second one at Flinders University, Adelaide. He is also embedded to that institution for financial support.

3. for every  $x \in X$  the map  $\begin{array}{ccc} G & \mapsto & X \\ g & \mapsto & \pi_g x \end{array}$  is continuous (i.e.  $\pi$  is strongly continuous).

A representation  $\pi$  is said to be uniformly bounded if  $\sup_{g \in G} \|\pi_g\| < \infty$ ,  $\pi$  is isometric if every  $\pi_g$  is an isometry.

*Remark* : Assume  $\pi$  is a uniformly bounded representation of a group  $G$  on a Banach space  $X$ . Define a new norm on  $X$  by

$$\|x\|_\pi = \sup_{g \in G} \|\pi_g x\|$$

then  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $X$  and  $\pi$  is an isometric representation of  $G$  on  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\pi)$ .

In the sequel, every representation considered will be isometric.

*Example* : Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measured space and let  $G$  be a group of transformations of  $\mathcal{M}$  ( $\mathcal{M}$  is then called a  $G$ -space). Assume that  $G$  leaves  $\mu$  invariant (i.e.  $\mu(gM) = \mu(M)$  for every  $g \in G$  and every measurable  $M \subset \mathcal{M}$ ). Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and define, for  $g \in G$ ,  $\pi_g : L^p(\mathcal{M}, \mu) \mapsto L^p(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  by  $\pi_g f(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ , then  $(\pi_g)_{g \in G}$  is an isometric representation of  $G$  on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ .

**2.2.  $p$ -spaces.** We first describe some results on Banach spaces and tensor products that we will need. They can all be found in [3], Ch. 23 and 25.6.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\Omega$  a locally compact space and  $\mu$  a Radon measure on  $\Omega$ . We shall be considering the spaces  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $L^p(\mu, X)$ , defined in the usual way.

Define  $i_p(\mu) : L^p(\mu) \otimes X \mapsto L^p(\mu, X)$  by

$$f \otimes x \mapsto (t \mapsto f(t)x).$$

Then  $i_p$  produces on  $L^p(\mu) \otimes X$  a norm  $\Delta_p$  induced by the norm of  $L^p(\mu, X)$ . We denote by  $L^p(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_{\Delta_p} X$  the completion of  $L^p(\mu) \otimes X$  under this norm, so that  $L^p(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_{\Delta_p} X \simeq L^p(\mu, X)$ .

For  $X$  and  $Y$  two Banach spaces, we define two norms  $d_p$  and  $g_p$  on the tensor product  $X \otimes Y$  as follows. For  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ ,  $1 < p' < \infty$  define

$$\varepsilon_{p'}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\psi(y_i)|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} : \psi \in Y', \|\psi\| = 1 \right\}$$

For  $z \in X \otimes Y$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$  let

$$d_p(z) = \inf \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \varepsilon_{p'}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of  $z$  of the form  $z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$ .

The norm  $g_p(z)$  is defined by exchanging the roles of  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  in the above definition. We write  $X \otimes_{d_p} Y$  (resp.  $X \otimes_{g_p} Y$ ) for the completion of  $X \otimes Y$  with respect to the norm  $d_p$  (resp.  $g_p$ ).

This norms have been introduced independently by S. Chevet [2] and P. Saphar [21] in order to generalise the projective tensor product norm. If we identify  $z \in X \otimes Y$  with an operator  $T_z : X' \mapsto Y$  then, under this identification, operators corresponding to elements of  $X \otimes_{d_p} Y$  will be called *right  $p$ -nuclear* and those corresponding to elements of  $X \otimes_{g_p} Y$  will be called *left  $p$ -nuclear*. We write  $N_p(X', Y)$  for the class of all right  $p$ -nuclear operators from  $X'$  to  $Y$  and  $N^p(X', Y)$  for the class of all left  $p$ -nuclear operators. The following result from [8] (corollary 1.6) tells us that the  $d_p$  tensor norm is the nearest ideal norm to  $\Delta_p$  :

**Theorem 2.1.1** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The following are equivalent :*

1.  $X$  is isomorphic to a quotient of a subspace of an  $L_p$  space (a  $QSL_p$  space);
2. there exists an infinite dimensional  $L_p(\mu)$  and an ideal norm  $\alpha$  equivalent to  $\Delta_p$  on  $L^p(\mu) \otimes X$ ;
3. for every infinite dimensional  $L_p(\mu)$  there exists an ideal norm  $\alpha$  equivalent to  $\Delta_p$  on  $L^p(\mu) \otimes X$ .

Moreover, the ideal norm  $\alpha$  can be chosen to be the  $d_p$  norm.

Specialists of representation theory may be more familiar with  $p$ -spaces as defined by Herz [10]. We refrain from giving this definition, since it turns out that  $QSL_p$  spaces and  $p$ -spaces are the same. The following result follows at once from the preceding one and the observation that a  $p$ -space is a subspace of a quotient of an  $L^p$  space, by Proposition 0 of [10] and Theorem 2' of [13].

**Theorem 2.1.2** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $X$  is a  $QSL_p$  space if and only if it is a  $p$ -space.*

The  $d_p$  and  $g_p$  tensor products are also of particular interest when  $X$  and  $Y$  are both  $L^p$  spaces. Indeed, if  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and  $(\Omega', \mu')$  are two measure spaces, we have

$$(1) \quad L^p(\Omega) \otimes_{d_p} L^p(\Omega') = L^p(\Omega) \otimes_{g_p} L^p(\Omega') \simeq L^p(\Omega \times \Omega') \simeq N_p(L^{p'}(\Omega), L^p(\Omega'))$$

It is then obvious from (1) that, if  $R, S, T$  are measure spaces, then

$$(2) \quad L^p(R) \otimes_{d_p} (L^p(S) \otimes_{d_p} L^p(T)) \simeq (L^p(R) \otimes_{d_p} L^p(S)) \otimes_{d_p} L^p(T)$$

In other words, if  $X, Y, Z$  are all  $L^p$  spaces, then

$$\begin{aligned} X \otimes_{d_p} Y &\simeq Y \otimes_{d_p} X \\ X \otimes_{d_p} (Y \otimes_{d_p} Z) &\simeq (X \otimes_{d_p} Y) \otimes_{d_p} Z. \end{aligned}$$

We will now generalise these two identities to a larger class of Banach spaces.

**Definition** *Let  $\lambda > 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . We will say that a Banach space  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p\lambda}^g$  space if there exists a projection  $P$  of norm  $\|P\| \leq \lambda$  from an  $L^p$ -space onto  $X$ .*

$X$  is called an  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  space if it is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p\lambda}^g$  for some  $\lambda$ .

It turns out that this spaces have a local characterisation close to the  $\mathcal{L}_p$  spaces investigated by Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński [14].

**Proposition 2.1.3** *(cf [3]) A Banach space  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p\lambda}^g$  if and only if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and every finite dimensional subspace  $M$  of  $X$ , there exists operators  $R : M \mapsto \ell_p^m$  and  $S : \ell_p^m \mapsto X$  that factors the inclusion map  $I_M^X = SR$  and such that  $\|S\|\|R\| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$ .*

These spaces have a few nice properties :

**Proposition 2.1.4** *(cf [3]) For  $1 < p < \infty$  :*

- 1). *If  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  space, then it has the Radon Nikodym property and the bounded approximation property;*
- 2).  *$X$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p\lambda}^g$  space if and only if  $X'$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p'\lambda}^g$  space;*
- 3). *if  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  space then either it is an  $\mathcal{L}_p$  space or it is isomorphic to a Hilbert space;*
- 4). *if  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  then  $X \otimes_{d_p} Y$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  space.*

**Proposition 2.1.5** *(cf [3]) Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . The following propositions are equivalent :*

- 1).  *$X$  is isomorphic to a quotient of an  $L^p$  space;*
- 2).  *$L^p \otimes_{d_p} X \simeq L^p \otimes_{g_p} X = X \otimes_{d_p} L^p$ .*

*In particular, this is true for complemented subspaces of  $L^p$  spaces i.e.  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  spaces.*

Since an  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  space  $X$  is a (complemented) subspace of an  $L^p$  space, we have, by Proposition 2.1.1,

$$L^p(\mu) \otimes_{d_p} X \simeq L^p(\mu) \otimes_{\Delta_p} X = L^p(\mu, X).$$

Using local techniques we can derive from (2) and Proposition 2.1.4 (1) and (5), that if  $X, Y, Z$  are  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  spaces then

$$(3) \quad X \otimes_{d_p} (Y \otimes_{d_p} Z) \simeq (X \otimes_{d_p} Y) \otimes_{d_p} Z$$

This identity has an operator counterpart :

**Lemma 2.1.6** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $R$  be a measure space and let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  spaces. Then*

$$(4) \quad N_{p'}(L^p(R) \otimes_{d_p} X, Y') \simeq N_{p'}(X, N_{p'}(L^p(R), Y'))$$

where the operator  $T : L^p(R) \otimes_{d_p} X \mapsto Y'$  is identified with the operator  $\tilde{T} : X \mapsto N_{p'}(L^p(R), Y')$  via  $\tilde{T}(\varphi)(\psi) = T(\psi \otimes \varphi)$ .

*Proof.* Equation (3) can be read, using the identification of tensor products and operators as:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{p'}(L^p(R) \otimes_{d_p} X, Y') &= N_{p'}(L^p(R, X), Y') = L^p(R, X)' \otimes_{d_{p'}} Y' \\ &= L^{p'}(R, X)' \otimes_{d_{p'}} Y' = (L^{p'}(R) \otimes_{d_{p'}} X') \otimes_{d_{p'}} Y' \\ &= (X' \otimes_{d_{p'}} L^{p'}(R)) \otimes_{d_{p'}} Y' = X' \otimes_{d_{p'}} (L^{p'}(R) \otimes_{d_{p'}} Y') \\ &= N_{p'}(X, L^{p'}(R) \otimes_{d_{p'}} Y') = N_{p'}(X, N_{p'}(L^p(R), Y')) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Remark :* For a fixed  $p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ),  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  is a rather large class of Banach spaces. In particular, it contains the  $L_p$  spaces, the Hilbert spaces and the Hardy spaces  $H_p$ .

**2.3.  $p$ -induction.** The concept of  $p$ -induction has been defined in various places, eg. [5], [12], and [22]. Here we will follow Anker [1]. To fix notation we repeat the definitions of that paper. Let  $G$  be a separable locally compact group and  $H$  be a closed subgroup. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $\nu_G$  (resp.  $\nu_H$ ) denote the (left) Haar measure on  $G$  (resp.  $H$ ). Denote by  $\Delta_G$  (resp.  $\Delta_H$ ) the modular function of  $G$  (resp.  $H$ ), and let  $\delta(h) = \frac{\Delta_H(h)}{\Delta_G(h)}$ .

Let  $q$  be a continuous positive function defined on  $G$  that satisfies the covariance condition  $q(xh) = q(x)\delta(h)$  for all  $x \in G, h \in H$ . We write  $\mu$  for the *quasi-invariant measure*<sup>1</sup> on  $G/H$  that is associated to  $q$  by

$$\int_{G/H} \left[ \int_H \frac{f(xh)}{q(xh)} d\nu_H(h) \right] d\mu(xH) = \int_G f(x) d\nu_G(x)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(G)$ . The fact that such a measure exists can be found in [16].

Let  $\beta$  be a *Bruhat function* for the pair  $H \subset G$ , that is, a non-negative continuous function on  $G$  that satisfies

- 1).  $\text{supp } \beta \cap CH$  is compact for every compact set  $C$  in  $G$ ;
- 2).  $\int_H \beta(xh) d\nu_H(h) = 1$  for every  $x \in G$ .

(For details, see for instance [6] chapter 5 or [18] chapter 8.)

Let  $\pi$  be a strongly continuous isometric representation of the subgroup  $H$  in a Banach space  $X$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we denote by  $L^p(G, H, \pi)$  the space of functions  $f : G \mapsto X$  that satisfy the following conditions :

- 1). for every  $\xi \in X^*$ ,  $x \mapsto \langle f(x), \xi \rangle$  is measurable;
- 2). for every  $x \in G, h \in H$ ,

$$f(xh) = \delta(h)^{1/p} \pi_h^{-1} f(x).$$

This condition is called the *covariance condition*. Note that it implies that  $\frac{\|f(x)\|^p}{q(x)}$  is constant on the cosets  $xH$ . Thus, the following condition makes sense

- 3).

$$\|f\|_p = \left[ \int_{G/H} \frac{\|f(x)\|^p}{q(x)} d\mu(xH) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left[ \int_G \|f(x)\|^p \beta(x) d\nu_G(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that a measure  $\mu$  on a  $G$ -space  $\mathcal{M}$  is quasi invariant if for every  $g \in G$ , and every measurable  $M \subset \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mu(gM) = 0$  if and only if  $\mu(M) = 0$

This space is the completion for the norm  $\|f\|_p$  of the space  $\mathcal{C}_c^p(G; H; \pi)$  of all continuous functions  $f : G \rightarrow X$  with compact support that satisfy the covariance condition.

We recall also *Mackey's Mapping*  $f \mapsto M_p f$  from  $\mathcal{C}_c(G, X)$  (the space of all continuous functions  $G \rightarrow X$  with compact support) to  $\mathcal{C}_c^p(G, H, \pi)$  defined by the integral

$$M_p f(x) = \int_H \frac{1}{\delta(h)^{1/p}} \pi_h f(xh) d\nu_H(h)$$

The  $p$ -induced representation  $Ind_H^G(p, \pi)$  then operates on  $L^p(G; H; \pi)$  by left translation : for  $g \in G$

$$(Ind_H^G(p, \pi)_g f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x).$$

The first result on  $p$ -induction follows as in the  $L^2$  case and is given in detail in [12].

**Theorem 2.2.1. (Induction In Stages.)** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group,  $K$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $K$ . Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $H$  in a Banach space  $X$ . Then the representations  $Ind_K^G(p, Ind_H^K(p, \pi))$  and  $Ind_H^G(p, \pi)$  are equivalent.*

**2.4. Modules.** We recall a few properties of Banach modules over groups and Banach algebras. The reader is referred to [19] for basic definitions. For a locally compact group  $G$ , every Banach  $G$ -module  $V$  becomes a Banach  $L^1(G)$ -module under the action

$$f.v = \int_G f(g)g.v d\nu_G(g) \quad f \in L^1(G), v \in V.$$

*Notation :* If  $V$  and  $W$  are two  $G$ -modules (thus  $L^1(G)$ -modules) and if  $\alpha$  is a tensor norm, let  $K$  (resp.  $K_1$ ) be the closed subspace of  $V \otimes_\alpha W$  spanned by elements of the form  $g.v \otimes w - v \otimes g.w$  with  $v \in V, w \in W, g \in G$  (resp. spanned by elements of the form  $f.v \otimes w - v \otimes f.w$  with  $v \in V, w \in W, f \in L^1(G)$ ). Define then  $V \otimes_G^\alpha W = (V \otimes_\alpha W) |_{K_1}$  and  $V \otimes_{L^1(G)}^\alpha W = (V \otimes_\alpha W) |_{K_1}$ .

We need a definition from Rieffel:

**Definition 2.3.1** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and let  $V$  be a Banach  $G$ -module. We say that  $V$  is essential if the space  $\{a.v : a \in A, v \in V\}$  is dense in  $V$ .*

Then, following Rieffel ([19] theorem 4.14) every Banach  $G$ -module is an essential  $L^1(G)$  module and

$$V \otimes_G^{d_p} W = V \otimes_{L^1(G)}^{d_p} W.$$

The remaining of this section is taken from [17].

**Proposition 2.3.2** *Let  $G$  be a compact group and let  $V$  and  $W$  be two Banach  $G$ -modules. Then  $V \otimes_G^{d_p} W$  is isometrically isomorphic to the 1-complemented linear subspace  $(V \otimes^{d_p} W)^G$  consisting of those  $z$  in  $V \otimes^{d_p} W$  for which  $g \otimes e(z) = e \otimes g(z)$  for all  $g \in G$  ( $e$  the unit element of  $G$ ), that is,*

$$V \otimes_G^{d_p} W = (V \otimes^{d_p} W)^G$$

*isometrically isomorphic. Moreover, the projection from  $V \otimes^{d_p} W$  onto  $(V \otimes^{d_p} W)^G$  is given by*

$$P(v \otimes w) = \int_G g^{-1}.v \otimes g.w d\nu_G(g).$$

We will also need the following version of proposition 2.4 in [17] :

**Proposition 2.3.3** *Let  $G$  be a compact group and let  $V$  and  $W$  be two Banach  $G$ -modules,  $V$  being a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property. Denote by  $N_p^G(V, W)$  the set of all right  $p$ -nuclear operators  $T$  such that for every  $g \in G$  and every  $v \in V$ ,  $T(g.v) = g.Tv$ , then*

$$N_p^G(V, W) = V' \otimes_G^{d_p} W.$$

From (3) and (4) we then immediately obtain the two following identities :

**Lemma 2.3.4** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $H, K$  be compact groups, let  $R$  be a measure space, and let  $V, W$  be  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  spaces such that  $V$  is an  $H$ -module,  $W$  is a  $K$ -module and  $L^p(R)$  is an  $H - K$ -bimodule, then*

$$(5) \quad (L^p(R) \otimes_{d_p}^H V) \otimes_{d_p}^K W = L^p(R) \otimes_{d_p}^H (V \otimes_{d_p}^K W)$$

and

$$(6) \quad N_{p'}^K(L^p(R) \otimes_{d_p}^H V, W') = N_{p'}^H(V, N_{p'}^K(L^p(R), W')).$$

**2.5. Rieffel's 1-induction.** We summarize here Chapter 10 of [19].

Grothendieck [9] has shown that  $L^1(G) \hat{\otimes}_\pi V$  can be naturally and isometrically identified with  $L^1(G, V)$  through the mapping  $f \otimes v \mapsto (x \mapsto f(x)v)$ . We will not distinguish between  $L^1(G) \hat{\otimes}_\pi V$  and  $L^1(G, V)$ . For  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $s \in H$ , let  $(f_s)(x) = \Delta_G(s^{-1})f(xs^{-1})$  ( $x \in G$ ) and let  $\tilde{K}$  be the closed subspace of  $L^1(G) \hat{\otimes}_\pi V$  spanned by the elements of the form  $f_s \otimes v - f \otimes \pi_s v$  ( $s \in H, f \in L^1(G)$  and  $v \in V$ ). We define  $L^1(G) \hat{\otimes}_\pi^H V = L^1(G) \hat{\otimes}_\pi V |_{\tilde{K}}$ .

Mackey's transform defined in section 2.2 will allow us to identify the spaces  $L^1(G; H; \pi)$  and  $L^1(G) \hat{\otimes}_\pi^H V$ . This is Theorem 10.4 of [19] :

**Theorem 2.4** For  $g \in L^1(G, V)$ , recall that  $Mg$  has been defined on  $G$  by

$$Mg(x) = \int_H \frac{1}{\delta(h)} \pi_h g(xh) d\nu_H(h).$$

Then  $Mg$  is defined almost everywhere,  $Mg \in L^1(G; H; \pi)$ , and  $M$  is a  $G$ -module homomorphism from  $L^1(G, V)$  to  $L^1(G; H; \pi)$ . Moreover, the kernel of  $M$  is exactly  $\tilde{K}$  and the norm in  $L^1(G; H; \pi)$  can be regarded as the quotient norm in  $L^1(G, V) |_{\tilde{K}}$ . Thus  $L^1(G; H; \pi)$  is isometrically  $G$ -module isomorphic to  $L^1(G) \hat{\otimes}_\pi^H V$ .

We shall extend this result to the case  $p \geq 1$ .

### 3. $p$ -INDUCTION USING TENSOR PRODUCTS

In this section we will show that the Mackey mapping allows us to define  $L^p(G; H; \pi)$  as a tensor product. The proves will be adapted from [19], [20].

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . We will now assume that  $V$  is a reflexive Banach space. In particular  $V$  has the Radon-Nikodým property.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, and let  $H$  be a compact subgroup of  $G$ . Note that since  $H$  is compact,  $\Delta_H = 1$ . Let  $\beta$  be a Bruhat function of the pair  $H \subset G$ .

Let  $q$  be the function on  $G$  defined by

$$q(x) = \int_H \beta(xs) \Delta_G(s) d\nu_H(s).$$

Then  $q$  satisfies, for all  $x \in G$  and all  $h \in H$ ,

$$q(xh) = \frac{1}{\Delta_G(h)} q(x) = \delta(h) q(x).$$

Let  $\mu$  be the quasi-invariant measure on  $G/H$  associated with  $q$ , defined in the following way:

$$(7) \quad \int_{G|_H} \left[ \int_H \frac{f(xh)}{q(xh)} d\nu_H(h) \right] d\mu(xH) = \int_G f(x) d\nu_G(x)$$

for every continuous compactly supported function  $f : G \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ . The existence of such a measure has been established in various places, eg. [19] Proposition 10.1.

Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $H$  on the Banach space  $V$ . This space being reflexive, we can define the coadjoint representation  $\pi^*$  of  $H$  on  $V^*$  by letting  $\pi_h^* = (\pi_{h^{-1}})^*$ .

Remember that we defined the Mackey map  $f \mapsto M_p f$  from  $\mathcal{C}_c(G, B)$  to  $\mathcal{C}_c^p(G, H, \pi)$  by

$$M_p f(x) = \int_H \frac{1}{\delta(h)^{1/p}} \pi_h f(xh) d\nu_H(h) = \int_H \Delta_G(s)^{1/p} \pi_s f(xs) d\nu_H(s).$$

We want to show that this defines a continuous projection

$$M_p : L^p(G, V) \mapsto L^p(G; H; \pi).$$

Let  $f \in L^p(G, V)$ . We show that  $M_p f \in L^p(G; H; \pi)$ . Observe first that  $M_p f$  is defined a.e., is measurable and satisfies the covariance condition. The argument is strictly similar to [19] pp 484–486 and will not be reproduced here.

We now show that  $M_p$  is continuous (with norm  $\leq 1$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_p f\|^p &= \int_{G|_H} \frac{\|M_p f(x)\|_V^p}{q(x)} d\mu(xH) \\ &= \int_{G|_H} \frac{1}{q(x)} \left\| \int_H \Delta_G(h)^{1/p} \pi_h f(xh) d\nu_H(h) \right\|_V^p d\mu(xH) \\ &\leq \int_{G|_H} \frac{1}{q(x)} \int_H \Delta_G(h)^{1/p} \|\pi_h f(xh)\|_V^p d\nu_H(h) d\mu(xH) \end{aligned}$$

But  $\|\pi_h f(xh)\|_V = \|f(xh)\|_V$ . Thus, by disintegration of measures (i.e. the definition of  $\mu$ ), we obtain  $\|M_p f\|^p \leq \|f\|^p$ .

Next, we identify the kernel of  $M_p$ . First, define the following representation of  $G$  on  $L^p(G)$  :

$$\rho_t f(x) = \Delta_G(t)^{1/p} f(xt).$$

and note that for  $f \in L^p(G), v \in V, t \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(f(\cdot)\pi_t v)(x) &= \int_H \Delta_G(s)^{1/p} f(xs) \pi_s \pi_t v d\nu_H(s) \\ &= \int_H \Delta_G(st^{-1})^{1/p} f(xst^{-1}) \pi_s v d\nu_H(s) \\ &= \int_H \Delta_G(s)^{1/p} \pi_s (\Delta_G(t^{-1})^{1/p} f(xst^{-1}) v) d\nu_H(s) \\ &= M_p(\rho_{t^{-1}} f(\cdot)v)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $K$  be the closed linear span of all the elements of the form  $x \mapsto f(x)\pi_t v - \rho_{t^{-1}} f(x)v$  with  $f \in L^p(G), v \in V$  and  $t \in H$ . By linearity and continuity of  $M_p$  we see that  $\ker M_p \supset K$ . It is now possible to adapt the proof of Rieffel [20] for Hilbert spaces (i.e.  $QSL_2$ ) to yield  $\ker M_p = K$  for reflexive Banach spaces.

First consider  $L^p(G, V)$  and  $L^p(G; H; \pi)$  as  $G$ -modules where the action of  $G$  is defined by left translation, i.e.  $g.f(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ . It is then clear, as in [19], that  $M_p$  is a  $G$ -module homomorphism, that is,  $M_p(g.f) = g.M_p f$ .

Assume now that  $\ker M_p \neq K$ . Then, there exists a  $\varphi$  such that  $M_p \varphi = 0$  but  $\varphi \notin K$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem and the Radon-Nikodým property of  $V$  ( $V$  is reflexive), we can find a functional

$$Q \in K^- \subset (L^p(G, V))' = L^{p'}(G, V')$$

such that  $\langle Q, \varphi \rangle \neq 0$ . Since  $L^p(G, V)$  is a  $G$ -module, it is an essential  $L^1(G)$ -module. Therefore, there exists an  $i \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\langle Q, i\varphi \rangle \neq 0$ , and if we use a continuous compactly supported approximation of unity we can even assume that  $i$  is continuous and compactly supported. Thus  $\langle iQ, \varphi \rangle = \langle Q, i\varphi \rangle \neq 0$ .

Now,  $K$  is  $G$  invariant, and hence so is  $K^-$ , so that  $K^-$  is invariant under convolution by continuous compactly supported functions, from which it follows that, for all  $\psi \in K$ ,  $\langle iQ, \psi \rangle = 0$ .

By [11] Theorem 20.6, since  $iQ$  is a convolution involving a continuous compactly supported function,  $iQ$  is a continuous function  $F$ . Arguing as in [20], page 168, it follows from  $F = iQ \in K^-$  that

$$F(xh) = \frac{1}{\Delta_G(h)^{1/p'}} \pi_h^*(F(x)) \quad \text{for all } h \in H, x \in G.$$

Note too that



$$\langle F(xh), \varphi(xh) \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta_G(h)^{1/p'}} \langle \pi_h^*(F(x)), \varphi(xh) \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta_G(h)^{1/p'}} \langle F(x), \pi_h(\varphi(xh)) \rangle$$

Now, by disintegration of measures (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q, \varphi \rangle &= \int_G \langle F(x), \varphi(x) \rangle d\nu_G(x) \\ &= \int_{G|H} \left[ \int_H \frac{\langle F(xh), \varphi(xh) \rangle}{q(xh)} d\nu_H(h) \right] d\mu(xH) \\ &= \int_{G|H} \left[ \int_H \frac{1}{\Delta_G(h)^{1/p'}} \frac{\langle F(x), \pi_h(\varphi(xh)) \rangle}{\delta(h)q(x)} d\nu_H(h) \right] d\mu(xH) \\ &= \int_{G|H} \frac{1}{q(x)} \langle F(x), \int_H \frac{1}{\Delta_G(h)^{1/p'}} \frac{h(\varphi(xh))}{\delta(h)} d\nu_H(h) \rangle d\mu(xH) \\ &= \int_{G|H} \frac{1}{q(x)} \langle F(x), M_p \varphi(x) \rangle d\mu(xH) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $M_p \varphi = 0$ . This contradicts the assumption  $\langle Q, \varphi \rangle \neq 0$  and the kernel of  $M_p$  is exactly  $K$ .

Note that the proof of [19], lemma 10.9 carries over to yield that  $M_p$  is surjective and that the norm on  $L^p(G; H; \pi)$  is the quotient norm of  $L^p(G, V)/K \simeq (L^p(G) \otimes_{\Delta_p} V)/K$ . We leave the details to the reader.

We summarize the preceding discussion in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $H$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $V$  be a reflexive Banach space and let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $H$  on  $V$ , for which  $V$  is an  $H$ -module. Let  $K$  be the closed linear subspace of  $L^p(G, V)$  spanned by the elements of the form  $x \mapsto f(x)\pi_t v - (\rho_{t-1} f)(x)v$  with  $f \in L^p(G)$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $t \in H$ . Identifying  $L^p(G, V)$  and  $L^p(G) \otimes_{\Delta_p} V$ , we also regard  $K$  as being spanned by elements of the form  $x \mapsto f(x) \otimes \pi_t v - \rho_{t-1} f(x) \otimes v$  and write  $L^p(G) \otimes_{\Delta_p}^H V$  for  $(L^p(G) \otimes_{\Delta_p} V)/K$ . Moreover, if for  $f \in L^p(G, V)$  we define  $M_p f$  by*

$$M_p f(x) = \int_H \frac{1}{\delta(h)^{1/p}} \pi_h f(xh) d\nu_H(h) = \int_H \Delta_G(h)^{1/p} \pi_h f(xh) d\nu_H(h),$$

then  $M_p$  is a  $G$ -module homeomorphism from  $L^p(G, V)$  onto  $L^p(G; H; \pi)$ . The kernel of  $M_p$  is exactly  $K$  and the norm of  $L^p(G; H; \pi)$  is the quotient norm. Consequently,  $L^p(G; H; \pi)$  is isometrically  $G$ -module homeomorphic to  $L^p(G) \otimes_{\Delta_p}^H V$ .

If  $V$  is a  $QSL_p$  space, then  $L^p(G; H; \pi)$  is in fact isometrically  $G$ -module homeomorphic to  $L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^H V$ .

#### 4. APPLICATIONS TO CLASSICAL THEOREMS ON INDUCTION

The previous theorem allows us to define  $p$ -induction via tensor products. We now use that point of view to prove the results about induction in stages and a Kronecker product theorem. Finally we also obtain a new Frobenius reciprocity theorem.

At this stage, we will need various restrictions on the spaces on which we represent our groups. They will be  $QSL_p$  spaces or  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  spaces.

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $G$  be a locally compact group,  $H$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ , and  $V$  a  $QSL_p$  space. Fix a representation  $\pi$  of  $H$  on  $V$  so that  $V$  can be seen as an  $H$ -module.

We have seen in section 3 that the  $p$ -induced representation of  $\pi$  can be identified with  $L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^H V$ . We write  ${}^{G,p}V = L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^H V$  and call this the  $p$ -induced module.

We are now in a position to prove an inducing-in-stages theorem, the Kronecker product theorem and a new Frobenius reciprocity theorem. But first, we will need the following technical result :

**Theorem 4.1.1** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $V$  be a  $QSL_p$  space. Assume that  $G$  is a compact group. Assume also that  $V$  is a  $G$ -module and let us consider  $L^{p'}(G)$  as a  $G - G$ -bimodule, then  $N_p^G(L^{p'}(G), V) \simeq V$  as  $G$ -module. The identification is given by*

$$v \in V \mapsto T_v(f) = \int_G f(x)x.v d\nu_G(x).$$

Equivalently,  $L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p^G} V \simeq V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$  and define for  $f \in L^{p'}(G)$

$$T_v(f) = \int_G f(x)x.v d\nu_G(x).$$

As  $G$  is compact,  $x \mapsto x.v \in \mathcal{C}(G, V) \subset L^p(G, V)$  thus  $T_v \in N_p(L^{p'}(G), V)$ .

Further, if  $g \in G$  and  $f \in L^{p'}(G)$

$$\begin{aligned} T_v(g.f) &= \int_G (g.f)(x)x.v d\nu_G(x) = \int_G f(g^{-1}x)x.v d\nu_G(x) \\ &= \int_G f(x)g.(x.v) d\nu_G(x) = g. \int_G f(x)x.v d\nu_G(x) = g.T_v(f) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T_v \in N_p^G(L^{p'}(G), V)$ . In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g.v}(f) &= \int_G f(x)x.(g.v) d\nu_G(x) = \int_G f(xg^{-1})x.v d\nu_G(x) \\ &= \int_G (f.g)(x)x.v d\nu_G(x) = (T_v.g)(f) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\|T_v\|^p = \int_G \|x.v\|^p d\nu_G(x) = \int_G \|v\|^p d\nu_G(x) = \|v\|^p$$

as the action of  $G$  has been assumed to be isometric and  $G$  is compact. Thus  $v \mapsto T_v$  is an isometric  $G$ -module homomorphism from  $V$  to  $N_p^G(L^{p'}(G), V)$ .

We just have to prove that  $v \mapsto T_v$  is onto to complete the proof. For  $T \in N_p^G(L^{p'}(G), V)$ , we want to find  $v \in V$  such that  $T = T_v$ . As  $N_p(L^{p'}(G), V) \simeq L^p(G, V)$  ( $V$  is a  $QSL_p$  space), there exists  $F \in L^p(G, V)$  such that, for all  $f \in L^{p'}(G)$

$$T(f) = \int_G f(x)F(x) d\nu_G(x).$$

But, as  $T$  is a  $G$ -module homomorphism, for all  $g \in G$  and all  $f \in L^{p'}(G)$ ,

$$\int_G f(g^{-1}x)F(x) d\nu_G(x) = g. \int_G f(x)F(x) d\nu_G(x),$$

that is,

$$\int_G f(x)F(gx) d\nu_G(x) = \int_G f(x)g.F(x) d\nu_G(x).$$

Therefore, for all  $g \in G$ ,  $F(gx) = g.(F(x))$ ,  $x$  a.e. It is easy, however, to see that  $F(gx) - g.F(x)$  is measurable in  $(x, g)$  and by Fubini's theorem

$$Q = \{(x, g) : F(gx) \neq g.F(x)\}$$

is of measure zero. By Fubini's theorem again, except for  $x$  in a set of measure zero,  $F(gx) = g.F(x)$ ,  $g$  almost everywhere. Let  $x_0$  be any  $x$  from this set and let  $v = x_0^{-1}(F(x_0))$ . Then we have, for almost all  $x$ ,

$$F(x) = F((xx_0^{-1})x_0) = (xx_0^{-1}).F(x_0) = x.[x_0^{-1}.F(x_0)] = x.v$$

in other words,  $F(x) = x.v$  almost everywhere, and  $T = T_v$ .  $\square$

Before we go on, we indicate what happens if  $H$  is not compact.

**Theorem 4.1.2** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $V$  be a  $QSL^p$  space. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $H$  be a closed non-compact subgroup. Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $H$  on  $V$ , making  $V$  into a  $H$ -module. Then*

$$N_p^H(L^{p'}(G), V) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L^p(G) \otimes_H^{d_p} V = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T \in N_p^H(L^{p'}(G), V)$ . Then, as in the end of the proof of theorem 4.1, there exists  $F \in L^p(G, V)$  such that  $T = T_F$  and then  $F(sx) = s.F(x)$  for all  $s \in H$  and almost all  $x$ . But then  $F$  is of constant norm on cosets of  $H$ , and so is integrable if and only if it is identically zero. The second assertion is just the standard identification between the two spaces under consideration.  $\square$ .

We can now give a new proof of the theorem of Inducing-In-Stages. This proof is simpler than the proof given in [12], but we need some restrictive hypothesis on the subgroup  $H$  and on the Banach space  $V$ .

**Theorem 4.1.3 (Inducing-In-Stages.)** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $V$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  space. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group,  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$  and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $K$ . Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $H$  on  $V$  allowing us to consider  $V$  as an  $H$ -module. Then*

$${}^{G,p}(K.p V) \simeq {}^{G,p}V.$$

*Proof.* Using the definition, the associativity of the  $d_p$  tensor product, i.e. (5), and Theorem 4.1, it is immediate that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{G,p}(K.p V) &= L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^K (L^p(K) \otimes_{d_p}^H V) \simeq (L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^K L^p(K)) \otimes_{d_p}^H V \\ &\simeq (L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^K L^p(K)) \otimes_{d_p}^H V \simeq L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^H V = {}^{G,p}V. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We now define the  $p$ -Kronecker product of two representations. Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two locally compact groups and  $V$  and  $W$  be two Banach spaces. Fix  $\pi$  to be a representation of  $H$  on  $V$  and  $\gamma$  to be a representation of  $K$  on  $W$ . We define the  $p$ -Kronecker product of  $\pi$  and  $\gamma$  as the representation of  $H \times K$  on  $V \otimes_{d_p} W$  defined by

$$\pi \times \gamma_{(h,k)} v \otimes w = \pi_h v \otimes \gamma_k w.$$

The next theorem asserts that taking  $p$ -Kronecker products and  $p$ -inducing are two commutative operations. This theorem is new to our knowledge.

**Theorem 4.1.4 ( $p$ -Kronecker Product.)** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $V_1, V_2$  be  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  spaces. Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two locally compact groups, let  $H_1$  be a compact subgroup of  $G_1$  and  $H_2$  a compact subgroup of  $G_2$  and let  $\pi_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be representations of  $H_i$  on  $V_i$ . Then*

$${}^{G_1 \times G_2, p}(V_1 \otimes_{d_p} V_2) \simeq {}^{G_1, p}V_1 \otimes_{d_p} {}^{G_2, p}V_2.$$

*Proof.* Using properties of the  $d_p$  tensor product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{G_1 \times G_2, p}(V_1 \otimes_{d_p} V_2) &= L^p(G_1 \times G_2) \otimes_{d_p}^{H_1 \times H_2} (V_1 \otimes_{d_p} V_2) \\ &\simeq (L^p(G_1) \otimes_{d_p} L^p(G_2)) \otimes_{d_p}^{H_1 \times H_2} (V_1 \otimes_{d_p} V_2) \\ &\simeq (L^p(G_1) \otimes_{d_p}^{H_1} V_1) \otimes_{d_p} (L^p(G_2) \otimes_{d_p}^{H_2} V_2) \\ &\simeq {}^{G_1, p}V_1 \otimes_{d_p} {}^{G_2, p}V_2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For  $W$  a  $G$ -module and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ , we write  $W_H$  for  $W$  seen as a  $H$ -module. We will now prove the following version of the Frobenius Reciprocity Theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.5 (Frobenius Reciprocity.)** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $V$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_p^g$  space and  $W$  an  $\mathcal{L}_{p'}$  space. Let  $G$  be a compact group and  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $H$  on  $V$ , making  $V$  an  $H$ -module, and let  $\gamma$  be a representation of  $G$  on  $W$  making  $W$  a  $G$ -module, so that  $W$  is also an  $H$ -module  $W_H$ . Then*

$$N_{p'}^G({}^{G,p}V, W) \simeq N_{p'}^H(V, W_H).$$

and

$$N_p^G(W, {}^{G,p}V) \simeq N_p^H(W_H, V).$$

*Proof.* By definition

$$N_{p'}^G({}^{G,p}V, W) = N_{p'}^G(L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^H V, W) \simeq N_{p'}^G(V \otimes_{d_p}^H L^p(G), W)$$

and by theorem 2.3.4,  $N_{p'}^G(V \otimes_{d_p}^H L^p(G), W) \simeq N_{p'}^H(V, N_{p'}^G(L^p(G), W))$ . But, according to theorem 4.1,  $N_{p'}^G(L^p(G), W) \simeq W$ , so that,

$$N_{p'}^G({}^{G,p}V, W) \simeq N_{p'}^H(V, W_H).$$

The other identity is obtained in a similar way.  $\square$

## 5. THE SUBGROUP THEOREM

We shall now generalize Mackey's subgroup theorem ([16] Theorem 12.1) to the context of  $p$ -inducing. For technical reasons, we will restrict to the case when the group is *unimodular*, one subgroup considered is compact and the other one is also unimodular.

We will make extensive use of regularly related subgroups and their measure theoretic properties as may be found in [16] section 11. For sake of completeness, we will now recall those that we shall use.

Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on a set  $X$  and suppose there is an equivalence relation  $R$  given on  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , let  $r(x) \in X/R$  be the equivalence class of  $x$ . The equivalence relation is said to be *measurable* if there exists a countable family  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  of subsets of  $X/R$  such that  $r^{-1}(E_i)$  is measurable for each  $i$  and such that each point in  $X/R$  is the intersection of the  $E_i$ 's which contain it.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two subgroups of  $G$ . We say that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are *regularly related* if there exists a sequence  $E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots$  of measurable subsets of  $G$  each of which is a union of  $G_1 : G_2$  double cosets such that  $E_0$  has Haar measure zero and each double coset not in  $E_0$  is the intersection of the  $E_i$  which contain it. Hence  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are regularly related if and only if the orbits of  $X = G/G_1$  under the action of  $G_2$ , outside a certain set of measure zero, form the equivalence classes of a measurable equivalence relation. In other words, there is a measurable cross-section  $\psi$  of the set  $\mathcal{D}$  of all  $G_1 : G_2$  double cosets in  $G$  i.e.  $\psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow G$  measurable. The following lemma ([16] lemma 11.1) states that a measure  $\mu$  defined on  $X$  may be decomposed as an integral over  $X/R$  of measures  $\mu_y$  concentrated on the equivalence classes.

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be the measure in  $X/R$  such that a subset  $E$  of  $X/R$  is measurable if and only if  $r^{-1}(E)$  is  $\mu$  measurable and that  $\tilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(r^{-1}(E))$ . Then for each  $y$  in  $X/R$  there exists a finite Borel measure  $\mu_y$  on  $X$  such that  $\mu_y(X \setminus r^{-1}(\{y\})) = 0$  and*

$$\int_{X/R} f(y) \int_{r^{-1}(y)} g(x) d\mu_y(x) d\tilde{\mu}(y) = \int_X f(r(x))g(x) d\mu(x),$$

whenever  $f$  is in  $L^1(X/R, \tilde{\mu})$  and  $g$  is bounded and measurable on  $X$ .

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space, and assume that the measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is quasi-invariant. Then, in the decomposition of  $\mu$  in the previous lemma, almost all of the  $\mu_y$ 's are also quasi-invariant under the action of  $G$ .*

*Notation* : In what follows,  $G$  will be a locally compact group,  $G_1$  a compact subgroup of  $G$  and  $G_2$  a closed subgroup of  $G$ . We will also assume that  $G$  and  $G_2$  are **unimodular**. We will further assume that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are regularly related.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of all  $G_1 : G_2$  double cosets. For  $x \in G$ , we will note  $s(x) = G_1 x G_2$  the  $G_1 : G_2$  double coset to which  $x$  belongs. If  $\nu$  is any finite measure on  $G$  with the same null sets as the Haar measure on  $G$ , we may define a measure  $\nu_0$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  by setting  $\nu_0(E) = \nu(s^{-1}(E))$ . Such a measure is called ([16] section 12) an *admissible measure* on  $\mathcal{D}$  (associated to  $\nu$ ).

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $V$  be an  $QSL_p$  Banach space. Fix a representation  $\pi$  of  $G_1$  on  $V$ , and consider  $V$  as a  $G_1$  module. Let  ${}^{G_1}V = L^p(G) \otimes_{d_p}^{G_1} V$  be the induced module. For  $x \in G$  write  $G_x = G_2 \cap (x^{-1}G_1x)$  and denote  $\pi^x$  the representation of  $G_x$  on  $V$  defined by  $\eta \mapsto \pi_{x\eta x^{-1}}$ . We can consider  $V$  as a  $G_x$ -module (denoted by  $V^x$ ) with the action defined by this representation. Furthermore, we define the module induced on  $G_2$  :  ${}^{G_2}V^x = L^p(G_2) \otimes_{d_p}^{G_x} V^x$ .

**Lemma 5.3**  *${}^{G_2}V^x$  depends only (up to equivalence) on the coset  $s(x) = G_1 x G_2$ .*

*Proof.* By definition  ${}^{G_2}V^x = L^p(G_2)^x \otimes_{d_p}^{G_x} V^x$  where  $L^p(G_2)^x = L^p(G_2)$  seen as a  $G_x$ -module with the action of  $s \in G_x$  defined as  $s \cdot \varphi(t) = \varphi(s^{-1}t)$  and  $V^x = V$  also seen as a  $G_x$ -module with the action of  $s \in G_x$  defined by  $s \bullet v = (x s x^{-1}) \cdot v$ . Thus  ${}^{G_2}V^x = (L^p(G_2)^x \otimes_{d_p} V^x)|_{K_x}$  with  $K_x$  the closed linear span of all

$$s \cdot \varphi \otimes v - \varphi \otimes s \bullet v$$

such that  $\varphi \in L^p(G_2)$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $s \in G_x$ .

We want to show that  ${}^{G_2}V^x$  depends only on the double coset  $s(x)$ . In other words, we want to show that for all  $g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2$ ,

$${}^{G_2}V^x \simeq {}^{G_2}V^{g_1 x g_2}.$$

It is enough to prove that  $K_{g_1 x g_2} \simeq K_x$ .

First, note that

$$G_{g_1 x g_2} = G_2 \cap (g_2^{-1} x^{-1} g_1^{-1} G_1 g_1 x g_2) = g_2^{-1} (G_2 \cap (x^{-1} G_1 x)) g_2.$$

Define the group isomorphism  $a_{g_2} : G_x \mapsto G_{g_1 x g_2}$  by  $a_{g_2} s = g_2^{-1} s g_2$ . We can now regard  $L^p(G_2)$  as a  $G_{g_1 x g_2}$ -module where the action is defined as  $s \diamond \varphi = (g_2 s g_2^{-1}) \cdot \varphi$ , and also regard  $V$  as a  $G_{g_1 x g_2}$ -module with action

$$s \diamond v = (x g_2 s g_2^{-1} x^{-1}) \cdot v.$$

By definition

$$\begin{aligned} K_{g_1 x g_2} &= \overline{\text{span}}\{s \diamond \varphi \otimes v - \varphi \otimes s \diamond v : \varphi \in L^p(G_2), v \in V, s \in G_{g_1 x g_2}\} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{a_{g_2}(s) \diamond \varphi \otimes v - \varphi \otimes a_{g_2}(s) \diamond v : \varphi \in L^p(G_2), v \in V, s \in G_x\} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{s \cdot \varphi \otimes v - \varphi \otimes s \bullet v : \varphi \in L^p(G_2), v \in V, s \in G_x\} = K_x \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

It now makes sense to write  ${}^{G_2}V^x$  for  $x$  a  $G_1 : G_2$  double coset. Recall that  ${}^{G_2}V^x = L^p(G_2) \otimes_{d_p}^{G_x} V^x$  can be seen as a complemented subspace of  $L^p(G_2) \otimes_{d_p} V$  via the projections

$$P_x(f \otimes v) = \int_{G_x} \rho_t f \pi_{x^{-1}t x} v d\nu_{G_x}(t)$$

where  $\nu_{G_x}$  is a Haar measure on  $G_x$ . As  $V$  is  $QSL_p$ ,

$$(L^p(G_2) \otimes_{d_p} V)^* = (L^p(G_2, V))^* = L^{p'}(G_2, V^*) = L^{p'}(G_2) \otimes_{d_p} V^*,$$

and  $(G_2 \cdot pV^x)^*$  will be complemented in  $L^{p'}(G_2) \otimes_{d_{p'}} V^*$  via  $P_x^*$ .

We will now show that the  $P_x^*(g \otimes \xi) = \int_{G_x} \rho_t^{-1} g \pi_{x^{-1}tx}^* \xi d\nu_{G_x}(t)$  can be chosen to be "measurable". For this, we will need a few more definitions and lemmas.

*Notation* : Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. Let  $\mathcal{X}(G)$  be the set of closed subsets of  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  be the set of all closed subgroups of  $G$ .

For  $K$  a compact subset of  $G$  and  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  a finite family of open subsets of  $G$ , define

$$\mathcal{U}(K, U_1, \dots, U_n) = \{F \in \mathcal{X}(G) : F \cap K = \emptyset, \forall i = 1 \dots, n, F \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The compact open topology on  $\mathcal{X}(G)$  is then the topology generated by the sets of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(K, U_1, \dots, U_n).$$

We will also call compact open topology on  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  the induced topology. (cf. [4]).

**Lemma 5.4** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group,  $G_1$  a compact subgroup and  $G_2$  a closed subgroup. Endow  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  with the compact open topology. Then the mapping  $\psi : G \mapsto \mathcal{S}(G)$  defined by  $x \mapsto (xG_1x^{-1}) \cap G_2$  is of the Baire first class, and is therefore measurable.*

*Proof.* We will need two steps.

*First step* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a compact neighborhood of  $G_1$ , that is the closure of an open neighbourhood of  $G_1$  (in  $G$ ) and let  $V$  be the closure of an open neighborhood of  $G_2$ . Then  $\varphi : G \mapsto \mathcal{X}(G)$  defined by  $x \mapsto x\mathcal{U}x^{-1} \cap V$  is continuous with respect of the topology of  $G$  and the compact open topology of  $\mathcal{X}(G)$  :

Let  $x \in G$  and  $x_n \in G$  be a sequence that converges to  $x$ , let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $G$  and  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  a finite family of open subset of  $G$  such that

$$x\mathcal{U}x^{-1} \cap V \cap K = \emptyset \text{ and for } i = 1, \dots, k; x\mathcal{U}x^{-1} \cap V \cap U_i \neq \emptyset.$$

If there exists a subsequence of  $x_n$ , that for convenience we will still call  $x_n$ , such that  $x_n\mathcal{U}x_n^{-1} \cap V \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a sequence  $k_n \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x_n k_n x_n^{-1} \in x_n\mathcal{U}x_n^{-1} \cap V \cap K$ .  $\mathcal{U}$  being compact, we can assume without loss of generality that  $k_n$  converges to  $k \in \mathcal{U}$ , but then  $xkx^{-1} \in x\mathcal{U}x^{-1} \cap V \cap K$  contradicting the emptiness of that set. Thus, for  $n$  big enough,  $x_n\mathcal{U}x_n^{-1} \cap V \cap K = \emptyset$ .

As  $U_1$  intersects  $x\mathcal{U}x^{-1} \cap V$ ,  $U_1$  intersects the interior  $x\mathcal{U}x^{-1} \cap \overset{\circ}{V}$  of  $x\mathcal{U}x^{-1} \cap V$ . Let  $k \in \mathcal{U}$  be such that  $xkx^{-1} \in x\mathcal{U}x^{-1} \cap \overset{\circ}{V} \cap U_1$ . Then  $x_n k x_n^{-1} \rightarrow xkx^{-1}$  thus is in  $\overset{\circ}{V} \cap U_1$  for  $n$  big enough. Therefore, there exists  $N_1$  such that, for  $n \geq N_1$ ,  $x_n\mathcal{U}x_n^{-1} \cap V \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$ . There exists then  $N_2 \geq N_1$  such that for  $n \geq N_2$ ,  $x_n\mathcal{U}x_n^{-1} \cap V \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ ... thus, for  $n$  big enough and  $i = 1, \dots, k$  we get  $x_n\mathcal{U}x_n^{-1} \cap V \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ .

*Second step* Let  $\mathcal{U}_n$  be a decreasing sequence of compact neighbourhoods of  $G_1$  such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{U}_n = G_1$  and let  $V_n$  be a decreasing sequence of closed neighbourhoods of  $G_2$  such that  $\bigcap V_n = G_2$ . Let  $\psi_n : G \mapsto \mathcal{X}(G)$  be defined by  $\psi_n(x) = x\mathcal{U}_n x^{-1} \cap V_n$ . According to the first step,  $\psi_n$  is continuous. Further, for each  $x \in G$ ,  $\psi_n(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)$  thus  $\psi$  is in Baire's first class :

Let  $x \in G$ ,  $K$  be a compact subset of  $G$  and  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  a finite family of open subsets of  $G$  such that

$$xG_1x^{-1} \cap G_2 \cap K = \emptyset \text{ and for } i = 1, \dots, k; xG_1x^{-1} \cap G_2 \cap U_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Then as  $\mathcal{U}_n \supset G_1$  and  $V_n \supset G_2$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$

$$x\mathcal{U}_n x^{-1} \cap V_n \cap U_i \supset xG_1x^{-1} \cap G_2 \cap U_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Further  $x\mathcal{U}_n x^{-1} \cap V_n \cap K$  is a decreasing sequence of compact sets whose intersection  $xG_1x^{-1} \cap G_2 \cap K$  is empty, thus for  $n$  big enough,  $x\mathcal{U}_n x^{-1} \cap V_n \cap K = \emptyset$ , which concludes the proof of the convergence of  $\psi_n(x)$  towards  $\psi(x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition** For each  $K \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ , let  $\nu_K$  be a Haar measure on  $K$ . The map  $K \mapsto \nu_K$  is said to be a continuous choice of Haar measures if, for every continuous compactly supported function  $f$  on  $G$ , the map  $\mathcal{S}(G) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$K \mapsto \int_K f(t) d\nu_K(t)$$

is continuous.

We will need the following lemma due to Fell (cf. [7])

**Lemma 5.5** *Let  $f_0$  be a non-negative continuous compactly supported function on  $G$  such that  $f_0(e) > 0$  ( $e$  being the unit element of  $G$ ). For each closed subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  let  $\nu_K$  be the Haar measure on  $K$  such that  $\int_K f_0(t) d\nu_K(t) = 1$ . Then  $K \mapsto \nu_K$  is a continuous choice of Haar measure.*

*Notation* : In what follows,  $f_0$  will be a fixed non-negative continuous compactly supported function on  $G$  such that  $f_0(e) > 0$  and  $K \mapsto \nu_K$  will denote the continuous choice of Haar measures associated to  $f_0$ .

**Lemma 5.6** *There exists  $M > 0$  such that for every  $x \in G$ ,  $\nu_{G_x}(G_x) \leq M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of  $e$  such that  $f_0(t) > \varepsilon > 0$  for  $t \in U$ .

For each  $s \in G_1$ , let  $U_s$  be a neighbourhood of  $s$  such that  $U_s^{-1}U_s \subset U$ . As  $G_1$  is compact,  $G_1$  is covered by a finite subfamily  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of the  $\{U_s\}_{s \in G_1}$ . Then,  $xU_1x^{-1} \cap G_2, \dots, xU_nx^{-1} \cap G_2$  is a cover of  $xG_1x^{-1} \cap G_2$ . Thus

$$\nu_{G_x}(G_x) = \int_{G_x} d\nu_{G_x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{xU_i x^{-1} \cap G_2} d\nu_{G_x}.$$

Now choose a  $y_i$  in each  $U_i$ , and note that, for  $t \in U$ ,  $1 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f_0(t)$ . Then if  $s \in xU_i x^{-1}$ ,  $y_i^{-1}x^{-1}sx \in U_i^{-1}U_i \subset U$  thus  $1 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f_0(y_i^{-1}x^{-1}sx)$  and therefore

$$\nu_{G_x}(G_x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{xU_i x^{-1} \cap G_2} f_0(y_i^{-1}x^{-1}sx) d\nu_{G_x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{G_x} f_0(y_i^{-1}x^{-1}sx) d\nu_{G_x}$$

as  $f_0 \geq 0$ . But  $\nu_{G_x}$  is a Haar measure of the compact (thus unimodular) group  $G_x$  so

$$\int_{G_x} f_0(y_i^{-1}x^{-1}sx) d\nu_{G_x} = \int_{G_x} f_0(s) d\nu_{G_x} = 1$$

(by the definition of  $\nu_{G_x}$ ). But then  $\nu_{G_x}(G_x) \leq \frac{n}{\varepsilon}$ . □

We are now able to prove the following

**Proposition 5.7** *For every  $f \in L^p(G_2)$ ,  $g \in L^{p'}(G_2)$ ,  $v \in V$ ,  $\xi \in V^*$ ,*

$$x \mapsto \langle f \otimes v, P_x^*(g \otimes \xi) \rangle = \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}}g \rangle \langle v, \pi_{x^{-1}tx}^* \xi \rangle d\nu_{G_x}(t).$$

is measurable.

*Proof.* It is of course enough to prove that

$$x \mapsto \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}}g \rangle \langle \pi_{x^{-1}tx}^* \xi, d\nu_{G_x}(t) \rangle$$

is measurable.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As  $G_1$  is compact and  $t \mapsto \pi_t^* \xi$  is continuous, there exists a disjoint relatively compact cover  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of  $G_1$  and  $t_1 \in U_1, \dots, t_n \in U_n$  such that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and each  $t \in U_i$ ,  $\|\pi_t^* \xi - \pi_{t_i}^* \xi\| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\chi_{U_i}$  be the characteristic function of  $U_i$ , then, the norm of

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \pi_{x^{-1}tx}^* \xi d\nu_{G_x}(t) - \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{x^{-1}U_i x}(t) \pi_{x^{-1}t_i x}^* \xi d\nu_{G_x}(t) \right\| \\
 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{xU_i x^{-1} \cap G_2} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle (\pi_{x^{-1}tx}^* \xi - \pi_{x^{-1}t_i x}^* \xi) d\nu_{G_x}(t) \right\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{xU_i x^{-1} \cap G_2} \|f\| \|g\| \|\pi_{x^{-1}tx}^* \xi - \pi_{x^{-1}t_i x}^* \xi\| d\nu_{G_x}(t) \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \|f\| \|g\| \nu_{G_x}(G_x) \leq \varepsilon \|f\| \|g\| M
 \end{aligned}$$

by lemma 5.6. It is thus enough to prove measurability for

$$x \mapsto \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \chi_{x^{-1}U_i x}(t) d\nu_{G_x}(t) \pi_{x^{-1}t_i x}^* \xi.$$

Further, as  $x \mapsto \pi_{x^{-1}t_i x}^* \xi$  is continuous, and as  $\chi_{x^{-1}U_i x}(t) = \chi_{U_i}(xtx^{-1})$ , we will just consider

$$x \mapsto \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \chi_U(xtx^{-1}) d\nu_{G_x}(t)$$

where  $U$  is a relatively compact measurable subset of  $G_1$ . Consider now a sequence  $\varphi_n$  of continuous compactly supported functions on  $G$  such that  $\varphi_n$  converges almost everywhere to  $\chi_U$  and such that  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ . Then, as for every  $x \in G$ ,

$$\int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \varphi_n(xtx^{-1}) d\nu_{G_x}(t) \rightarrow \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \chi_U(xtx^{-1}) d\nu_{G_x}(t)$$

we just need to consider

$$x \mapsto \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \varphi(xtx^{-1}) d\nu_{G_x}(t)$$

where  $\varphi$  is a continuous compactly supported function on  $G$ . But,  $K \mapsto \nu_K$  is a continuous choice of Haar measures, so

$$(K, x) \mapsto \int_K \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \varphi(xtx^{-1}) d\nu_K(t)$$

is continuous, and as  $x \mapsto G_x$  is measurable,

$$x \mapsto (G_x, x) \mapsto \int_{G_x} \langle f, \rho_{t^{-1}g} \rangle \varphi(xtx^{-1}) d\nu_{G_x}(t)$$

is measurable. Finally  $x$  is in  $\mathcal{D}$  and not in  $G$ . To overcome that difficulty, recall that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are assumed regularly related so that there exists a measurable cross-section  $\psi$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $G$ , thus we just have to compose the previous map and  $\psi$ .  $\square$

*Notation* : Let  $\mu_1$  be the quasi-invariant measure on  $G/G_1$  defined by

$$\int_{G/G_1} \left( \int_{G_1} f(st) d\nu_{G_1}(t) \right) d\mu_1(sG_1) = \int_G f(s) d\nu_G(s)$$

For  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , let  $\mu_D$  be the quasi-invariant measure on  $D$  obtained from  $\mu_1$  via lemma 5.1 and 5.2 :

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_D f(t) d\mu_D d\tilde{\mu}_1 = \int_{G/G_1} f(t) d\mu_1(t)$$

For  $x \in G$ , let  $\mu_x$  be the measure on  $G_2/G_x$  defined by

$$\int_{G_2/G_x} \left( \int_{G_x} f(st) d\nu_{G_x}(t) \right) d\mu_x(sG_x) = \int_{G_2} f(s) d\nu_{G_2}(s)$$



Note that  $G_2$  being unimodular, every quasi-invariant measure on  $G_2/G_x$  is proportional to  $\mu_x$ . Thus, identifying  $G_2xG_1$  with  $G_2/G_x$  we may assume that  $\mu_x = \mu_{G_2xG_1}$ .

Let  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a dense family of elements of  $L^{p'}(G_2) \otimes_{d_{p'}} V^*$  of the form  $g_n \otimes \xi_n$  where the  $g_n$ 's are continuous compactly supported functions on  $G_2$ . Let  $\psi_n(x) = P_x^*(\varphi_n)$ . According to proposition 5.7,  $x \mapsto \psi_n(x)$  is weakly measurable. Further, for fixed  $x$ ,  $\{\psi_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is dense in  $(G_2 \cdot p V^x)^*$ .

First let  $\mathcal{B} = \prod_{x \in \mathcal{D}} G_2 \cdot p V^x$ , an element of  $\mathcal{B}$  is thus a mapping  $\varphi : x \mapsto \varphi(x)$  such that for every  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\varphi(x) \in G_2 \cdot p V^x$ .

**Definition** Let  $L^p(\mathcal{D}, \mu, \mathcal{B})$  be the linear subset of  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of all  $\varphi$  such that

- 1). for every  $n \in N$ ,  $x \mapsto \langle \varphi(x), \psi_n(x) \rangle$  is measurable, and
- 2).  $\|\varphi\|_p = \left( \int_{\mathcal{D}} \|\varphi(x)\|_{G_2 \cdot p V^x}^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$ .

We will of course identify two elements if they are equal almost everywhere. Then  $L^p(\mathcal{D}, \mu, \mathcal{B})$  is a Banach space and a  $G_2$ -module if we define the action of  $G_2$  by  $g_2\varphi : x \mapsto g_2\varphi(x)$ .

**Theorem 5.8** Under the above notations,  $G_2 \cdot p V_{G_2}$  is isometrically  $G_2$ -module homomorphic to  $L^p(\mathcal{D}, \mu, \mathcal{B})$ .

*Proof.* Recall from section 2 that we can identify  $G_2 \cdot p V^x$  as the set of all functions  $f : G_2 \mapsto V$  such that

- 1).  $x \mapsto \langle f(x), v' \rangle$  is measurable for every  $v' \in V^*$ ,
- 2).  $f(sh) = \pi_{xhx^{-1}}^{-1} f(s)$  for all  $s \in G_2, h \in G_x$ ,
- 3).  $\|f\|_p^p = \int_{G_2|G_x} \|f(t)\|^p d\mu(tH) < \infty$ .

Note that conditions (2) and (3) are simplified by the assumption that  $G_2$  is unimodular.

We will take advantage of disintegration of measures (lemma 5.1) to complete the proof. To do this we first need to write  $G_2 \cdot p V^x$  as a set of functions on the double coset  $G_2xG_1$  instead of functions on  $G_2$ . This is done in the next lemma.

**Lemma 5.9** Let  $x \in G$  and define  $\mathcal{E}_x^p$  to be the set of all  $f : G_2xG_1 \mapsto V$  such that

- 1).  $s \mapsto \langle f(s), v' \rangle$  is measurable for all  $v' \in V^*$ ,
- 2).  $f(s\xi) = \pi_\xi^{-1} f(s)$  for all  $\xi \in G_1, s \in G_2xG_1$ ,
- 3).  $\int_{G_2|G_x} \|f(t)\|^p d\mu_x(t) < \infty$ .

Then  $G_2 \cdot p V^x$  and  $\mathcal{E}_x^p$  are  $G_2$ -module homomorphic and isometric.

*Proof.* Note first that  $\pi$  being isometric, the condition (2) implies that  $\|f(t)\|^p$  is constant on  $G_x$ -cosets of  $G_2$ , thus condition (3) makes sense.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{E}_x^p$  so that  $f$  is defined on  $G_2xG_1$ . We define  $\tilde{f}(t) = f(tx)$  for  $t \in G_2$ . For all  $v' \in V^*$ ,  $t \mapsto \langle \tilde{f}(t), v' \rangle = \langle f(tx), v' \rangle$  is clearly measurable. Further, let  $\eta \in G_x$  and let  $\xi = x\eta x^{-1}$ , then

$$\tilde{f}(t\eta) = \tilde{f}(tx\xi x^{-1}) = f(tx\xi) = \pi_\xi^{-1} f(tx) = \pi_\xi^{-1} \tilde{f}(t) = \pi_{x^{-1}\eta x}^{-1} \tilde{f}(t)$$

Now let  $g \in G_2 \cdot p V^x$  (seen as a function  $G_2 \mapsto V$ ). Define a function  $f$  on  $G_2xG_1$  by  $f(tx\xi) = \pi_\xi^{-1} g(t)$  for  $t \in G_2$  and  $\xi \in G_1$ .

Let us first check that  $f$  is unambiguously defined. Thus, assume that  $t_1x\xi_1 = t_2x\xi_2$  with  $t_1, t_2 \in G_2$  and  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in G_1$ . Then  $t_1 = t_2x\xi_2\xi_1^{-1}x^{-1}$  and  $x\xi_2\xi_1^{-1}x^{-1} \in G_2 \cap (xG_1x^{-1}) = G_x$  thus

$$g(t_1) = \pi_{(\xi_1\xi_2^{-1})^{-1}}^{-1} g(t_2) = \pi_{\xi_2\xi_1}^{-1} g(t_2) = \pi_{\xi_1}\pi_{\xi_2}^{-1} g(t_2)$$

thus  $\pi_{\xi_1}^{-1} g(t_1) = \pi_{\xi_2}^{-1} g(t_2)$  and  $f(t_1x\xi_1) = f(t_2x\xi_2)$  and  $f$  is unambiguously defined.

Fix  $v' \in V^*$  and define for  $(\xi, \eta) \in G_1 \times G_2$ ,  $f_1(\xi, \eta) = \pi_\xi^{-1} g(\eta)$ , then

$$\langle f_1(\xi, \eta), v' \rangle = \langle g(\eta), (\pi_{\xi^{-1}})^* v' \rangle$$

is a Borel function of  $(\xi, \eta) \in G_1 \times G_2$ . We can now finish the proof of the lemma in exactly the same way as the proof of the lemma 6.1 of [16].  $\square$

We have just established lemma 5.9 for functions defined on  $G_2xG_1$  double cosets in order to remain close to the proof of [16] lemma 6.1. It is then obvious that a similar result is true for  $G_1xG_2$ .

*Proof (of the theorem).* Recall from section 2 that we can identify  ${}^{G,p}V$  as the set of all functions  $f : G \mapsto V$  such that

- 1).  $s \mapsto \langle f(s), v' \rangle$  is a Borel function for all  $v' \in V^*$ ,
- 2).  $F(s\xi) = \pi_\xi^{-1}f(s)$  for every  $\xi \in G_1, s \in G$ ,
- 3).  $\int_{G/G_1} \|f(t)\|^* d\mu_1(t) < \infty$ .

We can now finish the proof of the theorem simply by using disintegration of measures as in [16]. Let  $f \in {}^{G,p}V$  (seen as a function on  $G$ ) then with lemma 5.1,

$$(8) \quad \int_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \int_D \|f(t)\|^p d\mu_D d\widetilde{\mu}_1(D) = \int_{G/G_1} \|f\|^p d\mu_1 < \infty.$$

Thus, for almost all  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\int_D \|f(t)\|^p d\mu_D < \infty.$$

Define then, for  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $f_D$  to be the restriction of  $f$  to  $D$ . For almost all  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , we then have that  $f_D \in \mathcal{E}_x^p$  (where  $x$  is such that  $D = G_1xG_2$ ) so that, by lemma 5.3, we may assume that  $f_D \in {}^{G_2,p}V^x$ .

Equation 8 then asserts that  ${}^{G,p}V$  is isometric to  $L^p(\mathcal{D}, \mu, \mathcal{B})$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ANKER J.-PH. Applications de la  $p$ -induction en analyse harmonique. *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, 58:622–645, 1983.
- [2] CHEVET S. Sur certains produits tensoriels topologiques d'espaces de Banach. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 11:120–138, 1969.
- [3] DEFANT A. AND FLORET K. *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*. Number 176 in Mathematics Studies. North Holland, 1993.
- [4] FELL J.M.G. A Hausdorff topology for the closed subsets of a locally compact non-Hausdorff space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13:472–476, 1962.
- [5] FONTENOT R.A. AND SCHOCHETMAN I.E. Induced representations of groups on Banach spaces. *Rocky Mountain J. of Math*, 7:53–82, 1977.
- [6] GAAL S.A. *Linear Analysis and Representation Theory*. Number 198 in Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, 1973.
- [7] GLIMM J. Families of induced representations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 12:885–911, 1962.
- [8] GORDON Y. AND SAPHAR P. Ideal norms on  $E \otimes L_p$ . *Illinois J. Math*, 21:266–285, 1977.
- [9] GROTHENDICK A. Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucleaires. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16, 1955.
- [10] HERZ C. The theory of  $p$ -spaces with an application to convolution operators. *Transactions of the AMS*, 154:69–82, 1971.
- [11] HEWITT E. AND ROSS K.A. *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*. Number 115 in Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, 1963.
- [12] KRAJLEVIC H. Induced representations of groups on Banach spaces. *Glasnik Math.*, 4:183–196, 1969.
- [13] KWAPIEŃ S. On operators factorizable through  $L_p$ -spaces. *Bull Soc. Math. France, memoire*, 31–32:15–225, 1972.
- [14] LINDENSTRAUSS J. AND PEŁCZYŃSKI A. Absolutely summing operators in  $L_p$ -spaces and their applications. *Studia Mathematica*, 29:275–326, 1968.
- [15] LYUBICH Y.I. *Introduction to the Theory of Banach Representations of Groups*. Number 30 in Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Birkhauser, 1988. (translated by A. Jacob).
- [16] MACKEY G. Induced representations of locally compact groups I. *Ann. of Math.*, 55:101–140, 1952.
- [17] RACHER G. Remarks on a paper of Bachelis and Gilbert. *Monatsh. Math.*, 92:47–60, 1981.
- [18] REITER H. *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, 1968.
- [19] RIEFFEL M.A. Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups. *Jour. Func. Anal.*, 1:443–491, 1967.
- [20] RIEFFEL M.A. Unitary representations induced from compact groups. *Studia Math.*, 42:145–175, 1972.
- [21] SAPHAR P. Applications  $p$ -sommantes et  $p$ -décomposables. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A-B*, 270:528–531, 1969.
- [22] SCHOCHETMAN I.E. Integral operators in the theory of induced Banach representations. *Memoirs of the AMS*, 207, 1978.

W. MORAN : FLINDERS UNIVERSITY, IST BUILDING, BEDFORD PARC, PO BOX 2100, ADELAIDE SA 5001, AUSTRALIA, AND P. JAMING : UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, BP 6759, F 45067 ORLEANS CEDEX 2, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `bill@ist.flinders.edu.au` and `jaming@labomath.univ-orleans.fr`