open science

# Elements of symplectic covariant theory of phase-space Schrödinger equations 

Maurice A. de Gosson

## To cite this version:

Maurice A. de Gosson. Elements of symplectic covariant theory of phase-space Schrödinger equations. 2005. hal-00004996v2

## HAL Id: hal-00004996 <br> https://hal.science/hal-00004996v2

Preprint submitted on 15 Jun 2005 (v2), last revised 30 Jul 2005 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Elements of a Theory of Symplectic Covariant Schrödinger Equations in Phase Space 

Maurice A. de Gosson<br>Universität Potsdam, Inst. f. Mathematik<br>Am Neuen Palais 10, D-14415 Potsdam<br>Current address: Universidade de São Paulo<br>Departamento de Matemática<br>Rua do Matão 1010, CEP 05508-900 São Paulo<br>E-mail address: maurice.degosson@gmail.com

Dedicated to the memory of my mother.

## Contents

I Introduction and Motivations ..... 2
II The Wigner Wave-Packet Transform ..... 9
IIIPhase-Space Weyl Calculus ..... 14
IV Metaplectic Covariance ..... 20
V Schrödinger Equation in Phase Space ..... 25
VI Probabilistic Interpretation of $\Psi$ ..... 29
VIIDiscussion and Remarks ..... 31


#### Abstract

A classical theorem of Stone and von Neumann says that the Schrödinger representation is, up to unitary equivalences, the only irreducible representation of the Heisenberg group on the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on configuration space. Using the Wigner-Moyal transform we construct an irreducible representation of the Heisenberg group on a certain Hilbert space of square-integrable functions defined on phase space. This allows us to extend the usual Weyl calculus into a phase-space calculus and leads us to a quantum mechanics in phase space, equivalent to standard quantum mechanics. We also briefly discuss the extension of metaplectic operators to phase space and the probabilistic interpretation of the solutions of the phase space Schrödinger equation.
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## I. Introduction and Motivations

One of the pillars of non-relativistic quantum mechanics is Schrödinger's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla_{\vec{r}}^{2} \psi+V(\vec{r}, t) \psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand side is obtained from the Hamiltonian function

$$
H=\frac{1}{2 m} \vec{p}^{2}+V(\vec{r}, t)
$$

by replacing the momentum vector $\vec{p}$ by the operator $-i \hbar \nabla_{\vec{r}}$ and letting the position vector $\vec{r}$ stand as it is. But how did Schrödinger arrive at this equation? He arrived at it using what the novelist Arthur Koestler called a "sleepwalker" argument, elaborating on Hamilton's optical-mechanical analogy, and taking several mathematically illegitimate steps (see Jammer ${ }^{15}$ or Moor ${ }^{18}$ for a thorough discussion of Schrödinger's argument). In fact Schrödinger's equation can be rigorously justified for quadratic or linear potentials if one uses the theory of the metaplectic group (see our discussion in , Chapters 6 and 7), but it cannot be mathematically justified for arbitrary Hamiltonian functions; it can only be made plausible by using formal analogies: this is what is done in all texts on quantum mechanics, and Dirac's treatise, $\triangle$ p. 108-111) is of course not an exception. The gist of Schrödinger's argument, recast in modern terms, is the following: a "matter wave" consists -as all waves do- of an amplitude and a phase. Consider now a particle with initial position vector $\vec{r}(0)=(x(0), y(0), z(0))$. That particle moves under the influence of some potential and its position vector becomes $\vec{r}(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ at time $t$. The change of phase of the matter wave associated with the particle is then postulated to be the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Phi=\int_{\Gamma} \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}-H d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

calculated along the arc of trajectory $\Gamma$ joining the initial point $(x(0), y(0), z(0) ; 0)$ to the final point $(x(t), y(t), z(t), t)$ in space-time; $\vec{p}=\left(p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}\right)$ is the momentum vector and $H=H(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)$ the Hamiltonian function. The choice (2)
for $\Delta \Phi$ is dictated by the fact that it represents the variation in action when the particle moves from its initial position to its final position. Now, in most cases of interest the initial and final position vectors uniquely determine the initial and final momentum vectors if $t$ is sufficiently small, so that $\Delta \Phi$ can be identified with Hamilton's principal function $W\left(\vec{r}_{0}, \vec{r}, t\right)$ (sed 9 ), and the latter is a solution of Hamilton-Jacobi's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial W}{\partial t}+H\left(\vec{r}, \nabla_{\vec{r}} W, t\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Schrödinger knew that the properties of the "action form"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}-H d t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

led to this equation, and this was all he needed to describe the time-evolution of the phase. We now make an essential remark: the property that $\Delta \Phi$ can be identified with Hamilton's principal function is intimately related to the fact that the action form $\mathcal{A}$ is a relative integral invariant. This means that if $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are two closed curves in the $(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)$ space encircling the same tube of Hamiltonian trajectories, then we have

$$
\oint_{\gamma} \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}-H d t=\oint_{\gamma^{\prime}} \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}-H d t
$$

(this is a consequence of Stoke's theorem and generalizes to an arbitrary number of dimensions; see for instance $\sqrt{9}$ ). We now make the following crucial observation, upon which much of this paper relies: the action form $\mathcal{A}$ is not the only relative integral invariant associated to the Hamiltonian $H$. In fact, for any real scalar $\lambda$ the differential form

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}=\lambda \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}+(\lambda-1) \vec{r} \cdot d \vec{p}-H d t
$$

also satisfies the equality

$$
\oint_{\gamma} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}=\oint_{\gamma^{\prime}} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}
$$

and is hence also a relative integral invariant. This is immediately checked by noting that since $\gamma$ is a closed curve we have

$$
\oint_{\gamma} \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}+\vec{r} \cdot d \vec{p}=\oint_{\gamma} d(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r})=0
$$

and hence

$$
\oint_{\gamma} \lambda \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}+(\lambda-1) \vec{r} \cdot d \vec{p}=\oint_{\gamma} \lambda \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}+(1-\lambda) \lambda \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}=\oint_{\gamma} \vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}
$$

A particularly neat choice is $\lambda=1 / 2$; it leads to the "symmetrized action"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}(\vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}-\vec{r} \cdot d \vec{p})-H d t \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the position and momentum variables now play identical roles, up to the sign.

Let us investigate the quantum-mechanical consequences of this choice. We consider the very simple situation where the Hamiltonian function is linear in the position and momentum variables; more specifically we assume that

$$
H_{0}=\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}_{0}-\vec{p}_{0} \cdot \vec{r}
$$

The solutions of the associated equations of motion

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d}{d t} \vec{p}(t)=\vec{p}_{0}
$$

are the functions

$$
\vec{r}(t)=\vec{r}(0)+\vec{r}_{0} t \quad \text { and } \vec{p}(t)=\vec{p}(0)+\vec{p}_{0} t
$$

hence the motion is just translation in phase space in the direction of the vector $\left(\vec{r}_{0}, \vec{p}_{0}\right)$. An immediate calculation shows that the standard change in phase (2) , expressed in terms of the final position $\vec{r}=\vec{r}(t)$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Phi=\Phi(\vec{r} ; t)=t \vec{p}_{0} \cdot \vec{r}-\frac{t^{2}}{2} \vec{p}_{0} \cdot \vec{r}_{0} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

this function of course trivially satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for $H_{0}$. Assuming that the initial wavefunction is $\psi_{0}=\psi_{0}(\vec{r})$, a straightforward calculation shows that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\vec{r}, t)=\exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \Phi(\vec{r} ; t)\right] \psi_{0}\left(\vec{r}-t \vec{r}_{0}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the standard Schrödinger equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=\left(-i \hbar \vec{r}_{0} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{r}}-\vec{p}_{0} \cdot \vec{r}\right) \psi=H_{0}\left(\vec{r},-i \hbar \nabla_{r}\right) \psi
$$

Suppose now that instead of using definition (2) for the change in phase we use instead the modified action associated with $\mathcal{A}_{1 / 2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Phi_{1 / 2}=\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{2}(\vec{p} \cdot d \vec{r}-\vec{r} \cdot d \vec{p})-H d t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

integrating and replacing $\vec{r}(0)$ with $\vec{r}-\vec{r}_{0} t$ and $\vec{p}(0)$ with $\vec{p}-\vec{p}_{0} t$ this leads to the expression

$$
\Phi_{1 / 2}(\vec{r}, \vec{p} ; t)=\frac{t}{2}\left(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}_{0}-\vec{p}_{0} \cdot \vec{r}\right)
$$

which, in addition to time, depends on both $\vec{r}$ and $\vec{p}$; it is thus defined on phase space, and not on configuration space as was the case for (6). The function $\Phi_{1 / 2}(\vec{r}, \vec{p} ; t)$ does not verify the ordinary Hamilton-Jacobi equation (3); it however verifies its symmetrized variant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi_{1 / 2}}{\partial t}+H_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2} \vec{r}+\nabla_{\vec{p}} \Phi_{1 / 2}, \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}-\nabla_{\vec{r}} \Phi_{1 / 2}\right)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as is verified by a straightforward calculation. This property opens the gates to quantum mechanics in phase space: assume again that we have an initial wavefunction $\psi_{0}=\psi_{0}(\vec{r})$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)=\exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \Phi_{1 / 2}(\vec{r} ; t)\right] \psi_{0}\left(\vec{r}-t \vec{r}_{0}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (9) one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\widehat{H_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \vec{r}+i \hbar \nabla_{\vec{p}}, \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}-i \hbar \nabla_{\vec{r}}\right) \Psi \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

there is in fact no reason to assume that the initial wavefunction depends only on $\vec{r}$; choosing $\Psi_{0}=\Psi_{0}(\vec{r}, \vec{p})$ the same argument shows that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)=\exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \Phi_{1 / 2}(\vec{r} ; t)\right] \Psi_{0}\left(\vec{r}-t \vec{r}_{0}, \vec{p}-t \vec{p}_{0}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of (11) with initial condition $\Psi_{0}$. Observe that the operator $\widehat{H_{0}}$ in the "phase-space Schrödinger equation" (11) is obtained from the Hamiltonian function $H_{0}$ using the phase space quantization rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \longrightarrow \widehat{X}=\frac{1}{2} x+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{x}}, p_{x} \longrightarrow \widehat{P}_{x}=\frac{1}{2} p_{x}-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
& y \longrightarrow \widehat{Y}=\frac{1}{2} x+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{y}}, p_{y} \longrightarrow \widehat{P}_{y}=\frac{1}{2} p_{y}-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
& z \longrightarrow \widehat{Z}=\frac{1}{2} z+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{z}}, p_{z} \longrightarrow \widehat{P}_{z}=\frac{1}{2} p_{z}-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The operators $\widehat{X}, \widehat{P}_{x}$, etc. obey the usual canonical commutation relations:

$$
\left[\widehat{X}, \widehat{P_{x}}\right]=-i \hbar,\left[\widehat{Y}, \widehat{P_{y}}\right]=-i \hbar,\left[\widehat{Z}, \widehat{P_{z}}\right]=-i \hbar
$$

and this suggests that these quantization rules could be consistent with the existence of an irreducible representation of the Heisenberg group in phase space. This will be proven in Section III, where we will explicitly construct this representation.

The equation (11) corresponds, as we have seen to the choice $\lambda=1 / 2$ for the integral invariant $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}$; any other choice is per se equally good. For instance $\lambda=1$ corresponds to the standard Schrödinger equation; if we took $\lambda=0$ we would obtain the phase-space Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=H_{0}\left(\vec{r}+i \hbar \nabla_{\vec{p}},-i \hbar \nabla_{r}\right) \Psi . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

considered by Torres-Vega and Frederick. 26.27 . 2 More generally, to an arbitrary value of $\lambda$ corresponds the equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=H_{0}\left(\vec{r}+i \hbar \nabla_{\vec{p}},-i \hbar \nabla_{\vec{r}}\right) \Psi
$$

Phase-space Schrödinger equations are current objects of interest; they are usually arrived at using arguments involving in some way or another coherent-state representations (or variants thereof). We stress that these equations actually are no more than a reflection of the integral invariance property of a class of differential forms related to -but different from- the action form of classical mechanics.

The aesthetic appeal of the Schrödinger equation in phase space in the form (11) is indisputable, because it reinstates in quantum mechanics the symmetry of classical mechanics in its Hamiltonian formulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \vec{r}}{d t}=\nabla_{\vec{p}} H \quad, \quad \frac{d \vec{p}}{d t}=-\nabla_{\vec{r}} H \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in both (11) and (14) the variables $x$ and $p$ are placed, up to a change of sign, on the same footing.

The aim of this paper is to rigorously motivate Schrödinger's equation in phase in an arbitrary number of degrees of freedom using the Stone-von Neumann theorem on the irreducibility of the Schrödinger representation. The passage from the standard quantum mechanics governed by the usual Schrödinger's equation is performed using a "wave-packet transform" defined in terms of the Wigner(-Moyal) transform (we have therefore called it the "Wigner wave-packet transform"). In this sense our approach is much more general than other approaches found in the literature because it does not depend on the choice of any ad hoc "coherent state representation".

We have structured this paper as follows:

- In Section 2 we define and study a family of "wave-packet transforms" parametrized by $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$, the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on configuration space. Each of these transforms maps isometrically $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ onto a closed subspace of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x, p}^{2 N}\right)$, the space of square integrable functions on phase space. The main appeal of these transforms, which are defined in terms of the Wigner-Moyal function is that they will allow us to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the solutions of the usual Schrödinger's equation and the "admissible" solutions of the phase-space Schrödinger equation, that is those functions which are in the range of a Wigner wave-packet transform. We show in particular that the only phase space Gaussians belonging to the range of our wave-packet transforms are those which are the Wigner transforms of pure (Gaussian) quantum states.
- In Section 3 we construct a representation of Heisenberg group on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x, p}^{2 N}\right)$ which is irreducible on the ranges of the wave-packet transforms. This allows us to associate to each observable, viewed as a pseudo-differential symbol, an operator acting on phase space functions. These "phase-space Weyl operators" precisely correspond to the quantization rules

$$
x \longrightarrow \frac{x}{2}+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \quad p \longrightarrow \frac{p}{2}-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} .
$$

- In Section 4 we show the symplectic covariance of the phase-space Weyl calculus, this is archived by constructing a copy of the usual metaplectic group $M p(N)$ whose elements act, not on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$, but on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x, p}^{2 N}\right)$. This is made possible by identifying the Weyl symbol of the usual metaplectic operators, following previous work of ours based on an idea of Mehlig and Wilkinson. Interestingly enough, in this space representation of $M p(N)$ the Conley-Zehnder index, familiar from Hamiltonian periodic orbit theory plays the role of "Maslov index".
- In Section 5 we show, using the previous constructions, that to each solution $\Psi$ of the usual Schrödinger equation corresponds, via each wave-packet transform, a function $\Psi$ defined on phase space and satisfying the phase-space Schrödinger equation written formally as

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=H\left(\frac{x}{2}+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \frac{p}{2}-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \Psi .
$$

We show that, conversely, that two solutions of this equation lying in the intersection of the ranges of two different wave-packet transforms correspond to quantum states which are either orthogonal or identical. We take the opportunity to give exact phase-space solutions for the isotropic harmonic oscillators.

- In Section 6 we focus on the physical relevance of the solutions to the phase-space Schrödinger equation. In particular, we show that one cannot expect arbitrary functions in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x, p}^{2 N}\right)$ to correspond to a bona fide quantum state, this fact is actually related to a symplectic form of the uncertainty principle we have studied in previous work of ours. We end this section by studying the probabilistic interpretation of the quantum-mechanically admissible solutions of the phase-space Schrödinger equation, it appears that we can choose the wave-packet transform as an appropriate Gaussian then, in the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, the square of the modulus of the admissible solutions become joint probability densities. We finally discuss the case of mixed Gaussian states.

This paper is reasonably self-contained; we have given rather detailed proofs since there are many technicalities which are not always immediately obvious.

## Notations

We will work with systems having $N$ degrees of freedom; we denote the position vector of such a system by $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ and its momentum vector by $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)$. We will also use the collective notation $z=(x, p)$ for the generic phase space variable. Configuration space is denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}$ and phase space by $\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}$. The generalized gradients in $x$ and $p$ are written

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{N}}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial p}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{N}}\right) .
$$

For reasons of notational economy we will write $M u^{2}$ instead of $M u \cdot u$ when $M$ is a matrix and $u$ a vector.

We denote by $z \wedge z^{\prime}$ the symplectic product of $z=(x, p), z^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
z \wedge z^{\prime}=p \cdot x^{\prime}-p^{\prime} \cdot x
$$

where the dot • is the usual (Euclidean) scalar product. In matrix notation:

$$
z \wedge z^{\prime}=\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{T} J z, \quad J=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
-I & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $J$ is the standard symplectic matrix ( 0 and $I$ are the $N \times N$ zero and identity matrices). We denote by $S p(N)$ the symplectic group of the $(x, p)$ phase space: it consists of all real $2 N \times 2 N$ matrices $S$ such that $S z \wedge S z^{\prime}=z \wedge z^{\prime}$; equivalently

$$
S^{T} J S=S J S^{T}=J .
$$

We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the $L^{2}$-norm of functions on configuration $\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}$ and by $((\cdot, \cdot))$ that of functions on phase space $\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}$. The corresponding norms are denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\|\cdot\|\|$.
$\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ is the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and we denote by $F$ the unitary Fourier transform defined on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \psi(p)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot x} \psi(x) d^{N} x . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## II. The Wigner Wave-Packet Transform

Let $\phi$ be a rapidly decreasing function normalized to unity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right),\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)}^{2}=1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We associate to $\phi$ the integral operator $U_{\phi}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right) \longrightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\phi} \psi(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} p \cdot x} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot x^{\prime}} \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and call $U_{\phi}$ the "Wigner wave-packet transform" associated with $\phi$. This terminology is justified by the fact that the operator $U_{\phi}$ is easily expressed in terms of the Wigner-Moyal transform 16

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\psi, \bar{\phi})(x, p)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot y} \psi\left(x+\frac{1}{2} y\right) \phi\left(x-\frac{1}{2} y\right) d^{N} y \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the pair $(\psi, \bar{\phi})$ : performing the change of variables $x^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}(x+y)$ in the right-hand side of (17) we get at once

$$
U_{\phi} \psi(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} 2^{-N} \int e^{-\frac{i}{2 \hbar} p \cdot y} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right) \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}(x-y)\right) d^{N} y
$$

that is, by definition of $W(\psi, \bar{\phi})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\phi} \psi(z)=\left(\frac{\pi \hbar}{2}\right)^{N / 2} W(\psi, \bar{\phi})\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A standard -but by no means mandatory- choice is to take for $\phi$ the real Gaussian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\hbar}(x)=\left(\frac{1}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 4} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar}|x|^{2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

the corresponding operator $U_{\phi}$ is then (up to an exponential factor) the "coherent state representation" familiar to quantum physicists.

The interest of the Wigner wave-packet transform $U_{\phi}$ comes from the fact that it is an isometry of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ onto a closed subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ and that it takes the operators $x$ and $-i \hbar \partial / \partial x$ into the operators $x / 2+i \hbar \partial / \partial p$ and $p / 2-i \hbar \partial / \partial x$ :

Theorem 1 The Wigner wave-packet transform $U_{\phi}$ has the following properties:
(i) $U_{\phi}$ is an isometry: the Parseval formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(U_{\phi} \psi, U_{\phi} \psi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\psi, \psi^{\prime}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\psi, \psi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$. In particular $U_{\phi}^{*} U_{\phi}=I$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$.
(ii) The range $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ of $U_{\phi}$ is closed in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ (and is hence a Hilbert space) and the operator $P_{\phi}=U_{\phi} U_{\phi}^{*}$ is the orthogonal projection in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$.
(iii) We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x}{2}+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right) U_{\phi} \psi=U_{\phi}(x \psi) \quad, \quad\left(\frac{p}{2}-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) U_{\phi} \psi=U_{\phi}\left(-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) Formula (21) is an immediate consequence of the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(W(\psi, \phi), W\left(\psi^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N}\left(\psi, \psi^{\prime}\right) \overline{\left(\phi, \phi^{\prime}\right)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the Wigner-Moyal transform (see e.g. Folland ${ }^{6} p .56$; beware of the fact that Folland uses normalizations different from ours). In fact, taking $\phi=\phi^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(U_{\phi} \psi, U_{\phi} \psi^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\left(\frac{\pi \hbar}{2}\right)^{N} \int W(\psi, \bar{\phi})\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right) \overline{W\left(\psi^{\prime}, \bar{\phi}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right) d^{2 N} z \\
& =(2 \pi \hbar)^{N}\left(\left(W(\psi, \bar{\phi}), W\left(\psi^{\prime}, \bar{\phi}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\psi, \psi^{\prime}\right)(\phi, \phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is formula (21) since $\phi$ is normalized. To prove (ii) we note that $P_{\phi}^{*}=P_{\phi}$ and

$$
P_{\phi}^{2}=U_{\phi}\left(U_{\phi}^{*} U_{\phi}\right) U_{\phi}^{*}=U_{\phi}^{*} U_{\phi}=P_{\phi}
$$

hence $P_{\phi}$ is indeed an orthogonal projection. Let us show that its range is $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$; the closedness of $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ will follow since the range of a projection in a Hilbert space always is closed. Since $U_{\phi}^{*} U_{\phi}=I$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ we have $U_{\phi}^{*} U_{\phi} \psi=\psi$ for every $\psi$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ and hence the range of $U_{\phi}^{*}$ is $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$. It follows that the range of $U_{\phi}$ is that of $U_{\phi} U_{\phi}^{*}=P_{\phi}$ and we are done. (iii) The verification of the formulae (22) is purely computational, using differentiations and partial integrations; it is therefore left to the reader.

The intertwining formulae (22) show that the Wigner wave-packet transform takes the usual quantization rules

$$
x_{j} \longrightarrow x \quad, x \longrightarrow-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
$$

leading to the standard Schrödinger equation to the phase-space quantization rules

$$
x \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} x+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, x \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} p-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}
$$

observe that these rules are independent of the choice of $\phi$ and that these rules are thus a common features of all the transforms $U_{\phi}$.

One should be aware of the fact that the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ is smaller than $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$. This is intuitively clear since functions in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ depend on twice as many variables as those in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ of which $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ is an isometric copy. Let us discuss this in some detail.

Theorem 2 (i) The range of the Wigner wave-packet transform $U_{\phi_{\hbar}}$ associated to the Gaussian (20) consists of the functions $\Psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ for which the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}}\right)\left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \hbar}|z|^{2}\right) \Psi(z)\right]=0 \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq N \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
(ii) For every $\phi$ the range of the Wigner wave-packet transform $U_{\phi}$ is isometric to $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_{\hbar}}$.

Proof. (i) We have $U_{\phi \hbar}=e^{-\frac{i}{2 \hbar} p \cdot x} V_{\phi \hbar}$ where the operator $V_{\phi \hbar}$ is defined by

$$
V_{\phi} \psi(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot x^{\prime}} \phi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime}
$$

It is shown in ${ }^{211}$ that the range of $V_{\phi_{\hbar}}$ consists of all $\Psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j}}\right)\left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \hbar}|p|^{2}\right) \Psi(z)\right]=0 \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq N .
$$

That the range of $U_{\phi_{\hbar}}$ is characterized by (24) follows by an immediate calculation that is left to the reader. (ii) If $U_{\phi_{1}}$ and $U_{\phi_{2}}$ are two Wigner wave-packet transforms corresponding to the choices $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ then $U_{\phi_{2}} U_{\phi_{1}}^{*}$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_{1}}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\phi_{2}}$.

This leads us to address the following more precise question: given $\Psi \in$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$, can we find $\phi$ and $\psi$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ such that $\Psi=U_{\phi} \psi$ ? We are going to see that the answer is no. Intuitively speaking the reason is the following: if $\Psi$ is too "concentrated" in phase space, it cannot correspond via the inverse transform $U_{\phi}^{-1}=U_{\phi}^{*}$ to a solution of the standard Schrödinger equation, because the uncertainty principle would be violated. Let us make this precise when the function $\Psi$ is a Gaussian. We first make the following obvious remark: in view of condition (24) every Gaussian

$$
\Psi_{0}(z)=\lambda \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \hbar}|z|^{2}\right) \quad, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}
$$

is in the range of $U_{\phi_{\hbar}}$. It turns out that not only does this particular Gaussian belong to the range of every Wigner wave-packet transform $U_{\phi}$, but so does also the compose $\Psi_{0} \circ S$ for every $S \in S p(N)$ :

Theorem 3 Let $G$ be a real positive-definite $2 N \times 2 N$ matrix: $G=G^{T}>0$. Let $\Psi_{G} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ be the Gaussian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{G}(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar} G z^{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) There exist $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ such that $U_{\phi} \psi=\Psi_{G}$ if and only if $G \in$ $S p(N)$, in which case we have

$$
\phi=\alpha \phi_{\hbar} \quad, \quad \psi=\bar{\alpha} 2^{N / 2}(\pi \hbar)^{N / 4} \phi_{\hbar}
$$

where $\phi_{\hbar}$ is the Gaussian (20) and $\alpha$ an arbitrary complex constant with modulus one.
(ii) Equivalently, $\left|\Psi_{G}\right|^{2}$ must be the Wigner transform $W \psi$ of a Gaussian state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=c(\operatorname{det} X)^{1 / 4}(\pi \hbar)^{3 N / 4} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2 \hbar}(X+i Y) x^{2}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $|c|=1, X$ and $Y$ real and symmetric, and $X>0$.
Proof. In view of the relation (19) between $U_{\phi}$ and the Wigner-Moyal transform (19) is equivalent to

$$
W(\psi, \bar{\phi})(z)=\left(\frac{2}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\hbar} G z^{2}\right)
$$

In view of Williamson's symplectic diagonalization theorem there exists $S \in S p(N)$ such that $G=S^{T} D S$ where $D$ is the diagonal matrix

$$
D=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda & 0 \\
0 & \Lambda
\end{array}\right] \quad, \quad \Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right]
$$

the numbers $\pm i \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}, \lambda_{j}>0$, being the eigenvalues of $J G^{-1}$; it follows that

$$
W(\psi, \bar{\phi})\left(S^{-1} z\right)=\left(\frac{2}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\hbar} D z^{2}\right) .
$$

In view of the metaplectic covariance property of the Wigner-Moyal transform (see (47) in Section (IV) there exists a unitary operator $\widehat{S}: \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right) \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
W(\psi, \bar{\phi})\left(S^{-1} z\right)=W(\widehat{S} \psi, \widehat{S} \bar{\phi})(z)
$$

hence it is no restriction to assume $S=I$ and

$$
W(\psi, \bar{\phi})(z)=\left(\frac{2}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\hbar} D z^{2}\right)
$$

By definition (18) of the Wigner-Moyal transform this is the same thing as

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot y} \psi\left(x+\frac{1}{2} y\right) \phi\left(x-\frac{1}{2} y\right) d^{N} y=2^{N} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\hbar} D z^{2}\right)
$$

that is, in view of the Fourier inversion formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(x+\frac{1}{2} y\right) \phi\left(x-\frac{1}{2} y\right) & =2^{N}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot y} e^{-\frac{2}{\hbar} D z^{2}} d^{N} p \\
& =\left(\frac{2}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \Lambda x^{2}} \int e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot y} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \Lambda p^{2}} d^{N} p .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $Q=2 \Lambda$ in the classical formula

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot y} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \hbar} Q p^{2}} d^{N} p=(\operatorname{det} Q)^{-1 / 2} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \hbar} Q^{-1} y^{2}}
$$

giving the Fourier transform of a non-degenerate Gaussian we thus have

$$
\psi\left(x+\frac{1}{2} y\right) \phi\left(x-\frac{1}{2} y\right)=2^{N / 2}(\operatorname{det} \Lambda)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\hbar}\left(\Lambda x^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \Lambda y^{2}\right)\right] .
$$

Setting $u=x+\frac{1}{2} y$ and $v=x-\frac{1}{2} y$ this is
$\psi(u) \phi(v)=2^{N / 2}(\operatorname{det} \Lambda)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{4 \hbar}\left(\left(\Lambda+\Lambda^{-1}\right)\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)+2\left(\Lambda-\Lambda^{-1}\right) u \cdot v\right)\right]$,
which is only possible if there are no terms $u \cdot v$, that is if (and only if) $\Lambda=\Lambda^{-1}$; since $\Lambda$ is positive-definite this requires $\Lambda=I$ and hence also $\Delta=I$. It follows that

$$
\psi(u) \phi(v)=2^{N / 2} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2 \hbar}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\right]
$$

so that

$$
\psi(x) \phi(0)=\psi(0) \phi(x)=2^{N / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar} x^{2}\right)
$$

It follows that both $\psi$ and $\phi$ are Gaussians of the type

$$
\psi(x)=\psi(0) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar} x^{2}\right) \quad, \phi(x)=\phi(0) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar} x^{2}\right)
$$

since $\phi$ is normalized this requires that $\phi=\alpha \phi_{\hbar}$ with $|\alpha|=1$ and hence

$$
\phi(0)=\alpha\left(\frac{1}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 4} .
$$

Since we have $\psi(0) \phi(0)=2^{N / 2}$ we must have

$$
\psi(0)=\bar{\alpha} 2^{N / 2}(\pi \hbar)^{N / 4}
$$

which concludes the proof of part (i) of the theorem. To prove (ii) recall ${ }^{16}$ that the Wigner transform of the Gaussian (26) is given by the formula

$$
W \psi(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} G z^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X+Y X^{-1} Y & Y X^{-1} \\
X^{-1} Y & X^{-1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is immediate to verify that $G \in S p(N)$ and that $G$ is symmetric positive definite. One proves ${ }^{11}$ that, conversely, every such $G$ can be put in the form above, and which ends the proof of (ii) since the datum of $W \psi$ determines $\psi$ up to a complex factor with modulus one.

## III. Phase-Space Weyl Calculus

Let $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ be the $(2 N+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group; it is (see e.g. 2 22) the set of all vectors

$$
(z, t)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} ; p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N} ; t\right)
$$

equipped with the multiplicative law

$$
(z, t)\left(z^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=\left(z+z^{\prime}, t+t^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} z \wedge z^{\prime}\right)
$$

The Schrödinger representation of $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ is, by definition, the mapping $\widehat{T}_{\text {Sch }}$ which to every $\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ in $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ associates the unitary operator $\widehat{T}_{\text {Sch }}\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\text {Sch }}\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \psi(x)=\exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(-t_{0}+p_{0} \cdot x-\frac{1}{2} p_{0} \cdot x_{0}\right)\right] \psi\left(x-x_{0}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

A classical theorem due to Stone and von Neumann (see for instancel ${ }^{22}$ for a proof) says that the Schrödinger representation is irreducible (that is, no closed subspace of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ other than $\{0\}$ and $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ are invariant by $\widehat{T}_{\text {Sch }}$ ), and that every irreducible unitary representation of $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\widehat{T}_{\text {sch }}$ : if $\widehat{T}$ is another irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ then there exists a unitary operator $U$ from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ to $\mathcal{H}$ which is bijective, and such that the following intertwining formula holds:

$$
\left[U \circ \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\right](z, t)=[\widehat{T} \circ U](z, t) \text { for all }(z, t) \text { in } \mathbf{H}_{N} .
$$

Conversely, if $U$ is a unitary operator for which this formula holds, then $\widehat{T}$ must be irreducible. We emphasize -heavily!- that in the statement above it is nowhere assumed that $\mathcal{H}$ must be $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$; it can a priori be any Hilbert space, and in particular it can (and will be!) any of the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ defined in Theorem 1. We will come back to this point in a while, but let us first recall how one passes from the Heisenberg group to the Weyl pseudo-differential calculus. In Weyl calculus one associates to a "symbol" $A=A(x, p)$ an operator $\widehat{A}$ on $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A} \psi(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \iint e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot(x-y)} A\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y), p\right) \psi(y) d^{N} y d^{N} p . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula makes perfectly sense if for instance $A \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$, and one easily verifies that the "Weyl correspondence" $A \stackrel{\text { Weyl }}{\longleftrightarrow} \widehat{A}$ leads to the standard quantization rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \stackrel{\mathrm{Weyl}}{\longleftrightarrow} \widehat{X}=x \quad, \quad p \stackrel{\mathrm{Weyl}}{\longleftrightarrow} \widehat{P}=-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For more general symbols the double integral must be interpreted in some particular way. For instance, if $A$ belongs to the standard symbol class
$S_{\rho, \delta}^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ with $0 \leq \delta<\rho \leq 1$ that is, if for every compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}$ and all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{N}$ there exists $C_{K, \alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$
\left|\partial_{p}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} A(x, p)\right| \leq C_{K, \alpha, \beta}(1+|p|)^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}
$$

then (28) should be viewed as an "oscillatory integral" There are however other possible ways to interpret this formula; we refer to 0.62 a discussions of these. In particular it is shown in 29 that if $A \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ then $\widehat{A}$ is a HilbertSchmidt operator, and that conversely every Hilbert-Schmidt operator is a Weyl operator with symbol in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$.

There is another very useful way of writing Weyl operators, and this will lead us to Weyl calculus in phase space. Setting $t_{0}=0$ in formula (27) one obtains the so-called Heisenberg-Weyl operators $\widehat{T}_{\text {Sch }}\left(z_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) \psi(x)=\exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{0} \cdot x-\frac{1}{2} p_{0} \cdot x_{0}\right)\right] \psi\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show, using Fourier analysis, that we can write the operator (28) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A} \psi(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)\left(z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) \psi(x) d^{2 N} z_{0} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A$, the "symplectic Fourier transform" of $A$, exists. The latter is defined in analogy with the ordinary Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} z \wedge z^{\prime}} A\left(z^{\prime}\right) d^{2 N} z^{\prime} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions of existence of $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A$ are actually the same as for the usual Fourier transform on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ to which it reduces replacing $z$ by $-J z$. Notice that $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ is an involution: $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}^{2}=I$.

Remark 4 It is convenient to write formula (31) more economically as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)(z) \widehat{T}_{S c h}(z) d^{2 N} z \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand-side is interpreted as a "Bochner integral", i.e. an integral with value in a Banach space.

We now observe that when a Weyl operator is written in the form (31) or (33) it literally begs to be extended to phase space! In fact, we can make
the Heisenberg-Weyl operators act on functions $\Psi$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ by replacing definition (30) by its obvious extension

$$
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) \Psi(z)=\exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{0} \cdot x-\frac{1}{2} p_{0} \cdot x_{0}\right)\right] \Psi\left(z-z_{0}\right)
$$

and thereafter define the action of $\widehat{A}$ on $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ by the formula

$$
\widehat{A} \Psi(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)\left(z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) \Psi(z) d^{2 N} z_{0}
$$

This choice -which is perfectly honest- would lead, using the method we will explain in Section $\mathbb{V}$ to the Torres-Vega equation 26 . 27 which we considered in 12

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\widehat{H}\left(x+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p},-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \Psi
$$

already mentioned in the Introduction (equation (13)). Since we prefer a more symmetric phase-space Schrödinger equation in which $x$ and $p$ are on equal footing, we will replace $\widehat{T}_{\text {Sch }}\left(z_{0}\right)$ with the operator $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) \Psi(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z \wedge z_{0}\right) \Psi\left(z-z_{0}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the subscript " $p h$ " standing for phase space) and then define the phasespace Weyl operator associated to $A$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)\left(z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) \Psi(z) d^{2 N} z_{0} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operator $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ provided that $A$ is a bona fide symbol and can hence be extended to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ by continuity. In accordance with the convention in Remark 1 we will often write for short

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)(z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(z) d^{2 N} z \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand side is again viewed as a Bochner integral.
Observe that the operators $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ satisfy the same commutation relation as the usual Weyl-Heisenberg operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{1}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} z_{0} \wedge z_{1}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{1}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{1}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z_{0} \wedge z_{1}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}+z_{1}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

These properties suggest that we define the phase-space representation $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ of $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ in analogy with (27) by setting for $\Psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \Psi(z)=e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} t_{0}} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(t z_{0}\right) \Psi(z) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ is a unitary operator in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$; moreover a straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{1}, t_{1}\right)=\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}+z_{1}, t_{0}+t_{1}+\frac{1}{2} z_{0} \wedge z_{1}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ is indeed a representation of the Heisenberg group in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$. We claim that the following diagram is commutative for every Wigner wavepacket transform $U_{\phi}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right) & \xrightarrow{U_{\phi}} & L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right) \\
\widehat{T}_{\text {Sch }} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}} \\
L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right) & \xrightarrow{U_{\phi}} & L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

More precisely:
Theorem 5 Let $U_{\phi}$ be an arbitrary Wigner wave-packet transform.
(i) We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{p h}\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) U_{\phi}=U_{\phi} \widehat{T}_{S c h}\left(z_{0}, t_{0}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the representation $\widehat{T}_{p h}$ is unitarily equivalent to the Schrödinger representation and thus an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ on each of the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$.
(ii) The following intertwining formula holds for every operator $\widehat{A}_{p h}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A}_{p h} U_{\phi}=U_{\phi} \widehat{A}_{S c h} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Proof of (i). It suffices to prove formula (41) for $t_{0}=0$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) U_{\phi}=U_{\phi} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write the operator $U_{\phi}$ in the form $U_{\phi}=e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} p \cdot x} W_{\phi}$ where the operator $W_{\phi}$ is thus defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\phi} \psi(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot x^{\prime}} \phi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have, by definition of $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) U_{\phi} \psi(z) & =\exp \left[-\frac{i}{2 \hbar}\left(z \wedge z_{0}+\left(p-p_{0}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right] W_{\phi} \psi\left(z-z_{0}\right)\right. \\
& =\exp \left[\frac{i}{2 \hbar}\left(-2 p \cdot x_{0}+p_{0} \cdot x_{0}+p \cdot x\right)\right] W_{\phi} \psi\left(z-z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by definition of $W_{\phi} \psi$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{\phi} \psi\left(z-z_{0}\right) & =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p-p_{0}\right) \cdot x^{\prime}} \bar{\phi}\left(x-x^{\prime}-x_{0}\right) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p-p_{0}\right) \cdot x_{0}} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p-p_{0}\right) \cdot x^{\prime \prime}} \bar{\phi}\left(x-x^{\prime \prime}\right) \psi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have set $x^{\prime \prime}=x^{\prime}+x_{0}$. The overall exponential in $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) U_{\phi} \psi(z)$ is thus

$$
u_{1}=\exp \left[\frac{i}{2 \hbar}\left(-p_{0} \cdot x_{0}+p \cdot x-2 p \cdot x^{\prime \prime}+2 p_{0} \cdot x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\phi}\left(\widehat{T}_{\text {Sch }}\left(z_{0}\right) \psi\right)(z)= & \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} p \cdot x} \times \\
& \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot x^{\prime \prime}} \bar{\phi}\left(x-x^{\prime \prime}\right) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(p_{0} \cdot x^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} p_{0} \cdot x_{0}\right)} \psi\left(x^{\prime \prime}-x_{0}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

yielding the overall exponential

$$
u_{2}=\exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\frac{1}{2} p \cdot x-p \cdot x^{\prime \prime}+p_{0} \cdot x^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2} p_{0} \cdot x_{0}\right)\right]=u_{1}
$$

which proves (43). The irreducibility statement follows from Stone-von Neumann's theorem. Let us prove formula (42). In view of formula (43) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}} U_{\phi} \psi & =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)\left(z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(U_{\phi} \psi\right)(z) d^{2 N} z_{0} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)\left(z_{0}\right) U_{\phi}\left(\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) \psi\right)(z) d^{2 N} z_{0} \\
& \left.=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} U_{\phi}\left(\int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)\left(z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) \psi\right)(z) d^{2 N} z_{0}\right) \\
& =U_{\phi}\left(\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{Sch}} \psi\right)(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

(the passage from the second equality to the third is legitimated by the fact that $U_{\phi}$ is both linear and continuous).

## IV. Metaplectic Covariance

Since $S p(N)$ is the symmetry group for the usual canonical commutation relations

$$
\left[\widehat{X}_{j}, \widehat{P}_{k}\right]=i \hbar \delta_{j k}
$$

for $\left.\widehat{X}_{j}=x_{j}, \widehat{P}_{k}=-i \hbar \partial / \partial x_{k}\right)$, the uniqueness of these relations implies that for each $S$ in $S p(N)$ there must be some associated unitary operator linking them to quantization. These operators are the metaplectic operators: let us recall how they are defined (for details and proofs see for instancel 6 ). Assume that $S$ is a free symplectic matrix, that is $S \in S p(N)$ and

$$
S=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { with } \quad \operatorname{det} B \neq 0
$$

To every such $S$ one associates the operators $\pm \widehat{S}_{W, m}$ defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{W, m} \psi(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \frac{i^{m}}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det} B|}} \int e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} W\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)} \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $W$ is Hamilton's characteristic function" familiar from mechanics (see for instance ${ }^{7}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2} D B^{-1} x^{2}-B^{-1} x \cdot x^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} B^{-1} A x^{\prime 2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $m$ is an integer ("Maslov index") corresponding to a choice of $\arg \operatorname{det} B$. The operators $\widehat{S}_{W, m}$ are a sort of generalized Fourier transform, and it is not difficult to check that they are unitary. In addition the inverse of $\widehat{S}_{W, m}$ is given by

$$
\widehat{S}_{W, m}^{-1}=\widehat{S}_{W^{*}, m^{*}} \quad, \quad W^{*}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=-W\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) \quad, \quad m^{*}=N-m
$$

hence the $\widehat{S}_{W, m}$ generate a group: this group is the metaplectic group $M p(N)$ (see 9 for a complete discussion of the properties of $M p(N)$ and of the associated Maslov indices). If we choose for $S$ the standard symplectic matrix

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
-I & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

the quadratic form (46) reduces to $W\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=-x \cdot x^{\prime}$; choosing $\arg \operatorname{det} B=$ $\arg \operatorname{det} I=0$ the corresponding metaplectic operator is

$$
\widehat{J} \psi(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} x \cdot x^{\prime}} \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime}=i^{-N / 2} F \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $F$ thus is the usual unitary Fourier transform (15).
The Wigner-Moyal transform enjoys the following metaplectic covariance property: for every $\widehat{S} \in M p(N)$ with projection $S \in S p(N)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\widehat{S} \psi, \widehat{S} \phi)=W(\psi, \phi) \circ S^{-1} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Wigner wave-packet transform is defined in terms of $W(\psi, \phi)$ by formula (19) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\phi}(\widehat{S} \psi) & =\left(\frac{\pi \hbar}{2}\right)^{N / 2} W(\widehat{S} \psi, \bar{\phi})\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\pi \hbar}{2}\right)^{N / 2} W\left(\widehat{S} \psi, \widehat{S}\left(\widehat{S}^{-1} \bar{\phi}\right)\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right) \\
& \left.=\left(\frac{\pi \hbar}{2}\right)^{N / 2} W\left(\psi, \widehat{S}^{-1} \bar{\phi}\right)\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} S^{-1}(z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\phi}(\widehat{S} \psi)=\left(U_{\phi_{\widehat{S}}} \psi\right) \circ S^{-1} \quad, \quad \phi_{\widehat{S}}=\overline{\widehat{S}^{-1} \bar{\phi}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 13 we have shown (following an idea of Mehlig and Wilkinson 17 ) that the metaplectic group is generated by operators of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S} \psi(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \frac{i^{\nu(S)}}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(S-I)|}} \int e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} M_{S} z_{0}^{2}} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) \psi(x) d^{2 N} z_{0} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{det}(S-I) \neq 0, M_{S}$ is the symplectic Cayley transform of $S$ :

$$
M_{S}=\frac{1}{2} J(S+I)(S-I)^{-1}
$$

and $\nu(S)$ is the Conley-Zehnder index (modulo 4) of a path joining the identity to $I$ in $S p(N)$. For instance, if $\widehat{S}=\widehat{S}_{W, m}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{W, m} \psi(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \frac{i^{m-\operatorname{Inert} W_{x x}}}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(S-I)|}} \int e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} M_{S} z_{0}^{2}} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{Sch}}\left(z_{0}\right) \psi(x) d^{2 N} z_{0} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Inert $W_{x x}$ the number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of $W$. Formulae (49) and (50) are thus the Weyl representations of the
metaplectic operators $\widehat{S}$ and $\widehat{S}_{W, m}$ ). They allow us to define phase-space metaplectic operators $\widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ in the following way: if $\operatorname{det}(S-I) \neq 0$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \frac{i^{\nu(S)}}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(S-I)|}} \int e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} M_{S} z_{0}^{2}} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) \Psi(z) d^{2 N} z_{0} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

the operators $\widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the metaplectic operators $\widehat{S}$ and thus generate a group which we denote by $M p_{\mathrm{ph}}(N)$ (the "phase space metaplectic group"). In following lemma we give alternative descriptions of the operators (49) in terms of the operators $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ :

Lemma 6 Let $\widehat{S}_{p h} \in M p_{p h}(N)$ have projection $S \in M p(N)$ such that $\operatorname{det}(S-I) \neq 0$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{p h}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{N} i^{\nu(S)} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(S-I)|} \int e^{-\frac{i}{2} S z \wedge z} \widehat{T}_{p h}((S-I) z) d^{2 N} z \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{p h}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{N} i^{\nu(S)} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(S-I)|} \int \widehat{T}_{p h}(S z) \widehat{T}_{p h}(-z) d^{2 N} z \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is mutatis mutandis the proof of Lemma 1 in $\underline{13}^{(3)}$ : we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} J(S+I)(S-I)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2} J+J(S-I)^{-1}
$$

hence, in view of the antisymmetry of $J$,

$$
M_{S} z \cdot z=J(S-I)^{-1} z \cdot z=(S-I)^{-1} z \wedge z
$$

Performing the change of variables $z \longmapsto(S-I) z$ we can rewrite the integral in the right-hand side of (50) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int e^{\frac{i}{2}\left\langle M_{S} z, z\right\rangle} \widehat{T}(z) d^{2 N} z & =\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(S-I)|} \int e^{\frac{i}{2} z \wedge(S-I) z} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}((S-I) z) d^{2 N} z \\
& =\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(S-I)|} \int e^{-\frac{i}{2} S z \wedge z} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}((S-I) z) d^{2 N} z
\end{aligned}
$$

hence (52). Taking into account formula (38) for the product of two metaplectic operators $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right)$ and $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
\widehat{T}((S-I) z)=e^{\frac{i}{2} \sigma S z \wedge z} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(S z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(-z)
$$

and formula (53) follows.

This result will allows us to show in a simple way that the well-known "metaplectic covariance" relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A \circ S}_{\mathrm{Sch}}=\widehat{S}^{-1} \widehat{A}_{\mathrm{Sch}} \widehat{S} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for any $\widehat{S} \in M p(N)$ with projection $S \in S p(N)$ extends to the phasespace Weyl operators $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ provided one replaces $M p(N)$ with $M p_{\mathrm{ph}}(N)$.

Theorem 7 Let $S$ be a symplectic matrix and $\widehat{S}_{p h}$ any of the two operators in $M p_{p h}(N)$ associated with $S$. The following phase-space metaplectic covariance formulae hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{p h} \widehat{T}_{p h}\left(z_{0}\right) \widehat{S}_{p h}^{-1}=\widehat{T}_{p h}(S z) \quad, \widehat{A \circ S}_{p h}=\widehat{S}_{p h}^{-1} \widehat{A}_{p h} \widehat{S}_{p h} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove the first formula (55) it is sufficient to assume that $\operatorname{det}(S-$ $I) \neq 0$ and that $\widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ is thus given by formula (51): since such operators generate $M p_{\mathrm{ph}}(N)$. Let us thus prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(S z_{0}\right) \widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}}=\widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) \text { if } \operatorname{det}(S-I) \neq 0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using either formula (53) in Lemma 6 above and setting

$$
C_{S}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{N} i^{\nu(S)} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(S-I)|}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(S z_{0}\right) \widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}} & =C_{S} \int \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(S z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(S z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(-z) d^{2 N} z \\
\widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) & =C_{S} \int \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(S z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(-z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) d^{2 N} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(z_{0}\right)=\int \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(S z_{0}\right) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(S z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(-z) d^{2 N} z \\
& B\left(z_{0}\right)=\int \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(S z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(-z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) d^{2 N} z
\end{aligned}
$$

we have, by repeated use of (38),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(z_{0}\right)=\int e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} \Phi_{1}\left(z, z_{0}\right)} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(S z_{0}+(S-I) z\right) d^{2 N} z \\
& B\left(z_{0}\right)=\int e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} \Phi_{2}\left(z, z_{0}\right)} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}+(S-I) z\right) d^{2 N} z
\end{aligned}
$$

where the phases $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}\left(z, z_{0}\right)=z_{0} \wedge z-S\left(z+z_{0}\right) \wedge z \\
& \Phi_{2}\left(z, z_{0}\right)=-S z \wedge z+(S-I) z \wedge z_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Performing the change of variables $z^{\prime}=z+z_{0}$ in the integral defining $A\left(z_{0}\right)$ we get

$$
A\left(z_{0}\right)=\int e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} \Phi_{1}\left(z^{\prime}-z_{0}, z_{0}\right)} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}+(S-I) z^{\prime}\right) d^{2 N} z^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{1}\left(z^{\prime}-z_{0}, z_{0}\right) & =z_{0} \wedge\left(z^{\prime}-z_{0}\right)-S z^{\prime} \wedge\left(z^{\prime}-z_{0}\right) \\
& =(S-I) z^{\prime} \wedge z_{0}-S z^{\prime} \wedge z^{\prime} \\
& =\Phi_{2}\left(z^{\prime}, z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence (56). The second formula (55) easily follows from the first: noting that the symplectic Fourier transform (32) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}[A \circ S](z) & =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} z_{0} \wedge z^{\prime}} A\left(S z^{\prime}\right) d^{2 N} z^{\prime} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} S z_{0} \wedge z^{\prime}} A\left(z^{\prime}\right) d^{2 N} z^{\prime} \\
& =\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A(S z)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A \circ S}_{\mathrm{ph}} & =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A(S z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(z) d^{2 N} z \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A(z) \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(S^{-1} z\right) d^{2 N} z \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A(z) \widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}}^{-1} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}(z) \widehat{S}_{\mathrm{ph}} d^{2 N} z
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof.
Remark 8 It can be shown, adapting the proof of a classical result of Shale 23 (see Wong, 29 Chapter 30, for a proof) that the metaplectic covariance formula

$$
\widehat{A \circ S}_{p h}=\widehat{S}_{p h}^{-1} \widehat{A}_{p h} \widehat{S}_{p h}
$$

actually characterizes the phase-space Weyl operators $\widehat{A}_{p h}$. That is, any operator satisfying this relation for all operators $\widehat{S}_{p h} \in M p_{p h}(N)$ is necessarily of the type

$$
\widehat{A}_{p h}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)(z) \widehat{T}_{p h}(z) d^{2 N} z
$$

For example, if $H$ is the Hamiltonian function of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator put in normal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\omega}{2}\left(p^{2}+x^{2}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{ph}}=-\frac{\hbar^{2} \omega}{2} \nabla_{z}^{2}-i \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} z \wedge \nabla_{z}+\frac{\omega}{8}|z|^{2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{z}$ is the gradient operator in $(x, p)$.

## V. Schrödinger Equation in Phase Space

The following consequence of theorem ${ }^{2}$ links standard "configuration space" quantum mechanics to phase-space quantum mechanics via the Wigner wave-packet transform and the extended Heisenberg group studied in the previous sections. For clarity we denote by $\widehat{A}_{\text {Sch }}$ the usual Weyl operator associated by (31) to an observable $A$.

Corollary 9 Let $U_{\phi}, \phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$, be an arbitrary Wigner wave-packet transform.

Theorem 10 (i) If $\psi=\psi(x, t)$ is a solution of the usual Schrödinger's equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=\widehat{H}_{S c h} \psi
$$

then $\Psi=\left(U_{\phi} \psi\right)(z, t)$ is a solution of the phase-space Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\widehat{H}_{p h} \Psi \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Assume that $\Psi$ is a solution of this equation and that $\Psi_{0}=\Psi(\cdot, 0)$ belongs to the range $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ of $U_{\phi}$. Then $\Psi(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ for every $t$ for which $\Psi$ is defined.

Proof. Since time-derivatives obviously commute with $U_{\phi}$ we have, using (42)

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=U_{\phi}\left(\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{Sch}} \psi\right)=\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(U_{\phi} \psi\right)=\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi
$$

hence (i). Statement (ii) follows.
The result above leads to the following interesting questions: since the solutions of the phase-space Schrödinger equation (59) exist independently
of the choice of any isometry $U_{\phi}$, what is the difference in the physical interpretations of the corresponding configuration-space wavefunctions $\psi=$ $U_{\phi}^{*} \Psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}=U_{\phi^{\prime}}^{*} \Psi$ ? The answer is that there is no difference at all provided that $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are not orthogonal:

Theorem 11 Let $\Psi$ be a solution of the phase space Schrödinger equation (59) with initial condition $\Psi_{0}$ and define functions $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ in $L\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ by

$$
\Psi=U_{\phi_{1}} \psi_{1}=U_{\phi_{2}} \psi_{2}
$$

We assume that $\Psi_{0} \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi_{1}} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\phi_{2}}$; hence $\Psi(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi_{1}} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\phi_{2}}$ for all $t$ (Theorem G, (iii)).

Corollary 12 (i) If $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are such that $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \neq 0$ then $\psi_{1}=\lambda \psi_{2}$ where $\lambda=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$; the functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ hence represent the same quantum state;
(ii) If $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=0$ then $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are orthogonal quantum states: $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=0$.

Proof. ( $C f$. the beginning of the proof of Theorem 11). In view of formula (23) we have

$$
\left(\left(U_{\phi_{1}} \psi_{1}, U_{\phi_{2}} \psi_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \overline{\left(\bar{\phi}_{1}, \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)}
$$

that is

$$
\|\|\Psi\|\|^{2}=\lambda\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \quad, \quad \lambda=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)
$$

The theorem follows.
The operators $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ defined by (35) enjoy the same property which makes the main appeal of ordinary Weyl operators, namely that they are selfadjoint if and only if their symbols is real.

Theorem 13 Let $\widehat{A}_{p h}$ and $\widehat{A}_{S c h}$ be the operators associated to a symbol $A$. We assume that the symplectic Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A$ is defined.
(i) The operator $\widehat{A}_{p h}$ is self-adjoint in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ if and only if $A=\bar{A}$;
(ii) Every eigenvalue of $\widehat{A}_{S c h}$ is also an eigenvalue of $\widehat{A}_{p h}$.

Proof. (i) By definition of $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ and $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi(z) & =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\left(z_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z \wedge z_{0}} \Psi\left(z-z_{0}\right) d^{2 N} z_{0} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z \wedge z^{\prime}} \Psi\left(z^{\prime}\right) d^{2 N} z^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the kernel of the operator $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ is

$$
K\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z \wedge z^{\prime}} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)
$$

In view of the standard theory of integral operators $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ is self-adjoint if and only if $K\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\overline{K\left(z^{\prime}, z\right)}$; in view of the antisymmetry of the symplectic product we have

$$
\overline{K\left(z^{\prime}, z\right)}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z \wedge z^{\prime}} \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\left(z^{\prime}-z\right)}
$$

hence our claim since by definition (32) of the symplectic Fourier transform

$$
\overline{\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\left(z^{\prime}-z\right)}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) \wedge z^{\prime \prime}} \overline{A\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)} d^{2 N} z^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \bar{A}\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)
$$

(ii) Assume that $\widehat{A}_{\text {Sch }} \psi=\lambda \psi$; choosing $\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ we have, using the intertwining formula (42),

$$
U_{\phi}\left(\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{Sch}} \psi\right)=\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(U_{\phi} \psi\right)=\lambda U_{\phi} \psi
$$

hence $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$.
Notice that there is no reason for an arbitrary eigenvalue of $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ to be an eigenvalue of $\widehat{A}_{\text {Sch }}$; this is only the case if the corresponding eigenvector belongs to the range of a Wigner wave-packet transform.

There is an interesting application of the theory of the metaplectic group outlined in Section IV to Schrödinger's equation in phase space. Assume that $H$ is a a quadratic Hamiltonian (for instance the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian); the flow determined by the associated Hamilton equations is linear and consists of symplectic matrices $S_{t}$. Letting time vary, thus obtain a curve $t \longmapsto S_{t}$ in the symplectic group $S p(N)$ passing through the identity $I$ at time $t=0$; following general principles to that curve we can associate (in a unique way) a curve $t \longmapsto \widehat{S}_{t}$ of metaplectic operators. Let now $\psi_{0}=\psi_{0}(x)$ be some square integrable function and set $\psi(x, t)=\widehat{S}_{t} \psi_{0}(x)$. Then $\psi$ is just the solution of the standard Schrödinger's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=\widehat{H} \psi \quad, \quad \psi(t=0)=\psi_{0} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated to the quadratic Hamiltonian function $H$. (Equivalently, $\widehat{S}_{t}$ is just the propagator for (60).) This observation allows us to solve explicitly the phase-space Schrödinger equation for any such $H$. Here is how. Since
the wave-packet transform $U$ automatically takes the solution $\psi$ of ( $\sqrt[6 Q]{ }$ ) to a solution of the phase-space Schrödinger equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi
$$

we have

$$
\Psi(z, t)=\left(\widehat{S}_{t}\right)_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi(z, 0) .
$$

Assume now that the symplectic matrix $S_{t}$ is free and $\operatorname{det}\left(S_{t}-I\right) \neq 0$. Then, by (51),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(z, t)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 2} \frac{i^{m(t)-\operatorname{Inert} W_{x x}(t)}}{\sqrt{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(S_{t}-I\right)\right|}} \int e^{\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z_{0}^{T} M_{S}(t) z_{0}} \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) \Psi(z, 0) d^{2 N} z_{0} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(t), W_{x x}(t)$, and $M_{S}(t)$ correspond to $S_{t}$. If $t$ is such that $S_{t}$ is not free, or $\operatorname{det}\left(S_{t}-I\right)=0$, then it suffices to write the propagator $\widehat{S}_{t}$ as the product of two operators (50); note however that such values of $t$ are exceptional, and that the solution (61) can be extended by taking the limit near such $t$ provided that takes some care in calculating the Maslov indices.

Let us illustrate this when $H$ is the harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian function (57). The one-parameter group $\left(S_{t}\right)$ is in this case given by

$$
S_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \omega t & \sin \omega t \\
-\sin \omega t & \cos \omega t
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the Hamilton principal function by

$$
W\left(x, x^{\prime} ; t\right)=\frac{1}{2 \sin \omega t}\left(\left(x^{2}+x^{\prime 2}\right) \cos \omega t-2 x x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$
M_{S}(t)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\sin \omega t}{-2 \cos \omega t+2} & \frac{\sin \omega t}{-2 \cos \omega t+2}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cot \left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right) & 0 \\
0 & \cot \left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(S_{t}-I\right)=2(1-\cos \omega t)=4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right) ;
$$

moreover

$$
W_{x x}(t)=-\tan \left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right) .
$$

Insertion in formula (61) yields the explicit solution
$\Psi(z, t)=\frac{i^{\nu(t)}}{2\left|2 \pi \hbar \sin \left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}} \int \exp \left[\frac{i}{4 \hbar}\left(x_{0}^{2}+p_{0}^{2}\right) \cot \left(\frac{\omega t}{2}\right)\right] \widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right) \Psi(z, 0) d^{2} z_{0}$
with

$$
\nu(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \text { if } 0<t<\frac{\pi}{\omega} \\
-2 \text { if }-\frac{\pi}{\omega}<t<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## VI. Probabilistic Interpretation of $\Psi$

Let us shortly discuss the probabilistic interpretation of the solutions $\Psi$ of the phase-space Schrödinger equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\widehat{H}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi
$$

we will in particular elucidate the role played by $\phi$.
Let $\psi$ be in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$; if $\psi$ is normalized: $\|\psi\|=1$ then so is $\Psi=U_{\phi} \psi$ in view of the Parseval formula (21): $\|\|\Psi\|\|=1$. It follows that $|\Psi|^{2}$ is a probability density in phase space. It turns out that by an appropriate choice of $\phi$ the marginal probabilities can be chosen arbitrarily close to $|\psi|^{2}$ and $|F \psi|^{2}$.

Theorem 14 Let $\psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{x}^{N}\right)$ and set $\Psi=U_{\phi} \psi$.
(i) We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int|\Psi(x, p)|^{2} d^{N} p=\left(|\phi|^{2} *|\psi|^{2}\right)(x)  \tag{62}\\
& \int|\Psi(x, p)|^{2} d^{N} x=\left(|F \phi|^{2} *|F \psi|^{2}\right)(p) \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Let $\langle A\rangle_{\psi}=\left(A_{S c h} \psi, \psi\right)$ be the mathematical expectation of the symbol $A$ in the normalized quantum state $\psi$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle_{\Psi}=\left(\left(A_{p h} \Psi, \Psi\right)\right) \quad, \quad \Psi=U_{\phi} \psi \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have, by definition of $\Psi$,

$$
|\Psi(z)|^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \iint e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right)} \bar{\phi}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(x-x^{\prime \prime}\right) \psi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \bar{\psi}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime} d^{N} x^{\prime \prime}
$$

Since we have, by the Fourier inversion formula,

$$
\int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right)} d^{N} p=(2 \pi \hbar)^{N} \delta\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|\Psi(z)|^{2} d^{N} p & =\iiint \delta\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right)\left|\phi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d^{N} x^{\prime} d^{N} x^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\int\left[\int \delta\left(x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}\right) d^{N} x^{\prime \prime}\right]\left|\phi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d^{N} x^{\prime} \\
& =\int\left|\phi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d^{N} x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence formula (62). To prove (63) we note that in view of the metaplectic covariance formula (48) for the wavepacket transform we have

$$
U_{\widehat{J} \phi}(\widehat{J} \psi)(x, p)=U_{\phi} \psi(-p, x)
$$

where $\widehat{J}=i^{-N / 2} F$ is the modified Fourier transform. It follows that

$$
U_{F \phi}(F \psi)(x, p)=i^{-N} U_{\phi} \psi(-p, x)
$$

and hence changing $(-p, x)$ into $(x, p)$ :

$$
U_{\phi} \psi(x, p)=i^{N} U_{F \phi}(F \psi)(p,-x) .
$$

and hence, using (62),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|\Psi(x, p)|^{2} d^{N} x & =\int\left|U_{F \phi}(F \psi)(p,-x)\right|^{2} d^{N} x \\
& =\int\left|U_{F \phi}(F \psi)(p, x)\right|^{2} d^{N} x \\
& =\left(|F \phi|^{2} *|F \psi|^{2}\right)(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof. To prove (54) it suffices to note that in view of the intertwining formula (42) and the fact that $U_{\phi}^{*}=U_{\phi}^{-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(A_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi, \Psi\right)\right) & =\left(\left(\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}} U_{\phi} \psi, U_{\phi} \psi\right)\right) \\
& =\left(U_{\phi}^{*} \widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}} U_{\phi} \psi, \psi\right) \\
& =\left(\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{Sch}} \psi, \psi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result above shows that the marginal probabilities of $|\Psi|^{2}$ are just the traditional position and momentum probability densities $|\psi|^{2}$ and $|F \psi|^{2}$
"smoothed out" by convoluting them with $|\phi|^{2}$ and $|F \phi|^{2}$ respectively. Assume for instance that we choose for $\phi$ the Gaussian (20):

$$
\phi(x)=\phi_{\hbar}(x)=\left(\frac{1}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 4} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar}|x|^{2}\right) .
$$

The Fourier transform of $\phi$ is identical to $\phi$

$$
F \phi_{\hbar}(p)=\left(\frac{1}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{N / 4} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar}|p|^{2}\right)=\phi_{\hbar}(p)
$$

hence, setting $\Psi_{\hbar}=U_{\phi_{\hbar}} \psi$, and observing that $\left|\phi_{\hbar}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \delta$ when $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\left|\Psi_{\hbar}(x, p)\right|^{2} d^{N} p=\left(|\psi|^{2} *\left|\phi_{\hbar}\right|^{2}\right)(x) \xrightarrow{\hbar \rightarrow 0}|\psi(x)|^{2} \\
& \int\left|\Psi_{\hbar}(x, p)\right|^{2} d^{N} x=\left(|F \psi|^{2} *\left|\phi_{\hbar}\right|^{2}\right)(p) \xrightarrow{\hbar \rightarrow 0}|F \psi(p)|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, in the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ the square of the modulus of the phase-space wavefunction becomes a true joint probability density for the probability densities $|\psi|^{2}$ and $|F \psi|^{2}$.

## VII. Discussion and Remarks

We have exposed some theoretical background justifying the phase-space Schrödinger equation

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(x, p, t)=H\left(\frac{1}{2} x+i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \frac{1}{2} p-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \Psi(x, p, t) .
$$

The aesthetic appeal of this equation is obvious -at least if one likes the Hamiltonian formulation of mechanics. But is this equation useful? While the notion of "usefulness" in Science more than often has a relative and subjective character, one of the main practical appeal of the phase-space Schrödinger equation is that it governs the quantum evolution of both pure and mixed states, while the solutions of the usual Schrödinger equation are, by definition, only pure states. Another of the advantages of the phase-space approach is, as pointed out in, 19 the availability of factorization methods for the Hamiltonian, for instance SUSY. From a practical point of view it could be held against Schrödinger equations in 2 N -dimensional phase space that they are uninteresting because they involve solving a partial differential equation in $2 N+1$ variables instead of $N+1$ as for the ordinary Schrödinger
equation. But this is perhaps a somewhat stingy reservation especially in times where modern computing techniques allow an efficient processing of large strings of independent variables.

We also note that there is simple relation between our phase-space calculus and the Moyal product 20 of two observables. Recall that the twisted convolution $A \star_{\sigma} B$ of two observables $A$ and $B$ is defined by

$$
A \star_{\sigma} B(z)=\int e^{-\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z \wedge z_{0}} A\left(z_{0}\right) B\left(z-z_{0}\right) d^{2 N} z_{0}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\left(A \star_{\sigma} B\right)=\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right) \star_{\sigma} B=A \star_{\sigma}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} B\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition the Moyal product $A \star_{\mathrm{M}} B$ is (up to unessential scaling factors) the symplectic Fourier transform of $A \star_{\sigma} B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \star_{\mathrm{M}} B=\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}\left(A \star_{\sigma} B\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by definition (34) of $\widehat{T}_{\mathrm{ph}}\left(z_{0}\right)$ definition (35) of $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi$ can be written

$$
\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \hbar}\right)^{N} \int\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right)\left(z_{0}\right) e^{-\frac{i}{2 \hbar} z \wedge z_{0}} \Psi\left(z-z_{0}\right) d^{2 N} z_{0}
$$

that is, using successively the definition of $\star_{\sigma}$ and (65)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}} \Psi=\left(\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} A\right) \star_{\sigma} \Psi=A \star_{\mathrm{M}} \Psi \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, making the operator $\widehat{A}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ associated with $A$ act on $\Psi$ amounts to taking the Moyal product of $A$ with the function $\Psi$. In terms of the he Moyal product the phase space Schrödinger equation becomes

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=H \star_{\mathrm{M}} \Psi
$$

It would perhaps be interesting to investigate further this relationship, and to study the theory of the Schrödinger equation in phase space in the context of the deformation quantization of Bayen et al Another topic we haven't taken up in this paper because of lack of space is the Bohmian approach to quantum mechanics, where phase space techniques seem to play an important but not yet fully appreciated role (see the preprint by Hiley ${ }^{14}$ ).

We would like to end this section -and paper!- by discussing a little bit the possible physical interpretation of the phase space Schrödinger equation. Recall that we showed in Theorem 3 that a phase-space Gaussian

$$
\Psi_{G}(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar} G z^{2}\right) \quad, \quad G=G^{T}>0
$$

is in the range of any of the Wigner wave-packet transforms $U_{\phi}$ if and only if $G \in S p(N)$, and that in this case

$$
W \psi(z)=\left|\Psi_{G}(z)\right|^{2}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} G z^{2}\right)
$$

for some (pure) Gaussian state $\psi$. Let us more generally consider Gaussians

$$
\Psi_{M}(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} M z^{2}\right)
$$

where $M$ is a positive-definite symmetric real matrix. One proves that $\Psi_{M}$ is the Wigner transform $W(\hat{\rho})$ of a (usually mixed) quantum state if and only if $M^{-1}+i J$ is positive-definite and Hermitian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M^{-1}+i J\right)^{*}=M^{-1}+i J \geq 0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probabilistic meaning of this condition is the following: defining as usual the covariance matrix of the state $\hat{\rho}$ by

$$
\Sigma=\frac{\hbar}{2} M^{-1}
$$

condition (68) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Sigma+i \frac{\hbar}{2} J\right)^{*}=\Sigma+i \frac{\hbar}{2} J \geq 0 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the uncertainty principle of quantum mechanics ( $\sec 2425$ ; we have also discussed this in 10 ). For instance, when $N=1$ the matrix

$$
\Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta x^{2} & \Delta(x, p) \\
\Delta(x, p) & \Delta p^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

satisfies (69) if and only if

$$
\Delta x^{2} \Delta p^{2} \geq \frac{1}{4} \hbar^{2}+\Delta(x, p)
$$

which is the form of the Heisenberg inequality that should be used as soon as correlations are present, and not the naive text-book inequality $\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{1}{2} \hbar$ !

It turns out that conditions (68)-(69) have a simple topological interpretation: we have shown in previous work of ours 10.11 that they are equivalent to the third condition:

Condition 15 The phase-space ball $B(\sqrt{\hbar}):|z| \leq \hbar$ can be embedded into the "Wigner ellipsoid" $W_{M}: M z^{2} \leq \hbar$ using symplectic transformations (linear or not). Equivalently: the symplectic capacity (or "Gromov width") of $W_{M}$ is at least $\pi \hbar=\frac{1}{2} h$, one half of the quantum of action: $c\left(W_{M}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} h$.

We have discussed in some detail in the aforementioned papers how this result allows a "coarse graining" of phase space by symplectic quantum cells, which we dubbed "quantum blobs". It appears that it is precisely this coarse-graining that prevents Gaussians $\Psi_{M}$ with Wigner ellipsoids smaller than a "quantum blob" to represent a quantum state. Is this to say that if the Wigner ellipsoid of $\Psi_{M}$ has exactly symplectic capacity $\frac{1}{2} h$ then $\Psi_{M}$ is a pure state? No, because such states are characterized by the fact that the associated Wigner ellipsoid is exactly the image of the ball $B(\sqrt{\hbar})$ by a symplectic transformation since they are described by the inequality $G z^{2}=$ $(S z)^{2} \leq \hbar$ in view of Theorem 圂, and there are infinitely many ellipsoids with symplectic capacity $\frac{1}{2} h$ which are not the image of $B(\sqrt{\hbar})$ by a symplectic transformation. However, we have proven in 11 that if the ellipsoid $W_{M}$ : $M z^{2} \leq \hbar$ has symplectic capacity $\frac{1}{2} h$ then one can associate to $W_{M}$ a unique pure Gaussian state. The argument goes as follows: if $c\left(W_{M}\right)=\frac{1}{2} h$ then if $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ in $S p(N)$ are such that

$$
S(B(\sqrt{\hbar})) \subset W_{M}, S^{\prime}(B(\sqrt{\hbar})) \subset W_{M}
$$

then there exists $R \in U(N)=S p(N) \cap O(2 N)$ such that $S=R S^{\prime}$ (the proof of this property is not entirely trivial) and hence $S^{T} S=\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{T} S^{\prime}$. It follows that the ellipsoid $W_{G}: G z^{2} \leq \hbar, G=S^{T} S$, is uniquely determined by $W_{M}$ and that the pure Gaussian state corresponding to

$$
\Psi_{G}(z)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \hbar} G z^{2}\right)
$$

is canonically associated to the mixed state $\Psi_{M}$, which does not in general belong to the range of any Wigner wave-packet transform $U_{\phi}$. It would be interesting to generalize this result to arbitrary functions $\Psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{z}^{2 N}\right)$ by defining, in analogy with the Gaussian case, a "Wigner set" $W_{\Psi}$ associated with $\Psi$. One could then perhaps prove that $\Psi$ represents an arbitrary (mixed) quantum state provided that $W_{\Psi}$ has a symplectic capacity at least $\frac{1}{2} h$. But enough is enough! We hope to come back to these possibilities in the future.
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