

A new proof of James' sup theorem

Marianne Morillon

▶ To cite this version:

Marianne Morillon. A new proof of James' sup theorem. 2005. hal-00004892

HAL Id: hal-00004892

https://hal.science/hal-00004892

Preprint submitted on 10 May 2005

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A NEW PROOF OF JAMES' SUP THEOREM

MARIANNE MORILLON

ABSTRACT. We provide a new proof of James' sup theorem for (non necessarily separable) Banach spaces. One of the ingredients is the following generalization of a theorem of Hagler and Johnson ([5]): "If a normed space E does not contain any asymptotically isometric copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, then every bounded sequence of E' has a normalized block sequence pointwise converging to 0".



EQUIPE RÉUNIONNAISE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE THÉORIQUE

http://univ-reunion.fr/~dirermit

Preprint 7 janvier 2005

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B; Secondary 03E25. Key words and phrases. James' sup theorem, Hagler and Johnson's theorem, block sequences, reflexive Banach spaces, Axiom of Choice.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **Introduction.** Given a normed space E, we denote by Γ_E its closed unit ball, by E' the continuous dual of E (endowed with its dual norm), and by E'' the second continuous dual of E. Say that the normed space E is onto-reflexive if the canonical mapping $j_E : E \to E''$ is onto. Say that E is J-reflexive (James-reflexive) if Γ_E does not contain any sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} distance(span\{a_i : i < n\}, conv\{a_i : i \ge n\}) > 0$$

Say that E is sup-reflexive if every $f \in E'$ attains its upper bound on Γ_E . It is known that, with the Axiom of Choice, for a given Banach space the following notions are equivalent: onto-reflexivity, weak compactness of the closed unit ball, J-reflexivity, sup-reflexivity, Eberlein-Smulyan property ("Every bounded sequence has a weakly convergent subsequence") ... Both implications "J-reflexivity \Rightarrow onto-reflexivity" and "sup-reflexivity \Rightarrow J-reflexivity" are due to James; the first implication has a recent short proof (see [14]), but classical proofs of the "reflexivity" of sup-reflexive Banach spaces (James' sup theorem) are rather intricate (see [9], [10], [16]). There exist simpler proofs of this theorem under some restrictions: for example, there is a short proof, relying on Simons' inequality, that separable sup-reflexive Banach spaces are onto-reflexive (see [4]). In this paper, we provide a new proof of James' sup theorem.

1.2. **Presentation of the results.** We work in Zermelo-Fraenkel set-theory without choice **ZF**, and expressly mention the two (weak) forms of the Axiom of Choice (**AC**) that are used in our proofs, namely: the axiom of *Hahn-Banach* (**HB**) and the axiom of *Dependent Choices* (**DC**) (see Section 5).

Given a real vector space E and a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of E, a sequence $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of E is a block sequence of $(x_n)_n$ if there exists a sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint finite subsets of \mathbb{N} and a sequence $(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers such that for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $b_n=\sum_{i\in F_n}\lambda_i x_i$; if for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i\in F_n}|\lambda_i|=1$, the block sequence $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be normalized; if, in addition, for every $i\in\cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}F_n$, $\lambda_i\geq 0$, say that the block sequence is convex: thus, every infinite subsequence is a convex block sequence. The sequence $(F_n)_n$ is called a sequence of supports of the block sequence $(b_n)_n$. Say that a topological space is sequentially compact if every sequence of this space has an infinite subsequence which converges. Say that a subset C of a topological vector space E is block compact if every sequence of E has a normalized block sequence E is block compact if every sequence of E has a normalized block sequence E is block sequence of E has a converges to 0). Say that E is convex block compact if every sequence of E has a convex block sequence which converges in E.

Using Simons' inequality, we begin by proving in **ZF+HB** that every sup-reflexive normed space with a *weak convex block compact dual ball is

J-reflexive (Corollary 2-1 of Section 2.1); in particular, this yields a new proof (indeed in **ZF**) of the *J-reflexivity* of sup-reflexive separable normed spaces (Corollary 2-2). Then, in Section 2.2, using Simons' inequality and Rosenthal's ℓ^1 -theorem, we prove the following "generalization":

Theorem 1 (HB). Given a sup-reflexive normed space E, if $\Gamma_{E'}$ is *weak block compact, then E is J-reflexive.

We then prove (Section 3):

Theorem 2 (**DC**). If a normed space E does not contain any asymptotically isometric copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, then, $\Gamma_{E'}$ is *weak block compact.

Here, say that E contains an asymptotically isometric copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ if there exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ_E and some sequence $(\delta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of]0,1[converging to 1 satisfying the following inequality for every finite sequence $(\lambda_i)_{0\leq i\leq n}$ of \mathbb{R} :

$$\sum_{0 \le i \le n} \delta_i |\lambda_i| \le \left\| \sum_{0 \le i \le n} \lambda_i a_i \right\|$$

Theorem 2 generalizes a result due to Hagler and Johnson ([5], 1977), where the normed space contains no *isomorphic* copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$.

We finally prove (Section 4):

Theorem 3 (HB). A sup-reflexive normed space does not contain any asymptotically isometric copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$.

This result is a straightforward generalization of a short theorem due to James (see [8, Theorem 2 p. 209]).

Thus we get the following new proof of James' sup theorem:

Corollary 1 (HB+DC). Every sup-reflexive normed space is J-reflexive.

Proof. Let E be a sup-reflexive normed space. According to Theorem 3, the space E does not contain any asymptotically isometric copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$; so, with Theorem 2, $\Gamma_{E'}$ is *weak block compact; whence, by Theorem 1, E is J-reflexive.

1.3. Questions. Given a normed space E, obviously,

 $\Gamma_{E'}$ *weak sequentially compact \Rightarrow

 $\Gamma_{E'}$ *weak convex block compact $\Rightarrow \Gamma_{E'}$ *weak block compact

The first implication is not reversible in **ZFC** since there exists a Banach space E such that $\Gamma_{E'}$ endowed with the *weak topology is convex block compact and not sequentially compact (see [6]): notice that the construction of the space built there depends on a well-order on \mathbb{R} .

Question 1. Does there exist in **ZF** a Banach space E such that $\Gamma_{E'}$ is *weak convex block compact and not *weak sequentially compact?

Question 2. Does there exist (in **ZF** or **ZFC**) a Banach space E such that $\Gamma_{E'}$ is *weak block compact and not *weak convex block compact?

Question 3. According to a theorem of Valdivia (see [18]) for locally convex spaces, who refers to Bourgain and Diestel (see [2]) for Banach spaces, themselves referring to Bourgain-Fremlin-Talagrand (see [3]), **DC** implies that "the dual ball of a normed space not containing any isomorphic copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ is *weak convex block compact". Does this result persist (in **ZF** or **ZFC**) for normed spaces which do not contain asymptotically isometric copies of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$?

2. Spaces with a *weak block compact dual ball

2.1. Spaces with a *weak convex block compact dual ball.

Theorem (Simons' inequality [17]). Let S be a set and $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence of $\ell^{\infty}(S)$. Denote by Λ the set of sequences $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n = 1$. Assume that for every $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda$, the infinite convex combination $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n x_n$ attains its upper bound on S. Then,

$$\inf \{ \sup_{S} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n x_n : (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Lambda \} \le \sup_{S} \limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n$$

Notice that the proof given in [17] (see also [13]) is choiceless: use convex combinations with finite supports and rational coefficients.

Given a normed space E, and some real number $\vartheta>0$, say that a sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of E is a ϑ -sequence if $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}d(span\{a_i:i< n\},conv\{a_i:i\geq n\})\geq \vartheta$. Given a ϑ -sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ_E , and denoting by V the vector space $span(\{a_n:n\in\mathbb{N}\})$, there is a sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\Gamma_{V'}$ satisfying $f_n(a_i)=0$ if i< n and $f_n(a_i)\geq \vartheta$ if $n\leq i$: in this case, say that $(a_n,f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a ϑ -triangular sequence of E. Using **HB** (or rather its "multiple form", see Section 5), there exists a sequence $(\tilde{f}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\Gamma_{E'}$ such that each \tilde{f}_n extends f_n : in this case, say that $(a_n,f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an extended triangular sequence of E. Thus, a normed space E is J-reflexive if and only if it has no ϑ -triangular sequence for any $\vartheta>0$.

Lemma 1. Given a sup-reflexive space E, some $\vartheta > 0$, and some extended ϑ -triangular sequence $(a_n, f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of E, no convex block sequence of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ pointwise converges.

Proof. Seeking a contradiction, assume that some convex block sequence $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ pointwise converges to some f. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the sequence of supports $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $F_0 < F_1 < \cdots < F_n < \cdots$. Observe that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(a_n) = 0$. Then denoting by h_n the mapping $\frac{b_n - f}{2}$, and by d_n the last element of F_n , the sequence $(a_{d_n}, h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is $\frac{\vartheta}{2}$ -triangular. Using Simons' inequality and the assumption of sup-reflexivity of E, there exists some finite convex combination g :=

 $\sum_{n\in F} \lambda_n h_n$ of $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\sup_{\Gamma_E} g \leq \frac{\vartheta}{4}$; but for any integer $N > \max F$, $g(a_N) = \sum_{i\in F} \lambda_i h_i(a_N) \geq \frac{\vartheta}{2}$: contradiction!

Corollary 2. Let E be a sup-reflexive normed space.

- (1) If $\Gamma_{E'}$ is *weak convex block compact, then E has no extended triangular sequence, in which case, with **HB**, E is J-reflexive.
- (2) If E is separable, then E is J-reflexive.

Proof. Point 1. reformulates Lemma 1. For Point 2: if E is separable, its dual ball, which is homeomorphic with a closed subset of $[-1,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$, is *weak sequentially compact; so with Point 1, E has no extended triangular sequence. Besides the "multiple" version of **HB** is provable in **ZF** for separable normed spaces, hence E has no triangular sequences either, whence it is J-reflexive.

Remark 1. Using Valdivia's theorem (see Question 3), it follows from **DC** that Sup-reflexive spaces not containing isomorphic copies of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ do not have extended triangular sequences.

2.2. Spaces with *weak block compact dual ball. Say that a bounded sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of a normed space E is equivalent to the canonical basis of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ if there exists some real number M>0 satisfying $M\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}|\lambda_n|\leq \|\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_n f_n\|$ for every $(\lambda_n)_n\in\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$: if in addition, $\forall n\in\mathbb{N}$ $\|f_n\|\leq 1$, say that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is M-equivalent to the canonical basis of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$.

Theorem (Rosenthal's ℓ^1 -theorem). Given a set X and a bounded sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\ell^{\infty}(X)$, there exists a subsequence of $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which pointwise converges, or there exists a subsequence which is equivalent to the canonical basis of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$.

Rosenthal's Theorem is a choiceless consequence (see Kechris, [11, p.135-136]) of the following choiceless result (see for example Avigad, [1], 1996):

Theorem (Cohen, Ehrenfeucht, Galvin (1967)). Every open subset of $[\mathbb{N}]^{\mathbb{N}}$ (the set of infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} endowed with the product topology) is Ramsey.

Proof of Theorem 1. Seeking a contradiction, assume that some sup-reflexive normed space E is not J-reflexive, though $\Gamma_{E'}$ is *weak block compact. Non J-reflexivity of E yields some ϑ -triangular sequence $(a_n, f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\vartheta > 0$. Using **HB**, extend each f_n to some $\tilde{f}_n \in \Gamma_{E'}$. Then, with Lemma 1, no infinite subsequence of $(\tilde{f}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ pointwise converges, so, using Rosenthal's ℓ^1 -theorem, there exists some infinite subsequence $(\tilde{f}_n)_{n \in A}$ and some M > 0 such that the bounded sequence $(\tilde{f}_n)_{n \in A}$ is M-equivalent to the canonical basis of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$. Now, by *weak block compactness of $\Gamma_{E'}$, $(\tilde{f}_n)_{n \in A}$ has a normalized block sequence $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *weakly converging to 0. Using Simons'

inequality, there exists some finite convex combination $g:=\sum_{i\in F}\lambda_ib_i$ of $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|g\|=\sup_{\Gamma_E}g\leq \frac{M}{2}$; but, since the block sequence $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is normalized, it is also M-equivalent to the canonical basis of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, hence $\|g\|\geq M\sum_{i\in F}|\lambda_i|=M$: the contradiction!

3. Extension of a theorem by Hagler and Johnson

Notation 1 ([5]). If $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a normalized block sequence of a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of a real vector space, we write $(b_n)_n \prec (x_n)_n$.

Given a set X, for every bounded sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\ell^{\infty}(X)$, and every subset K of X, let

$$\delta_K(f_n)_n := \sup_K \limsup f_n$$

$$\varepsilon_K(f_n)_n := \inf \{ \delta_K(h_n)_n : (h_n)_n \prec (f_n)_n \}$$

Remark 2. If for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n[K] = f_n[-K]$, then $\delta_K(f_n)_n = 0$ if and only if $(f_n)_n$ pointwise converges to 0 on K. Observe that if for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $(h_n)_{n\geq n_0}$ is a normalized block sequence of $(f_n)_n$, then $\delta_K(h_n) \leq \delta_K(f_n)$ and $\varepsilon_K(f_n)_n \leq \varepsilon_K(h_n)$.

Given a metric space (X, d), for every $x \in X$ and every real number r > 0 we denote by B(x, r) the open ball $\{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$.

Lemma 2 (quantifier permuting). Let (K,d) be a precompact metric space, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of λ -Lipschitz real mappings on K. If $\delta_K(f_n)_n \leq 1$ then, for every $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\forall n \geq N \ sup_K f_n \leq 1 + \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in]0, \varepsilon[$. Given some $x \in K$, there exists some finite subset F_x of \mathbb{N} satisfying $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash F_x$ $f_n(x) < 1 + \eta$; thus, denoting by ρ the positive number $\frac{\varepsilon - \eta}{\lambda}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash F_x$, for every $y \in B(x, \rho)$, $f_n(y) < 1 + \varepsilon$. Now the precompact set K is contained in a finite union of the form $\bigcup_{1 \le i \le N} B(x_k, \rho)$. Let F be the finite set $\bigcup_{1 \le k \le n} F_{x_k}$. Then, for every $y \in K$, given $k \in \{1..n\}$ such that $d(x_k, y) < \rho$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N} \backslash F$, $f_i(y) < f_i(x_k) + \lambda \rho \le 1 + \eta + (\varepsilon - \eta) = 1 + \varepsilon$.

Lemma 3 ([5, proof of Theorem 1]). Given a set X and a bounded sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\ell^{\infty}(X)$, there exists a normalized block sequence $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(f_n)_n$ such that $\varepsilon_X(b_n)_n = \delta_X(b_n)_n$.

Proof. Diagonalization. Choose some normalized block sequence $(h_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(f_n)_n$ such that $\delta_X(h_n^0)_n \leq \varepsilon_X(f_n)_n + \frac{1}{2^0}$, and then, for every $i\in\mathbb{N}$, inductively choose some normalized block sequence $(h_n^{i+1})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $(h_n^i)_n$ such that $\delta_X(h_n^{i+1})_n \leq \varepsilon_X(h_n^i)_n + \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}$. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $b_n:=h_n^n$: then $(b_n)_n \prec (f_n)_n$; moreover, given a normalized block sequence $(k_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of

$$(b_n)_n$$
, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta_X(b_n)_n \leq \delta_X(h_n^{i+1})_n \leq \varepsilon_X(h_n^i)_n + \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} \leq \delta_X(k_n)_n + \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}$ whence $\delta_X(b_n)_n \leq \varepsilon_X(b_n)_n$.

Lemma 3 is valid in **ZF**: no choice is needed here since block can be built with rational coefficients.

Notation 2. For every integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by S_n the set $\{0,1\}^n$ of finite sequences of $\{0,1\}$ with length n; let S be the set of all finite sequences of $\{0,1\}$. Given an infinite subset A of \mathbb{N} , we denote by $i \mapsto i_A$ the increasing mapping from \mathbb{N} onto A.

Say that a family $(A_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}}$ of infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} is a *tree* (of subsets of \mathbb{N}) if for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$, $A_{\sigma \frown 0}$ and $A_{\sigma \frown 1}$ are disjoint subsets of A_{σ} .

Proof of Theorem 2. We essentially follow the proof of Hagler and Johnson, extending it with the help of Lemma 2. Assuming that E is a normed space, and that $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence of E' without any normalized block sequence pointwise converging to 0, we are to show that E contains an asymptotically isometric copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$. Using Lemma 3, the sequence $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a normalized block sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_E}(f_n)_n = \delta_{\Gamma_E}(f_n)_n > 0$. Dividing each f_n by $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_E}(f_n)_n$, we may assume that $\varepsilon_{\Gamma_E}(f_n)_n = \delta_{\Gamma_E}(f_n)_n = 1$. Let $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of]0,1/3[decreasing to 0; for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $\varepsilon_n := \frac{u_n}{2^n}$ and let $\delta_n := 1 - \varepsilon_n$; thus $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ also decreases to 0. Using \mathbf{DC} , we will build a tree $(A_\sigma)_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}}$ rooted at $A_\varnothing := \mathbb{N}$, and a sequence $(\omega_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of Γ_E satisfying for every $n\geq 1$, $\sigma = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{S}_n$, and $i\in A_\sigma$,

$$||f_i| \operatorname{span}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}|| \le 1 + \varepsilon_n \text{ and } \begin{cases} f_i(\omega_n) \ge 1 - 3u_n & \text{if } \alpha_n = 1\\ f_i(\omega_n) \le -1 + 3u_n & \text{if } \alpha_n = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, with

$$P_n := \{ f \in E' : f(\omega_n) \ge 1 - 3u_n \text{ and } ||f| \operatorname{span}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}|| \le 1 + \varepsilon_n \}$$
$$Q_n := \{ f \in E' : f(\omega_n) \le -1 + 3u_n \text{ and } ||f| \operatorname{span}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}|| \le 1 + \varepsilon_n \}$$

it will follow that $(P_n, Q_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is *independent* (for every disjoint finite subsets F, G of $\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$, $\bigcap_{n\in F} P_n \cap \bigcap_{n\in G} Q_n$ is non-empty), whence the sequence $(\omega_n)_{n\geq 1}$ of Γ_E is asymptotically isometric to the canonical basis of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$: indeed, given real numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, letting $f \in \bigcap_{\{i:\lambda_i>0\}} P_i \cap \bigcap_{\{i:\lambda_i<0\}} Q_i$,

$$||f| \operatorname{span}\{\omega_{1}, \dots, \omega_{n}\}| \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_{i} \omega_{i} \right\| \geq f\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_{i} \omega_{i}\right)$$
$$\geq \left(\sum_{\{i:\lambda_{i}>0\}} \lambda_{i} (1 - 3u_{i}) + \sum_{\{i:\lambda_{i}<0\}} \lambda_{i} (-1 + 3u_{i})\right) \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_{i}| (1 - 3u_{i})$$

whence $\left\|\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}\lambda_i\omega_i\right\| \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_n}\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}|\lambda_i|(1-3u_i) \geq \sum_{1\leq i\leq n}|\lambda_i|\frac{1-3u_i}{1+\varepsilon_i}$, with the sequence $(\frac{1-3u_i}{1+\varepsilon_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of]0,1[converging to 1.

Building ω_{n+1} and $(A_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}}$ from $(A_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n}$ and $(\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Given, for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, two infinite disjoint subsets L_{σ} and R_{σ} of A_{σ} , consider the normalized block sequence $(h_i^n)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $h_i^n := \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \frac{f_{i_{R\sigma}} - f_{i_{L\sigma}}}{2}$. Since $\delta_{\Gamma_E}(h_i^n)_i \geq 1$, there is some $\omega_{n+1} \in \Gamma_E$ satisfying $\limsup_i h_i^n(\omega_{n+1}) > \delta_{n+1}$ and in particular, the set $J := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : h_i^n(\omega_{n+1}) > \delta_{n+1}\}$ is infinite. Since the closed unit ball K of the finite dimensional space $span\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n+1}\}$ is compact and $\delta_K(f_i)_i \leq \delta_{\Gamma_E}(f_i)_i \leq 1$, Lemma 2 implies the existence of some $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\forall i \geq N_0 \mid |f_i| \mid span\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n+1}\} \mid \leq 1 + \varepsilon_{n+1}$. Let $J' := \{i \in J : i \geq N_0\}$. Now, given any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$, for every $i \in J'$, notice that, below, $i_{R_{\tau}}, i_{L_{\tau}} \geq i \geq N_0$:

$$\frac{f_{i_{R_{\sigma}}}(\omega_{n+1}) - f_{i_{L_{\sigma}}}(\omega_{n+1})}{2} = 2^{n} h_{i}^{n}(\omega_{n+1}) - \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{n}, \tau \neq \sigma} \frac{f_{i_{R_{\tau}}}(\omega_{n+1}) - f_{i_{L_{\tau}}}(\omega_{n+1})}{2}$$

$$\geq 2^{n} \delta_{n+1} - (2^{n} - 1)(1 + \varepsilon_{n+1})$$

$$= 2^{n} (1 - \varepsilon_{n+1}) - (2^{n} - 1)(1 + \varepsilon_{n+1})$$

$$= 1 - (2^{n+1} - 1)\varepsilon_{n+1} \geq 1 - 2^{n+1}\varepsilon_{n+1} = 1 - u_{n+1}$$

whence

$$f_{i_{R_{\sigma}}}(\omega_{n+1}) \ge 2(1 - u_{n+1}) + f_{i_{L_{\sigma}}}(\omega_{n+1})$$

$$\ge 2(1 - u_{n+1}) - (1 + \varepsilon_{n+1})$$

$$= 1 - u_{n+1}(2 + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}) \ge 1 - 3u_{n+1}$$

likewise, $f_{i_{L_{\sigma}}}(\omega_{n+1}) \leq -1 + 3u_{n+1}$; then, let $A_{\sigma \frown 0} := \{i_{L_{\sigma}} : i \in J'\}$, and $A_{\sigma \frown 1} := \{i_{R_{\sigma}} : i \in J'\}$.

4. No asymptotically isometric copy of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ in sup-reflexive spaces

Notice that any asymptotically isometric copy $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ in a normed space E is linearly independent (indeed, it is a Schauder sequence of E).

Proof of Theorem 3. Assume the existence of some sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Γ_E , asymptotically isometric with the canonical basis of $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, witnessed by a sequence of coefficients $(\delta_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of]0,1] converging to 1. Let $V:=span\{a_n:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, consider the linear mapping $g_n:V\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $g_n(a_i)=-\delta_i$ if i< n and $g_n(a_i)=\delta_i$ if $n\leq i$; then, for every $(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathbb{R}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ $(\lambda_i=0$ for all but finitely many i's), $|g_n(\sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j a_j)|=|\sum_{j< n}-\lambda_j\delta_j+\sum_{j\geq n}\lambda_j\delta_j|\leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|\delta_j\leq \left\|\sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j a_j\right\|$ whence g_n is continuous and $\|g_n\|\leq 1$; besides for every integer $i\geq n$, $g_n(a_i)=\delta_i$, whence

 $\lim_{i\to +\infty} g_n(a_i) = 1$; so $\|g_n\| = 1$. Using **HB**, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, extend g_n to some $\tilde{g}_n \in S_{E'}$. Let W be the vector subspace of elements $x \in E$ such that $(\tilde{g}_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges. Then the linear mapping $g := \lim_n \tilde{g}_n$ is continuous with norm ≤ 1 on W; extend it to some element $\tilde{g} \in \Gamma_{E'}$. Now consider some sequence $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of]0,1[such that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i = 1$ and let $h := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k \tilde{g}_k - \tilde{g}$. Clearly, $\|h\| \leq 2$. Besides, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $h(a_n) = \sum_{k \leq n} \alpha_k \delta_n - \sum_{k > n} \alpha_k \delta_n + \delta_n = 2\delta_n \sum_{k \leq n} \alpha_k$, thus $\lim_n h(a_n) = 2$. So $\|h\| = 2$. By sup-reflexivity of E, let $u \in \Gamma_E$ such that h(u) = 2. Observe that $\tilde{g}(u) = -1$, and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{g}_k(u) = 1$ (notice that for each k, $\alpha_k \neq 0$); now $u \in W$ and $g(u) = \lim_k \tilde{g}_k(u) = 1$, contradicting $\tilde{g}(u) = -1$!

5. Comments in set-theory without choice **ZF**

Recall the Axiom of Choice:

(AC). Given a family $(A_i)_{i\in I}$ of non-empty sets, there exists a mapping $f: I \to \bigcup_{i\in I} A_i$ satisfying $f(i) \in A_i$ for every $i \in I$.

and the two following weak forms of the Axiom of Choice:

- (DC). (Dependent Choices) Given a non-empty set E and a binary relation $R \subseteq E \times E$ satisfying $\forall x \in E \exists y \in E \ xRy$, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of E satisfying $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}x_nRx_{n+1}$.
- **(HB)**. **(Hahn-Banach)** Given a real vector space E, a sublinear mapping $p: E \to \mathbb{R}$, a vector subspace F of E and a linear mapping $f: F \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f \leq p \upharpoonright F$, there exists a linear mapping $\tilde{f}: E \to \mathbb{R}$ extending f and satisfying $\tilde{f} \leq p$.

It is known (see [7]) that **ZF+DC** does not prove **HB**, that **ZF+HB** does not prove **DC**, and that **ZF+HB+DC** does not prove **AC**. The axiom **HB** is known to be equivalent to its multiple form (see [7]): Given a family $(E_i)_{i\in I}$ of real vector spaces, a family $(p_i)_{i\in I}$ of sublinear mappings $p_i: E_i \to \mathbb{R}$, a family $(F_i)_{i\in I}$ of vector subspaces $F_i \subseteq E_i$ and a family $(f_i)_{i\in I}$ of linear mappings $f_i: F_i \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f_i \leq p_i \upharpoonright F_i$ for every $i \in I$, there exists a family $(\tilde{f}_i)_{i\in I}$ of linear mappings $\tilde{f}_i: E_i \to \mathbb{R}$ extending f_i and satisfying $\tilde{f}_i \leq p_i$ for every $i \in I$.

Question 4. All notions of reflexivity we reviewed till now (J-reflexivity, onto-reflexivity, convex-reflexivity, compact-reflexivity, Smulian-reflexivity, sup-reflexivity, ...-see [12]-) are equivalent in $\mathbf{ZF}+\mathbf{DC}+\mathbf{HB}$. Is there some "classical" notion of reflexivity which is not equivalent to "sup-reflexivity" in $\mathbf{ZF}+\mathbf{DC}+\mathbf{HB}$?

Question 5. In **ZF** (see Corollary 2 of Section 2.1), separable sup-reflexive Banach spaces are J-reflexive. More generally, all notions of reflexivity for *separable* Banach spaces we reviewed till now are equivalent in **ZF** either to

onto-reflexivity or to J-reflexivity, the former being weaker than the latter, and these two notions being not equivalent since there exists a model of $\mathbf{ZF}+\mathbf{DC}$ (see [15]) where the continuous dual of $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$ is $\ell^{1}(\mathbb{N})$: in such a model, the (non J-reflexive, separable) Banach space $\ell^{1}(\mathbb{N})$ is onto-reflexive. Is there some "classical" notion of reflexivity which, for separable Banach spaces, is equivalent in \mathbf{ZF} , neither to J-reflexivity nor to onto-reflexivity?

References

- [1] Avigad. A new proof that open sets are Ramsey. Arch. Math. Logic, 37:235-240, 1998.
- [2] Bourgain and Diestel. Limited operators and strict cosingularity. Math. Nachrichten, 119:55-58, 1984.
- [3] Bourgain, Fremlin, Talagrand. Pointwise compact sets of Baire-measurable functions. Amer. J. Math., 100:845–886, 1978.
- [4] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, and V. Zizler. Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces., volume 64. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1993.
- [5] Hagler, J. and Johnson, W.B. On Banach spaces whose dual balls are not weak* sequentially compact. *Isr. J. Math.*, 28(4):325–330, 1977.
- [6] Hagler, J. and Odell, J. A Banach space not containing ℓ^1 whose dual ball is not weak* sequentially compact. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 22(2):290–294, 1978.
- [7] P. Howard and J. E. Rubin. Consequences of the Axiom of Choice., volume 59. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [8] James, R.C. Characterizations of reflexivity. Stud. Math., 23:205–216, 1964.
- [9] James, R.C. Weak compactness and reflexivity. Isr. J. Math., 2:101–119, 1964.
- [10] James, R.C. Reflexivity and the sup of linear functionals. Isr. J. Math., 13:289–300, 1972.
- [11] A. S. Kechris. Classical descriptive set theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, GTM 156 edition, 1994.
- [12] M. Morillon. James sequences and Dependent Choices. Math. Log. Quart., 51(2), 2005.
- [13] E. Oja. A proof of the Simons inequality. Acta et Commentationes Universitatis Tartuensis de Mathematica, 2:27–28, 1998.
- [14] E. Oja. A short proof of a characterization of reflexivity of James. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 126(8):2507–2508, 1998.
- [15] D. Pincus and R. M. Solovay. Definability of measures and ultrafilters. J. Symb. Log., 42:179–190, 1977.
- [16] Pryce. Weak compactness in locally convex spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17:148– 155, 1966.
- [17] S. Simons. A convergence theorem with boundary. Pacific J. Math., 40:703–721, 1972.
- [18] Valdivia. Fréchet spaces whith no subspaces isomorphic to ℓ^1 . Math. Japon., 38:397–411, 1993.

ERMIT, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE LA RÉUNION, 15 AVENUE RENÉ CASSIN - BP 7151 - 97715 SAINT-DENIS MESSAG. CEDEX 9 FRANCE

E-mail address, Marianne Morillon: mar@univ-reunion.fr URL: http://univ-reunion.fr/ \sim mar