



**HAL**  
open science

# Geometric lifting of the canonical basis and semitoric degenerations of Richardson varieties

Sophie Morier-Genoud

► **To cite this version:**

Sophie Morier-Genoud. Geometric lifting of the canonical basis and semitoric degenerations of Richardson varieties. 2005. hal-00004804v1

**HAL Id: hal-00004804**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00004804v1>**

Preprint submitted on 26 Apr 2005 (v1), last revised 19 Oct 2005 (v3)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# GEOMETRIC LIFTING OF THE CANONICAL BASIS AND SEMITORIC DEGENERATIONS OF RICHARDSON VARIETIES

SOPHIE MORIER-GENOUD

ABSTRACT. In the  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  case, A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky studied the Schützenberger involution in terms of Lusztig’s canonical basis, [3]. We generalize their construction and formulas for any semisimple Lie algebra. We use for this the geometric lifting of the canonical basis, on which an analogue of the Schützenberger involution can be given. As an application, we construct semitoric degenerations of Richardson varieties, following a method of P. Caldero, [6].

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a semisimple simply connected complex Lie group. Fix opposite Borel subgroups  $B$  and  $B^-$  of  $G$ . In this paper we consider subvarieties of the flag variety  $G/B$  known as Richardson varieties. They first appear in [16]. Our problem is to construct toric or semitoric degenerations of these varieties. Such constructions have already been done in the special cases of the flag variety and the Schubert varieties, see [10], [8] and [5]. Our approach consists to extend the method introduced by [5]. Let us mention that the method of [5] was recently extended for the degenerations of spherical varieties, see [1].

A Richardson variety  $X_w^\tau$  is the intersection of a Schubert variety  $X_w := \overline{BwB}/B$  and an opposite Schubert variety  $X^\tau := \overline{B^- \tau B}/B$ , where  $w$  and  $\tau$  are elements in the Weyl group  $W$  of  $G$ . The opposite Schubert variety  $X^\tau$  is the image of a Schubert variety under the action of the longest element  $w_0$  of  $W$ . This element plays an important role in our study.

An important tool in our work is the canonical/global basis of Lusztig and Kashiwara. This basis  $\mathcal{B}$  lies in the negative nilpotent part of the enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ , and has remarkable compatibility properties with the simple  $G$ -modules of highest weight. This provides good bases to study the homogenous coordinate algebras  $R_w^\tau$  of the varieties  $X_w^\tau$ .

The first step is to understand the action of  $w_0$  on the  $G$ -modules. By a result of Lusztig, we know that  $w_0$  acts by a permutation on the elements of the bases of the  $G$ -modules induced by  $\mathcal{B}$ . To have explicit results we use a combinatorics of  $\mathcal{B}$ , given in terms of string parametrization and Lusztig parametrization. These parametrizations depend on a choice of a reduced decomposition of  $w_0$ . In the case where  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , for a convenient choice of the decomposition of  $w_0$ , this combinatorics is the same as the combinatorics of Young tableaux. In this case, the action of  $w_0$  is given by the involution of Schützenberger described on the tableaux in [17], and we have explicit formulas. This was done in [3]. We generalize these results to any group  $G$  and to any choice of a reduced decomposition. A part of our results was already announced in [15], and applied in [7].

The generalized Schützenberger involution is understood via the geometric lifting, i.e a geometric version of the canonical basis which gives a combinatorics of totally positive subvarieties in  $G$ . The formulas in the geometric version can be

easily computed. These formulas are closely related to similar formulas in the algebraic version by a "tropicalization" application. We strongly use the results of [2] and [4].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 provides a construction of the canonical basis and their parametrizations. It also recalls the compatibility property with the simple highest weight  $G$ -modules. In Section 2, we define the action of  $w_0$  on the modules and we give its geometric analogue. We obtain explicit formulas in terms of parametrizations of the canonical basis. In Section 3, we recall the constructions of degenerations of the flag variety and the Schubert varieties due to [5]. We then construct semitoric degenerations of the Richardson varieties.

I wish to thank P.Caldero for introducing me the statement of this problem and for his constant help. I am deeply grateful to P.Baumann for enlightening discussions and for his help with Lemma 3.6.

## 1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Let  $G$  be a semisimple simply connected complex Lie group. Fix a torus  $T$  and a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $G$  such that  $T \subset B \subset G$ . Let  $N$  be the unipotent radical of  $B$ . Denote by  $B^-$  the opposite Borel subgroup and  $N^-$  its unipotent radical. The complex Lie algebras associated to  $G, T, N, N^-$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^-$  respectively. There is a triangular decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ . Let  $\{\alpha_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be the set of simple roots corresponding to this decomposition, where  $n$  is the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This set provides a basis of the dual vector space  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . The simple coroots in  $\mathfrak{h}$  are denoted by  $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ . The weight lattice  $P := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is generated by the fundamental weights  $\varpi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , defined such that  $\varpi_j(\alpha_i^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$ . Let  $P^+ := \sum_i \mathbb{N} \cdot \varpi_i$  be the semigroup of integral dominant weights. The natural bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}$  is denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The Cartan matrix associated to  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ ; one has  $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$ . Recall that  $a_{ii} = 2, a_{ij} \leq 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ , and there exist nonnegative integers  $(d_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  such that  $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ .

1.2. The Weyl group  $W$  is the subgroup of  $\text{End}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$  generated by the reflexions  $s_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \alpha_i, \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . We identify  $s_i$  with its adjoint so we also have  $s_i(h) = h - \langle \alpha_i, h \rangle \alpha_i^\vee, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . The form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is  $W$ -invariant. A *reduced word* for  $w \in W$  is a finite sequence of indices  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  such that  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  and the length  $\ell(w) := \ell$  is the shortest possible length. Let  $w_0$  be the unique element of  $W$  with maximal length; set  $N := \ell(w_0)$ . Reduced words for  $w = w_0$  will be called *reduced words* for short. The involution  $i \mapsto i^*$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is defined by  $w_0(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{i^*}$ . Given a reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$ , we set  $\mathbf{i}^* := (i_1^*, \dots, i_N^*)$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{i}^*$  is also a reduced word. Given  $\lambda \in P^+$ , we set  $\lambda^* := -w_0(\lambda)$ .

1.3. Now, let us introduce the quantum enveloping algebras. They will be useful in Sections 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 for the definition of the canonical basis and their parametrizations. After these sections, we will only consider the classical algebras which are the specializations at  $q = 1$ . Let  $q$  be an indeterminate. The quantum enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , over  $\mathbb{C}(q)$ , is defined with generators  $E_i, F_i, K_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  and quantum Serre relations. We also have a triangular decomposition  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n})$ . One can construct bases of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n})$  called Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type bases as follows.

For all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set  $q_i := q^{d_i}, [k]_i := \frac{q_i^k - q_i^{-k}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$  and:

$$E_i^{(k)} := \frac{1}{[k]_i [k-1]_i \cdots [1]_i} E_i^k, \quad F_i^{(k)} := \frac{1}{[k]_i [k-1]_i \cdots [1]_i} F_i^k$$

Define automorphisms  $T_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(K_j) &= K_j K_i^{-a_{ij}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \\ T_i(E_i) &= -K_i^{-1} F_i, \quad T_i(F_i) = -E_i K_i, \\ T_i(E_j) &= \sum_{k+l=-a_{ij}} (-1)^k q_i^{-k} E_i^{(k)} E_j E_i^{(l)}, \quad 1 \leq j \neq i \leq n \\ T_i(F_j) &= \sum_{k+l=-a_{ij}} q_i^k F_i^{(l)} F_j F_i^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq j \neq i \leq n \end{aligned}$$

One can check the compatibility with Serre's relations. Now fix a reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$ . For all  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ , set  $\beta_{\mathbf{i},k} := s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k})$ . It is well known that  $\{\beta_{\mathbf{i},k}, 1 \leq k \leq N\}$  is the set of positive roots and that the ordering

$$\beta_{\mathbf{i},1} < \beta_{\mathbf{i},2} < \dots < \beta_{\mathbf{i},N}$$

is a convex ordering on  $R^+$ . For all  $k$ , we define  $E_{\beta_{\mathbf{i},k}}^{\mathbf{i}} = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{k-1}}(E_{i_k})$ . Furthermore, for all  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ , we set  $E^{\mathbf{i}}(t) := E_{\beta_{\mathbf{i},1}}^{(t_1)} \dots E_{\beta_{\mathbf{i},N}}^{(t_N)}$ , where  $E_{\beta_{\mathbf{i},k}}^{(t_k)} := \frac{1}{[t_k]_{\beta_{\mathbf{i},k}}!} E_{\beta_{\mathbf{i},k}}^{t_k}$ . The set  $\{E^{\mathbf{i}}(t), t \in \mathbb{N}^N\}$  is the so-called Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type basis of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n})$  associated to the reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$ . In the same way, we define a Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis  $\{F^{\mathbf{i}}(t), t \in \mathbb{N}^N\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ .

1.4. Lusztig, and independantly Kashiwara [11], constructed a basis called canonical (or global) basis of the nilpotent part  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  which have good compatibility properties with the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Following Lusztig's construction, let us introduce the "bar" automorphism of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , denoted  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  and defined by:

$$\bar{E}_i = E_i, \quad \bar{K}_i = K_i^{-1}, \quad \bar{F}_i = F_i, \quad \bar{q} = q^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

**Proposition 1.1.** [14] *Let  $\mathbf{i}$  be a reduced word. For all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ , there exists a unique element  $b = b_{\mathbf{i}}(t)$  in  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  such that  $\bar{b} = b$  and  $b - F^{\mathbf{i}}(t) \in q^{-1} \sum \mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}] F^{\mathbf{i}}(t')$ . The set  $\mathcal{B} := \{b_{\mathbf{i}}(t), t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N\}$  does not depend on the choice of the reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ .*

The set  $\mathcal{B}$  as above is namely the canonical basis of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ .

Given a reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$ , the map  $t \mapsto b = b_{\mathbf{i}}(t)$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ , it gives a parametrisation of the canonical basis that we call *Lusztig's parametrisation*.

1.5. Kashiwara's operators acting on the canonical basis may be defined as follows. For all  $i$  in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , there exists a unique injective map  $\tilde{f}_i: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , such that if  $\mathbf{i}$  starts with  $i_1 = i$ , then:

$$\tilde{f}_i(b_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)) = b_{\mathbf{i}}(t_1 + 1, t_2, \dots, t_N).$$

We also define  $\tilde{e}_i: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$  by  $\tilde{e}_i(b) = b'$  if there exists  $b'$  such that  $\tilde{f}_i(b') = b$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(b) = 0$  otherwise. We set  $\varepsilon_i(b) = \text{Max}\{k \mid \tilde{e}_i^k(b) \neq 0\}$ .

The *string parametrization* of an element  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  associated to a reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$  is the  $N$ -tuple  $c_{\mathbf{i}}(b) := (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)$  defined recursively by

$$t_1 = \varepsilon_{i_1}(b), \quad t_2 = \varepsilon_{i_2}(\tilde{e}_{i_1}^{t_1}(b)), \dots, \quad t_N = \varepsilon_{i_N}(\tilde{e}_{i_{N-1}}^{t_{N-1}} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{t_1}(b)).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$  the image of  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$  under the map  $c_{\mathbf{i}}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** [11] *Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$  be a reduced word, and let  $b$  be an element of  $\mathcal{B}$  with string parameter  $c_{\mathbf{i}}(b) = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)$ . One has*

- (i)  $\tilde{f}_{i_1}^{t_1} \dots \tilde{f}_{i_N}^{t_N}(1) = b$ ,
- (ii)  $c_{\mathbf{i}}(\tilde{f}_{i_1}(b)) = (t_1 + 1, t_2, \dots, t_N)$

1.6. Let us now introduce the various reparametrization maps. Let  $\mathbf{i}$  and  $\mathbf{i}'$  be reduced words, define:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'} &= (b_{\mathbf{i}'})^{-1} \circ b_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{N}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^N, \\ R_{-\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}'} &= c_{\mathbf{i}'} \circ (c_{\mathbf{i}})^{-1} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}'}, \\ R_{-\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'} &= (b_{\mathbf{i}'})^{-1} \circ (c_{\mathbf{i}})^{-1} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^N, \\ R_{\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}'} &= c_{\mathbf{i}'} \circ b_{\mathbf{i}} : \mathbb{N}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}'}. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1.3.** In the case  $G = SL_3$ , there are exactly two reduced words, namely  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{i}' = (2, 1, 2)$ . The map  $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'}$  was calculated by Lusztig, see [13]. If  $b_{\mathbf{i}}(a, b, c) = b_{\mathbf{i}'}(a', b', c')$ , then:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} a' &= b + c - \min(a, c) \\ b' &= \min(a, c) \\ c' &= a + b - \min(a, c) \end{cases}$$

The methods of computation and explicit formulas of all the previous maps are given in [4], we will recall them in section 2.7.

1.7. Given a weight  $\lambda$  in  $P^+$ , the Weyl module denoted by  $V(\lambda)$  is a simple finite dimensional  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight  $\lambda$ . From now on, we fix for any  $\lambda \in P^+$ , a highest weight vector  $v_{\lambda}$  and a lowest weight vector  $v_{\lambda}^{low}$  in every  $V(\lambda)$ . One has  $V(\lambda) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-).v_{\lambda} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}).v_{\lambda}^{low}$ . It is known that the module  $V(\lambda)$  satisfies the Weyl character formula. Let  $w$  be an element in  $W$ , fix an extremal vector  $v_{w\lambda}$  in  $V(\lambda)$  of weight  $w\lambda$ . We introduce the Demazure module  $V_w(\lambda) := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}).v_{w\lambda}$  which is a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{b})$ -submodule of  $V(\lambda)$ .

The canonical basis and the above modules are compatible, by [11] and [12].

**Theorem 1.4.** *One has:*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) := \{b \in \mathcal{B}, bv_{\lambda} \neq 0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)v_{\lambda}$  is a basis of  $V(\lambda)$ .*
- (2) *There exists a subset  $\mathcal{B}_w$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , which does not depend on  $\lambda$ , such that  $\mathcal{B}_w v_{\lambda}$  generates  $V_w(\lambda)$ .*

We will use abbreviation  $b$  instead of  $bv_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  instead of  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)v_{\lambda}$  when no confusion occurs. Denote  $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda) := \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_w$ .

Now, we may suppose that  $v_{\lambda}^{low}$  and  $v_{w\lambda}$  belong to  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ .

We still denote by  $\tilde{e}_i$  and  $\tilde{f}_i$  the Kashiwara's operators define from  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  to  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \cup \{0\}$  by  $\tilde{e}_i(bv_{\lambda}) = \tilde{e}_i(b)v_{\lambda}$  and  $\tilde{f}_i(bv_{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}_i(b)v_{\lambda}$ .

**1.8. Particular case.** In this section, we study the case where  $G = SL_{n+1}$ . In this case the Weyl group is isomorphic to the group of permutations  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . The element  $w_0$  has length  $n(n+1)/2$  and the special reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, \dots, n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1)$  will be called *standard reduced word*. Recall that in the case  $G = SL_{n+1}$ , one has a combinatoric model of Young tableaux. The Lusztig parametrization and the string parametrization generalize this combinatoric. They coincide when the parametrizations are considered with the standard reduced word.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \varpi_1 + \lambda_2 \varpi_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \varpi_n$  be an element of  $P^+$ . The Young tableau of shape  $\lambda$  is a collection of boxes, arranged from left to right, from  $\lambda_n$  columns with  $n$  boxes to  $\lambda_1$  columns with one boxes. Further, a tableau filled with entries in  $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$  such that the entries increase across each row and strictly increase down each column, is called a semi-standard Young tableau of shape  $\lambda$ .

**Example 1.6.** Let  $n \geq 3$ ,

1	2	2	3
2	3	4	
4			

 is a semi-standard Young tableau of shape  $\varpi_1 + 2\varpi_2 + \varpi_3$

Denote by  $Y(\lambda)$  the set of all semi-standard Young tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ . One knows (see [11]) that the set  $Y(\lambda)$  gives a parametrization of the canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ . Let us precise this fact.

Let  $T$  be a tableau  $Y(\lambda)$  and denote by  $b_T$  the element associated to the tableau  $T$ . Let  $\mathbf{i}$  be the standard reduced word, introduce Lusztig's parameters of  $b_T$ :

$$(t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{22}, t_{13}, t_{23}, t_{33} \cdots, t_{1n}, \cdots, t_{nn}) := b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(b_T)$$

and the string parameters of  $b_T$ :

$$(c_{11}, c_{22}, c_{12}, c_{33}, c_{23}, c_{13} \cdots, c_{nn}, \cdots, c_{1n}) := c_{\mathbf{i}}(b_T)$$

The link between all these parametrizations is the following.

**Proposition 1.7.** [3] *One has,*

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij} &= (\text{the number of } j+1 \text{ in the } i\text{-th row of } T), \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \\ c_{ij} &= (\text{the number of } j+1 \text{ in the } i \text{ first rows of } T), \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \end{aligned}$$

One can deduce explicit formulas for the maps  $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}$  and  $R_{-\mathbf{i}}$  in this special case.

**Corollary 1.8.** *One has:*

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij} &= c_{i+1,j} - c_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \\ c_{ij} &= t_{1j} + t_{2j} + \cdots + t_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1.9.** Figure 1.1 describes the canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2)$  in the case  $A_2$ . For each element of the basis, we give the Young tableau associated, the string parameters and the Lusztig's parameters for the standard reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$ . In this figure, the simple arrows between two elements  $b \rightarrow b'$  mean that  $b' = \tilde{f}_1$ , and the double arrows  $b \rightrightarrows b'$  mean that  $b' = \tilde{f}_2$ .

## 2. ACTION OF $w_0$ AND GEOMETRIC LIFTING

2.1. Let us consider the three automorphisms of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  defined on the generators by:


$$\begin{aligned} \phi(E_i) &= F_i, & \phi(F_i) &= E_i, & \phi(H_i) &= -H_i \\ \delta(E_i) &= E_{i^*}, & \delta(F_i) &= F_{i^*}, & \delta(H_i) &= H_{i^*} \\ \eta(E_i) &= F_{i^*}, & \eta(F_i) &= E_{i^*}, & \eta(H_i) &= -H_{i^*} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the automorphism  $\eta$  coincides with the action of  $w_0$  up to a multiplicative constant.

In the sequel, let us fix a dominant weight  $\lambda \in P^+$ .

Given an automorphism  $\chi$  of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ , one can define the twisted module  $V(\lambda)^\chi$  as the vector space  $V(\lambda)$  with the following action:  $u*v = \chi(u).v$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $v \in V(\lambda)$ . The module  $V(\lambda)^\chi$  is simple since  $V(\lambda)$  is simple. And one has  $V(\lambda)^\chi \simeq V(\lambda^\chi)$ , for a certain  $\lambda^\chi \in P^+$ . The automorphism  $\chi$  leads an isomorphism of vector spaces  $\chi_\lambda : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\lambda^\chi)$ , verifying  $\chi_\lambda(u.v) = \chi(u)\chi_\lambda(v)$ . Such isomorphism is unique up to multiplicative constant by Schur's lemma. It's easy to see that if  $\chi = \phi$ ,  $\delta$  or  $\eta$ , then the induced isomorphisms (normalized by the choice of the image of  $v_\lambda$ ) are the following ones:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda : V(\lambda) &\rightarrow V(\lambda^*) \text{ with } \phi_\lambda(v_\lambda) = v_{\lambda^*}^{low} \\ \delta_\lambda : V(\lambda) &\rightarrow V(\lambda^*) \text{ with } \delta_\lambda(v_\lambda) = v_{\lambda^*} \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 1.1. Parametrizations of the basis  $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2)$ 

$$\eta_\lambda : V(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\lambda) \text{ with } \eta_\lambda(v_\lambda) = v_\lambda^{low}$$

The isomorphism  $\phi_\lambda$  is compatible with the canonical basis in the following sense:

**Proposition 2.1.** [13, §21] *One has:*

- (i)  $\phi_\lambda(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)v_\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(\lambda^*)v_{\lambda^*}$
- (ii)  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n, \forall b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda), \tilde{e}_i \phi_\lambda(b) = \phi_\lambda \tilde{f}_i(b)$

It is clear from the definitions that  $\delta(b_i(t)) = \delta(b_{i^*}(t))$ , thus we also have  $\delta_\lambda(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)v_\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(\lambda^*)v_{\lambda^*}$ . It is also clear that  $\eta_\lambda = \phi_\lambda \delta_\lambda$ , and thus  $\eta_\lambda(\mathcal{B}(\lambda)v_\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(\lambda)v_\lambda$ .

**2.2. Schützenberger involution.** Fix a dominant weight  $\lambda \in P^+$ . The isomorphism  $\eta_\lambda$  generalize the Schützenberger's involution defined in the case  $G = SL(n)$  in terms of Young tableaux. Schützenberger described (see [17]) an involution

$S : Y(\lambda) \rightarrow Y(\lambda)$  with an algorithm called "jeu de taquin".

In the case where  $G = SL(n)$ , the link between  $\eta_\lambda$  and  $S$  is the following:

**Proposition 2.2.** [3] *Given  $T \in Y(\lambda)$  and the associated element  $b_T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ , one has:*

$$\eta_\lambda(b_T) = b_{S(T)}$$

**Example 2.3.** Figure 2.2 describes Schützenberger involution on the basis of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module  $V(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2)$ .




FIGURE 2.2. Schützenberger involution in  $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2)$ .

**2.3. Geometric lifting.** In the sequel, we wish to compute explicit formulas for the isomorphism  $\eta_\lambda$  in terms of parametrizations of the canonical basis. We first consider the isomorphism  $\phi_\lambda$ , and then we use the composition  $\eta_\lambda = \delta_\lambda \circ \phi_\lambda$ .



Our goal is to give explicit formulas for the application  $b_1^{-1}\phi_\lambda c_1^{-1}$  which expresses Lusztig's parameters  $t' = (t'_1, \dots, t'_N)$  of the element  $\phi_\lambda(b) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda^*)$  in terms of the string parameters  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_N)$  of an element  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ .

For this end we use the methods and the results of [2] and [4] on geometric lifting and tropicalization.

We consider semifield structures, i.e commutative multiplicative groups equipped with an additive law which is associative, commutative and distributive on the product.

The main example of semifield is the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  endowed with the operations:  $a \oplus b := \min(a, b)$ ,  $a \odot b := a + b$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it is called *tropical structure* of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It induces a semifield structure on the set  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N, \mathbb{Z})$  of all maps from  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the operations:

$$f \odot g : (t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto f(t_1, \dots, t_N) + g(t_1, \dots, t_N),$$

$$f \oplus g : (t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto \min(f(t_1, \dots, t_N), g(t_1, \dots, t_N))$$

for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N, \mathbb{Z})$ .

We denote by  $p_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N, \mathbb{Z})$   $1 \leq i \leq N$ , the projections defined by  $p_i(t_1, \dots, t_N) = t_i$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  be the set of *rational subtraction-free expressions* in indeterminates  $t_1, \dots, t_N$ . This set is a semifield (the smallest one containing the indeterminates  $t_1, \dots, t_N$ ) for the usual laws  $+$  and  $\times$ . The *tropicalization* is defined by:

**Theorem 2.4** ([2]). *There exists a unique homomorphism of semifields, denoted by  $[\cdot]_{\text{Trop}}$ , such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot]_{\text{Trop}} : \mathbb{Q}_{>0}(t_1, \dots, t_N) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N, \mathbb{Z}), \\ t_i &\mapsto p_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

In other words, if  $f(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  is a subtraction-free expression, the tropicalization  $[f]_{\text{Trop}}(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  is the expression obtained from  $f(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  by changing the  $+$  in  $\min$ , the  $\times$  in  $+$ , et the  $\div$  in  $-$ . Let us give an example taken from [2].

**Example 2.5.** Consider the expression  $f(t_1, t_2) := t_1^2 - t_1 t_2 + t_2^2$ . It is a rational subtraction-free expression since  $f(t_1, t_2) = \frac{t_1^3 + t_2^3}{t_1 + t_2}$ . One has  $[f]_{\text{Trop}}(t_1, t_2) = \min(3t_1, 3t_2) - \min(t_1, t_2) = \min(2t_1, 2t_2)$ .

The element  $f$  is called *geometric lifting* of  $[f]_{\text{Trop}}$ .

Notice that geometric lifting is not uniquely determined by  $[f]_{\text{Trop}}$  as we can see in the above example. Indeed,  $\frac{t_1^3 + t_2^3}{t_1 + t_2}$  and  $t_1^2 + t_2^2$  are both geometric lifting of  $\min(2t_1, 2t_2)$ .

To finish this subsection, let us introduce the following notation.

Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ , we set

$$[(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)]_{\text{Trop}} := ([f_1]_{\text{Trop}}, [f_2]_{\text{Trop}}, \dots, [f_n]_{\text{Trop}})$$

**2.4. Totally positive subvariety of  $G$ .** For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , denote by  $\varphi_i : SL_2 \hookrightarrow G$  the natural injective map corresponding to the simple root  $\alpha_i$ . Consider the one-parameter subgroups of  $G$  defined by

$$x_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_i(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$t^{\alpha_i^\vee} = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Clearly,  $x_i(t)$ 's, (resp.  $y_i(t), t^{\alpha_i^\vee}$ ) generate  $N$ , (resp.  $N^-, T$ ). One has the following relations of commutation:

$$(2.2) \quad t^{\alpha_i^\vee} x_j(t') = x_j(t^{\alpha_{ij}} t') t^{\alpha_i^\vee}, \quad t^{\alpha_i^\vee} y_j(t') = y_j(t^{-\alpha_{ij}} t') t^{\alpha_i^\vee}$$

One defines two involutive antiautomorphisms of  $G$ :  $x \mapsto x^T$ , called transposition, and  $x \mapsto x^\iota$ , called inversion, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(t)^T &= y_i(t), & y_i(t)^T &= x_i(t), & (t^{\alpha_i^\vee})^T &= t^{\alpha_i^\vee} \\ x_i(t)^\iota &= x_i(t), & y_i(t)^\iota &= y_i(t), & (t^{\alpha_i^\vee})^\iota &= t^{-\alpha_i^\vee} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $G_0 := N^-TN$  be the set of all elements in  $G$  which admit a gaussian decomposition. Given  $x \in G_0$ , the gaussian decomposition is unique and we will write  $x = [x]_- [x]_0 [x]_+$ , where  $[x]_- \in N^-$ ,  $[x]_0 \in T$ ,  $[x]_+ \in N$ .

Let  $G_{>0}$  be the submonoid of  $G$  generated by  $x_i(t), y_i(t), t^{\alpha_i^\vee}$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Given a word  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$  and a  $m$ -tuple  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}^m$ , we set:

$$x_{\mathbf{i}}(t) := x_{i_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{i_m}(t_m), \quad \text{et} \quad x_{-\mathbf{i}}(t) := y_{i_1}(t_1) t_1^{-\alpha_{i_1}^\vee} \cdots y_{i_m}(t_m) t_m^{-\alpha_{i_m}^\vee}$$

Consider the following reduced double Bruhat cells.

$$(2.3) \quad L^{e, w_0} := N \cap B_- w_0 B_- \quad \text{and} \quad L^{w_0, e} := N w_0 N \cap B_-$$

Denote by  $L_{>0}^{e, w_0}$ , resp.  $L_{>0}^{w_0, e}$ , their intersections with  $G_{>0}$ . The maps  $x_{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $x_{-\mathbf{i}}$  parametrize these subvarieties of  $G$ . More precisely,

**Theorem 2.6.** [4] *For any reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$ , the map  $x_{\mathbf{i}}$ , resp.  $x_{-\mathbf{i}}$ , is a birational isomorphism between  $\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}^N$  and  $L^{e, w_0}$ , resp.  $L^{w_0, e}$ . It restricts to a bijection between  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N$  and  $L_{>0}^{e, w_0}$ , resp.  $L_{>0}^{w_0, e}$ .*

**2.5. Geometric lifting of the maps  $R_{\pm \mathbf{i}}^{\pm \mathbf{i}'}$ .** In the sequel we will use the notation  $(\cdot)^\vee$  that means we consider the analogous maps in the Langlands dual  $G^\vee$  of  $G$ . Recall that the Langlands dual  $G^\vee$  is the semisimple Lie group with transposed Cartan's matrix. The simple roots of  $G^\vee$  can be naturally identified with the simple coroots of  $G$  and conversely. Thus the Weyl groups are naturally identified with each other.

Let us introduce the reparametrization maps  $\tilde{R}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'} := x_{\mathbf{i}'}^{-1} \circ x_{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $\tilde{R}_{-\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}'} := x_{-\mathbf{i}'}^{-1} \circ x_{-\mathbf{i}}$  from  $\mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}^N$  to itself. An important result from [4] is that these maps are geometric liftings of  $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'}$  and  $R_{-\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}'}$ . More precisely,

**Theorem 2.7.** *The components of  $(\tilde{R}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'})^\vee(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  and  $(\tilde{R}_{-\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}'})^\vee(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  are expressed as rational subtraction-free expressions in  $t_1, \dots, t_N$ , and one has:*

$$(i) \quad [(\tilde{R}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'})^\vee]_{\text{Trop}}(t) = R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}'}(t) \quad (ii) \quad [(\tilde{R}_{-\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}'})^\vee]_{\text{Trop}}(t) = R_{-\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}'}(t)$$

**Example 2.8.** Explicit formulas in the case  $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$  are:

$$x_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$h_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}$$

For any  $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}^3$  and  $(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3) \in \mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}^3$ :

$$x_{121}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = x_1(t_1)x_2(t_2)x_1(t_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_1 + t_3 & t_1 t_2 \\ 0 & 1 & t_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_{212}(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3) = x_2(t'_1)x_1(t'_2)x_2(t'_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t'_2 & t'_2 t'_3 \\ 0 & 1 & t'_1 + t'_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $x_1(t_1)x_2(t_2)x_1(t_3) = x_2(t'_1)x_1(t'_2)x_2(t'_3)$  then:

$$(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3) = \left( \frac{t_2 t_3}{t_1 + t_3}, t_1 + t_3, \frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_3} \right).$$

Hence,

$$[(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3)]_{\text{Trop}} = (t_2 + t_3 - \min(t_1, t_3), \min(t_1, t_3), t_1 + t_3 - \min(t_1, t_3))$$

This is precisely the formulas (1.1) which give the reparametrization  $R_{121}^{212}$ .

**Example 2.9.** As in the previous example, we can also compute:

$$x_{-121}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = x_{-212}(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3)$$

One obtains:

$$(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3) = \left( \frac{t_2 t_3}{t_2 + t_1 t_3}, t_1 t_3, \frac{t_2 + t_1 t_3}{t_3} \right)$$

Hence:

$$[(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3)]_{\text{Trop}} = (t_2 + t_3 - \min(t_2, t_1 + t_3), t_1 + t_3, \min(t_2, t_1 + t_3) - t_3)$$

These formulas give the reparametrization  $R_{-121}^{-212}$ .

We can also give a geometric lifting of the maps  $R_{-i}^i$ . For this end, fix a representative  $\overline{w_0} \in \text{Norm}(T)$  of  $w_0$ . For instance, choose  $\overline{w_0} := \overline{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_N}}$ , where  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$  is a reduced word and

$$\overline{s_i} := \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = x_i(-1)y_i(1)x_i(-1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

We know that  $\overline{w_0}$  does not depend on the choice of the reduced word. An easy computation shows that  $\overline{s_i}^T = \overline{s_i}^{-1}$  and  $s_i^t = s_i$ . Furthermore,

$$(2.4) \quad \overline{w_0}^T = \overline{w_0}^{-1} = \overline{w_0}^t = \overline{w_0}^{-1}$$

Following [4], we define for  $x \in G$

$$\eta^{w_0, e}(x) := [(\overline{w_0} x^T)^{-1}]_+, \quad \eta^{e, w_0}(x) := ([\overline{w_0}^{-1} x^T]_0 [\overline{w_0}^{-1} x^T]_-)^{-1}$$

**Theorem 2.10.** [4]

- (1) The map  $\eta^{w_0, e}$  is a birational isomorphism between  $L^{w_0, e}$  and  $L^{e, w_0}$ , which restricts to a bijection from  $L_{>0}^{w_0, e}$  to  $L_{>0}^{e, w_0}$ ; the inverse map is  $\eta^{e, w_0}$ .
- (2) The components of  $(x_{i'}^{-1} \circ \eta^{w_0, e} \circ x_{-i})^\vee$  are rational subtraction-free expressions, and

$$R_{-i}^i(t) = [(x_{i'}^{-1} \circ \eta^{w_0, e} \circ x_{-i})^\vee]_{\text{Trop}}(t)$$

**Example 2.11.** In the case  $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ , one has the following explicit formulas:

$$\overline{w_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{-121}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \begin{pmatrix} t_1^{-1}t_3^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ t_3^{-1} + t_1t_2^{-1} & t_1t_2^{-1}t_3 & 0 \\ 1 & t_3 & t_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\overline{w_0}x_{-121}(t_1, t_2, t_3)^T)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (t_2 + t_1t_3)t_3^{-1} & t_1t_3 \\ -t_1^{-1} & -t_2t_1^{-1}t_3^{-1} & 0 \\ t_2^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= y_1(-t_2t_1^{-1}s^{-1})y_2(-st_2^{-1}t_3^{-1})y_1(-t_3s^{-1})x_1(t_1)x_2(t_3)x_1(t_2t_3^{-1})$$

where  $s = t_2 + t_1t_3$ .

Hence, if  $x_{121}(t'_1t'_2t'_3) = \eta^{w_0, e}(x_{-121}(t_1, t_2, t_3))$  then

$$(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3) = (t_1, t_3, t_2t_3^{-1})$$

Hence,

$$[(t'_1, t'_2, t'_3)]_{\text{Trop}} = (t_1, t_3, t_2 - t_3)$$

This is precisely the formulas (1.8) which give the changing of parametrization  $R_{-121}^{212}$ .

**2.6. Geometric lifting of  $\phi_\lambda$ .** Now we fix a dominant weight  $\lambda = \lambda_1\varpi_1 + \dots + \lambda_n\varpi_n$  to the end of the section.

Given  $x \in G$ , we set  $\zeta(x) := [x^{t^T}]_+$ . Our first observation is

**Proposition 2.12.**

(i) Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$  be a reduced word. If  $x = x_{-\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  then  $\zeta(x) = x_{\mathbf{i}}(t'_1, \dots, t'_N)$ , where

$$(2.5) \quad t'_k = t_k^{-1} \prod_{j>k} t_j^{-a_{i_j i_k}}$$

(ii) The application  $\zeta$  defines a bijection from  $L_{>0}^{w_0, e}$  to  $L_{>0}^{e, w_0}$ .

**Proof:** (i) follows from the relations (2.2) and (ii) follows from Theorem 2.6 and (2.5).

Now we can give a geometric lifting and an explicit formula for  $\phi_\lambda$ :

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $\mathbf{i}$  and  $\mathbf{i}'$  be reduced words.

(i) Components of  $(x_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \circ \zeta \circ x_{-\mathbf{i}'})^\vee$  are rational subtraction-free expressions.  
 (ii) One has,

$$b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \phi_\lambda c_{\mathbf{i}'}^{-1}(t) = [(x_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \circ \zeta \circ x_{-\mathbf{i}'})^\vee]_{\text{Trop}}(t) + b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \phi_\lambda(v_\lambda)$$

As a consequence of the above proposition and the above theorem, we have:

**Corollary 2.14.** If  $(t'_1, \dots, t'_N) = b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \phi_\lambda c_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad t'_k = l_k - t_k - \sum_{j>k} a_{i_k i_j} t_j$$

where  $(l_1, \dots, l_N) := b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \phi_\lambda(v_\lambda)$ .

**Remark 2.15.** The constants  $(l_1, \dots, l_N)$  can be computed in different ways. One can use [6, §4.1] or one can compute directly from the above formula. Indeed, one knows, [13, §28.1], that  $(l'_1, \dots, l'_N) := c_{\mathbf{i}}(v_\lambda^{low})$  are given by  $l'_k = \langle \lambda^*, s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}^\vee) \rangle = \langle \lambda^*, \beta_{\mathbf{i}, k} \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$  and resolving (0) =  $b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \phi_\lambda c_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(l'_1, \dots, l'_N)$  one obtains the constants  $(l_1, \dots, l_N) = b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(v_\lambda^{low})$ . These constants are given by  $l_k = \langle \lambda, \alpha_{i_k}^\vee \rangle = \lambda_{i_k}$ .

**Proof of the theorem:** Fix a weight  $\lambda$  in  $P^+$ . Let  $\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'}: \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$  be a family of applications indexed by two reduced words, satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'}(0, \dots, 0) = b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \phi_{\lambda}(v_{\lambda})$
- (2)  $\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'} = R_{\mathbf{i}''}^{\mathbf{i}} \circ \Phi_{\mathbf{i}'',\mathbf{i}'} = \Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}''} \circ R_{-\mathbf{i}'}^{-\mathbf{i}'}$
- (3) If  $\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'}(t_1, \dots, t_N) = (t'_1, \dots, t'_N)$ , then  $t'_1 + t_1$  and the  $t'_k$ 's,  $k \neq 1$  only depend on  $t_2, \dots, t_N$ .

The theorem will be a consequence of the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.16.** *One has,*

- (i) *If  $(\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'})$  is a family satisfying conditions (1), (2), (3), then*

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'} = b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \phi_{\lambda} c_{\mathbf{i}'}^{-1}$$

- (ii) *The family  $(\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'})$  defined by*

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'}(t) = [(x_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \circ \zeta \circ x_{-\mathbf{i}'})^{\vee}]_{\text{Trop}}(t) + b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \phi_{\lambda}(v_{\lambda})$$

*satisfies conditions (1), (2), (3).*

**Proof:** Let us first prove (ii). Given a rational subtraction-free expression  $Q$ , one has  $[Q]_{\text{Trop}}(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ , so condition (1) is clear. Using (2.7) and (2.12) the conditions (2) and (3) are also clear. Let us now prove (i). Let  $(\Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'})$  be a family satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3). We define maps  $F_{\mathbf{i}}: \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$  by  $F_{\mathbf{i}}(b) = \Phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'} \circ c_{\mathbf{i}'}(b)$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ . Condition (2) implies that the maps do not depend on the choice of  $\mathbf{i}'$ . By induction on the weight of  $b$ , we show that for every reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$  one has  $F_{\mathbf{i}}(b) = b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(\phi_{\lambda}(b))$ . Indeed, if  $b = v_{\lambda}$ , this is clear by (1). If  $b = \tilde{f}_i(b')$ , we can choose a reduced word  $\mathbf{i}'$  starting with  $i$ , namely  $\mathbf{i}' = (i, i'_2, \dots, i'_N)$ . By Proposition 1.2, one has  $c_{\mathbf{i}'}(\tilde{f}_i(b')) = c_{\mathbf{i}'}(b') + (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , so  $b$  and  $b'$  have the same string parameters, except the first parameters which differ of 1. Hence, by (3) we have  $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}'} \circ c_{\mathbf{i}'}(\tilde{f}_i(b')) = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}'}(c_{\mathbf{i}'}(b') + (1, 0, \dots, 0)) = \Phi_{\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{i}'} \circ c_{\mathbf{i}'}(b') - (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  and so  $F_{\mathbf{i}'}(b) = F_{\mathbf{i}'}(b') - (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Furthermore by induction hypothesis one has  $F_{\mathbf{i}'}(b) = b_{\mathbf{i}'}^{-1}(\phi_{\lambda}(b')) - (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Using Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 2.1(ii), one obtains  $F_{\mathbf{i}'}(b) = b_{\mathbf{i}'}^{-1}(\tilde{e}_i \phi_{\lambda}(b')) = b_{\mathbf{i}'}^{-1}(\phi_{\lambda}(\tilde{f}_i(b'))) = b_{\mathbf{i}'}^{-1}(\phi_{\lambda}(b))$ . Finally, by (2) one deduces  $F_{\mathbf{i}}(b) = b_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(\phi_{\lambda}(b))$  for any reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$ .

**2.7. Geometric lifting of  $\eta_{\lambda}$ .** Let  $\mathbf{i}$  be a reduced word and let  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \varpi_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \varpi_n$  be a dominant weight. One knows that  $\mathbf{i}^*$  is also a reduced word. And it is clear that the isomorphism  $\delta_{\lambda^*}$  (see section 2.1) induced by  $\delta$  on  $V(\lambda^*)$  satisfies  $\delta_{\lambda^*}(b_{\mathbf{i}}(t)) = b_{\mathbf{i}^*}(t)$ .

Now we give geometric lifting of Schützenberger involution  $\eta_{\lambda} = \delta_{\lambda^*} \phi_{\lambda}$ :

**Corollary 2.17.** *If  $(t'_1, \dots, t'_N) = b_{\mathbf{i}^*}^{-1} \eta_{\lambda} c_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}(t_1, \dots, t_N)$ , then*

$$t'_k = \lambda_{i_k} - t_k - \sum_{j>k} a_{i_k i_j} t_j$$

It is remarkable that the application  $b_{\mathbf{i}^*}^{-1} \eta_{\lambda} c_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1}$  is affine, and that its linear part does not depend on  $\lambda$ .

We define a linear map  $\Omega_{\mathbf{i}}$  as follows: for all  $(\lambda, t) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, t_1, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ ,

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda, t) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, t'_1, \dots, t'_N), \quad \text{where } t'_k = \lambda_{i_k} - t_k - \sum_{j>k} a_{i_k i_j} t_j$$

**2.8. Formulas of inverse maps.** In this section we study the inverse maps  $c_i \phi_\lambda b_i$  and  $\zeta^{-1}$ . We have explicit formulas:

**Proposition 2.18.** [7] *Let  $\mathbf{i}$  be a reduced word and let  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \varpi_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \varpi_n$  be a dominant weight. One has:*

(i) *If  $(t_1, \dots, t_N) = x_{-\mathbf{i}}^{-1} \zeta^{-1} x_{\mathbf{i}}(t'_1, \dots, t'_N)$ , then*

$$(2.7) \quad t_k = t'_k{}^{-1} \prod_{j>k} t'_j{}^{-a'_{i_j i_k}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N$$

where  $a'_{i_j i_k} = \langle \beta_{\mathbf{i},k}, \beta_{\mathbf{i},j}^\vee \rangle$ .

(ii) *If  $(t_1, \dots, t_N) = c_i \phi_\lambda b_i(t'_1, \dots, t'_N)$  then*

$$(2.8) \quad t_k = t'_k - t'_k - \sum_{j>k} a'_{i_k i_j} t'_j, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N$$

where  $a'_{i_j i_k} = \langle \beta_{\mathbf{i},k}, \beta_{\mathbf{i},j}^\vee \rangle$  et  $t'_k = \langle \lambda, \beta_{\mathbf{i},k}^\vee \rangle$ .

Now we determine the inverse map  $\zeta^{-1}$  viewed as a map from  $L_{>0}^{e,w_0}$  to  $L_{>0}^{w_0,e}$

**Proposition 2.19.** *The map  $\zeta^{-1} : L_{>0}^{e,w_0} \rightarrow L_{>0}^{w_0,e}$  is given by:*

$$\zeta^{-1}(x) = [\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 x^{\iota T}$$

**Proof:** Let us check that  $\zeta^{-1}(x)$  is well defined. We use definitions (2.3) and relations (2.2). Given  $x \in L_{>0}^{e,w_0}$ , set  $y := [\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 x^{\iota T}$  and let us show that  $y \in L_{>0}^{w_0,e}$ . One can express  $x$  as:

$$x = n_1 h_1 \overline{w_0} h_2 n_2, \quad \text{with } n_1, n_2 \in N^-, h_1, h_2 \in T.$$

Thus,

$$\overline{w_0} x^T = \overline{w_0} n_2^T h_2^T \overline{w_0}^T h_1^T n_1^T = \underbrace{\overline{w_0} n_2^T \overline{w_0}^{-1}}_{\in N^-} \underbrace{\overline{w_0} h_2^T \overline{w_0}^{-1} h_1^T}_{\in T} \underbrace{n_1^T}_{\in N}.$$

Hence,

$$y = [\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 x^{\iota T} = \underbrace{\overline{w_0} h_2^T \overline{w_0}^{-1} h_1^T}_{\in T} \underbrace{n_1^{\iota T}}_{\in N} h_1^{\iota T} \overline{w_0}^{\iota T} h_2^{\iota T} n_2^{\iota T}$$

Let us commute the above two elements in  $T$  and  $N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} y &= n_1^{\iota T} \overline{w_0} h_2^T \overline{w_0}^{-1} h_1^T h_1^{\iota T} \overline{w_0}^{\iota T} h_2^{\iota T} n_2^{\iota T} \\ &= \underbrace{n_1^{\iota T} \overline{w_0}}_{\in N} \underbrace{n_2^{\iota T}}_{\in N} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x$  is in  $N$ , then  $y = [\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 x^{\iota T}$  is in  $B^-$ . Hence  $y$  belongs to  $L^{w_0,e}$  by definitions (2.3). It remains to check the positivity of  $y$ . Fix a reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$  and let us use Theorem 2.6 for  $x$  and  $y$ . One can write  $y = x_{-\mathbf{i}}(t_1, \dots, t_N) = y_{i_1}(t_1) t_1^{-\alpha_{i_1}^\vee} \cdots y_{i_N}(t_N) t_N^{-\alpha_{i_N}^\vee}$  with  $(t_1, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}^N$ . Using relations (2.2) we write  $y = h y_{i_1}(t'_1) \cdots y_{i_N}(t'_N)$  with a certain  $h \in T$  and  $t'_k = \prod_{j>k} t'_j{}^{\alpha_{i_j i_k}}$ . But there also exists  $(t''_1, \dots, t''_N) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^N$  such that  $x = x_{\mathbf{i}}(t''_1, \dots, t''_N)$ . Then  $y = [\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 x^{\iota T} = [\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 y_{i_1}(t''_1) \cdots y_{i_N}(t''_N)$ . Identifying both expressions one deduces that  $(t_1, \dots, t_N)$  belongs to  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N$  and thus  $y = [\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 x^{\iota T} \in L_{>0}^{w_0,e}$ . Hence the definition makes sense. Furthermore it is easy to see from the definitions that we have  $\zeta([\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 x^{\iota T}) = x$ . It means that the map  $x \mapsto [\overline{w_0} x^T]_0 x^{\iota T}$  is precisely the inverse map of  $\zeta$ .

### 3. TORIC AND SEMITORIC DEGENERATIONS OF RICHARDSON VARIETIES

3.1. A complex projective variety  $X$  degenerates into a toric variety, resp. semi-toric variety (i.e a variety whose irreducible components are toric varieties), if there exists a variety  $\mathcal{X}$  and a regular map  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(z) \cong X$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\pi^{-1}(0) = X_0$ , where  $X_0$  is a toric variety, resp. semi-toric variety.

One can construct toric degenerations of varieties in the following way. Denote by  $R$  the algebra of regular functions on  $X$ . Endow  $R$  with an increasing filtration  $(R_n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that the associated graded algebra  $\text{Gr}R$  is isomorphic to one algebra of semigroup. Consider  $\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n t^n \subset R[t]$  where  $t$  is an indeterminate over  $R$ . One has  $\mathcal{R}/t\mathcal{R} \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_{n+1}/R_n \simeq \text{Gr}R$  and  $\mathcal{R}/(t-z)\mathcal{R} \simeq R$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Thus if  $\mathcal{X} := \text{Proj } \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\pi := t$  and  $X_0 := \text{Proj } \text{Gr}R$ , then we have a (flat) toric degeneration of  $X$  in  $X_0$ .

3.2. **Flag varieties.** Consider the flag variety  $G/B$  and denote by  $R$  its algebra of homogenous coordinates. Let  $\lambda_0$  be a regular dominant weight and denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0}$  the corresponding ample line bundle on  $G/B$ . Recall that:

$$R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0}^{\otimes n}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N} \cdot \lambda_0} V^*(\lambda) \otimes v_\lambda$$

where  $\mathbb{N} \cdot \lambda_0$  is the cone of all the multiples of  $\lambda_0$ .

From now on, we fix a regular dominant weight  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 \varpi_1 + \lambda_2 \varpi_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \varpi_n$ . One can identify each element of  $\mathbb{N} \lambda_0$  with the  $n$ -tuple of its coordinates on the fundamental weights  $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n$ . The set  $\mathbb{N} \lambda_0$  is naturally identified with  $\mathbb{N}^n$ .

The set  $\{(bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda, b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{N} \lambda_0\}$  is the canonical basis of  $R$ . The product on  $R$  is given by:

$$((bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda)((b'v_\mu)^* \otimes v_\mu) = (bb'v_{\lambda+\mu})^* \otimes v_{\lambda+\mu}$$

Given a reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$ , one parametrize an element  $(bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda$  of the canonical basis of  $R$  by  $(\lambda, c_{\mathbf{i}}(b)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+N}$  and one introduce the set of all parameters:

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}} := \{(\lambda, c_{\mathbf{i}}(b)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{N} \cdot \lambda_0, b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)\}$$

One has

**Theorem 3.1.** [12] *The set  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$  is the set of all integral points in a rational polyhedral convex cone of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ .*

We will use the notation  $b_{\lambda,t}$ ,  $(\lambda, t) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ , for the element  $(bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda \in R$  where  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  is such that  $c_{\mathbf{i}}(b) = t$ .

The following multiplicative property of two elements of the canonical basis, is due to Caldero:

**Proposition 3.2.** [5] *Given  $(\lambda, t), (\lambda', t')$  in  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ ,*

$$b_{\lambda,t} b_{\lambda',t'} = b_{\lambda+\lambda',t+t'} + \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+N}} d_{\lambda,t,\lambda',t'}^s b_{\lambda+\lambda',s}$$

with  $d_{\lambda,t,\lambda',t'}^s \neq 0 \Rightarrow s \prec t + t'$ , where  $\prec$  is the usual lexicographic order of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ .

Using this property one can construct in a first step, a  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ -filtration of  $R$  such that the associated graded algebra is isomorphic to the algebra of the semigroup  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}]$ . In a second step, using an adapted linear form  $e : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  (see [5]) one construct a  $\mathbb{N}$ -filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}} := (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i},m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $R$  such that the associated graded algebra is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}]$ . Denote by  $\text{Gr}R$  the graded algebra associated to

this filtration and denote by  $\bar{b}_{\lambda,t}$  the image of  $b_{\lambda,t}$  in  $\text{Gr}R$ . The elements  $\bar{b}_{\lambda,t}$  satisfy  $\bar{b}_{\lambda,t}\bar{b}_{\lambda',t'} = \bar{b}_{\lambda+\lambda',t+t'}$ , thus one has  $\text{Gr}R = \bigoplus_{(\lambda,t) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbb{C}\bar{b}_{\lambda,t} = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}]$ .

**Remark 3.3.** The toric variety  $\text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}])$  is the same as the toric variety constructed from the convex polytope  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda_0) := c_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathcal{B}(\lambda_0)) = \lambda_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N \cap \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ . By [12], one knows equations of the polytope  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda_0)$ . One has  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda_0) = \{(t_1, \dots, t_N) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \mid t_k = \lambda_{i_k} - \sum_{j>k} a_{i_k i_j} t_j, 1 \leq k \leq N\}$  where  $\lambda_i$  is the coordinate of  $\lambda_0$  on  $\varpi_i$  (note that one can also obtain these equations with the formula (2.6) using the positivity of the  $t'_k$ 's). In the cases where  $G$  is of type  $A_n$  or  $G$  arbitrary and  $\mathbf{i}$  is a nice decomposition of  $w_0$ , one also have equations for the string cone  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$  (see [4, §3.4], [12, §4]).

**3.3. Schubert varieties.** Consider the Bruhat's cellular decompositions:

$$G/B = \bigcup_{w \in W} BwB/B = \bigcup_{\tau \in W} B^- \tau B^- / B$$

Closures  $X_w := \overline{BwB/B}$ ,  $w \in W$ , resp.  $X^\tau := \overline{B^- \tau B^- / B}$ ,  $\tau \in W$  in  $G/B$  are the so-called Schubert varieties, resp. opposite Schubert varieties.

In the sequel, let us fix two elements  $w$  and  $\tau$  in  $W$ . The algebra  $R_w$  associated to  $X_w$  is a quotient of  $R$  by a certain ideal  $I_w := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0} V_w^\perp(\lambda) \otimes v_\lambda$ , where  $V_w^\perp(\lambda)$  is the orthogonal of  $V_w(\lambda)$  in  $V(\lambda)^*$ . By Theorem 1.4 the ideal  $I_w$  is compatible with the canonical basis of  $R$ . More precisely,  $\{(bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0, b \notin \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)\}$  is a basis of  $I_w$ . Denote by  $\pi_w$  the canonical projection of  $R$  onto  $R/I_w = R_w$ . The set  $\{\pi_w(bv_\lambda^* \otimes v_\lambda), b \in \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0\}$  is a basis of  $R_w$ . Let  $\mathbf{i}$  be a reduced word, define

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w := \{(\lambda, c_{\mathbf{i}}(b)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0, b \in \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)\}$$

We will say that a reduced word  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$  is *adapted* to  $w$ , if  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_p}$  is a reduced decomposition.

**Theorem 3.4.** [12] *If the reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$  is adapted to  $w$  then,  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w$  is a face of the cone  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Moreover,  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w = \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}} \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+p} \times \{0\}^{N-p})$  where  $p = \ell(w)$ . In particular,  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w$  is the set of all integer points in a rational polyhedral convex cone of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+N}$ .*

Using the above filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ , one can construct a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}^w$  of  $R_w$ , as follows  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i},m}^w := \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i},m} + I_w$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The associated graded algebra  $\text{Gr}R_w$  is such that  $\text{Gr}R_w = \text{Gr}R/\text{Gr}I_w$ . The ideal  $\text{Gr}I_w$  of  $\text{Gr}R$  is generated by  $\{\bar{b}_{\lambda,t}, (\lambda,t) \notin \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w\}$ .

In the case where  $\mathbf{i}$  is adapted to  $w$  one has  $\text{Gr}R_w = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w]$  which is the algebra of a semigroup. Therefore one obtain toric degenerations of Schubert varieties  $X_w$ .

In the case where  $\mathbf{i}$  is not adapted to  $w$ , we will see in the next paragraph that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w$  is a union of faces of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Thus, we will deduce semitoric degenerations of the Schubert varieties  $X_w$ .

Regarding opposite Schubert varieties we denote by  $R^\tau$  the algebra associated. This algebra is obtained from  $R_\tau$  by the  $w_0$ -action; one has  $R^\tau = w_0(R_\tau) = R/w_0(I_\tau)$ . The ideal  $I^\tau := w_0(I_\tau) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0} \eta_\lambda(V_\tau)^\perp(\lambda) \otimes v_\lambda$  of  $R$  has the basis  $\{(bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0, b \notin \eta_\lambda(\mathcal{B}_\tau(\lambda))\}$ . Denote by  $\pi^\tau$  the canonical projection of  $R$  onto  $R/I^\tau$ . The elements  $\{\pi^\tau(\eta_\lambda(bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda), \text{ where } \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0, b \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\lambda)\}$  constitute a basis of  $R^\tau$ . We set:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}}^\tau := \{(\lambda, c_{\mathbf{i}}(b)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0, b \in \eta_\lambda(\mathcal{B}_\tau(\lambda))\}$$

We will also show that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}}^\tau$  is a union of faces of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ .



**3.4. Richardson varieties.** More generally, consider Richardson varieties  $X_w^\tau := X_w \cap X^\tau$ ,  $w, \tau \in W$ . Schubert varieties are the particular cases corresponding to  $w = w_0$  and  $\tau = id$ . Recall that if  $\ell(w) + \ell(\tau) < N$  then the intersection  $X_w^\tau$  is empty. For the sequel we fix  $w$  and  $\tau$  in  $W$  such that  $X_w^\tau \neq \emptyset$ , and an arbitrary reduced word  $\mathbf{i}$ . Denote by  $I_w^\tau := I_w + I^\tau$  and  $R_w^\tau := R/I_w^\tau$ . The ideal  $I_w^\tau$  is generated by  $\{(bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0, b \notin \eta_\lambda(\mathcal{B}_\tau(\lambda)) \cap \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)\}$ . If  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau} := \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}}^\tau$  then  $I_w^\tau = \langle b_{\lambda,t}, (\lambda, t) \notin \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau} \rangle$ . The set  $\{\pi_w^\tau((bv_\lambda)^* \otimes v_\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\lambda_0, b \in \eta_\lambda(\mathcal{B}_\tau(\lambda)) \cap \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)\}$ , where  $\pi_w^\tau$  is the canonical projection of  $R$  onto  $R/I_w^\tau$ , is a basis of  $R_w^\tau$ .

One constructs a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$  of  $R_w^\tau$  using the previous filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$  of  $R$ , as follows  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i},m}^{w,\tau} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i},m} + I_w^\tau$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . The associated graded algebra  $\text{Gr}R_w^\tau$  is the quotient  $\text{Gr}R_w^\tau = \text{Gr}R/\text{Gr}I_w^\tau$ . The ideal  $\text{Gr}I_w^\tau$  of  $\text{Gr}R$  is generated by  $\{\bar{b}_{\lambda,t}, (\lambda, t) \notin \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}\}$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *The set  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$  is a union of faces of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ . In particular  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$  is the set of all integer points in a rational polyhedral convex cone of  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+N}$ .*

This proposition is a consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a rational polyhedral convex cone of  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  and let  $\Gamma'_{\mathbb{R}}$  be an arbitrary subset of  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Consider  $\Gamma := \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  and  $\Gamma' := \Gamma'_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . If  $\Gamma'$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a) *For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\delta \notin \Gamma'$ , one has  $\gamma + \delta \notin \Gamma'$*
- (b) *For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has  $m\gamma \in \Gamma'$*

*then  $\Gamma'$  is a union of faces of  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$  intersected with  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ .*

**Proof:** Given  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$  consider a face  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$  (eventually  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}} = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ ) such that  $\gamma$  belongs to the relative interior of  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ . It suffices to prove that all elements of  $\Phi := \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  belongs to  $\Gamma'$ . Assume  $\delta \in \Phi$ . Since  $\gamma$  lies in the interior of  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma - \frac{1}{m}\delta$  is in  $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Hence  $\gamma' := m\gamma - \delta \in \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , and therefore  $\gamma' \in \Gamma$ . If  $\delta \notin \Gamma'$  then by condition (a) one has  $\gamma' + \delta \notin \Gamma'$ , but one also has  $\gamma' + \delta = m\gamma \in \Gamma'$  by (b). This is absurd. Therefore one has  $\delta \in \Gamma'$ . This prove the Lemma 3.6.

**Proof of the proposition:** Let us apply Lemma 3.6 with  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau} \subset \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Taking into account that  $\text{Gr}I_w^\tau = \langle \bar{b}_{\lambda,t}, (\lambda, t) \notin \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau} \rangle$  is an ideal of  $\text{Gr}R$ , one concludes that the set  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$  satisfies condition (a) of the lemma. Condition (b) is also satisfied. Indeed, fix  $(\lambda, t) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

First of all, choose  $\mathbf{i}'$  adapted to  $w$  and use the reparametrization  $R_{-\mathbf{i}'}^{-\mathbf{i}'} = c_{\mathbf{i}'}(c_{\mathbf{i}'})^{-1}$ . One has  $(\lambda, t) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w$ , hence  $(\lambda, R_{-\mathbf{i}'}^{-\mathbf{i}'}t) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}'}^w$ . On the one hand, as a consequence of Theorem 2.7 the reparametrization verifies  $R_{-\mathbf{i}'}^{-\mathbf{i}'}(mt) = mR_{-\mathbf{i}'}^{-\mathbf{i}'}(t)$ . On the other hand  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}'}^w$  is a cone by Theorem 3.1. Therefore  $(m\lambda, R_{-\mathbf{i}'}^{-\mathbf{i}'}(mt)) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}'}^w$ . One easily deduces that  $m(\lambda, t) = (m\lambda, mt) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w$ . Next, choose  $\mathbf{i}''$  adapted to  $\tau$  and use the reparametrization  $R_{-\mathbf{i}''}^{(\mathbf{i}'')^*} = b_{(\mathbf{i}'')^*}^{-1} \circ c_{\mathbf{i}''}^{-1}$ . Since  $(\lambda, t) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}}^\tau$  there exists  $b \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\lambda)$  such that  $(\lambda, t) = (\lambda, c_{\mathbf{i}'}\eta_\lambda(b))$ . Thus  $(\lambda, R_{-\mathbf{i}''}^{\mathbf{i}''}t) = (\lambda, b_{(\mathbf{i}'')^*}\eta_\lambda(b)) = \Omega_{\mathbf{i}''}(\lambda, c_{\mathbf{i}'}(b))$ , where  $\Omega_{\mathbf{i}''}$  is the map defined in section 2.7. One has  $(\lambda, R_{-\mathbf{i}''}^{\mathbf{i}''}t) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{i}''}\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}''}^\tau$ . Since  $\Omega_{\mathbf{i}''}$  is linear and  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}''}^\tau$  is a cone, one has  $(m\lambda, mR_{-\mathbf{i}''}^{\mathbf{i}''}t) \in \Omega_{\mathbf{i}''}\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}''}^\tau$ . As a consequence of Theorem 2.10 one also has  $mR_{-\mathbf{i}''}^{\mathbf{i}''}t = R_{-\mathbf{i}''}^{\mathbf{i}''}(mt)$ . Hence there exists  $b' \in \mathcal{B}_\tau(\lambda)$  such that  $(m\lambda, R_{-\mathbf{i}''}^{\mathbf{i}''}(mt)) = \Omega_{\mathbf{i}''}(m\lambda, c_{\mathbf{i}''}(b'))$ . In other words, we have  $(m\lambda, b_{(\mathbf{i}'')^*}^{-1} \circ c_{\mathbf{i}''}^{-1}(mt)) = (m\lambda, b_{(\mathbf{i}'')^*}\eta_\lambda(b'))$ . Hence  $(m\lambda, mt) = (m\lambda, c_{\mathbf{i}'}\eta_\lambda(b')) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}}^\tau$ .

Finally, we obtain  $(m\lambda, mt) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$ , that shows that the hypothesis of the Lemma 3.6 are satisfied. We deduce that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$  is a union of faces of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ .

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Richardson varieties  $X_w^\tau$  degenerate in union of irreducible toric varieties which are given by the faces of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$ .*

**Proof:** By Proposition 3.5, there exists faces of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ , namely  $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^k$ ,  $k = 1 \cdots r$ , such that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau} = \bigcup \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^k$ . Thus, one has  $\text{Gr}I_w^\tau = \langle \bar{b}_{\lambda,t}, (\lambda, t) \notin \bigcup_k \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^k \rangle = \bigcap_k \langle \bar{b}_{\lambda,t}, (\lambda, t) \notin \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^k \rangle$ . Spaces  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{i}}^k := \langle \bar{b}_{\lambda,t}, (\lambda, t) \notin \Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^k \rangle$  are prime ideals of  $\text{Gr}R = \langle \bar{b}_{\lambda,t}, (\lambda, t) \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}} \rangle$  since  $\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^k$  are faces of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ . The algebras  $\text{Gr}R/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{i}}^k = \mathbb{C}[\Phi_{\mathbf{i}}^k]$  give irreducible toric varieties which are the irreducible components of the variety associated to  $\text{Gr}R_w^\tau$ .

**3.5. Particular case.** In the case where  $G = SL_n$ , one can construct toric degenerations of the Richardson varieties  $X_w^\tau$  for a suitable choice of  $(w, \tau)$  as follows.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, \dots, n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1)$  be the standard reduced word, and let  $w, \tau \in W$ . If  $\mathbf{i}$  is adapted to  $w$  and  $\mathbf{i}^*$  is adapted to  $\tau$  then  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$  is at most one face of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ .*

**Proof:** In the case where  $\mathbf{i}$  is the standard reduced word, the map  $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}}$  is linear by Corollary 1.8. The map  $\Omega_{\mathbf{i}^*}$  is always linear by definition Section 2.7. By Theorem 3.4 one knows that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}^*}^\tau$  is a face of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}^*}$ , thus one deduces that  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}^*}^\tau = (id \times R_{\mathbf{i}}^{-\mathbf{i}})\Omega_{\mathbf{i}^*}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}^*}^\tau)$  is a face of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$ . Hence,  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau} := \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^w \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{i}^*}^\tau$  is only one face of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$  when it is not empty.

**3.6. Example in the  $A_2$  case.** Let us study the case where  $G = SL_3$ . Let us fix  $\lambda_0 = \varpi_1 + \varpi_2$  and  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1)$ .




FIGURE 3.3. Polytope  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathcal{B}(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2))$

Figure 3.3 represents the polytope  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda_0)$  of all string parameters of  $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_1 + \varpi_2)$ . The cone  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}$  is the cone over this polytope. The flag variety  $G/B$  degenerates in the toric variety associated to this polytope.

Given  $w, \tau$  in  $W$ , denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}(\lambda_0) := \lambda_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N \cap \Gamma_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}$  the set of all string parameters of the elements lying in  $\eta_{\lambda_0}(\mathcal{B}_\tau(\lambda_0)) \cap \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda_0)$ . By Theorem 3.7,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^{w,\tau}(\lambda_0)$  is a face or a union of faces (see Fig.3.4) of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}(\lambda_0)$  corresponding to the toric or semitoric degeneration of the subvariety  $X_w^\tau$ . Some of the starting varieties  $X_w^\tau$  are already toric, if they degenerate in toric varieties then the varieties are the same.

Let us describe this polytope more details in terms of degeneration of Richardson varieties. The vertices A, B, C, D, E, F of the polytope correspond to the  $T$ -fixed points  $wB/B$  of  $G/B$ , for respectively  $w = id, s_1, s_1s_2, s_2, s_2s_1, s_1s_2s_1$ . Note that there is an extra vertex, G, resulting from the degeneration.

The Richardson curves are  $X_{s_1}, X_{s_2}, X^{s_1}, X^{s_2}, X_{s_1s_2}^{s_1s_2}, X_{s_1s_2}^{s_2s_1}, X_{s_2s_1}^{s_1s_2}, X_{s_2s_1}^{s_2s_1}$ , and correspond respectively to the edges [AB], [AD], [CF], [EF], [BC], [CD], [DE], [EG]  $\cup$  [BG]. The point G correspond to the intersection of the irreducible components of the degeneration of  $X_{s_2s_1}^{s_2s_1}$ . There are also two extra edges, namely [AG] and [FG], which will be understood as the intersection of the irreducible components of the degeneration of Richardson surfaces.

The Richardson surfaces are  $X_{s_1s_2}, X_{s_2s_1}, X^{s_2s_1}, X^{s_1s_2}$  and correspond to the faces represented Figure 3.4.




FIGURE 3.4. Faces  $\mathcal{C}_1^{w,\tau}(\lambda_0)$  in the polytope  $\mathcal{C}_i(\lambda_0)$

The edge [AG] corresponds to the intersection of the irreducible components of  $X_{s_2s_1}$ , and the edge [FG] corresponds to the intersection of the irreducible components of  $X^{s_1s_2}$ .

**3.7. Examples in the  $B_2$  case.** In the  $B_2$  case there are two reduced words for  $w_0$ , namely  $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, 2)$  and  $\mathbf{i}' = (2, 1, 2, 1)$ . There is no non trivial diagramm automorphism thus  $\mathbf{i}^* = \mathbf{i}$  and  $\mathbf{i}'^* = \mathbf{i}'$ . We will use the word  $\mathbf{i}$ . Using [12, §4], one describes the string cone  $\mathcal{C}_i$  in  $Z_{\geq 0}^4$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_i : \begin{cases} t_1 \geq 0 & (\Phi_1) \\ t_2 - t_3 \geq 0 & (\Phi_2) \\ t_3 - t_4 \geq 0 & (\Phi_3) \\ t_4 \geq 0 & (\Phi_4) \end{cases}$$

Let us fix a regular dominant weight  $\lambda_0 = \varpi_1 + \varpi_2$ . By [12, §1] the polytope  $\mathcal{C}_i(\lambda_0)$  is the intersection between  $\mathcal{C}_i$  and the following affine cone:

$$\begin{cases} t_1 - t_2 + 2t_3 - t_4 \leq 1 & (\tilde{\Phi}_1) \\ t_2 - 2t_3 + 2t_4 \leq 1 & (\tilde{\Phi}_2) \\ t_3 - t_4 \leq 1 & (\tilde{\Phi}_3) \\ t_4 \leq 1 & (\tilde{\Phi}_4) \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\Phi_i$ , resp.  $\tilde{\Phi}_i$ , the face of the polytope  $\mathcal{C}_i(\lambda_0)$  determined by the inequality  $(\Phi_i)$ , resp.  $(\tilde{\Phi}_i)$ .

The flag variety  $G/B$  degenerates in the toric variety associated to the polytope  $\mathcal{C}_i(\lambda_0)$ . The subvarieties  $X^{w,\tau}$  degenerate in toric or semitoric varieties which are associated to face or union of faces of the polytope. We describe some of them.

The variety  $X_{s_1 s_2 s_1}$  is associated to the face  $\Phi_4$ . It is a 3-dimensional polytope whose vertices are  $\{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 3, 1, 0), (2, 1, 0, 0), (2, 3, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0)\}$ . The variety  $X^{s_1 s_2 s_1}$  is associated to the faces  $\tilde{\Phi}_2 \cup \tilde{\Phi}_4$ . And the variety  $X_{s_1 s_2 s_1}^{s_1 s_2 s_1}$  is associated to the face  $\Phi_4 \cap \tilde{\Phi}_2$ . It is a plane polytope whose vertices are  $\{(0, 1, 0, 0), (2, 1, 0, 0), (2, 3, 1, 0), (0, 3, 1, 0)\}$ .

The variety  $X_{s_1 s_2}$  is associated to the face  $\Phi_3 \cap \Phi_4$ . It is a plane polytope whose vertices are  $\{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (2, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0)\}$  (in this case the degeneration is trivial). The variety  $X^{s_1 s_2}$  is associated to the face  $\tilde{\Phi}_2 \cap \tilde{\Phi}_3$ . It is a plane polytope whose vertices are  $\{(1, 3, 2, 1), (2, 3, 1, 0), (0, 3, 1, 0), (0, 3, 2, 1)\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. Alexeev, M. Brion. Toric degenerations of spherical varieties. ArXiv math.AG/0403379
- [2] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Parametrization of canonical Bases and totally positive matrices. Adv. Math., 122, (1996), 49-149.
- [3] A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinski. Canonical bases for the quantum group of type  $A_r$ , and piecewise-linear combinatorics. Duke Math., 143 (1996), 473-502.
- [4] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky. Tensor product multiplicities, Canonical bases and Totally positive varieties. Invent. Math., 143 (2001), 77-128.
- [5] P. Caldero. Toric degenerations of Schubert varieties. Transf. Groups, Vol. 7, No 1, 51-60, 2002.
- [6] P. Caldero. On the  $q$ -commutations in  $U_q(n)$  at roots of one. J. Algebra, Vol 210, (1998), 557-576.
- [7] P. Caldero, R. Marsh, S. Morier-Genoud. Realisation of Lusztig cones. Represent. Theory 8 (2004), 458-478.
- [8] R. Chirivì. LS Algebras and applications to Schubert varieties, Transform. Groups 5, No. 3, 245-264, 2000.
- [9] W. Fulton. Young Tableaux. Cambridge University Press, 1997
- [10] N. Gonciulea, V. Lakshmibai. Degenerations of flag and Schubert varieties to tori varieties. Transform. Groups 1, no.3, 215-248, 1996.
- [11] M. Kashiwara. On Crystal Bases. Canad. Math. Soc., Conference Proceed., 16, 155-195, 1995.
- [12] P. Littelmann. Cones, crystals and patterns. Transformation Groups, 3, No 2, 145-179, 1998.
- [13] G. Lusztig. Introduction to quantum groups. Progress in Mathematics, 110, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [14] G. Lusztig. Braid group action and canonical bases. Adv. Math., 122, 237-261, 1996.
- [15] S. Morier-Genoud. Relèvement géométrique de la base canonique et involution de Schützenberger. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I337, 371-374, 2003.
- [16] R.W. Richardson. Intersections of double cosets in algebraic groups. Indag. Math., 3, 69-77, 1992.
- [17] M. P. Schützenberger. Promotion des morphismes d'ensembles ordonnés. Discrete Math., 2, 73-94, 1972.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON I, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* morier@igd.univ-lyon1.fr