HAL open science

Particle scattering in loop quantum gravity

Leonardo Modesto, Carlo Rovelli

▶ **To cite this version:**

Leonardo Modesto, Carlo Rovelli. Particle scattering in loop quantum gravity. Physical Review Letters, 2005, 95 (19), pp.191301. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.191301 . hal-00004785

HAL Id: hal-00004785

https://hal.science/hal-00004785v1

Submitted on 5 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Particle scattering in loop quantum gravity

Leonardo Modesto and Carlo Rovelli
Centre de Physique Théorique de Luminy, Université de la Méditerranée, F-13288 Marseille, EU
(October 25, 2018)

We devise a technique for defining and computing n-point functions in the context of a background-independent gravitational quantum field theory. We construct a tentative implementation of this technique in a perturbatively-finite loop/spinfoam model.

arXiv:gr-qc/0502036v1 9 Feb 2005

The lack of a general technique for computing particle scattering amplitudes is a seriously missing ingredient in nonperturbative quantum gravity [1,2]. Various problems can be traced to this absence: the difficulty of deriving the low energy limit of a theory; of comparing alternative theories, such as alternative versions of the hamiltonian operator in loop quantum gravity (LQG) or different spinfoam models; or comparing the predictions of a theory with those of perturbative approaches to quantum gravity, such as perturbative string theory. Here we explore one possibility for defining a general formalism aimed at computing scattering amplitudes. We outline a calculation strategy, which can certainly be improved. Our interest is not in a particular theory, but rather in a general technology to be used for analyzing different models. For concreteness, we implement this strategy in the context of a specific model, and present a well-defined and perturbatively finite expression, which, under substantial assumptions and approximations, might be used as a general covariant n-point function.

In conventional QFT, we can derive all scattering amplitudes from the n-point functions

$$W(x_1,\dots,x_n) = Z^{-1}\int D\phi\ \phi(x_1)\dots\phi(x_n)\ e^{-iS[\phi]} \tag{1}$$

where the $x_i,\ i=1,\dots,n$ are points of the background spacetime, ϕ is the quantum field, $S[\phi]$ its action and Z is the integral of the sole exponential of the action. Alternatively, the n-point functions can be derived from their Euclidean continuations, defined by dropping the i factor in the above expression. The integral (1) is well-defined in perturbation theory or as a limit of a lattice regularization, under appropriate renormalization. A well-known difficulty of background independent quantum field theory is given by the fact that if we assume (1) to be well-defined with general-covariant measure and action, then the n-point function is easily shown to be constant in spacetime (see for instance [3]). This is the difficulty we address here.

Consider a spacetime region R such that the points x_i lie on its 3d boundary Σ. Call φ the restriction of the field ϕ to Σ. Then (1) can be written in the form

$$W(x_1,\dots,x_n) = Z^{-1}\int D\varphi\ \varphi(x_1)\dots\varphi(x_n)\ W[\varphi,\overline{\Sigma}]\ W[\varphi,\Sigma] \tag{2}$$

where (in the euclidean case)

$$W[\varphi,\Sigma] = \int_{\phi|_\Sigma=\varphi} D\phi_R\ e^{-S_R[\phi_R]} \tag{3}$$

is the integral over the fields in R bounded by the 3d field φ on Σ, and S_R is the restriction of the action to R; while $W[\varphi,\overline{\Sigma}]$ is the analogous quantity defined on the complement $\overline{R}$ of R in spacetime. The field boundary propagator (3) has been considered in [4] and [1] and studied in [5]. Assume that we are dealing with an interacting theory, approximated by a free (gaussian) theory $S^{(0)}[\phi]$ in some regime, and that, within a certain approximation of the amplitude (1), the interaction term in the action can be restricted to R. Then we can replace $W[\varphi,\overline{R}]$ with its free theory equivalent

$$W_0[\varphi,\overline{\Sigma}]\ =\ \int_{\phi|_\Sigma=\varphi} D\phi_{\bar{R}}\ e^{-S^{(0)}_{\bar{R}}[\phi]}\ \equiv\ \Psi_\Sigma[\varphi]. \tag{4}$$

This integral is gaussian and can be performed, giving a gaussian "boundary state" $\Psi_\Sigma[\varphi]$, determined by appropriate boundary conditions for the field at infinity. For instance, if we take R to be defined by $t>0$, then $\Psi_{t=0}[\varphi]$ is the vacuum state in the functional Schrödinger representation. In general, we expect the boundary state to be given by some gaussian functional of the boundary field φ on Σ.

Consider a diffeomorphism invariant theory including the gravitational field. Assume that the equations above hold, in some appropriate sense. The field ϕ represents the gravitational field, as well as any eventual matter field, and we assume action and measure to be diffeomorphism invariant. Two important facts follow [6]. First, because of diffeomorphism invariance the boundary propagator $W[\varphi, \Sigma]$ is independent from (local deformations of) the surface Σ. Thus in gravity the left hand side of (3) reads $W[\varphi]$. Second, the geometry of the boundary surface Σ is not determined by a background geometry (there isn't any), but rather by the boundary gravitational field φ itself.

We can obtain an indication on the possible forms of the boundary state in gravity from the free quantum theory of non-interacting gravitons on Minkowski space. If we take R to be $t > 0$, for instance, then $\Psi_{t=0}[\varphi]$ must be approximated by the well-known Schrödinger vacuum wave functional of linearized gravity. This is a gaussian state picked around a classical geometry: the flat geometry of the $t = 0$ surface in Minkowski space. In the case of a compact R, it is then reasonable to consider a gaussian boundary state $\Psi_q[\varphi]$ picked around *some* 3-geometry q of the boundary surface Σ. Thus, we may expect an expression of the form

$$W(x_1, \ldots, x_n;\ q) = Z^{-1} \int D\varphi\ \varphi(x_1) \ldots \varphi(x_n)\ \Psi_q[\varphi]\ W[\varphi] \tag{5}$$

to approximate (1) when the interaction term can be neglected outside R. For this equation to be significant, we have to fix the meaning of the coordinates x_i, since the rest of the expression is generally covariant. There is an obvious choice: the points x_i can be defined with respect to the geometry q. For instance, if $n = 4$, $t_1^0 = t_2^0 = 0$ and $t_3^0 = t_4^0 = T$, (we use $x = (t, \vec{x})$) we can take q to be the geometry of a rectangular box of height T and side L and interpret $\vec{x}_i$ as proper distances from the boundaries of the box. In other words, *the localization of the arguments of the n-point function can be defined with respect to geometry over which the boundary state is picked.* Notice that x_i in (5) are then *metric* coordinates: they refer to gravitational field values. They are not anymore general-covariant coordinates as in (1). In this manner, we can give meaning to n-point functions in a background independent context.

Physically, we can interpret R as a finite spacetime region where a scattering experiment is performed. The quantities x_i are then relative distances and relative proper time separations, measured along the boundary of this region, and determined by (the mean value of) the gravitational field (hence the geometry) on this boundary. This is precisely the correct general-relativistic description of the position measurements in a realistic scattering experiment.

In order to give (5) a fully well-defined meaning, and compute n-point functions concretely, we need four ingredients: (i) A proper definition of the space of the 3d fields φ integrated over, and a well-posed definition of the integration measure. (ii) An explicit expression for the boundary propagator $W[\varphi]$. (iii) An explicit expression for the boundary state $\Psi_q[\varphi]$. (iv) A definition of the field operator $\varphi(x)$. In the following we analyze the status of these four ingredients in the loop and spinfoam approach to quantum gravity. We consider for simplicity pure gravity without matter.

(i) In quantum theory, the boundary values of Feynman integrals can be taken to be the classical dynamical variables only if the corresponding operators have continuum spectrum. If the spectrum is discrete, the boundary values are the quantum numbers that label a basis of eigenstates (see [1]). In our case, the boundary field φ represents the metric of a 3d surface. Let us assume here the results of LQG that the 3d metric is quantized [1]. Therefore we must replace the continuum gravitational field variable φ with the quantum numbers labelling a basis that diagonalizes some metric degrees of freedom. These can be taken to be (abstract) spin networks s, or s-knots. An s-knot is here an equivalence class under (extended [7]) diffeomorphisms of embedded spin networks S. An embedded spin network is a graph immersed in space, labeled with spins and intertwiners. The s-knots are discrete [7]. Thus, we rewrite (5) in the form

$$W(x_1, \ldots x_n;\ g) = Z^{-1} \sum_s c(s)\ \varphi(x_1) \ldots \varphi(x_n) \Psi_q[s]\ W[s]. \tag{6}$$

where the meaning of $\varphi(x)$ will be specified later on. The discrete measure $c(s)$ on the space of the s-knots is defined by the projection of the scalar product of the space of the embedded spin networks ($c(s)$=1) except for discrete symmetries of s.) For simplicity, and in order to match with the spinfoam formalism that we use below, we restrict here the space of the spin networks to the four-valent ones and we identify spin networks with the same graph, spins and intertwiners (i.e., we ignore knotting and linking).

(ii) The boundary propagator $W[s]$ is a now a function of a boundary spin network. A natural possibility is to identify it with the boundary propagator $W[s]$ defined by the spin foam models [8]. For concreteness, let us choose here the model defined by the $SO(4)/SO(3)$ group field theory [9], which gives a perturbation expansion finite at all orders [10]. This is the model denoted GFT/C in [1]. The amplitude of a spin network s is given in this model by

$$W[s] = \int D\Phi\ f_s[\Phi]\ e^{-\int \Phi^2 - \lambda \int \Phi^5}. \tag{7}$$

Here Φ is a function on $[SO(4)]^4$ and the precise meaning of the (symbolic) integrals in the exponent is detailed in [8] and [1]. The quantity $f_s[\Phi]$ is a polynomial in the field Φ, determined by s. It is defined by picking one factor

$$\Phi^i_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_4} = \int dg_1\ldots dg_4\ \Phi(g_1,\ldots,g_4)\ R^{(j_1)\beta_1}_{\alpha_1}(g_1)\ldots R^{(j_4)\beta_4}_{\alpha_4}(g_4)\ v^i_{\beta_1\ldots\beta_4} \tag{8}$$

per node of s, where v^i is the intertwiner of the node and $j_1,\ldots,j_4$ the colors of the adjacent links, and contracting the indices α_i according to the connectivity of the graph of s. The expression (7) is well-defined and finite order by order in λ. (The rigorous proof of this statement is complete up to certain degenerate graphs [8].) The explicit computation of $W[s]$ is entirely combinatorial and can be performed in terms of combinations of nJ Wigner symbols [1]. For completeness, recall that the reason for the definition (7) is that the expansion of $W[s]$ in λ can be written as a sum over spinfoams bounded by the spin network s

$$W[s] = \sum_{\partial\sigma=s} A(\sigma), \tag{9}$$

where the spinfoam amplitude $A(\sigma)$ is the Barrett-Crane discretization of the exponential of the Einstein-Hilbert action of the discrete four-geometry defined by the spinfoam σ. Therefore the definition (7) of $W[s]$ can be interpreted as a (background independent) discretization of the functional integral (3).

(iii) An expression for the boundary state $\Psi_q[s]$ can be obtained from the analysis of the coherent states in LQG [11–13]. For concreteness, let us pick here Conrady's definition of a coherent state [13]. Other more refined expression could be used instead. Conrady has defined a state $\Psi_0[S]$ that describes the Minkoski vacuum as a function of embedded spin networks S, under certain approximations and assumptions. This function has the property of being picked on spin networks that are "weaves", namely that approximate a flat metric q when averaged over regions large compared to the Planck scale [14]. This vacuum state can be written as follows. Pick cartesian coordinates $x^a, a=1,2,3$, on a 3d surface equipped with a flat metric q and with total volume V. Fix a triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ of lattice spacing a, small compared to the Planck length l_p in the metric q. Restrict the attention to embedded spin networks S living on $\mathcal{T}$. Define the form factor of a spin network as

$$F^{ab}_S(\vec{x}) = \frac{\pi l_P^4}{96a^3}\sum_{v\in S}\sum_{e,e'\in v}\int_0^1 dt\int_0^1 dt'\ \dot{e}^a(t)\,\dot{e}^b(t')\,\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{x}_v), \tag{10}$$

where v are the vertices of the spin network S; $\vec{x}_v$ their position; $e: t\mapsto e^a(t)$ the edges; $e\in v$ indicates the edges e adjacent to the vertex v; and $\dot{e}^a = de^a/dt$. Its Fourier transform is $F^{ab}_S(\vec{k}) = V^{-1}\int d^3x\ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\ F^{ab}_S(\vec{x})$. Then

$$\Psi_0[S] = \mathcal{N}\exp\Big[-\frac{1}{4\,l_p^2}\sum_{\vec{k}}|\vec{k}|\ \big|F^{ab}_S(\vec{k})\,j_e(j_e+1)-\sqrt{V}\delta^{ab}\,\delta_{\vec{k},0}\big|^2\Big], \tag{11}$$

where the momenta summed over are the discrete modes on the triangulation; j_e is the spin associated to the edge e; $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalization factor. To understand this construction, notice that if we consider the gravitational field associated to the spin network, (in the sense of the weaves) $q^{ab}_S(\vec{x}) = F^{ab}_S(\vec{x})\,j_e(j_e+1)$, then $\Psi_0[S]=\Psi_0[q_S]$ where

$$\Psi_0[q] = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{4\hbar\kappa}\int d^3x\int d^3y\,[(\tilde{q}^{ab}(\vec{x})-\delta^{ab})\,W_\Lambda(\vec{x}-\vec{y})\,(\tilde{q}^{ab}(\vec{y})-\delta^{ab})]} \tag{12}$$

is the Schödinger functional representation of the linearized vacuum state. $W_\Lambda(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$ is a lattice regularization of the vacuum covariance. We can extend this construction to a 3d (euclidean) rectangular boundary Σ simply taking the product of the Conrady states associated to each of the eight faces forming Σ.

We need to carry this result over to the diffeomorphism invariant s-knot states. Given an abstract spin network s there will be in general one embedded spin network $S(s)$ that maximizes the state $\Psi_0[S]$. We can then tentatively define $\Psi_0[s]=\Psi_0[S(s)]$. Notice that if $\Psi_0[S]$ is picked on weaves, then the diffeomorphism invariant state $\Psi_0[s]$ defined is picked on the corresponding ("weavy") discrete 3-geometries. The maximization condition can be interpreted as a gauge choice, picking the coordinate system in which the 3-geometry is closest to the euclidean metric. The gauge invariant state is then chosen to be the restriction of the state to this gauge surface. In the spirit of [13], we restrict to embedded spin networks S living on $\mathcal{T}$. Given an s-knot s, there is only a discrete number of such spin networks that are in the class s: we choose $S(s)$ that maximizes (11) among these. We expect this definition (possibly with an appropriate correction of the Conrady vacuum state) to converge for fine triangulations, making the background

structure chosen effectively irrelevant for a triangulation sufficiently finer than the Planck length. This construction provides a finite definition of $\Psi_0[s]$, diffeomorphism invariant by definition.

(iv) Finally, we need to define the field $\phi(x)$ appearing in (6). Following [13] we write $h_s^{ab}(x) = (q_{S(s)}^{ab}(x) - \delta^{ab})$, where the point x is defined in terms of the boundary metric q and $q_{S(s)}^{ab}$ is defined above (12). An alternative, which we do not pursue here, is to derive $h^{ab}(x)(S)$ from the action of two $SU(2)$ generators [15].

We can now bring together the various pieces discussed. To start with, consider a parallelepiped in 4d euclidean space with hight T and cubic base of side L. Let Σ be its boundary, equipped with the induced metric q. Fix a triangulation of Σ. The simplest choice is to start from a cubic triangulation of Σ, and to obtain a four-valent lattice, by splitting each (six-valent) vertex of the cubic lattice into two vertices. Replacing the various items discussed into the formal expression (5) we obtain

$$W^{a_1b_1\ldots a_nb_n}(x_1,\ldots x_n;L,T) = Z_{LT}^{-1}\sum_s c(s)\ h_s^{a_1b_1}(x_1)\ldots h_s^{a_nb_n}(x_n)\ \Psi_q[s]\ W[s] \tag{13}$$

where the sum is over all the s-knots that can be embedded in the triangulation. The normalization factor is the "vacuum to vacuum" amplitude $Z_{LT} = \sum_s c(s)\ \Psi_q[s]\, W[s]$. (13) can be expanded in powers λ^n. n is the number of vertices of the spinfoam, which is the number of 4-simplices in a simplicial complex dual to the spinfoam, if this exists. As a rough estimate, we can imagine each 4-simplex to have Planck size: if classical configurations dominate, the main contribution should come from n of the order of the 4-volume of the interaction region in Planck units.

Hypotheses and approximations used to get to (13) are severe. But all quantities in (13) are well defined. The expression is probably finite at any order in λ. We can thus take (13) as a tentative definition of an n-point function within the formalism of non-perturbative quantum gravity. More precisely, we can consider (13) as a tentative concrete definition of the quantity formally given by

$$W^{a_1b_1\ldots a_nb_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = Z^{-1}\int Dg\ g^{a_1b_1}(x_1)\ldots g^{a_nb_n}(x_n)\ e^{-S_{EH}[g]} \tag{14}$$

where S_{EH} is the Einstein-Hilbert action, computed at relative spacetime distances $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ evaluated in terms of the mean value of the quantum gravitational field itself, on a box encircling the interaction region.

The construction can probably be ameliorated and varied in a number of ways, and many issues remain open. There are important missing steps to get to the definition of quantities that can be interpreted as particle transition amplitudes. (On the physical interpretation of "particle" states defined on finite spacial regions, see [16].) The key questions are whether the expression (13) is indeed finite, convergent, and independent from the auxiliary structures uses to define it, when the triangulation is sufficiently finer than the Planck scale, and whether this construction leads, in a first approximation, to the general relativity scattering tree amplitudes.

---

[1] C Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004)
[2] T Thiemann, "Introduction to Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity", gr-qc/0110034
[3] NC Tsamis, RP Woodard, *Annals of Phys* **215** (1992) 96-155
[4] R Oeckl, *Phys Lett* **B575** (2003) 318-324; *Class and Quantum Grav* **20** (2003) 5371-5380
[5] F Conrady, C Rovelli, *Int J Mod Phys* **A 19**, (2004) 1-32. L Doplicher, *Phys Rev* **D70** (2004) 064037
[6] F Conrady, L Doplicher, R Oeckl, C Rovelli, M Testa, *Phys Rev* **D69** (2004) 064019
[7] W Fairbairn, C Rovelli, *J Math Phys* **45** (2004) 2802-2814
[8] A Perez, *Class and Quantum Grav* **20** (2003) R43
[9] A Perez, C Rovelli, *Nucl Phys* **B599** (2001) 255-282. D Oriti, RM Williams, *Phys Rev* **D63** (2001) 024022
[10] A Perez, *Nucl Phys* **B599** (2001) 427-434
[11] A Ashtekar, J Lewandowski, *Class Quant Grav* **18** (2001) L117-128.
[12] H Sahlmann, T Thiemann, O Winkler, *Nucl Phys* **B606** (2001) 401. T Thiemann, gr-qc/0206037.
[13] F Conrady, "Free vacuum for loop quantum gravity", gr-qc/0409036
[14] A Ashtekar, C Rovelli, L Smolin, *Phys Rev Lett* **69** (1992) 237
[15] S Speziale, private communication
[16] D Colosi, C Rovelli, "Global particles, local particles", gr-qc/0409054