# The Chow ring of punctual Hilbert schemes of toric surfaces 

Laurent Evain

## To cite this version:

Laurent Evain. The Chow ring of punctual Hilbert schemes of toric surfaces. 2005. hal-00004600v2

## HAL Id: hal-00004600 https://hal.science/hal-00004600v2

Preprint submitted on 14 Dec 2005

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The Chow ring of punctual Hilbert schemes on toric surfaces 

Laurent Evain (laurent.evain@univ-angers.fr)


#### Abstract

: Let $X$ be a smooth projective toric surface, and $\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)$ the Hilbert scheme parametrising the length $d$ zero-dimensional subschemes of $X$. We compute the rational Chow ring $A^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. More precisely, if $T \subset X$ is the twodimensional torus contained in $X$, we compute the rational equivariant Chow ring $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and the usual Chow ring is an explicit quotient of the equivariant Chow ring. The case of some quasi-projective toric surfaces such as the affine plane are described by our method too.


## Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth projective surface and $\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)$ the Hilbert scheme parametrising the zero-dimensional subschemes of length $d$ of $X$. The problem is to compute the rational cohomology $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)$.

The additive structure of the cohomology is well understood. First, Ellingsrud and Strømme computed in [6] the Betti numbers $b_{i}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)$ when $X$ is a plane or a Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_{n}$. The Betti numbers $b_{i}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)$ for a smooth surface $X$ were computed by Göttsche (11] who realised them as coefficients of an explicit power series in two variables depending on the Betti numbers of $X$. This nice and surprising organisation of the Betti numbers as coefficients of a power series was explained by Nakajima in terms of a Fock space structure on the cohomology of the Hilbert schemes 19. Grojnowski announced similar results 12 .

As to the multiplicative structure of $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)$, the picture is not as clear. There are descriptions valid for general surfaces $X$ but quite indirect and unexplicit, and more explicit descriptions for some special surfaces $X$.

The first steps towards the multiplicative structure were performed again by Ellingsrud and Strømme (7] (see also Fantechi-Göttsche (9]). They gave an indirect description of the ring structure in the case $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in terms of the action of the Chern classes of the tautological bundles. Explicitly, Ellingsrud and Strømme constructed a variety $Y$ whose cohomology is computable, an embedding $i: \mathbb{H}^{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \rightarrow Y$, and proved the isomorphism $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)\right) \simeq$ $H^{*}(Y) / \operatorname{Ann}\left(i_{*}(1)\right)$ where $A n n$ is the annihilator.

When $X=\mathbb{A}^{2}$, Lehn gave in 15 an identification between the cohomology ring $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)$ and a ring of differential operators on a Fock space. With some extra algebraic work, it is possible to derive from it a totally explicit description of the cohomology ring $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\left(\mathbb{A}^{2}\right)\right)$. This was done by Lehn and Sorger in [17. The same result was obtained independently by Vasserot 20] by methods relying on equivariant cohomology.

When $X$ is a smooth projective surface, Costello and Grojnowski have identified $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)$ with two algebras of operators [2].

Lehn and Sorger extended their results to the case of $K 3$ surfaces 16. Li,Qin,Wang have computed the ring structure of $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)$ when $X$ is the total space of a line bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{1} 18$.

The goal of this work is to compute the Chow ring $A^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)\right)$ when $X$ is a smooth projective toric surface. The description is new even in the case $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Nakajima's construction has been fundamental and many of the above papers (20], [15], 17], 16], [18]) rely on it. The present work is independent of Nakajima's framework and uses equivariant Chow rings as the main tool.

For simplicity, we use the notation $\mathbb{H}^{d}$ instead of $\mathbb{H}^{d}(X)$. We use the formalism of Chow rings and work over any algebraically closed field $k$. When $k=\mathbb{C}$, the Chow ring coïncides with usual cohomology since the action of the two-dimensional torus $T$ on $X$ induces an action of $T$ on $\mathbb{H}^{d}$ with a finite number of fixed points.

Equivariant Chow rings. The construction of an equivariant Chow ring associated with an algebraic space endowed with an action of a linear algebraic group has been settled by Edidin and Graham $\|$. Their construction is modeled after the Borel in equivariant cohomology. Brion (1] pushed the theory further in the case the group is a torus $T$ acting on a variety $\mathcal{X}$. In our setting, $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth and projective. Brion gave a description of Edidin and Graham's equivariant Chow ring by generators and relations. This alternative construction makes it possible to prove that the usual Chow ring is an explicit quotient of the equivariant Chow ring. This is the starting point of this work: to realize the usual Chow ring as a quotient of the equivariant Chow ring. Explicitly, the morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow$ Spec $k$ yields a pullback on the level of Chow ring and makes $A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})$ a $A_{T}^{*}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ algebra. There is an isomorphism $A^{*}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) / A_{T}^{>0}(\operatorname{Spec} k) A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})$.

Moreover, over the rationals, the restriction to fixed points $A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow$ $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathcal{X}^{T}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective and its image is the intersection of the images of the morphisms $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathcal{X}^{T^{\prime}}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathcal{X}^{T}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ where $T^{\prime}$ runs over all one codimensional subtori of $T$.
Thus the natural context is that of rational Chow rings and we lighten the notations: From now on, the symbols $A^{*}(\mathcal{X}), A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})$ will implicitly stand for the rational Chow rings $A^{*}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}, A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
We apply Brion's results to $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{H}^{d}$. The locus $\mathcal{X}^{T}=\mathbb{H}^{d, T}$ is a finite number of points and the ring $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T}\right)$ is a product of polynomial rings. In particular, the multiplicative structure of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right) \subset A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T}\right)$ is completly determined. In view of the above description, the problem of computing $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right) \subset A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T}\right)$ reduces to the computation of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}\right) \subset A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T}\right)$. The steps are as follows.

- First, we study the geometry of the locus $\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}} \subset \mathbb{H}^{d}$. We identify its irreducible components with products $V_{1} \times \ldots \times V_{r}$ where each term $V_{i}$ in the product is a projective space or a graded Hilbert scheme $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, H$, in the sense of Haiman-Sturmfels 13 .
- A graded Hilbert scheme $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, H$ appearing as a term $V_{i}$ is embeddable in a product $\mathbb{G}$ of Grassmannians. A sligth modification of an argument by King and Walter 14 shows that the restriction morphism $A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow$ $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right)$ is surjective. The idea for this step is that the universal family over $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ is a family of $k[x, y]$-modules with a nice resolution. Since the equivariant Chow ring $A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G})$ is computable, we obtain a description of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right)$.
- It then suffices to put the two above steps together via a Küneth equivariant formula to obtain a description of the equivariant Chow ring $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}\right)$, thus of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right)=\cap_{T^{\prime}} A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}\right)$ (Theorem 17).

At this point, the description of the equivariant Chow ring is complete, but the formula in theorem 17 involves tensor products, direct sums and intersections. The last step consists in an application of a Bott formula (proved by Edidin and Graham in an algebraic context (5) to get a nicer description. This is done in Theorem 28: If $\hat{T}$ is the character group of $T, S=\operatorname{Sym}(\hat{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ is the symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra over $\hat{T}, A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right) \subset S^{\mathbb{H}^{d, T}}$ is realised as a set of tuples of polynomials satisfying explicit congruence relations. In this setting, the usual Chow ring is the quotient of the equivariant Chow ring by the ideal generated by the elements $(f, \ldots, f), f$ homogeneous with positive degree. We illustrate our method on the case of $\mathbb{H}^{3}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ in theorem 33 .

Though we suppose for convenience that the underlying surface $X$ is projective, the descriptions of the Chow ring could be performed with conditions on $X$ weaker than projectivity: $X$ need only to be filtrable [1]. In particular, the method applies for the affine plane.

The key results about equivariant Chow rings used in the text have been extended to an equivariant $K$-theory setting by Vezzosi and Vistoli 21. Thus the method developped in the present paper should generalize to equivariant $K$-theory as well.

Acknowledgments. Michel Brion generously shared his knowledge about equivariant cohomology. It is a pleasure to thank him for the stimulating discussions we had.

## 1 Hilbert functions

To follow the method sketched in the introduction, we need to compute the irreducible components of $\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}$ for a one-codimensional torus $T^{\prime} \subset T$. In this section, we introduce the basic notations and several notions of Hilbert functions useful to describe these irreducible components. We also define the Hilbert schemes and Grassmannians associated with these Hilbert functions.

## The toric surface $X$

Let $T$ be a 2 -dimensional torus with character group $\hat{T}$. Let $N=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{T}, \mathbb{Z})$, $N_{\mathbb{R}}=N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ a fan defining a smooth projective toric surface $X$. Denote the maximal cones of $\Delta$ by $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}$ with the convention $\sigma_{r+1}=\sigma_{1}$, and by $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ the corresponding closed points of $X$. Assume that the cones are ordered such that $\sigma_{i} \cap \sigma_{i+1}=\sigma_{i, i+1}$ is a one-dimensional cone. Denote respectivly by $U_{i, i+1}, O_{i, i+1}, V_{i, i+1}=\bar{O}_{i, i+1}$ the open subvariety, the orbit and the closed subvariety of $X$ associated with the cone $\sigma_{i, i+1}$. Define similarly $U_{i} \subset X$ the open subscheme associated with $\sigma_{i}$. Explicitly, if $\sigma_{i}^{\nu} \subset \hat{T} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is the dual cone of $\sigma_{i} \subset \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $R_{i}=k\left[\sigma_{i}^{\nu} \cap \hat{T}\right]$, then $U_{i}=S p e c R_{i}$. The inclusion $R_{i} \subset k[\hat{T}]$ induces an open embedding $T=\operatorname{Spec} k[\hat{T}] \hookrightarrow U_{i}$. The action of $T$ on itself by translation extends to an action of $T$ on $U_{i}$, and to an action of $T$ on $X=\cup U_{i}$.

The open subscheme $U_{i}$ is isomorphic to an affine plane Spec $k[x, y]$. When using such coordinates $x, y$, we require $x y=0$ to be the equation of $V_{i-1, i} \cup$ $V_{i, i+1}$ around $p_{i}$. The isomorphism $U_{i} \simeq \operatorname{Spec} k[x, y]$ is then defined up to the automorphism of $k[x, y]$ that exchanges the two coordinates.

## Subtori and their fixed locus

Let $T^{\prime} \hookrightarrow T$ be a one-dimensional subtorus of $T, \hat{T}^{\prime}$ its character group. The torus $T^{\prime}$ acts on $X$ by restriction and $U_{i} \subset X$ is an invariant open subset. The action of $T^{\prime}$ on $U_{i}$ induces a decomposition $R_{i}=\sum_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}$, where $R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi} \subset R_{i}$ is the subvector space on which $T^{\prime}$ acts with character $\chi$.
One shows easily that the fixed locus $X^{T^{\prime}}$ admits two types of connected components. Some components are isolated fixed points. We let

$$
\operatorname{PFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\left\{p \in X^{T^{\prime}}, p \text { isolated }\right\} .
$$

The other components are projective lines $V_{i, i+1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ joining two points $p_{i}, p_{i+1}$ of $X^{T}$. We let

$$
\operatorname{LFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\}, p_{i}, p_{i+1} \text { lie in an invariant } \mathbb{P}^{1}\right\} .
$$

By construction,

$$
X^{T}=L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right) \cup P F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Staircases and Hilbert functions

A staircase $E \subset \mathbb{N}^{2}$ is a subset whose complement $C=\mathbb{N}^{2} \backslash E$ satisfies $C+\mathbb{N}^{2} \subset$ $C$. In our context, the word staircase will stand for finite staircase. By extension, a staircase $E \subset k[x, y]$ is a set of monomials $m_{i}=x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}$ such that the the set of exponents $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ is a staircase of $\mathbb{N}^{2}$. The automorphism of $k[x, y]$ exchanging $x$ and $y$ preserves the staircases. In particular it makes sense to consider staircases in $R_{i}$, though the automorphism $R_{i} \simeq k[x, y]$ is not canonical.
A staircase $E \subset R_{i}$ defines a monomial zero-dimensional subcheme $Z(E) \subset U_{i}$
whose ideal is generated by the monomials $m \in R_{i} \backslash E$. A multistaircase is a $r$-tuple $\underline{E}=\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{r}\right)$ of staircases with $E_{i} \subset R_{i}$. It defines a subscheme $Z(\underline{E})=\overleftarrow{\coprod} Z\left(E_{i}\right)$.
In our context, a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function is a function $H: \hat{T}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\# H=\sum_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} H(\chi)$ is finite. A $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert multifunction $\underline{H}$ is a collection of $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert functions $H_{C}$ parametrized by the connected components $C$ of $X^{T^{\prime}}$. Its cardinal is by definition

$$
\# \underline{H}=\sum_{C} \# H_{C} .
$$

Equivalently, a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert multifunction is a $r$-tuple $\underline{H}=\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right)$ of Hilbert functions such that $H_{i}=H_{i+1}$ if $\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in \operatorname{LFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.
If $Z \subset X$ is a zero-dimensional subscheme fixed under $T^{\prime}$, then $H^{0}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)$ is a representation of $T^{\prime}$ which can be decomposed as $\oplus V_{\chi}$ where $V_{\chi} \subset H^{0}\left(Z, \mathcal{O}_{Z}\right)$ is the subspace on which $T^{\prime}$ acts through $\chi$. The $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function associated with $Z$ is by definition $H_{T^{\prime}, Z}(\chi)=\operatorname{dim} V_{\chi}$. We also define a Hilbert multifunction $\underline{H}_{T^{\prime}, Z}$ as follows. If $p_{i} \in \operatorname{PFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, let $Z_{i} \subset Z$ the component of $Z$ located on $p_{i}$ and $H_{i}=H_{T^{\prime}, Z_{i}}$. If $\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in \operatorname{LFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, let $Z_{i}=Z_{i+1} \subset Z$ the component of $Z$ located on $V_{i, i+1}$ and $H_{i}=H_{i+1}=H_{T^{\prime}, Z_{i}}$. The Hilbert multifunction associated to $Z$ is

$$
\underline{H}_{T^{\prime}, Z}=\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right) .
$$

By construction, we have the equality

$$
\# \underline{H}_{T^{\prime}, Z}=\operatorname{length}(Z)
$$

A partition of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is decreasing sequence $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots$ of integers $\left(n_{i} \geq n_{i+1} \geq\right.$ $0)$ with $n_{i}=0$ for $i \gg 0$, and $\sum n_{i}=n$. The number of parts is the number of integers $i$ with $n_{i} \neq 0$.
We will denote by

- Part ( $n$ ) the set of partitions of $n, \operatorname{Part}=\amalg \operatorname{Part}(n)$,
- $\mathcal{E}$ the set of staircases of $\mathbb{N}^{2}$,
- $\mathcal{M E}$ the set of multistaircases,
- $\mathcal{H}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ the set of $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert functions,
- $\mathcal{M H}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ the set of $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert multifunctions.


## Hilbert schemes and Grassmannians

We denote by $\mathbb{H}$ the Hilbert scheme parametrizing the 0-dimensional subschemes of $X$. It is a disjoint union $\mathbb{H}=\coprod \mathbb{H}^{d}$, where $\mathbb{H}^{d}$ parametrizes the subschemes of length $d$. We denote by $\mathbb{H}_{i} \subset \mathbb{H}$ the open subscheme parametrizing the subschemes whose support is in $U_{i}$, and $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}=\mathbb{H}_{i} \cup \mathbb{H}_{i+1}$.
The action of the torus $T$ on $X$ induces an action of $T$ on $\mathbb{H}$. We denote by $\mathbb{H}^{T} \subset \mathbb{H}$ the fixed locus under this action. If $T^{\prime} \subset T$ is a one dimensional subtorus, and $\underline{H}$ is a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert multifunction, $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H} \subset \mathbb{H}$ parametrizes by
definition the subschemes $Z, T^{\prime}$ fixed, with $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert multifunction $\underline{H}_{T^{\prime}, Z}=\underline{H}$. Define similarly $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ for a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function $H$.
We will freely mix the above notations by intersecting the subschemes when we gather the indexes. For instance, $\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T}=\mathbb{H}_{i} \cap \mathbb{H}^{T}, \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H, T}=\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H} \cap \mathbb{H}^{T}$, $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}}=\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1} \cap \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}$ etc $\ldots$ To avoid ambiguity, the formula is

$$
\mathbb{H}_{s}^{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}}=\mathbb{H}_{s} \cap \mathbb{H}^{s_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{H}^{s_{k}}
$$

where

$$
s \in\{i,\{i, i+1\}\}, s_{i} \in\left\{d, T^{\prime},\left(T^{\prime}, \underline{H}\right),\left(T^{\prime}, H\right), T\right\} .
$$

If $T^{\prime} \hookrightarrow T$ is a one dimensional subtorus and if $(i, \chi, h) \in\{1, \ldots, r\} \times \hat{T}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{N}$, we denote by

$$
\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, h}
$$

the Grassmannian parametrising the subspaces of $R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}$ of codimension $h$. If $H$ is a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function, $\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, H}=\prod_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} \mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, H(\chi)}$. It is a well defined finite product since $G_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, H(\chi)}$ is a point for all but finite values of $\chi$.

## 2 Description of the fixed loci

Let $T^{\prime} \hookrightarrow T$ be a one dimensional subtorus. The goal of this section is to give a description of the irreducible components of $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}$ (proposition ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and the comment preceding it).

Theorem 1.

$$
\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{H}\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H}
$$

is the decomposition of $\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}}$ into smooth disjoint irreductible components.
Proof. This is proved in [8].

Remark 2. For some $H, \mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ may be empty so the result is that the irreducible components are in one-to-one correspondance with the set of possible Hilbert functions $H$. Throwing away the empty sets in the above decomposition is possible: There is an algorithmic procedure to detect the emptiness of $\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ (国], remark 23).

Now the goal is to prove that $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ is empty or a product $P$ of projective spaces when $\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in \operatorname{LFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. An embedding $P \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}$ is constructed in the next proposition. Then it will be shown that a non empty $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H} \subset \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}$ is the image of such an embedding.

Let $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \mathcal{P a r t}(d)$ be a partition. Let $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}, 0=n_{s+1}\right)$ be the finite subsequence with no repetition obtained from $\pi$ with the removal of duplicates. Denote by $d_{l}$ the number of indexes $j$ with $\pi_{j}=n_{l}$. In other words,

$$
\pi=(\underbrace{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{1}}_{d_{1} \text { times }}, \underbrace{n_{2}, \ldots, n_{2}}_{d_{2} \text { times }}, \ldots, \underbrace{n_{s}, \ldots, n_{s}}_{d_{s} \text { times }}, 0, \ldots)
$$

Let $\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in \operatorname{LFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $p \in V_{i, i+1}$. Since $V_{i, i+1} \subset U_{i} \cup U_{i+1}$, one may suppose by symmetry that $p \in U_{i} \simeq \operatorname{Spec} k[x, y]$. Exchanging the roles of $x$ and $y$, we may suppose that $V_{i, i+1}$ is defined by $y=0$ in $U_{i}$. We denote by $Z_{p, k}$ the subscheme with equation $\left(x-x(p), y^{k}\right)$. Intrinsecally, it is characterized as the only length $k$ curvilinear subscheme $Z \subset X$ supported by $p, T^{\prime}$-fixed, such that $Z \cap V_{i, i+1}=p$ as a schematic intersection. The rational function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\pi}: \text { Sym }^{d_{1}} V_{i, i+1} \times \ldots \times \text { Sym }^{d_{s}} V_{i, i+1} & -\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}} \\
\left(p_{11}, \ldots, p_{1 d_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{s 1} \ldots p_{s d_{s}}\right) & \mapsto \coprod_{i \leq s, j \leq d_{i}} Z_{p_{i j}, n_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is well defined on the locus where all the points $p_{a, b} \in V_{i, i+1}$ are distinct. In fact, it is regular everywhere.

Proposition 3. The function $\varphi_{\pi}$ extends to a regular embedding Sym ${ }^{d_{1}} V_{i, i+1} \times$ $\ldots \times$ Sym $^{d_{s}} V_{i, i+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}$.
Proof. The extension property is local thus it suffices to check it on an open covering. The covering $V_{i, i+1}=\left(V_{i, i+1} \cap U_{i}\right) \cup\left(V_{i, i+1} \cap U_{i+1}\right)=W_{i} \cup W_{i+1}$ of $V_{i, i+1}$ induces a covering of the symmetric products $S y m^{d} V_{i, i+1}$. All the open sets in this covering play the same role. Thus by symmetry, it suffices to define an embedding

$$
\psi_{\pi}: \operatorname{Sym}^{d_{1}} W_{i} \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{d_{s}} W_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}
$$

which generically coïncides with $\varphi_{\pi}$.
Let $Z\left(p_{11}, \ldots, p_{s d_{s}}\right) \subset U_{i}$ be the subscheme defined by the ideal

$$
I_{Z}=\left(y^{n_{1}}, y^{n_{2}} \prod_{\beta \leq d_{1}}\left(x-x\left(p_{1 \beta}\right)\right), \ldots, y^{n_{s}} \prod_{\alpha<s}^{\beta \leq d_{\alpha}} x-x\left(p_{\alpha \beta}\right), \prod_{\alpha \leq s}^{\beta \leq d_{\alpha}} x-x\left(p_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\pi}: \text { Sym }^{d_{1}} W_{i} \times \ldots \times \text { Sym }^{d_{s}} W_{i} & \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}} \\
\left(p_{11}, \ldots, p_{1 d_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{s 1} \ldots p_{s d_{s}}\right) & \mapsto Z\left(p_{11}, \ldots, p_{s d_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $Z\left(p_{11}, \ldots, p_{s d_{s}}\right)$ is $T^{\prime}$-fixed since $T^{\prime}$ does not act on $x$. Thus, $\psi_{\pi}$ is a well defined morphism which extends $\varphi_{\pi}$. Now, for $1 \leq \alpha \leq s$, the transporter $\left(I_{Z}+y^{n_{\alpha+1}+1}: y^{n_{\alpha+1}}\right)$ defines a subscheme $Z_{\alpha}$ of $V_{i, i+1}$ of length $d_{1}+\cdots+d_{\alpha}$. Since $Z_{\alpha-1} \subset Z_{\alpha}$ the residual scheme $Z_{\alpha}^{\prime}=Z_{\alpha} \backslash Z_{\alpha-1}$ is well defined for $\alpha \geq 2$. Consider the morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho: \operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{\pi}\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{d_{1}} W_{i} \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{d_{s}} W_{i} \\
Z & \mapsto\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}^{\prime}, Z_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots, Z_{s}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The composition $\rho \circ \psi_{\pi}$ is the identity. Thus, $\psi_{\pi}$ is an embedding, as expected.

Remark that the $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function $H_{T^{\prime}, Z}$ is constant when $Z$ moves in a connected component of $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}$. In particular, it is constant on $\operatorname{Im}\left(\varphi_{\pi}\right)$ and $\varphi_{\pi}$ factorizes:

$$
\varphi_{\pi}: \prod_{\alpha \leq s} S^{\prime} m^{d_{\alpha}} V_{i, i+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi}}
$$

for a uniquely defined $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function $H_{\pi, T^{\prime}, i, i+1}$ that we note $H_{\pi}$ for simplicity.

Proposition 4. Let $\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, Ha $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function. If $H=H_{\pi}$ for some $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ art, then $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ is a product of projective spaces, thus irreducible. If $H \neq H_{\pi}$ then $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H}=\emptyset$.
Proof. If $H=H_{\pi}$ for some $\pi \in \mathcal{P}$ art, it suffices to prove that

$$
\varphi_{\pi}: \prod_{\alpha \leq s} S y m^{d_{\alpha}} V_{i, i+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi}}
$$

is an isomorphism. We already know that $\varphi_{\pi}$ is an embedding thus we need surjectivity. Let $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi}}$. We may suppose without loss of generality that $Z \subset U_{i}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[x_{i}, y_{i}\right]$ and that $V_{i, i+1}$ is defined by $y_{i}=0$ in $U_{i}$. Since the ideal $I$ of $Z$ is $T^{\prime}$-invariant, it is generated by elements $y_{i}^{k} P\left(x_{i}\right)$, where $P$ is a polynomial. The power $l$ being fixed, the polynomials $P$ such that $y_{i}^{l} P\left(x_{i}\right) \in I$ form an ideal in $k\left[x_{i}\right]$ generated by a polynomial $P_{l}$. The condition for $I$ to be an ideal implies the divisibility relation $P_{m} \mid P_{l}$ for $l<m$. Since $Z$ is 0 dimensional, $P_{i}=1$ for $i \gg 0$. Let $t$ be the smallest integer such that $P_{t}=1$ : $1=P_{t}\left|P_{t-1}\right| \ldots \mid P_{0}$. In particular the sequence

$$
D=\left(D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots\right)=\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{0}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots\right)
$$

is a partition. Let $D^{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}$ art be the partition conjugate to $D$, ie. $D^{\nu}(k)=$ $\#\left\{j\right.$ s.t. $\left.D_{j} \geq k\right\}$. By construction, $D^{\nu}=\pi$. Let $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)$ be the list obtained from the list $\left(D_{t}-D_{t+1}, D_{t-1}-D_{t}, \ldots, D_{1}-D_{2}\right)$ by suppression of the zeros. Then $d_{\alpha}=\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{j-1}\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{j}\right)$ for some $j$ and we let $p_{\alpha, 1}, \ldots, p_{\alpha, d_{\alpha}}$ be the zeros of the polynomial $\frac{P_{j-1}}{P_{j}}$. By definition of $\varphi_{\pi}$, we have the equality $Z=\varphi_{\pi}\left(p_{11}, \ldots, p_{s d_{s}}\right)$, which shows the expected surjectivity.
If $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ is non empty, it contains a subscheme $Z \subset X$ fixed under the action of $T$. Such a $Z=Z\left(E_{i}\right) \cup Z\left(E_{i+1}\right)$ is characterized by a pair $\left(E_{i}, E_{i+1}\right)$ of staircases in $R_{i}$ and $R_{i+1}$. Suppose as before that $V_{i, i+1}$ is defined by $y_{i}=0$ around $p_{i}$ and by $y_{i+1}=0$ around $p_{i+1}$. Using these coordinates, $E_{i}\left(\operatorname{resp} E_{i+1}\right)$ is associated with a partition $\pi^{i}$ (resp. $\pi^{i+1}$ ) defined by $x_{i}^{a} y_{i}^{b} \in E_{i} \Rightarrow b<\pi_{a+1}^{i}$ (resp. $\left.x_{i+1}^{a} y_{i+1}^{b} \in E_{i+1} \Rightarrow b<\pi_{a+1}^{i+1}\right)$. Let $\pi=\left(\pi^{i \nu}+\pi^{i+1 \nu}\right)^{\nu}$. Then

$$
H=H_{T^{\prime}, Z}=H_{T^{\prime}, Z\left(E_{i}\right)}+H_{T^{\prime}, Z\left(E_{i+1}\right)}=H_{\pi^{i}}+H_{\pi^{i+1}}=H_{\pi}
$$

Knowing that $\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ is empty or irreducible (theorem』) and that $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ is empty or a product of projective spaces (proposition (1), we obtain easily the irreducible components of $\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}$ : According to the last but one item of the next proposition, $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ is empty or irreducible. Thus, the last item is the decomposition of $\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}$ into irreducible components (in fact into empty or irreducible components and we know which terms in the union are empty).
Proposition 5. $\quad \mathbb{H}^{T}=\coprod_{\underline{E} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{E}} Z(\underline{E})$.

- $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}=\prod_{p_{i} \in P F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}} \times \prod_{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}}$.
- $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}}=\prod_{p_{i} \in P F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H_{i}} \times \prod_{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{i}}$.
- $\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}=\coprod_{\underline{H} \in \mathcal{M H}\left(T^{\prime}\right), \# \underline{H}=d} \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}}$.

Proof. The first point is well known. As to the second point, since the support of a subscheme $Z \subset X$ parametrised by $p \in \mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}}$ (resp. by $p \in \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}}$ ) is $p_{i}$ (resp. is on $V_{i, i+1}$ ) and since the various $p_{i}, V_{i, i+1}$ do not intersect, the union morphism is a well defined embedding

$$
\prod_{i \in P F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}} \times \prod_{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}} .
$$

Since the support of a subscheme $Z \in \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}$ is included in $X^{T^{\prime}}=$ PFix $\left(T^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{LFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, the surjectivity is obvious. The third point follows from the second. The last point is easy.

## 3 Equivariant Chow rings of products of Grassmannians

Let $V$ be a vector space with base $\mathcal{B}=\left\{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$. Let $\chi_{0}, \ldots, \chi_{n} \in \hat{T}$ be distinct characters of $T$. These characters define an action of $T$ on $V$ by the formula $t .\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\left(\chi_{0}(t) v_{0}, \ldots, \chi_{n}(t) v_{n}\right)$. The $T$-action on $V$ induces a $T$ action on the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(d, V)$ parametrising the $d$-dimensional quotients of $V$. In this section, we compute the $T$-equivariant Chow ring of $\mathbb{G}(d, V)$ and of products of such Grassmannians.

## Equivariant Chow ring of $\mathbb{G}(d, V)$

First, we recall the definition of equivariant Chow rings in the special case of a $T$-action (To keep constant the conventions of the paper, we work with rational Chow groups though it is not necessary in this section).
Let $U=\left(k^{r} \backslash 0\right) \times\left(k^{r} \backslash 0\right)$. The torus $T \simeq k^{*} \times k^{*}$ acts on $U$ by the formula $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)(v, w)=\left(t_{1} v, t_{2} w\right)$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a $T$-variety, the quotient $(U \times \mathcal{X}) / T=U \times{ }^{T} \mathcal{X}$
admits a projection to $U / T=\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. The Chow group $A_{l+2 r-2}\left(U \times^{T} \mathcal{X}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $r$ provided that $r$ is big enough (explicitly $r>\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{X}-l$ ) and this Chow group is by definition the equivariant Chow group $A_{l}^{T}(\mathcal{X})$. In case $\mathcal{X}$ is smooth, we let $A_{T}^{l}(\mathcal{X})=A_{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{X}-l}^{T}(\mathcal{X})$ and this makes $A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})=\oplus_{l \geq 0} A_{T}^{l}(\mathcal{X})$ a ring.
A $T$-equivariant vector bundle $F \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ defines equivariant Chern classes: $F \times{ }^{T} U$ is a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X} \times{ }^{T} U$ and by definition $c_{i}^{T}(F)=c_{i}\left(F \times{ }^{T} U\right)$.
The equivariant Chow ring $A_{T}^{*}(\operatorname{Spec} k)$ of a point is a polynomial ring by the above description. A more intrinsec description is as follows. A character $\chi \in \hat{T}$ defines canonically an equivariant line bundle $V_{\chi}$ over $S p e c k$. The map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{T} & \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}(\text { Spec } k) \\
\chi & \mapsto c_{1}^{T}\left(V_{\chi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

extends to an isomorphism

$$
S=\operatorname{Sym}(\hat{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}(\operatorname{Spec} k)
$$

where $\operatorname{Sym}(\hat{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ is the symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra over $\hat{T}$.
The morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow$ Spec $k$ induces by pullback a $S$-algebra structure over $A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})$.

Let us now turn to the case $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{G}(d, V)$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ the line bundle $V_{\chi} \times^{T} U \rightarrow U / T$. One checks easily that $\mathbb{G}(d, V) \times^{T} U \rightarrow U / T$ is the Grassmann bundle $\mathbb{G}\left(d, \mathcal{O}\left(\chi_{0}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(\chi_{n}\right)\right)$. The universal rank $d$ quotient bundle $Q_{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\left(d, \mathcal{O}\left(\chi_{0}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(\chi_{n}\right)\right)$ over the Grassmann bundle has total space $Q_{T}=Q \times{ }^{T} U$ where $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(d, V)$ is the universal quotient bundle over the Grasmanniann. In particular $c_{i}^{T}(Q)=c_{i}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

If $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\operatorname{dim} V-d}, 0, \ldots\right) \in \mathcal{P}$ art, let us denote by

$$
D_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left(c_{\lambda_{i}+s-i}^{T}(Q)\right)_{1 \leq i, s \leq \operatorname{dim} V-d}
$$

the associated Schur polynomial in the equivariant Chern classes of $Q$.
Proposition 6. The elements $D_{\lambda}$ generate the $S$-module $A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G}(d, V))$.
Proof. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{N}, A_{\bar{T}}^{\leq \delta} \subset A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G}(d, V))$ be the submodule defined by the elements of degree at most $\delta$. It suffices to prove that every class in $A_{\bar{T}}^{\leq \delta}$ is a linear combination of $D_{\lambda}$ 's with coefficients in $S$. By definition, $A_{\bar{T}}^{\leq \delta}=A^{\leq \delta}\left(U \times^{T} \mathbb{G}(d, V)\right)$ with $U=\left(k^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times\left(k^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and $n \gg 0$. As explained, the quotient $U \times{ }^{T} \mathbb{G}(d, V)$ is a Grassmann bundle over $U / T$ and the result follows from [10, Proposition 14.6.5 and Example 14.6.4, which describe the Chow ring of Grassmann bundles.

Remark 7. The number of generators in the proposition is finite since $D_{\lambda}=0$ for $\lambda_{1}>d$.

To realize $A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G}(d, V))$ as an explicit $S$-subalgebra of $S^{\mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}}$, we recall from [1], the following result:

Proposition 8. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a projective non singular variety, the inclusion map $i$ : $\mathcal{X}^{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ induces an injective $S$-algebra homomorphism $i_{T}^{*}: A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathcal{X}^{T}\right)$.

In fact, Brion proved the injectivity of $i_{T}^{*}$ when $\mathcal{X}$ is a smooth filtrable variety and projective varieties are filtrable.
In the present situation, $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{G}(d, V)$. A point $p_{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}$ is characterized by a subset $\Sigma=\left\{e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{d}}\right\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of cardinal $d$ : if $W \subset V$ is the vector space generated by $\left\{e_{j}, e_{j} \notin \Sigma\right\}$, then

$$
p_{\Sigma}=V / W
$$

Let $\sigma_{i}$ be the $i$-th symmetric polynomial in $d$ variables. Let

$$
c_{i, \Sigma}=\sigma_{i}\left(\chi_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \chi_{i_{d}}\right) \in S
$$

and

$$
c_{i} \in S^{\mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}}=\left(c_{i, \Sigma}\right)_{\Sigma \subset B, \# \Sigma=d} .
$$

Proposition 9. Let $i_{T}^{*}: A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G}(d, V)) \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}\right)=S^{\mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}}$ be the restriction morphism induced by the inclusion $i: \mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}(d, V)$. Then $i_{T}^{*}\left(c_{i}^{T}(Q)\right)=c_{i}$.
Proof. The fiber of the universal quotient bundle $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(d, V)$ over $p_{\Sigma}, \quad \Sigma=\left\{e_{i_{1}}, \ldots, e_{i_{d}}\right\}$, is a direct sum of one-dimensional representations with characters $\chi_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \chi_{i_{d}}$ thus its equivariant total Chern class is $c^{T}(Q)=\prod_{j \leq d}\left(1+\chi_{i_{j}}\right)$.

Notation 10. If $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\operatorname{dim} V-d}, 0, \ldots\right) \in \mathcal{P a r t}$, let

$$
\Delta_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left(c_{\lambda_{i}+s-i}\right)_{1 \leq i, s \leq \operatorname{dim} V-d} \in S^{\mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}}
$$

As in remark 0 , only a finite number of $\Delta_{\lambda}$ are non zero.
Corollary 11. The $S$-algebra $A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G}(d, V)) \subset S^{\mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}}$ is generated as an $S$ module by the elements $\Delta_{\lambda}$.

Proof. The restriction morphism $A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G}(d, V)) \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}\right)=S^{\mathbb{G}(d, V)^{T}}$ is injective and gives the inclusion. Since $c_{i}^{T}(Q)$ restricts to $c_{i}$, the generators $D_{\lambda}$ of the $S$-module $A_{T}^{*}(\mathbb{G}(d, V))$ restrict to $\Delta_{\lambda}$. The result follows.

## Products of Grassmannians

In the sequel, we will need to compute equivariant Chow rings of products of Grassmannians, and of products in general. The following result explains how to deal with these products.

Notation 12. If $P$ and $Q$ are two finite sets, $M \subset S^{P}$ and $N \subset S^{Q}$ are two $S$-modules, we denote by $M \otimes N$ the $S$-submodule of $S^{P \times Q}$ image of $M \otimes N$ under the natural isomorphism $S^{P \times Q} \simeq S^{P} \otimes S^{Q}$.

Proposition 13. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth projective $T$-varieties with a finite number of fixed points. Let $A_{T}^{*}(X) \subset S^{X^{T}}$ and $A_{T}^{*}(Y) \subset S^{Y^{T}}$ be their equivariant Chow rings. Then $A_{T}^{*}(X \times Y) \subset S^{(X \times Y)^{T}}$ identifies to $A_{T}^{*}(X) \otimes A_{T}^{*}(Y)$.

Lemma 14. If $X$ and $Y$ are two smooth $T$-varieties with a finite number of fixed points, then $A_{T}^{*}(X \times Y)=A_{T}^{*}(X) \otimes A_{T}^{*}(Y)$.
Proof. Let $F$ be a smooth variety with a cellular decomposition and $B$ a smooth variety. According to [3] , prop. 2, if $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ is a locally trivial fibration with fiber $F$, there is a non canonical isomorphism of $A^{*}(B)$-modules

$$
\varphi: A^{*}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow A^{*}(B) \otimes A^{*}(F)
$$

Explicitly, let us denote by $f_{i} \in A^{*}(F)$ the classes of the closures of the cells of $F$. They form a base of $A^{*}(F)$. If $F_{i} \in A^{*}(\mathcal{F})$ is such that $F_{i} \cdot F=f_{i}$ then

$$
\varphi^{-1}\left(b \otimes f_{i}\right)=\pi^{*} b \cdot F_{i} .
$$

In our case, $X$ and $Y$ admit cellular decompositions whose cells are the Bialynicki-Birula strata associated with the action of a general one parameter subgroup $T^{\prime} \hookrightarrow T$. Let us denote by $V_{i} \subset X$ and $W_{i} \subset Y$ the closures of these cells. Let

$$
X_{i}=V_{i} \times{ }^{T} U \subset X \times{ }^{T} U
$$

and

$$
Y_{i}=W_{i} \times{ }^{T} U \subset Y \times{ }^{T} U .
$$

By the above result about fibrations, we have:

$$
A_{T}^{*}(X) \simeq A^{*}(X) \otimes S, \quad A_{T}^{*}(Y) \simeq A^{*}(Y) \otimes S,
$$

and the isomorphisms identify $\left[X_{i}\right]$ with $\left[V_{i}\right] \otimes 1$, and $\left[Y_{i}\right]$ with $\left[W_{i}\right] \otimes 1$. The left and down arrows of the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(X \times Y \times U) / T & \rightarrow & X \times^{T} U \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y \times T & \rightarrow & U / T
\end{array}
$$

yields an identification

$$
\psi: A_{T}^{*}(X \times Y) \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}(Y) \otimes A^{*}(X) \rightarrow A^{*}(Y) \otimes S \otimes A^{*}(X) .
$$

Consider the natural $S$-module morphism (see 4 )

$$
K: A_{T}^{*}(X) \otimes_{S} A_{T}^{*}(Y) \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}(X \times Y)
$$

The composition

$$
\psi \circ K: A_{T}^{*}(X) \otimes_{S} A_{T}^{*}(Y) \rightarrow A^{*}(X) \otimes S \otimes A^{*}(Y)
$$

sends the base $\left[X_{i}\right] \otimes\left[Y_{j}\right]$ to the base $\left[V_{i}\right] \otimes 1 \otimes\left[W_{j}\right]$, thus $K$ is an isomorphism.

Now the proposition follows from the lemma and the commutativity of the following diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{T}^{*}(X) \otimes A_{T}^{*}(Y) & \rightarrow & A_{T}^{*}(X \times Y) \\
\downarrow i_{X}^{*} \otimes i_{Y}^{*} & & \downarrow i_{X}^{*} \times Y \\
A_{T}^{*}\left(X^{T}\right) \otimes A_{T}^{*}\left(Y^{T}\right) & \rightarrow & A_{T}^{*}\left(X^{T} \times Y^{T}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## 4 Chow rings of graded Hilbert schemes

Let $R=k[x, y]$. In this section, the toric variety $X$ is not projective since we consider the case $X=\operatorname{Spec} R$. The torus $T \simeq k^{*} \times k^{*}$ acts on $X$ by

$$
\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \cdot\left(x^{\alpha} y^{\beta}\right)=\left(t_{1} x\right)^{\alpha}\left(t_{2} y\right)^{\beta}
$$

Let $T^{\prime} \hookrightarrow T$ be a one dimensional subtorus such that $X^{T^{\prime}}=(0,0)$. Let $H \in$ $\mathcal{H}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ be a Hilbert function. The aim of this section is the computation of the image of the restriction morphism $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right) \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H, T}\right)$ (Corollary 16).

A point $p \in \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ parametrizes a $T^{\prime}$-stable ideal

$$
I=\bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} I_{\chi} \subset R
$$

where $T^{\prime}$ acts with character $\chi$ on $I_{\chi}$. There is a $T$-equivariant embedding

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H} & \stackrel{l}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, H}=\prod_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} \mathbb{G}\left(H(\chi), R_{\chi}\right) \\
I & \mapsto\left(I_{\chi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 15. - $l^{*}: A^{*}\left(\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, H}\right) \rightarrow A^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right)$ is surjective.

- $l_{T}^{*}: A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, H}\right) \rightarrow A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right)$ is surjective.

Proof. The surjectivity of $l^{*}$ has been shown by King and Walter (14 when $T^{\prime}=\{(t, t)\}$. Their argument is valid for any $T^{\prime}$ with minor modifications. We recall briefly their method which uses ideas from [7]. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be an associative $k$-algebra and $M$ be a fine moduli space whose closed points parametrize a class $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{S}$-modules. Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the universal $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{M}$-module associated with the
moduli space. King and Walter exhibit generators of $A^{*}(M)$ when $\mathcal{A}$ admits a nice resolution and some cohomological conditions are satisfied.
In the case $\mathcal{S}=R, T^{\prime}=\{(t, t)\}, M=\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}, \mathcal{I}$ the universal ideal over $M$, $\mathcal{A}=\left(R \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}}\right) / \mathcal{I}=\oplus \mathcal{A}_{n}$, the resolution is
$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n} R(-n-2) \otimes_{k} \mathcal{A}_{n} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n} R(-n-1)^{2} \otimes_{k} \mathcal{A}_{n} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n} R(-n) \otimes_{k} \mathcal{A}_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0$.
Consider now a general $T^{\prime}$. For $\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}$, we denote by $R_{\chi} \subset R$ the subvector space on which $T^{\prime}$ acts through $\chi$ and by $R(\chi)$ the $\hat{T}^{\prime}$-graded $R$-module defined by $R(\chi)_{\chi^{\prime}}=R_{\chi+\chi^{\prime}}$. Let as above $\mathcal{A}=\left(R \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}}\right) / \mathcal{I}=\oplus_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} \mathcal{A}_{\chi}$. The torus $T^{\prime}$ acts on $x$ and $y$ with characters $\chi_{x}, \chi_{y}$. Multiplications by $x$ and $y$ define morphisms $\xi: \mathcal{A}_{\chi} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\chi+\chi_{x}}$ and $\eta: \mathcal{A}_{\chi} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\chi+\chi_{y}}$. The resolution of $\mathcal{A}$ is:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} R\left(-\chi-\chi_{x}-\chi_{y}\right) \otimes_{k} \mathcal{A}_{\chi} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\
\bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}}\left(R\left(-\chi-\chi_{x}\right) \oplus R\left(-\chi-\chi_{y}\right)\right) \otimes_{k} \mathcal{A}_{\chi} \xrightarrow{\beta} \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} R(-\chi) \otimes_{k} \mathcal{A}_{\chi} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{array}
$$

where the morphisms are

$$
\alpha=\binom{-y \otimes 1+1 \otimes \eta}{x \otimes 1-1 \otimes \xi}, \beta=(x \otimes 1-1 \otimes \xi \quad y \otimes 1-1 \otimes \eta)
$$

With this resolution, we can follow the rest of the argument of 14 to conclude that $A^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right)$ is generated by the Chern classes $c_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\chi}\right)$, hence $l^{*}$ is surjective.

As to the second point, remark that the morphism $l^{*}$ is obtained from $l_{T}^{*}$ with the application of the functor $. \otimes S / S^{+}$, where $S^{+} \subset S$ denotes the ideal generated by the homogeneous elements of positive degree. Since $l^{*}$ is surjective, it follows from the graded Nakayama's lemma that $l_{T}^{*}$ is surjective.

The commutative diagram

\[

\]

induces a map on the level of equivariant Chow rings. Using the surjectivity of $l_{T}^{*}$, we get:
Corollary 16. $\operatorname{Im} j_{T}^{*}=\operatorname{Im} n_{T}^{*} m_{T}^{*}$.

## 5 The equivariant Chow ring of $\mathbb{H}^{d}$

Let $T^{\prime} \subset T$ be a one-dimensional subtorus. In this section, we define finite $S$-modules

$$
M_{T^{\prime}, i, H_{i} \mid} \subset S^{\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H_{i}, T}}
$$

and

$$
M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{i}} \subset S^{\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{i}, T}}
$$

with explicit generators and we prove the formula:

## Theorem 17.

$A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right)=\bigcap_{T^{\prime} \subset T} \bigoplus_{\# \underline{H}=d}^{\underline{H} \in \mathcal{M H}\left(T^{\prime}\right)}\left(\bigotimes_{p_{i} \in \operatorname{PFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)} M_{T^{\prime}, i, H_{i} \mid} \bigotimes_{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{i}}\right)$
Proof. A large part of the proof consists in collecting the results from the preceding sections using the appropriate notations.
Let $T^{\prime}$ be a one-dimensional subtorus of $T$. Let $p_{i} \in \operatorname{PFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{d}\left(R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}\right), \chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}$ the set of subsets of monomials of $R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}$ of cardinal $d$. A set of monomials $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{d}\left(R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}\right)$ defines a point $p_{Z} \in \mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d}^{T}$ as explained in the preceding sections: the subspace $V_{Z} \subset R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}$ associated to $p_{Z}$ is generated by the monomials $m \in R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi} \backslash Z$. If $m \in Z$, it is an eigenvector for the action of $T$ and we denote by $\chi_{m}$ the associated character. Denote by

$$
c_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d, j, Z}=\sigma_{j}\left(\chi_{m}, m \in Z\right) \in S
$$

the $j$-th symmetric polynomial in $d$ variables evaluated on the $\chi_{m}$ and by

$$
c_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d, j}=\left(c_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d, j, Z}\right)_{Z \in \mathcal{P}_{d}\left(R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}\right)} \in S^{\mathcal{P}_{d}\left(R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}\right)}=S^{\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d}^{T}} .
$$

For $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\operatorname{dim} R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}-d}\right) \in \mathcal{P a r t}, \lambda_{1} \leq d$, let

$$
\Delta_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d, \lambda}=\operatorname{det}\left(c_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d, \lambda_{r}+s-r}\right)_{1 \leq s, r \leq \operatorname{dim} R_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi}-d} \in S^{\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d}^{T}}
$$

be the associated Schur polynomials. These Schur polynomials generate a $S$ module

$$
M_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d} \subset S^{\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d}^{T}}
$$

By corollary 11, we have
Proposition 18. $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d}\right) \simeq M_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, d}$.
If $H$ is a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function, denote

$$
M_{T^{\prime}, i, H}=\bigotimes_{H(\chi) \neq 0} M_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, H(\chi)} \subset S^{\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, H}^{T}}
$$

According to the description of equivariant Chow rings of products (proposition 13) and since $\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, H}=\prod_{\chi \in \hat{T}^{\prime}} \mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi, H(\chi)}$, we have:

Proposition 19. $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, H}\right) \simeq M_{T^{\prime}, i, H}$.

The equivariant embedding

$$
\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, H}
$$

yields by restriction a morphism

$$
S^{\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, H}^{T}} \rightarrow S^{\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H, T}}
$$

If $M \subset S^{\mathbb{G}_{T^{\prime}, i, H}^{T}}$, we denote by $M_{\mid}$the image of $M$ by this restriction.
The section on the Chow ring of graded Hilbert schemes and corollary 16 can be reformulated in this context as:
Proposition 20. $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right) \simeq M_{T^{\prime}, i, H \mid} \subset S^{\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H, T}}$. In particular, if $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{s} \in \hat{T}^{\prime}$ are the characters such that $H\left(\chi_{i}\right) \neq 0$, the generators of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right)$ are the elements

$$
g_{T^{\prime}, i, H, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}}=\left(\bigotimes_{\chi_{j}} \Delta_{T^{\prime}, i, \chi_{j}, H\left(\chi_{j}\right), \lambda_{j}}\right)_{\mid} .
$$

Now we come to the description of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right)$ when $\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in \operatorname{LFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Remember that we have associated a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function $H_{\pi}$ to a partition $\pi$ such that $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H} \neq \emptyset$ iff $H=H_{\pi}$ for some $\pi$. Thus we are interested in the case $H=H_{\pi}$ and we start with the case $\pi=\pi(d, k)=(k, k, \ldots, k, 0, \ldots)$ where $k$ appears $d$ times. In this case, a point $p \in \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}$ parametrizes a subscheme $Z=Z_{i} \cup Z_{i+1}$ where $Z_{i} \in \mathbb{H}_{i}^{T}$ and $Z_{i+1} \in \mathbb{H}_{i+1}^{T}$ are characterized by the integers $l_{i, Z}=\operatorname{length}\left(Z_{i} \cap V_{i, i+1}\right)$ and $l_{i+1, Z}=\operatorname{length}\left(Z_{i+1} \cap V_{i, i+1}\right)=d-l_{i, Z}$ (in local coordinates around $p_{i}$ (resp. around $p_{i+1}$ ) $I_{Z_{i}}=\left(y^{k}, x^{l_{i, Z}}\right.$ ) (resp. $\left.I_{Z_{i+1}}=\left(y^{k}, x^{l_{i+1, Z}}\right)\right)$ ).
There is an action of $T$ on $V_{i, i+1}$ and we let $\chi_{i}$ (resp. $\chi_{i+1}=-\chi_{i}$ ) the character of $T$ which acts on the tangent space of $p_{i} \in V_{i, i+1}$ (resp. of $p_{i+1}$ ).
For $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}$, we define

$$
c_{i, i+1, \pi(d, k), Z}=\frac{l_{i, Z}\left(l_{i, Z}+1\right)}{2} \chi_{i}+\frac{l_{i+1, Z}\left(l_{i+1, Z}+1\right)}{2} \chi_{i+1} \in S
$$

Then we put

$$
c_{i, i+1, \pi(d, k)}=\left(c_{i, i+1, \pi(d, k), Z}\right) \in S^{\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}}
$$

and we define

$$
M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{\pi(d, k)}} \subset S^{\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}}
$$

to be the $S$-module generated by the powers $c_{i, i+1, \pi(d, k)}^{j}, 0 \leq j \leq d$.
Proposition 21. $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}}\right) \simeq M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{\pi(d, k)}} \subset S^{\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}}$.

Proof. We know by proposition that $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}} \simeq \operatorname{Sym}^{d} V_{i, i+1}$. Denote by $V$ the vector space with $\mathbb{P}(V)=V_{i, i+1}$ and by $P_{i}, P_{i+1}$ a base of $V$ with $k . P_{i}=p_{i}, k . P_{i+1}=p_{i+1}$. The action of $T$ on $V_{i, i+1}$ lifts to an action of $T$ on $V$ with characters 0 on $P_{i}$ and $\chi_{i}$ on $P_{i+1}$. The action on $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}} \simeq S^{\prime} m^{d} V_{i, i+1}=\mathbb{P}\left(S^{\prime} m^{d}(V)\right)$ is induced by the characters $0, \chi_{i}, \ldots, d \chi_{i}$ on $P_{i}^{d}, P_{i}^{d-1} P_{i+1}, \ldots, P_{i+1}^{d}$. Through the above identifications, a point $Z \in \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}$ corresponds to the line $k P_{i}^{l_{i, Z}} P_{i+1}^{d-l_{i, Z}} \subset \operatorname{Sym}^{d}(V)$. In particular, the universal quotient bundle $Q=V / \mathcal{O}(-1)$ restricts on $Z$ with equivariant Chern class

$$
c_{1}^{T} Q_{Z}=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq d, j \neq d-l_{i, Z}} j \cdot \chi_{i}=\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{2}-\left(d-l_{i, Z}\right)\right) \chi_{i}
$$

If we call $c_{1}$ the tuple $\left(c_{1}^{T} Q_{Z}\right)_{Z \in \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}}$, there is a constant $a=d+1$ such that all the coordinates of

$$
a c_{1}-c_{i, i+1, \pi(d, k)} \in S^{\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}}
$$

are equal to a constant $b=-\frac{\left(d^{2}+d\right)}{2} \chi_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \chi_{i}$, independent of $Z$. The equivariant Chow ring $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}}\right) \subset S^{\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi(d, k)}, T}}$ is the $S$-module generated by the powers $c_{1}^{j}, 0 \leq j \leq d$, which is also the module generated by the powers $c_{i, i+1, \pi(d, k)}^{j}$.

Let now $\pi$ be any partition and call $d_{j}$ then number of parts of $\pi$ whose value is $j$. Then $H_{\pi}=\sum_{j>0} H_{\pi\left(d_{j}, j\right)}$. Consider the decomposition (proposition (4)

$$
\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi}} \simeq \prod_{j>0}^{d_{j}>0} \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi\left(d_{j}, j\right)}}
$$

If we adopt the convention that

$$
M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{\pi}}=\bigotimes_{j>0}^{d_{j}>0} M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{\pi\left(d_{j}, j\right)}} \subset S^{\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi}, T}}
$$

and if we denote by $n_{1}>n_{2}>\cdots>n_{s}$ the integers such that $d_{n_{i}}>0$, the formula for the equivariant Chow ring of a product yields:

Proposition 22. If $\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in \operatorname{LFix}\left(T^{\prime}\right), A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi}}\right) \simeq M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{\pi}} \subset$ $S^{\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{\pi}, T}}$ where $M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{\pi}}$ is the submodule generated by the elements

$$
g_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{\pi}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{s}}=\bigotimes_{j=1}^{j=s} c_{i, i+1, \pi\left(d_{n_{j}}, n_{j}\right)}^{l_{j}}, \quad 0 \leq l_{j} \leq d_{n_{j}}
$$

Let $\underline{H}=\left(H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}\right)$ be a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert multifunction. The decomposition

$$
\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}} \simeq \prod_{p_{i} \in P F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H_{i}} \prod_{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H_{i}}
$$

yields the following formula for $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H}\right)$.
Proposition 23. $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}}\right) \subset S^{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}, T}$ identifies to the $S$-module

$$
\bigotimes_{p_{i} \in P F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} M_{T^{\prime}, i, H_{i} \mid} \bigotimes_{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{i}} .
$$

Since

$$
\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}=\coprod_{\underline{H} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H}\left(T^{\prime}\right), \# \underline{H}=d} \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}}
$$

we obtain:
Proposition 24. $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}\right) \subset S^{\mathbb{H}^{d, T}}$ is isomorphic to

$$
\bigoplus_{\underline{H} \in \mathcal{M H}\left(T^{\prime}\right), \# \underline{H}=d}\left(\bigotimes_{p_{i} \in P F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} M_{T^{\prime}, i, H_{i} \mid} \bigotimes_{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} M_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{i}}\right)
$$

Now by [1] , theorem 3.3,

$$
A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right) \simeq \bigcap_{T^{\prime} \subset T} A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d, T^{\prime}}\right)
$$

which proves the theorem.

## 6 Description by congruences

In the last section, $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right)$ has been described by a formula involving tensor products and intersections. The goal of this section is to give a simpler presentation. Explicitly, we will describe $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right) \subset S^{\mathbb{H}^{d, T}}$ as a set of tuples of elements of $S$ satisfying congruence relations.

The possibility to reformulate the description of the last section with congruence relations was suggested to me by Michel Brion.

Let $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow$ Spec $k$ be a smooth projective $T$-variety with a finite number of fixpoints, and

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
f: A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) & \otimes_{S} & A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) & \rightarrow & S=A_{T}^{*}(\text { Spec } k) \\
x & \otimes & y & \mapsto & \pi_{*}(x \cdot y) .
\end{array}
$$

Let $Q=\operatorname{Frac}(S)$. According to the localisation theorem ([1], cor. 3.2.1) the morphism $i_{T}^{*}: A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) \hookrightarrow S^{\mathcal{X}^{T}}$ becomes an isomorphism

$$
i_{T, Q}^{*}: A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})_{Q}=A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes_{S} Q \rightarrow Q^{\mathcal{X}^{T}}
$$

after tensorisation with $Q$.

Proposition 25. Let $\beta_{i}=i_{T, Q}^{*}\left(\bar{\beta}_{i}\right)$ be a set of generators of the $S$-module $i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) \subset S^{\mathcal{X}^{T}}$ and $\alpha=i_{T, Q}^{*}(\bar{\alpha}) \in S^{\mathcal{X}^{T}}$. Then $\alpha \in i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) \Leftrightarrow \forall i, f_{Q}(\bar{\alpha} \otimes$ $\left.\bar{\beta}_{i}\right) \in S$.

Proof. According to lemma 26 applied with $M=i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})$, it suffices to find bases $B_{i}, C_{j} \in A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})$ with $f\left(B_{i} \otimes C_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. Let $\lambda: T^{\prime}=k^{*} \subset T$ be a one parameter subgroup with $\mathcal{X}^{T^{\prime}}=\mathcal{X}^{T}$. The Bialynicki-Birula cell associated to a point $p_{i} \in \mathcal{X}^{T^{\prime}}$ is the set $\left\{x \in \mathcal{X}, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) . x=p_{i}\right\}$. We denote by $b_{i}^{+}$its closure and we let $B_{i}^{+}=b_{i}^{+} \times{ }^{T} U \subset \mathcal{X} \times{ }^{T} U$. It follows from the proof of lemma 14 that the elements $\left[B_{i}^{+}\right] \in A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})$ form a $S$-base. Consider similarly the cells $B_{i}^{-}$defined by the one parameter subgroup $\lambda \circ i$, where $i: k^{*} \rightarrow k^{*}, x \mapsto x^{-1}$. It is a property of the Bialynicki-Birula cells that one can order the points $p_{i}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{i}^{+} \cap b_{j}^{-} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow p_{j} \leq p_{i}, \\
& b_{i}^{+} \cap b_{i}^{-}=p_{i} \quad \text { (transversal intersection). }
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\left[B_{i}^{+}\right] \otimes\left[B_{j}^{-}\right]\right) & \neq 0 \Rightarrow p_{j} \leq p_{i} \\
f\left(\left[B_{i}^{+}\right] \otimes\left[B_{i}^{-}\right]\right. & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Up to relabelling, one may suppose $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{n}$. The matrix $m_{i j}=f\left(\left[B_{i}^{+}\right] \otimes\left[B_{j}^{-}\right]\right)$is a lower triangular unipotent matrix. In particular, there exists a triangular matrix $\lambda_{i j}$ such that $\left[C_{j}\right]=\sum \lambda_{i j}\left[B_{i}^{-}\right]$verifies $f\left(\left[B_{i}^{+}\right] \otimes\left[C_{j}\right]\right)=\delta_{i j}$.

Lemma 26. Let $Q=\operatorname{Frac}(S), M \subset S^{n}$ be a free $S$-module, $M_{Q}=M \otimes_{S} Q$, $f: M \otimes_{S} M \rightarrow S$ be $S$-linear, $f_{Q}: M_{Q} \otimes M_{Q} \rightarrow Q$ be the $Q$-linear map extending $f$, and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ be generators of $M$. Suppose that

- $M \subset S^{n}$ yields an isomorphism $M_{Q} \simeq Q^{n}$ after tensorisation with $Q$,
- there exist basis $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}\right),\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right)$ of $M$ such that $f\left(B_{i} \otimes C_{j}\right)=$ $\delta_{i j}$.
Let $\alpha \in S^{n}$. Then $\alpha \in M \Leftrightarrow \forall i, f_{Q}\left(\alpha \otimes \beta_{i}\right) \in S$.

As a corollary, we get a description of $i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) \subset S^{\mathcal{X}^{T}}$ in terms of congruences involving equivariant Chern classes of the restrictions $T_{\mathcal{X}, p}$ of the tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{X}}$ to fixed points.

Corollary 27. Let $\beta_{i}=\left(\beta_{i p}\right)_{p \in \mathcal{X}^{T}}$ be a set of generators of the $S$-module $i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X}) \subset S^{\mathcal{X}^{T}}$ and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{p}\right) \in S^{\mathcal{X}^{T}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- $\alpha \in i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}(\mathcal{X})$
- $\forall i, \sum_{p \in \mathcal{X}^{T}}\left(\alpha_{p} \beta_{i p} \prod_{q \neq p} c_{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{X}}^{T}\left(T_{\mathcal{X}, q}\right)\right) \equiv 0\left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{X}^{T}} c_{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{X}}^{T}\left(T_{\mathcal{X}, p}\right)\right)$

Proof. Let us write $\beta_{i}=i_{T, Q}^{*}\left(\bar{\beta}_{i}\right), \alpha=i_{T, Q}^{*}(\bar{\alpha})$. By the integration formula of Edidin and Graham 馬, $f_{Q}\left(\bar{\alpha} \otimes \bar{\beta}_{i}\right)=\sum_{p \in \mathcal{X}^{T}} \frac{\alpha_{p} \beta_{i p}}{c_{\operatorname{dim}}^{T}\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{X}, p}\right)}$. Thus, the corollary is nothing but the criteria of the last proposition.

We can collect in a set $G\left(T^{\prime}, \underline{H}\right) \subset S^{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}, T}$ the generators of $i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}\right) \subset$ $S^{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}, T}$ constructed in section 5 . Explicitly, $G\left(T^{\prime}, \underline{H}\right)$ contains the elements
$g_{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}, \lambda_{i j}, l_{i j}}=\bigotimes_{p_{i} \in P F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} g_{T^{\prime}, i, H_{i}, \lambda_{i 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{i, s_{i}}} \bigotimes_{\left\{p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right\} \in L F i x\left(T^{\prime}\right)} g_{T^{\prime}, i, i+1, H_{i}, l_{i 1}, \ldots, l_{i, t_{i}}}$.
These generators and the last corollary make it possible to obtain a description of $i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}\right)$ via congruences. To get a description of

$$
A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right) \simeq \bigcap_{T^{\prime} \subset T} \bigoplus_{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H} \neq \emptyset} i_{T}^{*} A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}}\right)
$$

we merely have to gather the congruence relations constructed for the various $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}$. We finally obtain:
Theorem 28. The ring $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right) \subset S^{\mathbb{H}^{d, T}}$ is the set of tuples $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{p}\right)$ such that, $\forall T^{\prime} \subset T$ one-dimensional subtorus,$\forall \underline{H} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H} \neq \emptyset$, $\forall g=\left(g_{p}\right) \in G\left(T^{\prime}, \underline{H}\right)$, the congruence relation
$\sum_{p \in \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}, T}}\left(\alpha_{p} g_{p} \prod_{q \neq p}^{q \in \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}, T}} c_{\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}}}^{T}\left(T_{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}, q}\right)\right) \equiv 0\left(\prod_{p \in \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, H, T}} c_{\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{H}}}^{T}\left(T_{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}, p}\right)\right)$
holds.
Remark 29. The tangent space at a $T$-fixed point of $\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ or $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ is known 68. In particular, since $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}$ is a product of terms isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}_{i}^{T^{\prime}, H}$ or $\mathbb{H}_{i, i+1}^{T^{\prime}, H}$, the equivariant Chern classes appearing in the theorem are explicitly computable (See the example in the next section).

## Remark 30.

Let $S^{+}=\hat{T} S \subset S$. The description of the usual Chow ring now follows from [1],cor.2.3.1.
Theorem 31. The ring $A^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right)$ is the quotient of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right) \subset S^{\mathbb{H}^{d, T}}$ by the ideal $S^{+} A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{d}\right)$ generated by the elements $(f, \ldots, f), f \in S^{+}$.
Remark 32. For $d=1, \mathbb{H}^{d}=X$ and one recovers that $A_{T}^{*}(X)$ is isomorphic to the space of continuous piecewise polynomial functions on the fan of $X$.

The Betti numbers of $\mathbb{H}^{d}$ can be computed using the description of the last two theorems. In particular, one can check for small values of $d$ and explicit surfaces $X$ that the Betti numbers are those computed with Göttsche's formula.

## 7 An example

In this section, we compute the Chow ring of the Hilbert scheme $\mathbb{H}^{3}=\mathbb{H}^{3} \mathbb{P}^{2}$.
First, we fix the notations: $T=k^{*} \times k^{*}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t_{1}^{ \pm 1}, t_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2}=$ $\operatorname{Proj} k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$. The torus $T$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and on itself. The symmetric group $S_{3}$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The action of an element $(a, b) \in T, \sigma \in S_{3}$ is as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(a, b) \cdot x_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} x_{3}^{\gamma}=x_{1}^{\alpha}\left(a x_{2}\right)^{\beta}\left(b x_{3}\right)^{\gamma} \\
\quad(a, b) \cdot t_{1}^{\alpha} t_{2}^{\beta}=\left(a t_{1}\right)^{\alpha}\left(b t_{2}\right)^{\beta} \\
\sigma \cdot x_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} x_{3}^{\gamma}=x_{\sigma(1)}^{\alpha} x_{\sigma(2)}^{\beta} x_{\sigma(3)}^{\gamma} .
\end{array}
$$

The equivariant map $T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2},(a, b) \rightarrow(1, a, b)$ identifies $t_{1}$ with $\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}$, and $t_{2}$ with $\frac{x_{3}}{x_{1}}$. We denote by $p_{1}=(1: 0: 0), p_{2}=(0: 1: 0), p_{3} \xlongequal{=}(0: 0:$ 1) the three toric points of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is covered by the three affine planes $U_{1}=$ Spec $k\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]=$ Spec $R_{1}, U_{2}=\operatorname{Spec} k\left[t_{1}^{-1}, t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}\right]=$ Spec $R_{2}$, $U_{3}=$ Spec $k\left[t_{2}^{-1}, t_{1} t_{2}^{-1}\right]=$ Spec $R_{3}$. Since $S_{3}$ acts on $T=\left\{x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \neq 0\right\}$, it acts on $\hat{T}$ by $\sigma \cdot \chi(t)=\chi\left(\sigma^{-1} t\right)$, and on $S=\operatorname{Sym}(\hat{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. If $T^{\prime} \subset T$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is a $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert function, let $\sigma . H \in \mathcal{H}\left(\sigma . T^{\prime}\right)$ be the Hilbert function defined by $(\sigma . H)(\chi)=H\left(\sigma^{-1}\right.$. $\left.\chi\right)$. If $\underline{H}=\left(H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{M H}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is a Hilbert multifonction, let $\sigma . \underline{H} \in \mathcal{M H}\left(\sigma . T^{\prime}\right)$ be the Hilbert multifunction with $(\sigma \cdot \underline{H})_{i}=\sigma \cdot H_{j}$ where $j$ is such that $\sigma \cdot p_{j}=p_{i}$. To each subvariety $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H} \subset \mathbb{H},}$ we have associated a set of congruence relations $R_{i}$. Explicitly, constants $u_{i} \in S$ and $d_{i}(q) \in S$ for $q \in \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}, T}$ have been defined such that $s \in S^{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \underline{H}, T}}$ satisfies $R_{i}$ if

$$
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{H}, T},} d_{i}(q) s(q) \equiv 0\left(u_{i}\right) .
$$

The subvariety $\sigma \cdot \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}=\mathbb{H}^{\sigma \cdot T^{\prime}, \sigma \cdot \underline{H}}$ is associated with the set of congruence relations $\sigma . R_{i}$ where by definition $s \in \mathbb{H}^{\sigma . T^{\prime}, \sigma \underline{H}, T}$ satisfies $\sigma . R_{i}$ if :

$$
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{H}^{\sigma}, T^{\prime}, \sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{H}, T} d_{i}\left(\sigma^{-1} q\right) s(q) \equiv 0\left(\sigma . u_{i}\right) .
$$

Summing up, there is an action of $S_{3}$ on the set of congruence relations. We will produce the set of relations up to this action.

We list the possible $p \in \mathbb{H}^{T}$. Let $E_{1}=\left\{1, t_{1}, t_{2}\right\} \subset R_{1}, E_{2}=\left\{1, t_{1}, t_{1}^{2}\right\} \subset R_{1}$, $E_{3}=\left\{1, t_{1},\right\} \subset R_{1}, E_{4}=\{1\} \subset R_{1}, E_{5}=\{1\} \subset R_{2}, E_{6}=\{1\} \subset R_{3}$. The multistaircases

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\underline{E}_{A}=\left(E_{1}, \emptyset, \emptyset\right) \\
\underline{E}_{B}=\left(E_{2}, \emptyset, \emptyset\right) \\
\underline{E}_{C}=\left(E_{3}, E_{5}, \emptyset\right) \\
\underline{E}_{D}=\left(E_{3}, \emptyset, E_{6}\right) \\
\underline{E}_{E}=\left(E_{4}, E_{5}, E_{6}\right)
\end{array}
$$


are associated with points $A, B, C, D, E \in \mathbb{H}^{T}$. Up to the action of $S^{3}$, these are the only points of $\mathbb{H}^{3, T}$.

We recall the description of the tangent space at $p \in \mathbb{H}^{T}$ where $p$ is described by a multistaircase $\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)\left(\|\|)\right.$. The staircase $F_{i}$ is a set of monomials in $R_{i}=k[x, y]$ where $x, y$ are the toric coordinates around $p_{i}$. A cleft for $F_{i}$ is a monomial $m=x^{a} y^{b} \notin F_{i}$ with $\left(a=0\right.$ or $\left.x^{a-1} y^{b} \in F_{i}\right)$ and $(b=0$ or $x^{a} y^{b-1} \in F_{i}$ ). We order the clefts of $F_{i}$ according to their $x$-coordinates: $c_{1}=y^{b_{1}}, c_{2}=x^{a_{2}} y^{b_{2}}, \ldots, c_{p}=x^{a_{p}}$ with $a_{1}=0<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{p}$. An $x$-cleft couple for $F_{i}$ is a couple $C=\left(c_{k}, m\right)$, where $c_{k}$ is a cleft $(k \neq p), m \in F_{i}$, and $m x^{a_{k+1}-a_{k}} \notin F_{i}$. The torus $T$ acts on the monomials $c_{k}$ and $m$ with characters $\chi_{k}$ and $\chi_{m}$. We let $\chi_{C}=\chi_{m}-\chi_{k}$. By symmetry, there is a notion of $y$-cleft couple for $F_{i}$. The set of cleft couples for $p$ is by definition the union of the ( $x$ or $y$ )-cleft couples for $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$. The vector space $T_{p} \mathbb{H}$ is in bijection with the formal sums $\sum \lambda_{i} C_{i}$, where $C_{i}$ is a cleft couple for $p$. Moreover, under this correspondance, the cleft couple $C$ is an eigenvector for the action of $T$ with character $\chi_{C}$.

If $p \in \mathbb{H}^{T}$, and if $\underline{H}$ is the $T^{\prime}$-Hilbert multifunction of the subscheme associated with $p$, we let $\overline{\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, p}=\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}}, \underline{H}$. The subvariety $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, p} \subset \mathbb{H}$ give non trivial congruences only if $\mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, p}$ is not a point, ie. if $T_{p} \mathbb{H}^{T^{\prime}, p} \neq 0$. Using the above description of the tangent space, we find for each point $p$ a finite number of possible $T^{\prime}$. The results are collected in the following array. Under each point $p$ are listed the couples $(a, b)$ such that $T_{a b}=\left\{t^{a}, t^{b}\right\} \subset T$ verifies $\mathbb{H}^{T a b}, p \neq\{p\}$. For each such $(a, b)$, the corresponding dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{H}^{T_{a b}, p}$ is given.

| $A$ |  | $B$ |  | $C$ |  | $D$ |  | $E$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a, b$ | $\operatorname{dim}$ | $a, b$ | $\operatorname{dim}$ | $a, b$ | $\operatorname{dim}$ | $a, b$ | $\operatorname{dim}$ | $a, b$ | $\operatorname{dim}$ |
| 1,0 | 2 | 1,0 | 1 | 1,0 | 1 | 1,0 | 2 | 1,0 | 2 |
| 0,1 | 2 | 0,1 | 3 | 0,1 | 3 | 0,1 | 2 | 0,1 | 2 |
| 2,1 | 1 | 1,1 | 1 | 1,1 | 2 | 1,1 | 2 | 1,1 | 2 |
| 1,2 | 1 | 1,2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For some $a, b, p, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, p^{\prime}$, we have an identification $\mathbb{H}^{T_{a b}, p}=\sigma \cdot \mathbb{H}^{T} a_{a^{\prime} b^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}\left(\sigma \in S^{3}\right)$. Explicitly, up to action, we have $H^{T_{10}, A}=H^{T_{10}, D}=H^{T_{01}, A}, H^{T_{01}, B}=H^{T_{01}, C}$, $H^{T_{12}, A}=H^{T_{12}, B}=H^{T_{21}, A}, H^{T_{11}, C}=H^{T_{11}, D}, H^{T_{01}, D}=H^{T_{01}, E}=H^{T_{10}, E}=$ $H^{T_{11}, E}$. Thus, by symmetry, we only consider $(a, b, p)$ within the following list:

$$
\{(0,1, A),(1,2, A),(1,0, B),(0,1, B),(1,1, B),(1,1, C),(1,0, C),(0,1, D)\}
$$

For each of the above values of $(a, b, p)$, we construct the congruence relations associated with the variety $\mathbb{H}^{T_{a b}, p}$. The results are summed up in the following
array.

| $a, b, p$ | $\mathbb{H}^{T a b, p}$ | $\left(H^{T a b, p}\right)^{T}$ | generators | $c_{\text {top }}^{T}\left(T_{p}\right)$ | relations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0, 1, A | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | $A, A_{13}, D, D_{13}$ | $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ t_{2} & -t_{2} & -t_{2} & t_{2} \\ t_{2} & -t_{2} & t_{2} & -t_{2} \\ t_{2}^{2} & t_{2}^{2} & -t_{2}^{2} & -t_{2}^{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $t_{2}^{2}, t_{2}^{2},-t_{2}^{2},-t_{2}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} a+a_{13}-d-d_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}^{2}\right) \\ \quad d-d_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right) \\ \quad a-a_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1,2, A | N | A, B | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ t_{2} & 2 t_{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $-2 t_{1}+t_{2}, 2 t_{1}-t_{2}$ | $a-b \equiv 0\left(2 t_{1}-t_{2}\right)$ |
| 1,0, B | $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ | $B, B_{13}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ -t_{2} & t_{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $-t_{2}, t_{2}$ | $b-b_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right)$ |
| 0, 1, B | $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ | B, C, $C_{12}, B_{12}$ | 1 1 1 1 <br> $6 t_{1}$ $2 t_{1}$ $-2 t_{1}$ $-6 t_{1}$ <br> $36 t_{1}^{2}$ $4 t_{1}^{2}$ $4 t_{1}^{2}$ $36 t_{1}^{2}$ <br> $216 t_{1}^{3}$ $8 t_{1}^{3}$ $-8 t_{1}^{3}$ $-216 t_{1}^{3}$ | $-6 t_{1}^{3}, 2 t_{1}^{3},-2 t_{1}^{3}, 6 t_{1}^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} -b+3 c-3 c_{12}+b_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}^{3}\right) \\ -b+c+c_{12}-b_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}^{2}\right) \\ 3 b-c+c_{12}+-3 b_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1,1, B | $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ | $B, B_{23}$ | 1 1 <br> $t_{2}$ $t_{1}$ <br> $t_{2}^{2}$ $t_{1}^{2}$ <br> $t_{2}-t_{1}$ $t_{1}-t_{2}$ <br> $t_{2}^{2}-t_{2} t_{1}$ $t_{1}^{2}-t_{1} t_{1}$ <br> $t_{2}^{3}-t_{2}^{2} t_{1}$ $t_{1}^{3}-t_{1}^{2} t_{2}$ | $t_{1}-t_{2},-t_{1}+t_{2}$ | $b-b_{23} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)$ |
| 1,1,C | $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ | $C, D, C_{23}, D_{23}$ | 1 1 1 1 <br> $t_{2}-t_{1}$ $t_{1}-t_{2}$ $t_{1}-t_{2}$ $t_{2}-t_{1}$ <br> $t_{1}$ $t_{1}$ $t_{2}$ $t_{2}$ <br> $t_{1}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)$ $t_{1}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)$ $t_{2}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)$ $t_{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)$ | $\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2},-\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2},\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2},-\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} c-d+c_{23}-d_{23} \equiv 0\left(\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\ c+d-c_{23}-d_{23} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \\ c_{23}-d_{23} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1,0,C | $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {C, }} C_{13}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ -t_{2} & +t_{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $t_{2},-t_{2}$ | $c-c_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right)$ |
| 0, 1, D | $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ | $D, E, D_{12}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -3 t_{1} & 0 & 3 t_{1} \\ 9 t_{1}^{2} & 0 & 9 t_{1}^{2} \end{array}$ | $2 t_{1}^{2},-t_{1}^{2}, 2 t_{1}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} d-2 e+d_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}^{2}\right) \\ d-d_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |

If $\sigma=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \in S_{3}$ is a permutation and $p \in \mathbb{H}^{T}$, we denote by $p_{n_{1} n_{2}}$ the element $\sigma . p$. We explain how to read the array, taking the second line as an example. The first three column mean that $\mathbb{H}^{T_{01}, A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and contains the points $A, A_{13}, D, D_{13}$. Four generators of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T_{01}, A}\right) \subset S^{\left\{A, A_{13}, D, D_{13}\right\}}$ have been constructed in section 5 , namely $A+A_{13}+D+D_{13}, \ldots, t_{2}^{2} A+t_{2}^{2} A_{13}-t_{2}^{2} D-t_{2}^{2} D_{13}$. The coefficients of these expressions are written down in the fourth column. The top equivariant Chern classes $c_{\text {top }}^{T}\left(T_{A} \mathbb{H}^{T_{01}, A}\right), \ldots, c_{\text {top }}^{T}\left(T_{D_{13}} \mathbb{H}^{T_{01}, A}\right)$ are respectivly $t_{2}^{2}, \ldots,-t_{2}^{2}$, as indicated in the fifth column. We can construct congruence relations with these data following the procedure of section 6: $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{T_{01}, A}\right) \subset S^{\left\{A, A_{13}, D, D_{13}\right\}}$ is the set of elements $a A+a_{13} A_{13}+d D+d_{13} D_{13}$ whose coefficients $a, \ldots, d_{13}$ verify $a+a_{13}-d-d_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}^{2}\right), d-d_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right)$ and $a-a_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right)$. This is the meaning of the last column. We gather the congruence relations constructed in the array, and we obtain:

Theorem 33. The equivariant Chow ring $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]^{\left\{A, A_{12}, \ldots, E\right\}}$ is the set of linear combinations $a A+a_{12} A_{12}+\cdots+e E$ satisfying the relations

- $a+a_{13}-d-d_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}^{2}\right)$
- $d-d_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right)$
- $a-a_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right)$
- $a-b \equiv 0\left(2 t_{1}-t_{2}\right)$
- $b-b_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right)$
- $-b+3 c-3 c_{12}+b_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}^{3}\right)$
- $-b+c+c_{12}-b_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}^{2}\right)$
- $3 b-c+c_{12}+-3 b_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}\right)$
- $b-b_{23} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)$
- $c-d+c_{23}-d_{23} \equiv 0\left(\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{2}\right)$
- $c+d-c_{23}-d_{23} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)$
- $c_{23}-d_{23} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)$
- $c-c_{13} \equiv 0\left(t_{2}\right)$
- $d-2 e+d_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}^{2}\right)$
- $d-d_{12} \equiv 0\left(t_{1}\right)$
- all relations deduced from the above by the action of the symmetric group $S_{3}$.
The Chow ring $A^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is the quotient of $A_{T}^{*}\left(\mathbb{H}^{3} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ by the ideal generated by the elements $f A+\cdots+f E, f \in \mathbb{Q}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]^{+}$.
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