



HAL
open science

Tables, Memorized Semirings and Applications

Cyrille Bertelle, Gérard Henry Edmond Duchamp, Khalaf Khatatneh

► **To cite this version:**

Cyrille Bertelle, Gérard Henry Edmond Duchamp, Khalaf Khatatneh. Tables, Memorized Semirings and Applications. 2005. hal-00004303

HAL Id: hal-00004303

<https://hal.science/hal-00004303>

Preprint submitted on 19 Feb 2005

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Tables, Memorized Semirings and Applications

Cyrille Bertelle ^{*}
 Gérard H. E. Duchamp [†]
 and
 Khalaf Khatatneh^{‡§}

Keywords: Tables, k -subsets, efficient data structures, efficient algebraic structures.

0 Introduction

The following is intended to be a contribution in the area of what could be called *efficient algebraic structures* or *efficient data structures*. In fact, we define and construct a new data structure, the tables, which are special kinds of two-rows arrays. The first row is filled with words and the second with some coefficients. This structure generalizes the (finite) k -sets sets of Eilenberg [6], it is versatile (one can vary the letters, the words and the coefficients), easily implemented and fast computable. Varying the scalars and the operations on them, one can obtain many different structures and, among them, semirings. Examples will be provided and worked out in full detail.

Here, we present a new semiring (with several semiring structures) which can be applied

to the needs of automatic processing multi-agents behaviour problems. The purpose of this account/paper is to present also the basic elements of this new structures from a combinatorial point of view. These structures present a bunch of properties. They will be endowed with several laws namely : Sum, Hadamard product, Cauchy product, Fuzzy operations (min, max, complemented product) Two groups of applications are presented.

The first group is linked to the process of “forgetting” information in the tables and then obtaining, for instance, a memorized semiring. The latter is specially suited to solve the *shortest path with addresses* problem by repeated squaring over matrices with entries in this semiring.

The second, linked to multi-agent systems, is announced by showing a methodology to manage emergent organization from individual behaviour models.

^{*}LIH, Laboratoire d’Informatique du Havre, 25 rue Philippe Lebon, BP 540 76058 Le Havre cedex, France

[†]LIPN, Institut Galilée - Université Paris XIII 99, avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France

[‡]LIFAR, Faculté des Sciences et des Techniques, 76821 Mont-Saint-Aignan Cedex, France

[§]cyrille.bertelle@univ-lehavre.fr, gerard.duchamp@lipn.univ-paris13.fr, khalaf.khatatneh@univ-rouen.fr

1 Description of the data structure

1.1 Tables and operations on tables

The input alphabet being set by the automaton under consideration, we will here rather focus on the definition of semirings providing transition coefficients. For convenience, we first begin with various laws on $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty[$ including

1. $+$ (ordinary sum)
2. \times (ordinary product)
3. \min (if over $[0, 1]$, with neutral 1, otherwise must be extended to $[0, +\infty]$ and then, with neutral $+\infty$) or \max
4. $+_a$ defined by $x +_a y := \log_a(a^x + a^y)$ ($a > 0$)
5. $+_{[n]}$ (Hölder laws) defined by $x +_{[n]} y := \sqrt[n]{x^n + y^n}$
6. $+^s$ (shifted sum, $x +^s y := x + y - 1$, over whole \mathbb{R} , with neutral 1)
7. \times^c (complemented product, $x + y - xy$, can be extended also to whole \mathbb{R} , stabilizes the range of probabilities or fuzzy $[0, 1]$ and is distributive over the shifted sum)

A table T is a two-rows array, the first row being filled with words taken in a given free monoid (see [4], [7] in this conference or [8]). The set of words which are present in the first row will be called the *indices* of the table ($I(T)$)

and for the second row the *values* or (*coefficients*) of the table. The order of the columns is not relevant. Thus, a table reads

$$\begin{cases} \text{indices} & \text{set of words } I(T) \\ \text{values} & \text{bottom row } V(T) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The laws defined on tables will be of two types: pointwise type (subscript $_p$) and convolution type (subscript $_c$).

Now, we can define the pointwise composition (or product) of two tables, noted \boxtimes_p .

Let us consider, two tables T_1, T_2 and a law $*$

$$T_1 = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_k \\ \hline p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_k \end{array}$$

and

$$T_2 = \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_l \\ \hline q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & q_l \end{array}$$

then $T_1 \boxtimes_p T_2$ is defined by $T_i[w]$ if $w \in I(T_i)$ and $w \notin I(T_{3-i})$ and by $T_1[w] * T_2[w]$ if $w \in I(T_1) \cap I(T_2)$

In particular one has $I(T_1 \boxtimes_p T_2) = I(T_1) \cup I(T_2)$.

Note 1 *i) At this stage one do no need any neutral. The structure automatically creates it (see algebraic remarks below for full explanation).*

ii) The above is a considerable generalization of an idea appearing in [3], aimed only to semirings with units.

For convolution type, one needs two laws, say \oplus, \otimes , the second being distributive over the first, i.e. identically

$$\begin{aligned} x \otimes (y \oplus z) &= (x \otimes y) \oplus (x \otimes z) \text{ and} \\ (y \oplus z) \otimes x &= (y \otimes x) \oplus (z \otimes x) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

(see

<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/>

Semiring.html).

The set of indices of $T_1 \boxtimes_c T_2$ ($I(T_1 \boxtimes_c T_2)$) is the concatenation of the two (finite) languages $I(T_1)$ and $I(T_2)$ i.e. the (finite) set of words

$$I(T_1)I(T_2) = \{uv\}_{(u,v) \in I(T_1) \times I(T_2)}. \quad (3)$$

then, for $w \in I(T_1)I(T_2)$, one defines

$$T_1 \otimes_c T_2[w] = \bigoplus_{uv=w} \left(T_1[u] \otimes T_2[v] \right) \quad (4)$$

the interesting fact is that the constructed structure (call it \mathcal{T} for tables) is then a semiring $(\mathcal{T}, \oplus_p, \otimes_c)$ (provided \oplus is commutative and - generally - without units, but this is sufficient to perform matrix computations). There is, in fact no mystery in the definition (3) above, as every table can be decomposed in elementary bits

$$T_1 = \frac{u_1}{p_1} \left| \frac{u_2}{p_2} \right| \cdots \left| \frac{u_k}{p_k} \right| = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \left| \frac{u_i}{p_i} \right| \quad (5)$$

one has, thanks to distributivity, to understand the convolution of these indecomposable elements, which is, this time, very natural

$$\left| \frac{u_1}{p_1} \right| \otimes_c \left| \frac{u_2}{p_2} \right| := \left| \frac{u_1 u_2}{p_1 \times p_2} \right| \quad (6)$$

1.2 Why semirings ?

In many applications, we have to compute the weights of paths in some weighted graph (shortest path problem, enumeration of paths, cost computations, automata, transducers to cite only a few) and the computation goes with two main rules: multiplication in series (i.e. along a path), and addition in parallel (if several paths

are involved).

This paragraph is devoted to showing that, under these conditions, the axioms of Semirings are by no means arbitrary and in fact unavoidable. A weighted graph is an oriented graph together with a *weight* mapping $\omega : A \mapsto K$ from the set of the arrows (A) to some set of coefficients K , an arrow is drawn with its weight (cost) above as follows $a = q_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} q_2$.

For such objects, one has the general conventions of graph theory.

- $t(a) := q_1$ (*tail*)
- $h(a) := q_2$ (*head*)
- $w(a) := \alpha$ (*weight*).

A *path* is a sequence of arrows $c = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ such that $h(a_k) = t(a_{k+1})$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. The preceding functions are extended to paths by $t(c) = t(a_1)$, $h(c) = h(a_n)$, $w(c) = w(a_1)w(a_2) \cdots w(a_n)$ (product in the set of coefficients).

For example with a path of length 3 and ($k = \mathbb{N}$),

$$u = p \xrightarrow{2} q \xrightarrow{3} r \xrightarrow{5} s \quad (7)$$

one has $t(u) = p$, $h(u) = s$, $w(u) = 30$.

As was stated above, the (total) weight of a set of paths with the same head and tail is the sum of the individual weights. For instance, with

$$\mathbf{q1} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} \end{array} \quad \mathbf{q2} \quad (8)$$

the weight of this set of paths est $\alpha + \beta$. From the rule that the weights multiply in series and add in parallel one can derive the necessity of the axioms of the semirings. The following diagrams shows how this works.

Diagram	Identity
$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \rightarrow \\ p \xrightarrow{\beta} q \\ \gamma \\ \rightarrow \end{array} $	$\alpha + (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma$
$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \rightarrow \\ p \xrightarrow{\beta} q \end{array} $	$\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$
$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \quad \beta \quad \gamma \\ \rightarrow \quad \rightarrow \quad \rightarrow \\ p \quad q \quad r \quad s \end{array} $	$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta)\gamma$
$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \quad \gamma \\ \rightarrow \quad \rightarrow \\ p \xrightarrow{\beta} q \quad r \end{array} $	$(\alpha + \beta)\gamma = \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma$
$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \quad \beta \\ \rightarrow \quad \rightarrow \\ p \quad q \quad r \end{array} $	$\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma$

these identities are familiar and bear the following names:

Line	Name
I	Associativity of +
II	Commutativity of +
III	Associativity of ×
IV	Distributiveness (right) of × over +
V	Distributiveness (left) of × over +

1.3 Total mass

The total mass of a table is just the sum of the coefficients in the bottom row. One can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
mass(T1 \oplus T2) &= mass(T1) + mass(T2); \\
mass(T1 \otimes T2) &= mass(T1) \cdot mass(T2)
\end{aligned}$$

this allows, if needed, stochastic conditions.

1.4 Algebraic remarks

We have confined in this paragraph some proofs of structural properties concerning the tables. The reader may skip this section with no serious harm.

First, we deal with structures with as little as possible requirements, i.e. *Magnas* and *Semirings*. For formal definitions, see

<http://encyclopedia.thefreedictionary.com/Magma%20category>
<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Semiring.html>

Proposition 1 (i) Let $(S, *)$ be a magma, Σ an alphabet, and denote $T[S]$ the set of tables with indices in Σ^* and values in S . Define \boxtimes_p as in (1.1). Then

- i) The law \boxtimes is associative (resp. commutative) iff $*$ is. Moreover the magma $(T[S], \boxtimes)$ always possesses a neutral, the empty table (i.e. with an empty set of indices).
- ii) If (K, \oplus, \otimes) is a semiring, then (T_K, \oplus, \otimes) is a semiring.

Proof. (Sketch) Let $S_{(1)}$ the magma with unit built over $(S \cup \{e\})$ by adjunction of a unit. Then, to each table T , associate the (finite supported) function $f_T : \Sigma^* \mapsto S_{(1)}$ defined by

$$f_T(w) = \begin{cases} T[w] & \text{if } w \in I(T) \\ e & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

then, check that $f_{T_1 \boxtimes_p T_2} = f_{T_1} \boxtimes_1 f_{T_2}$ (where \boxtimes_1 is the standard law on $S_{(1)}^{(\Sigma^*)}$) and that the correspondence is a isomorphism. Use a similar technique for the point (ii) with $K_{0,1}$ the semiring with units constructed over K and show that the correspondence is one-to-one and has $K_{0,1}\langle \Sigma \rangle$ as image.

- Note 2** 1) Replacing Σ^* by a simple set, the (i) of proposition above can be extended without modification (see also K -subsets in [6]).
- 2) If one replaces the elements of free monoid on the top row by elements of a semigroup S and admits some columns with a top empty cell,

we get the algebra of $S_{(1)}$.

3) Pointwise product can be considered as being constructed with respect to the (Hadamard) coproduct $c(w) = w \otimes w$ whereas convolution is w.r.t. the Cauchy coproduct

$$c(w) = \sum_{uv=w} u \otimes v \quad (11)$$

(see [5]).

2 Applications

2.1 Specializations and images

1. Multiplicities, Stochastic and Boolean. —

Whatever the multiplicities, one gets the classical automata by emptying the alphabet (setting $\Sigma = \emptyset$). For stochastic, one can use the total mass to pin up outgoing conditions.

2. Memorized Semiring. —

We explain here why the memorized semiring, devised at first to perform efficient computations on the shortest path problem with memory (of addresses) can be considered as an image of a "table semiring" (thus proving without computation the central property of [9]).

Let \mathcal{T} be here the table semiring with coefficients in $([0, +\infty], \min, +)$. Then a table

$$T = \frac{u_1}{l_1} \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \frac{u_k}{l_k} \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \frac{u_n}{l_n} \quad (12)$$

can be written so that $l_1 = \dots = l_k < l_m$ for $m > k$ (this amounts to say that the set where the minimum is reached is $\{u_1, u_2 \dots u_k\}$). Then,

to such a table, one can associate $\phi(T) := [\{u_1, u_2 \dots u_k\}, l_1]$ in the memorized semiring. It is easy to check that ϕ transports the laws and the neutrals and obtain the result.

2.2 Application to evolutive systems

Tables are structured as semirings and are flexible enough to recover and amplify the structures of automata with multiplicities and transducers. They give operational tools for modelling agent behaviour for various simulations in the domain of distributed artificial intelligence [2]. The outputs of automata with multiplicities or the values of tables allow to modelize in some cases agent actions or in other cases, probabilities on possible transitions between internal states of agents behaviour. In all cases, the algebraic structures associated with automata outputs or tables values is very interesting to define automatic computations in respect with the evolution of agents behaviour during simulation.

One of ours aims is to compute dynamic multi-agent systems formations which emerge from a simulation. The use of table operations delivers calculable automata aggregate formation. Thus, when table values are probabilities, we are able to obtain evolutions of these aggregations as adaptive systems do.

With the definition of adapted operators coming from genetic algorithms, we are able to represent evolutive behaviors of agents and so evolutive systems [1]. Thus, tables and memorized semiring are promizing tools for this kind of implementation which leads to model complex systems in many domains.

References

- [1] Bertelle C., Flouret M., Jay V., Olivier D., Ponty J.-L., *Genetic Algorithms on Automata with Multiplicities for Adaptive Agent Behaviour in Emergent Organisations*.
- [2] Bertelle C., Flouret M., Jay V., Olivier D., Ponty J.-L., *Automata with Multiplicities as Behaviour Model in Multi-Agent Simulations* SCI 2001.
- [3] Champarnaud J.-M., Duchamp G., *Derivatives of rational expressions and related theorems*, T.C.S. **313** 31 (2004).
- [4] Duchamp G., Hatem Hadj Kacem, Éric Laugerotte, *On the erasure of several letter-transitions*, JICCSE'04
- [5] Duchamp G., Flouret M., Laugerotte E., Luque J.-G., *Direct and dual laws for automata with multiplicities*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 267 (2001) 105-120.
- [6] Eilenberg S., *Automata, languages and machines, Vol A*, Acad. Press (1974).
- [7] Laugerotte E., Abbad H., *Symbolic computation on weighted automata*, JICCSE'04.
- [8] Lothaire M., *Combinatorics on words*, Cambridge University Press (new edition), 1997.
- [9] Khatatneh K., *Construction of a memorized semiring*, DEA ITA Memoir, University of Rouen (2003).