

Two-sided cells in type B (asymptotic case)

Cédric Bonnafé

▶ To cite this version:

Cédric Bonnafé. Two-sided cells in type B (asymptotic case). 2005. hal-00004156v1

HAL Id: hal-00004156 https://hal.science/hal-00004156v1

Preprint submitted on 4 Feb 2005 (v1), last revised 30 Jun 2006 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

TWO-SIDED CELLS IN TYPE B (ASYMPTOTIC CASE)

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ

ABSTRACT. We compute two-sided cells of Weyl groups of type B for the "asymptotic" choice of parameters. We also obtain some partial results concerning Lusztig's conjectures in this particular case.

Let W_n be a Weyl group of type B_n . The present paper is a continuation of the work done by L. Iancu and the author [3] concerning Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of W_n for the asymptotic choice of parameters [3, §6]. To each element $w \in W_n$ is associated a pair of standard bi-tableaux (P(w), Q(w)) (see [19] or [3, §3]): this can be viewed as a Robinson-Schensted type correspondence. The main result [3, Theorem 7.7] is a complete determination of the left cells: two elements w and w' are in the same left cell if and only if Q(w) = Q(w'). We have also computed the character afforded by a left cell representation [3, Proposition 7.11] (this character is irreducible).

The present paper is concerned with the computation of the two-sided cells. Let us state the result here. If $w \in W_n$, write $Q(w) = (Q^+(w), Q^-(w))$ and denote by $\lambda^+(w)$ and $\lambda^-(w)$ the shape of $Q^+(w)$ and $Q^-(w)$ respectively. Note that $(\lambda^+(w), \lambda^-(w))$ is a bipartition of n.

Theorem (see 3.9). For the choice of parameters as in [3, §6], two elements w and w' are in the same two-sided cell if and only if $(\lambda^+(w), \lambda^-(w)) = (\lambda^+(w'), \lambda^-(w'))$.

Lusztig [17, Chapter 14] has proposed fifteen conjectures on Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of Hecke algebras with unequal parameters. The above theorem is compatible with Lusztig's conjectures P_4 , P_9 , P_{10} and P_{11} and with [3, Theorem 7.7]. We also obtain some partial results concerning Lusztig's conjectures (see for instance Proposition 4.3). In the last section, we determine which specializations of the parameters lead to an "isomorphic" situation.

Let us stay in this asymptotic case. In a forthcoming paper [9], Geck and Iancu use some of our results, namely some informations on the preorder $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$ (see Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 4.2), to compute the function **a** and to prove Lusztig's conjectures P_i , for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14\}$. On the other hand, Geck [8] has shown that Lusztig's conjectures P_9 and P_{10} hold. More precisely, he proved that the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is *cellular* (in the sense of [11]). He also proved a slightly weaker version of P_{15} (but his version is sufficient for constructing the homomorphism from the Hecke algebra to the asymptotic algebra J).

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we study some consequences of Lusztig's conjectures on the multiplication by T_{w_0} , where w_0 is the longest element of a finite Weyl group. From Section 2 to the end of the paper, we assume that the Weyl group is of type B_n and that the choice of parameters is done as in [3, §6]. In Section 2, we establish some preliminary results concerning the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis. In Section 3, we prove the above theorem by introducing a new basis of the Hecke algebra: this was inspired by the work of Geck on

Date: February 4, 2005.

 $^{1991\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.$ According to the 2000 classification: Primary 20C08; Secondary 20C15.

the induction of Kazhdan-Lusztig cells [7]. Section 4 contains some results related to Lusztig's conjectures. In Section 5, we determine which specializations of the parameters preserve the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

1. Generalities

1.A. **Notation.** We slightly modify the notation used in $[3, \S 5]$. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter group with $|S| < \infty$. We denote by $\ell: W \to \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ the length function relative to S. If W is finite, w_0 denotes its longest element. Let \leq denote the Bruhat ordering on W. If $I \subset S$, we denote by W_I the standard parabolic subgroup of W generated by I.

Let Γ be a totally ordered abelian group which will be denoted additively. The order on Γ will be denoted by \leq . If $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, we set

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{<\gamma_0} &= \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma < \gamma_0 \}, \qquad \Gamma_{\leqslant \gamma_0} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \leqslant \gamma_0 \}, \\ \Gamma_{>\gamma_0} &= \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma > \gamma_0 \} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Gamma_{\geqslant \gamma_0} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \geqslant \gamma_0 \}. \end{split}$$

Let A be the group algebra of Γ over \mathbb{Z} . It will be denoted exponentially: as a Z-module, it is free with basis $(v^{\gamma})_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ and the multiplication rule is given by $v^{\gamma}v^{\gamma'}=v^{\gamma+\gamma'}$ for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. If $a \in A$, we denote by a_{γ} the coefficient of a on v^{γ} , so that $a=\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}a_{\gamma}v^{\gamma}$. If $a\neq 0$, we define the *degree* and the *valuation* of a(which we denote respectively by $\deg a$ and $\operatorname{val} a$) as the elements of Γ equal to

$$\deg a = \max\{\gamma \mid a_{\gamma} \neq 0\}$$

and

$$val a = \min\{\gamma \mid a_{\gamma} \neq 0\}.$$

By convention, we set $\deg 0 = -\infty$ and $\operatorname{val} 0 = +\infty$. So $\deg : A \to \Gamma \cup \{-\infty\}$ and val: $A \to \Gamma \cup \{+\infty\}$ satisfy deg $ab = \deg a + \deg b$ and val $ab = \operatorname{val} a + \operatorname{val} b$ for all $a, b \in A$. We denote by $A \to A$, $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ the automorphism of A induced by the automorphism of Γ sending γ to $-\gamma$. Note that deg $a = -\operatorname{val} \bar{a}$. If $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, we set

$$\begin{split} A_{<\gamma_0} &= \underset{\gamma < \gamma_0}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z} v^{\gamma}, \qquad A_{\leqslant \gamma_0} = \underset{\gamma \leqslant \gamma_0}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z} v^{\gamma}, \\ A_{>\gamma_0} &= \underset{\gamma > \gamma_0}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z} v^{\gamma} \quad \text{ and } \quad A_{\geqslant \gamma_0} = \underset{\gamma \geqslant \gamma_0}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z} v^{\gamma}. \end{split}$$

We fix a weight function $L: W \to \Gamma$, that is a function satisfying L(ww') =L(w) + L(w') whenever $\ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w')$. We also assume that L(s) > 0 for every $s \in S$. We denote by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(W, S, L)$ the Hecke algebra of W associated to the weight function L. It is the associative A-algebra with A-basis $(T_w)_{w\in W}$ indexed by W and whose multiplication is determined by the following two conditions:

(a)
$$T_w T_{w'} = T_{ww'}$$
 if $\ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w')$
(b) $T_s^2 = 1 + (v^{-L(s)} - v^{L(s)})T_s$ if $s \in S$.

(b)
$$T_s^2 = 1 + (v^{-L(s)} - v^{L(s)})T_s$$
 if $s \in S$.

It is easily seen from the above relations that $(T_s)_{s\in S}$ generates the A-algebra \mathcal{H} and that T_w is invertible for every $w \in W$. If $h = \sum_{w \in W} a_w T_w \in \mathcal{H}$, we set $\overline{h} = \sum_{w \in W} \overline{a}_w T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}$. Then the map $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, $h \mapsto \overline{h}$ is a semi-linear involutive automorphism of \mathcal{H} . If $I \subset S$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(W_I)$ the sub-A-algebra of \mathcal{H} generated

Let $w \in W$. By [17, Theorem 5.2], there exists a unique element $C_w \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

(a)
$$C_w = C_w$$

(a)
$$C_w = \overline{C}_w$$
 (b)
$$C_w \in T_w + \left(\underset{y \in W}{\oplus} A_{<0} T_y \right).$$

Write $C_w = \sum_{y \in W} p_{y,w}^* T_y$ with $p_{y,w}^* \in A$. Then [17, 5.3]

$$\begin{aligned} p_{w,w}^* &= 1 \\ p_{y,w}^* &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{si } y \not \leqslant w.$$

In particular, $(C_w)_{w \in W}$ is an A-basis of \mathcal{H} : it is called the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of \mathcal{H} . Write now $p_{y,w} = v^{L(w)-L(y)}p_{y,w}^*$. Then

$$p_{y,w} \in A_{\geqslant 0}$$

and the coefficient of $p_{y,w}$ on v^0 is equal to 1 (see [17, Proposition 5.4 (a)]. We define the relations $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$, $<_{\mathcal{L}}$, $<_{\mathcal{L}}$, $<_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $<_{\mathcal{L}}$ as in [17, §8].

1.B. The function a. Let $x, y \in W$. Write

$$C_x C_y = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z} C_z,$$

where $h_{x,y,z} \in A$ for $z \in W$. Of course, we have

$$\overline{h_{x,y,z}} = h_{x,y,z}.$$

The following lemma is well-known [17, Lemma 10.4 (c) and formulas 13.1 (a) et (b)].

Lemma 1.2. Let x, y and z be three elements of W. Then

$$\deg h_{x,y,z} \leqslant \min(L(x), L(y)).$$

Conjecture P_0 (Lusztig): There exists $N \in \Gamma$ such that $\deg h_{x,y,z} \leq N$ for all x, y and z in W.

If W is finite, then W satisfies obviously P_0 . If W is an affine Weyl group, then it also satisfies P_0 [16, 7.2]. From now on, we assume that W satisfies P_0 , so that the next definition is valid. If $z \in W$, we set

$$\mathbf{a}(z) = \max_{x,y \in W} \deg h_{x,y,z}.$$

Since $h_{1,1,z}=1$, we have $\mathbf{a}(z)\in\Gamma_{\geqslant 0}$. If necessary, we will write $\mathbf{a}_W(z)$ for $\mathbf{a}(z)$. We denote by $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}}\in\mathbb{Z}$ the coefficient of $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$ in $h_{x,y,z}$. The next proposition shows how the function \mathbf{a} can be calculated by using different bases.

Proposition 1.3. Let $(X_w)_{w \in W}$ and $(Y_w)_{w \in W}$ be two families of elements of \mathcal{H} such that, for every $w \in W$, $X_w - T_w$ and $Y_w - T_w$ belong to $\bigoplus_{y < w} A_{<0}T_y$. For all x and y in W, write

$$X_x Y_y = \sum_{z \in W} \xi_{x,y,z} C_z.$$

Then, if $x, y, z \in W$, we have:

- (a) $\deg \xi_{x,y,z} \leq \min\{L(x), L(y)\}.$
- (b) $\xi_{x,y,z} \in \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} v^{\mathbf{a}(z)} + A_{<\mathbf{a}(z)}$.

In particular,

$$\mathbf{a}(z) = \max_{x,y \in W} \deg \xi_{x,y,z}.$$

Proof - Clear. ■

4

1.C. Lusztig's conjectures. Let $\tau: \mathcal{H} \to A$ be the A-linear application such that $\tau(T_w) = \delta_{1,w}$ if $w \in W$. It is the canonical symmetrizing form on \mathcal{H} (recall that $\tau(T_xT_y) = \delta_{xy,1}$). If $z \in W$, let

$$\Delta(z) = -\deg p_{1,z}^* = -\deg \tau(C_z).$$

Let n_z be the coefficient of $p_{1,z}^*$ on $v^{-\Delta(z)}$. Finally, let

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ z \in W \mid \mathbf{a}(z) = \Delta(z) \}.$$

Conjectures (Lusztig): With the above notation, we have:

 P_1 . If $z \in W$, then $\mathbf{a}(z) \leq \Delta(z)$.

 P_2 . If $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and if $x, y \in W$ satisfy $\gamma_{x,y,d} \neq 0$, then $x = y^{-1}$.

 P_3 . If $y \in W$, then there exists a unique $d \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d} \neq 0$.

 P_4 . If $z' \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} z$, then $\mathbf{a}(z) \leq \mathbf{a}(z')$. Therefore, if $z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$, then $\mathbf{a}(z) = \mathbf{a}(z')$.

P5. If $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $y \in W$ satisfy $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d} \neq 0$, then $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d} = n_d = \pm 1$.

P6. If $d \in \mathcal{D}$, then $d^2 = 1$.

 P_7 . If $x, y, z \in W$, then $\gamma_{x,y,z} = \gamma_{y,z,x}$.

P₈. If $x, y, z \in W$ satisfy $\gamma_{x,y,z} \neq 0$, then $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y^{-1}$, $y \sim_{\mathcal{L}} z^{-1}$ and $z \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x^{-1}$.

 P_9 . If $z' \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$ and $\mathbf{a}(z') = \mathbf{a}(z)$, then $z' \sim_{\mathcal{L}} z$.

 P_{10} . If $z' \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z$ and $\mathbf{a}(z') = \mathbf{a}(z)$, then $z' \sim_{\mathcal{R}} z$.

 P_{11} . If $z' \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} z$ and $\mathbf{a}(z') = \mathbf{a}(z)$, then $z' \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z$.

 P_{12} . If $I \subset S$ and $z \in W_I$, then $\mathbf{a}_{W_I}(z) = \mathbf{a}_W(z)$.

P₁₃. Every left cell C of W contains a unique element $d \in D$. If $y \in C$, then $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d} \neq 0$.

 P_{14} . If $z \in W$, then $z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z^{-1}$.

 P_{15} . If $x, x', y, w \in W$ are such that $\mathbf{a}(y) = \mathbf{a}(w)$, then

$$\sum_{y' \in W} h_{w,x',y'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} h_{x,y',y} = \sum_{y' \in W} h_{y',x',y} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} h_{x,w,y'}$$

in $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$.

Lusztig has shown that these conjectures hold if W is a finite or affine Weyl group and $L = \ell$ [17, §15], if W est dihedral and L is any weight function [17, §17] and if (W, L) is quasi-split [17, §16].

1.D. Lusztig's conjectures and multiplication by T_{w_0} . We assume in this subsection that W is finite. We are interested here in certain properties of the multiplication by $T_{w_0}^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Some of them are partially known [14, Lemma 1.11 and Remark 1.12]. If $y \in W$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$T_{w_0}^n C_y = \sum_{x \in W} \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} C_x.$$

Note that $\lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} = 0$ if $x \nleq_{\mathcal{L}} y$.

Proposition 1.4. Assume that W is finite and satisfy Lusztig's conjectures P_1 , P_4 et P_8 . Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let x and y be two elements of W such that $x \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} y$. Then:

- (a) If $n \ge 0$, then $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} \le n(\mathbf{a}(x) \mathbf{a}(w_0x))$. If moreover $x <_{\mathcal{L}} y$, then $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} < n(\mathbf{a}(x) \mathbf{a}(w_0x))$.
- (b) If $n \leq 0$, then $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} \leq n(\mathbf{a}(y) \mathbf{a}(w_0y))$. If moreover $x <_{\mathcal{L}} y$, then $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} < n(\mathbf{a}(y) \mathbf{a}(w_0y))$.
- (c) If n is even and if $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y$, then $\lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} = \delta_{x,y} v^{n(\mathbf{a}(x) \mathbf{a}(w_0 x))}$.

PROOF - If n = 0, then (a), (b) and (c) are easily checked. Let us now prove (a) and (b). By [17, Proposition 11.4],

$$T_{w_0} = \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1, w_0 u}^* C_u.$$

Consequently,

$$\lambda_{x,y}^{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{u \in W \\ x \leq r_0 \ u}} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1,w_0 u}^* h_{u,y,x}.$$

But, by P_1 , we have $\deg p_{1,w_0u}^* \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(w_0u)$. If moreover $x \leqslant_{\mathcal{R}} u$, then $w_0u \leqslant_{\mathcal{R}} w_0x$ and so $-\mathbf{a}(w_0x) \geqslant -\mathbf{a}(w_0u)$ by P_4 . Therefore

$$\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(1)} \leqslant \mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{a}(w_0 x).$$

On the other hand, if $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(1)} = \mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{a}(w_0 x)$, then there exists $u \in W$ such that $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$ and $\deg h_{u,y,x} = \mathbf{a}(x)$. So, by P_8 , we get that $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y$. This shows (a) for n = 1.

Now, let $\nu: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ denote the A-linear application such that $\nu(C_w) = v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w)}C_w$ for all $w \in W$ and let $\mu: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, $h \mapsto T_{w_0}h$. Then, if $w \in W$, we have

$$\nu\mu(C_y) = \sum_{u \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} y} v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0 u) - \mathbf{a}(u)} \lambda_{u,y}^{(1)} C_u.$$

So, by the previous discussion, we have $v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0u)-\mathbf{a}(u)}\lambda_{u,y}^{(1)} \in A_{\leq 0}$. Moreover, if $u <_{\mathcal{L}} y$, then $v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0u)-\mathbf{a}(u)}\lambda_{u,y}^{(1)} \in A_{\leq 0}$. On the other hand, $\det \mu = \pm 1$ and $\det \nu = 1$. Therefore, if we write

$$\mu^{-1}\nu^{-1}(C_y) = \sum_{u \leq_C y} \beta_{u,y} C_u,$$

then $\beta_{u,y} \in A_{\leq 0}$ and, if $u <_{\mathcal{L}} y$, then $\beta_{u,y} \in A_{<0}$. Finally,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_{w_0}^{-1}C_y & = & \mu^{-1}(C_y) \\ & = & \mu^{-1}\nu^{-1}\nu(C_y) \\ & = & v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0y)-\mathbf{a}(y)}\mu^{-1}\nu^{-1}(C_y) \\ & = & \sum_{u \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}}y} v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0y)-\mathbf{a}(y)}\beta_{u,y}C_u. \end{array}$$

In other words, $\lambda_{x,y}^{(-1)} = v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0y) - \mathbf{a}(y)} \beta_{x,y}$. This shows that (b) holds if n = -1. An elementary induction argument using P_4 shows that (a) and (b) hold in full generality.

Let us now prove (c). Let K be the field of fraction of A. Let C be a left cell of W and let $c \in C$. We set

$$\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C} = \bigoplus_{w \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} c} AC_w$$
 and $\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C} = \bigoplus_{w \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} c} AC_w$.

Then $\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C}$ are left ideals of \mathcal{H} . The algebra $K\mathcal{H} = K \otimes_A \mathcal{H}$ being semisimple, there exists a left ideal I_C of $K\mathcal{H}$ such that $K\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C} = K\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C} \oplus I_C$. We need to prove that, for all $h \in I_C$,

$$T_{w_0}^n h = v^{n(\mathbf{a}(c) - \mathbf{a}(w_0 c))} h.$$

For this, we may, and we will, assume that n>0. Let V_1^C , V_2^C ,..., $V_{n_C}^C$ be irreducible sub- $K\mathcal{H}\otimes_A K$ -modules of I_C such that

$$I_C = V_1^C \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_C}^C.$$

Let $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n_C\}$. Since $T_{w_0}^n$ is central and invertible in \mathcal{H} , there exists $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and $i_j(C) \in \Gamma$ such that

$$T_{w_0}^n h = \varepsilon v^{i_j(C)} h$$

for every $h \in V_j^C$. By specializing $v^{\gamma} \mapsto 1$, we get that $\varepsilon = 1$. Moreover, by (a) and (b), $i_j^C \leq n(\mathbf{a}(c) - \mathbf{a}(w_0c))$. On the other hand, since $\det \mu = \pm 1$, we have $\det \mu^n = 1$. But $\det \mu^n = v^r$, where

$$r = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{LC}(W)} \sum_{j=1}^{n_C} i_j^C \dim V_j^C$$

$$\leqslant n \sum_{C \in \mathcal{LC}(W)} (\mathbf{a}(C) - \mathbf{a}(w_0C)) \sum_{j=1}^{n_C} \dim V_j^C$$

$$= n \sum_{C \in \mathcal{LC}(W)} (\mathbf{a}(C) - \mathbf{a}(w_0C)) |C|$$

$$= n \sum_{w \in W} (\mathbf{a}(w) - \mathbf{a}(w_0w))$$

$$= 0.$$

Here, $\mathcal{LC}(W)$ denotes the set of left cells in W and, if $C \in \mathcal{LC}(W)$, $\mathbf{a}(C)$ denotes the value of \mathbf{a} on C (according to P_4). The fact that r=0 forces the equality $i_j^C = n(\mathbf{a}(C) - \mathbf{a}(w_0C))$ for every left cell C and every $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n_C\}$.

REMARK 1.5 - Assume here that w_0 is central in W and keep the notation of the proof of Proposition 1.4 (c). Let $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n_C\}$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_j(C) \in \{1, -1\}$ et $e_j(C) \in \Gamma$ such that $T_{w_0}h = \varepsilon_j(C)v^{e_j(C)}h$ for every $h \in V_j^C$.

Question: Let
$$j, j' \in \{1, 2, ..., n_C\}$$
. Does $\varepsilon_j(C) = \varepsilon_{j'}(C)$?

A positive answer to this question would allow to generalize Proposition 1.4 (c) to the case where w_0^n is central. \square

Corollary 1.6. Assume that W is finite and satisfy Lusztig's conjectures P_1 , P_2 , P_4 , P_8 , P_9 and P_{13} . Let $w \in W$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$. Moreover, $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w) = -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$ if and only if $w_0^n w \in \mathcal{D}$.

PROOF - Assume first that n is even. In particular, $w_0^n=1$. By Proposition 1.4, we have

$$\tau(T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w) = v^{n(\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w))}\tau(C_w) + \sum_{x \le cw} \lambda_{x,w}^{(-n)}\tau(C_x).$$

But, if $x <_{\mathcal{L}} w$, then $\deg \tau(C_x) = -\Delta(x) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(x) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(w)$. So, by P_1 and P_4 and by Proposition 1.4 (b), we have that $\deg \lambda_{x,w}^{(-n)} \tau(C_x) < -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$. Moreover, again by P_1 , we have $\deg \tau(C_w) = -\Delta(w) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(w)$. This shows that $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$ and that equality holds if and only if $\Delta(w) = \mathbf{a}(w)$, that is, if and only if $w \in \mathcal{D}$, as desired.

Assume now that n=2k+1 for some natural number k. Recall that, by [17, Proposition 11.4], $T_{w_0} = \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1,w_0 u}^* C_x$. Therefore

$$T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w = \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1,w_0 u}^* T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_u C_w$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{u,x \in W \\ u \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} w \text{ and } u \leqslant_{\mathcal{R}} x}} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1,w_0 u}^* h_{u,w,x} T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_x.$$

This implies that

$$\tau(T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w) = \sum_{\substack{u, x \in W \\ x \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} w \text{ and } x \leqslant_{\mathcal{R}} u}} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1, w_0 u}^* h_{u, w, x} \tau(T_{w_0}^{-2}C_x),$$

so
$$\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w)\leqslant \max_{\substack{u,x\in W\\x\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} w \text{ and } x\leqslant_{\mathcal{R}} u}} \deg(p_{1,w_0u}^*h_{u,w,x}\tau(T_{w_0}^{-n-1}C_x)).$$

Let u and x be two elements of W such that $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$ and $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$. Since n+1 is even and by the previous discussion, we have

$$\operatorname{deg} \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n-1}C_x) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(x) + (n+1)(\mathbf{a}(w_0x) - \mathbf{a}(x)).$$

By P_1 and P_4 , $\deg p_{1,w_0u}^* \leq -\mathbf{a}(w_0u) \leq -\mathbf{a}(w_0x)$. Moreover, $\deg h_{u,w,x} \leq \mathbf{a}(x)$. Consequently,

$$\deg(p_{1,w_0u}^* h_{u,w,x} \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_x)) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(x) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 x) - \mathbf{a}(x)) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w)).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $w_0u \in \mathcal{D}$, $\deg h_{u,w,x} = \mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(w)$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$.

We first deduce that

$$\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w) \leqslant -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$$

which is the first assertion of the proposition.

Assume now that $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w) = -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$. Then there exists u and x in W such that $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$, $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$, $w_0u \in \mathcal{D}$, $\deg h_{u,w,x} = \mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(w)$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\deg h_{u,w,x} = \mathbf{a}(x)$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$, we deduce from P_2 that $w = u^{-1}$, which shows that $w_0w \in \mathcal{D}$.

Conversely, assume that $w_0w \in \mathcal{D}$. To show that $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n}C_w) = -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$, it is sufficient to show that there is a unique pair (u, x) of elements of W such that $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$, $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$, $w_0u \in \mathcal{D}$, $\deg h_{u,w,x} = \mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(w)$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$. The existence follows from P_{13} (take $u = w^{-1}$ and x be the unique elément of \mathcal{D} belonging to the left cell containing w). Let us now show unicity. Let (u, x) be such a pair. Since $\deg h_{u,w,x} = \mathbf{a}(x)$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$, we deduce from P_2 that $u = w^{-1}$. Moreover, since $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(w)$ and $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$, we have $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} w$ by P_9 . But, by P_{13} , x is the unique element of \mathcal{D} belonging to the left cell containing w.

2. Preliminaries on type B (asymptotic case)

From now on, we are working under the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis and notation: We assume now that $W = W_n$ is of type B_n , $n \ge 1$. We write $S = S_n = \{t, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$ as in [3, $\S 2.1$]: the Dynkin diagram of W_n is given by

$$t$$
 s_1 s_2 s_{n-1}

We also assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and that Γ is ordered lexicographically:

$$(a,b) \leqslant (a',b') \Longleftrightarrow a < a' \text{ or } (a=a' \text{ and } b \leqslant b').$$

We set $V = v^{(1,0)}$ and $v = v^{(0,1)}$ so that $A = \mathbb{Z}[V, V^{-1}, v, v^{-1}]$ is the Laurent polynomial ring in two algebraically independent indeterminates V and v. If $w \in W_n$, we denote by $\ell_t(w)$ the number of occurrences of t in a reduced expression of w. We set $\ell_s(w) = \ell(w) - \ell_t(w)$. Then ℓ_s and ℓ_t are weight functions and

we assume that $L = L_n : W_n \to \Gamma$, $w \mapsto (\ell_t(w), \ell_s(w))$. So $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}(W_n, S_n, L_n)$. We denote by \mathfrak{S}_n the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$: it is isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree n.

We now recall some notation from [3, §2.1 and 4.1]. Let $r_1 = t_1 = t$ and, if $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, let $r_{i+1} = s_i r_i$ and $t_{i+1} = s_i t_i s_i$. If $0 \leqslant l \leqslant n$, let $a_l = r_1 r_2 \dots r_l$. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_l, W_l, \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}, W_{l,n-l}$ the standard parabolic subgroups of W_n generated by $\{s_1, s_2, ..., s_{l-1}\}, \{t, s_1, s_2, ..., s_{l-1}\}, S_n \setminus \{t, s_l\}$ and $S_n \setminus \{s_l\}$ respectively. The longest element of \mathfrak{S}_l is denoted by σ_l . Let

$$Y_{l,n-l} = \{ a \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \forall \ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}, \ \ell(a\sigma) \geqslant \ell(\sigma) \}.$$

If $w \in W_n$ is such that $\ell_t(w) = l$, then [3, §4.6] there exist unique a_w , $b_w \in Y_{l,n-l}$, $\sigma_w \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$ such that $w = a_w a_l \sigma_w b_w^{-1}$. Recall that $\ell(w) = \ell(a_w) + \ell(a_l) + \ell(\sigma_w) + \ell(a_w) + \ell(a_$ $\ell(b_w)$.

2.A. Some submodules of \mathcal{H} . If l is a natural number such that $0 \le l \le n$, we

$$\mathcal{T}_{l} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_{n} \\ \ell_{t}(w) = l}} AT_{w}, \qquad \mathcal{T}_{\leqslant l} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_{n} \\ \ell_{t}(w) \leqslant l}} AT_{w}, \qquad \mathcal{T}_{\geqslant l} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_{n} \\ \ell_{t}(w) \geqslant l}} AT_{w},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{l} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_{n} \\ \ell_{t}(w) = l}} AC_{w}, \qquad \mathcal{C}_{\leqslant l} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_{n} \\ \ell_{t}(w) \leqslant l}} AC_{w} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\geqslant l} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_{n} \\ \ell_{t}(w) \geqslant l}} AC_{w}.$$

Let $\Pi_2^T: \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{T}_2$ and $\Pi_2^C: \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{C}_2$ be the natural projections (for ? \in $\{l, \leqslant l, \geqslant l\}$).

Proposition 2.1. Let l be a natural number such that $0 \le l \le n$. Then:

- (a) \mathcal{T}_l and \mathcal{C}_l are sub- $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -modules- $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ of \mathcal{H}_n . The applications Π_l^T and Π_l^C are morphisms of $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -modules- $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$.
- (b) $T_{\leqslant l} = C_{\leqslant l}$.
- (c) $C_{\geqslant l}$ is a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{H}_n .

PROOF - (a) follows from [3, Theorem 6.3 (b)]. (b) is clear. (c) follows from [3, Corollary 6.7]. \blacksquare

The next proposition is a useful characterization of the elements of the two-sided ideal \mathcal{C}_n .

Proposition 2.2. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$. The following are equivalent:

- (2) $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}_n, (T_t V)xh = 0.$ (3) $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n), (T_t V)xh = 0.$

PROOF - If $\ell_t(w) = n$, then tw < w so $(T_t - V)C_w = 0$ by [17, Theorem 6.6 (b)]. Since \mathcal{C}_n is a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{H}_n (see Proposition 2.1 (c)), we get that (1) implies (2). It is also obvious that (2) implies (3). It remains to show that (3) implies (1).

Let $I = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_n \mid \forall x \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n), (T_t - V)xh = 0\}$. Then I is clearly a sub- $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -module- \mathcal{H}_n of \mathcal{H}_n . We need to show that $I \subset \mathcal{C}_n$. In other words, since $C_n \subset I$, we need to show that $I \cap C_{\leqslant n-1} = 0$. Let $I' = I \cap C_{\leqslant n-1} = I \cap T_{\leqslant n-1}$ (see Proposition 2.1 (b)). Let $X = \{w \in W_n \mid \tau(I'T_{w^{-1}}) \neq 0\}$. Showing that I' = 0 is equivalent to showing $X = \emptyset$.

Assume $X \neq \emptyset$. Let w be an element of X of maximal length and let h be an element of I' such that $\tau(hT_{w^{-1}}) \neq 0$. Since $h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq n-1}$, we have $\ell(w) \leq n-1$. Moreover, $T_t h = V h$, so $\tau(T_t h T_{w^{-1}}) = \tau(h T_{w^{-1}} T_t) \neq 0$. By the maximality of

 $\ell(w)$, we get that tw < w. So, there exists $s \in S_n$ such that sw > w and $s \neq t$. Then

$$\tau(T_s h T_{(sw)^{-1}}) = \tau(h T_{(sw)^{-1}} T_s)
= \tau(h T_{w^{-1}}) + (v - v^{-1}) \tau(h T_{(sw)^{-1}})
\neq 0,$$

the last inequality following from the maximality of $\ell(w)$ (which implies that $\tau(hT_{(sw)^{-1}})=0$). But $T_sh\in I'$ and so $sw\in X$. This contradicts the maximality of $\ell(w)$.

2.B. Some results on the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis. In this subsection, we study the elements of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of the form $C_{a_l\sigma}$ where $0 \le l \le n$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and let $0 \leq l \leq n$. Then $C_{a_l}C_{\sigma} = C_{a_l\sigma}$ and $C_{\sigma}C_{a_l} = C_{\sigma a_l\sigma}$.

PROOF - Let $C = C_{a_l}C_{\sigma}$. Then $\overline{C} = C$ and

$$C - T_{a_l \sigma} = \sum_{w < a_l \sigma} \lambda_w T_w$$

with $\lambda_w \in A$ for $w < a_l \sigma$. To show that $C = C_{a_l \sigma}$, it is sufficient to show that $\lambda_w \in A_{<0}$.

But, $C_{a_l} = T_{a_l} + \sum_{x < a_l} V^{\ell_t(x) - l} \beta_x T_x$ with $\beta_x \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ (see [3, Theorem 6.3 (a)]). Hence,

$$C = T_{a_l\sigma} + \sum_{\tau < \sigma} p_{\tau,\sigma}^* T_{a_l\tau} + \sum_{x < a_l} V^{\ell_t(x) - l} \beta_x T_x C_\sigma.$$

But, if $x < a_l$, then $\ell_t(x) < l$. This shows that $\lambda_w \in A_{<0}$ for every $w < a_l \sigma$. This shows the first equality. The second one is obtained by a symmetric argument.

Proposition 2.3 shows that it can be useful to compute in different ways the elements C_{a_l} to be able to relate the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of \mathcal{H}_n to the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$. Following the work of Dipper-James-Murphy [4], Ariki-Koike [2] and Graham-Lehrer [11, §5], we set

$$P_{l} = (T_{t_{1}} + V^{-1})(T_{t_{2}} + V^{-1}) \dots (T_{t_{l}} + V^{-1})$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq l} V^{k-l} \Big(\sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq l} T_{t_{i_{1}} \dots t_{i_{k}}} \Big).$$

Lemma 2.4. P_n is central in \mathcal{H}_n .

PROOF - First, P_n commutes with T_t (indeed, $tt_i = t_i t > t_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$). By [2, Lemma 3.3], P_n commutes with T_{s_i} for $1 \le i \le n-1$. Since the notation and conventions are somewhat different, we recall here a brief proof. First, if $j \notin \{i, i+1\}$, $s_i t_j = t_j s_i > t_j$ so T_{s_i} commutes with T_{t_j} . Therefore, it is sufficient to show that T_{s_i} commutes with $(T_{t_i} + V^{-1})(T_{t_{i+1}} + V^{-1})$. This follows from a straightforward computation using the fact that $s_i t_i > t_i$, that $t_{i+1} s_i < t_{i+1}$ and that $s_i t_i = t_{i+1} s_i$.

Proposition 2.5. If $0 \leqslant l \leqslant n$, then $C_{a_l} = P_l T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} = T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} P_l$.

PROOF - The computation may be performed in the subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_n generated by $\{T_t, T_{s_1}, \ldots, T_{s_{l-1}}\}$ so we may, and we will, assume that l = n. First, we have $T_t P_n = V P_n$. Since P_n is central in \mathcal{H}_n , it follows from the characterization of \mathcal{C}_n given by Proposition 2.2 that $P_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$.

Now, let $h = C_{a_n} - P_n T_{\sigma_n}^{-1}$. Then, by Proposition 2.1 (c), we have $h \in \mathcal{C}_n$. Moreover, it is easily checked that $h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq n-1} = \mathcal{C}_{\leq n-1}$. So h = 0.

Corollary 2.6. If $0 \le l \le n$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, then $\Pi_0^T(C_{a_l\sigma}) = V^{-l}T_{\sigma_l}^{-1}C_{\sigma_l}$. In particular, $\tau(C_{a_l\sigma}) = V^{-l}\tau(T_{\sigma_l}^{-1}C_{\sigma}).$

PROOF - Since Π_0^T is a morphism of right $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -modules (see Proposition 2.1 (a)) and since $C_{a_l\sigma} = P_l T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma}$ (see Propositions 2.3 and 2.5), we have $\Pi_0^T(C_{a_l\sigma}) = \Pi_0^T(P_l) T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma}$. But, $\Pi_0^T(P_l) = V^{-l}$. This completes the proof of the corollary.

3. Two-sided cells

The aim of this section is to show that, if x and y are two elements of W such that $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y)$, then $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} y$ if and only if $\sigma_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$ (see Theorem 3.5). Here, $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}}$ is the preorder $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$ defined inside the parabolic subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$. For this, we adapt an argument of Geck [7] who was considering the preorder $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$.

We start by defining an order relation \leq on W. Let x and y be two elements of W. Then $x \prec y$ if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y)$,
- $(2) x \leq y$
- (3) $a_x < a_y \text{ ou } b_x < b_y$, (4) $\sigma_x \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$.

We write $x \leq y$ if $x \leq y$ or x = y. If $y \in W_n$, we set

$$\Gamma_y = T_{a_y} C_{a_{\ell_t(y)}} C_{\sigma_y} T_{b_y^{-1}}.$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $y \in W$. Then $\Gamma_y \in T_y + \bigoplus_{x < y} A_{<0}T_x$.

PROOF - First, Γ_y is a linear combination of elements of the form $T_{a_y}T_zT_{b_y}^{-1}$ with $z \leqslant a_{\ell_t(y)}\sigma_y$, so it is a linear combination of elements of the form T_x with $x \leqslant y$. Let $l = \ell_t(y)$. We have

$$\Gamma_y = T_{a_y} T_{a_l} T_{\sigma_y} T_{b_y^{-1}} + \left(\sum_{\tau < \sigma} p_{\tau, \sigma}^* T_{a_y} T_{a_l} T_{\tau} T_{b_y^{-1}} \right) + \left(\sum_{a < a_l, \ \tau \leqslant \sigma} p_{a, a_l}^* p_{\sigma, \tau}^* T_{a_y} T_a T_{\tau} T_{b_y^{-1}} \right).$$

If $\tau < \sigma$, then $T_{a_y} T_{a_l} T_{\tau} T_{b_y^{-1}} = T_{a_y a_l \tau b_y^{-1}}$ by [3, §4.6]. On the other hand, if $a < a_l$, then $\ell_t(a) < l$ so $T_{a_y} T_a T_\tau T_{b_y^{-1}}$ is a linear combination, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ of elements T_w with $\ell_t(w) = \ell_t(a) < l$ (because a_y, τ and b_y^{-1} are elements of \mathfrak{S}_n). Since $V^{l-\ell_t(a)}p_{a,a_l}^* \in \mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$ by [3, Theorem 6.3 (a)], this proves the lemma.

Lemma 3.2. If $y \in W_n$, then

$$\overline{\Gamma}_y = \Gamma_y + \sum_{x \prec y} \rho_{x,y} \Gamma_x$$

where the $\rho_{x,y}$'s belong to $\mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$.

PROOF - Let $l = \ell_t(y)$. Then

$$T_{a_y^{-1}}^{-1} = T_{a_y} + \sum_{\substack{a \in Y_{l,n-l}, x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l} \\ ax < a_y}} R_{ax,a_y} T_a T_x.$$

Moreover, if $a \in Y_{l,n-l}$ et $x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$ are such that $ax < a_y$, then $a < a_y$ (see [17, Lemma 9.10 (f)]. Thus,

$$\overline{\Gamma}_{y} = \Gamma_{y} + \sum_{\substack{a,b \in Y_{l,n-l}, x, x' \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l} \\ (ax < a_{y} \text{ or } bx' < b_{y})}} R_{ax,a_{y}} R_{bx',b_{y}} T_{a} (T_{x} C_{a_{l}\sigma} T_{y^{-1}}) T_{b^{-1}}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Corollary 3.3. If
$$x \leq y$$
, then $\sum_{x \leq z \leq y} \overline{\rho}_{x,z} \rho_{z,y} = \delta_{x,y}$.

PROOF - This follows immediately from Lemma 3.2 and from the fact that $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$, $h \mapsto \overline{h}$ is an involution.

Corollary 3.4. If $w \in W$, then

$$C_w = \Gamma_w + \sum_{y \prec w} \pi_{y,w}^* \Gamma_y$$

where $\pi_{y,w}^* \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] \subset A_{<0}$ if $y \prec w$.

PROOF - By Corollary 3.3, there exists a unique family $(\pi_{y,w}^*)_{y \prec w}$ of elements of $v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ such that $\Gamma_w + \sum_{y \prec w} \pi_{y,w}^* \Gamma_y$ is stable under the involution $h \mapsto \overline{h}$ of \mathcal{H}_n (see [6, Page 214]: this contains a general setting for including the arguments in [13, Proposition 2] or in [7, Proposition 3.3]). But, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Gamma_w + \sum_{y < w} \pi_{y,w}^* \Gamma_y \in T_w + (\bigoplus_{y < w} A_{<0} T_y).$$

So
$$C_w = \Gamma_w + \sum_{y \prec w} \pi_{y,w}^* \Gamma_y$$
.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.5. Let x and y be two elements of W such that $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y)$. Then $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} y$ if and only if $\sigma_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$.

PROOF - Let $l=\ell_t(x)=\ell_t(y)=l$. Assume first that $\sigma_x\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}}\sigma_y$. Decompose $\sigma_x=(\sigma_x',\sigma_x'')$ with $\sigma_x'\in\mathfrak{S}_l$ and $\sigma_x''\in\mathfrak{S}_{n-l}$. Then $\sigma_x'\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}}\sigma_y'$ so $\sigma_l\sigma_y'\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_l}\sigma_l\sigma_x'$ so $w_l\sigma_l\sigma_x'\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{W_l}\sigma_l\sigma_y'$. In other words, $a_l\sigma_x'\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{W_l}a_l\sigma_y'$. Therefore, $a_l\sigma_x\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}a_l\sigma_y$. But, by [3, Theorem 7.7], we have $x\sim_{\mathcal{LR}}a_l\sigma_x$ and $y\sim_{\mathcal{LR}}a_l\sigma_y$. So $x\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}y$.

To show the converse statement, it is sufficient to show that

$$I = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{u \in W_n \\ \ell_t(u) = l \text{ and } \sigma_u \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_l, n - l} \sigma_y}} AC_u \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\geqslant l + 1}$$

is a two-sided ideal. But, by Corollary 3.4, we have

$$I = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{u \in W_n \\ \ell_t(u) = l \text{ and } \sigma_u \leqslant \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}}} A\Gamma_u \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\geqslant l+1}.$$

By symmetry, we only need to prove that I is a left ideal. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ and let $u \in W_n$ such that $\ell_t(u) = l$ and $\sigma_u \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$. We want to prove that $h\Gamma_u \in I$. For simplification, let $a = a_u$, $b = b_u$, $\sigma = \sigma_u$. Let

$$X_l = \{ x \in W_n \mid \forall \ w \in W_{l,n-l}, \ \ell(xw) \geqslant \ell(w) \}.$$

Then, by [7, Proposition 3.3] and [3, Lemma 7.3 and Corollary 7.4],

$$T_a C_{a_l \sigma} \in \bigoplus_{\substack{x \in X_l \\ \tau \leqslant \stackrel{\mathfrak{S}^{n_l, n_{-l}}}{\sigma}}} A C_{x a_l \tau}.$$

Let I' be the right-hand side of the previous formula. By [7, Corollary 3.4], I' is a left ideal. Therefore, $hT_aC_{a_l\sigma} \in I'$. On the other hand,

$$I' \subset \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{x \in Y_{l,n-l} \\ \tau \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma}} AC_{xa_{l}\tau} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\geqslant l+1}.$$

Now, by Corollary 3.4, we have

$$I' \subset \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{x \in Y_{l,n-l} \\ \tau \leqslant \stackrel{\mathfrak{S}^{l}_{l,n-l}}{\sigma}}} AT_x C_{a_l \tau} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\geqslant l+1}.$$

Therefore, $h\Gamma_u \in I'T_{b^{-1}} \subset I$, as desired.

Corollary 3.6. Let x and y be two elements of W_n . Then $x \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} y$ if and only if $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y)$ (= $\ell_t(y)$) and $\ell_t(y) = \ell_t(y)$

REMARK 3.7 - We associate to each element $w \in W_n$ a pair (P(w), Q(w)) of standard bi-tableaux as in [3, §3]. Let $l = \ell_t(w)$. Write $Q(w) = (Q^+(w), Q^-(w))$ and denote by $\lambda^?(w)$ the shape of $Q^?(w)$ for $? \in \{+, -\}$. The map $w \mapsto (P(w), Q(w))$ is a generalization of the Robinson-Schensted correspondence (see [19, Theorem 3.3] or [3, Theoreme 3.3]). Then $\lambda^+(w)$ is a partition of n-l and $\lambda^-(w)$ is a partition of l, so that $\lambda(w) = (\lambda^+(w), \lambda^-(w))$ is a bipartition of n. If we write $\sigma_w = \sigma_w^- \times \sigma_w^+$ with $\sigma_w^- \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ and $\sigma_w^+ \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-l}$, note that $\lambda^?(w)$ is the shape of the standard tableau associated to $\sigma_w^?$ by the classical Robinson-Schensted correspondence. Let \unlhd denote the dominance order on partitions: if $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \geqslant \alpha_2 \geqslant \dots)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1 \geqslant \beta_2 \geqslant \dots)$ are two partitions of the same natural number, we write $\alpha \unlhd \beta$ if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i} \alpha_j \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{i} \beta_j$$

for every $i \ge 1$. Now, let x and y be two elements of W_n . If $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y)$, then Theorem 3.5 is equivalent to:

(3.8)
$$x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} y \text{ if and only if } \lambda^+(x) \leq \lambda^+(y) \text{ and } \lambda^-(x) \leq \lambda^-(y).$$

This follows from [18, 3.2] and [5, 2.13.1]. Then, for general x and y, Corollary 3.6 is equivalent to:

(3.9)
$$x \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} y \text{ if and only if } \lambda(x) = \lambda(y).$$

4. Around Lusztig's conjectures

In this section, we prove some results which are related to Lusztig's conjectures. If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we denote by $\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma)$ the function \mathbf{a} evaluated on σ but computed in \mathfrak{S}_n . It is given by the following formula. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geqslant \lambda_2 \geqslant \dots)$ be the shape of the left cell of z. Then

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \geqslant 1} (i-1)\lambda_i.$$

If $z \in W$, we set

$$\alpha(z) = (\ell_t(z), 2\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma_z) - \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma_{\ell_t(z)}\sigma_z)) \in \mathbb{N}^2.$$

We now study some properties of the function α .

Remark 4.1 - If Lusztig's conjectures $(P_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 15}$ hold for (W, S, L), then it follows from [17, Proposition 20.6 (c)] and [3, Theorem 7.7] that $\mathbf{a} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}$.

The first proposition shows that α is decreasing with respect to $\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}$ (compare with Lusztig's conjecture P_4).

Proposition 4.2. Let z and z' be two elements of W. Then:

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(a)} & \textit{If } z \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}} z', \; \textit{then } \pmb{\alpha}(z') \leqslant \pmb{\alpha}(z). \\ \text{(b)} & \textit{If } z \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}} z' \; \textit{and } \pmb{\alpha}(z) = \pmb{\alpha}(z') \; \textit{then } z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z'. \end{array}$

PROOF - Since $z \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$, we have $\ell_t(z) \geqslant \ell_z(z')$ by [3, Corollary 6.7]. Therefore, if $\ell_t(z) > \ell_t(z')$, then $\alpha(z) > \alpha(z')$ and $z \not\sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$. This proves (a) and (b) in this case.

So, assume that $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y) = l$. Then, by Theorem 3.6, we have $\sigma_z \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_{z'}$ where $\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}} \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$ denote the preorder $\leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}$ defined in the group $\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$. Write $\sigma_z = (\sigma, \tau)$ and $\sigma_{z'} = (\sigma', \tau')$ where σ , $\sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ and τ , $\tau' \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-l}$. Then

$$\alpha(z) = (l, 2\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma) - \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma_{l}\sigma) + \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\tau))$$

and

$$\alpha(z') = (l, 2\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma') - \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma_l \sigma') + \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\tau')).$$

But $\sigma \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_l} \sigma'$ and $\tau \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \tau'$. Moreover, $\sigma_l \sigma' \leqslant_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_l} \sigma_l \tau'$. Therefore, since Lusztig's conjecture P_4 holds in the symmetric groups, we obtain (a).

If moreover $\alpha(z) = \alpha(z')$, then $\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}_l}(\sigma) = \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}_l}(\sigma')$ so $\sigma \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} \sigma'$ by property P_{11} for the symmetric group. Similarly, $\tau \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} \tau'$ so $\sigma_z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} \sigma_{z'}$. So, by Theorem 3.6, $z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$.

The next proposition relates the functions α and Δ .

Proposition 4.3. Let $z \in W$. Then $\alpha(z) \leq \Delta(z)$. Moreover, $\alpha(z) = \Delta(z)$ if and only if $z^2 = 1$.

PROOF - Let us start with two results concerning the degree of $\tau(\Gamma_z)$ for $z \in W_n$:

Lemma 4.4. Let $z \in W_n$. Then

$$\tau(\Gamma_z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_z \neq b_z, \\ V^{-\ell_t(z)} \tau(T_{\sigma_{\ell_t(z)}}^{-1} T_{\sigma_z}) & \text{if } a_z = b_z. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4 - Write $l=\ell_t(z)$. Then, $\tau(\Gamma_z)=\tau(\Pi_0^T(\Gamma_z))$. So, by Proposition 2.1 (a) and Corollary 2.6, we have $\tau(\Gamma_z) = V^{-l} \tau(T_{a_z} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma_z} T_{b_z}^{-1})$. Therefore, $V^l \tau(\Gamma_z)$ is equal to the coefficient of T_{b_z} in $T_{a_z} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma_z}$. Write $T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma_z} = T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma_z}$ $\sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \beta_x T_x. \text{ Then } T_{a_z} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma_z} = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \beta_x T_{a_zx}. \text{ Thus, if } a_z \neq b_z, \text{ then } b_z \notin a_z \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l} \text{ so } \tau(\Gamma_z) = 0. \text{ If } a_z = b_z, \text{ then } \tau(\Gamma_z) = V^{-l} \beta_1 = V^{-l} \tau(T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma_z}). \blacksquare$

Corollary 4.5. Let $z \in W_n$. Then:

- (a) $\deg \tau(\Gamma_z) \leqslant -\alpha(z)$.
- (b) $\deg \tau(\Gamma_z) = -\alpha(z)$ if and only if z is an involution.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 4.5 - This follows from Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 1.6 (recall that Lusztig's conjectures $(P_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 15}$ hold in the symmetric group).

Let us now come back to the computation of $\Delta(z)$. By Corollary 3.4, we have

$$\tau(C_z) = \tau(\Gamma_z) + \sum_{y \prec z} \pi_{y,z}^* \tau(\Gamma_y).$$

But, if $y \prec z$, then $\alpha(z) \leq \alpha(y)$ (see Proposition 4.2 (a)). Therefore, by Corollary 4.5 (a), we have $\deg \pi_{y,z}^* \tau(\Gamma_y) < -\alpha(z)$. So $\deg \tau(C_z) \leq -\alpha(z)$ and $\deg \tau(C_z) = -\alpha(z)$ if and only if $\deg \tau(\Gamma_z) = -\alpha(z)$ that is, if and only if z is an involution (see Corollary 4.5 (b)).

REMARK - Using Theorem 3.5, M. Geck and L. Iancu [9] have proved that $\alpha = \mathbf{a}$. This shows in particular that P_1 , P_4 , P_6 , P_{11} , P_{12} and the first assertion of P_{13} hold (see Propositions 4.2 and 4.3). \square

5. Specialization

We fix now a totally ordered abelian group Γ° and a weight function $L^{\circ}: W_n \to \Gamma^{\circ}$ such that $L^{\circ}(s) > 0$ for every $s \in S_n$. Let $A^{\circ} = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma^{\circ}]$ be denoted exponentially and let $\mathcal{H}_n^{\circ} = \mathcal{H}(W_n, S_n, L^{\circ})$. Let $(T_w^{\circ})_{w \in W_n}$ denote the usual A° -basis of \mathcal{H}_n° and let $(C_w^{\circ})_{w \in W_n}$ denote the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of \mathcal{H}_n° .

Let $b = L^{\circ}(t)$ and $a = L^{\circ}(s_1) = \cdots = L^{\circ}(s_{n-1})$. Let $\theta_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \to \Gamma^{\circ}$, $(r, s) \mapsto ar + bs$. It is a morphism of group which induces a morphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras $\theta_A : A \to A^{\circ}$ such that $\theta_A(V) = v^b$ and $\theta_A(v) = v^a$. If \mathcal{H}_n° is viewed as an A-algebra through θ_A , then there is a unique morphism of A-algebras $\theta_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{H}_n^{\circ}$ such that $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(T_w) = T_w^{\circ}$ for every $w \in W_n$. The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 5.1. If b > (n-1)a, then $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w) = C_w^{\circ}$ for every $w \in W_n$.

PROOF - Assume that b > (n-1)a. Since $\overline{\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w)} = \theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w)$, it is sufficient to show that $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w) \in T_w^{\circ} + (\bigoplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^{\circ} T_y^{\circ})$. Since $\theta_A(\pi_{y,w}^*) \in A_{<0}^{\circ}$ for every y < w, it is sufficient to show that $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w) \in T_w^{\circ} + (\bigoplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^{\circ} T_y^{\circ})$. For simplification, we set $l = \ell_t(w)$, $a = a_w$, $b = b_w$ and $\sigma = \sigma_w$. We set $\Gamma_w' = T_a C_{a_l} T_\sigma T_{b^{-1}}$. Then $\Gamma_w = \sum_{\tau \leqslant \sigma} p_{\tau,\sigma}^* \Gamma_{aa_l\tau b^{-1}}'$, with $p_{\tau,\sigma}^* \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ if $\tau < \sigma$ and $p_{\sigma,\sigma}^* = 1$. So it is sufficient to show that $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w') \in T_w^{\circ} + (\bigoplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^{\circ} T_y^{\circ})$. By Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} \Gamma'_w &=& T_a P_l T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma} T_{b^{-1}} \\ &=& \sum_{k=0}^l V^{k-l} \Big(\sum_{1 \, \leqslant \, i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \, \leqslant \, l} T_a T_{t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_k}} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} \Big) \\ &=& \sum_{k=0}^l V^{k-l} \Big(\sum_{1 \, \leqslant \, i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \, \leqslant \, l} T_{a \alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k)} T_{a_k} T_{\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k)^{-1}} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} \Big), \end{split}$$

where $t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_k} = \alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k) a_k \beta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ with $\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in Y_{k,n-k} \cap \mathfrak{S}_l$ and $\beta(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathfrak{S}_l$. Note that $\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k) a_k = r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}$ (recall that r_i is defined as in $[3, \S4.1]$) so that $\ell(\beta(i_1, \dots, i_k)) = (i_1 - 1) + \dots + (i_k - 1)$. Now, let $\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \sigma_l \beta(i_1, \dots, i_k)^{-1}$. Then

$$\Gamma_w' = T_w + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} V^{k-l} \Big(\sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leqslant l} T_{a\alpha(i_1,\dots,i_k)} T_{a_k} T_{\gamma(i_1,\dots,i_k)}^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} \Big).$$

If $0 \le k \le l-1 \le n-1$, we define

$$Y_{k,l-k,n-l} = \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{k, l\}, \ \sigma s_i > \sigma \}.$$

Then $Y_{k,l-k,n-l} = Y_{l,n-l}(Y_{k,n-k} \cap \mathfrak{S}_l)$. Therefore, $a\alpha(i_1,\ldots,i_k) \in Y_{k,l-k,n-l}$. But, $Y_{k,l-k,n-l} = Y_{k,n-k}(Y_{l,n-l} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-k})$. So we can write $a\alpha(i_1,\ldots,i_k) = \alpha_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}\alpha'(i_1,\ldots,i_k)$ with $\alpha_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \in Y_{k,n-k}$ and $\alpha'(i_1,\ldots,i_k) \in Y_{l,n-l} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-k}$. Then $\ell(\alpha'(i_1,\ldots,i_k)) \leq (l-k)(n-l)$ (indeed, $Y_{l,n-l} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-k}$ may be viewed as a set of minimal length coset representatives of $\mathfrak{S}_{n-k}/\mathfrak{S}_{l-k,n-l}$). So, if we set

 $\alpha''(i_1,\ldots,i_k) = a_k\alpha'(i_1,\ldots,i_k)a_k \in \mathfrak{S}_{k,n-k}$, then again $\ell(\alpha''(i_1,\ldots,i_k)) \leqslant (l-1)$ k)(n-l) and

$$\Gamma'_{w} = T_{w} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} V^{k-l} \Big(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{k} \leq l}} T_{\alpha_{i_{1},\dots,i_{k}} a_{k}} T_{\alpha''(i_{1},\dots,i_{k})} T_{\gamma(i_{1},\dots,i_{k})}^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} \Big).$$

If we write $T_u T_v^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \eta_{u,v,\tau} T_{\tau}$ with $\eta_{u,v,\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$, then, by [17, Lemma 10.4 (c)], we have $\deg \eta_{u,v,\tau} \leq \ell(u) + \ell(v)$. Moreover,

$$\Gamma'_{w} = T_{w} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} V^{k-l} \bigg(\sum_{1 \leqslant i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{k} \leqslant l} \bigg(\sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} \eta_{\alpha''(i_{1}, \dots, i_{k}), \gamma(i_{1}, \dots, i_{k}), \tau} T_{\alpha_{i_{1}, \dots, i_{k}} a_{k} \tau} \bigg) \bigg).$$

So it is sufficient to show that, for every $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ and every sequence $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq l$, we have

$$(*) (k-l)b + \Big(\ell(\alpha''(i_1,\ldots,i_k)) + \ell(\gamma(i_1,\ldots,i_k))\Big)a < 0.$$

But, $\ell(\alpha''(i_1,\ldots,i_k)) \leq (l-k)(n-l)$ and

$$\ell(\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_k)) = \ell(\sigma_l) - \ell(\beta(i_1, \dots, i_k))$$

$$= \frac{l(l-1)}{2} - (i_1 - 1) - \dots - (i_k - 1)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{l(l-1)}{2} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(l-k)(l+k-1).$$

So, in order to prove (*), it is sufficient to prove that

$$(**) 2(k-l)b + (l-k)(2(n-l) + (l+k-1))a < 0.$$

$$2(k-l)b+a(l-k)(2(n-l)+(l+k-1))a=2(k-l)(b-(n-1)a)+(l-k)(k+1-l)a.$$

Since k - l < 0, b - (n - 1)a > 0 and $k + 1 - l \le 0$, we get (**).

If x and y are two elements of W_n , we write

$$C_x^{\circ}C_y^{\circ} = \sum_{z \in W_n} h_{x,y,z}^{\circ}C_z^{\circ},$$

where $h_{x,y,z}^{\circ} \in A^{\circ}$. We denote by $\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}}^{\circ}$, $\leqslant_{\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}$, $\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}$ the preorders $\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\leqslant_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}}$ defined in \mathcal{H}_{n}° . Similarly, we define $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}^{\circ}$, $\sim_{\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}$ and $\sim_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}}^{\circ}$.

Corollary 5.2. Assume that b > (n-1)a. Let x, y and z be elements of W_n and let $? \in \{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}\}$. Then:

- (a) $h_{x,y,z}^{\circ} = \theta_A(h_{x,y,z})$. (b) If $x \leqslant_?^{\circ} y$, then $x \leqslant_? y$. (c) $x \sim_?^{\circ} y$ if and only if $x \sim_? y$.

PROOF - (a) follows from Proposition 5.1. (b) follows from (a). (c) follows from (b) and from the counting argument in the proof of [3, Theorem 7.7]. ■

Let $\tau^{\circ}: \mathcal{H}_n^{\circ} \to A^{\circ}$ denote the canonical symmetrizing form. If $z \in W_n$, we set

$$\mathbf{a}^{\circ}(z) = \max_{x,y \in W_n} \deg h_{x,y,z}^{\circ}$$

$$\Delta^{\circ}(z) = -\deg \tau^{\circ}(C_z^{\circ})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\circ}(z) = \theta_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(z)).$$

and

By Corollary 5.2 (b) and by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we have, for every $z, z' \in W_n$ such that $\ell_t(z) = \ell_t(z')$ and $z \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\circ} z'$,

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\circ}(z') \leqslant \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\circ}(z').$$

Remark - Assume that b > (n-1)a. We expect that $\alpha^{\circ}(z) \leq \alpha^{\circ}(z')$ if $z \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\circ} z'$ (even if $\ell_t(z) \neq \ell_t(z')$) but we are not able to prove it. This would follow from Lusztig's conjectures but we are not able to prove it *a priori*. On the other hand, if we could have a proof of this fact *a priori*, then the same argument as in [9] would give that $\alpha^{\circ} = \mathbf{a}^{\circ}$. \square

Proposition 5.4. Assume that b > (n-1)a. Let $z \in W_n$. Then:

- (a) $\Delta^{\circ}(z) = \theta_{\Gamma}(\Delta(z)) \geqslant \alpha^{\circ}(z)$.
- (b) $\Delta^{\circ}(z) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\circ}(z)$ if and only if $z^2 = 1$.

PROOF - First, note that $\tau^{\circ} \circ \theta_{\mathcal{H}} = \theta_{\mathcal{H}} \circ \tau$. Moreover, by Proposition 5.1, we have $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_z) = C_z^{\circ}$. Since $V^{\ell_t(z)}\tau(C_z) \in \mathbb{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$, we get that $\Delta^{\circ}(z) = \theta_{\Gamma}(\Delta(z))$. The other assertions follow easily.

We conclude this section by showing that the bound given by Proposition 5.1 is optimal.

Proposition 5.5. If $b \leq (n-1)a$, there exists $w \in W_n$ such that $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w) \neq C_w^{\circ}$.

PROOF - Assume that $b \leq (n-1)a$. To prove the proposition, it is sufficient to show that there exists $w \in W_n$ such that $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w) \not\in T_w^{\circ} \oplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^{\circ} T_y^{\circ}$. Using Corollary 3.4, we see that it is sufficient to show that there exists $w \in W_n$ such that $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w) \not\in T_w^{\circ} \oplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^{\circ} T_y^{\circ}$. This follows from the next lemma:

Lemma 5.6. Let $w = s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1 t \sigma_n$. Then $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w) \not\in T_w^{\circ} \oplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^{\circ} T_u^{\circ}$.

PROOF - We have, by Proposition 2.3,

$$\Gamma_w = T_{s_{n-1}...s_2s_1t}C_{\sigma_n} + V^{-1}T_{s_{n-1}...s_2s_1}C_{\sigma_n}.$$

But, $T_{s_{n-1}\dots s_2s_1}C_{\sigma_n}=v^{n-1}C_{\sigma_n}$ (see [17, Theorem 6.6 (b)]). Therefore, since $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_{\sigma})=C_{\sigma}^{\circ}$ for every $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n$, we have

$$\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w) = \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} v^{(\ell(\tau) - \ell(\sigma_n))a} T_{s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1 t \tau}^{\circ}\right) + v^{-b + (n-1)a} C_{\sigma_n}^{\circ}.$$

(Recall that $C_{\sigma_n} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} v^{(\ell(\tau) - \ell(\sigma_n)} T_{\tau}$ by [17, Corollary 12.2].) So the coefficient of $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w)$ on T_{σ_n} is equal to $v^{-b+(n-1)a}$, which does not belong to $A_{\leq 0}^{\circ}$.

References

- S. Ariki, Robinson-Schensted correspondence and left cells. Combinatorial methods in representation theory (Kyoto, 1998), 1–20, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 28, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 2000.
- [2] S. Ariki and K. Koike, A Hecke algebra of $(Z/rZ) \wr S_n$ and construction of its irreducible representations, Adv. Math. 106 (1992), 216–243.
- [3] C. Bonnafé & L. Iancu, Left cells in type B_n with unequal parameters, Represent. Theory 7 (2003), 587–609.
- [4] R. Dipper, G. D. James and G. E. Murphy, Hecke algebras of type B_n at roots of unity, Proc. London Math. Soc. 70 (1995), 505-528.
- [5] J. Du, B. Parshall, and L. Scott, Cells and q-Schur algebras, Transformation Groups 3 (1998), 33-49.

- [6] F. Du Cloux, An abstract model for Bruhat intervals, Europ. J. Combinatorics 21 (2000), 197-222.
- [7] M. Geck, On the induction of Kazhdan-Lusztig cells, Bull. London Math. Soc. 35 (2003), 608-614.
- [8] M. Geck, Relative Kazhdan-Lusztig cells and the left cell representations in type B_n , preprint (2005).
- [9] M. Geck and L. Iancu, Lusztig's a-function in type B_n (asymptotic case), preprint (2005).
- [10] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series 21, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [11] J. J. Graham and G. I. Lehrer, Cellular algebras, Invent. Math. 123 (1996), 1–34.
- [12] D. A. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras, Invent. Math. 53 (1979), 165–184.
- [13] G. Lusztig, Left cells in Weyl groups, Lie Group Representations I (R. L. R. Herb and J. Rosenberg, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1024, Springer-Verlag, 1983, pp. 99–111.
- [14] G. Lusztig, Unipotent characters of the symplectic and odd orthogonal groups over a finite field, Invent. Math. 64 (1981), 263-296.
- [15] G. Lusztig, Characters of reductive groups over a finite field, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 107, Princeton University Press, 1984.
- [16] G. Lusztig, Cells in affine Weyl groups, Algebraic groups and related topics (Kyoto/Nagoya, 1983), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, pp. 255–287.
- [17] G. Lusztig, Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, CRM Monograph Series 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2003), 136 pp.
- [18] G. Lusztig and N. Xi, Canonical left cells in affine Weyl groups, Advances in Math. 72 (1988), 284–288.
- [19] S. Okada, Wreath products by the symmetric groups and product posets of Young's lattices, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 55 (1990) 14-32.

LABO. DE MATH. DE BESANÇON (CNRS: UMR 6623), UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: bonnafe@math.univ-fcomte.fr}$