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# CONGRUENCE AMALGAMATION OF LATTICES 

GEORGE GRÄTZER, HARRY LAKSER, AND FRIEDRICH WEHRUNG


#### Abstract

J. Tůma proved an interesting "congruence amalgamation" result. We are generalizing and providing an alternate proof for it. We then provide applications of this result: (i) A.P. Huhn proved that every distributive algebraic lattice $D$ with at most $\aleph_{1}$ compact elements can be represented as the congruence lattice of a lattice $L$. We show that $L$ can be constructed as a locally finite relatively complemented lattice with zero. (ii) We find a large class of lattices, the $\omega$-congruence-finite lattices, that contains all locally finite countable lattices, in which every lattice has a relatively complemented congruence-preserving extension.


## 1. Introduction

The first congruence lattice characterization theorem is due to R.P. Dilworth (see G. Grätzer and E.T. Schmidt [7]):

Dilworth' Theorem. Let $D$ be a finite distributive lattice. Then there exists a finite lattice $L$ such that the congruence lattice of $L$, $\operatorname{Con} L$, is isomorphic to $D$.

The best extension of this result is due to A.P. Huhn [10]:
Huhn's Theorem. Let $D$ be a distributive algebraic lattice. If $D$ has at most $\aleph_{1}$ compact elements, then there exists a lattice $L$ such that $\operatorname{Con} L \cong D$.

An equivalent form of this result is the following: Let $S$ be a distributive joinsemilattice with zero. If $|S| \leq \aleph_{1}$, then there exists a lattice $L$ such that the joinsemilattice of compact congruences of $L$ is isomorphic to $S$.

By P. Pudlák [14], $S$ is a direct limit of its finite distributive $\{\vee, 0\}$-subsemilattices. So it is natural to attempt to prove Huhn's result with a direct limit argument.

Assigning to a lattice $L$ its congruence lattice, Con $L$, determines a functor Con from the category of lattices with lattice homomorphisms to the category of algebraic distributive lattices with morphisms the complete $\bigvee$-homomorphisms. Specifically, if $K$ and $L$ are lattices and $\varphi: K \rightarrow L$ is a lattice homomorphism, then the mapping $\operatorname{Con} \varphi$ : $\operatorname{Con} K \rightarrow \operatorname{Con} L$ is determined by setting

$$
(\operatorname{Con} \varphi) \Theta=\Theta_{L}(\langle\varphi x, \varphi y\rangle \mid x, y \in K, x \equiv y(\Theta)),
$$

for each $\Theta \in \operatorname{Con} K$.
J. Tůma [17] proved the following result:

[^0]Tůma's Theorem. Let $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ be finite atomistic lattices and let $\eta_{1}: L_{0} \rightarrow L_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}: L_{0} \rightarrow L_{2}$ be lattice embeddings preserving the zero such that Con $\eta_{1}$ and Con $\eta_{2}$ are injective. Let $D$ be a finite distributive lattice, and, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $\psi_{i}: \operatorname{Con} L_{i} \rightarrow D$ be $\{\vee, 0\}$-embeddings such that

$$
\psi_{1} \circ \operatorname{Con} \eta_{1}=\psi_{2} \circ \operatorname{Con} \eta_{2}
$$

Then there is a finite atomistic lattice $L$, and there are lattice embeddings $\varphi_{i}: L_{i} \rightarrow$ $L$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, that preserve the zero, satisfying

$$
\varphi_{1} \circ \eta_{1}=\varphi_{2} \circ \eta_{2}
$$

and there is an isomorphism $\alpha$ : $\operatorname{Con} L \rightarrow D$ such that

$$
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}=\psi_{i}, \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\}
$$

We extend Tůma's result by proving:
Theorem 1. Let $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ be lattices and let $\eta_{1}: L_{0} \rightarrow L_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}: L_{0} \rightarrow L_{2}$ be lattice homomorphisms. Let $D$ be a finite distributive lattice, and, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $\psi_{i}$ : Con $L_{i} \rightarrow D$ be complete $\bigvee$-homomorphisms such that

$$
\psi_{1} \circ \operatorname{Con} \eta_{1}=\psi_{2} \circ \operatorname{Con} \eta_{2}
$$

There is then a lattice $L$, there are lattice homomorphisms $\varphi_{i}: L_{i} \rightarrow L$, for $i \in$ $\{1,2\}$, with

$$
\varphi_{1} \circ \eta_{1}=\varphi_{2} \circ \eta_{2}
$$

and there is an isomorphism $\alpha: \operatorname{Con} L \rightarrow D$ such that

$$
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}=\psi_{i}, \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} .
$$

If $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}$ have zero and both $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ preserve the zero, then $L$ can be chosen to have a zero and $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ can be chosen to preserve the zero.

If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are finite, then $L$ can be chosen to be finite and atomistic.
This theorem is an extension of Tůma's theorem-we need only observe that if the $\psi_{i}$ are injective, then the $\varphi_{i}$ must be lattice embeddings. This fact follows from the elementary fact that a lattice homomorphism $\varphi: K \rightarrow L$ is an embedding iff $\operatorname{Con} \varphi$ separates zero, that is, iff

$$
(\operatorname{Con} \varphi) \Theta=\omega_{L} \quad \text { implies that } \quad \Theta=\omega_{K}, \quad \text { for all } \Theta \in \operatorname{Con} K .
$$

We shall apply Theorem 1 to prove the following strong form of Huhn's Theorem:
Theorem 2. Let $D$ be a distributive algebraic lattice. If $D$ has at most $\aleph_{1}$ compact elements, then there exists a locally finite, relatively complemented lattice $L$ with zero such that Con $L \cong D$.

A lattice $L$ is congruence-finite, if Con $L$ is finite; it is $\omega$-congruence-finite, if $L$ can be written as a union,

$$
L=\bigcup\left(L_{n} \mid n<\omega\right)
$$

where ( $L_{n} \mid n<\omega$ ) is an increasing sequence of congruence-finite sublattices of $L$.
We also apply Theorem 1 to prove the following:
Theorem 3. Every $\omega$-congruence-finite lattice $K$ has a $\omega$-congruence-finite, relatively complemented congruence-preserving extension L. Furthermore, if $K$ has a zero, then $L$ can be taken to have the same zero.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation. Let $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ be the five-element nondistributive modular lattice and let $\mathbf{2}$ denote the two-element chain.

For any lattice $K$, we denote the set of join-irreducible elements of $K$ by $\mathrm{J}(K)$.
2.2. Sectionally complemented lattices. We start with the following stronger form of Dilworth' Theorem (G. Grätzer and E.T. Schmidt [8]).
Theorem 4. Let $D$ be a finite distributive lattice. Then there exists a finite sectionally complemented lattice $L$ such that $\operatorname{Con} L$ is isomorphic to $D$ under an isomorphism $\alpha$. Moreover, $L$ contains a Boolean ideal generated by the atoms

$$
\left\{d_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right\}
$$

and under $\alpha$, the congruence $\Theta_{L}\left(d_{p}, 0\right)$ corresponds to $p$, for each $p \in J(D)$.
Let $K$ and $L$ be lattices, let $f: K \rightarrow L$ be a lattice homomorphism. We say that $f$ is relatively complemented, if for all $a, b, c \in K$ such that $a \leq b \leq c$, there exists a relative complement of $f(b)$ in the interval $[f(a), f(c)]$ of $L$.

If $f$ is the inclusion map from a sublattice $K$ to the lattice $L$, we say that $K$ is relatively complemented in $L$.

We need the following embedding results:

## Lemma 2.1.

(i) For every lattice $L$, there is a bounded, simple, sectionally complemented extension $S(L)$ of $L$ with a dual atom $p$ such that $L$ is relatively complemented in $S(L)$.
(ii) If $L$ is finite, then there is a finite, simple, sectionally complemented extension $S(L)$ of $L$ with a dual atom $p$ such that $L$ is relatively complemented in $S(L)$.
For general lattices, by P.M. Whitman [21], every lattice can be embedded in a partition lattice and by O. Ore [12], a partition lattice is simple and sectionally complemented; it also obviously has a dual atom. The second statement of Lemma 2.1 follows from the following very deep result of P. Pudlák and J. Tůma [15]: Every finite lattice can be embedded into a finite partition lattice.

In G. Grätzer and E.T. Schmidt [8], it is pointed out that a version of this lemma can be proved almost trivially. The comment, and the simpler proof in [8], also applies to the present version.
2.3. Congruence-preserving extension. Let $L$ be a finite lattice. A finite lattice $K$ is a congruence-preserving extension of $L$, if $K$ is an extension and every congruence of $L$ has exactly one extension to $K$. Of course, then the congruence lattice of $L$ is isomorphic to the congruence lattice of $K$.

A major research tool was discovered by M. Tischendorf [16]:
Tischendorf's Theorem. Every finite lattice has a congruence-preserving extension to a finite atomistic lattice.

A much stronger result was proved in G. Grätzer and E.T. Schmidt [8]:

## Theorem 5.

(i) Every finite lattice has a congruence-preserving extension to a finite sectionally complemented lattice.
(ii) Every congruence-finite lattice has a congruence-preserving extension to a sectionally complemented lattice.
In the first statement, we cannot strengthen "sectionally complemented" to "relatively complemented", because the congruence lattice of a finite relatively complemented lattice is always Boolean.
2.4. $k$-ladders. Let $k$ be a positive integer. A $k$-ladder is a lattice $L$ such that, for any $a \in L$,
(i) (a] is finite;
(ii) $a$ covers at most $k$ elements.

Note that every $k$-ladder has breadth at most $k$ (see, for example, G. Grätzer [6] for the definition of breadth).

Every finite chain is a 1-ladder. The chain $\omega$ of all non-negative integers is also a 1-ladder. Note that $k$-ladders are called $k$-frames in H. Dobbertin [4].

By using the Kuratowski Free Set Theorem, see [11], one can easily prove that every $k$-ladder has at most $\aleph_{k-1}$ elements, see S.Z. Ditor [3]. See also H. Dobbertin [4] for the case $k=2$ (his proof does not use the Kuratowski Free Set Theorem). The converse is obviously true for $k=1$; also for $k=2$, by the following result of S.Z. Ditor [3] and by H. Dobbertin [4]:

Proposition 2.2. There exists a 2 -ladder of cardinality $\aleph_{1}$.
Proof. For $\xi<\omega_{1}$ (the first uncountable ordinal), we construct inductively the lattices $L_{\xi}$ with no largest element, as follows. Put $L_{0}=\omega$. If $\lambda$ is countable limit ordinal, put $L_{\lambda}=\bigcup\left(L_{\xi} \mid \xi<\lambda\right)$. So assume that we have constructed $L_{\xi}$, a countable 2-ladder with no largest element. Then $L_{\xi}$ has a strictly increasing, countable, cofinal, sequence ( $a_{n} \mid n<\omega$ ). Let ( $b_{n} \mid n<\omega$ ) be a strictly increasing countable chain, with $b_{n} \notin L_{\xi}$, for all $n$. Define $L_{\xi+1}$ by

$$
L_{\xi+1}=L_{\xi} \cup\left\{b_{n} \mid n<\omega\right\}
$$

endowed with the least partial ordering containing the ordering of $L_{\xi}$, the natural ordering of $\left\{b_{n} \mid n<\omega\right\}$, and all pairs $a_{n}<b_{n}$, for $n<\omega$. It is easy to verify that $L=\bigcup\left(L_{\xi} \mid \xi<\omega_{1}\right)$ is a 2-ladder of cardinality $\aleph_{1}$.

## 3. Proving Theorem 1

We prove Theorem 1 in several steps.
3.1. Theorem 1 for $D=\mathbf{2}$. In this section, let $D=\mathbf{2}$.

We first state and prove the following special case:
Lemma 3.1. Let $L_{0}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime}$ be lattices and let $\eta_{1}^{\prime}: L_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\eta_{2}^{\prime}: L_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{2}^{\prime}$ be lattice embeddings. Let $D$ be the two-element chain, and, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $\psi_{i}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Con} L_{i} \rightarrow D$ satisfy

$$
\psi_{i}^{\prime} \Theta=0_{D} \quad \text { iff } \quad \Theta=\omega_{L_{i}^{\prime}}
$$

There is then a lattice $L$ with 1 and with a dual atom, there are lattice embeddings $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}: L_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow L$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, with

$$
\varphi_{1}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{1}^{\prime}=\varphi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{2}^{\prime}
$$

and there is an isomorphism $\alpha$ : $\operatorname{Con} L \rightarrow D$ such that

$$
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}=\psi_{i}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} .
$$

If $L_{0}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime}$ have zero and both $\eta_{1}^{\prime}, \eta_{2}^{\prime}$ preserve the zero, then $L$ can be chosen to have a zero and $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}, \varphi_{2}^{\prime}$ can be chosen to preserve the zero.

If $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ are finite, then $L$ can be chosen to be finite.
Proof. There is a lattice $K$ amalgamating $L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime}$ over $L_{0}^{\prime}$. If $L_{0}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime}$ have zero and $\eta_{1}^{\prime}, \eta_{2}^{\prime}$ preserve the zero, then we can choose $K$ so that $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ are zeropreserving sublattices of $K$. Observe, also, that if $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ are finite, then $K$ can be chosen finite.

As we pointed out in Lemma 2.1, we can embed $K$ into a simple lattice $L$ that has a 1 and a dual atom, where this embedding preserves the zero, if $K$ has a zero, and where $L$ is finite, if $K$ is.

For each $i \in\{1,2\}$, let $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}: L_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow L$ be the composition of the embedding of $L_{i}^{\prime}$ into $K$ with the embedding of $K$ into $L$. Then

$$
\varphi_{1}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{1}^{\prime}=\varphi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{2}^{\prime} .
$$

Since $L$ is simple, we have an isomorphism $\alpha: \operatorname{Con} L \rightarrow D$ such that

$$
\alpha \Theta=0_{D} \quad \text { iff } \quad \Theta=\omega_{L}
$$

For each $i \in\{1,2\}$ and each $\Theta \in \operatorname{Con} L_{i}^{\prime}$,

$$
\left(\operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}\right) \Theta=\omega_{L} \quad \text { iff } \quad \Theta=\omega_{L_{i}^{\prime}},
$$

since $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}$ is an embedding.
Thus,

$$
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}=\psi_{i}^{\prime},
$$

concluding the proof of the lemma.
We proceed to prove Theorem 1 for $D=\mathbf{2}$. For each $i \in\{1,2\}$, set

$$
\Theta_{i}=\bigvee\left(\Theta \in \operatorname{Con} L_{i} \mid \psi_{i} \Theta=0_{D}\right)
$$

and set

$$
\Theta_{0}=\left\{\langle x, y\rangle \in L_{0} \mid \eta_{1} x \equiv \eta_{1} y\left(\Theta_{1}\right)\right\}=\left\{\langle x, y\rangle \in L_{0} \mid \eta_{2} x \equiv \eta_{2} y\left(\Theta_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

For each $i \in\{0,1,2\}$, set $L_{i}^{\prime}=L_{i} / \Theta_{i}$ and let $\pi_{i}: L_{i} \rightarrow L_{i}^{\prime}$ be the canonical surjection. Note that $\Theta_{i}=\operatorname{ker} \pi_{i}$. We then have lattice embeddings $\eta_{1}^{\prime}: L_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\eta_{2}^{\prime}: L_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{2}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\pi_{i} \circ \eta_{i}=\eta_{i}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{0}, \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} .
$$

Furthermore, we have mappings $\psi_{1}^{\prime}$ : $\operatorname{Con} L_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow D$ and $\psi_{2}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Con} L_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow D$ with

$$
\psi_{i}^{\prime} \Theta=0_{D} \quad \text { iff } \quad \Theta=\omega_{L_{i}^{\prime}}, \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\}
$$

such that

$$
\psi_{i}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Con} \pi_{i}=\psi_{i}, \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} .
$$

We apply Lemma 3.1 to get the lattice $L$, the embeddings $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}: L_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow L$, and the isomorphism $\alpha$ : Con $L \rightarrow D$ with

$$
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}=\psi_{i}^{\prime}, \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} .
$$

For each $i \in\{1,2\}$, set

$$
\varphi_{i}=\varphi_{i}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{i}: L_{i} \rightarrow L
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1} \circ \eta_{1} & =\varphi_{1}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{1} \circ \eta_{1}=\varphi_{1}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{1}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{0} \\
& =\varphi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{2}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{0}=\varphi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \pi_{2} \circ \eta_{2}=\varphi_{2} \circ \eta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}=\alpha \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \pi_{i}\right)=\psi_{i}^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{Con} \pi_{i}=\psi_{i},
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$, concluding the proof of Theorem 1 for $D=\mathbf{2}$.
3.2. Theorem 1 for $D$ Boolean. In this section, let $D$ be a finite Boolean lattice. We prove Theorem 1 with the following addition:
Addition for $D$ Boolean. $L$ contains a Boolean dual ideal isomorphic to $D$ with a set

$$
\left\{d_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right\}
$$

its set of dual atoms. For each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$,

$$
\alpha \Theta_{L}\left(d_{p}, 1\right)=p .
$$

Proof. The set $\mathrm{J}(D)$ is the set of atoms of $D$. For each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$, we have a zero-preserving lattice surjection $\beta_{p}: D \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ such that $\beta_{p}(x)=1$ iff $p \leq x$. Then

$$
\beta=\prod\left(\beta_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right): D \rightarrow \prod(\mathbf{2} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D))
$$

is an isomorphism.
For each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$, set $\psi_{p i}=\beta_{p} \circ \psi_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$ and apply the case $D=\mathbf{2}$ to the configuration $\eta_{i}: L_{0} \rightarrow L_{i}, \psi_{p i}:$ Con $L_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ to obtain a simple lattice $L_{p}$ with a 1 and a dual atom $d_{p}^{\prime}$, lattice homomorphisms $\varphi_{p i}: L_{i} \rightarrow L_{p}$ with $\varphi_{p 1} \circ \eta_{1}=\varphi_{p 2} \circ \eta_{2}$, and an isomorphism $\alpha_{p}$ : Con $L_{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ with

$$
\alpha_{p} \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{p i}=\psi_{p i}=\beta_{p} \circ \psi_{i} .
$$

We then set

$$
L=\prod\left(L_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right)
$$

and set

$$
\varphi_{i}=\prod\left(\varphi_{p i} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right): L_{i} \rightarrow L
$$

Then

$$
\varphi_{1} \circ \eta_{1}=\varphi_{2} \circ \eta_{2}
$$

Now,

$$
\operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}=\prod\left(\operatorname{Con} \varphi_{p i} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod\left(\alpha_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right) \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i} & =\prod\left(\alpha_{p} \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{p i} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right) \\
& =\prod\left(\beta_{p} \circ \psi_{i} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right) \\
& =\prod\left(\beta_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right) \circ \psi_{i} \\
& =\beta \circ \psi_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\alpha=\beta^{-1} \circ \prod\left(\alpha_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right)$, we thus get an isomorphism $\alpha$ : $\operatorname{Con} L \rightarrow D$ with

$$
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}=\psi_{i} .
$$

For each $q \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$, we define $d_{q} \in L=\prod\left(L_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right)$ by setting

$$
\left(d_{q}\right)_{p}= \begin{cases}d_{q}^{\prime}, & \text { if } p=q \\ 1_{L_{p}}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then each $d_{q}$ is a dual atom of $L$, and the dual ideal of $L$ generated by $\left\{d_{p} \mid p \in\right.$ $\mathrm{J}(D)\}$ is

$$
\prod\left(\left\{d_{p}^{\prime}, 1_{L_{p}}\right\} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right)
$$

a Boolean lattice with $\left\{d_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right\}$ its set of dual atoms.
Now, Con $L=\prod\left(\operatorname{Con} L_{p} \mid p \in J(D)\right)$ and each $L_{p}$ is simple. Thus, for $p$, $q \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$, the $p$-th component of $\Theta_{L}\left(d_{q}, 1_{L}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left(\Theta_{L}\left(d_{q}, 1_{L}\right)\right)_{p}= \begin{cases}\Theta_{L_{q}}\left(d_{q}^{\prime}, 1_{L_{q}}\right)=\iota_{L_{p}}, & \text { if } p=q \\ \Theta_{L_{p}}\left(1_{L_{p}}, 1_{L_{p}}\right)=\omega_{L_{p}}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then, for each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$,

$$
\beta_{p} q=\alpha_{p}\left(\Theta_{L}\left(d_{q}, 1\right)\right)_{p},
$$

that is,

$$
\beta q=\prod\left(\alpha_{p} \mid p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)\right) \Theta_{L}\left(d_{q}, 1\right)
$$

that is,

$$
q=\alpha \Theta_{L}\left(d_{q}, 1\right)
$$

Since finite direct products preserve the zero and finiteness, the proof is completed.
3.3. The general proof. We let $B$ be the Boolean lattice generated by $D$, and let $\eta: D \hookrightarrow B$ be the canonical embedding. For each $x \in B$, let $\varrho x$ denote the smallest element of $D$ containing $x$. Then we get a $\{\vee, 0\}$-homomorphism $\varrho: B \rightarrow D$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho \circ \eta=\operatorname{id}_{D} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\varrho \upharpoonright_{\mathrm{J}(B)}$ is just the usual dual of $\eta$ in the duality between distributive lattices and posets. In our case of $B$ being the Boolean lattice generated by $D$, we get an isotone bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho \upharpoonright_{\mathrm{J}(B)}: \mathrm{J}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{J}(D) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply the special case where $D$ is Boolean to the system $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}, B$ with the complete $\bigvee$-homomorphisms $\eta \circ \psi_{i}$ : Con $L_{i} \rightarrow B, i \in\{1,2\}$, and obtain a lattice $K_{0}$ and lattice homomorphisms $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}: L_{i} \rightarrow K_{0}, i \in\{1,2\}$, satisfying

$$
\varphi_{1}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{1}=\varphi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{2}
$$

and an isomorphism

$$
\alpha_{0}: \operatorname{Con} K_{0} \rightarrow B
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}=\eta \circ \psi_{i}, \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $K_{0}$ contains a finite Boolean dual ideal $H$ with $|\mathrm{J}(B)|$ dual atoms $d_{p}^{\prime}$, $p \in \mathrm{~J}(B)$, such that

$$
\alpha_{0} \Theta_{K_{0}}\left(d_{p}^{\prime}, 1\right)=p
$$

for each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(B)$.

By Theorem 4, there is a finite lattice $K_{1}$ and there is an isomorphism

$$
\alpha_{1}: \operatorname{Con} K_{1} \rightarrow D
$$

such that $K_{1}$ contains a Boolean ideal $I$ with $|\mathrm{J}(D)|$ dual atoms $d_{p}, p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$, and

$$
\alpha_{1} \Theta_{K_{1}}\left(d_{p}, 1_{I}\right)=p,
$$

for each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$.
In view of the bijection (3.2), there is an isomorphism of the dual ideal $H$ of $K_{0}$ with the ideal $I$ of $K_{1}$, whereby $d_{p}^{\prime} \in H$ corresponds to $d_{\varrho p}$, for each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(B)$. We let $L$ be the lattice obtained by gluing $K_{1}$ to the top of $K_{0}$ by identifying $H$ with $I$ under this isomorphism, so that $K_{1}$ is a subset of $L$. We then have an embedding $\varepsilon_{0}: K_{0} \rightarrow L$, where

$$
\varepsilon_{0}: d_{p}^{\prime} \mapsto d_{\varrho p}
$$

for $p \in \mathrm{~J}(B)$, and an embedding $\varepsilon_{1}: K_{1} \rightarrow L$, where

$$
\varepsilon_{1}: d_{p} \mapsto d_{p}
$$

for $p \in \mathrm{~J}(D)$. Then $\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{1}: \operatorname{Con} K_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Con} L$, whereby

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{1}: \Theta_{K_{1}}\left(d_{p}, 1_{I}\right) \mapsto \Theta_{L}\left(d_{p}, 1_{I}\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism, and the $\{\vee, 0\}$-homomorphism $\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{0}: \operatorname{Con} K_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Con} L$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{0}: \Theta_{K_{0}}\left(d_{p}^{\prime}, 1_{K_{0}}\right) \mapsto \Theta_{L}\left(d_{\varrho p}, 1_{I}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $i \in\{1,2\}$, we set

$$
\varphi_{i}=\varepsilon_{0} \circ \varphi_{i}^{\prime}: L_{i} \rightarrow L
$$

Then

$$
\varphi_{1} \circ \eta_{1}=\varepsilon_{0} \circ \varphi_{1}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{1}=\varepsilon_{0} \circ \varphi_{2}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{2}=\varphi_{2} \circ \eta_{2}
$$

We have an isomorphism $\alpha$ : Con $L \rightarrow D$ defined by

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{1}\right)^{-1}
$$

We proceed to show that $\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}=\psi_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. By the definition of $\alpha$ and $\varphi_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}=\alpha_{1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{0}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.4) and (3.5),

$$
\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{0}\right): \Theta_{K_{0}}\left(d_{p}^{\prime}, 1_{K_{0}}\right) \mapsto \Theta_{K_{1}}\left(d_{\varrho p}, 1_{I}\right),
$$

for each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(B)$. Thus,

$$
\alpha_{1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{0}\right) \circ \alpha_{0}^{-1}: p \mapsto \varrho p,
$$

for each $p \in \mathrm{~J}(B)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varepsilon_{0}\right) \circ \alpha_{0}^{-1}=\varrho, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since both sides are $\{\vee, 0\}$-homomorphisms. Thus, for $i \in\{1,2\}$,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\alpha \circ \operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i} & =\varrho \circ \alpha_{0} \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}\right), & \text { by }(3.6) \text { and }(3.7), \\
& =\varrho \circ \eta \circ \psi_{i}, & & \text { by }(3.3), \\
& =\psi_{i}, & & \text { by }(3.1) .
\end{array}
$$

This concludes the proof for arbitrary lattices $L_{i}$ and homomorphisms $\eta_{i}$.

We note that if $K_{0}$ has a zero, then $\varepsilon_{0}: K_{0} \rightarrow L$ preserves the zero. Thus, by the special case $D$ is Boolean, if the $L_{i}$ each have a zero and if the $\eta_{i}$ preserve the zero, then the $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}$ and, consequently, the $\varphi_{i}$ preserve the zero.

We note, also, that if $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are finite, then so is $K_{0}$ and thus so is $L$. Then, using Tischendorf's Theorem, we can replace $L$ by a finite atomistic lattice.

This concludes the proof of the Theorem 1.

## 4. Proving Theorem 2

4.1. Congruence-preserving extensions. We shall now establish two results, the first a strengthening of both parts of Theorem 5, and the second a strengthening of Theorem 5(ii) in a different direction:
Lemma 4.1. Let $K$ be a congruence-finite lattice. Then $K$ has a congruencepreserving relatively complemented embedding into a sectionally complemented lattice $K^{\prime}$. If $K$ has a zero, then one can assume that $K^{\prime}$ has the same zero. If $K$ is finite, then $K^{\prime}$ can be chosen to be finite.

Outline of proof. We follow the original proof in G. Grätzer and E.T. Schmidt [8], with just one small addition. If $K$ is a congruence-finite lattice, the congruencepreserving sectionally complemented extension of $K$ is constructed as follows. Since Con $K$ is a finite distributive lattice, we can associate with it the finite sectionally complemented lattice $L_{0}$ of Theorem 4 such that Con $L_{0} \cong$ Con $K$. On the other hand, denote by $\mathrm{M}(\operatorname{Con} K)$ the set of all meet-irreducible congruences of $K$. The rectangular extension of $K$ is defined by

$$
\mathbb{R}(K)=\prod(K / \Theta \mid \Theta \in \mathrm{M}(\operatorname{Con} K))
$$

Let $K_{\Theta}$ be a simple sectionally complemented extension of $K / \Theta$ such that, in addition, $K / \Theta$ is relatively complemented in $K_{\Theta}$ (we use Lemma 2.1). If $K$ is finite we choose $K_{\Theta}$ finite. Put

$$
\widehat{\mathbb{R}}(K)=\prod\left(K_{\Theta} \mid \Theta \in \mathrm{M}(\operatorname{Con} K)\right)
$$

Note that the diagonal map from $K$ into $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}(K)$, that sends every $x \in K$ to $([x] \Theta \mid$ $\Theta \in \mathrm{M}(\operatorname{Con} K)$ ), has the congruence extension property, but it is not necessarily congruence-preserving (the congruence lattice of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ is Boolean). However, the sectionally complemented extension $K^{\prime}$ constructed in [8] is obtained by considering the lattice of finitely generated ideals of the chopped lattice $L_{0} \cup \widehat{\mathbb{R}}(K)$, with the two isomorphic Boolean sublattices of $L_{0}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ identified. Since $K$ is already relatively complemented in $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}(K)$, it is a fortiori relatively complemented in $K^{\prime}$.

If $K$ has a zero, then the above construction preserves this zero. Furthermore, if $K$ is finite, then $K^{\prime}$ is finite.

Theorem 6. Let $K$ be a congruence-finite lattice. Then $K$ has a congruencepreserving embedding into a relatively complemented lattice L. Furthermore, if $K$ has a zero, then one can assume that L has the same zero.
Proof. We use Lemma 4.1 to construct a sequence ( $K^{(n)} \mid n<\omega$ ) of lattices. Set $K^{(0)}=K$, and, proceeding inductively, for each $n$ set $K^{(n+1)}=\left(K^{(n)}\right)^{\prime}$, the lattice $\left(K^{(n)}\right)^{\prime}$ being the extension of $K^{(n)}$ guaranteed by Lemma 4.1. To conclude the proof, it suffices to take $L=\bigcup\left(K^{(n)} \mid n<\omega\right)$.
4.2. Proving Theorem 2. Let $S$ be the $\{\vee, 0\}$-semilattice of all compact elements of $D$. By definition, $S$ is distributive. By P. Pudlák's Lemma (see [14]), every finite subset of $S$ is contained in a finite distributive $\{\vee, 0\}$-subsemilattice of $S$. We use this to construct a direct system of finite distributive subsemilattices of $S$ as follows. First, by Proposition 2.2, there exists a 2-ladder of cardinality $\aleph_{1}$, say, $\langle I, \leq\rangle$. Let $\pi: I \rightarrow S$ be a surjective map such that $\pi\left(0_{I}\right)=0_{S}$. We define a family ( $\left.S_{i} \mid i \in I\right)$ of finite distributive $\{\mathrm{V}, 0\}$-subsemilattices of $S$, as follows. We put $S_{0}=\{0\}$, and, for all $i \in I$, we let $S_{i}$ be a finite distributive $\{\vee, 0\}$-subsemilattice of $S$ containing the subset

$$
\bigcup\left(S_{j} \mid j<i\right) \cup\{\pi(i)\}
$$

Since $\pi\left(0_{I}\right)=0_{S}$, we can take $S_{0}=\{0\}$. Then $S$ is the directed union of all $S_{i}$, for $i \in I$. We denote by $\varphi_{j}^{i}$ the inclusion map from $S_{i}$ into $S_{j}$, for all $i \leq j$ in $I$.

Let $\varrho: I \rightarrow \omega$ be any strictly increasing map from $I$ to $\omega$ (for example, the height function on $I$ ). We put

$$
I_{n}=\{i \in I \mid \varrho(i) \leq n\}
$$

for all $n<\omega$. By induction on $n$, we construct a family of finite lattices $L_{i}$, maps $\varepsilon_{i}: \operatorname{Con} L_{i} \rightarrow S_{i}$, for $i \in I_{n}$, and $\{0\}$-lattice homomorphisms $f_{j}^{i}: L_{i} \rightarrow L_{j}$, for $i \leq j$ in $I_{n}$, satisfying the following properties:
(a) $f_{i}^{i}=\mathrm{id}_{L_{i}}$, for all $i \in I_{n}$.
(b) $f_{k}^{i}=f_{k}^{j} \circ f_{j}^{i}$, for all $i, j, k \in I_{n}$.
(c) $\varepsilon_{i}$ is an isomorphism from Con $L_{i}$ onto $S_{i}$, for all $i \in I_{n}$.
(d) $\varepsilon_{j} \circ \operatorname{Con} f_{j}^{i}=\varphi_{j}^{i} \circ \varepsilon_{i}$, for all $i \leq j$ in $I_{n}$.
(e) $f_{j}^{i}\left[L_{i}\right]$ is relatively complemented in $L_{j}$, for all $i<j$ in $I_{n}$.

For $n=0$, we just take $L_{0}=\{0\}$ (because $S_{0}=\{0\}$ ). Let us assume that we have performed the construction at level $n$; we show how to extend it to the level $n+1$. So, let $i \in I_{n+1}-I_{n}$. Since $I$ is a 2-ladder, $i$ has (at most) two immediate predecessors in $I$, say, $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$. Note that $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ need not be distinct. For $k \in\{1,2\}$, the map

$$
\psi_{k}=\varphi_{i}^{i_{k}} \circ \varepsilon_{i_{k}}
$$

is a $\{\vee, 0\}$-embedding from Con $L_{i_{k}}$ into $S_{i}$, and the equality

$$
\psi_{1} \circ \operatorname{Con} f_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}}=\psi_{2} \circ \operatorname{Con} f_{i_{2}}^{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}}
$$

holds. By Theorem 1, there is a finite lattice $L_{i}$, there are $\{0\}$-lattice homomorphisms $g_{k}: L_{i_{k}} \rightarrow L_{i}$, for $k \in\{1,2\}$, and and there is an isomorphism $\varepsilon_{i}: \operatorname{Con} L_{i} \rightarrow$ $S_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{1} \circ f_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}}=g_{2} \circ f_{i_{2}}^{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}}  \tag{4.1}\\
\varepsilon_{i} \circ \operatorname{Con} g_{k}=\psi_{k}, \quad \text { for } k \in\{1,2\}, \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold. Furthermore, if $i_{1}=i_{2}$, then replacing $g_{2}$ by $g_{1}$ does not change the validity of (4.1) and (4.2). Thus we may define $f_{i}^{i_{k}}=g_{k}$, for $k \in\{1,2\}$, and (4.1), (4.2) take the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{i}^{i_{1}} \circ f_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}}=f_{i}^{i_{2}} \circ f_{i_{2}}^{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}},  \tag{4.3}\\
\varepsilon_{i} \circ \operatorname{Con} f_{i}^{i_{k}}=\psi_{k}, \quad \text { for } k \in\{1,2\} . \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore, by one application of Lemma 4.1, we may assume that both embeddings $f_{i}^{i_{1}}$ and $f_{i}^{i_{2}}$ are relatively complemented (in $L_{i}$ ). This takes care of (e).

So we have defined $f_{i}^{j}$, for all $i$ and $j$ in $I_{n+1}$ such that $j$ is an immediate predecessor of $i$ in $I_{n+1}$. We extend this definition to arbitrary $i, j \in I_{n+1}$ such that $j \leq i$. If $j=i$, then we put $f_{j}^{i}=\operatorname{id}_{L_{i}}$. Now assume that $j<i$ in $I_{n+1}$, with $i \notin I_{n}$. There exists an index $k \in\{1,2\}$ such that $j \leq i_{k}$. The only possible choice for $f_{i}^{j}$ is to define it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{j}=f_{i}^{i_{k}} \circ f_{i_{k}}^{j} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

except that this should be independent of $k$. This means that if $j \leq i_{1} \wedge i_{2}$, then the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{i_{1}} \circ f_{i_{1}}^{j}=f_{i}^{i_{2}} \circ f_{i_{2}}^{j} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

should hold. We compute:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i}^{i_{1}} \circ f_{i_{1}}^{j} & =f_{i}^{i_{1}} \circ f_{i_{1}}^{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}} \circ f_{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}}^{j} \\
& =f_{i}^{i_{2}} \circ f_{i_{2}}^{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}} \circ f_{i_{1} \wedge i_{2}}^{j}  \tag{4.3}\\
& =f_{i}^{i_{2}} \circ f_{i_{2}}^{j}
\end{align*}
$$

which establishes (4.6).
At this point, the $\{0\}$-lattice embeddings $f_{i}^{j}: L_{j} \rightarrow L_{i}$ are defined for all $j \leq i$ in $I_{n+1}$. The verification of conditions (a)-(c) above is then straightforward. Let us verify (d). Let $i \leq j$ in $I_{n+1}$, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j}^{i} \circ \varepsilon_{i}=\varepsilon_{j} \circ \operatorname{Con} f_{j}^{i} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only nontrivial case happens if $j \in I_{n+1}-I_{n}$ and $i<j$. It suffices then to verify (4.7) for the pairs $\left\langle i, j_{*}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle j_{*}, j\right\rangle$, where $j_{*}$ is any immediate predecessor of $j$ such that $i \leq j_{*}$. For the pair $\left\langle i, j_{*}\right\rangle$, this follows from the induction hypothesis, while for the pair $\left\langle j_{*}, j\right\rangle$, this follows from (4.4).

Hence the construction of the $L_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}, f_{j}^{i}$ is carried out for the whole poset $I$. Let $L$ be the direct limit of all the $L_{i}, i \in I$, with the transition maps $f_{j}^{i}$, for $i \leq j$ in $I$. Then $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L$ is the direct limit of the $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L_{i}$, with the transition maps $\mathrm{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} f_{j}^{i}$, in the category of distributive $\{\vee, 0\}$-semilattices and $\{\vee, 0\}$-homomorphisms. Thus, by (c) and (d), Con $_{\mathrm{c}} L$ is isomorphic to the direct limit of the $S_{i}$ with the transition maps $\varphi_{j}^{i}$, for $i \leq j$ in $I$. Hence, $\operatorname{Con}_{\mathrm{c}} L \cong S$, from which it follows that $\operatorname{Con} L \cong D$. The fact that $L$ is relatively complemented follows from condition (e) above.

## 5. Proving Theorem 3

By definition, $K$ can be written as a union,

$$
K=\bigcup\left(K_{n} \mid n<\omega\right)
$$

where ( $K_{n} \mid n<\omega$ ) is an increasing sequence of congruence-finite sublattices of $K$. Furthermore, if $K$ has a zero, then we can assume that 0 belongs to $K_{n}$, for all $n<\omega$. Denote by $e_{n}$ the inclusion map from $K_{n}$ into $K_{n+1}$. For $n<\omega$, let us assume that we have constructed a relatively complemented lattice $L_{n}$ and a congruence-preserving embedding $u_{n}: K_{n} \hookrightarrow L_{n}$ such that $u_{n}$ preserves the zero if $K_{n}$ has a zero. We apply Theorem 1 to the lattice homomorphisms

$$
u_{n}: K_{n} \hookrightarrow L_{n}, \quad e_{n}: K_{n} \hookrightarrow K_{n+1}
$$

the semilattice $D=\operatorname{Con} K_{n+1}$, and the $\{\vee, 0\}$-semilattice homomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi=\operatorname{Con} u_{n}: \operatorname{Con} K_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Con} L_{n}, \\
& \psi=\left(\operatorname{Con} e_{n}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} u_{n}\right)^{-1}: \operatorname{Con} L_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Con} K_{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain a lattice $L_{n+1}$, lattice homomorphisms

$$
f_{n}: L_{n} \rightarrow L_{n+1}, \quad u_{n+1}: K_{n+1} \rightarrow L_{n+1}
$$

and an isomorphism $\alpha_{n}$ : Con $L_{n+1} \rightarrow$ Con $K_{n+1}$ such that the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n+1} \circ e_{n} & =f_{n} \circ u_{n},  \tag{5.1}\\
\alpha_{n} \circ \operatorname{Con} f_{n} & =\left(\operatorname{Con} e_{n}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{Con} u_{n}\right)^{-1},  \tag{5.2}\\
\alpha_{n} \circ \operatorname{Con} u_{n+1} & =\operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{Con} K_{n+1}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 6, one can further assume that $L_{n+1}$ is relatively complemented. By (5.3), the map Con $u_{n+1}$ is an isomorphism and so $u_{n+1}$ is congruence-preserving. By (5.2), the map Con $f_{n}$ separates zero (because Con $e_{n}$ does), that is, $f_{n}$ is a lattice embedding.

Let $L$ be the direct limit of all the $L_{n}$, with the transition maps

$$
f_{m} \circ \cdots \circ f_{n-1}: L_{m} \hookrightarrow L_{n}
$$

for $m<n$ in $\omega$. Denote by $g_{n}: L_{n} \rightarrow L$ the corresponding limiting maps. By (5.1) and the fact that all the $u_{n}$ are congruence-preserving embeddings, the sequence ( $u_{n} \mid n<\omega$ ) defines a congruence-preserving embedding $u: K \rightarrow L$ by

$$
u(x)=g_{n} \circ u_{n}(x), \quad \text { if } x \in K_{n}, \text { for } n<\omega .
$$

Since all the $L_{n}$ are relatively complemented, $L$ is relatively complemented. If $K$ has a zero, then all the maps $u_{n}$ and $f_{n}$ preserve the zero, thus $L$ has the same zero as $K$.

If $K$ is locally finite, then we can assume that all the $K_{n}$ are finite, and we can then take all the $L_{n}$ finite. In particular, $L$ is also locally finite. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

## 6. Discussion

6.1. Theorem 1. In Theorems $1-3$, the bound zero is preserved. We do not know whether the theorems of this paper have analogues for bounded lattices:

Problem 1. In the statement of Theorem 1, let us assume that $L_{0}, L_{1}$, and $L_{2}$ are bounded lattices and that $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ are $\{0,1\}$-preserving. Can the lattice $L$ of the conclusion be taken bounded, with both $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}\{0,1\}$-preserving? In addition, if $L_{0}, L_{1}$, and $L_{2}$ are finite, can $L$ be taken finite?
6.2. Theorem 2. From the results of the third author in [18, 19], the $\aleph_{1}$ bound in the statement of Theorem 2 is optimal, because there are algebraic distributive lattices with $\aleph_{2}$ compact elements that cannot be represented as congruence lattices of relatively complemented lattices.

There are stronger forms of Theorem 2. For example, a result of K.R. Goodearl and F. Wehrung [5] states that every distributive $\{\vee, 0\}$-semilattice is the direct limit of a family of finite Boolean $\{\vee, 0\}$-semilattices and $\{\mathrm{V}, 0\}$-homomorphisms. Since every finite lattice embeds into a finite geometric lattice, one can prove that the lattice $L$ of Theorem 2 can be assumed to be a direct limit of finite geometric
lattices. Similarly, using P. Pudlák and J. Tůma [15], we can prove that $L$ can be assumed to be a direct limit of lattices, each of which is a finite product of finite partition lattices.

In neither of these cases is $L$ modular. However, using the results of [20], one can show that the lattice $L$ of Theorem 2 can be taken to be sectionally complemented and modular; in addition, in this case, $L$ can be assumed to be bounded, if the largest element of $D$ is compact. The local finiteness of $L$ is lost.

Problem 2. If the lattice $L$ has at most $\aleph_{1}$ compact congruences, does $L$ have a relatively complemented congruence-preserving extension.

A variant of this problem, was raised by the first and the last author at the August 1998 Szeged meeting:
Problem 3. Let $L$ be an infinite lattice with $|L| \leq \aleph_{1}$. Does $L$ have a congruencepreserving extension to a (sectionally complemented) relatively complemented lattice?
6.3. Theorem 3. The countability assumption of the statement of Theorem 3 is essential: by M. Ploščica, J. Tůma, and F. Wehrung [13], the free lattice with $\aleph_{2}$ generators in the variety generated by $\mathbf{M}_{3}$ (or any finite, nondistributive lattice) does not have a congruence-preserving embedding into a relatively complemented lattice.

Not every countable lattice is $\omega$-congruence-finite: take any finitely generated, non congruence-finite lattice, for example, the free lattice on $n$ generators, where $n \geq 3$.

Problem 4. Is it true that every bounded, $\omega$-congruence-finite lattice $L$ has a con-gruence-preserving extension into a relatively complemented lattice that preserves the bounds?
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