

# Towards an experimental von Karman dynamo: numerical studies for an optimized design

Florent Ravelet, Arnaud Chiffaudel, François Daviaud, Jacques Léorat

# ▶ To cite this version:

Florent Ravelet, Arnaud Chiffaudel, François Daviaud, Jacques Léorat. Towards an experimental von Karman dynamo: numerical studies for an optimized design. Physics of Fluids, 2005, 17, pp.117104. 10.1063/1.2130745 . hal-00003337v3

# HAL Id: hal-00003337 https://hal.science/hal-00003337v3

Submitted on 25 Aug 2005

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# ccsd-00003337, version 3 - 25 Aug 2005

## Towards an experimental von Kármán dynamo: numerical studies for an optimized design

Florent Ravelet, Arnaud Chiffaudel,\* and François Daviaud

Service de Physique de l'État Condensé, DSM, CEA Saclay, CNRS URA 2464, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Jacques Léorat<sup>†</sup>

LUTH, Observatoire de Paris-Meudon, 92195 Meudon, France (To be published in Phys. Fluids: August 25, 2005)

Numerical studies of a kinematic dynamo based on von Kármán type flows between two counterrotating disks in a finite cylinder are reported. The flow has been optimized using a water model experiment, varying the driving impellers' configuration. A solution leading to dynamo action for the mean flow has been found. This solution may be achieved in VKS2, the new sodium experiment to be performed in Cadarache, France. The optimization process is described and discussed; then the effects of adding a stationary conducting layer around the flow on the threshold, on the shape of the neutral mode and on the magnetic energy balance are studied. Finally, the possible processes involved in kinematic dynamo action in a von Kármán flow are reviewed and discussed. Among the possible processes, we highlight the joint effect of the boundary-layer radial velocity shear and of the Ohmic dissipation localized at the flow/outer-shell boundary.

PACS numbers: 47.65+a, 91.25.Cw

### I. INTRODUCTION

In an electrically conducting fluid, kinetic energy can be converted into magnetic energy, if the flow is both of adequate topology and sufficient strength. This problem is known as the dynamo problem [1], and is a magnetic seed-field instability. The equation describing the behavior of the magnetic induction field **B** in a fluid of resistivity  $\eta$  under the action of a velocity field **v** is writen in a dimensionless form:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + \frac{\eta}{\mathcal{V}^* \mathcal{L}^*} \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}$$
(1)

where  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is a typical length scale and  $\mathcal{V}^*$  a typical velocity scale. In addition, one must take into account the divergence-free nature of **B**, the electromagnetic boundary conditions and the Navier-Stokes equations governing the fluid motion, including the back-reaction of the magnetic field on the flow through the Lorentz force.

The magnetic Reynolds number  $R_m = \mathcal{V}^* \mathcal{L}^* \eta^{-1}$ , which compares the advection to the Ohmic diffusion, controls the instability. Although this problem is simple to set, it is still open. While some flows lead to the dynamo instability with a certain threshold  $R_m^c$ , other flows do not, and anti-dynamo theorems are not sufficient to explain this sensitivity to flow geometry [1]. The two recent experimental success of Karlsruhe and Riga [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] are in good agreement with analytical and numerical calculations [7, 8, 9, 10]; these two dynamos belong to the category of constrained dynamos: the flow is forced in pipes and the level of turbulence remains low. However, the saturation mechanisms of a dynamo are not well known, and the role of turbulence on this instability remains misunderstood [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

The next generation of experimental homogeneous unconstrained dynamos (still in progress, see for example Frick et al., Shew et al., Marié et al. and O'Connell et al. in the Cargèse 2000 workshop proceedings [18]) might provide answers to these questions. The VKS liquidsodium experiment in Cadarache, France [19, 20, 21] belongs to this category. The VKS experiment is based on a class of flows called von Kármán type flows. In a closed cylinder, the fluid is inertially set into motion by two coaxial counterrotating impellers fitted with blades. This paper being devoted to the hydrodynamical and magnetohydrodynamical properties of the mean flow, let us first describe briefly the phenomenology of such mean flow. Each impeller acts as a centrifugal pump: the fluid rotates with the impeller and is expelled radially by the centrifugal effect. To ensure mass conservation the fluid is pumped in the center of the impeller and recirculates near the cylinder wall. In the exact counterrotating regime, the mean flow is divided into two toric cells separated by an azimuthal shear layer. Such a mean flow has the following features, known to favor dynamo action: differential rotation, lack of mirror symmetry and the presence of a hyperbolic stagnation point in the center of the volume. In the VKS experimental devices, the flow, inertially driven at kinetic Reynolds number up to  $10^7$  (see below), is highly turbulent. As far as full numerical MHD treatment of realistic inertially driven high-Reynolds-number flows cannot be carried out, this study is restricted to the kinematic dynamo capability of von Kármán mean flows.

Several measurements of induced fields have been performed in the first VKS device (VKS1) [20], in rather

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: arnaud.chiffaudel@cea.fr

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger} Electronic address: Jacques.Leorat@obspm.fr$ 

good agreement with previous numerical studies [22], but no dynamo was seen: in fact the achievable magnetic Reynolds number in the VKS1 experiment remained below the threshold calculated by Marié et al. [22]. A larger device —VKS2, with diameter 0.6 m and 300 kW power supply— is under construction. The main generic properties of mean-flow dynamo action have been highlighted by Marié et al. [22] on two different experimental von Kármán velocity fields. Furthermore, various numerical studies in comparable spherical flows confirmed the strong effect of flow topology on dynamo action [23, 28]. In the experimental approach, many parameters can be varied, such as the impellers' blade design, in order to modify the flow features. In addition, following Bullard & Gubbins [24], several studies suggest adding a layer of stationary conductor around the flow to help the dynamo action. All these considerations lead us to consider the implementation of a static conducting layer in the VKS2 device and to perform a careful optimization of the mean velocity field by a kinematic approach of the dvnamo problem.

Looking further towards the actual VKS2 experiment, one should discuss the major remaining physical unexplored feature: the role of hydrodynamical turbulence. Turbulence in an inertially-driven closed flow will be very far from homogeneity and isotropy. The presence of hydrodynamical small scale turbulence could act in two different ways: on the one hand, it may increase the effective magnetic diffusivity, inhibiting the dynamo action [25]. On the other hand, it could help the dynamo through a small-scale  $\alpha$ -effect [26]. Moreover, the presence of a turbulent mixing layer between the two counterrotating cells may move the instantaneous velocity field away from the time-averaged velocity field for large time-scales [27]. As the VKS2 experiment is designed to operate above the predicted kinematic threshold presented in this paper, it is expected to give an experimental answer to this question of the role of turbulence on the instability. Furthermore, if it exhibits dynamo action, it will shed light on the dynamical saturation regime which is outside the scope of the present paper.

In this article, we report the optimization of the timeaveraged flow in a von Kármán liquid sodium experiment. We design a solution which can be experimentally achieved in VKS2, the new device held in Cadarache, France. This solution particularly relies on the addition of a static conducting layer surrounding the flow. The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we first present the experimental and numerical techniques that have been used. In Section III, we present an overview of the optimization process which lead to the experimental configuration chosen for the VKS2 device. We study the influence of the shape of the impellers both on the hydrodynamical flow properties and on the onset of kinematic dynamo action. In Section IV, we focus on the understanding of the observed kinematic dynamo from a magnetohydrodynamical point of view: we examine the structure of the eigenmode and the effects of an outer

conducting boundary. Finally, in Section V, we review some possible mechanisms leading to kinematic dynamo action in a von Kármán flow and propose some conjectural explanations based on our observations.

# II. EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL TOOLS

### A. What can be done numerically

The bearing of numerical simulations in the design of experimental fluid dynamos deserves some general comments. Kinetic Reynolds numbers of these liquid sodium flows are typically  $10^7$ , well beyond any conceivable direct numerical simulation. Moreover, to describe effective MHD features, it would be necessary to treat very small magnetic Prandtl numbers, close to  $10^{-5}$ , a value presently not within computational feasibility. Several groups are progressing in this way on model flows, for example with Large Eddy Simulations [15] which can reach magnetic Prandtl numbers as low as  $10^{-2}$ –  $10^{-3}$ . Another strong difficulty arises from the search of realistic magnetic boundary conditions treatment which prove in practice also to be difficult to implement, except for the spherical geometry.

An alternative numerical approach is to introduce a given flow in the magnetic induction equation (1) and to perform *kinematic dynamo* computations. This flow can be either analytical [8, 23], computed by pure hydrodynamical simulations (which may now be performed with Reynolds numbers up to a few thousands), or measured in laboratory water models [22, 28] by Laser Doppler velocimetry (LDV) or by Particle Imaging Velocimetry (PIV). Such measurements lead to a map of the time-averaged flow and to the main properties of the fluctuating components: turbulence level, correlation times, etc. Kinematic dynamo computations have been successfully used to describe or to optimize the Riga [7] and Karlsruhe [8] dynamo experiments.

We will follow here the kinematic approach using the time-averaged flow measured in a water model at realistic kinetic Reynolds number. Indeed, potentially important features such as velocity fluctuations will not be considered. Another strong limitation of the kinematic approach is its linearity: computations may predict if an initial seed field grows, but the study of the saturation regime will rely exclusively on the results of the actual MHD VKS-experiment.

### **B.** Experimental measurements

In order to measure the time-averaged velocity field —hereafter simply denoted as the mean field— we use a water-model experiment which is a half-scale model of the VKS2 sodium device. The experimental setup, measurement techniques, and methods are presented in detail



FIG. 1: Sketch of the VKS2 experiment. The container radius  $R_c$  is taken as unit scale. w is the dimensionless thickness of sodium at rest.

in Refs. [22, 29]. However, we present below an overview of our experimental issues and highlight the evolutions with respect to those previous works.

We use water as the working fluid for our study, noting that its hydrodynamical properties at 50°C (kinematic viscosity  $\nu$  and density  $\rho$ ) are very close to those of sodium at 120°C.

A sketch of the von Kármán experiments is presented in Fig. 1. The cylinder is of radius  $R_c$  and height  $H_c = 1.8R_c$ . In the following, all the spatial quantities are given in units of  $R_c = \mathcal{L}^*$ . The hydrodynamical time scale is based on the impeller driving frequency f: if **V** is the measured velocity field for a driving frequency f, the dimensionless mean velocity field is thus  $\mathbf{v} = (2\pi R_c f)^{-1} \mathbf{V}$ .

The integral kinetic Reynolds number Re is typically  $10^6$  in the water-model, and  $10^7$  in the sodium device VKS2. The inertially driven flow is highly turbulent, with velocity fluctuations up to 40 percent of the maximum velocity [20, 22]. In the water model, we measure the time-averaged velocity field by Laser Doppler Velocimetry (LDV). Data are averaged over typically 300 disk rotation periods. We have performed velocity measurements at several points for several driving frequencies: as expected for so highly turbulent a flow, the dimensionless velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  does not depend on the integral Reynolds number  $Re = \mathcal{V}^* \mathcal{L}^* \nu^{-1}$  [30].

Velocity modulations at the blade frequency have been observed only in and very close to the inter-blade domains. These modulations are thus time-averaged and we can consider the mean flow as a solenoidal axisymmetric vector field [31]. So the toroidal part of the velocity field  $V_{\theta}$  (in cylindrical coordinates) and the poloidal part  $(V_z, V_r)$  are independent.

In the water-model experiment dedicated to the study reported in this paper, special care has been given to the measurements of velocity fields, especially near the blades and at the cylinder wall, where the measurement grid has been refined. The mechanical quality of the experimental setup ensures good symmetry of the mean velocity fields with respect to rotation of  $\pi$  around any diameter passing through the center of the cylinder ( $\mathcal{R}_{\pi}$ -



FIG. 2: Dimensionless mean velocity field measured by LDV and symmetrized for kinematic dynamo simulations. The cylinder axis is horizontal. Arrows correspond to poloidal part of the flow, shading to toroidal part. We use cylindrical coordinates  $(r, \theta, z)$ , with origin at the center of the cylinder.

symmetry). The fields presented in this paper are thus symmetrized by  $\mathcal{R}_{\pi}$  with no noticeable changes in the profiles but with a slightly improved spatial signal-tonoise ratio. With respect to Ref. [22], the velocity fields are neither smoothed, nor stretched to different aspect ratios.

Fig. 2 shows the mean flow produced by the optimal impeller. The mean flow respects the phenomenology given in the Introduction: it is composed of two toroidal cells separated by a shear layer, and two poloidal recirculation cells. High velocities are measured over the whole volume: the inertial stirring is actually very efficient. Typically, the average over the flow volume of the mean velocity field is of order of  $0.3 \times (2\pi R_c f)$ .

In addition to velocity measurements, we perform global power consumption measurements: torques are measured through the current consumption in the motors given by the servo drives and have been calibrated by calorimetry.

### C. Kinematic dynamo simulations

Once we know the time-averaged velocity field, we integrate the induction equation using an axially periodic kinematic dynamo code, written by J. Léorat [32]. The code is pseudo-spectral in the axial and azimuthal directions while the radial dependence is treated by a highorder finite difference scheme. The numerical resolution corresponds to a grid of 48 points in the axial direction, 4 points in the azimuthal direction (corresponding to wave numbers  $m = 0, \pm 1$ ) and 51 points in the radial direction for the flow domain. This spatial grid is the common basis of our simulations and has been refined in some cases. The time scheme is second-order Adams-Bashforth with diffusive time unit  $t_d = R_c^2 \eta^{-1}$ . The typical time step is  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  and simulations are generally carried out over 1 time unit.

Electrical conductivity and magnetic permeability are homogeneous and the external medium is insulating. Implementation of the magnetic boundary conditions for a finite cylinder is difficult, due to the non-local character of the continuity conditions at the boundary of the conducting fluid. In contrast, axially periodic boundary conditions are easily formulated, since the harmonic external field then has an analytical expression. We thus choose to look for axially periodic solutions, using a relatively fast code, which allows us to perform parametric studies. To validate our choice, we compared our results with results from a finite cylinder code (F. Stefani, private communication) for some model flows and a few experimental flows. In all these cases, the periodic and the finite cylinder computations give comparable results. This remarkable agreement may be due to the peculiar flow and to the magnetic eigenmodes symmetries: we do not claim that it may be generalized to other flow geometries. Indeed, the numerical elementary box consists of two mirror-symmetric experimental velocity fields in order to avoid strong velocity discontinuities along the zaxis. The magnetic eigenmode could be either symmetric or antisymmetric with respect to this artificial mirror symmetry [33]. In almost all of our simulations, the magnetic field is mirror-antisymmetric, and we verify that no axial currents cross the mirror boundary. The few exotic symmetric cases we encountered cannot be used for optimization of the experiment.

Further details on the code can be found in Ref. [32]. We use a mirror-antisymmetric initial magnetic seed field optimized for a fast transient [22]. Finally, we can act on the electromagnetic boundary conditions by adding a layer of stationary conductor of dimensionless thickness w, surrounding the flow exactly as in the experiment (Fig. 1). This extension is made while keeping the grid radial resolution constant (51 points in the flow region). The velocity field we use as input for the numerical simulations is thus simply in an homogeneous conducting cylinder of radius 1 + w:

### III. OPTIMIZATION OF THE VKS EXPERIMENT

### A. Optimization process

The goal of our optimization process is to find the impeller whose mean velocity field leads to the lowest  $R_m^c$ for the lowest power cost. We have to find a solution feasible in VKS2, *i.e.* with liquid sodium in a 0.6 m diameter cylinder with 300 kW power supply. We performed an iterative optimization loop: for a given configuration, we measure the mean velocity field and the power consumption. Then we simulate the kinematic dynamo problem. We try to identify features favoring dynamo action and modify parameters in order to reduce the threshold and the power consumption and go back to the loop.

### B. Impeller tunable parameters.

The impellers are flat disks of radius R fitted with 8 blades of height h. The blades are arcs of circles, with a curvature radius C, whose tangents are radial at the center of the disks. We use the angle  $\alpha = \arcsin(\frac{R}{2C})$  to label the different curvatures (see Fig. 3). For straight blades  $\alpha = 0$ . By convention, we use positive values to label the direction corresponding to the case where the fluid is set into motion by the convex face of the blades. In order to study the opposite curvature ( $\alpha < 0$ ) we just rotate the impeller in the other direction. The two counterrotating impellers are separated by  $H_c$ , the height of the cylinder. We fixed the aspect ratio  $H_c/R_c$  of the flow volume to 1.8 as in the VKS device. In practice we successively examine the effects of each parameter h, R and  $\alpha$  on global quantities characterizing the mean flow. We then varied the parameters one by one, until we found a relative optimum for the dynamo threshold. We tested 12 different impellers, named TMxx, with three radii (R = 0.5, 0.75 & 0.925), various curvature angles  $\alpha$ and different blade heights h.



 $\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{v}_{\text{measured}} \quad \text{for } \quad 0 \le r \le 1 \\ \mathbf{v} \equiv 0 \qquad \text{for } \quad 1 < r \le 1 + w$ 

FIG. 3: Sketch of the impeller parameters. R is the dimensionless radius,  $\alpha$  the blade curvature angle. The sign of  $\alpha$  is determined by the sense of rotation: positive when rotated anticlockwise.

We know from empirical results [22, 23, 28] that the poloidal to toroidal ratio  $\Gamma$  of the flow has a great impact on the dynamo threshold. Moreover, a purely toroidal flow is unable to sustain dynamo action [34, 35], while it is possible for a purely poloidal flow [36, 37]. We also note that, for a Ponomarenko flow, the pitch parameter plays a major role [7, 16, 17]. All these results lead us to first focus on the ratio

$$\Gamma = \frac{\langle P \rangle}{\langle T \rangle}$$

where  $\langle P \rangle$  is the spatially averaged value of the poloidal part of the mean flow, and  $\langle T \rangle$  the average of the toroidal part.

Another quantity of interest is the velocity factor  $\mathcal{V}$ : the dimensionless maximum value of the velocity. In our simulations, the magnetic Reynolds number  $R_m$  is based on the velocity factor, *i.e.* on a typical *measured* velocity in order to take into account the stirring efficiency:

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{\max(||\mathbf{V}||)}{2 \pi R_{c} f}$$
$$R_{m} = 2 \pi R_{c}^{2} f \mathcal{V} / \eta$$

We also define a power coefficient  $K_p$  by dimensional analysis. We write the power  $\mathcal{P}$  given by a motor to sustain the flow as follows:

$$\mathcal{P} = K_p(Re, geometry) \rho R_c^5 \Omega^3$$

with  $\rho$  the density of the fluid and  $\Omega = 2\pi f$  the driving pulsation. We have checked [29] that  $K_p$  does not depend on the Reynolds number Re as expected for so highly turbulent inertially driven flows [30].

The velocity factor measures the stirring efficiency: the greater  $\mathcal{V}$ , the lower the rotation frequency needed to reach a given velocity. Besides, a lower  $K_p$  implies that less power is needed to sustain a given driving frequency. The dimensionless number which we need to focus on compares the velocity effectively reached in the flow to the power consumption. We call it the MaDo number:

$$MaDo = \frac{\mathcal{V}}{K_{p}^{1/3}}$$

The greater MaDo, the less power needed to reach a given velocity (*i.e.* a given magnetic Reynolds number). The MaDo number is thus a hydrodynamical efficiency coefficient. To make the VKS experiment feasible at laboratory scale, it is necessary both to have great MaDo numbers and low critical magnetic Reynolds numbers  $R_m^c$ . The question underlying the process of optimization is to know if we can, on the one hand, find a class of impellers with mean flows exhibiting dynamo action, and, on the other hand, if we can increase the ratio MaDo/ $R_m^c$ .



FIG. 4: MaDo number vs  $\alpha$  for all the impellers we have tested.  $R = 0.925(\P)$ ,  $R = 0.75(\blacksquare)$  and  $R = 0.5(\bullet)$ . Closed symbols: h = 0.2. Open symbols:  $h \leq 0.1$ 

This means that we have to look both at the global hydrodynamical quantities and at the magnetic induction stability when varying the impellers' tunable parameters h, R and  $\alpha$ .

Fig. 4 presents MaDo for the entire set of impellers. For our class of impellers, the MaDo number remains of the same order of magnitude within  $\pm 10\%$ . Only the smallest diameter impeller (R = 0.5) exhibits a slightly higher value. In the ideal case of homogeneous isotropic turbulence, far from boundaries, we can show that what we call the MaDo number is related to the Kolmogorov constant  $C_K \simeq 1.5$  [38]. The Kolmogorov constant is related to the kinetic energy spatial spectrum:

$$E(k) = C_K \ \epsilon^{2/3} \ k^{-5/3}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the dissipated power per unit mass, and k the wave number. If we assume that  $\epsilon$  is homogeneous and that  $\mathcal{P}$  is the total dissipated power we measure, we have:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\rho \pi R_c^2 H_c}$$

Using the definition

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle v^2\rangle = \int E(k)dk$$

and assuming  $\frac{1}{2}\langle v^2\rangle\simeq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}^2$  and using the steepness of the spectrum, we obtain:

$$E(k_0) = \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{V}^2 k_0^{-1}$$

with  $k_0 = 2\pi/R_c$  the injection scale. Then the relation between the MaDo number and  $C_K$  is:

$$MaDo^2 \simeq 3\pi^{-4/3} \left(\frac{H_c}{R_c}\right)^{-2/3} C_K \simeq 0.44 C_K$$

*i.e.*, with  $C_K = 1.5$ , we should have, for homogeneous isotropic turbulence MaDo  $\simeq 0.81$ . In our closed system with blades, we recover the same order of magnitude, and the fact that MaDo is almost independent of the driving system. Thus, there is no obvious optimum for the hydrodynamical efficiency. Between various impellers producing dynamo action, the choice will be dominated by the value of the threshold  $R_m^c$ .

Let us first eliminate the effect of the blade height h. The power factor  $K_p$  varies quasi-linearly with h. As MaDo is almost constant, smaller h impellers require higher rotation frequencies, increasing the technical difficulties. We choose h = 0.2, a compromise between stirring efficiency and the necessity to keep the free volume sufficiently large.

### D. Influence of the poloidal/toroidal ratio $\Gamma$

In our cylindrical von Kármán flow without a conducting layer (w = 0), there seems to be an optimal value for  $\Gamma$  close to 0.7. Since the mean flow is axisymmetric and divergence-free, the ratio  $\Gamma$  can be changed numerically by introducing an arbitrary multiplicative factor on, say, the toroidal part of the velocity field. In the following,  $\Gamma_0$ stands for the experimental ratio for the measured mean velocity field  $\mathbf{v}^{exp}$ , whereas  $\Gamma$  stands for a numerically adjusted velocity field  $\mathbf{v}^{adj}$ . This flow is simply adjusted as follows:

$$\begin{cases} v_{\theta}^{adj} = v_{\theta}^{exp} \\ v_{r}^{adj} = (\Gamma/\Gamma_{0}) \cdot v_{r}^{exp} \\ v_{z}^{adj} = (\Gamma/\Gamma_{0}) \cdot v_{z}^{exp} \end{cases}$$

In Fig. 5, we plot the magnetic energy growth rate  $\sigma$ (twice the magnetic field growth rate) for different values of  $\Gamma$ , for magnetic Reynolds number  $R_m = 100$  and without conducting layer (w = 0). The two curves correspond to two different mean velocity fields which have been experimentally measured in the water model (they correspond to the TM71 and TM73 impellers, see table I for their characteristics). We notice that the curves show the same shape with maximum growth rate at  $\Gamma \simeq 0.7$ . which confirms the results of Ref. [22].

For  $\Gamma \leq 0.6$ , oscillating damped regimes (open symbols in Fig. 5) are observed. We plot the temporal evolution of the magnetic energy in the corresponding case in Fig. 6: these regimes are qualitatively different from the oscillating regimes already found in [22] for non  $\mathcal{R}_{\pi}$ -symmetric  $\Gamma = 0.7$  velocity fields, consisting of one mode with a complex growth rate: the magnetic field is a single traveling wave, and the magnetic energy, integrated over the volume, evolves monotonically in time.

In our case, the velocity field is axisymmetric and  $\mathcal{R}_{\pi}$ symmetric, *i.e.*, corresponds to the group O(2) [33]. The evolution operator for the magnetic field also respects these symmetries. It is known that symmetries strongly constrain the nature of eigenvalues and eigenmodes of

-15 Δ  $\nabla$  $\nabla$ -20 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 Г FIG. 5: Magnetic energy growth rate  $\sigma$  vs. numerical ratio  $\Gamma$ .  $R_m = 100, w = 0$ . Simulations performed for two different mean velocity fields (impellers TM71 ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and TM73 ( $\blacktriangledown$ ) of radius R = 0.75). Larger symbols correspond to natural  $\Gamma_0$  of

linear stability problems. We observe two types of nonaxisymmetric m = 1 solutions consistent with the O(2) group properties:

the impeller. Vertical dashed line corresponds to optimal  $\Gamma =$ 

0.7. Closed symbols stand for stationary regimes, whereas

open symbols stand for oscillating regimes for  $\Gamma \lesssim 0.6$ .

- A steady bifurcation with a real eigenvalue. The eigenmode is  $\mathcal{R}_{\pi}$ -symmetric with respect to a certain axis. We always observed such stationary regimes for  $\Gamma \gtrsim 0.6$ .
- Oscillatory solutions in the shape of standing waves associated with complex-conjugate eigenvalues.

The latter oscillatory solutions are observed for  $\Gamma \lesssim$ 0.6. Since the temporal integration starts with a  $\mathcal{R}_{\pi}$ symmetric initial condition for the magnetic field, we obtain decaying standing waves corresponding to the sum of two modes with complex-conjugate eigenvalues and the same amplitudes. The magnetic energy therefore decays exponentially while pulsating (Fig. 6 (a)).

The same feature has been reported for analytical " $s_2^0 t_2^0 - like$  flows" in a cylindrical geometry with a Galerkin analysis of neutral modes and eigenvalues for the induction equation [39]. A major interest of the latter method is that it gives the structure of the modes: one mode is localized near one impeller and rotates with it, the other is localized and rotates with the other impeller. Growing oscillating dynamos are rare in our system: a single case has been observed, for TM71(-) ( $\Gamma_0 = 0.53$ ) with a w = 0.4 conducting layer at  $R_m = 215$  ( $R_m^c = 197$ , see table I). Such high a value for the magnetic Reynolds number is out of the scope of our experimental study, and is close to the practical upper limit of the numerical code.

Experimental dynamo action will thus be sought in the stationary regimes domain  $\Gamma \gtrsim 0.6$ . Without a conduct-





FIG. 6: Typical damped oscillating regime for impeller TM70 at  $\Gamma = 0.5$ , w = 0,  $R_m = 140$ . (a): temporal evolution of the magnetic energy  $E = \int \mathbf{B}^2$ . Straight line is a linear fit of the form  $E(t) = E_0 \exp(\sigma t)$  and gives the temporal growth rate  $\sigma = -12.1$ . (b): temporal evolution of the z component of **B** at the point  $r = 0.4, \theta = 0, z = -0.23$  with a nonlinear fit of the form:  $B_z(t) = a \exp(\sigma t/2) \cos(\omega t + \phi)$  which gives  $\sigma = -12.2$  and  $\omega = 20.7$ .

ing layer, we must look for the optimal impeller around  $\Gamma_0 \simeq 0.7$ .

### **E.** Effects of the impeller radius R



FIG. 7: Radial profiles of toroidal velocity  $v_{\theta}$  ((a)–(d)) for z = 0.3 (dotted line), 0.675 (dashed line), & 0.9 (solid line); and axial velocity  $v_z$  ((e)–(h)) for various equidistant z between the two rotating disks. From top to bottom: experimental flow for (a-e): R = 0.5, (b-f): R = 0.75, (c-g): R = 0.925 impeller and (d-h): model analytical flow (see equations (3) and discussion below).

One could a priori expect that a very large impeller is favorable to the hydrodynamical efficiency. This is not the case. For impellers with straight blades, MaDo slightly decreases with R: for respectively R = 0.5, 0.75and 0.925, we respectively get MaDo = 2.13, 1.64 and 1.62. This tendency is below the experimental error. We thus consider that MaDo does not depend on the impeller.

Nevertheless one should not forget that  $\mathcal{V}$  varies quasilinearly with impeller radius R: if the impeller becomes smaller it must rotate faster to achieve a given value for the magnetic Reynolds number, which may again cause mechanical difficulties. We do not explore radii R smaller than 0.5.

Concerning the topology of the mean flow, there are no noticeable effects of the radius R on the poloidal part. We always have two toric recirculation cells, centered at a radius  $r_p$  close to  $0.75 \pm 0.02$  and almost constant for all impellers (Fig. 7 (e-f-g-h)). The fluid is pumped to the impellers for  $0 < r < r_p$  and is reinjected in the volume  $r_p < r < 1$ . This can be interpreted as a geometrical constraint to ensure mass conservation: the circle of radius  $r = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$  (very close to 0.75) separates the unit disk into two regions of the same area.

The topology of the toroidal part of the mean flow now depends on the radius of the impeller. The radial profile of  $v_{\theta}$  shows stronger departure from solid-body rotation for smaller R (Fig. 7 (a-b-c-d)): this will be emphasized in the discussion. We performed simulations for three straight blades impellers of radii R = 0.5, R = 0.75 and R = 0.925; without a conducting shell (w = 0) and with a conducting layer of thickness w = 0.4. We have integrated the induction equation for the three velocity fields numerically set to various  $\Gamma$  and compared the growth rates. The impeller of radius R = 0.75 close to the radius of the center of the poloidal recirculation cells systematically yields the greatest growth rate. Thus, radius R = 0.75 has been chosen for further investigations.

### F. Search for the optimal blade curvature

The hydrodynamical characteristics of the impellers of radius R = 0.75 are given in table I. For increasing blade curvature the average value of the poloidal velocity  $\langle P \rangle$  increases while the average value of the toroidal velocity  $\langle T \rangle$  decreases: the ratio  $\Gamma_0$  is a continuous growing function of curvature  $\alpha$  (Fig. 8). A phenomenological explanation for the  $\langle T \rangle$  variation can be given. The fluid pumped by the impeller is centrifugally expelled and is constrained to follow the blades. Therefore, it exits the impeller with a velocity almost tangent to the blade exit angle  $\alpha$ . Thus, for  $\alpha < 0$  (resp.  $\alpha > 0$ ), the azimuthal velocity is bigger (resp. smaller) than the solid body rotation. Finally, it is possible to adjust  $\Gamma_0$  to a desired value by choosing the appropriate curvature  $\alpha$ , in order to lower the threshold for dynamo action.

Without a conducting shell, the optimal impeller is the TM71 ( $\Gamma_0 = 0.69$ ). But its threshold  $R_m^c = 179$  cannot be achieved in the VKS2 experiment. We therefore must find another way to reduce  $R_m^c$ , the only relevant factor for the optimization.

# G. Optimal configuration to be tested in the VKS2 sodium experiment

As in the Riga experiment [4, 7], and as in numerical studies of various flows [24, 42, 43], we consider a stationary layer of fluid sodium surrounding the flow. This



FIG. 8:  $\Gamma_0$  vs  $\alpha$  for four impellers of radius R = 0.75 rotated in positive and negative direction (see Table I).



FIG. 9: Shift in the optimal value of  $\Gamma$  when adding a conducting layer. Magnetic energy growth rate  $\sigma vs$ .  $\Gamma$  for w = 0 (•) and w = 0.4 (•). Impeller TM73,  $R_m = 100$ . Larger symbols mark the natural  $\Gamma_0$  of the impeller.

${\rm Impeller}$	$\alpha(^0)$	$\langle P \rangle$	$\langle T \rangle$	$\Gamma_0 = \frac{\langle P \rangle}{\langle T \rangle}$	$\langle P\rangle.\langle T\rangle$	$\langle H \rangle$	$\mathcal{V}$	$K_p$	MaDo	$R_m^c \ (w=0)$	$R_m^c \ (w=0.4)$
TM74-	-34	0.15	0.34	0.46	0.052	0.43	0.78	0.073	1.86	n.i.	n.i.
TM73-	-24	0.16	0.34	0.48	0.055	0.41	0.72	0.073	1.73	n.i.	n.i.
TM71-	-14	0.17	0.33	0.53	0.057	0.49	0.73	0.069	1.79	n.i.	197 (o)
TM70	0	0.18	0.30	0.60	0.056	0.47	0.65	0.061	1.64	(1)	(1)
TM71	+14	0.19	0.28	0.69	0.053	0.44	0.64	0.056	1.66	179	51
TM73	+24	0.20	0.25	0.80	0.051	0.44	0.60	0.053	1.60	180	43
TM74	+34	0.21	0.24	0.89	0.050	0.44	0.58	0.043	1.65	$\infty$	44

TABLE I: Global hydrodynamical dimensionless quantities (see text for definitions) for the radius R = 0.75 impeller family, rotating counterclockwise (+), or clockwise (-) (see Fig. 3). The last two columns present the thresholds for kinematic dynamo action with (w = 0.4) and without (w = 0) conducting layer. Optimal values appear in bold font. Most negative curvatures have not been investigated (n.i.) but the TM71-, which presents an oscillatory (o) dynamo instability for  $R_m^c = 197$  with w = 0.4. (1): the TM70 impeller ( $\Gamma_0 = 0.60$ ) has a tricky behavior, exchanging stability between steady modes, oscillatory modes and a singular mode which is mirror-symmetric with respect to the periodization introduced along z and thus not physically relevant.



FIG. 10: Growth rate  $\sigma$  of magnetic energy vs numerical ratio  $\Gamma$ .  $R_m = 43$ , w = 0.4 for 4 different R = 0.75 impellers: TM70 (•), TM71 (•), TM73 (•) and TM74 (•). Larger symbols mark the natural  $\Gamma_0$  of each impeller.

significantly reduces the critical magnetic Revnolds number, but also slightly shifts the optimal value for  $\Gamma$ . We have varied w between w = 0 and w = 1; since the experimental VKS2 device is of fixed overall size (diameter 0.6 m), the flow volume decreases while increasing the static layer thickness w. A compromise between this constraint and the effects of increasing w has been found to be w = 0.4 and we mainly present here results concerning this value of w. In Fig. 9, we compare the curves obtained by numerical variation of the ratio  $\Gamma$  for the same impeller at the same  $R_m$ , in the case w = 0, and w = 0.4. The growth rates are much higher for w = 0.4, and the peak of the curve shifts from 0.7 to 0.8. We have performed simulations for velocity fields achieved using four different impellers (Fig. 10), for w = 0.4 at  $R_m = 43$ : the result is very robust, the four curves being very close.

In Fig. 11, we plot the growth rates  $\sigma$  of the magnetic energy simulated for four experimentally measured mean velocity fields at various  $R_m$  and for w = 0.4. The impeller TM73 was designed to create a mean velocity field with  $\Gamma_0 = 0.80$ . It appears to be the best impeller, with a critical magnetic Reynolds number of  $R_m^c = 43$ . Its threshold is divided by a factor 4 when adding a layer of stationary conductor. This configuration (TM73, w = 0.4) will be the first one tested in the VKS2 experiment. The VKS2 experiment will be able to reach the threshold of kinematic dynamo action for the mean part of the flow. Meanwhile, the turbulence level will be high and could lead to a shift or even disappearance of the kinematic dynamo threshold. In Section IV, we examine in detail the effects of the boundary conditions on the TM73 kinematic dynamo.

### H. Role of flow helicity vs. Poloidal/Toroidal ratio

Most large scale dynamos known are based on helical flows [1, 40]. As a concrete example, while successfully optimizing the Riga dynamo experiment, Stefani et al. [7] noticed that the best flows were helicity maximizing. The first point we focused on during our optimization process, *i.e.*, the existence of an optimal value for  $\Gamma$ , leads us to address the question of the links between  $\Gamma$  and mean helicity  $\langle H \rangle$ . In our case, for aspect ratio  $H_c/R_c = 1.8$ and impellers of radius R = 0.75, the mean helicity at a given rotation rate  $\langle H \rangle = \int \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) r dr dz$  does not depend on the blade curvature (see Table I). Observation of Fig. 12 also reveals that the dominant contribution in the helicity scalar product is the product of the toroidal velocity  $(v_{\theta} \propto \langle T \rangle)$  by the poloidal recirculation cells vorticity  $((\nabla \times \mathbf{v})_{\theta} \propto \langle P \rangle)$ . We can therefore assume the scaling  $\langle H \rangle \propto \langle P \rangle \langle T \rangle$ , which is consistent with the fact that the product  $\langle P \rangle \langle T \rangle$  and  $\langle H \rangle$  are both almost constant (Table I).

To compare the helicity content of different flows, we



FIG. 11: Growth rate  $\sigma$  vs natural ratio  $\Gamma_0$  for five impellers at various  $R_m$  and w = 0.4. From left to right: TM71– with  $\Gamma_0 = 0.53$ , TM70 ( $\Gamma_0 = 0.60$ ), TM71 ( $\Gamma_0 = 0.69$ ), TM73 ( $\Gamma_0 = 0.80$ ), TM74 ( $\Gamma_0 = 0.89$ ), see also table I). Closed symbols: stationary modes. Open symbols: oscillating modes.

now consider the mean helicity at a given  $R_m$ ,  $\langle H \rangle / \mathcal{V}^2$ , more relevant for the dynamo problem. Figure 13 presents  $\langle H \rangle / \mathcal{V}^2$  versus  $\Gamma_0$  for all h = 0.2 impellers. The R = 0.75 family reaches a maximum of order of 1 for  $\Gamma_0 \simeq 0.9$ . This tendency is confirmed by the solid curve which shows a numerical variation of  $\Gamma$  for the TM73 velocity field and is maximum for  $\Gamma = 1$ . In addition, even though R = 0.925 impellers give reasonably high values of helicity near  $\Gamma = 0.5$ , there is an abrupt break in the tendency for high curvature: TM60 (see Ref. [22]) exhibits large  $\Gamma_0 = 0.9$  but less helicity than TM74. Inset in Fig. 13 highlights this optimum for  $\langle H \rangle / \mathcal{V}^2$  versus impeller radius R. This confirms the impeller radius R = 0.75 we have chosen during the optimization described above.





FIG. 12: Contours of kinetic helicity  $H = \mathbf{v}.(\nabla \times \mathbf{v})$  for TM73 velocity field. (a): total helicity. (b): azimuthal contribution  $v_{\theta}.(\nabla \times \mathbf{v})_{\theta}$  is dominant.

FIG. 13: Mean helicity at a given  $R_m (\langle H \rangle / \mathcal{V}^2)$  vs. poloidal over toroidal ratio. The R = 0.75 impeller series ( $\checkmark$ ) is plotted as a function of  $\Gamma_0$ . The large open symbol stands for TM73 at  $\Gamma_0$  and the solid line stands for the same quantity plotted vs. numerical variation of TM73 velocity field ( $\Gamma$ ). We also plot  $\langle H \rangle / \mathcal{V}^2$  vs.  $\Gamma_0$  for the R = 0.5 ( $\star$ ) and R = 0.925 ( $\Box$ ) impellers. The inset presents  $\langle H \rangle / \mathcal{V}^2$  vs. impeller radius Rfor impellers of  $0.8 \leq \Gamma_0 \leq 0.9$ .

Since the optimal value toward dynamo action for the ratio  $\Gamma$  (close to 0.7 – 0.8, depending on w) is lower than 1, the best velocity field is not absolutely helicity-maximizing. In other words, the most dynamo promoting flow contains more toroidal velocity than the helicity-maximizing flow. As shown by Leprovost [41], one can interpret the optimal  $\Gamma$  as a quantity that maximizes the product of mean helicity by a measure of the  $\omega$ -effect, *i.e.*, the product  $\langle H \rangle \langle T \rangle \sim \langle P \rangle \langle T \rangle^2$ .

### IV. IMPACT OF A CONDUCTING LAYER ON THE NEUTRAL MODE AND THE ENERGY BALANCE FOR THE VKS2 OPTIMIZED VELOCITY FIELD

In this section, we discuss the mean velocity field produced between two counterrotating TM73 impellers in a cylinder of aspect ratio  $\frac{H_c}{R_c} = 1.8$ , like the first experimental configuration chosen for the VKS2 experiment. See Table I for the characteristics of this impeller, and Fig. 2 for a plot of the mean velocity field. We detail the effects of adding a static layer of conductor surrounding the flow and compare the neutral mode structures, the magnetic energy and spatial distribution of current density for this kinematic dynamo.

### A. Neutral mode for w = 0

Without a conducting layer, this flow exhibits dynamo action with a critical magnetic Reynolds number  $R_m^c =$ 180. The neutral mode is stationary in time and has an m = 1 azimuthal dependency. In Fig. 14, we plot an isodensity surface of the magnetic energy (50% of the maximum) in the case w = 0 at  $R_m = R_m^c = 180$ . The field is concentrated near the axis into two twisted bananashaped regions of strong axial field. Near the interface between the flow and the outer insulating medium, there are two small sheets located on either side of the plane

FIG. 14: Isodensity surface of magnetic energy (50% of the maximum) for the neutral mode without conducting layer (w = 0). Cylinder axis is horizontal. Arrows stand for the external dipolar field source regions.

z = 0 where the magnetic field is almost transverse to the external boundary and dipolar. The topology of the neutral mode is very close to that obtained by Marié *et al.* [22] with different impellers, and to that obtained on analytical  $s_2^0 t_2^0$ -like flows in a cylindrical geometry with the previously described Galerkin analysis [39].

In Fig. 15 we present sections of the **B** and **j** fields, where  $\mathbf{i} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$  is the dimensionless current density. The scale for  $\mathbf{B}$  is chosen such that the magnetic energy integrated over the volume is unity. Since the azimuthal dependence is m = 1, two cut planes are sufficient to describe the neutral mode. In the bulk where twisted-banana-shaped structures are identified, we note that the toroidal and poloidal parts of **B** are of the same order of magnitude and that  $\mathbf{B}$  is concentrated near the axis, where it experiences strong stretching due to the stagnation point in the velocity field. Around the center of the flow's recirculation loops ( $r \simeq 0.7$  and  $z \simeq \pm 0.5$ see Fig. 2) we note a low level of magnetic field: it is expelled from the vortices. Close to the outer boundary, we mainly observe a strong transverse dipolar field (Fig. 15 (a)) correlated with two small loops of very strong current density **j** (Fig. 15 (c)). These current loops seem constrained by the boundary, and might dissipate a great amount of energy by the Joule effect (see discussion below).

### B. Effects of the conducting layer

As indicated in the first section, the main effect of adding a conducting layer is to strongly reduce the threshold. In Fig. 16, we plot the critical magnetic Reynolds number for increasing values of the layer thickness. The reduction is significant: the threshold is already divided by 4 for w = 0.4 and the effects tends to saturate exponentially with a characteristic thickness w = 0.14 (fit in Fig. 16), as observed for an  $\alpha^2$ -model of the Karlsruhe dynamo by Avalos et al. [43]. Adding the layer also modifies the spatial structure of the neutral mode. The isodensity surface for w = 0.6 is plotted in Fig. 17 with the corresponding sections of **B** and **j** fields in Fig. 18. The two twisted bananas of the axial field are still present in the core, but the sheets of magnetic energy near the r = 1 boundary develop strongly. Instead of thin folded sheets on both sides of the equatorial plane, the structures unfold and grow in the axial and azimuthal directions to occupy a wider volume and extend on both sides of the flow/conducting-layer boundary r = 1. This effect is spectacular and occurs even for low values of w.

Small conducting layers are a challenge for numerical calculations: since the measured tangential velocity at the wall is not zero, adding a layer of conductor at rest gives rise to a strong velocity shear, which in practice requires at least 10 grid points to be represented. The maximal grid width used is 0.005: the minimal non-zero w is thus w = 0.05. The exponential fit in Fig. 16 is relevant for  $w \gtrsim 0.1$ . It is not clear whether the de-



FIG. 15: Meridional sections of **B** and **j** fields for the neutral mode with w = 0. **B** is normalized by the total magnetic energy. Arrows correspond to components lying in the cut plane, and color code to the component transverse to the cut plane. A unit arrow is set into each figure lower left corner. (a): **B** field,  $\theta = 0$ . (b) **B** field,  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . (c): **j** field,  $\theta = 0$ . (d): **j** field,  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

parture from exponential behavior is of numerical origin, or corresponds to a cross-over between different dynamo processes.

The analysis of the **B** and **j** fields in Fig. 18 first reveals smoother **B**-lines and much more homogeneous a distribution for the current density. The azimuthal current loops responsible for the transverse dipolar magnetic field now develop in a wider space (Fig. 18 (c)). Two poloidal current loops appear in this plane, closing in the conducting shell. These loops are responsible for the growth of the azimuthal magnetic field at r = 1 (Fig. 18 (a)). Changes in the transverse plane ( $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) are less marked. As already stated in Refs. [42, 43], the positive effect of adding a layer of stationary conductor may reside in the subtle balance between magnetic energy production and Ohmic dissipation.

### C. Energy balance

In order to better characterize which processes lead to dynamo action in a von Kármán flow, we will now look at the energy balance equation. Let us first separate the whole space into three domains.

- $\Omega_i : 0 < r < 1$  (inner flow domain)
- $\Omega_o: 1 < r < 1 + w$  (outer stationary conducting layer)



FIG. 16: Critical magnetic Reynolds number vs layer thickness w. TM73 velocity field. Fit:  $R_m^c(w) = 38 + 58 \exp(-\frac{w}{0.14})$  for  $w \ge 0.08$ .



FIG. 17: Isodensity surface of magnetic energy (50% of the maximum) for the neutral mode with w = 0.6.

• 
$$\Omega_{\infty}: r > 1 + w$$
 (external insulating medium)

In any conducting domain  $\Omega_{\alpha}$ , we write the energy balance equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega_{\alpha}} \mathbf{B}^{2} = R_{m} \int_{\Omega_{\alpha}} (\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{V} - \int_{\Omega_{\alpha}} \mathbf{j}^{2} + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\alpha}} (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{n}$$
(2)

The left hand side of equation (2) is the temporal variation of the magnetic energy  $E_{mag}$ . The first term in the right hand side is the source term which writes as a work of the Lorentz force. It exists only in  $\Omega_i$  and is denoted by W. The second term is the Ohmic dissipation D, and the last term is the Poynting vector flux P which vanishes at infinite r.

We have checked our computations by reproducing the results of Kaiser and Tilgner [42] on the Ponomarenko flow.

At the dynamo threshold, integration over the whole space gives

$$0 = W - D_o - D_i$$

In Fig. 19, we plot the integrands of W and D at the threshold for dynamo action, normalized by the total instantaneous magnetic energy, as a function of radius rfor various w. For w = 0, both the production and dissipation mostly take place near the wall between the flow and the insulating medium (r = 1), which could not have been guessed from the cuts of **j** and **B** in figure 15. The w = 0 curve in Fig. 19 has two peaks. The first one at  $r \simeq 0.1$  corresponds to the twisted bananas, while the second is bigger and is localized near the flow boundary r = 1. A great deal of current should be dissipated at the conductor-insulator interface due to the "frustration" of the transverse dipole. This can explain the huge effect of adding a conducting layer at this interface: the "strain concentration" is released when a conducting medium is added. Thus if we increase w, the remaining current concentration at r = 1 + w decreases very rapidly to zero, which explains the saturation of the effect. In the meantime, the curves collapse on a single smooth curve, both for the dissipation and the production (solid black curves in Fig. 19). For greater values of w, the production densitv and the dissipation in the core of the flow r < 0.2 are smaller, whereas a peak of production and dissipation is still visible at the flow-conducting shell interface r = 1. The conducting layer does not spread but reinforces the localization of the dynamo process at this interface. This can help us to understand the process which causes the dynamo in a von Kármán type flow.

Let us now look at the distribution between the dissipation integrated over the flow  $D_i$  and the dissipation integrated over the conducting shell  $D_o$  (Fig. 20). The ratio  $D_o/D_i$  increases monotonically with w and then saturates to 0.16. This ratio remains small, which confirms the results of Avalos *et al.* [43] for a stationary dynamo. We conclude that the presence of the conducting layer allowing currents to flow— is more important than the relative amount of Joule energy dissipated in this layer.



FIG. 18: Meridional sections of **B** and **j** fields for the neutral mode with w = 0.6. **B** is normalized by the total magnetic energy. Arrows correspond to components lying in the cut plane, and color code to the component transverse to the cut plane. A unit arrow is set into each figure lower left corner. (a): **B** field,  $\theta = 0$ . (b) **B** field,  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . (c): **j** field,  $\theta = 0$ . (d): **j** field,  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .



FIG. 19: (a): radial profile of Ohmic dissipation integrated over  $\theta$  and z:  $\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-0.9}^{0.9} r \mathbf{j}^{2}(r) dz d\theta$  for increasing values of w. (b): radial profile of magnetic energy production integrated over  $\theta$  and z:  $\int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-0.9}^{0.9} r ((\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{V})(r) dz d\theta$  for increasing values of w.



FIG. 20: Ratio of the integrated dissipation in the outer region and in the inner region  $\frac{D_a}{D_i}$  vs w. Fit:  $\frac{D_a}{D_i}(w) = 0.16 \ (1 - \exp(-\frac{w}{0.089})).$ 

### D. Neutral mode structure

From the numerical results presented above in this section, we consider the following questions: Is it possible to identify typical structures in the eigenmode of the von Kármán dynamo? If so, do these structure play a role in the dynamo mechanism? We have observed magnetic structures in the shape of bananas and sheets (see Figs. 14 and 17). In the center of the flow volume, there is a hyperbolic stagnation point equivalent to  $\alpha$ -type stagnation points in ABC-flows (with equal coefficients) [44]. In the equatorial plane at the boundary the merging of the poloidal cells resembles  $\beta$ -type stagnation points in ABC-flows. In such flows, the magnetic field is organized into cigars along the  $\alpha$ -type stagnation points and sheets on both sides of the  $\beta$ -type stagnation points [45]: this is very similar to the structure of the neutral mode we get for w = 0 (Fig. 14). We also performed magnetic induction simulations with an imposed axial field for the poloidal part of the flow alone. We obtain a strong axial stretching: the central stagnation point could be responsible for the growth of the bananas/cigars, which are then twisted by the axial differential rotation. One should nevertheless not forget that the actual instantaneous flows are highly turbulent, and that such peculiar stagnation points of the mean flow are especially sensitive to fluctuations.

The presence of the conducting layer introduces new structures in the neutral mode (see Figs. 14, 17 and 15, 18). In order to complete our view of the fields in the conducting layer, we plot them on the r = 1 cylinder for w = 0.6 (Fig. 21). As for w = 0, the dipolar main part of the magnetic field enters radially into the flow volume at  $\theta = \pi$  and exits at  $\theta = 0$  (Fig. 21 (a)). However, looking around z = 0, we observe that a part of this magnetic flux is azimuthally diverted in the conducting shell along the flow boundary. This effect does not exist without a conducting shell: the outer part of the dipole is anchored in the stationary conducting layer.

Another specific feature is the anti-colinearity of the current density **j** with **B** at  $(z = 0; \theta = 0,\pi; r = 1)$ , which resembles an " $\alpha$ "-effect. However, while the radial magnetic field is clearly due to a current loop (arrows in the center of Fig. 21 (b)),  $j_r$  is not linked to a **B**-loop (Fig. 21 (a)), which is not obvious from Fig. 18. Thus, the anti-colinearity is restricted to single points  $(z = 0; \theta = 0, \pi; r = 1)$ . We have checked this, computing the angle between **j** and **B**: the isocontours of this angle are very complex and the peculiar values corresponding to colinearity or anti-colinearity are indeed restricted to single points.

### E. Dynamo threshold reduction factor

We have shown that the threshold for dynamo action is divided by four when a conducting layer of thickness w = 0.4 is added. This effect is very strong. Follow-



FIG. 21: (a): (resp. (b)) **B** (resp. **j**) field at r = 1 for w = 0.6. Color code corresponds to  $B_r$  (resp.  $j_r$ ) and arrows to  $B_z$  and  $B_\theta$  (resp.  $j_z$  and  $j_\theta$ ).

ing Avalos and Plunian [43], let us compare the threshold reduction factor  $\Lambda = 1 - \frac{R_m^c(w)}{R_m^c(w=0)}$  for various kinematic dynamos. The threshold reduction for TM73flow ( $\Lambda = 0.78$ ) is much higher than for the Karlsruhe ( $\Lambda = 0.11$ ) and Riga ( $\Lambda = 0.56$ ) dynamos. Reduction rate can also be radically different between model flows: the  $\alpha^2$ -model for Karlsruhe dynamo gives a low- $R_m^c$ -dynamo for w = 0 and benefits very little from a finite w ( $\Lambda = 0.11$ ), while the Ponomarenko flow does not lead to dynamo action without a conducting layer ( $\Lambda = 1$ ). The reduction factors considered above are maximal values obtained either for high w in stationary dynamos or for the optimal w in oscillatory dynamos [42, 43].

In order to understand why  $\Lambda$  is so high for our TM73flow, we propose to compare our experimental flow with an optimal analytical model-flow proposed by Marié, Normand and Daviaud [39] in the same geometry. The Galerkin method used by these authors does not include the effect of a conducting layer. We thus perform kinematic dynamo simulations with our usual approach, and then study the effects of adding a conducting layer on the following velocity field for  $\epsilon = 0.7259$  corresponding to  $\Gamma = 0.8$  [29, 39]:

$$v_r = -\frac{\pi}{2}r(1-r)^2(1+2r)\cos(\pi z)$$
  

$$v_\theta = 4\epsilon r(1-r)\sin(\pi z/2)$$
  

$$v_z = (1-r)(1+r-5r^2)\sin(\pi z)$$
(3)

This is the velocity field plotted in Fig. 7 (d). The kinematic dynamo threshold is found at  $R_m^c = 58$  for w = 0, in good agreement with the Galerkin analysis. With a w = 1 conducting layer, we get a low  $\Lambda = 0.26$  reduction rate, *i.e.*  $R_m^c = 43$ , close to the TM73 threshold for w = 1:  $R_m^c = 37$ . The threshold reduction is also found to show an exponential behavior with w, of characteristic thickness 0.20, as in Fig. 16.

Let us describe the model flow features represented in Fig. 7 (d). The velocity is very smooth at the cylindrical boundary: the toroidal velocity is maximum at r = 0.5 and slowly decreases to zero at r = 1. The poloidal recirculation loops are centered at  $r_p = 0.56$ and the axial velocity also decreases slowly to zero at the cylindrical boundary. Thus, mass conservation requires the axial velocity to be much higher in the central disk ( $0 < r < r_p$ ) than outside. These constraints make analytical models somewhat different from experimental mean flows (Fig. 7 (a-b-c)). In particular, high kinetic Reynolds numbers forbid smooth velocity decrease near boundaries. This explains why experimental flows do not lead to low thresholds unless a conducting layer is added.

We now consider the effect of a conducting shell on the model flow's eigenmode structure. First note that without a conducting shell, the model's neutral mode structure is already very similar to that of TM73 with a conducting shell: the transverse dipole is not confined into thin sheets but develops into wider regions connected to bananas of axial field in the center. Adding the conducting layer mainly leaves the neutral mode structure unchanged and thus quantitatively reduces its impact compared to the experimental case.

Finally, from the very numerous simulations of experimental and model von Kármán flows performed, we conclude that the addition of a static conducting layer to experimental flows makes the eigenmode geometry closer to optimal model eigenmodes, and makes the critical  $R_m^c$ approach moderate values (typically 50). It may thus be conjectured that the puzzling sensitivity of dynamo threshold to flow geometry is lowered when a static layer is present. We conclude that this feature renders the dynamo more robust to flow topology details. This could also act favorably in the nonlinear regime.

### V. CONJECTURES ABOUT DYNAMO MECHANISMS

In this paragraph, we intend to relate the results of the optimization process to some more elementary mechanisms. As emphasized in the Introduction, there is no sufficient condition for dynamo action and although numerical examples of dynamo flows are numerous, little is known about the effective parameters leading to an efficient energy conversion process. For example, the classical  $\alpha$  and axial  $\omega$  mechanisms have been proposed to be the main ingredients of the von Kármán dynamo [19]. Our starting point is the observation that dynamo action results from a constructive coupling between magnetic field components due to velocity gradients, which, in the present axisymmetric case, reduce to derivatives with respect to r (radial gradients) and to z (axial gradients). The gradients of azimuthal velocity generate a toroidal field from a poloidal one (the  $\omega$ -effect [1]), while regeneration of the poloidal field is generally described as resulting from a helicity effect (denoted as the  $\alpha$ -effect if scale separation is present [26]). How do these general considerations apply to the present flow? As in the Sun, which shows both a polar-equatorial differential rotation

and a tachocline transition, our experimental flow fields present azimuthal velocity shear in the axial and radial directions (see Fig. 2). We will therefore consider below the role of both the axial and the radial  $\omega$ -effect.

We will discuss these mechanisms and then suggest that, for a flow surrounded by a static conducting layer, the dynamo mechanism is based on the presence of a strong velocity shear (at the boundary layer r = 1) which lies in this case in the bulk of the overall electrically conducting domain.

### A. Axial $\omega$ -effect

Induction simulations performed with the toroidal part of the velocity show an axial  $\omega$ -effect which converts an imposed axial field into toroidal field through  $\partial v_{\theta}/\partial z$ . Such a  $R_m$ -linear effect has been demonstrated in the VKS1 experiment [20]. This effect is concentrated around the equatorial shear layer (z = 0) as visible in Fig. 2. Thus, we may surmise that the axial  $\omega$ -effect is involved in the dynamo process: for dynamo action to take place, there is a need for another process to convert a toroidal magnetic field into a poloidal field.

### **B.** $\alpha$ -effect, helicity effect

 $R_m$ -non-linear conversion from transverse to axial magnetic field has also been reported in the VKS1 experiment [21]. This effect is not the usual scale-separation  $\alpha$ -effect [26] and has been interpreted as an effect of the global helicity as reported by Parker [40] (in the following, it will be denoted " $\alpha$ "-effect). We believe it to take place in the high kinetic helicity regions of the flow (see Fig. 12).

### C. Is an " $\alpha$ " $\omega$ mechanism relevant ?

Bourgoin *et al.* [46] performed a study of induction mechanisms in von Kármán-type flows, using a quasistatic iterative approach. They show that " $\alpha$ " $\omega$  dynamo action, seen as a three-step loop-back inductive mechanism, is possible, but very difficult to obtain, since fields are widely expelled by the vortices. The authors highlight the fact that the coupling between the axial  $\omega$ -effect and the " $\alpha$ "-effect is very inefficient for our velocity fields, because of the spatial separation of these two induction effects. Our observations of the velocity and helicity fields confirm this separation.

The authors also discovered an induction effect — the BC-effect — related to the magnetic diffusivity discontinuity at the insulating boundary that could be invoked in the dynamo mechanism. This BC-effect, illustrated on our TM73-velocity field (Fig. 14 in Ref. [46]), is enhanced in the case of strong velocity and vorticity gradients at the boundaries, characteristic of high Reynolds

number flows. We are therefore convinced that for experimental flow fields at w = 0, the BC-effect helps the dynamo. This is consistant with our observations of high tangential current density near the boundaries and high magnetic energy production at r = 1 even for w = 0 (Fig. 19). Such a current sheet formation and BC-effect was reported by Bullard and Gubbins [24].

When a large layer of sodium at rest is added, the BCeffect vanishes because the conductivity discontinuity occurs at r = 1 + w while the currents still are concentrated at the flow boundary r = 1. However, with a conducting layer, we have presented many features favoring the dynamo. In the next paragraph, we propose a possible origin for this conducting-layer effect.

### D. Radial $\omega$ -effect, boundary layers and static shell

With a layer of steady conducting material surrounding the flow, we note the occurrence of two major phenomena:

- the possibility for currents to flow freely in this shell (Fig. 19),
- the presence of a very strong velocity shear localized at the boundary layer which now lies in the bulk of the electrically conducting domain.

Let us again consider the shape of the velocity shear. Any realistic (with real hydrodynamical boundary conditions) von Kármán flow obviously presents negative gradients of azimuthal velocity  $\partial v_{\theta}/\partial r$  between the region of maximal velocity and the flow boundary. This region can be divided into two parts: a smooth decrease in the bulk ( $R \leq r \leq 1$ ) and a sharp gradient in the boundary layer at r = 1 (Fig. 7).

These gradients are responsible for a radial  $\omega$ -effect, producing  $B_{\theta}$  with  $B_r$ , in both insulating and conducting cases. However, without a conducting layer, only the smooth part of the gradient which lies in the bulk will be efficient for dynamo action. Indeed, owing to the huge value of the kinetic Reynolds number and the very small value of the magnetic Prandtl number, the sharp boundary layer gradient is confined to a tiny domain, much smaller than the magnetic variation scale. No significant electrical currents can flow in it and we did not resolve this boundary layer with the numerical code: it is totally neglected by our approach.

The role of both types of gradients is illustrated by the observation (Fig. 7 (c)) of impellers of large radius (R = 0.925). For such impellers there is almost no departure from solid body rotation profiles in the flow region and these impellers lead to dynamo action only with conducting shell [22], *i.e.*, due to the sharp gradient. On the other hand, our R = 0.75 selected impellers present a stronger bulk-gradient and achieve dynamo in both cases (Fig. 7 (b)).

In fact, the way we numerically modelized the von Kármán flow surrounded by a static conducting layer —considering an equivalent fluid system in which the boundary layer appears as a simple velocity jump in its bulk— is consistent with the problem to solve. The velocity jump, just as any strong shear, is a possible efficient source for the radial  $\omega$ -effect.

### E. A shear and shell dynamo?

We pointed out above that the regions of maximal helicity (the " $\alpha$ "-effect sources, see Fig. 12) are close to those of radial shear where the radial  $\omega$ -effect source term is large. Dynamo mechanism could thus be the result of this interaction. In the absence of a static shell, one can suppose that the dynamo arises from the coupling of the " $\alpha$ "-effect, the  $\omega$ -effect and the BC-effect [46]. With a static conducting layer, as explained above, the radial  $\omega$ -effect is especially strong: the radial dipole, anchored in the conducting layer and azimuthally stretched by the toroidal flow (see Fig. 21) is a strong source of azimuthal field. This effect coupled with the " $\alpha$ "-effect could be the cause of the dynamo.

For small conducting layer thickness w, one could expect a cross-over between these two mechanisms. In fact, it appears that the decrease of  $R_m^c$  (Fig. 16) with the conducting shell thickness w is very fast between w = 0 and w = 0.08 and is well fitted for greater w by an exponential, as in Ref. [43]. We can also note that for typical  $R_m = 50$ , the dimensionless magnetic diffusion length  $R_m^{-1/2}$  is equal to 0.14. This value corresponds to the characteristic length of the  $R_m^c$  decrease (Fig. 16) and is also close to the cross-over thickness and characteristic lengths of the Ohmic dissipation profiles (Figs. 19 (a) and 20).

We propose to call the mechanism described above a "shear and shell" dynamo. This interpretation could also apply to the Ponomarenko screw-flow dynamo which also principally relies on the presence of an external conducting medium.

### VI. CONCLUSION

We have selected a configuration for the mean flow feasible in the VKS2 liquid sodium experiment. This mean flow leads to kinematic dynamo action for a critical magnetic Reynolds number below the maximum achievable  $R_m$ . We have performed a study of the relations between kinematic dynamo action, mean flow features and boundary conditions in a von Kármán-type flow.

The first concluding remark is that while the dynamo without a static conducting shell strongly depends on the bulk flow details, adding a stationary laver makes the dynamo threshold more robust. The study of induction mechanisms in 3D cellular von Kármán type flows performed by Bourgoin et al. [46] suggests that this sensitivity comes from the spatial separation of the different induction mechanisms involved in the dynamo process: the loop-back between these effects cannot overcome the expulsion of magnetic flux by eddies if the coupling is not sufficient. Secondly, the role of the static layer is generally presented as a possibility for currents to flow more freely. But, instead of spreading the currents, the localization at the boundary of both magnetic energy production and dissipation (Fig. 19) appears strongly reinforced. Actually, strong shears in the bulk of the electrically conducting domain imposed by material boundaries are the dominating sources of dynamo action. They result in a better coupling between the inductive mechanisms. We also notice that there seems to be a general value for the minimal dynamo threshold (typically 50) in our class of flows, for both best analytical flows and experimental flows with a static conducting layer.

Although the lowering of the critical magnetic Reynolds number due to an external static envelope seems to confirm previous analogous results [16, 42, 43], it must not be considered as the standard and general answer. In fact, in collaboration with Frank Stefani and Mingtian Xu from the Dresden MHD group, we are presently examining how such layers, when situated at both flat ends, *i.e.*, besides the propellers, may lead to some increase of the critical magnetic Reynolds number. This option should clearly be avoided to optimize fluid dynamos similar to VKS2 configuration. However, a specific study of this latter effect may help us to understand how dynamo action, which is a global result, also relies on the mutual effects of separated spatial domains with different induction properties.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the other members of the VKS team, M. Bourgoin, S. Fauve, L. Marié, P. Odier, F. Pétrélis, J.-F. Pinton and R. Volk, as well as B. Dubrulle, N. Leprovost, C. Normand, F. Plunian, F. Stefani and L. Tuckerman for fruitful discussions. We are indebted to V. Padilla and C. Gasquet for technical assistance. We thank the GDR dynamo for support.

- H.K. Moffatt, Magnetic Field Generation in Electrically Conducting Fluids (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1978).
- [2] A. Gailitis, O. Lielausis, S. Dement'ev, E. Platacis, &

A. Cifersons, "Detection of a Flow Induced Magnetic Field Eigenmode in the Riga Dynamo Facility," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4365 (2000).

[3] R. Stieglitz & U. Müller, "Experimental demonstration

of a homogeneous two-scale dynamo," *Phys. Fluids* **13**, 561 (2001).

- [4] A. Gailitis, O. Lielausis, E. Platacis, S. Dement'ev, A. Cifersons, G. Gerbeth, T. Gundrum, F. Stefani, M. Christen & G. Will, "Magnetic Field Saturation in the Riga Dynamo Experiment," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 3024 (2001).
- [5] A. Gailitis, O. Lielausis, E. Platacis, G. Gerbeth, & F. Stefani, "Colloquium: Laboratory experiments on hydromagnetic dynamos," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 973 (2002).
- [6] U. Müller, R. Stieglitz & S. Horanyi, "A two-sacle hydromagnetic dynamo experiment," J. Fluid Mech. 498, 31-71 (2004).
- [7] F. Stefani, G. Gerbeth, & A. Gailitis, "Velocity profile optimization for the Riga dynamo experiment," *Transfer Phenomena in Magnetohydrodynamic and Electroconducting Flows, pp31-44*, A. Alemany Ph. Marty, J.-P. Thibault Eds., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (1999).
- [8] A. Tilgner, "Numerical simulation of the onset of dynamo action in an experimental two-scale dynamo," *Phys. Flu*ids 14, 4092 (2002).
- [9] A. Tilgner & F. H. Busse, "Simulation of the bifurcation diagram of the Karlsruhe dynamo," magnetohydrodynamics, 38, 35-40 (2002).
- [10] F. Plunian & K.-H. Rädler, "Subharmonic dynamo action in the Roberts flow," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* 96, 115 (2002).
- [11] D. Sweet, E. Ott, J. M. Finn, T. M. Antonsen & D. P. Lathrop, "Blowout bifurcations and the onset of magnetic activity in turbulent dynamos," *Phys. Rev. E* 63, 066211 (2001).
- [12] A. Gailitis, O. Lielausis, E. Platacis, G. Gerbeth & F. Stefani, "The Riga Dynamo Experiment," *Surveys in Geophysics* 24, 247 (2003).
- [13] F. Cattaneo, D. W. Hughes, & E.-J. Kim, "Suppression of Chaos in a Simplified Nonlinear Dynamo Model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 2057 (1996).
- [14] F. Pétrélis & S. Fauve, "Saturation of the magnetic field above the dynamo threshold," *Eur. Phys. J. B* 22, 273 (2001).
- [15] Y. Ponty, H. Politano, & J.-F. Pinton, "Simulation of Induction at Low Magnetic Prandtl Number," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 92, 144503 (2004).
- [16] F. Pétrélis, Effet dynamo: Etude des mécanismes d'instabilité et de saturation du champ magnétique, PhD. Thesis, Université Paris VI, 2002.
- [17] S. Fauve & F. Pétrélis, The dynamo effect, in Peyresq Lectures on Nonlinear Phenomena, Vol. II, J.-A. Sepulchre Ed., World Scientific, Singapore (2003).
- [18] Dynamo and Dynamics, a Mathematical Challenge, Cargèse (France) August 21-26, 2000, edited by P. Chossat, D. Armbruster, I. Oprea, NATO ASI series (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001).
- [19] L. Marié, F. Pétrélis, M. Bourgoin, J. Burguete, A. Chiffaudel, F. Daviaud, S. Fauve, P. Odier & J.-F. Pinton, "Open questions about homogeneous fluid dynamos: the VKS experiment," *Magnetohydrodynamics* 38, 156-169 (2002).
- [20] M. Bourgoin, L. Marié, F. Pétrélis, C. Gasquet, A. Guigon, J.-B. Luciani, M. Moulin, F. Namer, J. Burguete, A. Chiffaudel, F. Daviaud, S. Fauve, P. Odier, & J.-F. Pinton, "MHD measurements in the von Kármán sodium experiment," *Phys. Fluids* 14, 3046 (2002).

- [21] F. Pétrélis, M. Bourgoin, L. Marié, J. Burguete, A. Chiffaudel, F. Daviaud, S. Fauve, P. Odier & J.-F. Pinton, "Nonlinear magnetic induction by helical motion in a liquid sodium turbulent flow," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 174501 (2003).
- [22] L. Marié, J. Burguete, F. Daviaud & J. Léorat, "Numerical study of homogeneous dynamo based on experimental von Kármán type flows," *Eur. Phys. J. B* 33, 469 (2003).
- [23] N. L. Dudley & R. W. James, "Time-dependent kinematic dynamos with stationary flows," *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* 425, 407 (1989).
- [24] E. C. Bullard & D. Gubbins, "Generation of magnetic fields by fluid motions of global scale," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* 8, 43 (1977).
- [25] A.B. Reighard & M.R. Brown, "Turbulent conductivity measurements in a spherical liquid sodium flow," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 2794 (2001)
- [26] F. Krause & K. H. R\"adler, Mean field MHD and dynamo theory, Pergamon press (1980).
- [27] L. Marié & F. Daviaud, "Experimental measurement of the scale-by-scale momentum transport budget in a turbulent shear flow," *Phys. Fluids* 16, 457 (2004).
- [28] C.B. Forest, R. O'Connell, R. Kendrick, E. Spence, and M.D. Nornberg, "Hydrodynamic and numerical modeling of a spherical homogeneous dynamo experiment," *Magnetohydrodynamics* **38**, 107-120 (2002).
- [29] L. Marié, Transport de moment cinétique et de champ magnétique par un écoulement tourbillonaire turbulent: influence de la rotation, PhD. thesis, Université Paris VII, 2003.
- [30] U. Frisch, Turbulence The legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov, Cambridge University Press, New-York, (1995).
- [31] F. Ravelet, Etude expérimentale de l'écoulement tourbillonnaire de von Kármán et application à l'effet dynamo, M. S. thesis, Université Paris VI (2002).
- [32] J. Léorat, "Numerical Simulations of Cylindrical Dynamos: Scope and Method," Prog. Ser. Am. Inst. Astronautics and Aeronautics 162, 282 (1994).
- [33] E. Knobloch, "Symmetry and instability in rotating hydrodynamic and magnetohydrodynamic flows," *Phys. Fluids* 8, 1446 (1996).
- [34] E. C. Bullard & H. Gellman, "Homogeneous dynamos and terrestrial magnetism," *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* 247, 213 (1954).
- [35] G. E. Backus, "A class of self-sustaining dissipative spherical dynamos," Ann. Phys. 4, 372 (Leipzig 1958).
- [36] J. J. Love & D. Gubbins, "Dynamos driven by poloidal flow exist," *Geophys. Res. Letters* 23, 857 (1996).
- [37] M. R. E. Proctor, "An extension of the toroidal theorem," *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dynamics* 98, 235 (2004).
- [38] M. Lesieur, *Turbulence in Fluids*, second revised edition, Kluwer academic publishers, Dordrecht (1990).
- [39] L. Marié, C. Normand & F. Daviaud, "Galerkin analysis of kinematic dynamos in von Kármán geometry," submitted to Phys. Fluids (2004). First results can be found in [29].
- [40] E. N. Parker, "Hydromagnetic dynamo models," Astrophys. J. 122, 293 (1955).
- [41] N. Leprovost, Influence des petites échelles sur la dynamique à grande échelle en turbulence magnétohydrodynamique, PhD. thesis, Université Paris VI (2004).
- [42] R. Kaiser & A. Tilgner, "Kinematic dynamos surrounded

by a stationary conductor," Phys. Rev. E **60**, 2949 (1999).

- [43] R. Avalos-Zuniga & F. Plunian, "Influence of electromagnetic boundary conditions onto the onset of dynamo action in laboratory experiments," *Phys. Rev. E* 68, 066307 (2003)
- [44] S. Childress, A. D. Gilbert, Stretch, Twist, Fold: The fast dynamo, Springer Verlag (1995).
- [45] V. Archontis, S. B. F. Dorch, & A. Nordlund, "Numerical simulations of kinematic dynamo action," Astronomy and Astrophysics 397, 393 (2003).
- [46] M. Bourgoin, P. Odier, J.-F. Pinton & Y. Ricard, "An iterative study of time independent induction effects in magnetohydrodynamics," *Phys. Fluids* 16, 2529 (2004).