

# Formulas and equations for finding scattering data from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with nonzero background potential

Roman Novikov

## ► To cite this version:

Roman Novikov. Formulas and equations for finding scattering data from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with nonzero background potential. Inverse Problems, 2005, 21, pp.257-270. hal-00002977

## HAL Id: hal-00002977 https://hal.science/hal-00002977

Submitted on 29 Sep 2004

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Formulas and equations for finding scattering data from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map with nonzero background potential

### R. G. Novikov

CNRS, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray (UMR 6629), Université de Nantes, BP 92208, F-44322, Nantes cedex 03, France e-mail: novikov@math.univ-nantes.fr

#### Abstract

For the Schrödinger equation at fixed energy with a potential supported in a bounded domain we give formulas and equations for finding scattering data from the Dirichletto-Neumann map with nonzero background potential. For the case of zero background potential these results were obtained in [R.G.Novikov, Multidimensional inverse spectral problem for the equation  $-\Delta \psi + (v(x) - Eu(x))\psi = 0$ , Funkt. Anal. i Ego Prilozhen 22(4), pp.11-22, (1988)].

#### **1.Introduction**

Consider the Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta \psi + v(x)\psi = E\psi, \quad x \in D, \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$D \text{ is an open bounded domain in } \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \ge 2,$$
  
with  $\partial D \in C^2$ , (1.2a)

$$v \in L^{\infty}(D). \tag{1.2b}$$

We also assume that

E is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue forthe operator  $-\Delta + v$  in D. (1.3)

Consider the map  $\Phi(E)$  such that

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu}\Big|_{\partial D} = \Phi(E)\left(\psi\Big|_{\partial D}\right) \tag{1.4}$$

for all sufficiently regular solutions of (1.1) in  $\overline{D} = D \cup \partial D$ , for example, for all  $\psi \in H^1(D)$  satisfying (1.1), where  $\nu$  is the outward normal to  $\partial D$ . The map  $\Phi(E)$  is called the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for equation (1.1).

Consider the Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta \psi + v(x)\psi = E\psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\rho^{d+\varepsilon} v \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad d \ge 2, \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0,$$
(1.6)

where  $\rho$  denotes the multiplication operator by the function  $\rho(x) = 1 + |x|$ . For equation (1.5) we consider the functions  $\psi^+$  and f of the classical scattering theory and the Faddeev functions  $\psi$ , h,  $\psi_{\gamma}$ ,  $h_{\gamma}$  (see, for example, [F1], [F2], [F3], [HN], [Ne]).

The functions  $\psi^+$  and f are defined as follows:

$$\psi^{+}(x,k) = e^{ikx} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G^{+}(x-y,k)v(y)\psi^{+}(y,k)dy, \qquad (1.7)$$

$$G^{+}(x,k) = -\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{e^{i\xi x} d\xi}{\xi^{2} - k^{2} - i0},$$
(1.8)

where  $x, k \in \mathbb{R}^d, k^2 > 0$  (and at fixed k the formula (1.7) is an equation for  $\psi^+$  in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ );

$$f(k,l) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ilx} v(x)\psi^+(x,k)dx,$$
 (1.9)

where  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 > 0$ . Here  $\psi^+(x, k)$  satisfies (1.5) for  $E = k^2$  and describes scattering of the plane waves  $e^{ikx}$ ; f(k, l),  $k^2 = l^2$ , is the scattering amplitude for equation (1.5) for  $E = k^2$ . The equation (1.7) is called the Lippman-Schwinger integral equation.

The functions  $\psi$  and h are defined as follows:

$$\psi(x,k) = e^{ikx} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y,k)v(y)\psi(y,k)dy, \qquad (1.10)$$

$$G(x,k) = e^{ikx}g(x,k), \quad g(x,k) = -\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{e^{i\xi x}d\xi}{\xi^2 + 2k\xi},$$
(1.11)

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$  (and at fixed k the formula (1.10) is an equation for  $\psi = e^{ikx}\mu(x,k)$ , where  $\mu$  is sought in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ );

$$h(k,l) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ilx} v(x)\psi(x,k)dx,$$
 (1.12)

where  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $Im \, k = Im \, l$ . Here  $\psi(x, k)$  satisfies (1.5) for  $E = k^2$ , and  $\psi$ , G and h are (nonanalytic) continuations of  $\psi^+$ ,  $G^+$  and f to the complex domain. In particular, h(k, l) for  $k^2 = l^2$  can be considered as the "scattering" amplitude in the complex domain for equation (1.5) for  $E = k^2$ . The functions  $\psi_{\gamma}$  and  $h_{\gamma}$  are defined as follows:

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x,k) = \psi(x,k+i0\gamma), \quad h_{\gamma}(k,l) = h(k+i0\gamma,l+i0\gamma), \quad (1.13)$$

where  $x, k, l, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $|\gamma| = 1$ . Note that

$$\psi^+(x,k) = \psi_{k/|k|}(x,k), \quad f(k,l) = h_{k/|k|}(k,l),$$
(1.14)

where  $x, k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , |k| > 0.

We consider f(k,l) and  $h_{\gamma}(k,l)$ , where  $k, l, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2 = E$ ,  $\gamma^2 = 1$ , and h(k,l), where  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $Im \, k = Im \, l$ ,  $k^2 = l^2 = E$ , as scattering data  $S_E$  for equation (1.5) at fixed  $E \in [0, +\infty[$ . We consider h(k, l), where  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $Im k = Im l, k^2 = l^2 = E$ , as scattering data  $S_E$  for equation (1.5) at fixed  $E \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, +\infty[$ .

Let D be a fixed domain satisfying (1.2a). Let

$$v \in L^{\infty}(D)$$
 and  $v \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D}$ . (1.15)

For v of (1.15) we consider the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map  $\Phi(E)$  for equation (1.1) and the scattering data  $S_E$  for equation (1.5).

In the present work we continue studies of [No1] on the following inverse boundary value problem for equation (1.1):

**Problem 1.** Find v (in (1.1)) from  $\Phi(E)$  (where E is fixed or belongs to some set).

More precisely, we develop formulas and equations of [No1] which reduce Problem 1 to the following inverse scattering problem for equation (1.5):

**Problem 2.** Find v (in (1.5)) from S(E) (where E is fixed or belongs to some set).

Concerning results given in the literature on Problem 1, see [SU], [No1], [A], [NSU], [Na1], [Na2], [M] and references therein. Concerning results given in the literature on Problem 2, see [BC], [HN], [No2], [No3], [IS], [GN], [No4], [No5], [E], [Ch], [BBMRS], [BMR] and references therein.

The main results of the present work consist of Theorem 1 and Propositions 1 and 2 of Section 2. In these results we consider for fixed E two potentials  $v_0$  and v satisfying (1.15) and (1.3). In Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 we give formulas and equations for finding  $S_E$  from  $\Phi(E) - \Phi_0(E)$  and from (some functions found from)  $v_0$ , where  $S_E$  and  $\Phi(E)$ correspond to v and  $\Phi_0(E)$  corresponds to  $v_0$ . In Proposition 2 we give a result on the solvability of equations of Theorem 1.

For the case when  $v_0 \equiv 0$ , Theorem 1 and Propositions 1 and 2 were obtained for the first time in [No1] (see also [HN], [Na1], [Na2]), where using these results Problem 1 was reduced to Problem 2. For the case when the best known approximation  $v_0$  to v of Problem 1 is not identically zero (and especially when  $v_0$  is really close to v), results of the present work reduce Problem 1 to Problem 2 in a more stable way than it was done in [No1].

Note that generalizing results of [No1] to the case of nonzero background potential  $v_0$  we used essentially results of [No4]. The main results of the present work are presented in detail in Section 2.

Note, finally, that by the present work we start a development of new exact reconstruction algorithms for Problem 1.

#### 2. Main results

To formulate our results we need to introduce some additional notations. Consider (under the assumption (1.6)) the sets  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{E}^+$  defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d : \text{ equation (1.10) for } k = \zeta \text{ is not} \\ \text{uniquely solvable for } \psi = e^{ikx}\mu \text{ with } \mu \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \},$$

$$(2.1a)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\gamma} = \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0 : \text{ equation } (1.10) \text{ for } k = \zeta + i0\gamma \text{ is not} \\ \text{uniquely solvable for } \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1},$$

$$(2.1b)$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{+} = \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus 0 : \text{ equation } (1.7) \text{ for } k = \zeta \text{ is not}$$
  
uniquely solvable for  $\psi^{+} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \}.$  (2.1c)

Note that  $\mathcal{E}^+$  is a well-known set of the classical scattering theory for equation (1.5) and that  $\mathcal{E}^+ = \emptyset$  for real-valued v satisfying (1.6) (see, for example, [Ne]). The sets  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}$  were considered for the first time in [F1], [F2], [F3]. Concerning the properties of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\gamma}$ , see [F3], [HN], [LN], [Ne], [We], [Na2], [No4], [No6].

Consider (under the assumptions (1.6)) the functions  $R, R_{\gamma}, R^+$  defined as follows:

$$R(x, y, k) = G(x - y, k) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x - z, k)v(z)R(z, y, k)dz,$$
(2.2)

where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ , G is defined by (1.11), and at fixed y and k the formula (2.2) is an equation for

$$R(x, y, k) = e^{ik(x-y)}r(x, y, k),$$
(2.3)

where r is sought with the properties

 $r(\cdot, y, k)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus y$ , (2.4*a*)

$$r(x, y, k) \to 0 \text{ as } |x| \to \infty,$$
 (2.4b)

$$r(x, y, k) = O(|x - y|^{2-d}) \text{ as } x \to y \text{ for } d \ge 3,$$
  

$$r(x, y, k) = O(|\ln |x - y||) \text{ as } x \to y \text{ for } d = 2;$$
(2.4c)

$$R_{\gamma}(x, y, k) = R(x, y, k + i0\gamma), \qquad (2.5)$$

where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ;

$$R^{+}(x, y, k) = R_{k/|k|}(x, y, k),$$
(2.6)

where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0$ . Note that R(x, y, k),  $R_{\gamma}(x, y, k)$  and  $R^+(x, y, k)$  (for their domains of definition in k and  $\gamma$ ) satisfy the equation

$$(\Delta + E - v(x))R(x, y, k) = \delta(x - y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad E = k^2.$$
(2.7)

The function  $R^+(x, y, k)$  (defined by means of (2.2) for  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0$  with G replaced by  $G^+$  of (1.8)) is well-known in the scattering theory for equations (1.5), (2.7). In particular, this function describes scattering of the spherical waves  $G^+(x-y,k)$  generated by a source at y. Apparently, the functions R and  $R_{\gamma}$  were considered for the first time in [No5].

Note that under the assumption (1.6): equation (2.2) at fixed y and k is uniquely solvable for R with the properties (2.3), (2.4) if and only if  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E})$ ; equation (2.2) with  $k = \zeta + i0\gamma$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , at fixed y,  $\zeta$  and  $\gamma$  is uniquely solvable for  $R_{\gamma}$  if and only if  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma})$ ; equation (2.2) with  $k = \zeta + i0\zeta/|\zeta|$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0$ , at fixed y and  $\zeta$  is uniquely solvable for  $R^+$  if and only if  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^+)$ .

For v of (1.15) we consider the map  $\Phi(E)$  defined by means of (1.4), the functions  $\psi^+$ , f,  $\psi$ , h,  $\psi_{\gamma}$ ,  $h_{\gamma}$  and  $R^+$ , R,  $R_{\gamma}$  defined by means of (1.7)-(1.13) and (2.2)-(2.6) and

the sets  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}, \mathcal{E}^+$  defined by (2.1). The Schwartz kernel of the integral operator  $\Phi(E)$  will be denoted by  $\Phi(x, y, E)$ , where  $x, y \in \partial D$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let D satisfying (1.2a) and E be fixed. Let  $v^0$  and v be two potentials satisfying (1.15), (1.3). Let  $\Phi$ ,  $\psi^+$ , f,  $\psi$ , h,  $\psi_\gamma$ ,  $h_\gamma$ ,  $R^+$ , R,  $R_\gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^+$  correspond to v(as defined above) and  $\Phi^0$ ,  $\psi^{+,0}$ ,  $f^0$ ,  $\psi^0$ ,  $h^0$ ,  $\psi^0_\gamma$ ,  $h^0_\gamma$ ,  $R^{+,0}$ ,  $R^0$ ,  $R^0_\gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^{0}_\gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^{+,0}$  correspond to  $v^0$  (as defined above with  $v = v^0$ ). Then the following formulas hold:

$$h(k,l) = h^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi^{0}(x,-l)(\Phi - \Phi^{0})(x,y,E)\psi(y,k)dydx$$
(2.8)

for  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0 \cup \mathcal{E}), Im k = Im l, k^2 = l^2 = E,$ 

$$\psi(x,k) = \psi^0(x,k) + \int_{\partial D} A(x,y,k)\psi(y,k)dy, \quad x \in \partial D,$$
(2.9a)

$$A(x,y,k) = \int_{\partial D} R^0(x,z,k)(\Phi - \Phi^0)(z,y,E)dz, \quad x,y \in \partial D,$$
(2.9b)

for  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0 \cup \mathcal{E}), \ k^2 = E;$ 

$$h_{\gamma}(k,l) = h_{\gamma}^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-l)(\Phi - \Phi^{0})(x,y,E)\psi_{\gamma}(y,k)dydx$$
(2.10)

for  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}), \ \gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \ k^2 = l^2 = E, \ k\gamma = l\gamma,$ 

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x,k) = \psi_{\gamma}^{0}(x,k) + \int_{\partial D} A_{\gamma}(x,y,k)\psi_{\gamma}(y,k)dy, \quad x \in \partial D,$$
(2.11a)

$$A_{\gamma}(x,y,k) = \int_{\partial D} R^{0}_{\gamma}(x,z,k)(\Phi - \Phi^{0})(z,y,E)dz, \quad x,y \in \partial D, \qquad (2.11b)$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}), \, \gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \, k^2 = E;$ 

$$f(k,l) = f^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi^{+,0}(x,-l)(\Phi - \Phi^{0})(x,y,E)\psi^{+}(y,k)dydx$$
(2.12)

for  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^{+,0} \cup \mathcal{E}^+), \ k^2 = l^2 = E$ ,

$$\psi^{+}(x,k) = \psi^{+,0}(x,k) + \int_{\partial D} A^{+}(x,y,k)\psi^{+}(y,k)dy, \quad x \in \partial D,$$
(2.13a)

$$A^{+}(x, y, k) = \int_{\partial D} R^{+}(x, z, k) (\Phi - \Phi^{0})(z, y, E) dz, \quad x, y \in \partial D,$$
(2.13b)

for  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^{+,0} \cup \mathcal{E}^+), \ k^2 = E.$ 

Note that in Theorem 1 dx and dy denote the standard measure on  $\partial D$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Note that in the formula (2.10) for  $h_{\gamma}(k, l)$  there is the additional restriction:  $k\gamma = l\gamma$ . To extend (2.10) to the general case, consider  $\psi_{\gamma}(x, k, l)$  defined as follows:

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x,k,l) = e^{ilx} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{\gamma}(x-y,k)v(y)\psi_{\gamma}(y,k,l)dy, \qquad (2.14a)$$

$$G_{\gamma}(x,k) = G(x,k+i0\gamma), \qquad (2.14b)$$

where  $x, k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2 > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and (2.14) at fixed  $\gamma$ , k, l is an equation for  $\psi_{\gamma}(\cdot, k, l)$  in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be valid. In addition, let  $\psi_{\gamma}(x, k, l)$  correspond to v and  $\psi_{\gamma}^{0}(x, k, l)$  correspond to  $v^{0}$ . Then

$$h_{\gamma}(k,l) = h_{\gamma}^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-k,-l)(\Phi-\Phi^{0})(x,y,E)\psi_{\gamma}(y,k)dydx \quad (2.15)$$

for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma})$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2 = E$ . Note that (see [F3], [No4])

$$G_{\gamma}(x,k) = G_{\gamma}(x,l) \quad \text{for} \quad x,k,l \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \quad k^2 = l^2 > 0, \quad k\gamma = l\gamma.$$
(2.16)

Therefore,

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x,k,l) = \psi_{\gamma}(x,l,l) = \psi_{\gamma}(x,l) \text{ for } x,k,l \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \ k^{2} = l^{2} > 0, \ k\gamma = l\gamma.$$
(2.17)

Therefore, (2.15), under the additional restriction  $k\gamma = l\gamma$  is reduced to (2.10).

Suppose that v is unknown, but  $v^0$  and  $\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)$  are known ( $v^0$  is considered as the best known approximation to v). Then Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 (and equations and formulas (1.7)-(1.13), (2.2)-(2.6), (2.14) for finding  $\psi^{+,0}$ ,  $f^0$ ,  $\psi^0$ ,  $h^0$ ,  $\psi^0_{\gamma}$ ,  $h^0_{\gamma}$ ,  $R^{+,0}$ ,  $R^0_{\gamma}$ from  $v^0$ ) give a method for finding the scattering data  $S_E$  (defined in the introduction) for v from the background potential  $v^0$  and the difference  $\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)$ . In addition, (2.9a), (2.11a), (2.13a) at fixed k and  $\gamma$  are linear integral equations for finding  $\psi$ ,  $\psi_{\gamma}$ ,  $\psi^+$ on  $\partial D$  from  $\psi^0$ ,  $\psi^0_{\gamma}$ ,  $\psi^{+,0}$  on  $\partial D$  and A,  $A_{\gamma}$ ,  $A^+$  on  $\partial D \times \partial D$  (where A,  $A_{\gamma}$ ,  $A^+$  are given by (2.9b), (2.11b), (2.13b)).

**Proposition 2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, equation (2.9a) at fixed  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , equation (2.11a) at fixed  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma})$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , and equation (2.13a) at fixed  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^{+,0})$  are Fredholm linear integral equations of the second kind for  $\psi$ ,  $\psi_{\gamma}$  and  $\psi^+$  (respectively) in  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  and are uniquely solvable (in this space) if and only if  $k \notin \mathcal{E}$  for (2.9a),  $k \notin \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}$  for (2.11a) and  $k \notin \mathcal{E}^+$  for (2.13a).

Note that

$$\psi(x,k) = e^{ikx}\mu(x,k), \quad \psi^0(x,k) = e^{ikx}\mu^0(x,k), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.18}$$

where  $e^{ikx}$  is an exponentially increasing factor and

$$\mu(x,k) \to 1, \quad \mu^0(x,k) \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad |k| \to \infty$$

$$(2.19)$$

for  $k^2 = E$  at fixed E, where  $|k| = \sqrt{(Re k)^2 + (Im k)^2}$ . Therefore, it is convenient to write equation (2.9) of Theorem 1 as follows:

$$\mu(x,k) = \mu^0(x,k) + \int_{\partial D} B(x,y,k)\mu(y,k)dy, \quad x \in \partial D,$$
(2.20a)

$$B(x, y, k) = \int_{\partial D} r^0(x, z, k) e^{-ikz} (\Phi - \Phi^0)(z, y, E) dz e^{iky}, \quad x, y \in \partial D, \qquad (2.20b)$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0 \cup \mathcal{E})$ , where  $r^0$  and  $R^0$  are related by (2.3).

Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 reduce Problem 1 to Problem 2 (these problems are formulated in the introduction).

For the case when  $v^0 \equiv 0$ , Theorem 1 and Propositions 1 and 2 were obtained in [No1] (see also [Na1], [Na2]). Note that the basic results of [No1], in particular formula (2.8) and equation (2.9) for  $v^0 \equiv 0$  and d = 3, were presented already in the survey given in [HN].

For the case when the best known approximation  $v^0$  to v is not identically zero (and especially when  $v^0$  is really close to v) Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 give a more convenient (in particular, for the stability analysis) method for reducing Problem 1 to Problem 2 than in [No1]. To explain this more precisely, consider, in particular, the integral operators B(k),  $A_{\gamma}(k)$ ,  $A^+(k)$  (with the Schwartz kernels B(x, y, k),  $A_{\gamma}(x, y, k)$ ,  $A^+(x, y, k)$ ) of equations (2.20a), (2.11a), (2.13a). To have a simple and stable (with respect to small errors in  $\Phi(E)$ ) method for solving equations (2.20a) (for fixed  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ ), (2.11a) (for fixed  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma})$ ,  $k^2 = E$ ) and (2.13a) (for fixed  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^{+,0})$ ,  $k^2 = E$ ) it is important to have that

$$||B(k)|| < \eta, \quad ||A_{\gamma}(k)|| < \eta, \quad ||A^{+}(k)|| < \eta, \tag{2.21}$$

respectively, for some  $\eta < 1$ , where ||A|| is the norm of an operator A (for example) in  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$ . In this case equations (2.20a), (2.11a), (2.13a) are uniquely solvable by the method of successive approximations. In addition, if  $\eta \ll 1$ , then (2.20a), (2.11a), (2.13a) can be solved in the first approximation as

$$\mu(x,k) \approx \mu^{0}(x,k), \quad \psi_{\gamma}(x,k) \approx \psi_{\gamma}^{0}(x,k), \quad \psi^{+}(x,k) \approx \psi^{+,0}(x,k)$$
(2.22)

and  $h, h_{\gamma}, f$  can be determined in the first (nontrivial) approximation as

$$h(k,l) \approx h^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi^{0}(x,-l)(\Phi - \Phi^{0})(x,y,E)\psi^{0}(y,k)dydx \qquad (2.23a)$$

for  $l \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $Im \, l = Im \, k$ ,  $l^2 = k^2 = E$  (and for k of B(k) of (2.21)),

$$h_{\gamma}(k,l) \approx h_{\gamma}^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-k,-l)(\Phi-\Phi^{0})(x,y,E)\psi_{\gamma}^{0}(y,k)dydx \quad (2.23b)$$

for  $l \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma}$ ,  $l^2 = k^2 = E$  (and for  $k, \gamma$  of  $A_{\gamma}(k)$  of (2.21)),

$$f(k,l) \approx f^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi^{+,0}(x,-l)(\Phi - \Phi^{0})(x,y,E)\psi^{+,0}(y,k)dydx \quad (2.23c)$$

for  $l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $l^2 = k^2 = E$  (and for k of  $A^+(k)$  of (2.21)).

Note that the direct problem of finding  $\psi^{+,0}$ ,  $f^0$ ,  $\psi^0$ ,  $h^0$ ,  $\psi^0_{\gamma}$ ,  $h^0_{\gamma}$ ,  $R^{+,0}$ ,  $R^0$ ,  $R^0_{\gamma}$  (involved into (2.8)-(2.13), (2.15), (2.20)) from  $v^0$  is (relatively) well understood (in comparison with the problem of solving (2.20a), (2.11a), (2.13a) without the assumptions (2.21)) and is sufficiently stable.

Note that in (2.20a), apparently, unfortunately, almost always

$$||B(k)|| \to \infty$$
 (exponentially fast) as  $|k| \to \infty$  (2.24)

for  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d$ ,  $k^2 = E$  at fixed E, in spite of (2.19).

To have (2.21) for B(k) for maximally large domain in  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , and when E > 0 for  $A_{\gamma}(k)$  for maximally large domain in  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , and for  $A^+(k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , it is important to have that  $\|\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)\|$  is as small as possible. The smallness of  $\|\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)\|$  follows from the closeness of  $v^0$  to v (for example) in  $L^{\infty}(D)$  for fixed D, v and E, under the conditions (1.2), (1.3).

As soon as Problem 1 is reduced to Problem 2, one can use for solving Problem1 methods of [HN], [No2], [Na2], [IS], [No4], [No5], [E], [BBMRS], [BMR] (and further references given therein).

### 3. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Propositions 1 and 2

For the case when  $v^0 \equiv 0$ , Theorem 1 and Propositions 1 and 2 were proved in [No1]. In this section we generalize these proofs of [No1] to the case of nonzero background potential  $v^0$ . To this end we use, in particular, some results of [A] and [No4].

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We proceed from the following formulas and equations (being valid under the assumption (1.6) on  $v^0$  and v):

$$h(k,l) = h^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi^{0}(x,-l)(v(x)-v^{0}(x))\psi(x,k)dx$$
(3.1)

for  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0 \cup \mathcal{E}), Im k = Im l, k^2 = l^2$ ,

$$\psi(x,k) = \psi^0(x,k) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} R^0(x,y,k)(v(y) - v^0(y))\psi(y,k)dy,$$
(3.2)

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$  (and (3.2) at fixed k is an equation for  $\psi = e^{ikx}\mu(x,k)$ , where  $\mu$  is sought in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ),

$$h_{\gamma}(k,l) = h_{\gamma}^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-l)(v(x)-v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx$$
(3.3)

for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}), k^2 = l^2, k\gamma = l\gamma$ .

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x,k) = \psi_{\gamma}^{0}(x,k) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{\gamma}^{0}(x,y,k)(v(y) - v^{0}(y))\psi_{\gamma}(y,k)dy, \qquad (3.4)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma})$  (and (3.4) at fixed  $\gamma$  and k is an equation for  $\psi_{\gamma}$  in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ),

$$f(k,l) = f^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi^{+,0}(x,-l)(v(x)-v^{0}(x))\psi^{+}(x,k)dx$$
(3.5)

for  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^{+,0} \cup \mathcal{E}^+), k^2 = l^2$ ,

$$\psi^{+}(x,k) = \psi^{+,0}(x,k) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} R^{+,0}(x,y,k)(v(y) - v^0(y))\psi^{+}(y,k)dy, \qquad (3.6)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^{+,0})$  (and (3.6) at fixed k is an equation for  $\psi^+$  in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ). (We remind that  $\psi^+$ , f,  $\psi$ , h,  $\psi_{\gamma}$ ,  $h_{\gamma}$  were defined in the introduction by means of (1.7)-(1.13).) Equation (3.6) is well-known in the classical scattering theory for the Schrödinger equation (1.5). Formula (3.5) was given, in particular, in [St]. To our knowledge formulas and equations (3.1)-(3.4) were given for the first time in [No4].

Note that, under the assumption (1.6):

(3.2) at fixed 
$$k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$$
 is uniquely solvable  
for  $\psi = e^{ikx}\mu(x,k)$  with  $\mu \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $k \notin \mathcal{E}$ ;  
(3.4) at fixed  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^0)$  is uniquely solvable  
for  $\psi_{\gamma} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $k \notin \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}$ ;  
(3.6) at fixed  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^{+,0})$  is uniquely solvable  
for  $\psi^+ \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $k \notin \mathcal{E}^+$ .  
(3.7)

In a similar way with [No1], (under the assumptions of Theorem 1) formulas and equations (3.1)-(3.6) can be transformed into (2.8)-(2.13) by means of the following Green's formula

$$\int_{D} (u_1(x)\Delta u_2(x) - u_2(x)\Delta u_1(x))dx = \int_{\partial D} (u_1(x)\frac{\partial u_2(x)}{\partial \nu} - u_2(x)\frac{\partial u_1(x)}{\partial \nu})dx,$$
(3.8)

(where dx in the right-hand side of (3.8) denotes the standard measure on  $\partial D$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). (To start these transformations, we use that  $\psi(x,k)$ ,  $\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)$  and  $\psi^+(x,k)$  satisfy (1.5) for  $E = k^2$  and replace  $v\psi$  in (3.1), (3.2) by  $(\Delta + E)\psi$ ,  $v\psi_{\gamma}$  in (3.3), (3.4) by  $(\Delta + E)\psi_{\gamma}$  and  $v\psi^+$  in (3.5), (3.6) by  $(\Delta + E)\psi^+$ .) However, these calculations can be shortened by means of the following Alessandrini identity (being valid under the assumptions of Theorem 1):

$$\int_{D} (v(x) - v^0(x))\psi(x)\psi^0(x)dx = \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \psi^0(x)(\Phi - \Phi^0)(x, y, E)\psi(y)dydx$$
(3.9)

for any  $\psi$  and  $\psi^0$  such that  $\psi$  satisfies (1.1),  $\psi^0$  satisfies (1.1) with v replaced by  $v^0$  and where  $\psi$  and  $\psi^0$  are sufficiently regular in D, for example,  $\psi, \psi^0 \in H^1(D)$ . In a slightly different form the identity (3.9) was given in Lemma 1 of [A]. The proof of (3.9) is based on (3.8).

Formula (2.8) follows from (3.1), (3.9) and the fact that  $\psi(x,k)$  satisfies (1.5) for  $E = k^2$  and  $\psi^0(x, -l)$  satisfies (1.5) with v replaced by  $v^0$ , for  $E = l^2$ . Formulas (2.9) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D}$  follow from (3.2) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D}$ , (3.9) and the fact that  $\psi(y,k)$  satisfies (1.5) in y for  $E = k^2$  and that  $R^0(x, y, k)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D}$  satisfies (1.5) in y in an open neighborhood of  $\overline{D}$ , with v replaced by  $v^0$ , for  $E = k^2$ . The latter statement about  $R^0$  follows from (2.7) and the symmetry

$$R(x, y, k) = R(y, x, -k),$$
(3.10)

where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$  (and R is defined by means of (2.2)-(2.4)). The symmetry (3.10) was found in [No4]. Finally, formulas (2.9) for  $x \in \partial D$  arise as a limit of (2.9) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D}$ .

The proof of (2.10)-(2.13) is similar to the proof of (2.8), (2.9).

Theorem 1 is proved.

**Proof of Proposition 1.** In this proof we obtain and use the following formula (being valid under the assumption (1.6) on  $v^0$  and v):

$$h_{\gamma}(k,l) = h_{\gamma}^{0}(k,l) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-k,-l)(v(x)-v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx$$
(3.11)

for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma})$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2$ . Formula (2.15) follows from (3.11), (3.9) and the fact that  $\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)$  satisfies (1.5) for  $E = k^2$  and  $\psi^0_{-\gamma}(x,-k,-l)$  satisfies (1.5) with v replaced by  $v^0$ , for  $E = k^2 = l^2$ . Thus, to prove Proposition 1, it remains to prove (3.11).

To prove (3.11) we use, in particular, that

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x,k,l) = \psi_{\gamma}^{0}(x,k,l) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{\gamma}^{0}(x,y,k)(v(y) - v^{0}(y))\psi_{\gamma}(y,k,l)dy$$
(3.12)

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma})$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2$ . To obtain (3.12) we write (2.14a) as

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x,k,l) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{\gamma}(x-y,k)v^0(y)\psi_{\gamma}(y,k,l)dy - e^{ilx} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{\gamma}(x-y,k)(v(y)-v^0(y))\psi_{\gamma}(y,k,l)dy,$$
(3.13)

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma})$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2$ . Replacing  $e^{ilx}$  in (3.13) by its expression from (2.14a) with v and  $\psi_{\gamma}$  replaced by  $v^0$  and  $\psi_{\gamma}^0$  we have

$$\psi_{\gamma}(x,k,l) - \psi_{\gamma}^{0}(x,k,l) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G_{\gamma}(x-y,k)v^{0}(y)(\psi_{\gamma}(y,k,l) - \psi_{\gamma}^{0}(y,k,l))dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G_{\gamma}(x-y,k)(v(y) - v^{0}(y))\psi_{\gamma}(y,k,l)dy,$$
(3.14)

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma})$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2$ . Comparing (3.14) (as an equation for  $\psi_{\gamma} - \psi^0_{\gamma}$ ) with the following equation (arising from (2.2), (2.5), (2.14b)) for  $R^0_{\gamma}(x, y, k)$ :

$$R^{0}_{\gamma}(x,y,k) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G_{\gamma}(x-z,k) v^{0}(z) R^{0}_{\gamma}(z,y,k) dz = G_{\gamma}(x-y,k), \qquad (3.15)$$

 $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}^0_{\gamma} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma})$ , we obtain (3.12). Note that for  $v \equiv 0$  equation (3.12) with  $k, \gamma$  and l replaced by  $-k, -\gamma$  and -l takes the form

$$e^{-ilx} = \psi^0_{-\gamma}(x, -k, -l) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} R^0_{-\gamma}(x, y, -k) v^0(y) e^{-ily} dy, \qquad (3.16)$$

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^0)$ . To prove (3.11) we will use also the following symmetry (following from (3.10))

$$R_{\gamma}(x,y,k) = R_{-\gamma}(y,x,-k), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0.$$
(3.17)

The following sequences of equalities proves (3.11):

$$(2\pi)^{d}h_{\gamma}(k,l) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-ilx}v(x)\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-ilx}v^{0}(x)\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-ilx}(v(x) - v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx \stackrel{(3.16)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-ilx}v^{0}(x)\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-k,-l)(v(x) - v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,y,-k)v^{0}(y)e^{-ily}(v(x) - v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dydx \stackrel{(3.17)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-ilx}v^{0}(x)\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-k,-l)(v(x) - v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} R_{\gamma}^{0}(y,x,k)v^{0}(y)e^{-ily}(v(x) - v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dxdy \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-ilx}v^{0}(x)\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-k,-l)(v(x) - v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-ily}v^{0}(y)(\psi_{\gamma}^{0}(y,k) - \psi_{\gamma}(y,k))dy = (2\pi)^{d}h_{\gamma}^{0}(k,l) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi_{-\gamma}^{0}(x,-k,-l)(v(x) - v^{0}(x))\psi_{\gamma}(x,k)dx$$

for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^0 \cup \mathcal{E}_{\gamma})$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $k^2 = l^2$ . Proposition 1 is proved.

**Proof of Proposition 2.** Let us prove Proposition 2 for the case of equation (2.9a). Consider the operator A(k) of (2.9a):

$$A(k) = R^{0}(k) \left(\Phi(E) - \Phi^{0}(E)\right), \qquad (3.18)$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0), k^2 = E$ , and where the operator  $R^0(k)$  is defined by

$$R^{0}(k)\varphi(x) = \int_{\partial D} R^{0}(x, y, k)\varphi(y)dy, \quad x \in \partial D,$$
(3.19)

where  $\varphi$  is a test function.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the operator  $\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)$  is compact in  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$ . This follows from the following properties of the Schwartz kernel of  $\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)$ :

$$(\Phi - \Phi^0)(x, y, E)$$
 is continuous for  $x, y \in \partial D, x \neq y,$  (3.20)

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Phi - \Phi^0)(x, y, E)| &\leq C_1 |x - y|^{2-d}, \quad x, y \in \partial D, \quad \text{for } d \geq 3, \\ |(\Phi - \Phi^0)(x, y, E)| &\leq C_1 |\ln |x - y||, \quad x, y \in \partial D, \quad \text{for } d = 2, \end{aligned}$$
(3.21)

where  $C_1$  is some constant (dependent on D, v,  $v^0$ , E and d). Note that for  $v^0 \equiv 0$  the result that  $\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)$  is compact in  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  (under the assumptions of Theorem 1) was given in [No1].

If (1.2a) is fulfilled,  $v^0$  satisfies (1.15) and  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ , then  $R^0(k)$  is a compact operator in  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$ . This follows already from the following properties of  $R^0(x, y, k)$ :

$$R^0(x, y, k)$$
 is continuous for  $x, y \in \overline{D}, x \neq y,$  (3.22)

$$|R^{0}(x, y, k)| \leq C_{2}|x - y|^{2-d}, \quad x, y \in \bar{D}, \quad \text{for} \quad d \geq 3, |R^{0}(x, y, k)| \leq C_{2}|\ln|x - y||, \quad x, y \in \bar{D}, \quad \text{for} \quad d = 2,$$
(3.23)

where  $C_2$  is some constant (dependent on  $D, v^0, k$  and d).

Actually, under the aforementioned assumptions on D,  $v^0$  and k,  $R^0(k)$  is a bounded operator from  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  to  $C^{\alpha}(\partial D)$  for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  (where  $C^{\alpha}$  denotes the Hölder space). This result in the general case follows from this result for  $v^0 \equiv 0$  (when  $R^0(x, y, k) = G(x - y, k)$ ), the relation (2.2), the estimate (3.23) and the property that

$$\int_{D} G(x-z,k)u(z)dz \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \text{ at least, for any } \alpha \in [0,1]$$
  
(as a function of x) for  $u \in L^{\infty}(D)$  (and  $k \in \mathbb{C}^{d} \setminus \mathbb{R}^{d}$ ).

The formula (3.18) and the aforementioned properties of the operators  $\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)$ and  $R^0(k)$  imply that, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for fixed  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , A(k) is a compact operator in  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  and, thus, (2.9a) is a Fredholm linear integral equation of the second kind for  $\psi$  in  $L^{\infty}(\partial D)$ .

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , the aforementioned properties of  $\Phi(E) - \Phi^0(E)$  and  $R^0(k)$  and the property that  $\psi^0 \in C^{\alpha}(\partial D)$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$ , imply also that

if 
$$\psi \in L^{\infty}(\partial D)$$
 satisfies (2.9*a*), then  $\psi \in C^{\alpha}(\partial D)$  for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1[.$  (3.24)

Note also that if (1.2a) is fulfilled,  $v^0$  satisfies (1.15) and  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ , then  $R^0(k)$  is a bounded operator from  $L^2(\partial D)$  to  $H^1(\partial D)$ . One can prove this result in the general case proceeding from this result for  $v^0 \equiv 0$  (when  $R^0(x, y, k) = G(x - y, k)$ ), equation (2.2) with its iterations for R(x, y, k), R(x, y, k) - G(x - y, k) and so on, estimate (3.23) and the property that

$$\int_D G(x-z,k)u(z)dz \in H^2(D) \text{ (as a function of } x) \text{ for } u \in L^2(D) \text{ (and } k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d).$$

(For  $v^0 \equiv 0$  this result was given, for example, in [Na1].) Therefore, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, for  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , one can see that

if 
$$\psi \in L^{\infty}(\partial D)$$
 satisfies (2.9*a*), then also  $\psi \in H^1(\partial D)$ . (3.25)

To prove Proposition 2 for the case of equation (2.9a) it remains to show that, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, equation (2.9a) at fixed  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0), k^2 = E$ , is uniquely solvable for  $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  iff  $k \notin \mathcal{E}$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ , under the assumption (1.6) for v and  $v^0$ , due to (2.1a) and (3.7),  $k \notin \mathcal{E}$  iff equation (3.2) is uniquely solvable for  $\psi = e^{ikx}\mu(x,k)$  with  $\mu \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In turn, for  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ , under the assumption (1.15) for v and  $v^0$ , equation (3.2) is uniquely solvable for  $\psi = e^{ikx}\mu(x,k)$  with  $\mu \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  iff (3.2) is uniquely solvable for  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Thus, it remains to show that, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, equation (2.9a) at fixed  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , is uniquely solvable for  $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  iff (3.2) is uniquely solvable for  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This proof consists of the following two parts.

Part 1. Suppose that (under the assumptions of Theorem 1) at fixed  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , equation (3.2) has several solutions in  $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, repeating the proof of (2.9) separately for each solution, we find that the restriction to  $\partial D$  of each of these solutions satisfies (2.9a). In addition, different solutions  $\psi$  have different restrictions to  $\partial D$ . This follows from (1.3). Thus at fixed  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , equation (2.9a) has, at least, as many solutions as equation (3.2).

Part 2. To prove the converse, we use the following identities:

$$\int_{D} R^{0}(x, y, k)(v(y) - v^{0}(y))\psi(y)dy \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} \int_{D} R^{0}(x, y, k)(\Delta + E)\psi(y)dy - \int_{D} R^{0}(x, y, k)v^{0}(y)\psi(y)dy \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \int_{D} \psi(y)(\Delta_{y} + E - v^{0}(y))R^{0}(x, y, k)dy + \int_{\partial D} \left(R^{0}(x, y, k)\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_{y}}\psi(y) - \psi(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_{y}}R^{0}(x, y, k)\right)dy \stackrel{(2.7),(3.10)}{=} \qquad (3.26)$$

$$\int_{D} \psi(y)\delta(x - y)dy + \int_{\partial D} \left(R^{0}(x, y, k)\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_{y}}\psi(y) - \psi(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_{y}}R^{0}(x, y, k)\right)dy \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \partial D,$$

$$\int_{D} R^{0}(x, y, k)(v(y) - v^{0}(y))\psi(y)dy \stackrel{(3.9),(2.9b)}{=} \int_{\partial D} A(x, y, k)\psi(y)dy \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus \bar{D}, (3.27)$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ ,  $\psi$  satisfies (1.1) and is sufficiently regular in D, for example,  $\psi \in H^1(D)$ .

Let  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0)$ ,  $k^2 = E$ , be fixed. Suppose that  $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  solves (2.9a). Due to (3.24), (3.25), we have also that  $\psi \in C^{\alpha}(\partial D)$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$ , and  $\psi \in H^1(\partial D)$ . Consider this  $\psi$  as Dirichlet data for equation (1.1) and consider the solution  $\psi$  (of (1.1)) corresponding to these data. We have that  $\psi \in C^{\alpha}(\bar{D})$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$ , and  $\psi \in H^{3/2}(D)$ . Let  $\psi$  be also defined on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$  by (2.9) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$  (in terms of  $\psi|_{\partial D}$ ). Let us

Let  $\psi$  be also defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus D$  by (2.9) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus D$  (in terms of  $\psi|_{\partial D}$ ). Let us prove that  $\psi$  defined in such a way on  $\mathbb{R}^{d} = \partial D \cup D \cup (\mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus \overline{D})$  satisfies (3.2) and belongs to  $C(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ . As a particular case of the aforementioned property  $\psi \in C^{\alpha}(\overline{D})$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$ , we have that  $\psi \in C(\overline{D})$ . Proceeding from the definition of  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus \overline{D}$ , one can easily show that, at least,  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus D)$ . The properties  $\psi \in C(\overline{D})$  and  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus D)$  imply that  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ . The proof that  $\psi$  satisfies (3.2) consists in the following. First, from (2.9) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus \overline{D}$  and (3.27) (and the continuity of  $\psi$ ) we obtain that  $\psi$  satisfies (3.2) for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus D$ . In addition, taking into account (3.26) we have also that

$$\psi(x) = \psi^{0}(x,k) + \int_{\partial D} \left( R^{0}(x,y,k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{y}} \psi(y) - \psi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{y}} R^{0}(x,y,k) \right) dy$$
(3.28)

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D}$ , where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \psi$  is taken for  $\psi$  defined on  $\overline{D}$ . Further, (as well as for  $v^0 \equiv 0$ , see [No1],[Na2]) proceeding from (3.28) we obtain that

$$\psi(x) = \psi^0(x,k) + \psi(x) + \int_{\partial D} \left( R^0(x,y,k) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_y} \psi(y) - \psi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_y} R^0(x,y,k) \right) dy \qquad (3.29)$$

for  $x \in D$ , where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \psi$  is taken for  $\psi$  defined in  $\overline{D}$ . Note that proceeding from (3.28) and using that  $\psi \in H^1(\partial D)$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \psi \in L^2(\partial D)$  and the jump property of the double lager potential  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_y} R^0(x, y, k)$ , we obtain, first, (3.29) in the limit for  $x = \xi - 0\nu_{\xi}, \xi \in \partial D$ (where  $\nu_{\xi}$  is the outward normal to  $\partial D$  at  $\xi$ ). Further, using that  $\psi^0(x, k)$  and  $R^0(x, y, k)$ with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_y} R^0(x, y, k), y \in \partial D$ , satisfy (1.1) with  $v^0$  in place of v, for  $E = k^2$ , we obtain (3.29) for  $x \in D$ . Finally, from (3.29) and (3.26) we obtain that  $\psi$  satisfies (3.2) also for  $x \in D$ . Thus, any solution  $\psi$  of (2.9a) can be continued to a continuous solution of (3.2). This completes the (part 2 of) proof that, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, at fixed  $k \in \mathbb{C}^d \setminus (\mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathcal{E}^0), k^2 = E$ , equation (2.9a) is uniquely solvable for  $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\partial D)$  iff (3.2) is uniquely solvable for  $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The proof of Proposition 2 for the case of equation (2.9a) is completed. The proof of Proposition 2 for the cases of equations (2.11a) and (2.13a) is similar.

#### References

- [A] Alessandrini G 1988 Stable determination of conductivity by boundary measurements Appl. Anal. **27** 153-172
- [BC] Beals R and Coifman R R 1985 Multidimensional inverse scattering and nonlinear partial differential equations *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **43** 45-70

- [BBMRS] Bogatyrev A V, Burov V A, Morozov S A, Rumyantseva O D and Sukhov E G 2000 Numerical realization of algorithm for exact solution of two-dimensional monochromatic inverse problem of acoustical scattering Acoustical Imaging 25 (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York) 65-70
  - [BMR] Burov V A, Morozov S A and Rumyantseva O D 2002 Reconstruction of fine-scale structure of acoustical scatterer on large-scale contrast background Acoustical Imaging 26 (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York) 231-238
    - [ Ch] Chen Yu 1997 Inverse scattering via Heisenberg's uncertainty principle Inverse Problems 13 253-282
    - [E] Eskin G 2001 The inverse scattering problem in two dimensions at a fixed energy Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 1055-1090
    - [F1] Faddeev L D 1965 Increasing solutions of the Shrödinger equation Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 165 514-517 (in Russian); English transl.: 1966 Sov. Phys. Dokl. 10 1033-1035
    - [F2] Faddeev L D 1966 Factorization of the S matrix for the multidimensional Shrödinger operator Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 167 69-72 (in Russian); English transl.: 1966 Sov. Phys. Dokl. 11 209-211
    - [F3] Faddeev L D 1974 Inverse problem of quantum scattering theory II Itogi Nauki Tekhn. Sovrem. Prob. Mat. 3 93-180 (in Russian); English transl.: 1976 Sov. Math. 5 334-396
    - [GN] Grinevich P G and Novikov R G 1995 Transparent potentials at fixed energy in dimension two. Fixed-energy dispersion relations for the fast decaying potentials Comm. Math. Phys. 174 409-446
    - [HN] Henkin G M and Novikov R G 1987 The ∂- equation in the multidimensional inverse scattering problem Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 42 93-152 (in Russian); English transl.: 1987 Russ. Math. Surv. 42 109-180
    - [IS] Isakov V and Sun Z 1995 The inverse scattering at fixed energies in two dimensions Indiana Univ. Math. J. 44 883-896
    - [LN] Lavine R B and Nachman A I 1987 On the inverse scattering transform of the ndimensional Schrödinger operator In Topics in Soliton Theory and Exactly Solvable Nonlinear Equations (M.Ablovitz, B.Fuchssteiner and M.Kruskal, Eds.), pp. 33-44. World Scientific, Singapore
    - [M] Mandache N 2001 Exponential instability in an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation *Inverse Problems* **17** 1435-44
    - [ Na1] Nachman A I 1988 Reconstructions from boundary measurements Ann. Math. 128 531-576
    - [ Na2] Nachman A I 1995 Global uniqueness for a two-dimensional inverse boundary value problem Ann. Math. 142 71-96
  - [ NSU] Nachman A I, Sylvester J and Uhlmann G 1988 An *n* dimensional Borg-Levinson theorem *Comm. Math. Phys.* **115** 593-605
    - [ Ne] Newton R G 1989 Inverse Schrödinger scattering in three dimensions Springer-Verlag, Berlin
  - [ No1] Novikov R G 1988 Multidimensional inverse spectral problem for the equation  $-\Delta \psi + (v(x) Eu(x))\psi = 0$ . Funkt. Anal. Ego Pril **22** 11-22 (in Russian); English transl.: 1988 Funct. Anal. Appl. **22** 263-272

- [No2] Novikov R G 1992 The inverse scattering problem on a fixed energy level for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator J. Funct. Anal. 103 409-463
- [ No3] Novikov R G 1994 The inverse scattering problem at fixed energy for the threedimensional Schrödinger equation with an exponentially decreasing potential *Comm. Math. Phys.* **161** 569-595
- [No4] Novikov R G 1996  $\partial$ -method with nonzero background potential. Application to inverse scattering for the two-dimensional acoustic equation Comm. Partial Differential Equations 21 597-618
- [No5] Novikov R G 1999 Approximate inverse quantum scattering at fixed energy in dimension 2 Proc. Steklov Inst. of Math. **225** 285-302
- [No6] Novikov R G 2002 Scattering for the Schrödinger equation in multidimension. Nonlinear  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, characterization of scattering data and related results. *Chapter* 6.2.4 in SCATTERING edited by E.R.Pike and P.Sabatier, Academic Press
  - [ St] Stefanov P 1990 A uniqueness result for the inverse back-scattering problem *Inverse* Problems 6 1055-1064
- [SU] Sylvester J and Uhlmann G. 1987 A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem *Ann. of Math.* **125** 153-169
- [We] Weder R 1991 Generalized limiting absorption method and multidimensional inverse scattering theory *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 14 509-524