

Finitely presented modules over semihereditary rings

François Couchot

▶ To cite this version:

Francois Couchot. Finitely presented modules over semihereditary rings. Communications in Algebra, 2007, 35 (1), pp.2685–2692. hal-00002961v2

HAL Id: hal-00002961 https://hal.science/hal-00002961v2

Submitted on 3 Oct 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FINITELY PRESENTED MODULES OVER SEMIHEREDITARY RINGS

FRANÇOIS COUCHOT

ABSTRACT. We prove that each almost local-global semihereditary ring R has the stacked bases property and is almost Bézout. More precisely, if M is a finitely presented module, its torsion part tM is a direct sum of cyclic modules where the family of annihilators is an ascending chain of invertible ideals. These ideals are invariants of M. Moreover M/tM is a projective module which is isomorphic to a direct sum of finitely generated ideals. These ideals allow us to define a finitely generated ideal whose isomorphism class is an invariant of M. The idempotents and the positive integers defined by the rank of M/tM are invariants of M too. It follows that each semihereditary ring of Krull-dimension one or of finite character, in particular each hereditary ring, has the stacked base property. These results were already proved for Prüfer domains by Brewer, Katz, Klinger, Levy and Ullery. It is also shown that every semihereditary Bézout ring of countable character is an elementary divisor ring.

It is well-known for a long time that every Dedekind domain satisfies the **stacked bases property** (or the Simultaneous Basis Property). See [8]. The definitions will be given below. More recently this result was extended to every Prüfer domain of finite character [10] or of Krull dimension one [2] and more generally to each almost local-global Prüfer domain [2].

The aim of this paper is to show that every almost local-global semihereditary ring, and in particular every hereditary ring, has the stacked bases property too. This extension is possible principally because every semihereditary ring has the following properties:

- every finitely presented module is the direct sum of its torsion submodule with a projective module,
- the annihilator of each finitely generated ideal is generated by an idempotent.
- and each faithful finitely generated ideal contains a nonzerodivisor.

So, the only difference with the domain case is that we have to manage nontrivial idempotents. Except for this difference, we do as Section 4 of Chapter V of [5].

All rings in this paper are unitary and commutative. We say that a ring R is **of finite (resp. countable) character** if every non-zero-divisor is contained in finitely (resp. countably) many maximal ideals. We say that R is **local-global** if each polynomial over R in finitely many indeterminates which admits unit values locally, admits unit values. A ring R is said to be **almost local-global** if for every faithful principal ideal I, R/I is local-global.

1

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 13E15,\ 13F05.$

Key words and phrases. semihereditary ring, finitely presented module, stacked bases property, UCS-property, local-global ring.

Let R be a semihereditary ring. If for each finitely presented module M and for each presentation $0 \to H \to F \to M \to 0$, where F is a finitely generated free module, there exist finitely generated ideals J_1, \ldots, J_n and invertible ideals $I_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I_m$ such that $F \cong J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_n$ and $H \cong I_1 J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_m J_m$ we say that R has the **stacked bases property**. We will prove the two following theorems:

Theorem 1. Every almost local-global semihereditary ring has the stacked bases property.

Theorem 2. Let R be an almost local-global semihereditary ring and M a finitely presented module. Then M decomposes as:

$$M \cong (\bigoplus_{1 \le j \le m} R/I_j) \oplus (\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le p} (\bigoplus_{1 \le k \le n_i} J_{i,k}e_i))$$

where $I_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I_m$ are proper invertible ideals, $\{e_1, \ldots, e_p\}$ is a family of orthogonal idempotents, $\{n_1, \ldots, n_p\}$ a strictly increasing sequence of integers > 0 and for each $i, 1 \le i \le p, J_{i,1}, \ldots, J_{i,n_i}$ are arbitrary invertible ideals of Re_i . The invariants of M are:

- (a) the ideals I_1, \ldots, I_m ,
- (b) the idempotents e_1, \ldots, e_p ,
- (c) the integers n_1, \ldots, n_p and
- (d) the isomorphism class of $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq p} (\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n_i} J_{i,k})$.

(R satisfies the Invariant Factor Theorem)

From these two theorems we deduce the two following corollaries:

Corollary 3. Let R be a semihereditary ring. Assume that R satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (a) R is of finite character
- (b) R has Krull-dimension ≤ 1 .

Then R has the stacked bases property and every finitely presented module M has a decomposition as in Theorem 2.

Proof. Let I = Rs where s is a non-zero-divisor. Then s doesn't belong to any minimal prime ideal. Thus R/I is either semilocal or zero-Krull-dimensional. So, it is local-global by [11, Proposition p.455].

Corollary 4. Let R be a hereditary ring. Then R has the stacked bases property and every finitely presented module M has a decomposition as in Theorem 2.

Proof. R is both one-Krull-dimensional and of finite character.

To prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 some preliminary results are needed. It is obvious that each semihereditary ring is a **pp-ring** (or a **Baer ring**), i.e. a ring for which every principal ideal is projective.

Lemma 5. Let R be a pp-ring and Q its ring of quotients. Then the following assertions hold:

- (1) For each finitely generated ideal A, (0: A) is generated by an idempotent.
- (2) each faithful finitely generated ideal contains a non-zero-divisor.
- (3) Q is absolutely flat.

Proof. The first assertion is well known if A is principal. Let e_1 and e_2 be two idempotents such that $(0: A_i) = Re_i$, where A_i is an ideal, for i = 1, 2. Then $(0: A_1 + A_2) = Re_1 \cap Re_2 = Re_1e_2$.

From the first assertion we deduce that for each $a \in R$ there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that ae = 0 and Ra + Re is a faithful ideal. Then the two last assertions follow from [12, Proposition 9].

Proposition 6. Let R be a semihereditary ring, M a finitely presented R-module and tM its torsion submodule.

Then $M \cong tM \oplus M/tM$ and M/tM is projective.

Proof. Let Q the ring of fractions of R. By Lemma 5, Q is absolutely flat. It follows that $M/tM \otimes_R Q \cong M \otimes_R Q$ is a projective Q-module and M/tM is flat. By [3, Proposition 2.3]), M/tM is projective.

For an element $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the **content** c(x) is defined as the ideal generated by the coordinates of x. If M is a submodule of \mathbb{R}^n , then c(M) will mean the ideal generated by c(x) with $x \in M$. If X is a matrix over R then c(X) is the ideal generated by its entries.

We say that a ring R has the UCS-property (unit content summand) if, for each n > 0 the following holds: every finitely generated submodule M of R^n with unit content (i.e, c(M) = R) contains a rank one projective summand of R^n .

The following theorem is a generalization of [5, Theorem V.4.7] and we adapt the proof of [2, Theorem 3] to show it.

Theorem 7. Let R be an almost local-global pp-ring. Then R has the UCS-property.

Proof. Let X be an $n \times m$ -matrix over R such that c(X) = R. Let $M \subseteq R^n$ be the column space of X. There exist $x_1, \ldots, x_p \in M$ such that $c(x_1) + \cdots + c(x_p) = R$. For each $j, 1 \leq j \leq p$, let ϵ_j be the idempotent which verifies $(0:c(x_j)) = R(1-\epsilon_j)$. Then for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq p$, there exists $a_j \in c(x_j)$ such that $a_1\epsilon_1 + \cdots + a_p\epsilon_p = 1$. By induction it is possible to get an orthogonal family of idempotents $(e_j)_{1 \leq j \leq p}$ and a family $(b_j)_{1 \leq j \leq p}$ such that $b_1e_1 + \cdots + b_pe_p = 1$ and $e_j \in R\epsilon_j$, $\forall j, 1 \leq j \leq p$. After removing the indexes j for which $b_je_j = 0$, we may assume that $b_je_j \neq 0$, $\forall j, 1 \leq j \leq p$. Then $b_je_j = e_j$, $c(x_j)e_j$ is faithful over Re_j and $c(e_jX) = c(X)e_j = Re_j$. If we prove that there exists a rank one summand U_j of e_jR^n contained in e_jM for each $i, 1 \leq j \leq p$, then $i, 1 \leq j \leq p$ and $i, 2 \leq j \leq p$ are a rank one summand of $i, 3 \leq j \leq p$ and $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ are a rank one summand of $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$. Then $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ are a rank one summand of $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ and $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ are a rank one summand of $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$. Then $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ are a rank one summand of $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$. Therefore, by the first $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ are a rank one summand of $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$. Therefore, for any preimage $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ and $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ are a rank one summand of $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$. Therefore, for any preimage $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ and $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ and $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ and $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$ are a rank one summand of $i, 4 \leq j \leq p$.

Let N be the submodule of M generated by x and y. The 2×2 -minors of the matrix whose columns are x and y generate an ideal I in R. Let e be the idempotent which verifies (0:I)=R(1-e). Since I(1-e)=0, then (1-e)N is of rank one over R(1-e), and in view of c((1-e)x)+c((1-e)y)=R(1-e), it is a summand of $(1-e)R^n$ contained in (1-e)M. Since I is faithful over Re then $R^*=Re/I(\cong R/(I+R(1-e))$ is local-global. By [5, Lemma V.4.5], $c(x^*+a^*y^*)=R^*$ for some $a^*\in R^*$. For a preimage $a\in R$ of a^* , we have c(e(x+ay))+I=Re. Suppose that $c(e(x+ay))\subseteq P$ for some maximal ideal P of Re. Then e(x+ay) vanishes in $(Re/P)^n$, thus all the 2×2 -minors generators of I are

contained in P, i.e., $I \subseteq P$. This is an obvious contradiction to c(e(x+ay))+I=Re. Hence c(e(x+ay))=Re, and it follows that the submodule T generated by e(x+ay) is a rank one summand of eR^n contained in eM. We get that (1-e)N+T is a rank one summand of R^n contained in M.

The following theorem is a generalization of [1, Theorem 6] and we do a similar proof. For Prüfer domains we can also see [5, Theorem V.4.8].

Theorem 8. A semihereditary ring R has the UCS-property if and only if it has the stacked bases property.

Proof. We do as for Prüfer domains (see [5, Theorem V.4.8]) to show that "stacked bases property" implies "UCS-property".

Assume that R has the UCS-property and let H be a finitely generated submodule of R^n $(n \geq 1)$. Let ϵ_1 be the idempotent such that $(0:c(H)) = R(1-\epsilon_1)$. Then $H = \epsilon_1 H$ and $J_1 = c(H) \oplus R(1-\epsilon_1)$ is invertible. Thus $J_1^{-1}H$ is a finitely generated submodule of $\epsilon_1 R^n$ and $c(J_1^{-1}H) = R\epsilon_1$. So, by the UCS-property, $J_1^{-1}H$ contains a rank one summand U_1 of $\epsilon_1 R^n$, i.e., $R^n = U_1 \oplus N_1$ for some N_1 . Hence $J_1^{-1}H = U_1 \oplus H_1$ with $H_1 = N_1 \cap J_1^{-1}H$ and $H = J_1U_1 \oplus J_1H_1$. If the second summand is not 0, let ϵ_2 be the idempotent such that $(0:c(H_1)) = R(1-\epsilon_2)$ and $J_2 = c(H_1) \oplus R(1-\epsilon_2)$. Then $\epsilon_2 \in R\epsilon_1$ and $J_2^{-1}H_1$ contains a rank one summand U_2 of $\epsilon_2 R^n$. Let s be a non-zero-divisor such that $sJ_2^{-1} \subseteq R$. If $x \in J_2^{-1}H_1$ then x = u + y, $u \in U_1$, $y \in N_1$ and $sx \in H_1$. It follows that su = 0 whence u = 0. Hence $J_2^{-1}H_1 \subseteq N_1$ and U_2 is a summand of N_1 . We obtain $R^n = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus N_2$ for some N_2 . Hence $H = J_1U_1 \oplus J_1J_2U_2 \oplus J_1J_2H_2$ where $H_2 = N_2 \cap J_2^{-1}H_1$. Repetition yields $J_1J_2 \dots J_mH_m = 0$

for
$$m = \max\{\operatorname{rank}(H_P) \mid P \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)\} \le n$$
, hence

$$R^n = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_m \oplus N_m$$
 and $H = J_1 U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_1 \dots J_m U_m$

for rank one projective summand U_i of $\epsilon_i R^n$, where $(\epsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ is a family of nonzero idempotents such that $\epsilon_{i+1} \in R\epsilon_i$ for every $i, 1 \leq i \leq m-1$. Here N_m is projective, so it is isomorphic to a direct sum of ideals. We thus have stacked bases for R^n and its submodule H.

Now it is obvious that Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7 and 8.

We say that a ring R is **almost Bézout** if for each faithful principal ideal A, R/A is Bézout (i.e., finitely generated ideals are principal). The following Proposition 9 was proved by Kaplansky [8] for Dedekind domains and we do a similar proof. One can also see [5, Proposition V.4.10].

Proposition 9. Let R be an almost Bézout pp-ring. Suppose

$$U = I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n, \quad V = J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_m$$

are decompositions of finitely generated projective R-modules U, V into direct sums of invertible ideals. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $U \cong V$ is that

$$n = m$$
 and $I_1 \dots I_n \cong J_1 \dots J_n$.

Proof. We prove that the condition is necessary as for integral domains.

For sufficiency we can also do the same proof since every invertible ideal I contains a non-zero-divisor a. It follows that $aI^{-1}J$ is faithful for each invertible ideal J. Therefore $aI^{-1}/aI^{-1}J$ is a principal ideal of $R/aI^{-1}J$ and generated by $b + aI^{-1}J$. Then $bR + aI^{-1}J = aI^{-1}$, thus $ba^{-1}I + J = R$. We get that $I \oplus J \cong R \oplus IJ$.

The following lemma is needed to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 10. Let R be an almost local-global semihereditary ring. Then:

- (1) R is an almost Bézout ring.
- (2) For every pair of invertible ideals $I, J, I/JI \cong R/J$.

Proof. Let J be a faithful principal ideal of R. Every finitely generated ideal of R/J is of the form I/J where I is an invertible ideal of R. It follows that I/JI is a rank one projective R/J-module. By [11, Theorem p.457] or [5, Proposition V.4.4] I/JI is cyclic. Thus R/J is a Bézout ring: it is also a consequence of Theorem 11.

Since JI contains a faithful principal ideal, I/JI is cyclic. From the obvious equality I(JI:I)=IJ, we deduce that (JI:I)=J.

Now we can prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2.

Suppose that $M \cong \mathbb{R}^n/H$. By Theorem 8 and its proof we have

$$R^n = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_m \oplus N_m$$
 and $H = J_1 U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_1 \dots J_m U_m$

Moreover there is a family of idempotents $(\epsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ such that U_i is isomorphic to an invertible ideal of $R\epsilon_i$ and $\epsilon_{i+1} \in R\epsilon_i$. We set $I_k = J_1 \dots J_k \epsilon_k \oplus R(1 - \epsilon_k)$ for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq m$. By Lemma 10

$$U_i/I_iU_i \cong R\epsilon_i/I_i\epsilon_i \cong R/I_i, \ \forall i, \ 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

By using [13, Proposition 1.10] it is possible to get that

$$tM \cong R/I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R/I_m \text{ with } I_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I_m.$$

By [7, Theorem 9.3], I_1, \ldots, I_m are invariants of tM and M.

Since $N_m \cong M/tM$ is a finitely generated projective module there exists an orthogonal family of idempotents $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ such that $e_i N_m$ has a constant rank n_i over Re_i . So $e_i N_m \cong J_{i,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{i,n_i}$ where $J_{i,k}$ is an invertible ideal of Re_i for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n_i$. By Proposition 9 the isomorphism class of $J_{i,1} \ldots J_{i,n_i}$ is an invariant of $e_i N_m$. We conclude that the isomorphism class of $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq p} (\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n_i} J_{i,k})$ is an invariant of M.

It is also possible to deduce Theorem 2 from the following. A ring R is said to be **arithmetic** if it is locally Bézout.

Theorem 11. Let R be an arithmetic local-global ring. Then R is an elementary divisor ring. Moreover, for each $a, b \in R$, there exist $d, a', b', c \in R$ such that a = a'd, b = b'd and a' + cb' is a unit of R.

Proof. Since every finitely generated ideal is locally principal R is Bézout by [4, Corollary 2.7]. Let $a, b \in R$. Then there exist $a', b', d \in R$ such that a = a'd, b = b'd and Ra + Rb = Rd. Consider the following polynomial a' + b'T. If P is a maximal ideal, then we have $aR_P = dR_P$ or $bR_P = dR_P$. So, a' or b' is a unit of R_P ,

whence a' + b'T admits unit values in R_P . Then the last assertion holds. Now let $a, b, c \in R$ such that Ra + Rb + Rc = R. We set Rb + Rc = Rd. Let b', c', s and q such that b = b'd, c = c'd and b' + c'q and a + sd are units. Then (b' + c'q)(a + sd) = (b' + c'q)a + s(b + qc) is a unit. We conclude by [6, Theorem 6].

Another proof of Theorem 2. Let M be a finitely presented R-module. There exists a non-zero-divisor $s \in R$ which annihilates tM. So, tM is a direct sum of cyclic modules since R/Rs is an elementary divisor ring by Theorem 11.

Now we give a generalization of [5, Theorem III.6.5].

Theorem 12. Every semihereditary Bézout ring of countable character is an elementary divisor ring.

Proof. By [7, Theorem 5.1] and [9, Theorem 3.1] it is sufficient to prove that every 1 by 2, 2 by 1 and 2 by 2 matrix is equivalent to a diagonal matrix. By [9, Theorem 2.4] R is Hermite. So it is enough to prove that the following matrix A is equivalent to a diagonal matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let e the idempotent such that (0:ac) = R(1-e). Thus A = eA + (1-e)A. Since (1-e)ac = 0 we show, as in the proof of [14, the proposition], that there exist two invertible matrices P_1 and Q_1 and a diagonal matrix D_1 with entries in R(1-e) such that $P_1(1-e)AQ_1 = D_1$. Since $Re/Rac \cong R/R(ac+1-e)$ and (ac+1-e) is a non-zerodivisor, ac is contained in countably many maximal ideals of Re. We prove, as in the proof of [5, Theorem III.6.5], that there exist two invertible matrices P_2 and P_3 and a diagonal matrix P_3 with entries in P_3 such that P_3 and P_3 are invertible, P_3 and P_4 and P_3 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible, P_4 is diagonal and P_4 and P_4 are invertible and P_4 are invertible, P_4 and P_4 are invertible and P_4 and P_4 are invertible and P_4 and P_4 are invertible and P_4 are invertible and P_4 and P_4 are invertible and P_4 are invertible and P_4 and P_4 are i

References

- [1] J. Brewer, D. Katz, and W. Ullery. Pole assignability in polynomial rings, power series rings, and Prüfer domains. *J. Algebra*, 106:265–286, (1987).
- [2] J. Brewer and L. Klinger. Pole assignability and the invariant factor theorem in Prüfer domains and Dedekind domains. J. Algebra, 111:536-545, (1987).
- [3] H. Cox and R. Pendleton. Rings for which certain flat modules are projective. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 150:139–156, (1970).
- [4] D.R. Estes and R.M. Guralnick. Module equivalences: Local to global. when primitive polynomials represent units. J. Algebra, 77:138–157, (1982).
- [5] L. Fuchs and L. Salce. Modules over Non-Noetherian Domains. Number 84 in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, (2001).
- [6] L. Gillman and M. Henriksen. Some remarks about elementary divisor rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 82:362–365, (1956).
- [7] I. Kaplansky. Elementary divisors and modules. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 66:464-491, (1949).
- [8] I. Kaplansky. Modules over Dedekind rings and valuation rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 72:327–340, (1952).
- [9] M.D. Larsen, W.J. Lewis, and T.S. Shores. Elementary divisor rings and finitely presented modules. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 187:231–248, (1974).
- [10] L. S. Levy. Invariant factor theorem for Prüfer domains of finite character. J. Algebra, 106:259–264, (1987).
- [11] B.R. McDonald and W. C. Waterhouse. Projective modules over rings with many units. Proc. Amer. math. Soc., 83:455–458, (1981).

- [12] Y. Quentel. Sur la compacité du spectre minimal d'un anneau. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 99:265–272, (1971).
- [13] T. S. Shores and R. Wiegand. Rings whose finitely generated modules are direct sum of cyclics. J. Algebra, 32:152–172, (1974).
- [14] T.S. Shores. Modules over semihereditary Bezout rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 46:211–213, (1974).

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, CNRS UMR 6139, Département de mathématiques et mécanique, 14032 Caen cedex, France

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb|couchot@math.unicaen.fr|$