



HAL
open science

Generalized descent algebra and construction of irreducible characters of hyperoctahedral groups

Cédric Bonnafé, Christophe Hohlweg

► **To cite this version:**

Cédric Bonnafé, Christophe Hohlweg. Generalized descent algebra and construction of irreducible characters of hyperoctahedral groups. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 2008, 56, pp.131-181. hal-00002827v2

HAL Id: hal-00002827

<https://hal.science/hal-00002827v2>

Submitted on 14 Sep 2004

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.


**GENERALIZED DESCENT ALGEBRA
AND CONSTRUCTION OF IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS OF
HYPEROCTAHEDRAL GROUPS**

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ AND CHRISTOPHE HOHLWEG

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (W, S) be a finite Coxeter system and let $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ denote the length function. If $I \subset S$, $W_I = \langle I \rangle$ is the standard parabolic subgroup generated by I and $X_I = \{w \in W \mid \forall s \in I, \ell(ws) > \ell(w)\}$ is a cross-section of W/W_I . Write $x_I = \sum_{w \in X_I} w \in \mathbb{Z}W$, then $\Sigma(W) = \bigoplus_{I \subset S} \mathbb{Z}x_I$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}W$ and the \mathbb{Z} -linear map $\theta : \Sigma(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr } W$, $x_I \mapsto \text{Ind}_{W_I}^W 1$ is a morphism of algebras: $\Sigma(W)$ is called the *descent algebra* or the *Solomon algebra* of W [18]. However, the morphism θ is surjective if and only if W is a product of symmetric groups.

The aim of this paper is to construct, whenever W is of type C , a subalgebra $\Sigma'(W)$ of $\mathbb{Z}W$ containing $\Sigma(W)$ and a surjective morphism of algebras $\theta' : \Sigma'(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr } W$ build similarly as $\Sigma(W)$ by starting with a bigger generating set. More precisely, let (W_n, S_n) denote a Coxeter system of type C_n and write $S_n = \{t, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ where the Dynkin diagram of (W_n, S_n) is



Let $t_1 = t$ and $t_i = s_{i-1}t_{i-1}s_{i-1}$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$) and $S'_n = S_n \cup \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)$ denote the set of subsets I of S'_n such that $I = \langle I \rangle \cap S'_n$. If $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)$, let W_I, X_I and x_I be defined as before. Then:

Theorem. $\Sigma'(W_n) = \bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)} \mathbb{Z}x_I$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}W_n$ and the \mathbb{Z} -linear map $\theta_n : \Sigma'(W_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr } W_n$, $x_I \mapsto \text{Ind}_{W_I}^W 1$ is a surjective morphism of algebras. Moreover, $\text{Ker } \theta_n = \sum_{I \equiv I'} \mathbb{Z}(x_I - x_{I'})$ and $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ker } \theta_n$ is the radical of the \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma'(W_n)$.

In this theorem, the notation $I \equiv I'$ means that there exists $w \in W_n$ such that $I' = {}^wI$, that is, W_I and $W_{I'}$ are conjugated. This theorem is stated and proved in §3.3. Note that it is slightly differently formulated: in fact, it turns out that there is a natural bijection between signed compositions of n and $\mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)$ (see Lemma 2.5). So, everything in the text is indexed by signed compositions instead of $\mathcal{P}_0(S'_n)$. It must also be noticed that, by opposition with the classical case, the multiplication $x_I x_J$ may involve negative coefficients. Using another basis, we show that $\Sigma'(W_n)$ is precisely the generalized descent algebra discovered by Mantaci and Reutenauer [16].

Using this theorem and the Robinson-Schensted correspondence for type C constructed by Stanley [20] and a Knuth version of it given in [5], we obtain an analog

of Jöllenbeck's result (on the construction of characters of the symmetric group [12]) using an extension $\tilde{\theta}_n : \mathcal{Q}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr } W_n$ of θ_n to the coplactic space \mathcal{Q}_n (see Theorem 4.14). The coplactic space refer to Jöllenbeck's construction revised in [3].

Now, let $\mathcal{SP} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}W_n$, $\Sigma' = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Sigma'(W_n)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{Q}_n$. Let $\theta = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \theta_n$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \tilde{\theta}_n$. Aguiar and Mahajan have proved that \mathcal{SP} is naturally a Hopf algebra and that Σ' is a Hopf subalgebra [1]. We prove here that \mathcal{Q} is also a Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{SP} (containing Σ') and that θ and $\tilde{\theta}$ are surjective morphisms of Hopf algebras (see Theorem 5.8). This generalizes similar results in symmetric groups ([17] and [3]), which are parts of combinatorial tools used within the framework of the representation theory of type A (see for instance [21]).

In the last section of this paper, we give some explicit computations in $\Sigma'(W_2)$ (characters, complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents, Cartan matrix of $\Sigma'(W_2)$...).

In the Appendix, P. Baumann and the second author link the above construction with the Specht construction and symmetric functions (see [14]).

Remark. It seems interesting to try to construct a subalgebra $\Sigma'(W)$ of $\mathbb{Z}W$ containing $\Sigma(W)$ and a morphism $\theta' : \Sigma'(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr } W$ for arbitrary Coxeter group W . But it is impossible to do so in a same way as we did for type C (by extending the generating set). Computations using CHEVIE programs show us that it is impossible to do so in type D_4 and that the reasonable choices in F_4 fail (we do not obtain a subalgebra!). However, it is possible to do something similar for type G_2 . More precisely, let (W, S) be of type G_2 . Write $S = \{s, t\}$ and let $S' = \{s, t, sts, tstst\}$ and repeat the procedure described above to obtain a sub- \mathbb{Z} -module $\Sigma'(W)$ of $\mathbb{Z}W$ and a morphism $\theta' : \Sigma'(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{Irr } W$. Then the theorem stated in this introduction also holds in this case. We have $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma'(W) = 8$ and $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ker } \theta' = 2$.

2. SOME REFLECTION SUBGROUPS OF HYPEROCTAHEDRAL GROUPS

In this article, we denote $[m, n] = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \leq i \leq n\} = \{m, m+1, \dots, n-1, n\}$, for all $m \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\text{sign}(i) \in \{\pm 1\}$ the sign of $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. If E is a set, we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(E)$ the group of permutations on the set E . If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we often denote by \overline{m} the integer $-m$.

2.1. The hyperoctahedral group. We begin by making clear some notations and definitions concerning the *hyperoctahedral group* W_n . Denote 1_n the identity of W_n (or 1 if no confusion is possible). We denote by $\ell_t(w)$ the number of occurrences of t in a reduced decomposition of w and we define $\ell_s(w) = \ell(w) - \ell_t(w)$.

It is well-known that W_n acts on the set $I_n = [1, n] \cup [\overline{n}, \overline{1}]$ by permutations as follows: $t = (\overline{1}, 1)$ and $s_i = (\overline{i+1}, \overline{i})(i, i+1)$ for any $i \in [1, n-1]$. Through this action, we have

$$W_n = \{w \in \mathfrak{S}(I_n) \mid \forall i \in I_n, w(\overline{i}) = \overline{w(i)}\}.$$

We often represent $w \in W_n$ as the word $w(1)w(2)\dots w(n)$ in examples.

The subgroup $W_{\overline{n}} = \{w \in W_n \mid w([1, n]) = [1, n]\}$ of W_n is naturally identified with \mathfrak{S}_n , the symmetric group of degree n , by restriction of its elements to $[1, n]$. Note that $W_{\overline{n}}$ is generated, as a reflection subgroup of W_n , by $S_{\overline{n}} = \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$.

A *standard parabolic subgroup* of W_n is a subgroup generated by a subset of S_n (a *parabolic subgroup* of W_n is a subgroup conjugate to some standard parabolic subgroup). Note that $(W_{\bar{n}}, S_{\bar{n}})$ is a Coxeter group, which is a standard parabolic subgroup of W_n . If $m \leq n$, then S_m is naturally identified with a subset of S_n and W_m will be identified with the standard parabolic subgroup of W_n generated by S_m .

Now, we set $T_n = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, with t_i as in Introduction. As a permutation of I_n , note that $t_i = (i, \bar{i})$, then the reflection subgroup \mathfrak{T}_n generated by T_n is naturally identified with $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$. Therefore $W_n = W_{\bar{n}} \ltimes \mathfrak{T}_n$ is just the wreath product of \mathfrak{S}_n by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. If $w \in W_n$, we denote by (w_S, w_T) the unique pair in $\mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{T}_n$ such that $w = w_S w_T$. Note that $\ell_t(w) = \ell_t(w_T)$. In this article, we will consider reflection subgroups generated by subsets of $S'_n = S_n \cup T_n$.

2.2. Root system. Before studying the reflection subgroups generated by subsets of S'_n , let us recall some basic facts about Weyl groups of type C (see [6]). Let us endow \mathbb{R}^n with its canonical euclidean structure. Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) denote the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n : this is an orthonormal basis. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by s_α the orthogonal reflection such that $s_\alpha(\alpha) = -\alpha$. Let

$$\Phi_n^+ = \{2e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{e_j + \nu e_i \mid \nu \in \{1, -1\} \text{ and } 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$$

$\Phi_n^- = -\Phi_n^+$ and $\Phi_n = \Phi_n^+ \cup \Phi_n^-$. Then Φ_n is a root system of type C_n and Φ_n^+ is a positive root system of Φ_n . By sending t to s_{2e_1} and s_i to $s_{e_{i+1}-e_i}$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$), we will identify W_n with the Coxeter group of Φ_n . Then

$$\Delta_n = \{2e_1, e_2 - e_1, e_3 - e_2, \dots, e_n - e_{n-1}\}$$

is the basis of Φ_n contained in Φ_n^+ and the subset S_n of W_n is naturally identified with the set of simple reflections $\{s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_n\}$. Therefore, for any $w \in W_n$ we have

$$\ell(w) = |\Phi_n^+ \cap w^{-1}(\Phi_n^-)|;$$

and $\ell(ws_\alpha) < \ell(w)$ if and only if $w(\alpha) \in \Phi^-$, for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$.

Remark 2.1 - Let $w \in W_n$ and let $\alpha \in \Phi_n^+$. Then $\ell(ws_\alpha) < \ell(w)$ if and only if $w(\alpha) \in \Phi_n^-$. Therefore, if $i \in [1, n-1]$, then

$$\ell(ws_i) < \ell(w) \Leftrightarrow w(i) > w(i+1),$$

and, if $j \in [1, n]$, then

$$\ell(wt_j) < \ell(w) \Leftrightarrow w(j) < 0.$$

Therefore, we deduce from the strong exchange condition (see [11, §5.8])

$$(2.2) \quad \ell_t(w) = |\{i \in [1, n] \mid w(i) < 0\}|.$$

2.3. Some closed subsystems of Φ_n . Consider the subsets $\{s_1, t_1\}$ and $\{s_1, t_2\}$ of S'_n ($n \geq 2$). It is readily seen that these two sets of reflections generate the same reflection subgroup of W_n . This lead us to find a parametrization of subgroups generated by a subset of S'_n .

A *signed composition* is a sequence $C = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$ of non-zero elements of \mathbb{Z} . The number r is called the *length* of C . We set $|C| = \sum_{i=1}^r |c_i|$. If $|C| = n$, we say that C is a *signed composition of n* and we write $C \models n$. We also define $C^+ = (|c_1|, \dots, |c_r|) \models n$, $C^- = -C^+$ and $\bar{C} = -C$. We denote by $\text{Comp}(n)$ the set

of signed compositions of n . In particular, any composition is a signed composition (any part is positive). Note that

$$(2.3) \quad |\text{Comp}(n)| = 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}.$$

Now, to each $C = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \models n$, we associate a reflection subgroup of W_n as follows: for $1 \leq i \leq r$, set

$$I_C^{(i)} = \begin{cases} I_{C,+}^{(i)} & \text{if } c_i < 0, \\ I_{C,+}^{(i)} \cup -I_{C,+}^{(i)} & \text{if } c_i > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $I_{C,+}^{(i)} = [|c_1| + \dots + |c_{i-1}| + 1, |c_1| + \dots + |c_i|]$. Then

$$W_C = \{w \in W_n \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq r, w(I_C^{(i)}) = I_C^{(i)}\}$$

is a reflection subgroup generated by

$$S_C = \{s_p \in S_{\bar{n}} \mid |c_1| + \dots + |c_{i-1}| + 1 \leq p \leq |c_1| + \dots + |c_i| - 1\} \\ \cup \{t_{|c_1| + \dots + |c_{j-1}| + 1} \in T_n \mid c_j > 0\} \subset S'_n$$

Therefore, $W_C \simeq W_{c_1} \times \dots \times W_{c_r}$: we denote by $(w_1, \dots, w_r) \mapsto w_1 \times \dots \times w_r$ the natural isomorphism $W_{c_1} \times \dots \times W_{c_r} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_C$.

Example. The group $W_{(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 1)} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_2 \times W_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_3 \times W_1$ is generated, as a reflection subgroup of W_{10} , by $S_{(\bar{2}, 3, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 1)} = \{s_1\} \cup \{t_3, s_3, s_4\} \cup \{s_7, s_8\} \cup \{t_{10}\} \subset S'_{10}$.

The signed composition C is said *semi-positive* if $c_i \geq -1$ for every $i \in [1, r]$. Note that a composition is a semi-positive composition. We say that C is *negative* if $c_i < 0$ for every $i \in [1, r]$. We say that C is *parabolic* if $c_i < 0$ for $i \in [2, r]$. Note that C is parabolic if and only if W_C is a standard parabolic subgroup.

Now, let $S'_C = S'_n \cap W_C$, $\Phi_C = \{\alpha \in \Phi_n \mid s_\alpha \in W_C\}$ and $\Phi_C^+ = \Phi_C \cap \Phi_n^+$. Then W_C is the Weyl group of the closed subsystem Φ_C of Φ_n . Moreover, Φ_C^+ is a positive root system of Φ_C and we denote by Δ_C the basis of Φ_C contained in Φ_C^+ . Note that $S_C = \{s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_C\}$, so (W_C, S_C) is a Coxeter group.

Let $\ell_C : W_C \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ denote the length function on W_C with respect to S_C . Let w_C denote the longest element of W_C with respect to ℓ_C . If C is a composition, we denote by σ_C the longest element of $\mathfrak{S}_C = W_{\bar{C}}$ with respect to $\ell_{\bar{C}}$ (which is the restriction of ℓ to \mathfrak{S}_C). In other words, $\sigma_C = w_{\bar{C}}$. In particular, w_n (resp. σ_n) denotes the longest element of W_n (resp. \mathfrak{S}_n).

Write $T_C = T_n \cap W_C$ and $\mathfrak{T}_C = \mathfrak{T}_n \cap W_C$, then observe that

$$(2.4) \quad W_C = W_{C^-} \rtimes \mathfrak{T}_C = \mathfrak{S}_{C^+} \rtimes \mathfrak{T}_C.$$

Remarks. (1) This class of reflection subgroups contains the standard parabolic subgroups, since $S_n \subset S'_n$. But it contains also some other subgroups which are not parabolic (consider the subgroup generated by $\{t_1, t_2\}$ as example). In other words, it may happen that $\Delta_C \not\subset \Delta_n$. In fact, $\Delta_C \subset \Delta_n$ if and only if W_C is a standard parabolic subgroup of W_n .

(2) If W_C is not a standard parabolic subgroup of W_n , then ℓ_C is not the restriction of ℓ to W_C .

We close this subsection by an easy characterization of the subsets S'_C :

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a subset of S'_n . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\langle X \rangle \cap S'_n = X$.
- (2) $X \cap T_n$ is stable under conjugation by $\langle X \rangle$.
- (3) $X \cap T_n$ is stable under conjugation by $\langle X \cap S_{\bar{n}} \rangle$.
- (4) There exists a signed composition C of n such that $X = S'_C$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $w \in W_n$ and let $C \Vdash n$. If ${}^w S'_C \subset S'_n$, then there exists a (unique) signed composition D such that ${}^w S'_C = S'_D$.*

Proof. Indeed, ${}^w S'_C \cap T_n = w(S'_C \cap T_n)$ and ${}^w S'_C \cap S_{\bar{n}} = w(S'_C \cap S_{\bar{n}})$. \square

2.4. Orbits of closed subsystems of Φ_n . In this subsection, we determine when two subgroups W_C and W_D of W_n are conjugated. A *bipartition* of n is a pair $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ of partitions such that $|\lambda| := |\lambda^+| + |\lambda^-| = n$. We write $\lambda \Vdash n$ to say that λ is a bipartition of n , and the set of bipartitions of n is denoted by $\text{Bip}(n)$. It is well-known that the conjugacy classes of W_n are in bijection with $\text{Bip}(n)$ (see [9, 14]). We define $\hat{\lambda}$ as the signed composition of n obtained by concatenation of λ^+ and $-\lambda^-$. The map $\text{Bip}(n) \rightarrow \text{Comp}(n)$, $\lambda \mapsto \hat{\lambda}$ is injective.

Now, let C be a signed composition of n . We define $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(C) = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ as the bipartition of n such that λ^+ (resp. λ^-) is obtained from C by reordering in decreasing order the positive parts of C (resp. the absolute value of the negative parts of C). One can easily check that the map

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \text{Comp}(n) \longrightarrow \text{Bip}(n)$$

is surjective (indeed, if $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(n)$, then $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\hat{\lambda}) = \lambda$) and that the following proposition holds:

Proposition 2.7. *Let $C, D \Vdash n$, then W_C and W_D are conjugate in W_n if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(C) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}(D)$. If Ψ is a closed subsystem of Φ_n , then there exists a unique bipartition λ of n and some $w \in W_n$ such that $\Psi = w(\Phi_{\hat{\lambda}})$.*

Let $C, D \Vdash n$, then we write $C \subset D$ if $W_C \subset W_D$. Moreover, $C, C' \subset D$ and if W_C and $W_{C'}$ are conjugate under W_D , then we write $C \equiv_D C'$.

2.5. Distinguished coset representatives. Let $C \Vdash n$, then

$$X_C = \{x \in W_n \mid \forall w \in W_C, \ell(xw) \geq \ell(x)\}$$

is a distinguished set of *minimal coset representatives* for W_n/W_C (see proposition below). It is readily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} X_C &= \{w \in W_n \mid w(\Phi_C^+) \subset \Phi_n^+\} \\ &= \{w \in W_n \mid \forall \alpha \in \Delta_C, w(\alpha) \in \Phi_n^+\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$X_C = \{w \in W_n \mid \forall r \in S_C, \ell(wr) > \ell(w)\}.$$

We need a relative notion: if $D \Vdash n$ such that $C \subset D$, the set $X_C^D = X_C \cap W_D$ is a distinguished set of minimal coset representatives for W_D/W_C . If $D = (n)$ we write X_C^n instead of $X_C^{(n)}$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $C \Vdash n$, then:*

- (a) *The map $X_C \times W_C \rightarrow W_n$, $(x, w) \mapsto xw$ is bijective.*
- (b) *If $C \subset D$, then the map $X_D \times X_C^D \rightarrow X_C$, $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ is bijective.*
- (c) *If $x \in X_C$ and $w \in W_C$, then $\ell_t(xwx^{-1}) \geq \ell_t(w)$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{S}_n \cap {}^x W_C = \mathfrak{S}_n \cap {}^x \mathfrak{S}_{C^+}$.*

Proof. (a) is stated, in a general case, in [7, Proposition 3.1]. (b) follows easily from (a). Let us now prove (c). Let $x \in X_C$ and $w \in W_C$. Let $I = \{i \in I_n \mid w(i) < 0\}$ and $J = \{i \in I_n \mid xwx^{-1}(i) < 0\}$, then $\ell_t(w) = |I|$ and $\ell_t(xwx^{-1}) = |J|$, by 2.2. Now let $i \in I$, then $t_i \in W_C$, so $\ell_t(xt_i) > \ell_t(x)$. In other words, $x(i) > 0$. Now, we have $xwx^{-1}(x(i)) = xw(i)$. But, $w(i) < 0$ and $t_{-w(i)} = wt_iw^{-1} \in W_C$. Therefore, $x(-w(i)) = -xw(i) > 0$. This shows that $x(i) \in J$. So, the map $I \rightarrow J$, $i \mapsto x(i)$ is well-defined and clearly injective, implying $|I| \leq |J|$ as desired.

The last assertion of this proposition follows easily from this inequality and from the fact that $\mathfrak{S}_{C^+} = \{w \in W_C \mid \ell_t(w) = 0\}$. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let $C \models n$ and $x \in X_C$ be such that ${}^xS'_C \subset S'_n$. Let D be the unique signed composition of n such that ${}^xS'_C = S'_D$ (see Corollary 2.6). Then $X_C = X_Dx$.*

Proof. By symmetry, it is sufficient to prove that, if $w \in X_D$, then $wx \in X_C$. Let $\alpha \in \Phi_C^+$. Then, since $x \in X_C$, we have $x(\alpha) \in \Phi_n^+ \cap {}^x\Phi_C = \Phi_D^+$. So $w(x(\alpha)) \in \Phi_n^+$ since $w \in X_D$. So $wx \in X_C$. \square

2.6. Maximal element in X_C . It turns out that, for every signed composition C of n , X_C contains a unique element of maximal length (see Proposition 2.12). First, note the following two examples:

(1) if C is parabolic, it is well-known that ℓ_C is the restriction of ℓ and that, for all $(x, w) \in X_C \times W_C$, we have

$$\ell(xw) = \ell(x) + \ell(w)$$

In particular, w_nw_C is the longest element of X_C (see [9]);

(2) let C be a composition of n , then W_C is not in general a standard parabolic subgroup of W_n . However, since W_C contains \mathfrak{T}_n , X_C is contained in \mathfrak{S}_n . This shows that

$$X_C = X_{\bar{C}}^n = X_{\bar{C}} \cap \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

In particular, X_C contains a unique element of maximal length: this is $\sigma_n\sigma_C$;

Now, let k and l be two non-zero natural numbers such that $k + l = n$. Then $W_{k,l}$ is not a parabolic subgroup of W_n . However, $W_{k,\bar{l}}$ is a standard parabolic subgroup of W_n and $W_{k,\bar{l}} \subset W_{k,l}$. So $X_{k,l} \subset X_{k,\bar{l}}$. So, if $x \in X_{k,l}$ and $w \in W_{k,\bar{l}}$, then

$$(2.10) \quad \ell(xw) = \ell(x) + \ell(w).$$

This applies for instance if $w \in W_k \subset W_{k,\bar{l}}$.

Then, we need to introduce a decomposition of X_C using Proposition 2.8 (b). Write $C = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \models n$. We set

$$X_{C,i} = X_{(|c_1| + \dots + |c_i|, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_r)}^{(|c_1| + \dots + |c_i|, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_r)}.$$

Then the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{C,r} \times \dots \times X_{C,2} \times X_{C,1} & \longrightarrow & X_C \\ (x_r, \dots, x_2, x_1) & \longmapsto & x_r \dots x_2 x_1 \end{array}$$

is bijective by Proposition 2.8 (b). Moreover, by 2.10, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \ell(x_r \dots x_2 x_1) = \ell(x_r) + \dots + \ell(x_2) + \ell(x_1)$$

for every $(x_r, \dots, x_2, x_1) \in X_{C,r} \times \dots \times X_{C,2} \times X_{C,1}$. For every $i \in [1, r]$, $X_{C,i}$ contains a unique element of maximal length (see (1)-(2) above). Let us denote it by $\eta_{C,i}$. We set:

$$\eta_C = \eta_{C,r} \dots \eta_{C,2} \eta_{C,1}.$$

Then, by 2.11, we have

Proposition 2.12. *Let $C \models n$, then η_C is the unique element of X_C of maximal length.*

2.7. Double cosets representatives. If C and D are two signed compositions of n , we set

$$X_{CD} = X_C^{-1} \cap X_D.$$

Proposition 2.13. *Let C and D be two signed composition of n and let $d \in X_{CD}$. Then:*

- (a) *There exists a unique signed composition E of n such that $S'_E = S'_C \cap {}^d S'_D$. It will be denoted by $C \cap {}^d D$ or ${}^d D \cap C$. We have $(C \cap {}^d D)^- = C^- \cap {}^d D^-$.*
- (b) *$W_C \cap {}^d W_D = W_{C \cap {}^d D}$ and $W_C \cap {}^d S'_D = S'_C \cap {}^d W_D = S'_{C \cap {}^d D}$.*
- (c) *If $w \in W_{C \cap {}^d D}$, then $\ell_t(w) = \ell_t(d^{-1}wd)$.*
- (d) *If $w \in W_C d W_D$, then there exists a unique pair $(x, y) \in X_{C \cap {}^d D}^C \times W_D$ such that $w = xdy$.*
- (e) *Let $(x, y) \in X_{C \cap {}^d D}^C \times W_D$, then $\ell(xdy) \geq \ell(x_S) + \ell_t(x) + \ell(d) + \ell(y_S) + \ell_t(y)$.*
- (f) *d is the unique element of $W_C d W_D$ of minimal length.*

Proof. (a) follows immediately from Lemma 2.5 (equivalence between (3) and (4)).

(b) It is clear that $W_E \subset W_C \cap {}^d W_D$. Let us show the reverse inclusion. Let $w \in W_C \cap {}^d W_D$. We will show by induction on $\ell_t(w)$ that $w \in W_E$. If $\ell_t(w) = 0$, then we see from Proposition 2.8 (d) that $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{C^+} \cap {}^d \mathfrak{S}_{D^+} = \mathfrak{S}_{E^+}$ by definition of E^+ .

Assume now that $\ell_t(w) > 0$ and that, if $w' \in W_C \cap {}^d W_D$ is such that $\ell_t(w') < \ell_t(w)$, then $w' \in W_E$. Since $\ell_t(w) > 0$, there exists $i \in [1, n]$ such that $w(i) < 0$. In particular, $t_i \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.8 (d), we have that $t_i \in {}^d W_D$. So, $t_i \in T_C \cap {}^d T_D = T_E$. Now, let $w' = wt_i$. Then $t_i \in W_E$, $w' \in W_C \cap {}^d W_D$ and $\ell_t(w') = \ell_t(w) - 1$. So, by the induction hypothesis, $w' \in W_E$, so $w \in W_E$.

The other assertions of (b) follow easily.

(c) Let $w = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_l$ be a reduced decomposition of w with respect to S_C . Then $d^{-1}wd = (d^{-1}\sigma_1 d) \dots (d^{-1}\sigma_l d)$. But $d^{-1}\sigma_i d \in {}^{d^{-1}}(S'_C \cap {}^d S'_D) = S'_{d^{-1}C \cap D}$, so $\ell_t(d^{-1}\sigma_i d) = \ell_t(\sigma_i)$. Since $\ell_t(w) = \ell_t(\sigma_1) + \dots + \ell_t(\sigma_l)$, we see that $\ell_t(w) \geq \ell_t(d^{-1}wd)$. By symmetry, we obtain the reverse inequality.

(d) Let $w \in W_C d W_D$. Let us write $w = adb$, with $a \in W_C$ and $b \in W_D$. We then write $a = xa'$ with $x \in X_{C \cap {}^d D}^C$ and $a' \in \mathfrak{S}_{C \cap {}^d D}$. Then $d^{-1}a'd \in W_{d^{-1}C \cap D} \subset W_D$. Write $y = (d^{-1}a'd)b$. Then $(x, y) \in X_{C \cap {}^d D}^C \times W_D$ and $w = xdy$.

Now let $(x', y') \in X_{C \cap {}^d D}^C \times W_D$ such that $w = x'dy'$. Then $x'^{-1}x = d(yy'^{-1})d^{-1}$. So $x'^{-1}x \in W_{C \cap {}^d D}$, that is $xW_C = x'W_C$. So $x = x'$ and $y = y'$.

(e) Let $(x, y) \in X_{C \cap {}^d D}^C \times W_D$. We will show by induction on $\ell_t(x) + \ell_t(y)$ that

$$\ell(xdy) \geq \ell(x_S) + \ell_t(x) + \ell(d) + \ell(y_S) + \ell_t(y).$$

If $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y) = 0$, then $x \in X_{C^- \cap d(D^-)}$, $y \in \mathfrak{S}_{D^+}$ and $d \in X_{C^-, D^-}$. So, by [2, Lemma 2], we have $\ell(xdy) = \ell(x_S) + \ell(d) + \ell(y_S)$, as desired.

Now, let us assume that $\ell_t(x) + \ell_t(y) > 0$ and that the result holds for every pair $(x', y') \in X_{C \cap dD}^C \times W_D$ such that $\ell_t(x') + \ell_t(y') < \ell_t(x) + \ell_t(y)$. By symmetry, and using (c), we can assume that $\ell_t(y) > 0$. So there exists $i \in I_n$ such that $y(i) < 0$. Let $y' = yt_i$. Then $t_i \in T_D$, $\ell(y_S) = \ell(y'_S)$, $\ell_t(y') = \ell_t(y) - 1$. Therefore, by induction hypothesis, we have

$$\ell(xdy') \geq \ell(x_S) + \ell_t(x) + \ell(d) + \ell(y_S) + \ell_t(y) - 1.$$

It is now enough to show that $\ell(xdy't_i) > \ell(xdy')$, that is $xdy'(i) > 0$. Note that $y'(i) > 0$ and that $t_{y'(i)} = y't_i y'^{-1} \in W_D$. So the result follows from the following lemma:

Lemma 2.14. *If $d \in X_{CD}$, if $x \in X_{C \cap dD}^D$ and if $j \in [1, n]$ is such that $t_j \in T_D$, then $xd(j) > 0$.*

Proof. Since $t_j \in W_D$ and $d \in X_D$, we have $d(j) > 0$. Two cases may occur. If $t_{d(j)} \in T_C$, then $t_{d(j)} = dt_j d^{-1} \in T_{C \cap dD}$. Therefore, $x(d(j)) > 0$ since $x \in X_{C \cap dD}^C$. If $t_{d(j)} \notin T_C$, then $x(d(j)) > 0$ since $x \in W_C = \mathfrak{S}_{C^+} \rtimes \mathfrak{T}_C$. \square

(f) follows immediately from (e). \square

Remark 2.15 - Let C and D be two signed compositions of n and let $d \in X_{CD}$. Then $d^{-1} \in X_{DC}$ and, by Proposition 2.9, we have that

$$X_{C \cap dD} d = X_{d^{-1}C \cap D}.$$

Corollary 2.16. *The map $X_{CD} \rightarrow W_C \backslash W_n / W_D$ is bijective.*

Proof. The proposition 2.13 (f) shows that the map is injective. The surjectivity follows from the fact that, if $w \in W_n$ is an element of minimal length in $W_C w W_D$, then $w \in X_{CD}$. \square

Corollary 2.17. *If C is parabolic or if D is semi-positive, then*

$$X_D = \coprod_{d \in X_{CD}} X_{C \cap dD}^C d.$$

Proof. It follows from Corollary 2.16 that

$$|X_D| = |W_n / W_D| = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} |W_C d W_D / W_D| = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} |X_{C \cap dD}|,$$

the last equality following from Proposition 2.13 (d). So, it remains to show that, if $d \in X_{CD}$ and if $x \in X_{C \cap dD}^C$, then $xd \in X_D$.

Assume that we have found $s \in S_D$ such that $\ell(xds) < \ell(xd)$. If $s \in T_D$, then $s = t_i$ for some $i \in I_n$. But, by Lemma 2.14, $xd(i) > 0$, so $\ell(xdt_i) > \ell(xd)$, contradicting our hypothesis. Therefore, $s \in S_{D^-}$, that is $s = s_i$ for some $i \in [1, n-1]$. If C is parabolic, $C \cap dD$ is also parabolic. Therefore, $\ell(xds) > \ell(xd)$ which is a contradiction, so D is semi-positive. Therefore, we have that t_i and t_{i+1} belong to

T_D . Thus, by Lemma 2.14, we have $xd(i) > 0$ and $xd(i+1) > 0$. Moreover, since $\ell(xds_i) < \ell(xd)$, we have

$$(*) \quad 0 < xd(i+1) < xd(i).$$

But, since $d \in X_D$, we have $d(i+1) > d(i)$. So, by Proposition 2.13 (b), we have that $ds_id^{-1} \in S_{C \cap dD}$. Thus $\ell(x(ds_id^{-1})) > \ell(x)$ because $x \in X_{C \cap dD}^C$. In other words, $xd(i+1) > xd(i)$. This contradicts (*). \square

If E is a signed composition of n such that $C \subset E$ and $D \subset E$, we set $X_{CD}^E = X_{CD} \cap W_E$.

Example. It is not true in general that $X_D = \coprod_{d \in X_{CD}} X_{C \cap dD}^C$. This is false, if $n = k + l$ with $k, l \geq 1$, $C = (\bar{k}, \bar{l})$ and $D = (n)$. See Example 2.25 for precisions.

In [2], the authors has given a proof of the Solomon theorem using tools which sound like the above results. Here, we cannot translate their proof because of the complexity of the decomposition of X_D (which involve negative coefficients).

2.8. A partition of W_n . If $C = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$ is a signed composition of n , we set

$$A_C = \{s_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|} \mid i \in [1, r] \text{ and } c_i < 0 \text{ and } c_{i+1} > 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_C = S'_C \coprod A_C.$$

As example, $A_{(1, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{1}, 1)} = \{s_5, s_8\}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_C = \mathcal{A}_D$ if and only if $C = D$. If $w \in W_n$, then we define *the ascent set of w* :

$$\mathcal{U}'_n(w) = \{s \in S'_n \mid \ell(ws) > \ell(w)\}.$$

Finally, following Mantaci-Reutenauer, we associate to each element $w \in W_n$ a signed composition $\mathbf{C}(w)$ as follows. First, let $\mathbf{C}^+(w)$ denote the biggest composition (for the order \subset) of n such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq r$, the map $w : I_{\mathbf{C}^+(w)}^{(i)} \rightarrow I_n$ is increasing and has constant sign. Now, we define $\nu_i = \text{sign}(w(j))$ for $j \in I_{\mathbf{C}^+(w)}^{(i)}$. The *descent composition* of w is $\mathbf{C}(w) = (\nu_1 c_1^+, \dots, \nu_r c_r^+)$.

Example. $\mathbf{C}(\underbrace{9.\bar{3}\bar{2}\bar{1}.4.58.\bar{6}.7}_{\in W_9}) = (1, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, 2, \bar{1}, 1) \Vdash 9$.

The following proposition is easy to check (see Remark 2.1):

Proposition 2.18. *If $w \in W_n$, then $\mathcal{U}'_n(w) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{C}(w)}$.*

Remark. Mantaci and Reutenauer have defined the *descent shape* of a signed permutation [16]. It is a signed composition defined similarly than descent composition except that the absolute value of the letters in u_i must be in increasing order. For instance, the descent shape of $9.\bar{3}.\bar{2}.\bar{1}\bar{4}.58.\bar{6}.7$ is $(1, \bar{1}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, 2, \bar{1}, 1)$.

Example 2.19 - Let n' be a non-zero natural number, $n' < n$ and let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n - n' = |c|$. Let $w \in W_{n'} \subset W_n$ and write $\mathbf{C}(w) = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \Vdash n'$. Then $\mathbf{C}(\eta_{(n', c)} w) = (c_1, \dots, c_r, c)$. Consequently, if $C \Vdash n$, an easy induction argument shows that $\mathbf{C}(\eta_C) = C$.

We have then defined a surjective map

$$\mathbf{C} : W_n \longrightarrow \text{Comp}(n)$$

whose fibers are equal to those of the application $\mathcal{U}'_n : W_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S'_n)$. The surjectivity follows from Example 2.19. If $C \Vdash n$, we define

$$Y_C = \{w \in W_n \mid \mathcal{C}(w) = C\}.$$

Then

$$W_n = \coprod_{C \Vdash n} Y_C.$$

Example 2.20 - We have $Y_n = \{1_n\}$, $Y_{\bar{n}} = \{\sigma_n w_n\}$, $Y_{(1, \dots, 1)} = \{\sigma_n\}$ and $Y_{(\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{1})} = \{w_n\}$.

First, note the following elementary facts.

Lemma 2.21. *Let C and D be two signed compositions of n . Then:*

- (a) *If $Y_C \cap X_D \neq \emptyset$, then $Y_C \subset X_D$.*
- (b) *$\eta_C \in Y_C$ and $Y_C \subset X_C$.*

Proof. (a) If $w \in W_n$, then $w \in X_D$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}'_n(w)$ contains S'_D . Since the map $w \mapsto \mathcal{U}'_n(w)$ is constant on Y_C (see Proposition 2.18), (a) follows.

(b) By Example 2.19, we have $\eta_C \in Y_C \cap X_C$. Therefore, by (a), $Y_C \subset X_C$. \square

We then define a relation \leftarrow between signed composition of n as follow. If $C, D \Vdash n$, we write $C \leftarrow D$ if $Y_D \subset X_C$. We denote by \preceq the transitive closure of the relation \leftarrow . It follows from Lemma 2.21 (a) that

$$(2.22) \quad X_C = \coprod_{C \leftarrow D} Y_D.$$

Example 2.23 - Let $w \in W_n$. By Remark 2.1, $w \in X_{\bar{n}}$ if and only if the sequence $(w(1), w(2), \dots, w(n))$ of elements of I_n is strictly increasing (see Remark 2.1). So there exists a unique $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $w(i) > 0$ if and only if $i > k$. Note that $k = \ell_t(w)$. Let $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ be the sequence of elements of I_n such that $(w(1), \dots, w(k)) = (\bar{i}_k, \dots, \bar{i}_1)$. Then $w = r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \dots r_{i_k}$ where, if $1 \leq i \leq n$, we set $r_i = s_{i-1} \dots s_2 s_1 t$. Note that $\mathcal{C}(w) = (\bar{k}, n - k)$. Therefore,

$$X_{\bar{n}} = \{r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \dots r_{i_k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

Note that $\ell(r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \dots r_{i_k}) = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k$ and $\ell_t(r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \dots r_{i_k}) = k$. We get

$$X_{\bar{n}} = \coprod_{0 \leq k \leq n} Y_{(\bar{k}, n-k)},$$

and, for every $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$Y_{(\bar{k}, n-k)} = \{r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \dots r_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

This shows that $(\bar{n}) \leftarrow (\bar{k}, n - k)$.

Proposition 2.24. *Let C and D be two signed compositions of n . Then:*

- (a) $C \leftarrow C$.
- (b) *If $C \subset D$, then $C \leftarrow D$.*
- (c) \preceq *is an order on $\text{Comp}(n)$.*

Proof. (a) follows immediately from Lemma 2.21 (b).

(b) If $C \subset D$, then $X_D \subset X_C$. But, by Lemma 2.21 (b), we have $Y_D \subset X_D$. So $C \leftarrow D$.

(c) Let $a_C = \ell(\mu_C)$. By (a), \preceq is reflexive. By definition, it is transitive. So it is sufficient to show that it is antisymmetric. But it follows from Lemma 2.21 (b) that:

- If $C \leftarrow D$, then $a_D \leq a_C$.
- If $C \leftarrow D$ and if $a_C = a_D$, then $C = D$.

The assertion (c) now follows easily from these two remarks. \square

Example 2.25 - If $C = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$ is a composition of n (not a signed composition), we will prove that

$$X_{\bar{n}} = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq m_2 \leq c_2 \\ 0 \leq m_3 \leq c_3 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq m_r \leq c_r}} X_{(\bar{c}_1, m_2, c_2 - m_2, \dots, m_r, c_r - m_r)}^C Y_{(\bar{c}_1, \bar{m}_2, c_2 - m_2, \dots, \bar{m}_r, c_r - m_r)}^{(\bar{c}_1, m_2, c_2 - m_2, \dots, m_r, c_r - m_r)} \sigma_{C, m_2, \dots, m_r}^{-1}$$

where $\sigma_{C, m_2, \dots, m_r} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ satisfies

$$\sigma_{C, m_2, \dots, m_r} (S'_{(c_1, m_2, c_2 - m_2, \dots, m_r, c_r - m_r)}) \subset S'_n$$

and

$$\sigma_{C, m_2, \dots, m_r} \in X_{(c_1, m_2, c_2 - m_2, \dots, m_r, c_r - m_r)}.$$

By an easy induction argument, it is sufficient to prove it whenever $r = 2$. In other words, we want to prove that, if $k + l = n$ with $k, l \geq 0$, then

$$(*) \quad X_{\bar{n}} = \prod_{0 \leq m \leq l} X_{(\bar{k}, m, l - m)}^{(\bar{k}, l)} Y_{(\bar{k}, \bar{m}, l - m)}^{(\bar{k}, m, l - m)} \sigma_{k, l, m}^{-1}$$

where $\sigma_{k, l, m} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ satisfies $\sigma_{k, l, m} (S'_{(k, m, l - m)}) \subset S'_n$ and $\sigma_{k, l, m} \in X_{(k, m, l - m)}$. But, if $0 \leq m \leq l$, we set

$$\sigma_{k, l, m}(i) = \begin{cases} m + i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ i - k & \text{if } k + 1 \leq i \leq k + m, \\ i & \text{if } k + m + 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

and one can easily check that (*) holds. Moreover, since $S'_{k, m, l - m} = S'_n \setminus \{s_k, s_{k+m}\}$, we get that $\sigma_{k, l, m} (S'_{k, m, l - m}) \subset S'_n$ and $\sigma_{k, l, m} \in X_{(k, m, l - m)}$.

3. GENERALIZED DESCENT ALGEBRA

3.1. Definition. If C and D are two signed compositions of n such that $C \subset D$, we set

$$x_C^D = \sum_{w \in X_C^D} w \quad \in \mathbb{Z}W_D$$

and

$$y_C^D = \sum_{w \in Y_C^D} w \quad \in \mathbb{Z}W_D.$$

Now, let

$$\Sigma'(W_D) = \bigoplus_{C \subset D} \mathbb{Z}y_C^D \quad \subset \mathbb{Z}W_D.$$

Note that

$$\Sigma'(W_D) = \bigoplus_{C \subset D} \mathbb{Z}x_C^D$$

by 2.22 and Proposition 2.24. We define

$$\theta_D : \Sigma'(W_D) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_D$$

as the unique \mathbb{Z} -linear map such that

$$\theta_D(x_C^D) = \text{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_D} 1_C$$

for every $C \subset D$. Here, 1_C is the trivial character of W_C . We denote by ε_D the sign character of W_D .

Notation. If $D = (n)$, we set $x_C^D = x_C$, $y_C^D = y_C$ for simplification. If E is \mathbb{Z} -module, we denote by $\mathbb{Q}E$ the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E$. We denote by $\theta_{D,\mathbb{Q}}$ the extension of θ_D to $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$ by \mathbb{Q} -linearity.

Remark. $\Sigma'(W_n)$ contains the Solomon descent algebras of W_n and \mathfrak{S}_n . Moreover, $\Sigma'(W_n)$ is precisely the *Mantaci-Reutenauer algebra* which is, by definition, generated by $y_D = y_D^{(n)}$, for all $D \Vdash n$.

3.2. First properties of θ_D . By the Mackey formula for product of induced characters and by Proposition 2.13, we have that

$$(3.1) \quad \theta_n(x_C)\theta_n(x_D) = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} \theta_n(x_{d^{-1}C \cap D}).$$

Example 3.2 - If C is parabolic or D is semi-positive, then, by Corollary 2.17, we have

$$x_D = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} x_{C \cap d}^C d.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.8 (b) and Remark 2.15, we get

$$x_C x_D = \sum_{d \in X_{CD}} x_{d^{-1}C \cap D}.$$

So $x_C x_D \in \Sigma'(W_n)$ and, by 3.1, $\theta_n(x_C x_D) = \theta_n(x_C)\theta_n(x_D)$. \square

Before starting the proof of the fact that $\Sigma'(W_D)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}W_D$ and that θ_D is a morphism of algebras, we need the following result, which will be useful for arguing by induction. If $C \subset D$, the transitivity of induction and Proposition 2.8 (b) show that the diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma'(W_C) & \xrightarrow{x_C^D} & \Sigma'(W_D) \\ \theta_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_D \\ \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_C & \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_D}} & \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_D \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Now, let $p_D : W_D \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{D^+}$ be the canonical projection. It induces an injective morphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras $p_D^* : \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } \mathfrak{S}_{D^+} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_D$. Moreover, the algebra

$\Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_{D^+})$ coincides with the usual descent algebra in symmetric groups and is contained in $\Sigma'(W_D)$. Also, the diagram

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_{D^+}) & \hookrightarrow & \Sigma'(W_D) \\ \theta_{D^-} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_D \\ \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } \mathfrak{S}_{D^+} & \xrightarrow{p_D^*} & \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_D \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Example 3.5 - We have $y_{(\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{1})} = w_n$, $y_{\bar{n}} = w_n \sigma_n = \sigma_n w_n$, $y_n = 1$ and $y_{(1, \dots, 1)} = \sigma_n$. It is well-known [18] that $y_{(\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{1})}$ belongs to the classical descent algebra of W_n and that

$$(a) \quad \theta_n(w_n) = \varepsilon_n.$$

On the other hand,

$$(b) \quad \theta_n(1_n) = 1_{(n)}.$$

Also, by the commutativity of the diagram 3.4 and as above, we have

$$(c) \quad \theta_n(\sigma_n) = \gamma_n,$$

where $\gamma_n = p_n^* \varepsilon_{\bar{n}}$. Finally, w_n is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of x_C , where C runs over the parabolic compositions of n . Therefore, by Example 3.2, we have, for every $x \in \Sigma'(W_n)$,

$$(d) \quad \theta_n(w_n x) = \theta_n(w_n) \theta_n(x) = \varepsilon_n \theta_n(x).$$

In particular,

$$(e) \quad \theta_n(y_{\bar{n}}) = \theta_n(w_n \sigma_n) = \varepsilon_n \gamma_n.$$

So we have obtained the four linear characters of W_n as images by θ_n of explicit elements of $\Sigma'(W_n)$.

Let $\text{deg}_D : \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the \mathbb{Z} -linear map sending an irreducible character of W_D to its degree. It is a morphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras. Let $\text{aug}_D : \mathbb{Z} W_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the augmentation morphism, then it is clear that the diagram

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma'(W_D) & \xrightarrow{\theta_D} & \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_D \\ & \searrow \text{aug}_D & \downarrow \text{deg}_D \\ & & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

3.3. Main result. We are now ready to prove that $\Sigma'(W_D)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}W_D$ and that θ_D is a surjective morphism of algebras.

Theorem 3.7. *Let D be a signed composition of n , then:*

- (a) $\Sigma'(W_D)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}W_D$;
- (b) θ_D is a morphism of algebra;
- (c) θ_D is surjective and $\text{Ker } \theta_D = \bigoplus_{\substack{C, C' \subset D \\ C \equiv_D C'}} \mathbb{Z}(x_C^D - x_{C'}^D)$;
- (d) $\text{Ker } \theta_{D, \mathbb{Q}}$ is the radical of the algebra $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$. Moreover, $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$ is a split algebra whose largest semisimple quotient is commutative. In particular, all its simple modules are of dimension 1.

Proof. We want to prove the theorem by induction on $|W_D|$. By taking direct products, we may therefore assume that $D = (n)$ or $D = (\bar{n})$. If $D = (\bar{n})$, then it is well-known that (a), (b), (c) and (d) hold. So we may assume that $D = (n)$ and that (a), (b), (c) and (d) hold for every signed composition D' of n different from (n) .

(a) and (b): Let A and B be two signed compositions of n . We want to prove that $x_A x_B \in \Sigma'(W_n)$ and that $\theta_n(x_A x_B) = \theta_n(x_A)\theta_n(x_B)$. If A is parabolic or B is semi-positive, then this is just Example 3.2. So we may assume that A is not parabolic and B is not semi-positive.

First, note that $B \subset B^+$ and that B^+ is semi-positive. Therefore, by Proposition 2.8(b) and Example 3.2, we have

$$x_A x_B = x_A x_{B^+} x_B^{B^+} = \sum_{d \in X_{A, B^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+} x_B^{B^+} = x_{B^+} \sum_{d \in X_{A, B^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+} x_B^{B^+}.$$

Assume first that $B^+ \neq (n)$. Then, by induction hypothesis,

$$\sum_{d \in X_{A, B^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+} x_B^{B^+} \in \Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_{B^+})$$

and

$$\theta_{B^+} \left(\sum_{d \in X_{A, B^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+} x_B^{B^+} \right) = \sum_{d \in X_{A, B^+}} \theta_{B^+}(x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+}) \theta_{B^+}(x_B^{B^+}).$$

Therefore, by 3.3 and 3.4, $x_A x_B \in x_{B^+} \Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_{B^+}) \subset \Sigma'(\mathfrak{S}_n) \subset \Sigma'(W_n)$ and, by 3.3 and by the Mackey formula for tensor product, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_n(x_A x_B) &= \text{Ind}_{W_{B^+}}^{W_n} \left(\sum_{d \in X_{A, B^+}} \theta_{B^+}(x_{d^{-1}A \cap B^+}) \theta_{B^+}(x_B^{B^+}) \right) \\ &= \theta_n(x_A) \text{Ind}_{W_{B^+}}^{W_n} \theta_{B^+}(x_B^{B^+}) \\ &= \theta_n(x_A) \theta_n(x_B), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Therefore, it remains to consider the case where $B^+ = (n)$. In particular, $B = (n)$ or (\bar{n}) . Since B is not semi-positive, we have $B = (\bar{n})$. By Example 2.25, we have

$$x_{\bar{n}} = x_{A^-}^{A^+} + \sum_{D \subset A^+} a_D x_D^{A^+} (\sigma_D^{-1} - 1)$$

where $a_D \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma_D(S'_D) \subset S'_n$ and $\sigma_D \in X_D$ for every $D \subset A^+$. Therefore,

$$x_A x_B = x_A x_{\bar{n}} = x_{A^+} \left(x_A^{A^+} x_{A^-}^{A^+} + \sum_{D \subset A^+} a_D x_A^{A^+} x_D^{A^+} (\sigma_D^{-1} - 1) \right).$$

Now, W_A is a standard parabolic subgroup of W_{A^+} . So, by Example 3.2, we have

$$x_A x_B = \sum_{d \in X_{A, A^-}^{A^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap (A^-)} + \sum_{D \subset A^+} \left(a_D \sum_{d \in X_{A, D}^{A^+}} x_{d^{-1}A \cap D} (\sigma_D^{-1} - 1) \right).$$

Therefore, since $\sigma_D(S'_D) \subset S'_n$ and $\sigma_D \in X_D$, we have that $x_{d^{-1}A \cap D} \sigma_D^{-1} = x_{\sigma_D(d^{-1}A \cap D)}$. So $x_A x_B \in \Sigma'(W_n)$ and $\theta_n(x_A x_B) = \theta_n(x_A) \theta_n(x_B)$ by the Mackey formula for tensor product of induced characters. This concludes the proof of (a) and (b). Indeed, the surjectivity of θ_n is well-known.

(c) First, let us show that θ_n is surjective. Using the induction hypothesis, the commutativity of the diagram 3.3, and the classical description of irreducible characters of W_n , we are reduced to prove that, for every $\chi \in \text{Irr } \mathfrak{S}_n$, $p_n^*(\chi)$ and $p_n^*(\chi) \varepsilon_n$ lie in the image of θ_n . But it is well-known that $\theta_{\bar{n}}$ is surjective. So the result follows from the commutativity of the diagram 3.4 and from Example 3.5 (d).

Now, let $I = \sum_{\substack{C, C' \models n \\ C \equiv_n C'}} \mathbb{Z}(x_C - x_{C'})$. Then it is clear that $I \subset \text{Ker } \theta_n$. Let $J = \oplus_{\lambda \in \text{Bip}(n)} \mathbb{Z} x_\lambda$. Then $\Sigma'(W_n) = I \oplus J$ and the map $\theta_n : J \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_n$ is surjective. Since J and $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_n$ have the same rank (equal to $|\text{Bip}(n)|$), we get that $J \cap \text{Ker } \theta_n = 0$. So $I = \text{Ker } \theta_n$.

(d) Let $R = \text{Rad}(\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_n))$ and $K = \text{Ker } \theta_{n, \mathbb{Q}}$. Since $\text{Im}(\theta_{n, \mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbb{Q} \text{Irr } W_n$ is a semisimple algebra, we get that $R \subset K$.

Now, let $\chi : \mathbb{Q}W_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the character of the $\mathbb{Q}W_n$ -module $\mathbb{Q}W_n$ (the regular representation). Then, $\chi(w) = 0$ for every $w \neq 1$. Let χ' denote the restriction of χ to $\Sigma'(W_n)$. We have $\chi'(x_C) = \chi(1)$ for every $C \models n$. Therefore, $\chi'(x) = 0$ for every $x \in K$ by (c). We fix now $x \in K$. Then, for every $y \in \mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_n)$, we have $\chi'(xy) = 0$ because $xy \in K$ by (b). Since the $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_n)$ -module $\mathbb{Q}W_n$ is faithful, this implies that $x \in R$. So $K \subset R$. \square

Remark. $\Sigma'(W_C) \simeq \Sigma'(W_{c_1}) \otimes \Sigma'(W_{c_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Sigma'(W_{c_r})$.

3.4. Further properties of θ_D . Let $\tau_D : \mathbb{Z}W_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the unique linear map such that $\tau_D(w) = 0$ if $w \neq 1$ and $\tau_D(1) = 1$. Then τ_D is the canonical symmetrizing form on $\mathbb{Z}W_D$: in particular, the map $\mathbb{Z}W_D \times \mathbb{Z}W_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $(x, y) \mapsto \tau_D(xy)$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{Z}W_D$. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D$ the scalar product on $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_D$ such that $\text{Irr } W_D$ is an orthonormal basis. The following property is a kind of ‘‘isometry property’’ for the morphism θ_D .

Proposition 3.8. *If $x, y \in \Sigma'(W_D)$, then $\tau_D(xy) = \langle \theta_D(x), \theta_D(y) \rangle_D$.*

Proof. Let C and C' be two signed compositions of n such that $C, C' \subset D$. Then $\tau_D(x_C^D x_{C'}^D) = |X_{C, C'}^D|$ by definition of τ_D . Moreover, since $\theta_D(x_C)$ and $\theta_D(x_{C'})$ take only rational values, we have

$$\langle \theta_D(x_C^D), \theta_D(x_{C'}^D) \rangle_D = \langle \theta_D(x_C^D) \theta_D(x_{C'}^D), 1_{W_D} \rangle_D.$$

But, by 3.1 and by Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$\langle \theta_D(x_C^D) \theta_D(x_{C'}^D), 1_{W_D} \rangle_D = |X_{CC'}^D|.$$

So the proposition follows now from the fact that $(x_C^D)_{C \subset D}$ generates $\Sigma'(W_D)$. \square

Corollary 3.9. $\text{Ker } \theta_D = \{x \in \Sigma'(W_D) \mid \forall y \in \Sigma'(W_D), \tau_D(xy) = 0\}$.

Proof. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D$ is non-degenerate on $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_D$, this follows from Proposition 3.8. \square

Write $D = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ and let $\text{Bip}(D)$ denote the set of r -uples $(\lambda_{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{(r)})$ of bipartitions $\lambda_{(i)} = (\lambda_{(i)}^+, \lambda_{(i)}^-)$ such that $\lambda_{(i)}^- = \emptyset$ if $d_i < 0$ and $|\lambda_{(i)}| = |d_i|$ for every $i \in [1, r]$. If $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)$, we denote by \mathcal{C}_λ^D the conjugacy class in W_D of a Coxeter element of W_λ (with respect to S_λ). Let f_λ^D denote the characteristic function of \mathcal{C}_λ^D . Then f_λ is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{Q} \text{Irr } W_n$. Moreover, $(f_\lambda^D)_{\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)}$ is a complete family of orthogonal primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{Q} \text{Irr } W_D$. Since θ_D is surjective, there exists a family of idempotents $(E_\lambda^D)_{\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)}$ of $\mathbb{Q} \Sigma'(W_D)$ such that

- (1) $\forall \lambda \in \text{Bip}(D), \theta_D(E_\lambda^D) = f_\lambda^D$.
- (2) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \text{Bip}(D), \lambda \neq \mu \Rightarrow E_\lambda^D E_\mu^D = E_\mu^D E_\lambda^D = 0$.
- (3) $\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)} E_\lambda^D = 1$.

Proposition 3.10. *If $x \in \Sigma'(W_D)$, then*

$$\theta_D(x) = |W_D| \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Irr } D} \frac{\tau_D(x E_\lambda^D)}{|\mathcal{C}_\lambda^D|} f_\lambda^D \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_D.$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathbb{Q} \text{Irr } W_D$, then

$$f = |W_D| \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)} \frac{\langle f, f_\lambda^D \rangle_D}{|\mathcal{C}_\lambda^D|} f_\lambda^D \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_D.$$

If $f = \theta_D(x)$ with $x \in \Sigma'(W_D)$, then we get the desired formula just by applying Proposition 3.8 and the property (1) above. \square

3.5. Character table. Since all irreducible characters of W_D have rational values, the algebra $\mathbb{Q} \text{Irr } W_D$ may be identified with the \mathbb{Q} -algebra of central functions $W_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. If $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)$, we denote by $\text{ev}_\lambda^D : \mathbb{Q} \text{Irr } W_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \chi \mapsto \chi(c_\lambda^D)$, where c_λ^D is some element of \mathcal{C}_λ^D (for instance, a Coxeter element of W_λ). Then ev_λ^D is a morphism of algebras: it is an irreducible representation of $\mathbb{Q} \text{Irr } W_D$. Moreover, $\{\text{ev}_\lambda^D \mid \lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)\}$ is a complete set of representatives of isomorphy classes of irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Q} \text{Irr } W_D$. Now, let \mathbb{Q}_λ^D denote the $\mathbb{Q} \Sigma'(W_D)$ -module whose underlying vector space is \mathbb{Q} and on which an element $x \in \mathbb{Q} \Sigma'(W_D)$ acts by multiplication by $\pi_\lambda^D(x) = (\text{ev}_\lambda^D \circ \theta_D)(x)$. Then, by Theorem 3.7, we get:

Proposition 3.11. $\{\mathbb{Q}_\lambda^D \mid \lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)\}$ is a complete set of isomorphy classes of $\mathbb{Q} \Sigma'(W_D)$ -modules. We have

$$\text{Irr}(\mathbb{Q} \Sigma'(W_D)) = \{\pi_\lambda^D \mid \lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)\}.$$

The *character table* of $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$ is the square matrix whose rows and the columns are indexed by $\text{Bip}(D)$ and whose (λ, μ) -entry is the value of the irreducible character $\pi_\lambda^D(x_\mu^D)$. Note that

$$\pi_\lambda^D(x_\mu^D) = \left(\text{Ind}_{W_\mu^D}^{W_D} 1_\mu \right) (c_\lambda^D).$$

Notation. If $D = (n)$, we denote $C_\lambda^D, f_\lambda^D, E_\lambda^D, c_\lambda^D, \text{ev}_\lambda^D, \mathbb{Q}_\lambda^D$ and π_λ^D by $C_\lambda, f_\lambda, E_\lambda, c_\lambda, \text{ev}_\lambda, \mathbb{Q}_\lambda$ and π_λ respectively.

Now, if $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Bip}(D)$, we write $\lambda \subset \mu$ if there exists some $w \in W_D$ such that $W_\lambda \subset {}^w W_\mu$. By Proposition 2.7, \subset is a partial order on $\text{Bip}(D)$. For this partial order, the character table of $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_D)$ is triangular :

Proposition 3.12. *If $\pi_\lambda^D(x_\mu^D) \neq 0$, then $\lambda \subset \mu$.*

Proof. We may, and we will, assume that $D = (n)$. If $\pi_\lambda(x_\mu) \neq 0$, then there exists $w \in W_n$ such that $wc_\lambda w^{-1} \in W_\mu$. Therefore, there exists $\nu \in \text{Bip}(\mu)$ and $w' \in W_\mu$ such that $w'wc_\lambda w^{-1}w'^{-1}$ is a Coxeter element of W_ν . Let C denote the unique signed composition of n such that $W_\nu = W_C$ and let $\lambda' = \lambda(C)$. Then $w'wc_\lambda w^{-1}w'^{-1}$ is conjugate to $c_{\lambda'}$. Therefore, $\lambda = \lambda'$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

In the last section of this paper, we will give the character table of $\Sigma'(W_2)$.

3.6. Combinatorial description. In \mathfrak{S}_n , the refinement of compositions is useful to construct X_C from Y_D without considering subsets of S'_n . The aim of this part is to describe such a procedure in our case. Start with an example, consider $C = (\bar{2}, 1)$, then the subsets of S'_3 containing $S_C = \{s_1, t_3\}$ are $\{s_1, s_2, t_3\} = \mathcal{A}_{(\bar{2}, 1)}$; $\{s_1, t_2, t_3\} = \mathcal{A}_{(\bar{1}, 1, 1)}$; $\{s_1, t_1, t_2, t_3\} = \mathcal{A}_{(2, 1)}$, $\{s_1, s_2, t_2, t_3\} = \mathcal{A}_{(\bar{1}, 2)}$ and $S'_3 = \mathcal{A}_{(3)}$. Observe that $(1, 2)$ (which corresponds to $\{s_2, t_1, t_2, t_3\} \not\supset S_C$) is not obtained. Here, we define a procedure which give $(\bar{2}, 1)$, $(\bar{1}, 1, 1)$, $(\bar{1}, 2)$ and (3) , without to obtain $(1, 2)$.

Let $C = (c_1, \dots, c_k) \Vdash n$, we write:

- $C \xleftarrow{B} D$ if $D = (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_k, b_k) \Vdash n$ such that for all $i \in [1, k]$ we have $|a_i| + |b_i| = |c_i|$; $a_i = c_i$ (hence $b_i = 0$) if $c_i > 0$; $a_i \leq 0 \leq b_i$ if $c_i < 0$ (remove the 0 from the list $(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_k, b_k)$). That is, D is obtained from C by *broken negative parts operations*.
- $C \xleftarrow{R} D$ if C is finer than $D \Vdash n$, that is, D can be obtained from C by summing consecutive parts of C having the same sign (*refinement operations*).

Example 3.13 - Let $C = (1, \bar{2}, \bar{1})$, then

$$\left\{ D \Vdash 4 \mid C \xleftarrow{B} D \right\} = \{(1, \bar{2}, \bar{1}), (1, \bar{1}, 1, \bar{1}), (1, \bar{1}, 1, 1), (1, 2, \bar{1}), (1, \bar{2}, 1), (1, 2, 1)\}.$$

Remark 3.14 - Let $C, D \Vdash n$, then we have $C \leftarrow D$ if and only if $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D$. We deduce easily from definitions, Lemma 2.21 and Example 2.23 the following properties for any $i \in [1, k-1]$:

- if $\text{sign } c_i = \text{sign } c_{i+1}$, $C = (c_1, \dots, c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) \xleftarrow{R} (c_1, \dots, c_i + c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) = D$, and this means that $\mathcal{A}_D = \mathcal{A}_C \uplus \{s_{|c_1| + \dots + |c_i|}\}$;

- if $c_i, c_{i+1} < 0$, then

$$C = (c_1, \dots, c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) \xleftarrow{B} (c_1, \dots, c_i + 1, 1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) = D$$

(remove the 0 from the list), and this means that $\mathcal{A}_D = \mathcal{A}_C \uplus \{t_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|}\}$;

- if $c_i < 0$ and $c_{i+1} > 0$, then

$$C = (c_1, \dots, c_i, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) \xleftarrow{B} (c_1, \dots, c_i + 1, 1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) = D$$

(remove the 0 from the list), and this means that $\mathcal{A}_D \uplus \{s_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|}\} = \mathcal{A}_C \uplus \{t_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|}\}$. Moreover, as $s_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|} \notin S_C$, we have $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D$, that is, $C \leftarrow D$;

- finally, if $c_i < 0$, then $C \xleftarrow{B} (c_1, \dots, c_i + 1, 1, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) = D$ and

$$C \xleftarrow{B} (c_1, \dots, c_i + 2, 2, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) = D'$$

(remove the 0 from the list), and this means that $\mathcal{A}_{D'} = \mathcal{A}_D \uplus \{t_{|c_1|+\dots+|c_i|}\}$.

Hence $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D \subset \mathcal{A}_{D'}$.

In all these cases, we have $C \leftarrow D$.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $C, D \Vdash n$, then $C \leftarrow D$ if and only if there is $E \Vdash n$ such that $C \xleftarrow{B} E \xleftarrow{R} D$. Moreover, E is uniquely determined.*

Proof. Suppose that E exists, then it is easy to check (using Remark 3.14 and induction) that $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_E \subset \mathcal{A}_D$, which implies $C \leftarrow D$.

Now, suppose that $C \leftarrow D$. As $S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D$, it is easy to construct a unique $E \Vdash n$ such that $\mathcal{A}_E \cap T_n = \mathcal{A}_D \cap T_n$ and $C \xleftarrow{B} E$ (hence $C \leftarrow B$). It remains to show that $E \xleftarrow{R} D$, that is, to show that $\mathcal{A}_E \cap S_{\bar{n}} \subset \mathcal{A}_D \cap S_{\bar{n}}$. Let $s_j \in \mathcal{A}_E \cap S_{\bar{n}}$, then either $j \in [|c_1| + \dots + |c_{i-1}| + 1, \dots, |c_1| + \dots + |c_i| - 1]$ hence $s_j \in S_C \subset \mathcal{A}_D$; or $j = |c_1| + \dots + |c_i|$ and $c_i < 0$ and $c_{i+1} > 0$ by definition. But refinement operations do not act on parts having not the same sign, that is, $s_j \in \mathcal{A}_D$. \square

Example 3.16 - Consider the signed composition $C = (1, \bar{2}, \bar{1})$. Then we obtain from Theorem 3.15 and Example 3.13

$$\begin{aligned} X_{(1, \bar{2}, \bar{1})} &= Y_{(1, \bar{2}, \bar{1})} \cup Y_{(1, \bar{3})} \cup Y_{(1, \bar{1}, 1, \bar{1})} \cup Y_{(1, \bar{1}, 1, 1)} \cup Y_{(1, \bar{1}, 2)} \cup Y_{(1, 2, \bar{1})} \cup Y_{(3, \bar{1})} \\ &\cup Y_{(1, \bar{2}, 1)} \cup Y_{(1, 2, 1)} \cup Y_{(3, 1)} \cup Y_{(1, 3)} \cup Y_{(4)}. \end{aligned}$$

4. COPLACTIC SPACE

4.1. Robinson-Schensted correspondence for W_D . In [20], the author defined a bijection between W_n and a certain set of bitableaux, which sounds like a Robinson-Schensted correspondence. Let us recall here some of his results. A *bitableau* is a pair $T = (T^+, T^-)$ of tableaux. The *shape* of T is the bipartition (λ^+, λ^-) , where λ^+ is the shape of T^+ and λ^- is the shape of T^- : it is denoted by $\text{sh } T$. We note $|T| = |\text{sh } T|$. The bitableau T is said to be *standard* if the set of numbers in T^+ and T^- is $[1, m]$, where $m = |T|$, and if the fillings of T^+ and T^- are increasing in rows and in column.

Let $D \Vdash n$. Write $D = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ and denote by $\mathcal{SBT}(D)$ the set of r -uples $T = (T_1, \dots, T_r)$ of bitableaux $T_i = (T_i^+, T_i^-)$ such that $|T_i| = |d_i|$, $T_i^- = \emptyset$ if $d_i < 0$, T_i^+ and T_i^- are standard and the fillings of T_i^+ and T_i^- are exactly the numbers in $I_{D,+}^{(i)} = [|d_1| + \dots + |d_{i-1}| + 1, |d_1| + \dots + |d_i|]$. The *shape* of T ,

denoted by $\text{sh}T$, is the r -uple of bipartitions $(\text{sh}T_1, \dots, \text{sh}T_r)$. If $T \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)$, then $\text{sh}T \in \text{Bip}(D)$. If $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)$, we denote by \mathcal{SBT}_λ^D the set of elements $T \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)$ such that $\text{sh}T = \lambda$. In [20], the author defined a bijection (which we call *generalized Robinson-Schensted correspondence*)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_D : W_D &\longrightarrow \{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{SBT}(D) \times \mathcal{SBT}(D) \mid \text{sh}P = \text{sh}Q\} \\ w &\longmapsto (\mathbf{P}_D(w), \mathbf{Q}_D(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, in [20] (see also [5, Section 3]), the bijection has been defined only for $D = (n)$. It is not difficult to deduce from this the bijection π_D for general D . To this bijection is associated a partition of W_n as follows: if $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)$, we set

$$Z_Q^D = \{w \in W_D \mid \mathbf{Q}_D(w) = Q\}.$$

Then

$$W_D = \coprod_{Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)} Z_Q^D.$$

4.2. Properties. First, note that the bijection π_D satisfies the following property: if $w \in W_n$, then

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_D(w^{-1}) = (\mathbf{Q}_D(w), \mathbf{P}_D(w)).$$

In particular, if Q and Q' are two elements of $\mathcal{SBT}(D)$, then

$$(4.2) \quad |Z_Q^D \cap (Z_{Q'}^D)^{-1}| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{sh}Q = \text{sh}Q', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark. $\pi_{\bar{n}}$ is the usual Robinson-Schensted correspondence. For simplification, we denote by $Z_Q = Z_Q^{(n)}$ if $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$.

In [5, Section 3], the authors give another way to define the equivalence relation associated to this partition which looks like coplactic equivalence or dual-Knuth equivalence. If $w, w' \in W_D$, we write $w \smile_D w'$ if $w'w^{-1} \in S_{D^-} \subset S_{\bar{n}} = \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_D(w^{-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{D}'_D(w'^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{D}'_D(w'^{-1}) \not\subset \mathcal{D}'_D(w^{-1})$. Note that the relation \smile_D is symmetric. We denote by \sim_D the reflexive and transitive closure of \smile_D . It is an equivalence relation, called the *coplactic equivalence relation*. The equivalence classes for this relation are called the *coplactic classes* of W_D . We denote by $\text{Cop}(W_D)$ the set of coplactic classes for the relation \sim_D .

By [5, Proposition 3.8], we have, for every $w, w' \in W_D$,

$$(4.3) \quad w \sim_D w' \iff \mathbf{Q}_D(w) = \mathbf{Q}_D(w').$$

So $\text{Cop}(W_D) = \{Z_Q^D \mid Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)\}$.

Remark 4.4 - The relation \smile_D has a useful combinatorial interpretation (see [5, Proof of Proposition 3.8]): $w \smile_D s_i w$ ($s_i \in S_{D^-}$) if and only if:

- either $\text{sign}(w(i)) \neq \text{sign}(w(i+1))$;
- or $\text{sign}(w(i)) = \text{sign}(w(i+1))$, then $s_i w$ is obtained from w by a classical dual-Knuth transformation; that is, $w^{-1}(i-1)$ or $w^{-1}(i+2)$ lie between $w^{-1}(i)$ and $w^{-1}(i+1)$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $w, w' \in W_D$, then $w \sim_D w' \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_D(w) = \mathcal{D}'_D(w')$.*

Proof. We may, and we will, assume that $D = (n)$. If T_0 is a Young tableau, let $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = \{s_p \in S_{\bar{n}} \mid p+1 \text{ lies in a row above the row containing } p\}$. Let tT_0 denote the transposed tableau of T . If $T = (T^+, T^-)$ is a standard bitableau, we set

$$\mathcal{D}'(T) = \{t_p \mid p \in T^-\} \uplus \{s_p \mid p \in T^+ \text{ and } p+1 \in T^-\} \uplus \mathcal{D}(T^+) \uplus \mathcal{D}({}^tT^-).$$

Then it is easy to check that $\mathcal{D}'_n(w) = \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{Q}(w))$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Example 4.6 - Let

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 7 \\ \hline 6 & 9 \\ \hline 8 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \in \mathcal{SBT}(9).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D}'(T) = \{s_1, s_3, s_6, s_8, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}.$$

Remark 4.7 - Using Proposition 4.5, we may assign a signed composition $\mathbf{C}(Q) \Vdash n$ to any standard bitableau $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$ by setting $\mathbf{C}(Q) = \mathbf{C}(w)$ for any $w \in W_n$ such that $\mathbf{Q}(w) = Q$. One can determine $\mathbf{C}(Q)$ directly from Q thanks to the following procedure, which is a combinatorial translation of the proof of Proposition 4.5. First one looks for maximal subwords $j \ j+1 \ j+2 \ \dots \ k$ of $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \dots \ n$ such that

- either the numbers $j, j+1, j+2, \dots, k$ can be found in this order in Q^+ when one goes from left to right (changes of rows are allowed)
- or they can be found in this order in Q^- when one goes from top to bottom (changes of column are allowed).

The word $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \dots \ n$ is then the concatenation of these maximal subwords, and the signed composition $\mathbf{C}(Q)$ is the sequence of the lengths of these subwords, adorned with a minus sign if the letters of the subword can be found in Q^- . As an example, consider $Q = (Q^+, Q^-)$ with

$$Q^+ = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 13 \\ \hline 9 & 11 & 12 & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad Q^- = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & 14 \\ \hline 4 & \\ \hline 5 & \\ \hline 15 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

The partition of $1 \ 2 \ \dots \ 14 \ 15$ in maximal subwords is $1 \ 2 \mid 3 \ 4 \ 5 \mid 6 \ 7 \ 8 \mid 9 \mid 10 \ 11 \ 12 \ 13 \mid 14 \ 15$, from what we can deduce that $\mathbf{C}(Q) = (2, \bar{3}, 3, 1, 4, \bar{2})$.

Therefore, we have by definitions

$$X_C = \coprod_{C \prec \mathbf{C}(Q)} Z_Q.$$

Proposition 4.8. *Let $C, D \Vdash n$ such that $C \subset D$. Let $w, w' \in W_C$ and $x, x' \in X_C^D$, then:*

- If $w \sim_C w'$, then $wx^{-1} \sim_D w'x^{-1}$.
- If $xw \sim_D x'w'$, then $w \sim_C w'$.
- If $w \sim_C w'$, then $w_C w \sim_C w_C w'$ and $ww_C \sim_C w'w_C$.

Proof. (c) is clear. Let us now prove (a). We may assume that $w \smile_C w'$. But $\mathcal{D}_C(w^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{D}_D(xw^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{D}_C(w'^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{D}_D(xw'^{-1})$. So $w x^{-1} \smile_D w' x^{-1}$.

We now prove (b). If W_C is a standard parabolic subgroup of W_D , and using the fact that coplactic classes are left cells for a particular choice of parameters [5, Theorem 7.7], then (b) follows from [8]. Therefore, by taking direct products and by arguing by induction on $|X_C^D|$, we may now assume that $D = (n)$ and $C = (k, l)$ with $k, l \geq 1$ and $k + l = n$.

Let us start by proving (a). We may assume that $w \smile_C w'$. But $\mathcal{D}_C(w^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{D}_D(xw^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{D}_C(w'^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{D}_D(xw'^{-1})$. So $w x^{-1} \smile_D w' x^{-1}$.

Let us now prove (b). We may assume that $xw \smile_D x'w'$. Let $Q = \mathcal{Q}_C(w) = \mathcal{Q}_C(w')$. From Remark 4.4, we have two cases: either $x'w'$ is obtained from xw by a dual-Knuth relation, or $x'w' = s_i xw$ and $\text{sign}(xw(i)) \neq \text{sign}(xw(i+1))$. In the first case, observe that, for any $i \in [1, k-1]$ and $i \in [k+1, k+l-1]$, $xw(k) < xw(k+1)$ if and only if $w(k) < w(k+1)$, since $x \in X_{(k,l)} = X_{(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}^{(\bar{n})} \subset W_{\bar{n}}$. Then we conclude by Remark 4.4 (which is exactly the result of Lascoux and Schützenberger on the shuffle of plactic classes [13]).

In the second case, observe that, for any $k \in [1, n]$,

$$(\star) \quad \text{sign}(w(k)) = \text{sign}(xw(k)) = \text{sign}(s_i xw(k))$$

since $X_{(k,l)} = X_{(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}^{(\bar{n})} \subset W_{\bar{n}}$ and $s_i \in S_{\bar{n}}$. If $s_i x = x'$, then $w = w'$ and the result follows. If $s_i x = x s_j$, with $s_j \in S_{(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}$ (by Deodhar's Lemma), then $x' = x$. Therefore $w' = s_j w$, by (\star) and Remark 4.4. So $w \smile_C w'$. \square

4.3. Coplactic space. Let $D \models n$. If $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)$, we set

$$z_Q^D = \sum_{w \in Z_Q^D} w \in \mathbb{Z}W_D.$$

Now, let

$$\mathcal{Q}_D = \bigoplus_{Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)} \mathbb{Z}z_Q^D \subset \mathbb{Z}W_D$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_D^\perp = \bigoplus_{\substack{Q, Q' \in \mathcal{SBT}(D) \\ \text{sh } Q = \text{sh } Q'}} \mathbb{Z}(z_Q^D - z_{Q'}^D) \subset \mathcal{Q}_D.$$

Then, by Proposition 4.5, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \Sigma'(W_D) \subset \mathcal{Q}_D.$$

The next proposition justifies the notation \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp :

Proposition 4.10. $\mathcal{Q}_D^\perp = \{x \in \mathcal{Q}_D \mid \forall y \in \mathcal{Q}_D, \tau_D(xy) = 0\}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q}'_D = \{x \in \mathcal{Q}_D \mid \forall y \in \mathcal{Q}_D, \tau_D(xy) = 0\}$. Let Q and Q' be elements of $\mathcal{SBT}(D)$. Then, by 4.2, we have

$$(4.11) \quad \tau_D(z_Q^D z_{Q'}^D) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{sh } Q = \text{sh } Q', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This shows in particular that $\mathcal{Q}_D^\perp \subset \mathcal{Q}'_D$.

Let us now prove that $\mathcal{Q}'_D \subset \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$. Now, since $\mathcal{Q}_D/\mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$ is torsion free, it is sufficient to prove that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{Q}'_D \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$. But, by construction, we have

$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \mathcal{Q}_D - \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = |\text{Bip}(D)|$. Moreover, by Proposition 3.8, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \mathcal{Q}_D - \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \mathcal{Q}'_D \geq |\text{Irr } W_D| = |\text{Bip}(D)|$. \square

The next lemma is a generalization to our case of a result of Blessenohl and Schocker concerning the symmetric group [3].

Proposition 4.12. *We have $\mathcal{Q}_D = \Sigma'(W_D) + \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ and $\Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = \text{Ker } \theta_D$.*

Proof. Let us first prove that $\mathcal{Q}_D = \Sigma'(W_D) + \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$. For this, we may, and we will, assume that $D = (n)$. We first need to introduce an order on bipartitions of n . We denote by \leq_{sl} the lexicographic order on $\text{Bip}(n)$ induced by the following order on I_n :

$$\bar{1} <_{\text{sl}} \bar{2} <_{\text{sl}} \cdots <_{\text{sl}} \bar{n} <_{\text{sl}} 1 <_{\text{sl}} 2 <_{\text{sl}} \cdots <_{\text{sl}} n.$$

If λ is a bipartition of n , we denote by $Q_{\lambda} = Q(\eta_{\lambda})$. If $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$, then it is easy to check that $\text{sh } Q_{\lambda} = (\lambda^+, {}^t\lambda^-) = \lambda^*$, where ${}^t\lambda^-$ is the transpose of the partition λ , and, using Remarque 4.7, that Q_{λ} is obtained by numbered Q_{λ}^+ (resp. ${}^tQ_{\lambda}^-$) the first column first, then the second one and so on. Now, let $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$. Then:

Lemma 4.13. *Assume that $Z_Q \subset X_{\hat{\lambda}}$, then $\lambda \leq_{\text{sl}} (\text{sh } Q)^*$. Moreover, if $\text{sh } Q = \lambda^*$, then $Q = Q_{\lambda}$.*

Proof. First, we easily check (using Remark 4.7), that $\lambda(\mathcal{C}(Q)) \leq_{\text{sl}} (\text{sh } Q)^*$ with equality if and only if $Q = Q_{\lambda}$.

Then, observe (using Theorem 3.15), that $\lambda \leq_{\text{sl}} \lambda(\mathcal{C}(Q))$ with equality if and only if $\mathcal{C}(Q) = \hat{\lambda}$. This concludes the proof. \square

We are now ready to prove by descending induction on $(\text{sh } Q)^*$ that $z_Q \in \Sigma'(W_n) + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$. If $(\text{sh } Q)^* = (n, \emptyset)$, then $Z_Q = \{1\} = Y_n$. So $z_Q = y_n \in \Sigma'(W_n)$.

Now, assume that $(\text{sh } Q)^* <_{\text{sl}} (n, \emptyset)$ and that $z_{Q'} \in \Sigma'(W_n) + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$ for every $Q' \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$ such that $(\text{sh } Q)^* <_{\text{sl}} (\text{sh } Q')^*$. Let $\lambda = (\text{sh } Q)^*$. Then $z_Q = z_{Q_{\lambda}} + (z_Q - z_{Q_{\lambda}}) \in z_{Q_{\lambda}} + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$. So it is sufficient to prove that $z_{Q_{\lambda}} \in \Sigma'(W_n) + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$. But, by Lemma 4.13 $x_{\hat{\lambda}} - z_{Q_{\lambda}}$ is a sum of $z_{Q'}$ with $\lambda <_{\text{lex}} (\text{sh } Q')^*$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, we have $x_{\hat{\lambda}} - z_{Q_{\lambda}} \in \Sigma'(W_n) + \mathcal{Q}_n^{\perp}$, as desired.

Now, let us prove that $\Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = \text{Ker } \theta_D$. The natural map $\Sigma'(W_D) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_D / \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ is surjective, so $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ker } \theta_D$. Since the \mathbb{Z} -modules $\Sigma'(W_D) / (\Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp})$ and $\Sigma'(W_D) / \text{Ker } \theta_D$ are torsion free, it is sufficient to prove that $\Sigma'(W_D) \cap \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ is contained in $\text{Ker } \theta_D$. But this follows from Proposition 4.10 and Corollary 3.9. \square

Using Proposition 4.12, we can easily extend the linear map θ_D to a linear map $\tilde{\theta}_D : \mathcal{Q}_D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_D$. If $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D$, write $x = a + b$ with $a \in \Sigma'(W_D)$ and $b \in \mathcal{Q}_D^{\perp}$ and set

$$\tilde{\theta}_D(x) = \theta_D(a).$$

Then Proposition 4.12 shows that $\tilde{\theta}_D$ is well-defined (that is, $\theta_D(a)$ does not depend on the choice of a and b).

Theorem 4.14. *Let $D \models n$. Then:*

- (a) $\tilde{\theta}_D$ is an extension of θ_D to \mathcal{Q}_D ;
- (b) $\text{Ker } \tilde{\theta}_D = \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$;
- (c) if x and y are two elements of \mathcal{Q}_D , then $\tau_D(xy) = \langle \tilde{\theta}_D(x), \tilde{\theta}_D(y) \rangle_D$;
- (d) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{Q}_D & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}_D} & \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_D \\
 & \searrow \text{aug}_D & \downarrow \text{deg}_D \\
 & & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

- is commutative;
- (e) if $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D$, then

$$\tilde{\theta}_D(x) = |W_D| \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)} \frac{\tau_D(xE_\lambda^D)}{|\mathcal{C}_\lambda^D|} f_\lambda^D.$$

Proof. (a) and (b) are easy. (c) follows from Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 4.10. (d) follows from the commutativity of the diagram 3.6 and from the fact that $\text{aug}_D(\mathcal{Q}_D^\perp) = 0$ (indeed, if Q and Q' are two elements of $\mathcal{SBT}(D)$ of the same shape, then $|Z_Q^D| = |Z_{Q'}^D|$). Using Proposition 4.12, it is sufficient to prove (e) for $x \in \Sigma'(W_D)$ or $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$. If $x \in \Sigma'(W_D)$, this follows from Proposition 3.10. If $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$, this follows from Proposition 4.10. \square

Remark. In the theorem, the case $D = (\bar{n})$ is precisely the symmetric group case.

Corollary 4.15. *If $\tilde{\theta}$ is an extension of θ_D to the \mathcal{Q}_D such that, for all x and y in \mathcal{Q}_D , $\tau_D(xy) = \langle \tilde{\theta}(x), \tilde{\theta}(y) \rangle_D$, then $\tilde{\theta} = \theta_D$.*

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{\theta}$ is an extension of θ_D to \mathcal{Q}_D such that $\tau_D(xy) = \langle \tilde{\theta}(x), \tilde{\theta}(y) \rangle_D$ for all x and y in \mathcal{Q}_D . Then, if $x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$ and $\chi \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_D$, then there exists $y \in \mathcal{Q}_D$ such that $\tilde{\theta}_D(y) = \chi$. So

$$\langle \chi, \tilde{\theta}(x) \rangle_D = \langle \tilde{\theta}(y), \tilde{\theta}(x) \rangle_D = \tau_D(xy) = 0$$

by hypothesis and by Proposition 4.10. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D$ is a perfect pairing on $\mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_D$, we get that $\tilde{\theta}(x) = 0$. So $\tilde{\theta}$ coincides with $\tilde{\theta}_D$ on $\Sigma'(W_D)$ and on \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp , so $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}_D$ by Proposition 4.12. \square

Let $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(D)$ be of shape λ . Now, let

$$\xi_\lambda = \tilde{\theta}_D(z_Q).$$

Then ξ_λ depends only on λ and not on the choice of Q . Moreover, $\xi_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_D$, $\text{deg}_D \xi_\lambda = |Z_Q| > 0$ (see Theorem 4.14 (d)) and, by Theorem 4.14 (c) and 4.11, we have $\langle \xi_\lambda, \xi_\lambda \rangle_D = 1$. This shows that $\xi_\lambda \in \text{Irr } W_D$. So we have proved the following proposition:

Proposition 4.16. *The map $\text{Bip}(D) \rightarrow \text{Irr } W_D$, $\lambda \mapsto \xi_\lambda$ is well-defined and bijective.*

Remark 4.17 - If $T = (T^+, T^-) \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$, we denote by T^\vee the standard bitableau (T^-, T^+) . If $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-) \in \text{Bip}(n)$, we set $\lambda^\vee = (\lambda^-, \lambda^+) \in \text{Bip}(n)$. In particular, $\text{sh} T^\vee = (\text{sh} T)^\vee$.

Now, let $w \in W_n$. Then $\pi_n(w_n w) = (\mathbf{P}(w)^\vee, \mathbf{Q}(w)^\vee)$. Therefore, if $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$ then $w_n Z_Q = Z_{Q^\vee}$. This shows in particular that $w_n \mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{Q}_n$ and that $w_n \mathcal{Q}_n^\perp = \mathcal{Q}_n^\perp$. Moreover,

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{\theta}_n(w_n z) = \varepsilon_n \tilde{\theta}_n(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{Q}_n$. Indeed, this equality is true if $z \in \Sigma'(W_n)$ by Theorem 3.7 and it is obviously true if $z \in \mathcal{Q}_n^\perp$. So we can conclude using Proposition 4.12. In particular, if $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(n)$, then

$$(4.19) \quad \xi_{\lambda^\vee} = \varepsilon_n \xi_\lambda.$$

Remark 4.20 - Let $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(n)$ be such that $Q^- = \emptyset$. Then $z_Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\bar{n}}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{n}} \subset \mathcal{Q}_n$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{n}}^\perp = \mathcal{Q}_n^\perp \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\bar{n}}$. Therefore, it follows from the commutativity of Diagram 3.4 that the diagram

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{\bar{n}} \hookrightarrow & & \mathcal{Q}_n \\ \tilde{\theta}_{\bar{n}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta}_n \\ \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } \mathfrak{S}_{\bar{n}} \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{p_n^*} & \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_n \end{array}$$

is commutative. In particular, if $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \emptyset)$ is the shape of Q , and if we denote by $\chi_{\lambda^+}^{\bar{n}}$ the irreducible character of $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{n}}$ associated to λ^+ (apply Proposition 4.16 with $D = (\bar{n})$), we have

$$(4.22) \quad \xi_\lambda = p_n^* \chi_{\lambda^+}^{\bar{n}}.$$

4.4. Induction. We first start by an easy consequence of Proposition 4.8.

Lemma 4.23. *Let $C, D \models n$ be such that $C \subset D$. Let $x \in \mathcal{Q}_C$. Then*

- (a) $x_C^D x \in \mathcal{Q}_D$.
- (b) *If $x \in \mathcal{Q}_C^\perp$, then $x_C^D x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$.*

Proof. (a) By linearity, we may assume that $x = z_Q^C$ with $Q \in \mathcal{SBT}(C)$. Then, by Proposition 4.8 (a), we have that $X_C^D \cdot Z_Q^C$ is a union of coplactic classes. So $x_C^D x \in \mathcal{Q}_D$.

(b) By linearity, we may assume that $x = z_Q^C - z_{Q'}^C$, where $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{SBT}(C)$ and $\text{sh}(Q) = \text{sh}(Q')$. We denote by $\psi : Z_Q^C \rightarrow Z_{Q'}^C$, the unique bijection such that $\mathbf{P}_C(\psi(w)) = \mathbf{P}_C(w)$ for every $w \in Z_Q^C$.

Then $x_C^D x = x_C^D \cdot \sum_{w \in Z_Q^C} (w - \psi(w))$. But, if $a \in X_C^D$ and $w \in Z_Q^C$, then $\mathbf{P}_D(aw) = \mathbf{P}_D(a\psi(w))$ by Proposition 4.8 (b) and 4.1. We set $\psi'(aw) = a\psi(w)$, then the map $\psi' : X_C^D \cdot Z_Q^C \rightarrow X_C^D \cdot Z_{Q'}^C$ is bijective and satisfies $\text{sh } \mathbf{Q}_D(\psi'(w)) = \text{sh } \mathbf{Q}_D(w)$ for every $w \in X_C^D \cdot Z_Q^C$.

Now, let $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(D)$ and let \mathcal{E}_λ (resp. \mathcal{E}'_λ) be the set of $w \in X_C^D \cdot Z_Q^C$ (resp. $w \in X_C^D \cdot Z_{Q'}^C$) such that $\text{sh } \mathbf{Q}_D(w) = \lambda$. Then ψ' induces a bijection between

\mathcal{E}_λ and \mathcal{E}'_λ . Write $\mathcal{E}_\lambda = \coprod_{i=1}^r Z_{Q_i}^D$ and $\mathcal{E}'_\lambda = \coprod_{i=1}^{r'} Z_{Q'_i}^D$, using (a). Then, since $|Z_{Q_1}^D| = \cdots = |Z_{Q_r}^D| = |Z_{Q'_1}^D| = \cdots = |Z_{Q'_{r'}}^D|$ and $|\mathcal{E}_\lambda| = |\mathcal{E}'_\lambda|$, we have $r = r'$. This shows that $x_C^D x \in \mathcal{Q}_D^\perp$. \square

Corollary 4.24. *Let $C, D \models n$ be such that $C \subset D$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_C & \xrightarrow{x_C^D} & \mathcal{Q}_D \\ \tilde{\theta}_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta}_D \\ \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_C & \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_D}} & \mathbb{Z} \text{ Irr } W_D \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 4.23 and from the commutativity of the diagram 3.3. \square

Now, if $\lambda \in \text{Bip}(n)$, then we denote by χ_λ the irreducible character of W_n associated to λ via Clifford theory (see [9]). The link between the two parametrizations (the ξ 's and the χ 's) is given by the following result:

Corollary 4.25. *If λ is a bipartition of n , then $\xi_\lambda = \chi_{\lambda^*}$.*

Proof. Write $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$, $k = |\lambda^+|$ and $l = |\lambda^-|$. Let Q^+ be a standard tableau of shape λ^+ filled with $\{l+1, l+2, \dots, n\}$ and let Q^- be a standard tableau of shape λ^- filled with $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$. Then, by [5, Proposition 4.8],

$$Z_Q = X_{l,k}(w_l Z_{Q^-} \times Z_{Q^+}).$$

Therefore, by Corollary 4.24, we have

$$\xi_\lambda = \text{Ind}_{W_{l,k}}^{W_n} (\tilde{\theta}_l(w_l Z_{Q^-}^l) \boxtimes \tilde{\theta}_k(Z_{Q^+}^k)).$$

So, by 4.22 and by Remark 4.17, we have

$$\xi_\lambda = \text{Ind}_{W_{k,l}}^{W_n} \left(p_k^* \chi_{\lambda^+}^k \boxtimes \varepsilon_l(p_l^* \chi_{\lambda^-}^l) \right).$$

The result now follows from [9]. \square

5. RELATED HOPF ALGEBRAS

5.1. Hopf algebra of signed permutations. Consider the graded \mathbb{Z} -module

$$\mathcal{SP} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} W_n,$$

where $W_0 = 1$. In [1], Aguiar and Mahajan have shown that \mathcal{SP} has a structure of Hopf algebra which is similar to the structure of the Malvenuto-Reutenauer Hopf algebra on permutations [15]. Moreover, they have shown that

$$\Sigma' = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Sigma'(W_n)$$

is a Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{SP} . We revise here the definition of the product and the coproduct on \mathcal{SP} with our point of view.

Notation - If C is a signed composition, then we denote by x_C the element of \mathcal{SP} lying in $\mathbb{Z}W_{|C|}$ corresponding to the x_C defined in §3. Similarly, if Q is a standard bitableau, then $z_Q \in \mathbb{Z}W_{|\text{sh } Q|}$ is viewed as an element of \mathcal{SP} .

Let $(u, v) \in W_n \times W_m$, we denote $u \times v$ the corresponding element of $W_{n,m} \simeq W_n \times W_m$. If $w \in W_{n,m}$, we denote by $(w'_{(n)}, w''_{(m)})$ the corresponding element of $W_n \times W_m$. We now define

$$u * v = x_{n,m}(u \times v) \in \mathbb{Z}W_{n+m}.$$

We extend $*$ by linearity to a bilinear map $\mathcal{SP} \times \mathcal{SP} \rightarrow \mathcal{SP}$.

Now, let $w \in W_n$. Then, for each $i \in [0, n]$, we denote by $\pi_i(w)$ the unique element of $W_{i,n-i}$ such that $w \in \pi_i(w)X_{i,n-i}^{-1}$. We set

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_{i=0}^n \pi_i(w)'_{(i)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_i(w)''_{(n-i)} \in \mathcal{SP} \otimes \mathcal{SP}.$$

We extend Δ by linearity to a map $\Delta : \mathcal{SP} \rightarrow \mathcal{SP} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{SP}$.

Remark 5.1 - Combinatorially, we see this product as follows: let $w = w_1 \dots w_n$ be a word of length n in the alphabet I_n , the *standardsigned permutation* is the unique element $\text{sts}(w) \in W_n$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \text{sts}(w)(i) < \text{sts}(w)(j) \Leftrightarrow (w_i < w_j) & \text{or } (w_i = w_j \text{ and } i < j) \\ \text{and } \text{sign}(\text{sts}(w)(i)) = \text{sign}(w_i). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$u * v = \sum_{w, w'} ww'$$

where ww' is the concatenation of w and w' ; and the sum is taken over all words w, w' on the alphabet I_n such that $\text{sts}(w) = u$, $\text{sts}(w') = v$ and $\text{alph}(u) \uplus \text{alph}(v) = [1, n]$ (where $\text{alph}(u)$ = the set of absolute values of the letters in u). For instance, $\bar{1}2 \times 2\bar{1} = \bar{1}24\bar{3}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(2,2)} &= y_{(2,2)} + y_{(4)} \\ &= 1234 + 1324 + 1423 + 2314 + 2413 + 3412. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bar{1}2 * 2\bar{1} = \bar{1}24\bar{3} + \bar{1}34\bar{2} + \bar{1}43\bar{2} + \bar{2}34\bar{1} + \bar{2}43\bar{1} + \bar{3}42\bar{1}$.

Remark 5.2 - For $w \in W_n$ seen as a word on the alphabet I_n and $i < j \in [1, n]$, we denote $w[[i, j]]$ the subword obtained by taking only the digits such that their absolute values are in $[i, j]$. Then we see combinatorially the coproduct as

$$\Delta(w) = \sum_{i=0}^n w[[1, i]] \otimes \text{sts}(w[[i+1, n]]).$$

As example, consider $w = \bar{2}31\bar{4}$, then we have the following decompositions:

$$w^{-1} = 3\bar{1}2\bar{4} = 3124(1 \times \bar{1}2\bar{3}) = 1324(2\bar{1} \times \bar{1}2) = 1234(3\bar{1}2 \times \bar{1}).$$

Hence

$$w = \bar{2}31\bar{4} = (1 \times \bar{1}2\bar{3})2314 = (\bar{2}1 \times \bar{1}2)1324 = (\bar{2}31 \times \bar{1})1234.$$

Thus

$$\Delta(\bar{2}31\bar{4}) = \emptyset \otimes \bar{2}31\bar{4} + 1 \otimes \bar{1}2\bar{3} + \bar{2}1 \otimes \bar{1}2 + \bar{2}31 \otimes \bar{1} + \bar{2}31\bar{4} \otimes \emptyset.$$

Example 5.3 - Let C and D be two signed composition. We denote by $C \sqcup D$ the signed composition obtained by concatenation of C and D . Then

$$x_C * x_D = x_{C \sqcup D}.$$

Example 5.4 - We have

$$\Delta(x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} x_{n-i}$$

and

$$\Delta(x_{\bar{n}}) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_{\bar{i}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} x_{\overline{n-i}}.$$

We state here a result of Aguiar and Mahajan [1], with our basis consisting of the x_C .

Theorem 5.5. *The graded vector space \mathcal{SP} , with the product $*$ and the coproduct Δ is a connected graded Hopf algebra; and Σ' is a Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{SP} which is freely generated by elements $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$ as algebra.*

If $x, y \in \mathcal{SP}$, we define the product $xy \in \mathcal{SP}$ as follows: if $x \in \mathbb{Z}W_n$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}W_m$, then $xy = 0$ if $m \neq n$ and xy coincides with the usual product xy in $\mathbb{Z}W_n$ if $m = n$. Let $\tau : \mathcal{SP} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the unique linear map which coincides with τ_n on $\mathbb{Z}W_n$. The the map $\mathcal{SP} \times \mathcal{SP} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $(x, y) \mapsto \tau(xy)$ is a scalar product on \mathcal{SP} . If $x, y \in \mathcal{SP}$, we set

$$\tau_{\otimes}(x \otimes y) = \tau(x)\tau(y).$$

The following proposition is easily checked from definitions:

Proposition 5.6. *\mathcal{SP} is self-dual for τ , that is,*

$$\tau_{\otimes}((u \otimes v)\Delta(w)) = \tau((u * v)w)$$

for all $u, v, w \in \mathcal{SP}$.

5.2. The Hopf algebra of characters. We give here a short recall of a result of Geissinger [10]. Consider the graded \mathbb{Z} -module

$$\mathcal{CHAR} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_n.$$

If k and l are two natural numbers, we denote by $\iota_{k,l}$ the canonical isomorphism

$$\iota_{k,l} : \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_{k+l}.$$

Let $(\chi, \psi) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_k \times \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_l$. We define

$$\chi \bullet \psi = \text{Ind}_{W_{k,l}}^{W_{k+l}} \iota_{k,l}(\chi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \psi) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_{k+l}.$$

Now, let $\chi \in \mathcal{CL}_{\mathbb{Q}}W_n$. We define

$$\text{Res}(\chi) = \sum_{i=0}^n \iota_{i,n-i}^{-1} \text{Res}_{W_{i,n-i}}^{W_n} \chi \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_i \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_{n-i} \subset \mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{CHAR}.$$

We denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the unique scalar product on \mathcal{CHAR} which coincides with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$ on $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_n$ and such that $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_n$ and $\mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_m$ are orthogonal if $m \neq n$. We now define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\otimes}$ on $\mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{CHAR}$ as follows: if $\chi, \chi', \psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{CHAR}$, we set

$$\langle \chi \otimes \psi, \chi' \otimes \psi' \rangle_{\otimes} = \langle \chi, \chi' \rangle \langle \psi, \psi' \rangle.$$

Geissinger [10] has shown that \mathcal{CHAR} with product \bullet and coproduct Res is a connected graded Hopf algebra. Moreover, for any $\chi, \psi, \zeta \in \mathcal{CHAR}$, the reciprocity law of Frobenius can be viewed as

$$(5.7) \quad \langle \chi \otimes \psi, \text{Res } \zeta \rangle_{\otimes} = \langle \chi \bullet \psi, \zeta \rangle.$$

5.3. The coplactic algebra and an Hopf epimorphism. Let us introduce

$$\mathcal{Q} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{Q}_n.$$

and

$$\mathcal{CHAR} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W_n.$$

We define $\theta : \Sigma' \rightarrow \mathcal{CHAR}$ and $\tilde{\theta} : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{CHAR}$ by

$$\theta = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \theta_n \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\theta} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \tilde{\theta}_n.$$

The first part of the following theorem shows that \mathcal{Q} is a generalization of the Poirier-Reutenauer Hopf algebra of tableaux [17] to our case (see also [3]), and the second part shows that Jöllenbeck's construction generalizes to our case.

Theorem 5.8. *\mathcal{Q} is a Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{SP} containing Σ' . Moreover, $\theta : \Sigma' \rightarrow \mathcal{CHAR}$ and $\tilde{\theta} : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{CHAR}$ are surjective Hopf algebra homomorphisms.*

Proof. The fact that \mathcal{Q} is a subalgebra of \mathcal{SP} follows from Proposition 4.23. To prove that it is a subcoalgebra, we proceed as in the proof of the result of Poirier and Reutenauer [17], using Remark 4.4: let Z be a coplactic class in W_n , $i \in [0, n]$ and $w \in Z$. Write $w = \pi_i(w)x$, where $x^{-1} \in X_{i, n-i}$. Let $u \in W_i$ such that $u \smile_{(i)} \pi_i(w)'_{(i)}$. As $\text{sign}(x^{-1}w^{-1}(k)) = \text{sign}(w^{-1}(k))$ and $x^{-1}(l) < x^{-1}(l+1)$, for all $l \in [1, i-1]$ and for all $l \in [i+1, n-1]$, we easily check that $(u \times \pi_i(w)''_{(n-i)})x \smile_{(n)} w$, using Remark 4.4. Let $v \in W_{n-i}$ such that $v \smile_{(n-i)} \pi_i(w)''_{(n-i)}$, then $(u \times v)x \smile_{(n)} w$ as above. Therefore

$$\Delta\left(\sum_{w \in Z} w\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{Z_i, Z_{n-i}} \left(\sum_{u \in Z_i} u\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{v \in Z_{n-i}} v\right),$$

where Z_i (resp. Z_{n-i}) are coplactic classes in W_i (resp. W_{n-i}).

We now need to prove that $\tilde{\theta}$ is an homomorphism of Hopf algebras. We first need a lemma concerning the symmetric bilinear form $\beta : (\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q}) \times (\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $(x, y) \mapsto \tau_{\otimes}(xy)$. Let $\tilde{\theta}_{\otimes} = \tilde{\theta} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\theta} : \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{CHAR}$. Then:

Lemma 5.9. *$\text{Ker } \tilde{\theta}_{\otimes} = \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ker } \tilde{\theta} + \text{Ker } \tilde{\theta} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q}$ is the kernel of β .*

Proof. By Theorem 4.14 (c), we have

$$\beta(x, y) = \langle \tilde{\theta}_{\otimes}(x), \tilde{\theta}_{\otimes}(y) \rangle_{\otimes}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q}$. This proves the lemma. \square

Proposition 5.6 and Lemma 5.9 show that $\text{Ker } \tilde{\theta}$ is an ideal and a coideal of \mathcal{Q} . Since $\mathcal{Q} = \Sigma' + \text{Ker } \tilde{\theta}$, it is sufficient to prove that θ is a bialgebra homomorphism. First, it is clear that $\tilde{\theta}(x_C * x_D) = \tilde{\theta}(x_{C \sqcup D})$. So $\tilde{\theta}$ is an algebra homomorphism. Using this last property and Theorem 5.5, it is sufficient to prove that $\tilde{\theta}_{\otimes}(\Delta(x_n)) = \text{Res}(\tilde{\theta}(x_n))$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{\otimes}(\Delta(x_{\bar{n}})) = \text{Res}(\tilde{\theta}(x_{\bar{n}}))$. But this follows easily from Example 5.4. \square

6. THE CASE $n = 2$

In this Section, we will give a complete description of the algebra $\Sigma'(W_2)$. For simplification, we set $s = s_1$. Note that $t_1 = t$ and $t_2 = sts$. In other words, $S'_2 = \{s, t, sts\}$. Table I gives the correspondence between reduced decomposition of elements of W_2 and permutations of I_2 (if $w \in W_2$, we only give the couple $(w(1), w(2))$ since it determines w as a permutation of I_2). It also gives the value of $\mathcal{U}'_2(w)$ and $\mathcal{C}(w)$. Table II gives representatives of the conjugacy classes of W_2 . Table III gives, for each signed composition C of 2, the subgroup W_C of W_2 , the set S_C , the elements x_C and y_C of $\mathbb{Z}W_2$ and also gives the value of \mathcal{A}_C . Table IV provides the decomposition of the induced characters $\text{Ind}_{W_{\hat{\lambda}}}^{W_2} 1_{\hat{\lambda}} = \theta_2(x_{\hat{\lambda}})$ as a combination of the ξ_{μ} , for $\lambda \Vdash 2$. Table V gives the character table of $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)$ (see Subsection 3.5). We give in Table VI a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)$. Table VII gives the Cartan matrix of $\Sigma'(W_2)$. As usual, the dots in the tables represent the number 0. Note that

$$w_2 = stst = tsts.$$

We conclude the Section by a description of the algebra $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)$ as a product of classical indecomposable algebras.

Convention. For avoiding the use of too many parenthesis, we have denoted by $\xi_{\hat{\lambda}}$, $\pi_{\hat{\lambda}}$ or $E_{\hat{\lambda}}$ the objects ξ_{λ} , π_{λ} or E_{λ} respectively. For instance, $\xi_{1, \bar{1}} = \xi_{((1), (1))}$ and $\pi_2 = \pi_{((2), \emptyset)}$ and $E_{\bar{1}, \bar{1}} = E_{(\emptyset, (1, 1))}$.

w	$(w(1), w(2))$	$\mathcal{U}'_2(w)$	$\mathcal{C}(w)$
1	(1, 2)	$\{s, t, sts\}$	(2)
s	(2, 1)	$\{t, sts\}$	(1, 1)
t	($\bar{1}$, 2)	$\{s, sts\}$	($\bar{1}$, 1)
st	($\bar{2}$, 1)	$\{s, sts\}$	($\bar{1}$, 1)
ts	(2, $\bar{1}$)	$\{t\}$	(1, $\bar{1}$)
sts	(1, $\bar{2}$)	$\{t\}$	(1, $\bar{1}$)
tst	($\bar{2}$, $\bar{1}$)	$\{s\}$	($\bar{2}$)
w_2	($\bar{1}$, $\bar{2}$)	\emptyset	($\bar{1}$, $\bar{1}$)

Table I. Elements

$\hat{\lambda}$	c_{λ}	$ \mathcal{C}_{\lambda} $
(2)	st	2
(1, 1)	w_2	1
(1, $\bar{1}$)	t	2
($\bar{2}$)	s	2
($\bar{1}$, $\bar{1}$)	1	1

Table II. Conjugacy classes

C	W_C	S_C	x_C	y_C	\mathcal{A}_C
(2)	W_2	$\{s, t\}$	1	1	$\{s, t, sts\}$
(1, 1)	$W_1 \times W_1$	$\{t, sts\}$	$1 + s$	s	$\{t, sts\}$
($\bar{1}$, 1)	$\mathfrak{S}_1 \times W_1$	$\{sts\}$	$1 + s + t + st$	$t + st$	$\{t\}$
(1, $\bar{1}$)	$W_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_1$	$\{t\}$	$1 + s + ts + sts$	$ts + sts$	$\{s, sts\}$
($\bar{2}$)	\mathfrak{S}_2	$\{s\}$	$1 + t + st + tst$	tst	$\{s, sts\}$
($\bar{1}$, $\bar{1}$)	1	\emptyset	$\sum_{w \in W_2} w$	w_2	\emptyset

Table III. Bases of $\Sigma'(W_2)$

	ξ_2	$\xi_{1,1}$	$\xi_{1,\bar{1}}$	$\xi_{\bar{2}}$	$\xi_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}$
$\theta_2(x_2)$	1
$\theta_2(x_{1,1})$	1	1	.	.	.
$\theta_2(x_{1,\bar{1}})$	1	1	1	.	.
$\theta_2(x_{\bar{2}})$	1	.	1	1	.
$\theta_2(x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}})$	1	1	2	1	1

Table IV. Decomposition of induced characters

	x_2	$x_{1,1}$	$x_{1,\bar{1}}$	$x_{\bar{2}}$	$x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}$
π_2	1
$\pi_{1,1}$	1	2	.	.	.
$\pi_{1,\bar{1}}$	1	2	2	.	.
$\pi_{\bar{2}}$	1	.	.	2	.
$\pi_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}$	1	2	4	4	8

Table V. Character table of $\Sigma'(W_2)$

Remark. Using these tables, one can check that $\theta_2(x_{\bar{2}})(x_{1,1}) = 6 \neq 4 = \theta_2(x_{1,1})(x_{\bar{2}})$. In other words, the symmetry property (see [4]) does not hold in our case.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 &= x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_{\bar{2}} - \frac{1}{4}x_{1,\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{4}x_{\bar{1},1} - \frac{1}{2}x_{1,1} + \frac{1}{4}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} \\
E_{1,1} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{1,1} - \frac{1}{2}x_{1,\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{\bar{1},1} + \frac{1}{4}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} \right) \\
E_{1,\bar{1}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{1,\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} \right) \\
E_{\bar{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{\bar{2}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} \right) \\
E_{\bar{1},\bar{1}} &= \frac{1}{8}x_{\bar{1},\bar{1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Table VI. A complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents

We will now give the Cartan matrix of $\Sigma'(W_2)$. If $\mu \in \text{Bip}(2)$, we denote by Π_μ the character of the projective cover $\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)E_\mu$ of $\mathbb{Q}\mu$. Write

$$\Pi_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Bip}(2)} \gamma_{\lambda\mu} \pi_\lambda.$$

Then $(\gamma_{\lambda\mu})_{\lambda, \mu \in \text{Bip}(2)}$ is the Cartan matrix of $\Sigma'(W_2)$. It is given in the following table:

$\hat{\lambda} \setminus \hat{\mu}$	(2)	(1, 1)	(1, $\bar{1}$)	($\bar{2}$)	($\bar{1}, \bar{1}$)
(2)	1
(1, 1)	.	1	.	.	.
(1, $\bar{1}$)	.	.	1	1	.
($\bar{2}$)	.	.	.	1	.
($\bar{1}, \bar{1}$)	1

Table VII. Cartan matrix of $\Sigma'(W_2)$

Let $E_0 = E_{1,\bar{1}} + E_{\bar{2}}$. Then $(E_2, E_{1,1}, E_0, E_{\bar{2}})$ is a complete set of central primitive idempotents (they are of course orthogonal). Therefore, write $A_\omega = \mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2)E_\omega$, for $\omega \in \{2, (1, 1), 0, \bar{2}\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2) = A_2 \oplus A_{1,1} \oplus A_{\bar{2}} \oplus A_0,$$

as a sum of algebras. Moreover, $A_2 \simeq \mathbb{Q}$, $A_{1,1} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$, $A_{\bar{2}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$. On the other hand,

$$A_0 = \mathbb{Q}E_{1,\bar{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}E_{\bar{2}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(x_{1,\bar{1}} - x_{\bar{1},1}),$$

as a vector space. Now, let B be the algebra

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Then the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $\sigma : A_0 \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\sigma(E_{1,\bar{1}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma(E_{\bar{2}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(x_{1,\bar{1}} - x_{\bar{1},1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism of algebras. Therefore, we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\mathbb{Q}\Sigma'(W_2) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus B.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aguiar, S. Mahajan: *The Hopf algebra of signed permutations*, in preparation.
- [2] F. Bergeron, N. Bergeron, R. B. Howlett and D. E. Taylor: *A decomposition of the descent algebra of a finite Coxeter group*, J. Algebraic Combin., **1**, 1992, p.23-44.
- [3] D. Blessenohl, M. Schocker: *Noncommutative Character Theory of Symmetric groups I*, preprint, 2002.
- [4] D. Blessenohl, C. Hohlweg, M. Schocker: *A symmetry of the descent algebra of a finite Coxeter group*, to appear in Advances in Math, 2003.
- [5] C. Bonnafé, L. Iancu: *Left cells in type B_n with unequal parameters*, Represent. Theory, **7**, 2003, p.587-609.
- [6] N. Bourbaki: *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chap. 4-6, Hermann, 1968.
- [7] P. Fleischmann: *On pointwise conjugacy of distinguished coset representatives in Coxeter groups*, J. Group Theory, 2002, **5**, p.269 -283.
- [8] M. Geck: *On the induction of Kazhdan-Lusztig cells*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **35**, **5** (2003), 608–614.
- [9] M. Geck, G. Pfeiffer: *Characters of Finite Coxeter Groups and Iwahori-Hecke Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Mon. New Series, 2000, **21**.
- [10] L. Geissinger: *Hopf algebras of symmetric functions and class functions*, In Comb. Represent. Groupe symétrique, Acte Table Ronde C.N.R.S. Strasbourg 1976, Lecture Notes in Math., **579**, 1977, P.168-181.
- [11] J.E. Humphreys: *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, 1990, Cambridge university press, **29**.
- [12] A. Jöllenbeck: *Nichtkommutative Charaktertheorie der symmetrischen Gruppen*, Bayreuth. Math. Schr., **56**, 1999, p.1-41.
- [13] A. Lascoux, M. P. Schützenberger: *Le monoïde plaxique.*, Noncommutative structures in algebra and geometric combinatorics (Naples, 1978), pp. 129–156, Quad. "Ricerca Sci.", **109**, CNR, Rome, 1981.
- [14] I. G. Macdonald: *Symmetric functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford Math. Mono., 1979.
- [15] C. Malvenuto, C. Reutenauer: *Duality between quasi-symmetric functions and Solomon descent algebra*, J. Algebra, **177**, 1995, p. 967-982.
- [16] R. Mantaci, C. Reutenauer: *A generalization of Solomon's algebra for hyperoctahedral groups and other wreath products*, Comm. in Algebra, **23(1)**, 1995, p.27-56.
- [17] S. Poirier, C. Reutenauer: *Algèbre de Hopf des tableaux*, Ann. Sci. Math., Québec **19**, 1996, P.79-90.
- [18] L. Solomon: *A Mackey formula in the group ring of a Coxeter group*, J. Algebra, **41**, 1976, p.255-268.
- [19] W. Specht: *Eine Verallgemeinerung der symmetrischen Gruppe*, Schriften Math. Seminar Berlin, **1**, 1932, p.1-32.
- [20] R. P. Stanley: *Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **32**, 1982, p.132-161.
- [21] J. Y. Thibon: *Lectures on Noncommutative Symmetric Functions*, in Interaction of Combinatorics and Representation Theory, MSJ Memoirs **11**, 2001, p.39-94.

APPENDIX: COMPARISON WITH SPECHT'S CONSTRUCTION

PIERRE BAUMANN AND CHRISTOPHE HOHLWEG

The present text is an appendix to the article *Generalized descent algebra and construction of irreducible characters of hyperoctahedral groups*, by Cédric Bonnafé and the second present author. Our aim here is to relate two constructions of the irreducible characters of the hyperoctahedral groups: the one given in that article, and Specht's one [19]. Meant as a sequel to Bonnafé and Hohlweg's article, this text uses the same notations and references.

We first recall briefly Specht's construction, using Macdonald's book as a reference [14, I, Appendix B].

Specht's construction. Let G be a finite group, let G_* be the set of conjugacy classes in G and let G^* be the set of irreducible characters of G . Given a conjugacy class $c \in G_*$, we denote by ζ_c the order of the centralizer of an element of c . We denote the value of a character γ of G at any element of a conjugacy class $c \in G_*$ by $\gamma(c)$.

We denote the wreath product $G \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ by G_n . This wreath product is the semidirect product $G^n \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n$ for the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on G^n given by

$$\sigma \cdot (g_1, \dots, g_n) = (g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}),$$

where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$, so that we can always represent an element in G_n as a product $(g_1 \dots, g_n) \sigma$.

Given a complex representation V of G , we construct a complex representation $\eta_n(V)$ of G_n on the space $V^{\otimes n}$ by letting a product $(g_1 \dots, g_n) \sigma$ acting on a pure tensor $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \in V^{\otimes n}$ in the following way:

$$((g_1 \dots, g_n) \sigma) \cdot (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = (g_1 \cdot v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes (g_n \cdot v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}).$$

The character of $\eta_n(V)$ does not depend on V but only of its character; if ρ denotes the latter, then we will denote the former by $\eta_n(\rho)$.

We let \mathcal{P} be the set of all partitions, and we set $\mathcal{P}_G = \mathcal{P}^{G^*}$. Given an element $\lambda = (\lambda_\gamma)_{\gamma \in G^*}$ in \mathcal{P}_G , we denote by $|\lambda|$ the sum $\sum_\gamma |\lambda_\gamma|$.

Now let $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the (free) ring of symmetric polynomials with complex coefficients. As is well-known, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ is generated over \mathbb{C} by a countable family of algebraically independent elements: one can choose for generators the family $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of complete symmetric functions or the family $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of power sums. On the other hand, the family of Schur functions $(s_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a basis of the vector space $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$. Following Macdonald, we denote by $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ the ring of polynomials over \mathbb{C} in the family of variables $(p_n(c))_{n \geq 1, c \in G_*}$. Setting

$$p_n(\gamma) = \sum_{c \in G_*} \zeta_c^{-1} \gamma(c) p_n(c)$$

for any $\gamma \in G^*$, one can easily check that $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is also the ring of polynomials in the variables $(p_n(\gamma))_{n \geq 1, \gamma \in G^*}$. Every symmetric polynomial $P \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be expressed as a polynomial with complex coefficients in the power sums p_n ; given $\gamma \in G^*$, we denote by $P(\gamma)$ the element of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ obtained by replacing the variables p_n by the

variables $p_n(\gamma)$ in the expression of P . Given an element $\lambda = (\lambda_\gamma)_{\gamma \in G^*}$ in \mathcal{P}_G , we set

$$s_\lambda = \prod_{\gamma \in G^*} s_{\lambda_\gamma}(\gamma).$$

The set of complex irreducible characters of G_n is a basis of the algebra of complex-valued class functions of G_n , so that we can denote this latter by $\mathbb{C} \text{Irr}(G_n)$. The direct sum

$$R(G) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C} \text{Irr}(G_n)$$

can then be endowed with the structure of a commutative and cocommutative \mathbb{N} -graded Hopf algebra, where the product is given by (the maps induced on the level of characters by) the induction functors $\text{Ind}_{G_m \times G_n}^{G_{m+n}}$ and the coproduct is afforded likewise by the restriction functors $\text{Res}_{G_m \times G_n}^{G_{m+n}}$ [14, I, Appendix B, 4 and I, 7, Example 26]. Since $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is a free commutative algebra, there is a unique homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras

$$\text{ch}^{-1} : \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \rightarrow R(G)$$

with the following property: for each $n \geq 0$ and each $c \in G_*$, ch^{-1} maps the variable $p_n(c)$ to the characteristic function of the conjugacy class of G_n consisting of the products $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \sigma$, where the permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is a n -cycle and the product $g_1 g_2 \cdots g_n$ belongs to the conjugacy class c . It turns out that ch^{-1} is an isomorphism of Hopf algebra, whose inverse will be denoted by ch . Then, using arguments of orthogonality and integrality, it can be shown [14, I, Appendix B, 9] that the image under ch of the irreducible characters of G_n are the elements s_λ , where $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_G$ is such that $|\lambda| = n$.

Later on, we will need to know the image under ch of characters $\eta_n(\rho)$. We do the computation now.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$ the irreducible characters of G , let c_1, \dots, c_s be non-negative integers, and set $\rho = c_1 \gamma_1 + \cdots + c_s \gamma_s$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ch}(\eta_n(\rho)) = \prod_{i=1}^s \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(\gamma_i) \right)^{c_i}.$$

Proof. The proof given in [14, I, Appendix B, 8] for the case where ρ is irreducible can be easily adapted. Indeed in the computation that follows Equation (8.2) in that reference, the steps that lead to the equality

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ch}(\eta_n(\gamma)) = \exp \left(\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{c \in G_*} \zeta_c^{-1} \gamma(c) p_r(c) \right)$$

are valid even if the character γ is reducible. Applying this formula to the character ρ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ch}(\eta_n(\rho)) &= \exp \left(\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{c \in G_*} \zeta_c^{-1} \rho(c) p_r(c) \right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^s \left[\exp \left(\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{c \in G_*} \zeta_c^{-1} \gamma_i(c) p_r(c) \right) \right]^{c_i} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^s \left[\exp \left(\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r} p_r(\gamma_i) \right) \right]^{c_i} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^s \left[\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(\gamma_i) \right]^{c_i},
\end{aligned}$$

the last step in the computation coming from Newton's formulas. \square

The comparison result. Having now recalled Specht's construction of the irreducible characters for the wreath product $G \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ of an arbitrary finite group G by the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , we can specialize to the case where G is the group $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ with two elements. The notation W_n for the wreath product $W \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ then agrees with its use by Bonnafé and Hohlweg. The Hopf algebra $R(W)$ is identical to the complexified Hopf algebra $\mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. The set W^* of irreducible characters of W has two elements, namely the trivial character τ and the signature ε . One can view an element $\lambda = (\lambda_\tau, \lambda_\varepsilon)$ of \mathcal{P}_W as a bipartition (λ^+, λ^-) by setting $\lambda^+ = \lambda_\tau$ and $\lambda^- = \lambda_\varepsilon$. As a final piece of notation, we set $\lambda^* = (\lambda^+, (\lambda^-)^t)$ for any bipartition $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$.

Generalizing Poirier and Reutenauer's work [17] for symmetric groups to the case of W_n , we define a linear map:

$$f : \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$$

by setting $f(z_Q) = s_{(\text{sh } Q)^*}$ for any bitableau Q . With all these notations, our result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 6.2. *The following diagram of \mathbb{N} -graded Hopf algebras*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{f} & \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(W) \\
\uparrow i & \searrow \tilde{\theta} & \uparrow \sim \text{ch} \\
\Sigma' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{CHAR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}
\end{array}$$

is commutative. In particular $\text{ch}(\xi_\lambda) = s_{\lambda^*}$, for any bipartition λ , so that Bonnafé and Hohlweg's construction is equivalent to Specht's one, up to a relabelling.

Some further notation and a bijection will be needed for the proof. We present them now.

Some notations and a bijection. We call quasicomposition a sequence $E = (e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots)$ of non-negative integers, all of whose terms but a finite number vanish. The size $|E|$ of E is the sum $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + \dots$ of the terms. Given a partition μ and a quasicomposition E , we denote by $\text{Tab}(\mu, E)$ the set of all semistandard tableau of shape μ and weight E , that is the set of all fillings of the Ferrers diagram of shape μ with positive integers, in such a way that the numbers are weakly increasing from left to right in the rows, strictly increasing from top to bottom in the columns, and that there is e_1 times the number 1, e_2 times the number 2, and so on [14, p. 5]. The set $\text{Tab}(\mu, E)$ is of course empty unless $|\mu| = |E|$. Given any quasicomposition $E = (e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots)$, the formula

$$h_{e_1} h_{e_2} h_{e_3} \cdots = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}} |\text{Tab}(\mu, E)| s_\mu$$

holds in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see [14, I, (6.4)] for a proof).

Now we fix a positive integer n and a signed composition $C = (c_1, \dots, c_\ell)$ of it. Let ℓ be the length of C . We define $\text{Comp}(C)$ as the set of all quasicompositions $D = (d_1, \dots, d_\ell)$ such that $d_i = 0$ if $c_i > 0$ and $0 \leq d_i \leq -c_i$ if $c_i < 0$. Given such a D , we further define two quasicompositions $T_{C,D} = (t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$ and $E_{C,D} = (e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$ by

$$t_i = \begin{cases} c_i & \text{if } c_i > 0, \\ d_i & \text{if } c_i < 0; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c_i > 0, \\ -c_i - d_i & \text{if } c_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

The signed composition obtained by omitting the zeros in the list

$$(-e_1, t_1, -e_2, t_2, \dots, -e_\ell, t_\ell)$$

will be denoted by $B_{C,D}$. For instance, for $C = (2, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{1}, 2, 2, \bar{2})$ $|C| = 15$, we can choose $D = (0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, and then $T_{C,D} = (2, 0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 0)$, $E_{C,D} = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2)$ and $B_{C,D} = (2, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 1, 2, \bar{2})$.

Finally, given a bipartition $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ and a signed composition C with $|\lambda| = |C|$, we define $\text{Bitab}(\lambda, C)$ as the set of all standard bitableaux Q such that $\text{sh}(Q) = \lambda^*$ and $C \leftarrow \mathbf{C}(Q)$ (see Remark 4.7).

One of the key to the proof of Theorem 6.2 is the following combinatorial result.

Proposition 6.3. *Given a bipartition λ and a signed composition C with $|\lambda| = |C|$, the sets $\text{Bitab}(\lambda, C)$ and*

$$\coprod_{D \in \text{Comp}(C)} \text{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D}) \times \text{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D})$$

have the same cardinality.

Proof. Let n be a positive integer, C be a signed composition of n , and $\lambda = (\lambda^+, \lambda^-)$ be a bipartition with $|\lambda| = n$. We construct inverse bijections between $\text{Bitab}(\lambda, C)$ and

$$\coprod_{D \in \text{Comp}(C)} \text{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D}) \times \text{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D})$$

as follows.

First let (R, S) be in the second set, so that $R \in \text{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D})$ and $S \in \text{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D})$ for some $D \in \text{Comp}(C)$. We can put a total order on the boxes in R and S by requiring that:

- A box is smaller than another one if the label written in it is smaller than the one in the other.
- Given two boxes with the same label in it, a box in S is smaller than a box in R .
- For boxes containing the same label and located in the same tableau (R or S), boxes located south-west are smaller than boxes located north-east.

We can then enumerate in increasing order the boxes in R and S . Filling now each box of R and S by its rank of appearance in the enumeration, we construct a standard bitableau \tilde{Q} of shape λ . We then define Q as the bitableau obtained from \tilde{Q} by transposing \tilde{Q}^- , so that Q has shape λ^* . Comparing this construction with the combinatorial rule in Remark 4.7 that computes $\mathbf{C}(Q)$, we easily check that the signed composition $B_{C,D}$ can be obtained from $\mathbf{C}(Q)$ by refinement of the parts, so that $C \stackrel{B}{\leftarrow} B_{C,D} \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{C}(Q)$, which implies $Q \in \text{Bitab}(\lambda, C)$.

In the other direction, let Q be a given element in $\text{Bitab}(\lambda, C)$. From Theorem 3.15, there exists a unique signed composition B such that $C \stackrel{B}{\leftarrow} B \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{C}(Q)$, and we can find a (unique) element $D \in \text{Comp}(C)$ so that $B = B_{C,D}$. Now we transpose Q^- and get a bitableau \tilde{Q} . We construct a list $L = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$ of positive integers by placing first $|c_1|$ times the number 1, then $|c_2|$ times the number 2, and so on. Then we substitute l_1 to 1, l_2 to 2, and so on, in the boxes of \tilde{Q} , and obtain in this way a pair (R, S) of tableaux of shapes λ^+ and λ^- respectively. The fact that $B_{C,D} \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{C}(Q)$ implies that this construction yield two semistandard tableaux R and S with weights $T_{C,D}$ and $E_{C,D}$ respectively, that is to say

$$(R, S) \in \text{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D}) \times \text{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D}).$$

It is a routine task to check that the two above constructions yield mutually inverse bijections between $\coprod_{D \in \text{Comp}(C)} \text{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D}) \times \text{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D})$ and $\text{Bitab}(\lambda, C)$. \square

We end this paragraph by an example that illustrates the constructions needed in the proof above. We take $n = 15$ and choose the same signed composition C as in the previous example, namely

$$C = (2, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, 1, \bar{1}, 2, 2, \bar{2}).$$

We choose $\lambda^+ = 631$ and $\lambda^- = 41$, so that $\lambda^* = (631, 21^3)$. Starting from the pair (R, S) with

$$R = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 7 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & 7 & & & \\ \hline 6 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 2 & 3 & 8 \\ \hline 8 & & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

we construct $\tilde{Q} = (\tilde{Q}^+, \tilde{Q}^-)$ where

$$\tilde{Q}^+ = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 13 \\ \hline 9 & 11 & 12 & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}^- = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 4 & 5 & 15 \\ \hline 14 & & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

whence $Q = (Q^+, Q^-)$ with

$$Q^+ = \tilde{Q}^+ = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 13 \\ \hline 9 & 11 & 12 & & & \\ \hline 10 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad Q^- = {}^t\tilde{Q}^- = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 3 & 14 \\ \hline 4 & \\ \hline 5 & \\ \hline 15 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Since $\mathbf{C}(Q) = (2, \bar{3}, 3, 1, 4, \bar{2})$, it holds that $C \xleftarrow{B} B \xleftarrow{R} \mathbf{C}(Q)$ with

$$B = (2, \bar{2}, \bar{1}, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, \bar{2}),$$

which implies $C \leftarrow \mathbf{C}(Q)$.

In the other direction, we start from the bitableau Q . We observe that the signed composition B such that $C \xleftarrow{B} B \xleftarrow{R} \mathbf{C}(Q)$ is $B_{C,D}$, where D is given by $D = (0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$. Now we write down the list

$$L = (1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 8, 8)$$

from C . Transposing the negative tableau Q^- , we write down \tilde{Q} and substitute the elements of L to the numbers in the boxes of \tilde{Q} . We recover our original pair (R, S) . We easily verify that R has weight

$$T_{C,D} = (2, 0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 0)$$

and that S has weight

$$E_{C,D} = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2).$$

Proof of Theorem 6.2.

1 We first compute the image by ch of the induced character $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} 1$ of W_n , where n is a positive integer. To do that, we construct the complex representation $\eta_n(V)$ of W_n , where V is the left regular representation of $W = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Denoting by \mathbb{C}_1 the trivial representation of \mathfrak{S}_n , we then observe that the isomorphism of vector spaces $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} \mathbb{C}_1 \cong \eta_n(V)$ given by the sequence of natural identifications

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} \mathbb{C}_1 \cong \mathbb{C}W_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbb{C}_1 \cong \mathbb{C}(W^n) \cong (\mathbb{C}W)^{\otimes n} = V^{\otimes n} = \eta_n(V)$$

is compatible with the action of W_n . Since V has $\tau + \varepsilon$ for character, it follows that $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} 1 = \eta_n(\tau + \varepsilon)$. Lemma 6.1 now implies that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ch}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} 1) = \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(\tau) \right) \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n(\varepsilon) \right).$$

On the other side, it is easy to check that $\eta_n(\tau)$ is the trivial character of W_n . Therefore ch maps the trivial character $\text{Ind}_{W_n}^{W_n} 1$ of W_n to $h_n(\tau)$. To comply with the philosophy used by Bonnafé and Hohlweg, we will write for any positive integer n

$$\varphi_{\pm n} = \text{ch}(\text{Ind}_{W_{\pm n}}^{W_n} 1) = \begin{cases} \text{ch}(\text{Ind}_{W_n}^{W_n} 1) = h_n(\tau) & \text{for '+' sign,} \\ \text{ch}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^{W_n} 1) = \sum_{k=0}^n h_k(\tau) h_{n-k}(\varepsilon) & \text{for '-' sign.} \end{cases}$$

2 We now prove the equality $f \circ i = \text{ch} \circ \theta$. Given any signed composition $C = (c_1, \dots, c_\ell)$, there holds $x_C = x_{c_1} \cdots x_{c_\ell}$. Since θ is a morphism of Hopf algebras, we can write

$$\text{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_{|C|}} 1_C = \theta(x_C) = \theta(x_{c_1}) \cdots \theta(x_{c_\ell}) = \text{Ind}_{W_{c_1}}^{W_{|c_1|}} 1 \bullet \cdots \bullet \text{Ind}_{W_{c_\ell}}^{W_{|c_\ell|}} 1,$$

and taking its image under ch ,

$$\text{ch} \text{Ind}_{W_C}^{W_{|C|}} 1_C = \text{ch} \circ \theta(x_C) = \varphi_{c_1} \cdots \varphi_{c_\ell}.$$

The formula

$$\varphi_{-n} = \sum_{k=0}^n h_k(\tau) h_{n-k}(\varepsilon),$$

valid for any positive integer n , makes possible to continue the computation:

$$\text{ch} \circ \theta(x_C) = \sum_{D \in \text{Comp}(C)} h_{t_1}(\tau) \cdots h_{t_\ell}(\tau) h_{e_1}(\varepsilon) \cdots h_{e_\ell}(\varepsilon),$$

where the quasicompositions (t_1, \dots, t_ℓ) and (e_1, \dots, e_ℓ) appearing in the sum are $T_{C,D}$ and $E_{C,D}$ respectively. We thus get, using Proposition 6.3 and the decomposition of X_C given at the end of Remark 4.7:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch} \circ \theta(x_C) &= \sum_{D \in \text{Comp}(C)} \left(\sum_{\lambda^+ \in \mathcal{P}} |\text{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D})|_{s_{\lambda^+(\tau)}} \right) \left(\sum_{\lambda^- \in \mathcal{P}} |\text{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D})|_{s_{\lambda^-(\varepsilon)}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(\lambda^+, \lambda^-) \in \mathcal{P}_W} \left(\sum_{D \in \text{Comp}(C)} |\text{Tab}(\lambda^+, T_{C,D}) \times \text{Tab}(\lambda^-, E_{C,D})| \right) s_{\lambda^+(\tau)} s_{\lambda^-(\varepsilon)} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_W} |\text{Bitab}(\lambda, C)|_{s_\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{Q \text{ std. bitableau} \\ C \leftarrow C(Q)}} s_{(\text{sh } Q)^*} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{Q \text{ std. bitableau} \\ C \leftarrow C(Q)}} f(z_Q) \\ &= f \circ i(x_C). \end{aligned}$$

Since the elements x_C generate $\Sigma' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ as a vector space, it follows that $\text{ch} \circ \theta = f \circ i$.

3 To complete the proof, it now suffices to show that $f = \text{ch} \circ \tilde{\theta}$. We first observe that both members of this equality coincide on the image of i in $\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$, since

$$\text{ch} \circ \theta = f \circ i \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \tilde{\theta} \circ i.$$

On the other hand, f and $\text{ch} \circ \tilde{\theta}$ have the same kernel, namely the vector space \mathcal{Q}_n^\perp spanned by the elements $z_Q - z_{Q'}$ for standard bitableaux Q and Q' of the same shape (see Theorem 4.14). Since θ is surjective, this kernel, together with the image of i , spans $\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. The result follows easily from these facts.