



HAL
open science

On the scarring of eigenstates for some arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds in dimension 2 and 3

Tristan Poullaouec

► **To cite this version:**

Tristan Poullaouec. On the scarring of eigenstates for some arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds in dimension 2 and 3. 2004. hal-00001491v2

HAL Id: hal-00001491

<https://hal.science/hal-00001491v2>

Preprint submitted on 15 Jun 2004 (v2), last revised 8 Dec 2005 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the scarring of eigenstates for some arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds in dimension 2 and 3

Tristan POULLAOUEC

June 15, 2004

Abstract

In this paper, we shall deal with the so-called conjecture of Quantum Unique Ergodicity. In [9], Rudnick and Sarnak showed that there is no *strong scarring* (see definition page 2) on closed geodesics for compact arithmetic congruence surfaces derived from a quaternion division algebra (see Introduction and Theorem 0.1).

First we extend this Theorem to the congruence surface $X = \Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ (it is not compact but has finite measure), where $\Gamma(2)$ is the kernel of the projection of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ into $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then, after some algebraic and geometric preliminaries – and the establishment of useful technical Lemmas – we extend Theorem 0.1 to a class of Riemannian manifolds $X_R = \Gamma_R\backslash\mathbb{H}^3$, the so-called (K_2^S) class, that are again derived from quaternion division algebras. We show that there is no strong scarring on closed geodesics or on Γ_R -closed imbedded totally geodesic surfaces.

Introduction

A topic of great interest in quantum mechanics is the study of the limit of the quantized systems when $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, which we call the *semi-classical limit* of quantum mechanics. The underlying purpose is to relate classical dynamics to the quantum one, in order to understand better the quantum mechanics. This is the path we follow to study quantum chaos : we consider a classically chaotic system, and we try to identify in the quantized system the influence of the chaotic nature of the classical dynamics.

For M a Riemannian manifold of negative sectional curvature in dimension 2 or 3, it is well known (see [1], [4], [5]) that the geodesic flow on the unitary sphere tangent bundle T^1M is ergodic and chaotic. After quantization, the wave functions of the stationary Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \phi + V(q)\phi = E \phi \quad \text{with } E \text{ a constant} \quad (0.1)$$

are the \mathcal{L}^2 eigenfunctions or *eigenmodes* of $\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + V(q)$, where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M . The potential $V(q)$ is in fact related to the curvature of M . We suppose that this operator has a discrete spectrum $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\lambda_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty$, which is true at least in the compact case.

We shall denote by $(\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the associated eigenfunctions – we assume that they are normalized, so that $\|\phi_k\|_2 = 1$ – and by $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the corresponding measures given by

$$d\mu_k(q) = |\phi_k(q)|^2 d\text{vol}(q) \quad (0.2)$$

The measure μ_k is actually the probability of presence of a particle in the state ϕ_k at q . Moreover the semi-classical limit is the limit at large energies *i.e.* when $k \rightarrow \infty$. We shall now state the so-called Quantum Unique Ergodicity Conjecture (see [10]) :

Conjecture *Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension 2 or 3 and of sectional curvature $K < 0$. Then $d\mu_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{d\text{vol}}{\text{vol}(M)}$*

For a compact surface M whose geodesic flow is ergodic, this result was established in [12] for a subsequence of full density of (μ_k) . More precisely, we shall consider here quotient manifolds $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ ($n = 2, 3$) where Γ is a freely acting discrete subgroup of $\text{Is}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, endowed with the projection of the canonical Poincaré metric on \mathbb{H}^n . In particular (see [3]) all Riemann surfaces are of such a type, apart from S^2 , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{C}^* and \mathbb{T}^2 . A first step towards this conjecture was realized in [9] with the following Theorem :

Theorem 0.1 *Let $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ be an arithmetic congruence surface derived from a quaternion algebra and ν a quantum limit on X . If $\sigma = \text{singsupp } \nu$ is contained in the union of a finite number of isolated points and closed geodesics, then $\sigma = \emptyset$.*

In other words, there is no *strong scarring* (cf. [10]) of eigenmodes on closed geodesics. Here Γ is a congruence subgroup of a discrete group derived from an indefinite quaternion division algebra. By a *quantum limit* ν we mean a probability measure on M such that there exists a subsequence (μ_{k_j}) of (μ_k) with $\mu_{k_j} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu$. All the quantum limits considered in the sequel will implicitly have $\text{singsupp } \nu \neq \emptyset$.

In [9] a congruence subgroup is implicitly used to get a free action on \mathbb{H}^2 . Thus the canonical projection $\mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ will be a covering ; otherwise branching points would appear, at which the projection of the canonical Poincaré metric would be singular.

In this work, we shall extend Theorem 0.1 to the particular arithmetic congruence surface $X = \Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$, where $\Gamma(2)$ is the congruence group $\Gamma(2) = \{ \gamma \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv I_2 [2] \}$. This space is not compact anymore, but it has finite measure. The extension is straight forward, we just adapt the techniques and ideas of [9]. Note that $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ being derived from the matrix algebra $\text{M}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, Theorem 0.1 does not apply to the surface X .

Then we deal with the case of $X_R = \Gamma_R \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$, where Γ_R is a discrete group derived from a class of division quaternions algebras explicitly defined in sections 3.2 and 4. We show that a non empty set Λ contained in a finite union of isolated points, closed geodesics and Γ_R -closed (see section 4 for definition) imbedded totally geodesic surfaces of X_R (in this last case, we shall assume that $\text{area}(\Lambda) \neq 0$) cannot be the singular support of a quantum limit on X_R .

Before giving the proof, we shall recall some useful points of algebra and geometry (cf. section 2 and 3), and establish some arithmetical and geometrical Lemmas (cf. section 3 and 4). Contrary to the previous case, the proof is not a straight adaptation of [9] because the algebraic formalism used there (binary quadratic forms) does not apply to points (or to the imbedded surfaces) anymore.

1 The case $\Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$

Let us recall that

$$\Gamma(2) = \left\{ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \gamma \equiv I[2] \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

We define the same way $\Gamma(N)$ for $N \geq 2$. The result obtained is the following :

Theorem 1.1 *If the singular support σ of a quantum limit on $X = \Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ is contained in a finite union of isolated points and closed geodesics of X , then $\sigma = \emptyset$.*

1.1 Correspondences and separation

Definition *A correspondence \mathcal{C} of order r on a Riemannian manifold X is a mapping from X to X^r/\mathfrak{S}_r such that $\mathcal{C}(x) = (S_1(x), \dots, S_r(x))$ with $\forall k = 1 \dots r, S_k \in \mathrm{Is}(X)$. Here \mathfrak{S}_r denote the symmetric group of order r .*

We shall denote by $T_{\mathcal{C}}$ the associated operator of $\mathcal{L}^2(X)$ defined by $T_{\mathcal{C}}(f) : x \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^r f(S_k(x))$.

Definition *Let Λ be a subset of X . We say that such a correspondence \mathcal{C} separates Λ if $\exists z \in X - \Lambda$ such that $\exists ! k \in \{1, \dots, r\}, S_k(z) \in \Lambda$.*

Then we have the following Proposition (proved in [9])

Proposition 1.1 *Let $\Lambda \subset X$ be a closed subset of zero volume and \mathcal{C} be a correspondence on X that separates Λ . Let $(\phi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of eigenfunctions of $T_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \|\phi_j\|_2 = 1$ and that $d\nu = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_j(z)|^2 d\mathrm{vol}(z)$ exists. Then $\mathrm{singsupp} \nu \neq \Lambda$.*

Keep in mind than given any measure ν on X , its singular support is a closed subset of X .

1.2 \mathbb{H}^2 , the modular group and modular operators

In the sequel, we shall set $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and deal with the hyperbolic space $X = \Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$.

1.2.1 Some hyperbolic geometry

- The space $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} / \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0 \}$ is provided with Poincaré hyperbolic metric $ds = |dz|/\mathrm{Im}(z)$ which becomes in cartesian coordinates $ds^2 = (dx^2 + dy^2)/y^2$. As shown on figure 1, the geodesics of \mathbb{H}^2 are half-circles centered on the real axis (like γ who is connecting a to b) or vertical straight half-lines (like γ_2 who is connecting c to infinity).

The metric ds induces on \mathbb{H}^2 the volume form $d\sigma = dx dy/y^2$. Its Gaussian curvature is $K = -1$, and the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{H}^2 is given by

$$\Delta = y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$$




Figure 1: \mathbb{H}^2 and its geodesics

Definition A measure ν on X is called a quantum limit if there exists a sequence (ϕ_j) of eigenfunctions of Δ in $\mathcal{L}^2(X)$ normalized by the condition $\|\phi_j\|_2 = 1$ such that the measures $|\phi_j(z)|^2 dz$ converge weakly to $d\nu$.

• We know that $\text{Is}(\mathbb{H}^2)$, the group isometries of \mathbb{H}^2 , consists of the real linear fractional transformations and fractional reflections. Moreover $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\{\pm I\}$ can be identified with $\text{Is}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$ (the subgroup of isometries preserving the orientation) via the action

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2 \\ (\gamma, z) \longmapsto \gamma.z \end{array} \right. \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} . z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad (1.2)$$

The elements of $\text{Is}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$ are characterized by their fixed points in $\mathbb{H}^2 \cup \partial\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{H}^2 \cup \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$. Moreover $\gamma.z = z \iff cz^2 + (d-a)z - b$ (discriminant $(d-a)^2 + 4bc = (d+a)^2 - 4$) so that

- if $\text{Tr}(\gamma)^2 \in [0, 4[$, the isometry γ has a single fixed point (which is a center) in \mathbb{H}^2 and is called *elliptic* (we follow the classical terminology, see [8]).
- if $\text{Tr}(\gamma)^2 = 4$ and $\gamma \neq \pm I$, the isometry γ has a single fixed point (which is attractive) in $\mathbb{R} \cup \infty$ and is called *parabolic*.
- if $\text{Tr}(\gamma)^2 \notin [0, 4]$, the isometry γ has a two distinct fixed points in $\mathbb{R} \cup \infty$, one attractive and the other repulsive, and is called *hyperbolic*. The geodesic L connecting this fixed points is called the *axis* of γ . The isometry γ leaves L invariant and acts on it as a translation of the curvilinear abscisse.

• Finally we recall the notion of binary quadratic form and its use in geometry (cf. [9]). Set $M = [a, b, c]$ (with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$) the (real) binary quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^2 defined by

$$\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \quad M(x, y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$$

We shall identify M with its (real symmetric) matrix in the canonical basis, and we set $M \circ g = M[g] = {}^t g M g$ for $g \in \text{M}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Only non degenerate forms will be considered in the sequel *i.e.* we suppose that $\det M \neq 0$.

Let M be such a form : it has two distinct roots $(x : y)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, the equation $M(x, y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2 = 0$ having discriminant $b^2 - ac = -\det M \neq 0$.

- if $\det M > 0$ then M is anisotropic on \mathbb{R} and $M(z, 1) = 0$ has a single root $z_M \in \mathbb{H}^2$
- otherwise, M being isotropic on \mathbb{R} , it has two distinct roots in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R} \cup \infty$, and we call γ_M the geodesic connecting them.

Therefore we associate to each non degenerate binary quadratic form M a point z_M or a geodesic γ_M of \mathbb{H}^2 . Conversely to each point or geodesic we associate a single proportionality class of non degenerate binary quadratic forms.

In this way the action of $g \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{H}^2 translates into an action $M \mapsto M[g^{-1}]$ on the binary quadratic forms.

1.2.2 The quotient space $X = \Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$

- Contrary to Γ , its subgroup $\Gamma(2)$ contains no elliptic element (this is a particular case of a general property of the congruence subgroups $\Gamma(N)$ for $N \geq 2$ cf. [6]) : it acts therefore freely on \mathbb{H}^2 and $X = \Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ is a Riemannian manifold of Gaussian curvature $K = -1$.

We see (cf. [6]) that

$$\Gamma(2) \backslash \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \{ 1, \sigma, \tau, \tau\sigma, \tau\sigma\tau, \tau\sigma\tau\sigma \} \quad (1.3)$$

with σ and τ representing the classes modulo $\Gamma(2)$ of the transformations $S : z \mapsto -1/z$ and $T : z \mapsto z + 1$. They verify $\sigma^2 = \tau^2 = 1$ and $(\sigma\tau)^3 = 1$.




Figure 2: A fundamental domain for $\Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$

Moreover $\Gamma(2)$, being discrete, acts discontinuously on \mathbb{H}^2 (cf. [8]) ; knowing that Γ admits the geodesic triangle $\mathcal{F}_0 = (\rho, \rho + 1, \infty)$ as a fundamental domain (where $\rho = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{3}}$), we deduce from (1.3) that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \cup S\mathcal{F}_0 \cup T\mathcal{F}_0 \cup TS\mathcal{F}_0 \cup TST\mathcal{F}_0 \cup TSTS\mathcal{F}_0$ is a fundamental domain for the group $\Gamma(2)$ (cf. figure 2).

On this figure, we have $\nu = \frac{1}{1-\rho} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}}$.

- Let us recall that the geodesics of $\Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ are the projections of those of \mathbb{H}^2 .

Definition *A closed geodesic of a metric space (X, d) is the image of a periodic geodesic line $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$.*

Proposition 1.2 *Let \mathcal{L} be a closed geodesic of $X = \Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$. There exists an hyperbolic transformation $\gamma \in \Gamma(2)$ whose axis L projects onto \mathcal{L} .*

The proof (see [8]) uses only the discontinuity of the action of $\Gamma(2)$ on \mathbb{H}^2 . In particular, there exists a compact segment l of the geodesic L such that $L = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma^n l$ and $\mathcal{L} = \pi(L) = \pi(l)$, where π is the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$.

Lemma 1.1 *Let F and G be two closed geodesics of X . Then $F = G$ or $F \cap G$ is finite.*

Proof: we shall use Proposition 1.2. Let γ_1 and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma(2)$ be two hyperbolic transformations whose axis L_1 and L_2 project onto F and G respectively. Let l_1 and l_2 be compact segments of L_1 and L_2 such that $L_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_1^n l_1$ and $L_2 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_2^n l_2$. Then

$$F \cap G = \pi(l_1) \cap \pi(l_2) = \pi \left[\left(\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma(2)} \gamma l_1 \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{\gamma' \in \Gamma(2)} \gamma' l_2 \right) \right] = \pi \left[\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma(2)} \left(l_1 \cap \gamma l_2 \right) \right]$$

because for $p \in \gamma l_1 \cap \gamma' l_2$, $\gamma^{-1}p \in l_1 \cap (\gamma^{-1}\gamma') l_2$ and $\pi(\gamma^{-1}p) = \pi(p)$.

Since the segments l_1 and l_2 are compact subsets of \mathbb{H}^2 , we deduce from the discontinuity of the action of $\Gamma(2)$ on \mathbb{H}^2 that the set $\Gamma_0 = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma(2) / l_1 \cap \gamma l_2 \neq \emptyset \}$ is finite and that

$$F \cap G = \pi \left[\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \left(l_1 \cap \gamma l_2 \right) \right] \tag{1.4}$$

Let us assume that $F \cap G$ is infinite : $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \left(l_1 \cap \gamma l_2 \right)$ is infinite so that, Γ_0 being finite, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ such that $l_1 \cap \gamma l_2$ is infinite. Hence $L_1 \cap \gamma L_2$ is infinite, L_1 and γL_2 being two geodesics of \mathbb{H}^2 i.e. half-circles or half-lines : therefore $L_1 = \gamma L_2$ and $F = G$.

1.2.3 Modular correspondences

- Let us set $P(n) = \{ M \in \text{M}(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \det M = n \}$ and $R(n) = \{ M \in P(n) / M \equiv I [2] \} = \{ M \in \text{M}(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \det(M) = n \text{ and } M \equiv I [2] \}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\Gamma(2) = R(1)$ and $\Gamma = P(1)$. As is well-known, we have

Lemma $P(1)\backslash P(n) \approx \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{M}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \ / \ ad = n, a \geq 1, 0 \leq b < d \right\}$

Using the six representants for the cosets of $R(1)\backslash P(1)$ (cf. previous section) we show that

$$\mathbf{Lemma 1.2} \quad R(1)\backslash R(n) \approx \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in R(n) \mid a \geq 1, 0 \leq b < d \right\}$$

Obviously $R(n) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if n is odd. We call $R^{pr}(n)$ the set of the elements of $R(n)$ that are not integer multiples of matrices in $M(2, \mathbb{Z})$: these are the *primitive* elements. We can now define the modular correspondence of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for n odd.

$$\mathbf{Definition} \quad \mathcal{C}_n : \begin{cases} X & \longrightarrow X^r / \mathfrak{S}_r \\ \Gamma(2)x & \longmapsto \{\Gamma(2)\alpha_1 x, \dots, \Gamma(2)\alpha_r x\} \end{cases}$$

where $R(1)\backslash R(n) = \{\Gamma(2)\alpha_1, \dots, \Gamma(2)\alpha_r\}$. Let T_n be the associated operator, which we call a *modular operator* :

$$T_n(f)(\Gamma(2)z) = \sum_{\delta \in R(1)\backslash R(n)} f(\Gamma(2)\delta z) \quad (1.5)$$

• Because $R(1)\backslash R(n)$ is finite, the modular operators are defined on $\mathcal{L}^2(X)$: if $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(X)$ and $\alpha \in \text{Is}(X)$, clearly $f \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{L}^2(X)$. Moreover $\|f \circ \alpha\|_2 = \|f\|_2$: they are bounded operators on $\mathcal{L}^2(X)$. They also operators satisfy the classical properties of the modular operators :

• T_n is self adjoint and commutes with the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ .

$$\cdot T_n = \sum_{t^2/n} C_{n/t^2} \quad \text{where} \quad C_n f(\Gamma(2)z) = \sum_{\delta \in R(1)\backslash R^{pr}(n)} f(\Gamma(2)\delta z)$$

Nota Bene : in the sequel we shall consider only operators $T_p = C_p$ where p is an odd prime. We shall also note $\mathcal{C}_p = C_p$ for simplicity's sake. Let us denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all prime numbers.

Lemma 1.3 *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $R(1)\backslash R(p) = \{\Gamma(2)\alpha_1, \dots, \Gamma(2)\alpha_n\}$.*

Then $\forall i, \exists ! j$ such that $\alpha_j \alpha_i \in p\Gamma(2)$ and $\forall k \neq j, \alpha_k \alpha_i \in R^{pr}(p^2)$.

Proof : we just use the fact that for any odd m , the set $R(m)$ is stable under passage to the comatrix

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in R(m) \implies \text{Com}(\gamma) = m\gamma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \in R(m) \quad (1.6)$$

which corresponds actually to the passage to the inverse in $\text{Is}^+(\mathbb{H}^2) \simeq \text{PGL}^+(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Let us take $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$: we have $\text{Com}(\alpha_i) \in R(p)$ and $\text{Com}(\alpha_i)\alpha_i = \det(\alpha_i)I_2 = pI_2$. Since $\Gamma(2)\text{Com}(\alpha_i)$ is a coset of $R(1)\backslash R(p)$, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\Gamma(2)\text{Com}(\alpha_i) = \Gamma(2)\alpha_j$. Therefore $\Gamma(2)\alpha_j\alpha_i = p\Gamma(2)$ and $\alpha_j\alpha_i \in p\Gamma(2)$.

For all $k \neq j$, we have $\Gamma(2)\alpha_k \neq \Gamma(2)\alpha_j$ whence $\Gamma(2)\alpha_k\alpha_i \neq \Gamma(2)\alpha_j\alpha_i = p\Gamma(2)$ so that $\alpha_k\alpha_i \in R^{pr}(p^2)$: this ends the proof of the Lemma. We deduce easily the self adjointness of T_p from this Lemma.

We shall use in section 3.2 an extension of this Lemma to an order of a quaternion algebra (cf. Proposition 3.7).

1.3 Results on binary quadratic forms

In this section we adapt the Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 of [9] to the discrete group $\Gamma(2)$.

Definition $M = [a, b, c]$ is a \mathbb{Q} -form if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(\lambda a, \lambda b, \lambda c) \in \mathbb{Q}^3$.

Then we have

Proposition 1.3 Let M and M' be two binary quadratic forms and p_1, p_2 and p_3 distinct primes for which either :

- $\exists \alpha_i \in R(p_i)$ such that $M[\alpha_i] = \lambda_i M' \quad \forall i = 1 \dots 3$.
- $\exists \alpha_i \in R^{pr}(p_i^2)$ such that $M[\alpha_i] = \lambda_i M' \quad \forall i = 1 \dots 3$.

Then M and M' are both \mathbb{Q} -forms.

Proof : let us set $M = [A, B, C]$ and $M' = [A', B', C']$. M and M' being non degenerate, $M[\alpha_1] = \lambda_1 M' \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \neq 0$. As $\alpha_1 \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$, M is a \mathbb{Q} -form if and only if M' also is a \mathbb{Q} -form.

If M' is isotropic over \mathbb{Q} , so is M and both split over \mathbb{Q} : they are proportional to $M_0[\gamma]$ and $M_0[\gamma']$, where $M_0(x, y) = xy$ and $\gamma, \gamma' \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$, thus they are both \mathbb{Q} -forms. Henceforth we assume that both M and M' are anisotropic over \mathbb{Q} .

For a fixed $n \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\forall i, M[\alpha_i] = \lambda_i M' \quad \text{with } \alpha_i \in R^{pr}(p_i^n) \quad (1.7)$$

Taking the determinant of both sides of (1.7) we get $p_i^{2n} \det M = \lambda_i^2 \det M'$, so that

$$\lambda_i = \varepsilon_i \kappa p_i^n \quad \text{with } \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \quad \text{and } \kappa = \sqrt{\frac{\det M}{\det M'}} \quad (1.8)$$

Set $\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$; as $M[\alpha_i] = {}^t \alpha_i M \alpha_i$ equation (1.7) can be written as

$$\forall i \quad \lambda_i M' = \begin{pmatrix} Aa_i^2 + 2Ba_i c_i + Cc_i^2 & Aa_i b_i + Cc_i d_i + B(a_i d_i + b_i c_i) \\ Aa_i b_i + Cc_i d_i + B(a_i d_i + b_i c_i) & Ab_i^2 + 2Bb_i d_i + Cd_i^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.9)$$

- The identification of the lower right terms in (1.9) gives

$$\lambda_i C' = \varepsilon_i \kappa p_i^n C' = Ab_i^2 + 2Bb_i d_i + Cd_i^2 \quad \text{for } i = 1 \dots 3 \quad (1.10)$$

that we will write as

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1^2 & b_1 d_1 & d_1^2 \\ b_2^2 & b_2 d_2 & d_2^2 \\ b_3^2 & b_3 d_3 & d_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 2B \\ C \end{pmatrix} = \kappa C' \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 p_1^n \\ \varepsilon_2 p_2^n \\ \varepsilon_3 p_3^n \end{pmatrix}$$

that is

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 2B \\ C \end{pmatrix} = \kappa C' N \quad \text{with } \Psi \in \text{M}(3, \mathbb{Z}), \det \Psi = \prod_{i < j} (b_i d_j - b_j d_i) \quad \text{and } N \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \quad (1.11)$$

If Ψ is invertible, then $\Psi^{-1}N \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ so that M and M' are both \mathbb{Q} -forms. Otherwise we have $\det \Psi = 0$: we may assume without loss of generality that $b_1d_2 = b_2d_1$. Therefore $\exists \mu \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, $\mu(b_1, d_1) = (b_2, d_2)$ because $\det(\alpha_i) \neq 0$ implies $(b_i, d_i) \neq (0, 0)$. Substituting into (1.10) we find

$$\kappa \varepsilon_2 p_2^n C' = \mu^2 \kappa \varepsilon_1 p_1^n C' = M(b_2, d_2) \neq 0 \quad \text{from the anisotropy of } M \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}$$

so that $p_2^n = \mu^2 p_1^n$. If $n = 1$, $\mu^2 = p_2/p_1$ is a square of \mathbb{Q} , which cannot happen for two distinct primes p_1 and p_2 . Therefore $n = 2$, $\mu = \pm p_2/p_1$ and $p_2(b_1, d_1) = \pm p_1(b_2, d_2)$, and we deduce from the Gauss Theorem that

$$b_1 \equiv d_1 \equiv 0 [p_1] \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 \equiv d_2 \equiv 0 [p_2] \quad (1.12)$$

- The identification of the upper left terms in (1.9) gives

$$\lambda_i A' = \varepsilon_i \kappa p_i^n A' = A a_i^2 + 2 B a_i c_i + C c_i^2 \quad \text{for } i = 1 \dots 3 \quad (1.13)$$

that we will write

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1^2 & a_1 c_1 & c_1^2 \\ a_2^2 & a_2 c_2 & c_2^2 \\ a_3^2 & a_3 c_3 & c_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 2B \\ C \end{pmatrix} = \kappa A' \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 p_1^n \\ \varepsilon_2 p_2^n \\ \varepsilon_3 p_3^n \end{pmatrix}$$

that is

$$\Phi \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 2B \\ C \end{pmatrix} = \kappa A' \tilde{N} \quad \text{with } \Phi \in M(3, \mathbb{Z}), \det \Phi = \prod_{i < j} (a_i c_j - a_j c_i) \quad \text{and } \tilde{N} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$$

If Φ is invertible, as before we can show that M and M' are both \mathbb{Q} -forms. Otherwise $\det \Phi = 0$ so that $\exists i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\exists \mu' \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, $\mu'(a_i, c_i) = (a_j, c_j)$. Substituting into (1.13) we see that $\mu' = \pm p_j/p_i$ because $A' = \lambda_1^{-1} M(a_1, c_1) \neq 0$ by anisotropy of M . Hence :

$$a_i \equiv c_i \equiv 0 [p_i] \quad \text{and} \quad a_j \equiv c_j \equiv 0 [p_j] \quad (1.14)$$

Because $\{i, j\} \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$, either $i \in \{1, 2\}$ or $j \in \{1, 2\}$. We may assume that $i = 1$. From the relations (1.12) and (1.14) we deduce that $\alpha_1 \in p_1 \Gamma(2)$, which contradicts the assumption $\alpha_1 \in R^{pr}(p_1^n)$. This ends the proof of the Proposition.

Proposition 1.4 *Let M, M_1, \dots, M_r be binary quadratic forms representing points or closed geodesics of $\Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$. Then there exists infinitely many primes p such that*

$$\forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall j = 1 \dots r \quad M[\alpha] \neq \lambda_j M_j \quad (1.15)$$

Proof : by Proposition 1.3, if the forms M and M' are not \mathbb{Q} -forms, then for all primes p except at most 4, we have $\forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2)$, $M[\alpha] \neq \lambda M'$. Hence we just have to give the proof for \mathbb{Q} -forms. We shall set $M = [A, B, C]$ with A, B and $C \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\forall i = 1 \dots r$, $M_i = [A_i, B_i, C_i]$ with A_i, B_i and $C_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $n = 1$ or 2 and $\alpha \in R^{pr}(p^n)$ such that $M[\alpha] = \lambda_j M_j$. Let us note that because $\alpha \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ and $M \neq 0$, then $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. By taking the determinants of both sides in $M[\alpha] = \lambda_j M_j$, we get

$$\lambda_j = p^n \kappa_j \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_j = \pm \sqrt{\det M \det M_j^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \quad (1.16)$$

We restrict ourselves in the sequel to primes p such that $\forall j, \text{ord}_p(\kappa_j) = 0$. As $\alpha \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$, the relation $M[\alpha] = \lambda_j M_j$ implies that M and M_j are simultaneously isotropic or anisotropic over \mathbb{Q} . Hence we just have to investigate both cases.

• *Anisotropic Case* : the quadratic form M has for discriminant $B^2 - AC = d(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that M is anisotropic over a field \mathbb{K} if and only if $d(M)$ is not a square in \mathbb{K} . Hence $d(M)$ is not a square in \mathbb{Q} ; let us consider the primes p satisfying

$$\forall j = 1 \dots r \quad \text{ord}_p(\kappa_j) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{d(M)}{p} \right) = -1 \quad (1.17)$$

They form (cf. [11]) a set of Dirichlet density $1/2$ in \mathcal{P} – therefore infinite. For such primes p , the form M is still anisotropic over \mathbb{Q}_p .

If $\exists \alpha \in R^{pr}(p^n)$, $\exists j$, $M[\alpha] = \lambda_j M_j$, then for $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{cases} Aa^2 + 2Bac + Cc^2 = \lambda_j A_j = \kappa_j p^n A_j = M(a, c) \\ Ab^2 + 2Bbd + Cd^2 = \lambda_j C_j = \kappa_j p^n C_j = M(b, d) \end{cases} \quad (1.18)$$

and, because $A_j, C_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{ord}_p(\kappa_j) = 0$, we get $M(\bar{a}, \bar{c}) \equiv M(\bar{b}, \bar{d}) \equiv 0 [p]$. Therefore, by the anisotropy of M on \mathbb{Q}_p , $\alpha \in pR(1)$ is not primitive, which contradicts the choice of α . Thus in the anisotropic case, for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying the relation (1.17)

$$\forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall j = 1 \dots r \quad M[\alpha] \neq \lambda_j M_j \quad (1.19)$$

• *Isotropic Case* : we consider non degenerate quadratic forms with integer coefficients. Thus they split over \mathbb{Q} as a product of two independent linear forms. Let M be such a form : it has two roots $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \infty$ and let γ_M be the geodesic of \mathbb{H}^2 connecting these two points. We will show that γ_M cannot be a closed geodesic of X .

We look for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(2)$ such that $\gamma(z_1) = z_1$ and $\gamma(z_2) = z_2$.

If $z_1 = \infty, z_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$: $\gamma(\infty) = \infty \implies c = 0 \implies ad = 1$. As a and d are integers, $a = d = \pm 1$ and γ is not hyperbolic.

If $z_1 \neq z_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$: then $c \neq 0$ and $\gamma(x) = x \iff cx^2 + (d-a)x - b = 0$. The two fixed points of γ are then

$$z_{1,2} = \frac{a-d \pm \sqrt{(a+d)^2 - 4}}{2c}$$

Thus $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \implies \sqrt{(a+d)^2 - 4} \in \mathbb{Q} \implies \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}, y^2 = (a+d)^2 - 4 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$y \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad (a+d+y)(a+d-y) = 4$$

The integers $a+d+y$ and $a+d-y$ being of same parity, necessarily $a+d+y = a+d-y = a+d = \pm 2$: γ cannot be hyperbolic.

Hence γ_M is not the axis of any hyperbolic element of $\Gamma(2)$: owing to Proposition 1.2, we can state that the binary quadratic \mathbb{Q} -forms isotropic on \mathbb{Q} are not associated with closed geodesics of $X = \Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$. This ends the proof of the Proposition.

1.4 Separation of points and geodesics

Proposition 1.5 *Let Λ be a non-empty set contained in a finite union of closed geodesics of $X = \Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$. There exists a modular correspondence \mathcal{C}_p separating Λ .*

Proof : given two points $z, z' \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and M, M' the associated binary quadratic forms, we have $z = z' \iff \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, M' = \lambda M$. The same goes for two geodesics. We recall briefly the proof presented in [9].

• *Λ is finite* : let us write $\Lambda = \{z_1, \dots, z_l\}$. We take $\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_l$ liftings to \mathbb{H}^2 and M_1, \dots, M_l the associated binary quadratic forms. Proposition 1.4 applied to them gives

$$\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \quad \forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall j = 1 \dots l \quad \alpha \tilde{z}_1 \neq \tilde{z}_j \quad (1.20)$$

We set $R(1)\backslash R(p) = \{\Gamma(2)\alpha_1, \dots, \Gamma(2)\alpha_n\}$ and $w = \Gamma(2)\alpha_1\tilde{z}_1 \in \mathbb{H}^2$. Then, according to relation (1.20), we have $\forall j = 1 \dots l, w \neq \Gamma(2)\tilde{z}_j$ so that $w \notin \Lambda$. Consider the correspondence $\mathcal{C}_p(w) = \{\Gamma(2)\alpha_1\alpha_1\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \Gamma(2)\alpha_n\alpha_1\tilde{z}_1\}$. From Lemma 1.3, we deduce

$$\mathcal{C}_p(w) = \{z_1\} \cup B \quad \text{where} \quad B \subset \{\Gamma(2)\alpha\tilde{z}_1, \alpha \in R^{pr}(p^2)\} = \mathcal{C}_{p^2}(z_1)$$

As $\mathcal{C}_{p^2}(z_1) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ – cf. (1.20) – then $\mathcal{C}_p(w) \cap \Lambda = \{z_1\}$. Hence for this choice of w , we have shown that \mathcal{C}_p separates Λ in the sense of section 1.1.

• *Λ is infinite* : $\Lambda \subset F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_r$, where the F_i are closed geodesics. Besides at least one of the sets $\Lambda \cap F_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ is infinite : we can assume $\Lambda \cap F_1$ is infinite. Let $\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_r$ be liftings of those geodesics to \mathbb{H}^2 . As before, we have according to Proposition 1.4

$$\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \quad \forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall j = 1 \dots r \quad \alpha \tilde{F}_1 \neq \tilde{F}_j \quad (1.21)$$

From Lemma 1.1, we know that for two distinct closed geodesics F and G of $X = \Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$, necessarily $F \cap G$ is finite. Hence the relation (1.21) implies that $\mu_1 = \mathcal{C}_p(F_1) \cap (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_r)$ and $\mu_2 = \mathcal{C}_{p^2}(F_1) \cap (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_r)$ are finite subsets of X . It follows that

$$\nu_1 = \{z \in X / \mathcal{C}_p(z) \cap \mu_1 \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_2 = \{z \in X / \mathcal{C}_{p^2}(z) \cap \mu_2 \neq \emptyset\}$$

are finite subsets of X too and, $\Lambda \cap F_1$ being infinite, there exists $z \in \Lambda \cap F_1 \setminus (\nu_1 \cap \nu_2)$. Let \tilde{z} be a lifting to \mathbb{H}^2 and $w = \Gamma(2)\alpha_1\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{C}_p(z) \subset \mathcal{C}_p(F_1)$. Because $z \notin \nu_1$, we have $\mathcal{C}_p(z) \cap \mu_1 = \emptyset$ so that $\mathcal{C}_p(z) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ (cf. $z \in F_1$) and $w \notin \Lambda$.

As before $\mathcal{C}_p(w) = \{z\} \cup B'$ where $B' \subset \mathcal{C}_{p^2}(z)$. Hence $z \notin \nu_2$ implies $\mathcal{C}_{p^2}(z) \cap \mu_2 = \emptyset$ so that $\mathcal{C}_{p^2}(z) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C}_p(w) \cap \Lambda = \{z\}$: \mathcal{C}_p separates Λ . This ends the proof.

Conclusion : proof of Theorem 1.1

Let Λ be a non-empty closed set contained in such a finite union of closed geodesics of X , and ν a quantum limit on X . Consider $(\phi_j)_j$ the associated sequence of eigenfunctions of Δ . We make the assumption – as in [9], where an orthonormal basis of common eigenfunctions of Δ and $\{T_n\}$ is considered – that they're also eigenfunctions of the modular operators (which commute with Δ) as “all evidence points to the spectrum of Δ being simple ...”. We shall make a similar assumption in section 9.

So our quantum limit is associated to a sequence of eigenfunctions of T_C , where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_p$ is a modular correspondence separating Λ (cf. Proposition 1.5) : according to Proposition 1.1, we deduce that $\text{singsupp } \nu \neq \Lambda$. This proves Theorem 1.1.

2 Hyperbolic Geometry in dimension 3

2.1 Definition

• We take as model of hyperbolic space the upper half-space $\mathbb{H}^3 = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid t > 0\}$. Using the usual notation $\mathbf{j} = 1 \wedge \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$\mathbb{H}^3 = \{z + t\mathbf{j} \mid z \in \mathbb{C}, t > 0\}$$

Therefore we shall identify \mathbb{H}^3 with the subset $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}_+\mathbf{j}$ of the algebra of quaternions of Hamilton $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}[1, i, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}]$. The space \mathbb{H}^3 is provided with the Riemannian hyperbolic metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{|dz|^2 + dt^2}{t^2} = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dt^2}{t^2}$$

It is of constant sectional curvature $K = -1$ and defines the volume form $dvol = dx dy dz/t^3$. Finally the associated Laplace-Beltrami operator is

$$\Delta = t^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) - t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

• We deduce easily the geodesics of \mathbb{H}^3 from the ones of \mathbb{H}^2 . They are half-circles centered on \mathbb{C} and half-lines orthogonal to \mathbb{C} .

Moreover they are uniquely determined by their end points (possibly infinite) *i.e.* two distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, that are the roots of a unique proportionality class of non-degenerate binary quadratic form with coefficient in \mathbb{C} : as in dimension 2, it is a bijection.




Figure 3: Geodesics of \mathbb{H}^3

Let us now turn to the imbedded totally geodesic submanifolds (abbreviated *itgs*) of \mathbb{H}^3 . By definition, an imbedded submanifold S in a manifold X is called *totally geodesic* if and only if for all $(M, \vec{u}) \in TS$, the geodesic of X tangent to \vec{u} at M is contained in S .

In \mathbb{H}^3 , the geodesics being half-lines and half-circles orthogonal to \mathbb{C} , the itgs are half-planes orthogonal to \mathbb{C} and half-spheres centered on \mathbb{C} .

2.2 Isometries of \mathbb{H}^3

We know (cf. results of inversive geometry in [8]) that $\text{Is}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ consists of extensions to \mathbb{R}^3 of the Möbius transformations of \mathbb{C}

$$M(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, z \mapsto \frac{a\bar{z}+b}{c\bar{z}+d} \ / \ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

and $\text{Is}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$, whose elements are extensions of the complex fractional linear transformations, is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$: we shall make the identification implicitly in the sequel. More precisely (always identifying \mathbb{H}^3 with a subset of \mathbb{H}) we have the following action

$$\forall \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{H}^3 \quad \gamma.x = (ax+b).(cx+d)^{-1} \quad (2.1)$$

This extension is also known as the Poincaré extension. The action of

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{with } ad - bc = n \neq 0$$

is given by : if $c = 0$

$$\gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{az+b}{d} \\ \frac{|a|}{|d|}t \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

and if $c \neq 0$

$$\gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{c} - \frac{n}{c} \frac{\overline{cz+d}}{|cz+d|^2 + |c|^2t^2} \\ \frac{|n|t}{|cz+d|^2 + |c|^2t^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

Finally the classification of the elements of $\text{Is}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$ extends to $\text{Is}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$: they are elliptic, parabolic or hyperbolic according to their trace. The notion of axis of a hyperbolic element is the same. The only (subtle) difference is that an elliptic element does not have a unique fixed point in \mathbb{H}^3 but a whole geodesic of them. Indeed for $c \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} a+d = \text{Tr}(\gamma) = 2 \text{Re}(cz+d) \\ |cz+d|^2 + |c|^2t^2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

and there exists solutions in \mathbb{H}^3 (in fact an euclidean ellipse) iff $\text{Tr}(\gamma)^2 \in [0, 4[$ (elliptic case). For $c = 0$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} (a-d)z + b = 1 \\ |a| = |d| = 1 \end{cases}$$

and there exists one solution in \mathbb{H}^3 for $a \neq d \in S^1$ (whence $\text{Tr}(\gamma)^2 = a^2 + 2 + a^{-2} \in [0, 4[$).

In the parabolic case ($\text{Tr}(\gamma)^2 = 4$ with $\gamma \neq \pm I_2$) or in the hyperbolic case ($\text{Tr}(\gamma)^2 \notin [0, 4[$), the resolution of the equation $\gamma.z = z$ on \mathbb{C} leads again to the existence of a fixed attractive point or two fixed (attractive and repulsive) points in \mathbb{C} respectively. In those cases the value of $c \in \mathbb{C}$ does not have any importance.

3 Algebraic complements

3.1 Number theory

We base on [7] in this section.

3.1.1 Extensions and prime ideals

- Let \mathbb{K} be a number field and \mathbb{L} a finite extension. We shall denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$ their rings of algebraic integers. Let \mathfrak{B} be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$. Then $P = \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ called the *underlying ideal to \mathfrak{B}* . Moreover $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}/\mathfrak{B} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}/P] \leq [\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{K}] < \infty$; we denote this quantity by $f_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{B})$: it is the *degree* of \mathfrak{B} over \mathbb{K} .

Conversely let us take a prime ideal P of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Then we have $P\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}} = \mathfrak{B}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{B}_s^{e_s}$, where the \mathfrak{B}_i are prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$. The quantities e_i are called *ramification indices*, and setting $\forall i, f_i = f_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{B}_i)$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^s e_i f_i = [\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{K}] \quad (3.1)$$

If $\exists i$ with $e_i > 1$, we say that P is *ramified* (there is only a finite number of such ones).

- Let \mathbb{K} be a number field, P a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $p\mathbb{Z} = P \cap \mathbb{Z}$ its underlying ideal and $f = f_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}(P)$. We define the *norm* of the ideal P by
$$N(P) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}/P| = p^f$$

Given a set A of prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$, we shall say that A is *regular* with *density a* in the set of all prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ if

$$\sum_{p \in A} N(p)^{-s} \underset{s \rightarrow 1^+}{\sim} a \log \frac{1}{s-1} \quad (3.2)$$

- Finally let us take a finite extension \mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K} with normal closure \mathbb{M}/\mathbb{K} and Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{M}/\mathbb{K})$. The set of the prime ideals P of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ satisfying $P\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}} = \mathfrak{B}_1 \dots \mathfrak{B}_r$ with $\forall i = 1 \dots r, \mathfrak{B}_i$ prime ideal and $f_{\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{B}_i) = f_i$ fixed, is regular and its density is the relative frequency in G of the elements of G that, in the left translation representation considered as a permutation group of the set G , are the products of r disjoint cycles of length f_1, \dots, f_r .

3.1.2 Application to quadratic extensions

- Let \mathbb{K} be a number field and take $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} \setminus \{1\}$ that is square-free. Then $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{K}(\sqrt{a}) \simeq \mathbb{K}[X]/(X^2 - a)$ is a quadratic (hence Galois) extension of \mathbb{K} and its Galois group is $G = \{\text{Id}, \tau\}$ where $\tau^2 = \text{Id}$. Moreover $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}[\alpha]$ with $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{a})/2$ if $a \equiv 1 [4]$ and $\alpha = \sqrt{a}$ otherwise (we just use that an algebraic integer of \mathbb{L} must have trace and norm in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$).

For a prime ideal P of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$, we have $\mathbb{L}/(P) = \mathbb{K}_{/(P)}[X]/(X^2 - a)$ and $P\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}} = \mathfrak{B}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{B}_s^{e_s}$ with $\sum_i e_i f_i = [\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{K}] = 2$, so that only three situations occur :

- i) $P\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}} = R$, prime in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$, is *inert* in iff a is not a square modulo P (density 1/2)
- ii) $P\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}} = R\overline{R}$, with R prime in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$, *splits* iff a is a square modulo P (density 1/2)
- iii) $P\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}} = R^2$, with R prime in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$, is *ramified* iff $a \in P$. (density 0)

- From now on, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ where $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}$ is square free, and we consider $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ that is not a square. Let us denote by A the set $\{P \text{ primes of } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} / a \text{ is not a square modulo } P\}$ (that is of density 1/2) and by B the set $\{p\mathbb{Z} = P \cap \mathbb{Z} / P \in A\}$ of underlying ideals of \mathbb{Z} .

We shall divide B into three subsets $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$ respectively the sets of ideals that are inert, ramified or split.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } P \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z} \in B_1 & : & p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} = P \in A \text{ so that } N(P) = p^2 \text{ because } f_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}(P) = 2. \\ \text{If } P \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z} \in B_2 \cup B_3 & : & p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}} = P^2 \text{ or } P\bar{P} \text{ with } P \in A, \text{ hence } N(P) = p. \end{aligned}$$

An ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$ of B either splits ($p\mathbb{Z} \in B_3$) and there are two prime ideals of A above it, or it is inert or ramified ($p\mathbb{Z} \in B_1 \cup B_2$) and there is only one above it. Therefore

$$\sum_{P \in A} N(P)^{-s} = \sum_{p\mathbb{Z} \in B_1} p^{-2s} + \sum_{p\mathbb{Z} \in B_2} p^{-s} + 2 \sum_{p\mathbb{Z} \in B_3} p^{-s} \implies \sum_{P \in A} N(P)^{-s} \leq 2 \sum_{p\mathbb{Z} \in B} p^{-s}$$

Because A is of density $1/2$, B contains a subset of density greater than $1/4$. This proves

Proposition 3.1 *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}$ be square-free, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ a quadratic extension and $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ that is not a square. Then there exists a subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}$ of density greater than $1/4$ such that for any prime ideal P of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ satisfying $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ with $p \in \mathcal{C}$, a is not a square modulo P .*

3.1.3 A result on Legendre character

Definition *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and \mathbb{K} be a field number. Elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n of \mathbb{K} are called p -independent if and only if as soon as $a_1^{x_1} a_2^{x_2} \dots a_n^{x_n}$ (with $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$) is a p^{th} power in \mathbb{K} , necessarily $\forall i = 1 \dots n, x_i \equiv 0 [p]$.*

Applied to quadratic characters, a Theorem from [7] states

Theorem 3.1 *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be 2-independent integers and $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \{\pm 1\}$ fixed. There exists infinitely many $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\forall i = 1 \dots n, \left(\frac{a_i}{p}\right) = z_i$.*

Proposition 3.2 *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. There exists infinitely many primes p such that $\forall i = 1 \dots n, \left(\frac{a_i}{p}\right) = -1$.*

Proof : let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. If they are 2-independent, the result is immediate by application of Theorem 3.1. Otherwise, let us take a maximal 2-independent subfamily, that – up to relabelling – we may take to be a_1, \dots, a_m with $1 \leq m < n$. According to Theorem 3.1, there exists infinitely many primes p such that

$$\forall i = 1 \dots m \quad \left(\frac{a_i}{p}\right) = -1$$

Let p be such a prime satisfying : $\forall i = m + 1 \dots n, a_i \not\equiv 0 [p]$, and let $1 \leq j \leq n - m$. Because the selected 2-independent family is maximal, the elements a_1, \dots, a_m and a_{m+j} are not 2-independent and, after simplification

$$\exists q \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \exists x_1, \dots, x_m \in \{0, 1\} \quad a_1^{x_1} \dots a_m^{x_m} a_{m+j} = q^2 > 0$$

As $a_i < 0$ for all i , then $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i$ is necessarily odd and

$$a_{m+j} = q^2 \prod_{i=1}^m a_i^{-x_i} \implies \left(\frac{a_{m+j}}{p}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{a_i}{p}\right)^{x_i} = (-1)^{\sum x_i} = -1$$

We can take any j with $1 \leq j \leq n - m$, which ends the proof.

3.2 Quaternion algebras

3.2.1 Definition

We base on [2] in this section.

Definition Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$. We call quaternion algebra of type (a, b) on \mathbb{Q} the \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{Q}[1, \omega, \Omega, \omega\Omega]$, with the multiplication table $\omega^2 = a, \Omega^2 = b$ and $\omega\Omega + \Omega\omega = 0$.

Such an algebra will be denoted by $\mathfrak{A} = \left(\frac{a, b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. We may assume without loss of generality that a and b are square free integers. We shall also take $a \neq 1$ in the sequel.

• The center of \mathfrak{A} is \mathbb{Q} . $\mathbb{F} = \{q + r\omega \mid q, r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is a subfield of \mathfrak{A} isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$. We shall identify \mathbb{F} to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$, and write any element of \mathfrak{A} as $\alpha = x_0 + x_1\omega + x_2\Omega + x_3\omega\Omega = \xi + \eta\Omega$, with $\xi = x_0 + x_1\omega, \eta = x_2 + x_3\omega \in \mathbb{F}$. Note that $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{F}, \xi\Omega = \Omega\bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}}$. We define :

- $\bar{\alpha} = x_0 - x_1\omega - x_2\Omega - x_3\omega\Omega = \bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} - \eta\Omega$ the conjugate of α .
- $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \bar{\alpha} = \text{Tr}(\xi) = 2x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ the trace of α .
- $N(\alpha) = \alpha\bar{\alpha} = \xi\bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} - b\eta\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}} = (x_0^2 - ax_1^2) - b(x_2^2 - ax_3^2) \in \mathbb{Q}$ its norm.

Proposition $\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{A}, \overline{\alpha_1\alpha_2} = \bar{\alpha}_2\bar{\alpha}_1$.

Theorem \mathfrak{A} has zero divisors $\iff \mathfrak{A} \simeq M(2, \mathbb{Q})$

In this case we shall speak of *matrix algebra*. Otherwise, we have a *division algebra*, as the one considered here. But after extending the scalars to \mathbb{F} , we have zero divisors and the mapping

$$\varphi : \alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \eta \\ b\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}} & \bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

provides the identification of $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}$ with $M(2, \mathbb{F})$. Let us note that φ leaves the trace and the norm (as they are defined on \mathfrak{A}) invariant, the norm on $\text{Im}(\varphi) \subset M(2, \mathbb{F})$ being quite simply the determinant.

Finally, we will call a quaternion algebra *definite* or *indefinite* whether its norm is definite ($a < 0$ and $b < 0$) or indefinite ($a > 0$ or $b > 0$) as a quaternary quadratic form on \mathbb{R} .

- Going back to matrix algebras, we have the following characterization :

Proposition 3.3 Let $b \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\mathfrak{A} = \left(\frac{a, b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ is a matrix algebra, then $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = 1$.

Proof : given $\alpha = x_0 + x_1\omega + x_2\Omega + x_3\omega\Omega \in \mathfrak{A}$, its norm is

$$N(\alpha) = (x_0^2 - ax_1^2) - b(x_2^2 - ax_3^2) = (x_0^2 - bx_2^2) - a(x_1^2 - bx_3^2) \quad (3.4)$$

If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a divisor of 0, we have $\alpha \neq 0$ and $N(\alpha) = 0$. Hence by (3.4)

$$x_0^2 - ax_1^2 = b(x_2^2 - ax_3^2) \quad \text{with} \quad \forall i = 0 \dots 3, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Q}$$

After the multiplication by the least common multiple of the denominators of the x_i , we obtain

$$y_0^2 - ay_1^2 = b(y_2^2 - ay_3^2) \quad \text{where} \quad \forall i = 0 \dots 3, \quad y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Let us deal with this equation :

(i) If b does not divide y_1 : as $y_0^2 - ay_1^2 \equiv 0[b]$ then $a \equiv \left(\frac{y_0}{y_1}\right)^2 [b]$ and a is a square modulo b .

(ii) If b divides y_1 : then b divides y_0^2 so that, b being prime, b divides y_0 . By noting $y'_0 = y_0/b$ and $y'_1 = y_1/b$, we get

$$y_2^2 - ay_3^2 = b(y_0'^2 - ay_1'^2)$$

We find in case (ii) an equation in y_2, y_3 of the same type as before. Therefore after a finite number of simplifications by b , we are in case (i), unless $y_1 = y_3 = 0$. In this last case, we have $y_0^2 = by_2^2 \neq 0$ as $\alpha \neq 0$, so that $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a square in \mathbb{Q} i.e. in \mathbb{Z} , which cannot happen for $b \in \mathcal{P}$. So we have shown

$$\text{For } b \in \mathcal{P} \quad \mathfrak{A} \text{ matrix algebra} \implies \left(a \text{ is a square modulo } b \right)$$

3.2.2 Definition of a discrete group of isometries associated to \mathfrak{A}

Definition An order \mathfrak{J} in \mathfrak{A} is a subring of \mathfrak{A} such that

- 1) $1 \in \mathfrak{J}$.
- 2) $\alpha \in \mathfrak{J} \implies N(\alpha) \& \text{Tr}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- 3) \mathfrak{J} has four linearly independent generators over \mathbb{Q} .

Thus \mathfrak{J} is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank four in \mathfrak{A} , that is besides stable under the conjugation. For example, $\mathfrak{J}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} \Omega = \{ \xi + \eta \Omega \mid \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} \}$ is a particular order for any quaternion algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$, and $M(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is an order for the matrix algebra $\mathfrak{A} = M(2, \mathbb{Q})$.

Proposition 3.4 Let \mathfrak{J} be an order. Then $\exists D, D' \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \quad D'\mathfrak{J} \subset D\mathfrak{J}_0 \subset \mathfrak{J}$.

Proof : the orders \mathfrak{J} and \mathfrak{J}_0 being two free \mathbb{Z} -modules of rank four in \mathfrak{A} , their \mathbb{Z} -bases are two \mathbb{Q} -bases of \mathfrak{A} . Let us call $M \in GL(4, \mathbb{Q})$ any transition matrix from a basis of \mathfrak{J} to a basis of \mathfrak{J}_0 . We just have to take two integers D and D' such that $DM \in M(4, \mathbb{Z})$ and $D'D^{-1}M^{-1} \in M(4, \mathbb{Z})$ to satisfy the above property. Moreover $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\mathfrak{J} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^4$ is countable.

• Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define $\mathfrak{J}(n) = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{J} \mid N(\alpha) = n \}$ and $\mathfrak{J}^{pr}(n) = \mathfrak{J}(n) \cap \mathfrak{J}^{pr}$ the subset of primitive elements, where the primitive elements are the ones that cannot be divided in \mathfrak{J} by a non unit rational integer. Then we have

Proposition 3.5 Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be a prime such that $\text{ord}_p(2abDD') = 0$ and $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$.

$$\forall \alpha = \xi + \eta \Omega \in \mathfrak{J}^{pr}, N(\alpha) \equiv 0 [p] \implies \text{ord}_p N(\xi) = \text{ord}_p N(\eta) = 0.$$

In particular, $\xi \eta \neq 0$ for such an α .

Proof : let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\alpha = \xi + \eta \Omega \in \mathfrak{J}$ satisfy the above assumptions ; thus we have $N(\alpha) = \xi \bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} - b \eta \bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}} = N(\xi) - bN(\eta) \equiv 0 [p]$. As $D'\mathfrak{J} \subset D\mathfrak{J}_0 \subset \mathfrak{J}$, then $D'\xi = D\xi_1$ and $D'\eta = D\eta_1$ with $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$. Besides $\text{ord}_p(DD') = 0$ so that $\text{ord}_p N(\xi) = \text{ord}_p N(\xi_1) \geq 0$ and $\text{ord}_p N(\eta) = \text{ord}_p N(\eta_1) \geq 0$ (we follow the classical convention $\text{ord}_p(0) = +\infty$). By taking the norm we get

$$N(D'\alpha) = N[D(\xi_1 + \eta_1 \Omega)] = D^2 [N(\xi_1) - bN(\eta_1)] \equiv 0 [p] \quad (3.5)$$

hence, as $\text{ord}_p(D) = 0$, $N(\xi_1) - bN(\eta_1) \equiv 0 [p]$ and $\text{ord}_p(b) = 0$ implies

$$\text{ord}_p N(\xi_1) > 0 \iff \text{ord}_p N(\eta_1) > 0$$

Let us assume

$$\text{ord}_p N(\xi) = \text{ord}_p N(\xi_1) > 0 \quad (3.6)$$

$$\text{ord}_p N(\eta) = \text{ord}_p N(\eta_1) > 0 \quad (3.7)$$

$a \not\equiv 1[4]$: therefore $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{a}]$ and $\xi_1 = b_0 + b_1\sqrt{a}$ with $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The relation (3.6) can be expressed as $p / b_0^2 - ab_1^2$.

. If p / b_1 then p / b_0 and $\xi_1 = p\xi_2$, with $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

. Otherwise $a \equiv \left(\frac{b_0}{b_1}\right)^2 [p]$ and $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$, contradicting the assumption.

$a \equiv 1[4]$: in this case $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{a}}{2}\right]$ and $\xi_1 = \left(b_0 + \frac{b_1}{2}\right) + \frac{b_1\sqrt{a}}{2}$ with $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have $p / N(2\xi_1)$ thus $p / (2b_0 + b_1)^2 - ab_1^2$.

. If p / b_1 then $p / 2b_0 + b_1$ and p / b_0 , so that $\xi_1 = p\xi_2$ with $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

. Otherwise $a \equiv \left(\frac{2b_0}{b_1} + 1\right)^2 [p]$ and $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$, contradicting the assumption.

Therefore relation (3.6) leads to $\exists \xi_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\xi_1 = p\xi_2$. In the same way, relation (3.7) leads to $\exists \eta_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\eta_1 = p\eta_2$. Thus $D'\alpha = D(\xi_1 + \eta_1\Omega) = pD(\xi_2 + \eta_2\Omega) = pD\alpha_2$ where $\alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{I}_0$. Because $p \wedge D' = 1$, $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $xD' + yp = 1$ (Bézout) and

$$\alpha = xD'\alpha + py\alpha = p(xD\alpha_2 + y\alpha). \quad (3.8)$$

As $\alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{I}_0$ and $D\mathfrak{I}_0 \subset \mathfrak{I}$, then $D\alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$ and $\alpha \in p\mathfrak{I}$: α is not primitive. This ends the proof of the Proposition.

• Let \mathfrak{A} be an indefinite quaternion algebra of type (a, b) on \mathbb{Q} ; we shall consider in the sequel orders of type (q_1, q_2) in \mathfrak{A} , that are principal so that we can use them to define modular correspondences (cf. [2] §3). For $q_1 = 1$, these orders are simply the maximal ones.

Let \mathfrak{I} be such an order of type (q_1, q_2) and $R = \varphi(\mathfrak{I})$ its image in $M(2, \mathbb{F})$, where φ is defined by (3.3). Implicitly we shall identify \mathfrak{I} with its image by φ , and denote by $\alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega$ any element of R . Via Poincaré extension the set $R^* = \{\alpha \in R / \det(\alpha) \neq 0\}$ is identified with a subgroup of $\text{Is}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$. For $n \wedge q_1q_2 = 1$ we define

$$R(n) = \{ \alpha \in R / N(\alpha) = n \} \quad (3.9)$$

$$R^{pr}(n) = \{ \alpha \in R / \alpha \text{ primitive } N(\alpha) = n \} \quad (3.10)$$

which are infinite subsets of $M(2, \mathbb{F})$. Consider for example \mathfrak{I} a maximal order containing \mathfrak{I}_0 : the Pell-Fermat Theorem applied to the equation $N(\alpha) = 1$ implies that $\mathfrak{I}(1)$ is infinite because \mathfrak{A} is indefinite and at least one of the square-free integers a, b is positive.

Let $\Gamma_R = R(1)$ be the discrete subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ induced by R . We shall denote the quotient space by $X_R = \Gamma_R \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ and the canonical projection by $\pi_R : \mathbb{H}^3 \longrightarrow \Gamma_R \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$.

To insure that X_R inherits the Riemannian structure of \mathbb{H}^3 , the group Γ_R may not contain any elliptic element.

Proposition 3.6 *Let us assume that $b \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{3\}$. If Γ_R contains an elliptic element, then necessarily one of the integers a , $-a$ or $-3a$ is a square modulo b .*

Proff : if Γ_R contains an elliptic element, there exists $\alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \mathfrak{J}$ such that $|\text{Tr}(\alpha)| < 2$ and $N(\alpha) = \xi \bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} - b\eta \bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}} = 1$. Because \mathfrak{J} is an order, we have $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \text{Tr}(\xi) \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$. Set $\xi = x + y\sqrt{a}$ and $\eta = z + t\sqrt{a} \in \mathbb{F}$, where x, y, z and $t \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $N(\alpha) = x^2 - ay^2 - b(z^2 - at^2) = 1$ and $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 2x \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$. We shall assume that $a \not\equiv 0 [b]$ (otherwise a would be a square modulo b).

If $x = 0$: then $-ay^2 = 1 + b(z^2 - at^2)$ and after multiplication by the least common multiple of the denominators of y, z and t , we get $-ay'^2 = E^2 + b(z'^2 - at'^2)$ with integers y', z' and t' such that $y' \wedge z' \wedge t' = 1$.

- . If $y' \not\equiv 0 [b]$ then $-a \equiv E^2/y'^2 [b]$: $-a$ is a square modulo b .
- . If $y' \equiv 0 [b]$ then b divides E and $z'^2 - at'^2 \equiv 0 [b]$ where $z' \not\equiv 0 [b]$ and $t' \not\equiv 0 [b]$ because $a \not\equiv 0 [b]$ and $y' \wedge z' \wedge t' = 1$. Therefore $a \equiv z'^2/t'^2 [b]$: a is a square modulo b .

If $x = \pm 1/2$: then $-ay^2 = 3/4 + b(z^2 - at^2)$ and after multiplication by the least common multiple of the denominators of y, z and t , we get $-ay'^2 = 3E^2 + b(z'^2 - at'^2)$ with integers y', z' and t' such that $y' \wedge z' \wedge t' = 1$. As in the case $x = 0$ we deduce that

- . If $y' \not\equiv 0 [b]$ then $-3a \equiv 9E^2/y'^2 [b]$ and $-3a$ is a square modulo b .
- . If $y' \equiv 0 [b]$ then b divides E because $|b| \neq 3$, and $z'^2 - at'^2 \equiv 0 [b]$ where $z' \not\equiv 0 [b]$ and $t' \not\equiv 0 [b]$ because $a \not\equiv 0 [b]$ and $y' \wedge z' \wedge t' = 1$. Therefore $a \equiv z'^2/t'^2 [b]$ and a is a square modulo b , which ends the proof of the Proposition.

• $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \wedge q_1 q_2 = 1$, $R(1) \setminus R(n)$ is finite (cf. [2] §7) : we may consequently define (as in section 1.2.3) the modular correspondences on the quotient space $X_R = \Gamma_R \setminus \mathbb{H}^3$ by

$$T_n f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in R(1) \setminus R(n)} f(\alpha.z) \quad C_n f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in R(1) \setminus R^{pr}(n)} f(\alpha.z) \quad (3.11)$$

We call these operators *modular operators*. They possess the classical properties of the modular operators we have seen in dimension 2 (cf. section 1.2.3) : they are bounded linear operators of $\mathcal{L}^2(X_R)$. Moreover the proof of Lemma 1.3 can be adapted to an order R : for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $R(n)$ is stable under the passage to the comatrix, which corresponds to the conjugation of the underlying element of \mathfrak{J}

$$\varphi(\xi + \eta\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \eta \\ b\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}} & \bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} \end{pmatrix} \in R(n) \implies \varphi(\bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} - \eta\Omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} & -\eta \\ -b\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}} & \xi \end{pmatrix} \in R(n) \quad (3.12)$$

Then we can prove (exactly as in proof of Lemma 1.3) that for $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p \wedge q_1 q_2 = 1$ (only such p will be considered thereafter)

Proposition 3.7 $|R(1) \setminus R(p)| = m$. We shall denote $R(1) \setminus R(p) = \{R(1)\sigma_1, \dots, R(1)\sigma_m\}$. $\forall i, \exists ! j$ such that $\sigma_j \sigma_i \in pR(1)$ and $\forall k \neq j, \sigma_k \sigma_i \in R^{pr}(p^2)$.

4 The quotient space $X_R = \Gamma_R \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$

4.1 Definition of the studied class

We take an indefinite division algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ with $a < 0$ (for technical reasons), and consider an order \mathfrak{I} of type (q_1, q_2) – e.g. a maximal order containing the order \mathfrak{I}_0 – such that Γ_R acts freely on \mathbb{H}^3 . This way we get a class (K_2) of quotient manifolds X_R , that are Riemannian and of sectional curvature $K = -1$ when provided with the metric induced by \mathbb{H}^3 .

This class (K_2) is far from being empty. Indeed take $a \in \mathbb{Z}_- \setminus \{-1, -3\}$ and $b \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{3\}$ such that a is not a square modulo b : then \mathfrak{A} is an indefinite division quaternion algebra according to Proposition 3.3. For the action of Γ_R to be free, we just have to impose that -1 and -3 are squares modulo b by Proposition 3.6. Theorem 3.1 shows that, given a fixed, these three conditions modulo b are simultaneously satisfied by infinitely many primes b because the negative integers a , -1 and -3 are obviously 2-independent.

Definition *The infinite set of (K_2) -manifolds satisfying the conditions*

$$a \in \mathbb{Z}_-, b \in \mathcal{P}, \left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = -1, \left(\frac{-1}{b}\right) = 1, \left(\frac{-3}{b}\right) = 1 \quad (4.1)$$

will be called the class (K_2^S) .

For example, we can take $a = -2$ and $b = 13$.

4.2 Properties of (K_2^S) -manifolds

• In the sequel of this work we shall consider manifolds X_R of the class (K_2^S) . The conjugation in $\mathbb{F} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$ coincides with the complex conjugation because $a < 0$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\text{ord}_b |\xi|^2$ is even.*

Proof : let $\xi = x' + y' \sqrt{a}$ be any element in \mathbb{F} . We can write

$$\xi = \frac{p(x + y\sqrt{a})}{q}$$

with $q, p, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \wedge y = 1$ and $p \wedge q = 1$. Assume that $|x + y\sqrt{a}|^2 = x^2 - ay^2 \equiv 0 [b]$. If b divides y , then b divides x , which contradicts $x \wedge y = 1$. Therefore $y \not\equiv 0 [b]$ so that

$$a \equiv \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 [b] \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = 1$$

and X_R cannot be of class (K_2^S) . Hence $|x + y\sqrt{a}|^2 \not\equiv 0 [b]$ and as $|\xi|^2 = p^2 q^{-2} |x + y\sqrt{a}|^2$, we deduce that $\text{ord}_b |\xi|^2 = 2(\text{ord}_b(p) - \text{ord}_b(q))$ is even. This ends the proof of the Lemma.

Proposition 4.1 *Let X_R be a (K_2^S) -manifold. Then Γ_R has no parabolic element.*

Proof : let us take a parabolic element $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega$ in Γ_R . By taking its opposite $-\gamma$ if necessary, we may always assume that $\xi = 1 + x\sqrt{a}$ and $\eta = y + z\sqrt{a}$ with x, y and $z \in \mathbb{Q}$. As $n(\gamma) = 1$ we have

$$0 = |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 - 1 = -ax^2 - b(y^2 - az^2)$$

and after multiplication of x , y and z by the least common multiple of their denominators, and after division of the integers obtained by their greatest common divisor, we get

$$aX^2 + b(Y^2 - aZ^2) = 0 \quad \text{with } X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad X \wedge Y \wedge Z = 1 \quad (4.2)$$

Because $b \wedge a = 1$, b divides X . Setting $X_0 = X/b$ we get after simplification $abX_0^2 + Y^2 - aZ^2 = 0$ so that a divides Y . Setting $Y_0 = Y/a$, $Z_0 = Z$ we finally get

$$bX_0^2 + aY_0^2 - Z_0^2 = 0 \quad \text{with } X_0, Y_0, Z_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad X_0 \wedge Y_0 \wedge Z_0 = 1 \quad (4.3)$$

Thus if b divides Y_0 , b divides Z_0 hence b^2 divides bX_0^2 and b divides X_0 , which contradicts $X_0 \wedge Y_0 \wedge Z_0 = 1$. Therefore $Y_0 \not\equiv 0 [b]$ so that, by reduction of (4.3) modulo b , we have

$$a \equiv \left(\frac{Z_0}{Y_0} \right)^2 [b] \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) = 1$$

and X_R cannot be of class (K_2^S) .

- Two geometrical properties of the space $\Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ stated in section 1.2.2 extend directly to the space X_R , because Γ_R acts freely and discontinuously on \mathbb{H}^3 . Therefore we have

Proposition 4.2 *Let \mathcal{L} be a closed geodesic of $X_R = \Gamma_R \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$. There exists an hyperbolic transformation $\gamma \in \Gamma_R$ whose axis L projects on \mathcal{L} .*

In particular, there exists a compact portion l of L such that $\mathcal{L} = \pi_R(L) = \pi_R(l)$.

Lemma 4.2 *Let F and G be two closed geodesics of X_R . Then $F = G$ or $F \cap G$ is finite.*

- We have similar properties for closed itgs, which are compact itgs of X_R .

Definition *An itgs S of \mathbb{H}^3 is closed for Γ_R if its projection \mathcal{S} in X_R is closed, that is*

$$\exists \mathcal{F} \subset S \text{ compact} \quad \exists \Gamma' \subset \Gamma_R \quad S = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \gamma.\mathcal{F} = \Gamma'.\mathcal{F} \quad (4.4)$$

Thus $\mathcal{S} = \pi_R(S) = \pi_R(\mathcal{F})$. Moreover, as the group Γ_R is countable and the complete space S has non empty interior, then by Baire's Lemma \mathcal{F} has non empty interior. More precisely

Proposition 4.3 *Let \mathcal{S} be a closed itgs of X_R and S be a lifting to \mathbb{H}^3 . There exists a subgroup Γ_0 of Γ_R and a compact subset $\mathcal{F} \subset S$ with non empty interior such that*

$$\gamma \in \Gamma_0 \iff \gamma.S = S \quad \text{and} \quad S = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \gamma.\mathcal{F} = \Gamma_0.\mathcal{F}$$

Moreover $\exists \gamma = \xi + \eta \Omega \in \Gamma_0$ hyperbolic (and $\eta \neq 0$).

Proof : let Γ_0 denote the set

$$\Gamma_0 = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_R \mid \gamma.\mathcal{F} \subset S \} \supset \Gamma' \quad (4.5)$$

Hence for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$, we have $\gamma.S \cap S \supset \gamma.\mathcal{F}$ which has non zero area. As $\gamma.S$ and S are both itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 i.e. half-planes or half-spheres, then $\gamma.S = S$. Conversely $\gamma.S = S$ implies that $\gamma.\mathcal{F} \subset \gamma.S = S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$. Hence $\Gamma_0 = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_R \mid \gamma.S = S \}$. That Γ_0 is a group is obvious.

From the definition of the class (K_2^S) and Proposition 4.1, we know that except for $\{\pm\text{Id}\}$ the groups Γ_0 and Γ_R contain only hyperbolic elements. As $\Gamma_0 \neq \pm\text{Id}$ (otherwise $S = \mathcal{F}$ would be a compact itgs in \mathbb{H}^3), we can find a hyperbolic element $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_0$. Then $|\xi|^2 \geq \text{Re}^2(\xi) > 1$ and $b|\eta|^2 = |\xi|^2 - \text{N}(\gamma) = |\xi|^2 - 1 > 0$ so that $\eta \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.3 *Let S_1 and S_2 be two distinct closed itgs of X_R : then either $S_1 \cap S_2$ is empty or it has dimension 1. In this last case, it is a closed geodesic of X_R .*

Proof : from the definition of an itgs, it is clear that two distinct itgs with non-empty intersection must intersect transversally, because an itgs is entirely defined by a point and the tangent space at this point. Then the intersection is either empty or of dimension 1.

Since S_1 and S_2 are closed itgs, they are compact. Hence $L = S_1 \cap S_2$ is a compact subset of X_R . If $L \neq \emptyset$, then it is a complete geodesic because for $(M, \vec{u}) \in TL$, the geodesic of X_R tangent to \vec{u} at M is contained in both S_1 and S_2 . Moreover L being compact, it is a closed geodesic of X_R .

4.3 The type S^o and Γ_R - closed itgs

- There is a particular itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 that is invariant under the action of the isometries induced by $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}$: it is the half-sphere $S^o = S(O, 1/\sqrt{b})$. Given $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{N}(\gamma) = |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 \neq 0$, we have indeed

$$\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R} \quad |\gamma(b^{-1/2} e^{i\theta})| = \left| \frac{\xi e^{i\theta} b^{-1/2} + \eta}{b\bar{\eta} e^{i\theta} b^{-1/2} + \bar{\xi}} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \left| \frac{\xi e^{i\theta} + \eta \sqrt{b}}{\bar{\eta} \sqrt{b} + \bar{\xi} e^{-i\theta}} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \quad (4.6)$$

i.e. $\gamma.(S^o \cap \mathbb{C}) = S^o \cap \mathbb{C}$ whence $\gamma.S^o = S^o$. We shall also denote by S^o the projection of this half-sphere in X_R . Unfortunately, S^o is the only itgs in \mathbb{H}^3 that is closed for Γ_R : indeed, the subgroup Γ_0 of elements of Γ_R leaving an itgs S invariant is generically a one-parameter group (cf. \mathfrak{J} is a \mathbb{Z} -modulus of rank 4) so that $\Gamma_0 \backslash S$ cannot be compact (for further details, see Appendix A).

- Lacking of closed itgs in X_R , we shall use instead the weaker notion of Γ_R - closed itgs and look for modular correspondances separating points, closed geodesics or Γ_R - closed itgs.

Definition *An itgs S of \mathbb{H}^3 is called Γ_R - closed if there exists $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ hyperbolic such that $\gamma.S = S$. Its projection in X_R is also called a Γ_R - closed itgs.*

There are infinitely many of them, as we shall see in Proposition 8.3 section 8.

Lemma 4.4 *Let Σ_1 and Σ_2 be to distinct Γ_R - closed itgs of X_R . Then $\text{area}(\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2) = 0$.*

Proof : it is a straightforward corollary of the first part of the proof of Lemma 4.3, since every 1-dimensional set has zero area. Just be aware that the notion of area is here inherent to the manifold Σ_1 (for example), which is provided with the Riemannian metrics induced by \mathbb{H}^3 . That will be – and has been – always the case : we only mention the area of subsets of two-dimensional manifolds of X_R .

Definition *We say that $\Lambda \subset X_R$ has type (S^o) if Λ is contained in a finite union of Γ_R - closed itgs and $\text{area}(\Lambda) = \text{area}(\Lambda \cap S^o) \neq 0$.*

5 Statement of the result in dimension 3

Theorem 5.1 *Let $X_R = \Gamma_R \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ be a 3-dimensional manifold, Γ_R being a discrete subgroup of $Is^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ derived from an indefinite quaternion algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. We shall assume moreover that X_R is a manifold of class (K_2^S) .*

If the singular σ of a quantum limit on X_R is contained in a finite union of isolated points, closed geodesics and Γ_R -closed itgs of X_R (we assume in this last case that $area(\sigma) \neq 0$) and has not type (S^o) , then it is the empty set.

First we prove a separation result on such a subset Λ :

Proposition 5.1 *Let X_R be a manifold of class (K_2^S) . For all non-empty subset $\Lambda \subset X_R$ contained in a finite union of isolated points, closed geodesics and Γ_R -closed itgs (with $area(\Lambda) \neq 0$ in this last case) that has not type (S^o) , there exists a correspondence T separating Λ .*

We shall distinguish three cases and treat them separately in sections 6 to 8 :

- 1) Λ is finite
- 2) $\Lambda \subset F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_r$ a finite union of closed geodesics
- 3) $\Lambda \subset S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_l$ a finite union of Γ_R -closed itgs.

and complete the proof in section 9.

Before that, let us state a Proposition that will spare us lots of efforts in the following sections, by simplifying the calculations. By a set of *objects of the same type* of X_R we mean a set of points, a set of closed geodesics or a set of Γ_R -closed itgs of $X_R = \Gamma_R \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$.

Proposition 5.2 *Let F_1, \dots, F_r be objects of the same type of X_R and consider fixed liftings G_1, \dots, G_r of these objects to \mathbb{H}^3 . There exists a finite subset of prime numbers $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that, given $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$, the relation*

$$\exists \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \exists i \in \{1 \dots r\}, \quad \alpha.G_1 = G_i \quad (5.1)$$

leads to

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{F} \quad \exists \tilde{\alpha} \in R^{pr}(Np) \cup R^{pr}(N^2p^2), \quad \tilde{\alpha}.G_1 = G_1 \quad (5.2)$$

Proof : let us fix $n = 1$ or 2 and assume that $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $\exists p_i \in \mathcal{P}$, $\exists \alpha_i \in R^{pr}(p_i^n)$, $\alpha_i.G_1 = G_i$. As a consequence $G_1 = \text{Com}(\alpha_i).G_i$. Take $p \neq p_i \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\alpha \in R^{pr}(p^n)$: $\alpha.G_1 = G_i \implies \tilde{\alpha}.G_1 = G_1$ where $\tilde{\alpha} = \text{Com}(\alpha_i)\alpha \in R(p_i^n p^n) = R(N^n p^n)$. This element is primitive : otherwise $n = 2$ et $\tilde{\alpha} \in pR$ or $\tilde{\alpha} \in p_i R$ as p and p_i are both primes, whence

- . if $\tilde{\alpha} \in pR$ then $\alpha_i \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_i \text{Com}(\alpha_i)\alpha = p_i^2 \alpha \in pR$ and $\alpha \in pR$ as $\text{pgcd}(p_i, p) = 1$, a contradiction with $\alpha \in R^{pr}(p^2)$.
- . if $\tilde{\alpha} \in p_i R$ then $\tilde{\alpha} \text{Com}(\alpha) = \text{Com}(\alpha_i)\alpha \text{Com}(\alpha) = p^2 \text{Com}(\alpha_i) \in p_i R$ and $\alpha_i \in p_i R$, a similar contradiction.

Proceeding the same way with all the indices $i \in \{1 \dots r\}$ we get to relation (5.2) after exclusion of at most r values of $p \in \mathcal{P}$, the forementioned set \mathcal{F} (here $N \in \mathcal{F}$ is one of the p_i).

6 Case of the points

• Let $\Lambda = \{\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_l\}$ be a set of points of X_R . We shall denote by $x_i = (z_i, t_i) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ liftings of the \tilde{x}_i for $i = 1 \dots l$ and apply Proposition 5.2 to those points : for $n = 1$ or 2 , $N \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ a prime satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 3.5 *i.e.*

$$\text{ord}_p(2abDD') = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1 \quad (6.1)$$

we consider $\alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \in R^{pr}(N^n p^n)$ such that $\alpha.x_1 = x_1$. In that case, $\text{ord}_p|\xi|^2 = \text{ord}_p|\eta|^2 = 0$; in particular $\xi \neq 0$ and $\eta \neq 0$. By relation (2.3), the action of α as an isometry of \mathbb{H}^3 is

$$\alpha. \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} - \frac{N^n p^n}{b\bar{\eta}} \frac{\xi + b\eta\bar{z}}{|\xi + b\eta\bar{z}|^2 + b^2|\eta|^2 t^2} \\ \frac{N^n p^n t}{|\xi + b\eta\bar{z}|^2 + b^2|\eta|^2 t^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

that is well defined for any $t > 0$ because $\eta \neq 0$. The relation $\alpha.x_1 = x_1$ implies

$$N^n p^n = |\xi + b\eta\bar{z}_1|^2 + b^2|\eta|^2 t_1^2 = |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 \quad (6.2)$$

$$\bar{\eta} z_1 + \eta \bar{z}_1 = 0 \quad (6.3)$$

Note that $z_1 \neq 0$, otherwise relation (6.2) gives $|\xi|^2 + b^2|\eta|^2 t_1^2 = |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2$ so that $|\eta| = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore

$$-\frac{\bar{z}_1}{z_1} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\eta} = \text{constant} \in \mathbb{F}$$

Fix $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\bar{\eta}_0/\eta_0 = -\bar{z}_1/z_1$. Then we have

$$\frac{\bar{\eta}}{\eta} = \frac{\bar{\eta}_0}{\eta_0} \quad \text{hence} \quad \frac{\eta}{\eta_0} = \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\bar{\eta}_0} \in \mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q} \quad (\text{because } a < 0)$$

so that $\exists m \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\eta = m\eta_0$. As $D'\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ by Proposition 3.4, we get by taking the norm $m^2 D'^2 |\eta_0|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $D'^2 |\eta_0|^2$ fixed in \mathbb{Z} . Thus there exists $E \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed such that $Em \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. By expanding relation (6.2) we have

$$N^n p^n = |\xi|^2 + 2bm \text{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta}_0 z_1) + b^2 m^2 |\eta_0|^2 (t_1^2 + |z_1|^2) = |\xi|^2 - b m^2 |\eta_0|^2$$

and we get after simplification by $bm \neq 0$ we get

$$2 \text{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta}_0 z_1) + m |\eta_0|^2 [1 + b(t_1^2 + |z_1|^2)] = 0 \quad (6.4)$$

As $D'\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ by Proposition 3.4, we have $2D'\xi = X + Y\sqrt{a}$ with $X, Y \in \mathbb{Z}$. The coefficient of m in relation (6.4) being strictly positive because $b > 0$, then m is obviously a linear function of X and Y . Hence the middle term of (6.2) is a definite positive quadratic form of the two integer variables X and Y , which we will write

$$N^n p^n = c_1 X^2 + c_2 XY + c_3 Y^2 \quad (6.5)$$

A priori $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$; moreover $c_2^2 - 4c_1c_3 < 0$ since the quadratic form is definite positive.

• Let us suppose that for all $N \in \mathcal{F}$, there are at most two primes $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ satisfying both relations (6.1) and (6.5) ; let $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$ be the product of all those primes p . As a consequence,

for all $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ satisfying relation (6.1) such that $\text{ord}_p(\Delta) = 0$, for all $N \in \mathcal{F}$ and for all $\alpha \in R^{pr}(N^2p^2) \cup R(Np)$, we have $\alpha.x_1 \neq x_1$. We deduce by Proposition 5.2 that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F} \text{ such that } \text{ord}_p(2abDD'\Delta) = 0 \text{ and } \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1 \\ \forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots l\} \quad \alpha.x_1 \neq x_i \end{array} \right. \quad (6.6)$$

It is clear that there are infinitely many convenient primes p (they even form a regular subset of \mathcal{P} of density $1/2$).

• Now assume that for some $N \in \mathcal{F}$, equation (6.5) is solvable for at least three distinct primes p_1, p_2, p_3 satisfying relation (6.1) : we have three points $(X_i : Y_i)_{i=1,2,3} \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$\forall i = 1 \dots 3 \quad N^n p_i^n = c_1 X_i^2 + c_2 X_i Y_i + c_3 Y_i^2 \quad (6.7)$$

Using these three relations, we shall show that $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $(X_i : Y_i) = (X_j : Y_j)$ for $i \neq j$, then $n = 2$ and $p_j(X_i : Y_i) = p_i(X_j : Y_j)$; since $\text{pgcd}(p_i, p_j) = 1$, $\alpha_i \in p_i R$, a contradiction with relation (6.1) and Proposition 3.5. Thus $(X_1 : Y_1)$, $(X_2 : Y_2)$ and $(X_3 : Y_3)$ are three distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$. By relation (6.7), we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1^2 & X_1 Y_1 & Y_1^2 \\ X_2^2 & X_2 Y_2 & Y_2^2 \\ X_3^2 & X_3 Y_3 & Y_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{pmatrix} = N^n \begin{pmatrix} p_1^n \\ p_2^n \\ p_3^n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$$

The above Vandermonde matrix has a non-zero determinant $\prod_{i < j} (Y_j X_i - Y_i X_j) \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ so that $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$ after inversion of the linear system. Thus relation (6.5) becomes

$$\kappa p^n = \alpha X^2 + \beta XY + \gamma Y^2 \quad \text{with } p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F} \quad X, Y \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (6.8)$$

with $\kappa, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\delta = \beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma < 0$ as the quadratic form in the previous relation is positive definite ; moreover, by reduction modulo p of relation (6.8), we get

$$\delta \text{ is a square modulo } p \quad (6.9)$$

Therefore, if $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ satisfies

$$\text{ord}_p(2abDD') = 0 \quad \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\delta}{p}\right) = -1 \quad (6.10)$$

we have according to relations (6.1) and (6.9)

$$\forall N \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall \alpha \in R(Np) \cup R^{pr}(N^2p^2) \quad \alpha.x_1 \neq x_1 \quad (6.11)$$

whence, by Proposition (5.2)

$$\forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\} \quad \alpha.x_1 \neq x_i \quad (6.12)$$

By Proposition 3.2, a and δ being strictly negative integers, there exists infinitely many primes $p \notin \mathcal{F}$ satisfying relations (6.10) and – as a consequence – (6.12). Together with relation (6.6) this leads to

Proposition 6.1 *Let x_1, \dots, x_l be points of \mathbb{H}^3 . There exists infinitely many primes p such that*

$$\forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\} \quad \alpha.x_1 \neq x_i \quad (6.12)$$

7 The geodesics

This time, the proof presented in [9] still applies ; we shall associate to any geodesic of \mathbb{H}^3 a proportionality class of complex (instead of real) binary quadratic forms. Therefore, using the Proposition (3.1) we obtain

Proposition 7.1 *Let L_1, \dots, L_r be geodesics of \mathbb{H}^3 . There exists infinitely many primes p such that*

$$\forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall j \in \{1 \dots r\} \quad \alpha.L_1 \neq L_j \quad (7.1)$$

8 Case of the Γ_R - closed Itgs

Keep in mind that the itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 are half-spheres centered on (and orthogonal to) \mathbb{C} and half-planes orthogonal to \mathbb{C} . Only such half-spheres and half-planes will be considered in the sequel of this section, without need for us to mention it.

Definition *Given an itgs \mathcal{S} of \mathbb{H}^3 , we define its trace \mathcal{C} on \mathbb{C} to be the set of its limit points in \mathbb{C} , that is $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\mathcal{S}} \cap \mathbb{C}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .*

The trace of an itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 is then either a circle, either a straight line of \mathbb{C} – *id est* a circle of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$; moreover each itgs its uniquely defined by its trace on \mathbb{C} whence

Proposition *There is a bijection between the itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 and the circles of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.*

As a consequence, the action of an isometry on an itgs in \mathbb{H}^3 will be entirely determined by the former's action on the latter's trace in \mathbb{C} , much more easy to deal with. In particular

Proposition 8.1 *Let \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 be two itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 whose traces on \mathbb{C} are \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 respectively. Then*

$$\forall \gamma \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \quad \gamma.\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2 \iff \gamma(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{C}_2$$

Now to obtain a separation result on a finite set of itgs Γ_R -closed of \mathbb{H}^3 – like relations (6.12) or (7.1) – we just have, by application of Proposition 5.2, to deal with the invariance of such an itgs under elements of the form $\alpha \in R^{pr}(Np) \cup R^{pr}(N^2p^2)$, where $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$, $N \in \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} is the finite subset of \mathcal{P} provided by Proposition 5.2.

We shall do this in the two next sections, and prove by the way the existence of infinitely many Γ_R -closed itgs for a manifold X_R of class (K_2^S) .

8.1 Of the half-planes

Proposition 8.2 *Let the half-plane \mathcal{P} be an itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 and \mathcal{D} be its trace. Consider an*

element $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ with $a + d \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$:

$$\gamma.\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} \iff \begin{cases} \frac{a}{c} \in \mathcal{D}, \frac{-d}{c} \in \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{D} \text{ is given by relation (8.1)} \\ (a + d)^2 \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

Proof : we have, from the considerations of the previous section,

$$\gamma.\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \iff \gamma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$$

. If $\gamma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$ then $\gamma(\infty) = a/c \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\gamma^{-1}(\infty) = -d/c \neq a/c \in \mathcal{D}$; so

$$\begin{aligned} z \in \mathcal{D} &\iff \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, z = \lambda \left(\frac{a}{c} \right) + (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{-d}{c} \right) = \frac{\lambda a + (\lambda - 1)d}{c} = \frac{\lambda(a + d) - d}{c} \\ &\iff \frac{cz + d}{a + d} \in \mathbb{R} \iff \frac{cz - a}{a + d} \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \ / \ \text{Im} \left(\frac{cz}{a + d} \right) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{a}{a + d} \right) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{-d}{a + d} \right) \right\} \quad (8.1)$$

Moreover $a/c \in \mathcal{D} = \gamma(\mathcal{D})$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \left(\frac{a}{c} \right) \in \mathcal{D} &\iff \frac{a^2 + bc}{c(a + d)} \in \mathcal{D} \\ &\iff \text{Im} \left(\frac{a^2 + bc}{(a + d)^2} \right) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{a}{a + d} \right) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{a^2 + ad}{(a + d)^2} \right) \\ &\iff \text{Im} \left(\frac{ad - bc}{(a + d)^2} \right) = \text{Im} \left(\frac{1}{(a + d)^2} \right) \\ &\iff (a + d)^2 \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

. Reciprocally, assume that $a/c = \gamma(\infty) \in \mathcal{D}$, $-d/c = \gamma^{-1}(\infty) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $(a + d)^2 \in \mathbb{R}$: by relation (8.1), we still have $\gamma(a/c) \in \mathcal{D}$; besides

$$\gamma \left(\frac{a}{c} \right) = \frac{a^2 + bc}{c(a + d)} \neq \frac{a}{c} \quad \text{since} \quad ad - bc = 1 \neq 0$$

Therefore the isometry γ takes the three distinct points $\infty, a/c, -d/c$ of \mathcal{D} into $a/c, \gamma(a/c)$ and ∞ , which also are distinct points of \mathcal{D} : any circle of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ being uniquely defined by three points, we have *de facto* $\gamma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}$, which ends the proof.

Keep in mind for any hyperbolic element $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_R$, we have $\text{Tr}(\gamma) = \text{Tr}(\xi) \neq 0$ and $\eta \neq 0$ (cf. proof of Proposition 4.3) : those elements, which are the only interesting ones for us, will satisfy the hypothesis of the above Proposition.

• We are now able to show easily the existence of infinitely many Γ_R -closed itgs in \mathbb{H}^3 . Take any hyperbolic element $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_R$: $z_1 = \gamma^{-1}(\infty)$ and $z_2 = \gamma(\infty)$ are two distinct points of \mathbb{C} , since $\text{Tr}(\gamma) \neq 0$. Let us define the itgs \mathcal{P}_γ by $\mathcal{P}_\gamma = \mathcal{D}_\gamma \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$ where

$$\mathcal{D}_\gamma = (z_1, z_2) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \ / \ \text{Im}(b\bar{\eta}z) = \text{Im}(\xi) \right\} \quad (8.2)$$

Since $\text{Tr}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have $\gamma(\mathcal{D}_\gamma) = \mathcal{D}_\gamma$ and $\gamma.\mathcal{P}_\gamma = \mathcal{P}_\gamma$ by Proposition 8.2 : our half-plane \mathcal{P}_γ is a Γ_R -closed itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 . Furthermore :

Proposition 8.3 *There exist infinitely many Γ_R -closed itgs in \mathbb{H}^3 , e.g. the half-planes $\mathcal{P}(t) = \mathcal{D}(t) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j} = \mathbb{R}(1 + t\sqrt{a}) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof : let us fix $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and look for an arbitrary element $\gamma_t = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ of the form $\gamma_t = x + y(1 + t\sqrt{a})\Omega$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^*$: then γ_t is hyperbolic as $y \neq 0$, and relation (8.2) provides $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_t} = \mathbb{R}(1 + t\sqrt{a}) = \mathcal{D}(t)$. For such a γ_t

$$N(\gamma_t) = 1 \iff x^2 - b(1 - at^2)y^2 = 1 \quad (8.3)$$

and by Fermat's Theorem on the Equation of Pell, as soon as $d = b(1 - at^2)$ is not a square in \mathbb{Z} , we can solve this equation for non-trivial x, y . But

$$b(1 - at^2) \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{Z} \implies 1 - at^2 \equiv 0 [b] \implies \left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = 1$$

a contradiction with the definition of the (K_2^S) -manifolds. So for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, the natural integer $d = b(1 - at^2)$ is not a square and we can find $\gamma_t = \xi_t + \eta_t\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_t} = \mathcal{D}(t) = \mathbb{R}(1 + t\sqrt{a})$. This fullfills the proof.

Let us take for example $a = -2$ and $b = 13$ (cf. section 4) :

- . for $t = 0$, $d = 13$, $\gamma_0 = 649 + 180\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ and $\mathcal{P}(0) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^*\mathbf{j}$.
- . for $t = 1$, $d = 39$, $\gamma_1 = 25 + 4(1 + i\sqrt{2})\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ and $\mathcal{P}(1) = \mathbb{R}(1 + i\sqrt{2}) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^*\mathbf{j}$.
- . for $t = 2$, $d = 117$, $\gamma_2 = 649 + 60(1 + 2i\sqrt{2})\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ and $\mathcal{P}(2) = \mathbb{R}(1 + 2i\sqrt{2}) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^*\mathbf{j}$.

We shall see other examples of Γ_R -closed itgs in Appendix B, half-planes that do not contain $\mathbb{R}_+^*\mathbf{j}$ and miscellaneous half-spheres. Moreover we shall prove that

Proposition 8.4 *There exist infinitely many Γ_R -closed itgs in X_R .*

- Let us finally state the main result of this section :

Proposition 8.5 *Let \mathcal{P}_1 be a Γ_R -closed half-plane of \mathbb{H}^3 and \mathcal{F} a finite subset of \mathcal{P} . There exists infinitely many primes $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$\forall N \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall \alpha \in R^{pr}(Np) \cup R^{pr}(N^2p^2) \quad \alpha.\mathcal{P}_1 \neq \mathcal{P}_1 \quad (8.4)$$

Proof : we denote by \mathcal{D}_1 the trace of \mathcal{P}_1 on \mathbb{C} . As \mathcal{P}_1 is Γ_R -closed, there exists a hyperbolic element $\gamma_1 = \xi_1 + \eta_1\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ such that $\gamma_1.\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_1$ whence $\gamma.\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1$. Moreover $\eta_1 \neq 0$, $\text{Tr}(\gamma_1) \neq 0$ and we can apply Proposition 8.2 : by relation (8.1), we have

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(b\bar{\eta}_1 z) = \text{Im}(\xi_1) \right\} \quad (8.5)$$

Let us consider a prime $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 3.5

$$\text{ord}_p(2abDD') = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1 \quad (8.6)$$

and assume that for $n = 1$ or 2 ,

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{F} \quad \exists \alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \in R^{pr}(N^n p^n) \quad \alpha(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_1 \quad (8.7)$$

Then $\eta \neq 0$ and relation (8.7) implies that $\alpha^{-1}(\infty) = -\bar{\xi}/b\bar{\eta} \in \mathcal{D}_1$ which means that

$$-\text{Im}\left(\frac{\bar{\eta}_1 \bar{\xi}}{\bar{\eta}}\right) = \text{Im}\left(\frac{\eta_1 \xi}{\eta}\right) = \text{Im}(\xi_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \frac{\xi}{\eta} = \frac{\lambda + i \text{Im}(\xi_1)}{\eta_1}$$

In fact $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ because all the complex numbers considered belong to the number field \mathbb{F} . From the norm equation $N(\alpha) = N^n p^n$ we deduce that

$$N^n p^n = |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 = |\eta|^2 \left(\frac{|\xi|^2}{|\eta|^2} - b \right) = \frac{|\eta|^2}{|\eta_1|^2} \left[\lambda^2 + \text{Im}(\xi_1)^2 - b|\eta_1|^2 \right]$$

From $|\xi_1|^2 - b|\eta_1|^2 = N(\gamma_1) = 1$ we get $\text{Im}(\xi_1)^2 - b|\eta_1|^2 = 1 - \text{Re}(\xi)^2$ so that

$$N^n p^n = \frac{|\eta|^2}{4|\eta_1|^2} \left[4\lambda^2 + 4 - \text{Tr}(\gamma_1)^2 \right] \quad (8.8)$$

By Proposition 3.5, $\text{ord}_p|\eta|^2 = 0$; we shall moreover impose the condition $\text{ord}_p|\eta_1|^2 = 0$. As $\text{Tr}(\gamma_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$, relation (8.8) provides $\text{ord}_p(4\lambda^2) \geq 0$ whence $4\lambda^2 + 4 - \text{Tr}(\gamma_1)^2 \equiv 0 [p]$ so that $\text{Tr}(\gamma_1)^2 - 4$ is a square modulo p .

Assume now that the integer $\text{Tr}(\gamma_1)^2 - 4$ is a square. Then $\text{Tr}(\gamma_1) = m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m^2 - 4 = n^2$, whence $m^2 - n^2 = (|m| + |n|) \times (|m| - |n|) = 4 = 2 \times 2 = 4 \times 1$. Because $|m| + |n| \geq |m| - |n| > 0$ we have the following alternative :

- . either $|m| + |n| = |m| - |n| = 2$ so that $n = 0$ and $m = \text{Tr}(\gamma_1) = \pm 2$, a contradiction with γ_1 hyperbolic.
- . or $|m| + |n| = 4$ and $|m| - |n| = 1$ so that $|m| = 5/2 \in \mathbb{N}$, a contradiction.

Hence $c = \text{Tr}(\gamma_1)^2 - 4$ is not a square. Moreover γ_1 being hyperbolic, $c > 0$.

Finally, we see that $\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $a^x c^y$ square in $\mathbb{Z} \implies a^x > 0 \implies x \equiv 0 [2]$ as $a < 0$, whence c^y square in $\mathbb{Z} \implies y \equiv 0 [2]$ because c is not a square in \mathbb{Z} . Thus a and c are 2-independent and there exists by Theorem 3.1 infinitely many primes $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{a}{p} \right) = \left(\frac{\text{Tr}(\gamma_1)^2 - 4}{p} \right) = -1$$

If we restrict to the primes $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ such that $\text{ord}_p(2abDD') = 0 = \text{ord}_p|\eta_1|^2$, we obtain by relation (8.8) infinitely many primes for which relation (8.7) can't be satisfied. Hence they satisfy relation (8.4), which ends the proof of the Proposition.

8.2 Of the half-spheres

• We shall begin with a characterization of the half-spheres of \mathbb{H}^3 that are Γ_R -closed itgs. Let $\mathcal{S} = S(a_1, r)$ be such an itgs and $\mathcal{C} = C(a_1, r)$ be its trace on \mathbb{C} : there exists a hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma_R$ such that $\gamma.\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}$ whence $\gamma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. Using this relation, we obtain a system of three algebraic equations that lead to

Proposition 8.6 *Let $S(a_1, r) \neq S^o$ be a Γ_R -closed itgs : $a_1 \neq 0$. If $q = 1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2) \neq 0$, then $\zeta = \frac{a_1}{q} \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\exists (X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}$, $a(1 - 4b|\zeta|^2) = (X^2 - 4)Y^2 > 0$.*

Applying Proposition 8.6 to \mathcal{S}_1 , we obtain relations on a_1 and r (the same notations q and ζ are used). Let \mathcal{F} be a finite subset of \mathcal{P} and $n = 1$ or 2 . Then, for $N \in \mathcal{F}$ and $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$, consider $\alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \in R^{pr}(N^n p^n)$ such that $\alpha.\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1$. The case $\eta = 0$ is straightforward. If $\eta \neq 0$, we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 8.6 and obtain a similar system of three algebraic equations. From this system we deduce that except for finitely many

primes p : if $q \neq 0$ then $a(1 - 4b|\zeta|^2)$ is a square modulo p ; if $q = 0$ then $b|\eta_1|^2$ is a square modulo p , where η_1 is a fixed non nul integer of \mathbb{F} that depends only on a_1 . Therefore, after proving that the above quantites are not squares in \mathbb{Q} , we deduce from Theorem 3.1 that

Proposition 8.7 *Let $\mathcal{S} = S(a_1, r) \neq S^o$ be a Γ_R -closed itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 and \mathcal{F} be a finite subset of \mathcal{P} . There exists infinitely many primes $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$\forall N \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall \alpha \in R^{pr}(Np) \cup R^{pr}(N^2p^2) \quad \alpha.\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{S} \quad (8.9)$$

• First we need a technical lemma for both Propositions :

Lemma 8.1 *Let $\mathcal{C}_1 = C(a_1, r_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 = C(a_2, r_2)$ be two circles of \mathbb{C} , $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \in R^{pr}(N)$ with $\eta \neq 0$ such that $\alpha(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{C}_2$. Then*

$$\exists \varepsilon = \pm 1 \quad \begin{cases} b(r_1 \bar{\eta} a_2 - \varepsilon r_2 \eta \bar{a}_1) = (r_1 + \varepsilon r_2)\xi & (8.15) \\ b^2 r_1^2 |\eta|^2 - |\xi + b\eta \bar{a}_1|^2 = N\varepsilon \frac{r_1}{r_2} & (8.16) \\ |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 = N & (8.17) \end{cases}$$

Proof : assume the hypothesis of the Lemma. We have

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \alpha(z) = \frac{\xi z + \eta}{b\bar{\eta}z + \bar{\xi}} = \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} + \frac{b|\eta|^2 - |\xi|^2}{b\bar{\eta}(b\bar{\eta}z + \bar{\xi})} = \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} - \frac{N}{b\bar{\eta}(b\bar{\eta}z + \bar{\xi})}$$

so that

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \alpha(z) = \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} + \frac{k}{z - \zeta} \quad \text{where } k = -\frac{N}{b^2\bar{\eta}^2} \quad \text{and } \zeta = -\bar{\xi}/b\bar{\eta} \quad (8.10)$$




Figure 4: Action of α on \mathcal{C}_1

Note that $\zeta \notin \mathcal{C}_1$ otherwise $\alpha(\zeta) = \infty \in \mathcal{C}_2$ and that cannot happen. Relation (8.10) implies that $\alpha = \alpha_R \circ \alpha_I$ where $\alpha_I : z \mapsto \zeta + |k|/(\bar{z} - \bar{\zeta})$ is an inversion of center ζ and α_R is an orientation reversing euclidean isometry of \mathbb{C} .

First we assume that $\zeta \neq a_1$. We denote by $\text{Inv}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$ the inversion of circle \mathcal{C}_1 . Let us set $\hat{\zeta} = \text{Inv}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\zeta) = a_1 + r_1^2/(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{a}_1)$: all the circles passing through ζ and $\hat{\zeta}$ are then orthogonal to \mathcal{C}_1 because they are invariant under $\text{Inv}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$. Let \mathcal{C}_0 be such a circle : $\alpha_I \mathcal{C}_0$ is a line orthogonal to $\alpha_I \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}'$, hence it is a diameter. Therefore $\alpha_I(\hat{\zeta}) = a'$ – the intersection of all the diameters of \mathcal{C}' – is the center of \mathcal{C}' , so that $\alpha(\hat{\zeta}) = \alpha_R \circ \alpha_I(\hat{\zeta}) = a_2$ is the center of \mathcal{C}_2 . Now we set

$$(\zeta, a_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_1 = \{A_1, B_1\} \quad (\zeta, a_1) \cap \mathcal{C}' = \{A', B'\}$$

We take here as a convention that the points A_1 and ζ are on the same side of a_1 on the line (ζ, a_1) , and that B_1 and ζ are on opposite sides. We have $A' = \alpha_I(B_1)$, $B' = \alpha_I(A_1)$ and

$$A_1 - \zeta = \frac{a_1 - \zeta}{|a_1 - \zeta|} (|a_1 - \zeta| - r_1), \quad B_1 - \zeta = \frac{a_1 - \zeta}{|a_1 - \zeta|} (|a_1 - \zeta| + r_1) \quad (8.11)$$

whence

$$A' = \zeta + \frac{|a_1 - \zeta|}{\bar{a}_1 - \bar{\zeta}} \frac{|k|}{|a_1 - \zeta| + r_1} \quad \text{and} \quad B' = \zeta + \frac{|a_1 - \zeta|}{\bar{a}_1 - \bar{\zeta}} \frac{|k|}{|a_1 - \zeta| - r_1} \quad (8.12)$$

Therefore $2r_2 = |A' - B'| = 2|k|r_1/|a_1 - \zeta|^2 - r_1^2$. We set $\varepsilon = 1$ if ζ is inside of \mathcal{C}_1 and $\varepsilon = -1$ otherwise, so that

$$\frac{r_2}{r_1} = \frac{\varepsilon|k|}{r_1^2 - |a_1 - \zeta|^2} \quad (8.13)$$

We have $\hat{\zeta} - \zeta = a_1 - \zeta + r_1^2/(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{a}_1) = (r_1^2 - |a_1 - \zeta|^2)/(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{a}_1) = \varepsilon|k|r_1/r_2(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{a}_1)$. Thus, according to relation (8.10),

$$\alpha(\hat{\zeta}) = \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} + \frac{\varepsilon(\bar{\zeta} - \bar{a}_1)kr_2}{r_1|k|}$$

Besides we have $|k| = N/b^2|\eta|^2$ so that $k/|k| = -|\eta|^2/\bar{\eta}^2 = -\eta/\bar{\eta}$ and

$$\alpha(\hat{\zeta}) = \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} - \varepsilon \frac{\eta}{\bar{\eta}} \frac{r_2}{r_1} (\bar{\zeta} - \bar{a}_1) = a_2 \quad (8.14)$$

whence

$$b(r_1\bar{\eta}a_2 - \varepsilon r_2\eta\bar{a}_1) = (r_1 + \varepsilon r_2)\xi \quad (8.15)$$

Injecting $\zeta = -\bar{\xi}/b\bar{\eta}$ and $|k| = N/b^2|\eta|^2$ in relation (8.13) we deduce that

$$b^2r_1^2|\eta|^2 - |\xi + b\eta\bar{a}_1|^2 = N\varepsilon \frac{r_1}{r_2} \quad (8.16)$$

Finally the computation of the norm of α provides the relation

$$|\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 = N \quad (8.17)$$

In the case $\zeta = a_1 = -\bar{\xi}/b\bar{\eta}$, we have $\varepsilon = 1$, $\hat{\zeta} = \infty$ and $\alpha(\hat{\zeta}) = \xi/b\bar{\eta} = a_2$ so that the relation (8.15) is still satisfied. Moreover $\mathcal{C}' = C(a_1, r_2)$: as $\alpha_I C(a_1, r_1) = C(a_1, r_2)$, then we have $|k| = r_1r_2 = N/b^2|\eta|^2$ whence relation (8.16). So in each case we finally obtain the system of three equations (8.15), (8.16) and (8.17), which ends the proof of the Lemma. We shall prove a converse in Appendix B.

• *Proof of Proposition 8.6* : consider a hyperbolic element $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ such that $\gamma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C} = C(a_1, r)$. If $a_1 = 0$, then $\gamma.C(0, r) = C(0, r)$ i.e. $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\gamma(r e^{i\theta})| = r$. Therefore

$$\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R} \quad |\xi r e^{i\theta} + \eta| = r |b\bar{\eta} r e^{i\theta} + \bar{\xi}| = |\xi r e^{i\theta} + b r^2 \eta| \quad (8.18)$$

By taking the maxima of both sides of this equality considered as functions of $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$, we get $r|\xi| + |\eta| = r|\xi| + b r^2 |\eta|$. As $\eta \neq 0$ by hyperbolicity of γ , then $1 = b r^2$ so that $r = 1/\sqrt{b}$ and $\mathcal{S} = S^o$, a contradiction with the definition of \mathcal{S} . Hence $a_1 \neq 0$. We assume in the sequel that $q = 1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2) \neq 0$.

By Lemma 8.1, the relation $\gamma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ leads to

$$\exists \varepsilon = \pm 1 \quad \begin{cases} b(\bar{\eta} a_1 - \varepsilon \eta \bar{a}_1) = (1 + \varepsilon) \xi & (8.15') \\ b^2 r^2 |\eta|^2 - |\xi + b \eta \bar{a}_1|^2 = \varepsilon & (8.16') \\ |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 = 1 & (8.17') \end{cases}$$

If $\varepsilon = 1$, then from (8.15') we get $\xi = i b \text{Im}(\bar{\eta} a_1)$ so that $\text{Re}(\xi) = 0$, which contradicts the hyperbolicity of γ . Therefore $\varepsilon = -1$ and relation (8.15') implies that $\text{Re}(\bar{\eta} a_1) = 0$. From relations (8.16') and (8.17') we deduce that

$$|\xi + b \eta \bar{a}_1|^2 - b^2 r^2 |\eta|^2 = 1 = |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2$$

and

$$|\xi|^2 + 2b \text{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta} a_1) + b^2 (|a_1|^2 - r^2) |\eta|^2 = |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2$$

As $2b \text{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta} a_1) = b(\xi \bar{\eta} a_1 + \bar{\xi} \eta \bar{a}_1) = b(\xi - \bar{\xi}) \bar{\eta} a_1$ and $\eta \neq 0$, we deduce from the above equation that

$$(\xi - \bar{\xi}) a_1 + \underbrace{[1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2)]}_q \eta = 0 \quad (8.19)$$

De facto $\xi - \bar{\xi} \neq 0$: $\zeta = a_1/q = \eta/(\bar{\xi} - \xi) \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\eta = (\bar{\xi} - \xi) \zeta = -2i \text{Im}(\xi) \zeta$. Injecting this in (8.17') we obtain

$$1 = \text{Re}(\xi)^2 + (1 - 4b|\zeta|^2) \text{Im}(\xi)^2$$

Setting $2 \text{Re}(\xi) = X \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \text{Im}(\xi)/\sqrt{-a} = Y^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, we get $X^2 - a(1 - 4b|\zeta|^2) Y^{-2} = 4$ whence

$$\exists (X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q} \quad a(1 - 4b|\zeta|^2) = Y^2 (X^2 - 4) > 0 \quad (8.20)$$

because $Y \neq 0$ and $X^2 = \text{Tr}^2(\gamma) > 4$ as γ is hyperbolic. This ends the proof.

• *Proof of Proposition 8.7* : fix $n = 1$ or 2 ; let us consider a prime $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ such that $\text{ord}_p(2abDD') = 0$ and

$$\exists N \in \mathcal{F} \quad \exists \alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \in R^{pr}(N^n p^n) \quad \alpha.\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} = S(a_1, r) \quad (8.21)$$

Keep in mind that \mathcal{S} being a Γ_R -closed itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 , $a_1 \neq 0$ by Proposition 8.6. If $\eta = 0$, then $\alpha.(z, t) = (\xi z/\bar{\xi} t)$ for any $(z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^3$: α acts as an euclidean rotation of the space \mathbb{R}^3 . Therefore $a_1 = \alpha.a_1 = \xi a_1/\bar{\xi} \neq 0$ and $\xi/\bar{\xi} = 1$: hence $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $\alpha = \xi = \pm Np \in pR$ and cannot be primitive in R , a contradiction.

Since $\eta \neq 0$, we can proceed as in the proof of Proposition 8.6 : we set $\mathcal{C} = C(a_1, r)$; then $\alpha(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ whence by Lemma 8.1

$$\exists \varepsilon = \pm 1 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} b(\bar{\eta} a_1 - \varepsilon \eta \bar{a}_1) = (1 + \varepsilon)\xi \quad (8.15'') \\ b^2 r^2 |\eta|^2 - |\xi + b \eta \bar{a}_1|^2 = \varepsilon N^n p^n \quad (8.16'') \\ |\xi|^2 - b |\eta|^2 = N^n p^n \quad (8.17'') \end{array} \right.$$

• If $\varepsilon = -1$ then from relation (8.15'') we get $\bar{\eta} a_1 + \eta \bar{a}_1 = 2 \operatorname{Re}(\eta \bar{a}_1) = 0$. We proceed as with relation (6.3) : there exists $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ fixed (depending only on a_1) such that

$$\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \eta = \lambda \eta_1 \quad (8.22)$$

Using the relations (8.16'') and (8.17''), we get $|\xi + b \eta \bar{a}_1|^2 - b^2 r^2 |\eta|^2 = |\xi|^2 - b |\eta|^2$ whence $2b \operatorname{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta} a_1) + b |\eta|^2 [1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2)] = 0$ *i.e.* $2 \operatorname{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta} a_1) + q |\eta|^2 = 0$ and

$$2 \operatorname{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta}_1 a_1) = -\lambda q |\eta_1|^2 \quad \text{where} \quad q = 1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2) \quad (8.23)$$

Let us assume for the moment that $q \neq 0$. By Proposition 8.6, we have $\zeta = a_1/q \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\xi \bar{\eta}_1 \zeta \in \mathbb{F}$, so that $\exists \mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, $2i \operatorname{Im}(\xi \bar{\eta}_1 \zeta) = \mu \sqrt{a}$. Therefore $2\xi \bar{\eta}_1 \zeta = -\lambda |\eta_1|^2 + \mu \sqrt{a}$ and $4|\xi \eta_1 \zeta|^2 = \lambda^2 |\eta_1|^4 - a \mu^2$. Injecting this in relation (8.17'') we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4 N^n p^n |\eta_1|^2 |\zeta|^2 &= 4 |\xi \eta_1 \zeta|^2 - 4 b |\eta|^2 |\eta_1|^2 |\zeta|^2 \\ &= -a \mu^2 + \lambda^2 |\eta_1|^4 (1 - 4b |\zeta|^2) \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = l/r$, $\mu = m/r \in \mathbb{Q}$ with integers l, m, r satisfying $l \wedge m \wedge r = 1$, this relation becomes after multiplication of both sides by $a r^2$

$$a (1 - 4b |\zeta|^2) (l |\eta_1|^2)^2 = 4a |\eta_1|^2 |\zeta|^2 r^2 N^n p^n + a^2 m^2 \quad (8.24)$$

We know by Proposition 8.6 that $1 - 4b |\zeta|^2 \neq 0$. For a prime p such that $\operatorname{ord}_p(1 - 4b |\zeta|^2) = \operatorname{ord}_p |\zeta|^2 = \operatorname{ord}_p |\eta_1|^2 = 0$, we see from relation (8.24) that $l \equiv 0 [p]$ if and only if $m \equiv 0 [p]$. If $l \equiv 0 [p]$ then $(2r \bar{\eta}_1 \zeta) \xi = (-l |\eta|^2 + m \sqrt{a}) \in p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$, with $r \not\equiv 0 [p]$ because $l \wedge m \wedge r = 1$, and $r \eta = l \eta_1 \in p \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$: hence $\alpha = \xi + \eta \Omega \in pR$, which contradicts the choice of α primitive. Therefore $l \not\equiv 0 [p]$ and relation (8.24) implies that $a (1 - 4b |\zeta|^2)$ is a square modulo p .

If $q = 0$ then from relation (8.23) we get $\operatorname{Re}(\xi \bar{\eta}_1 a_1) = 0 = -\operatorname{Im}(\xi) \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\eta}_1 a_1)$ whence $\operatorname{Im}(\xi) = 0$ (because $\bar{\eta}_1 a_1 \in i\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$). Therefore we have $\xi = \mu \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\eta = \lambda \eta_1$ so that $N^n p^n = \mu^2 - b |\eta_1|^2 \lambda^2$ by relation (8.17'') whence

$$\exists (l, m, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3, \quad l \wedge m \wedge r = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad r^2 N^n p^n = m^2 - b |\eta_1|^2 l^2 \quad (8.25)$$

Given a prime p such that $\operatorname{ord}_p |\eta_1|^2 = 0$ we have $l \equiv 0 [p] \iff m \equiv 0 [p] \iff \alpha \in pR$ as in the case $q \neq 0$, in contradiction with the primitivity of α . Thus $l \not\equiv 0 [p]$ and relation (8.25) implies that the integer $b |\eta_1|^2$ is a square modulo p .

• If $\varepsilon = 1$ then relation (8.15'') implies $\xi = b(\bar{\eta} a_1 - \eta \bar{a}_1)/2 = i b \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\eta} a_1) \in i\mathbb{R}$ and $\xi + b \eta \bar{a}_1 = b(\bar{\eta} a_1 + \eta \bar{a}_1)/2 = b \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\eta} a_1) \in \mathbb{R}$. Using relations (8.16'') and (8.17'') we get $N^n p^n = b^2 r_1^2 |\eta|^2 - b^2 \operatorname{Re}^2(\eta \bar{a}_1) = b^2 \operatorname{Im}^2(\eta \bar{a}_1) - b |\eta|^2$ so that $b^2 |\eta|^2 (r_1^2 - |a_1|^2) = -b |\eta|^2 \neq 0$ whence

$$b (|a_1|^2 - r_1^2) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q = 2 \quad (8.26)$$

Therefore, $a_1 = 2\zeta \in \mathbb{F}^*$ (cf. Proposition 8.6). We can set $\eta\bar{a}_1 = X + Y\sqrt{a}$ with $X, Y \in \mathbb{Q}$. After multiplication of both sides by $|a_1|^2$, relation (8.17'') becomes

$$|a_1|^2 N^n p^n = -ab^2 |a_1|^2 Y^2 - b(X^2 - aY^2) = b \left[a(1 - b|a_1|^2) Y^2 - X^2 \right]$$

For a prime p such that $\text{ord}_p |a_1|^2 = 0 = \text{ord}_p(1 - b|a_1|^2)$, we can as before conclude that $a(1 - b|a_1|^2) = a(1 - 4b|\zeta|^2)$ is a square modulo p .

• We can now end the proof of the Proposition : if $q = 0$, we have $\eta_1 \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\text{ord}_b(|\eta_1|^2)$ is even by Lemma 4.1, whence $b|\eta_1|^2$ is not a square in \mathbb{Q} . Therefore there exists infinitely many primes p such that $b|\eta_1|^2$ is not a square modulo p (cf. section 3.1.2).

If $q \neq 0$, we know by Proposition 8.6 that $a(1 - 4b|\zeta|^2) = (X^2 - 4)Y^2 > 0$ with $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}$. As we saw in the proof of Proposition 8.5, $X^2 - 4$ is a square in \mathbb{Z} if and only if $X = \pm 2$ i.e. $X^2 - 4 = 0$, which cannot happen here. Hence $a(1 - 4b|\zeta|^2)$ is not a square in \mathbb{Q} , and there exists once again infinitely many primes p such that $a(1 - 4b|\zeta|^2)$ is not a square modulo p .

Therefore, after the exclusion of the prime factors of a finite set of rational numbers, we still have infinitely many primes p for which relation (8.21) cannot be satisfied, whence $\forall N \in \mathcal{F}, \forall \alpha \in R^{pr}(N^n p^n), \alpha.\mathcal{S} \neq \mathcal{S}$. This ends the proof of the Proposition.

8.3 Synthesis

Let us take $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_l$ Γ_R -closed itgs of X_R , with $\Sigma_1 \neq S^o$, admitting itgs $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_l$ as liftings to \mathbb{H}^3 . We apply Proposition 5.2 to these itgs ; let \mathcal{F} be the finite subset of \mathcal{P} given by this Proposition. We know by Propositions 8.5 and 8.7 – whether \mathcal{S}_1 is a half-plane or a half-sphere – that there are infinitely many primes $p \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\forall N \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall \alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \in R^{pr}(Np) \cup R^{pr}(N^n p^n) \quad \alpha.\mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathcal{S}_1 \quad (8.27)$$

whence, by Proposition 5.2

Proposition 8.8 *Let $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_l$ – with $\mathcal{S}_1 \neq S^o$ – be Γ_R -closed itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 . There exists infinitely many primes $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that*

$$\forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots l\} \quad \alpha.\mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathcal{S}_i \quad (8.28)$$

9 Conclusion

Proof of Proposition 5.1

We consider a manifold X_R of class (K_2^S) and $\Lambda \subset X_R$ a non-empty set that has not type (S^o) such that

$$\Lambda \subset z_1 \cup \dots \cup z_l \cup L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_r \cup \Sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma_s$$

where the z_i are points, the L_j are closed geodesics and the Σ_k are Γ_R -closed itgs of X_R . We assume moreover that $\text{area}(\Lambda) \neq 0$ if $s \geq 1$. We look for a modular correspondence \mathcal{C}_p separating Λ (see section 1.1 for definitions).

• *Λ is finite* : then we have $\Lambda = \{z_1, \dots, z_l\}$. Let us denote by $\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_l$ liftings of these points of X_R to \mathbb{H}^3 . By Proposition 6.1

$$\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \quad \forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall i = 1 \dots l \quad \alpha \tilde{z}_1 \neq \tilde{z}_i \quad (9.1)$$

As in section 1.4, we deduce that there exists a prime p such that \mathcal{C}_p separates Λ .

• *Λ is infinite and contained in a finite union of closed geodesics* : then we can write $\Lambda \subset L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_r$ where the L_j are closed geodesics, and assume that $\Lambda \cap L_1$ is infinite. Let the geodesics $\tilde{L}_1, \dots, \tilde{L}_r$ be liftings to \mathbb{H}^3 of the L_j . By Proposition 7.1

$$\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \quad \forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall j = 1 \dots r \quad \alpha \tilde{L}_1 \neq \tilde{L}_j \quad (9.2)$$

As in section 1.4, we deduce that there exists a prime p such that \mathcal{C}_p separates Λ .

• *Λ is contained in a finite union of Γ_R -closed itgs and $\text{area}(\Lambda) \neq 0$* : as Λ has not type (S^o) , we can write $\Lambda \subset \Sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma_s$ where the Σ_k are Γ_R -closed itgs, $\Sigma_1 \neq S^o$ and $\text{area}(\Sigma_1 \cap \Lambda) \neq 0$. Let the itgs $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_s$ be liftings of the Σ_k to \mathbb{H}^3 . As $\mathcal{S}_1 \neq S^o$, then by Proposition 8.8

$$\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \quad \forall \alpha \in R(p) \cup R^{pr}(p^2) \quad \forall k = 1 \dots r \quad \alpha \mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathcal{S}_k \quad (9.3)$$

Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be such a prime : $\mathcal{C}_p(\Sigma_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{p^2}(\Sigma_1)$ consist of Γ_R -closed itgs all distinct from the Σ_k . Therefore by Lemma 4.3 the sets

$$\mu_1 = \mathcal{C}_p(\Sigma_1) \cap (\Sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma_l) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2 = \mathcal{C}_{p^2}(\Sigma_1) \cap (\Sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Sigma_l)$$

have zero area. Hence

$$\nu_1 = \{z \in X_R / \mathcal{C}_p(z) \cap \mu_1 \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_2 = \{z \in X_R / \mathcal{C}_{p^2}(z) \cap \mu_2 \neq \emptyset\}$$

have zero area, so that there exists $z \in \Lambda \cap \Sigma_1 \setminus (\nu_1 \cup \nu_2)$. Let \tilde{z} be a lifting of z to \mathbb{H}^3 and $w = \Gamma_R \alpha_1 \tilde{z} \in \mathcal{C}_p(z) \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\Sigma_1)$. As in section 1.4 (infinite case), we show that $w \notin \Lambda$ and $\mathcal{C}_p(w) \cap \Lambda = \{z\}$: \mathcal{C}_p separates Λ . This ends the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1

Let us take $\Lambda \subset X_R$ satisfying the statement of Proposition 5.1 : there is a modular correspondence \mathcal{C} separating Λ . Let ν be a quantum limit on X_R which is – we make the same assumption as in section 1.4 – associated to a sequence of eigenfunctions of Δ and $\{T_n\}$, hence of $T = T_C$. From Proposition 1.1, we deduce that $\text{singsupp } \nu \neq \Lambda$, which finally proves Theorem 5.1.

A Of closed itgs in X_R

Let us assume that $\mathcal{S} \neq S^o$ is a closed itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 for Γ_R : by Proposition 4.3, there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{S}$ and a subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_R$ such that $\mathcal{S} = \Gamma_0 \cdot \mathcal{F}$ *i.e.*

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{S} \quad \exists \gamma \in \Gamma_0 \quad \gamma \cdot x \in \mathcal{F} \quad (1.1)$$

We set $t_0 = \inf \{ t / (z, t) \in \mathcal{F} \} = \min \{ t / (z, t) \in \mathcal{F} \} > 0$ since \mathcal{F} is compact in \mathbb{H}^3 .

A.1 The half-spheres

We shall write $\mathcal{S} = S(a_1, r)$, with $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$. By Proposition 8.6, $a_1 \neq 0$; we fix

$$(z, t) = \left(a_1 \left[1 + \frac{\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}}{|a_1|} \right], t \right) \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < t < t_0$$

Now take any $\gamma = \xi + \eta \Omega \in \Gamma_0$. If $\eta \neq 0$, we deduce from relation (8.15') – see the proof of Proposition 8.6 – that $\bar{\eta} a_1 \in i\mathbb{R}^*$; moreover

$$\gamma \cdot (z, t) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{t}) = \left(*, \frac{t}{|\xi + b\eta\bar{z}|^2 + b^2|\eta|^2 t^2} \right) \quad (1.2)$$

by relation (2.3). As $\eta\bar{z} \in i\mathbb{R}$, we have $|\xi + b\eta\bar{z}|^2 \geq \text{Re}(\xi)^2 > 1$ by hyperbolicity of γ : hence $\tilde{t} < t < t_0$ so that $\gamma \cdot (z, t) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{t}) \notin \mathcal{F}$. If $\eta = 0$ then $\gamma = \pm I_2$ and $\gamma \cdot (z, t) = (z, t) \notin \mathcal{F}$. Therefore

$$\exists x \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_0 \quad \gamma \cdot x \notin \mathcal{F}$$

a contradiction with relation (1.1).

A.2 The half-planes

We shall write $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$. Let $\gamma_0 = \xi_0 + \eta_0 \Omega \in \Gamma_0$ with $\eta_0 \neq 0$: by relation (8.2) we have

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(b\bar{\eta}_0 z) = \text{Im}(\xi_0) \right\}$$

We set

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad z_\lambda = \frac{-\bar{\xi}_0}{b\bar{\eta}_0} + \frac{\lambda}{b\bar{\eta}_0} \in \mathcal{D}$$

Take any $\gamma = \xi + \eta \Omega \in \Gamma_0$. If $\eta \neq 0$ then

$$\xi + b\eta\bar{z}_\lambda = \xi - \frac{\xi_0 \eta}{\eta_0} + \frac{\lambda \eta}{\eta_0} = \eta \left[\frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\xi_0}{\eta_0} + \frac{\lambda}{\eta_0} \right] \quad (1.3)$$

Since $\gamma \in \mathfrak{I}$, $D'^2 |\eta|^2 \in \mathbb{N}$ whence $D'^2 |\eta|^2 \geq 1$: therefore

$$N(\gamma) = |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 = 1 \implies \left| \frac{\xi}{\eta} \right|^2 = b + \frac{1}{|\eta|^2} \leq b + D'^2$$

and

$$\forall \gamma \in \Gamma_R \quad \left| \frac{\xi}{\eta} - \frac{\xi_0}{\eta_0} \right|^2 \leq 2(b + D'^2) \quad (1.4)$$

Now fix $\lambda > [\sqrt{2(b + D'^2)} + D'] |\eta_0|$ and $t \in]0, t_0[$; by relations (1.4) and (1.3) we have $|\xi + b\eta\bar{z}_\lambda|^2 > D'^2 |\eta|^2 > 1$: therefore – by relation (1.2) – $\gamma \cdot (z_\lambda, t) \notin \mathcal{F}$. If $\eta = 0$ then $\gamma \cdot (z_\lambda, t) = (z_\lambda, t) \notin \mathcal{F}$ and we finally get the same contradiction with (1.1) as before.

A.3 Synthesis

So we have just proved that for any (K_2^S) -manifold X_R , there is only one possible closed itgs, the projection of $S^o = S(0, 1/\sqrt{b})$ in X_R . We shall prove now that this itgs is actually closed : Γ_R acts on the hyperbolic surface S^o – which is equivalent to \mathbb{H}^2 – as a subgroup of its direct isometries ; we proceed exactly the same way as in [2] to prove that $\Gamma_R \backslash S^o$ is compact for R a maximal order in an indefinite division quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} .

• Set $\mathfrak{I} = \mathbb{Z}[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4]$ and $\mathfrak{J} = \mathbb{R}[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4] = \mathfrak{I} \otimes \mathbb{R} = \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}$; for the mapping φ defined in section 3.2 we have $\Gamma_R \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq} \mathfrak{I}(1)$ and $G_R \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq} \mathfrak{J}(1)$ where

$$G_R = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} \xi & \eta \\ b\bar{\eta} & \xi \end{array} \right) \in \text{M}(2, \mathbb{C}) \mid |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 = 1 \right\} \quad (1.5)$$

is the group of isometries induced by $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. We already know from relation (4.6) that $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma_R, \gamma.S^o = S^o$ whence $\gamma. \left(0, 1/\sqrt{b} \right) \in S^o$. Further :

Lemma 1.1 We define by
$$\psi : \begin{cases} G_R & \longrightarrow S^o \\ \gamma & \longmapsto \gamma. \left(0, 1/\sqrt{b} \right) \end{cases}$$

a continuous surjection. Set $\mathcal{M}_c = \{ \xi + \eta\Omega \in G_R \mid |\xi| \leq c, |\eta| \leq c \}$: for every $c > 0$, the set $\psi(\mathcal{M}_c)$ is compact.

Proof : For $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in G_R$ such that $\eta \neq 0$, we have $|\xi|^2 = 1 + b|\eta|^2$ so that

$$\gamma. \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} - \frac{1}{b\bar{\eta}} \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2 + b|\eta|^2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} (|\xi|^2 + b|\eta|^2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + 2b|\eta|^2} \right) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} (1 + 2b|\eta|^2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

whence

$$\forall \gamma \in G_R \quad \psi(\gamma) = \left(\frac{2\xi\eta}{1 + 2b|\eta|^2}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} (1 + 2b|\eta|^2)} \right) \in S^o \quad (1.6)$$

For $\eta = 0$, we get $\psi(\gamma) = (0, 1/\sqrt{b})$ and we find again the same expression. It is easy to see that we obtain this way all the points of S^o when $(|\eta|, \text{Arg } \xi\eta)$ runs $[0, +\infty[\times]-\pi, \pi]$, so that ψ is a surjection. From its above expression, it is moreover obvious that ψ is continuous on G_R . For all $c > 0$, \mathcal{M}_c is a compact subset of $\text{M}(2, \mathbb{C})$ so that $\psi(\mathcal{M}_c)$ is compact.

Note that $\forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G_R, \psi(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2) = \gamma_1 \cdot \psi(\gamma_2)$ from the definition of ψ .

• Let us now consider elements $\xi = x_1 i_1 + x_2 i_2 + x_3 i_3 + x_4 i_4$ with $\forall j, x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $N(\xi) = 1$, and set $M_c = \{ \xi \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid \forall j, |x_j| \leq c \} \cap \{ \xi : N(\xi) = 1 \}$ for $c > 0$. Then we have (see [2])

Lemma 1.2 Let \mathfrak{A} be an indefinite division algebra over \mathbb{Q} and $\mathfrak{I} = \mathbb{Z}[i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4]$ a maximal order of \mathfrak{A} . There exists $c > 0$ fixed such that

$$\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{R} \text{ with } N(\xi) = 1 \quad \exists \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{I}(1) \quad \varepsilon \xi = \eta \in M_c$$

By application of the mapping φ , we obtain from the above relation

$$\exists c > 0 \quad \forall \gamma \in G_R \quad \exists \gamma_0 \in \Gamma_R \quad \gamma_0 \gamma = \gamma_1 \in \mathcal{M}_c$$

so that

$$\exists c > 0 \quad \forall \gamma \in G_R \quad \exists \gamma_0 \in \Gamma_R \quad \gamma_0 \cdot \psi(\gamma) = \psi(\gamma_0 \cdot \gamma) \in \psi(\mathcal{M}_c)$$

By Proposition 1.1

$$\forall x \in S^o \quad \exists \gamma \in G_R \quad x = \psi(\gamma)$$

and the set $\mathcal{F} = \psi(\mathcal{M}_c)$ is a compact subset of S^o such that

$$\forall x \in S^o \quad \exists \gamma_0 \in \Gamma_R \quad \gamma_0 \cdot x \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad S^o = \Gamma_R \mathcal{F}$$

which leads to

Proposition 1.1 *For any (K_2^S) -manifold X_R , the half-sphere $S^o = S(0, 1/\sqrt{b})$ is the only closed itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 for Γ_R ; its projection in X_R is then compact.*

A.4 Complement : the case $a > 0$

• One could think that the (arbitrary) choice of $a < 0$ made in section 4 for the definition of the class (K_2^S) is the cause of the lack of closed itgs in X_R . But that would be a mistake, as we shall see in the sequel. We define, as in section 4, a class (K_1^S) of quotients manifolds X_R by taking

$$a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad b \in \mathcal{P} \quad \left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = -1 \quad \left(\frac{-1}{b}\right) = 1 \quad \left(\frac{-3}{b}\right) = 1 \quad (1.7)$$

For example, we can take $a = 2$ and $b = 13$; in fact, we just have to take the opposite of a to get from the (K_2^S) -manifolds to the (K_1^S) ones. We have the same properties as in section 4 – they are consequences of relation (1.7) – except that the conjugation in \mathbb{F} does not coincide with the complex conjugation anymore. For the definition of the Γ_R -closed itgs, we shall moreover impose that the hyperbolic elements $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega$ considered satisfy $\eta \neq 0$.

• This time, the particular itgs that is left invariant under the action of all the isometries induced by $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is $P^o = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j} \simeq \mathbb{H}^2$. Indeed, $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ induces the group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ since $\sqrt{a} \in \mathbb{R}$. The action of

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$

on P^o is given by

$$\forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad \gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ax+b}{d} \\ \frac{at}{d} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{t} \end{pmatrix} \in P^o$$

if $c = 0$. Then

$$\tilde{x} + i\tilde{t} = \frac{a(x+it)+b}{d} = \alpha(x+it)$$

and we obtain the fractional linear action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{H}^2 . If $c \neq 0$

$$\forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad \gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{c} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{cx+d}{(cx+d)^2 + c^2t^2} \\ \frac{t}{(cx+d)^2 + c^2t^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{t} \end{pmatrix} \in P^o$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x} + i\tilde{t} &= \frac{a}{c} - \frac{c(x-it) + d}{c|c(x+it) + d|^2} = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{1}{c|c(x+it) + d|} \\
&= \frac{a|c(x+it) + d| - 1}{c|c(x+it) + d|} = \frac{ac(x+it) + bc}{c|c(x+it) + d|} \quad \text{since } ad - 1 = bc \\
&= \frac{a(x+it) + b}{c(x+it) + d} = \alpha(x+it)
\end{aligned}$$

and we obtain once again the fractional linear action of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{H}^2 . Therefore the discrete group $\Gamma_R \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ has the same action on P^o and on \mathbb{H}^2 : as a consequence (cf. [2]), for a maximal order R in an indefinite division quaternion algebra \mathfrak{A} over \mathbb{Q} , the quotient $\Gamma_R \backslash P^o$ is compact : the itgs P^o is closed for Γ_R . We shall now see that it is the only such itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 .

• For $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ and $x = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ we have

$$\gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^2 z \\ \xi^2 t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z} \\ \tilde{t} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.8)$$

if $\eta = 0$, and

$$\gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}}} - \frac{1}{b\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}}} \frac{\bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} + b\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}}\bar{z}}{|\bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} + b\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}}z|^2 + b(\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}})^2 t^2} \\ \frac{t}{|\bar{\xi}^{\mathbb{F}} + b\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}}z|^2 + b(\bar{\eta}^{\mathbb{F}})^2 t^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z} \\ \tilde{t} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.9)$$

if $\eta \neq 0$. Since $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{R}$, we easily compute that in each case

$$\frac{\text{Im}(\tilde{z})}{\tilde{t}} = \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x) \quad (1.10)$$

Let us consider an itgs $\mathcal{S} \neq P^o$ that is closed for Γ_R : there exists a compact subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{S}$ and a subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_R$ such that $\mathcal{S} = \Gamma_0 \cdot \mathcal{F}$ i.e.

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{S} \quad \exists \gamma \in \Gamma_0 \quad \gamma \cdot x \in \mathcal{F}$$

As \mathcal{F} is compact and f is continuous on \mathbb{H}^3 , this function is bounded on \mathcal{F} ; we deduce from both previous relations that

$$\exists M > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S} \quad |f(x)| \leq M \quad (1.11)$$

We can now obtain the desired contradiction :

- If \mathcal{S} is a half-plane – whose trace will be denoted by \mathcal{D} – different from P^o , then there exists $z_0 \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathbb{R}$: for all $t > 0$, $x_t = (z_0, t) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $|f(x_t)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction with relation (1.11).
- If $\mathcal{S} = S(a, r)$ is a half-sphere, we have – since $r \neq 0$ – $a + ir \notin \mathbb{R}$ or $a - ir \notin \mathbb{R}$: we may assume wlog that $a + ir \notin \mathbb{R}$. Set $x_t = (a + i\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}, t)$ for $t \in]0, r]$: we have $f(x_t) \sim |\text{Im}(a) + r|/t \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction again.

Hence we have established

Proposition 1.2 *For any (K_1^S) -manifold X_R , the half-plane $P^\circ = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$ is the only closed itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 for Γ_R ; its projection in X_R is then compact.*

De facto the lack of closed itgs is not specific to the (K_2^S) -manifolds, as we could have thought *a priori*. Moreover, for any (K_1^S) -manifold, we see from (8.5) that there is only one Γ_R -closed half-plane, P° ; the closed geodesics are also particular, they necessarily link either two real points or two conjugate points of \mathbb{C} ; we shall also have restrictions on the Γ_R -closed half-spheres; all those considerations justify *a posteriori* our choice to deal with the (K_2^S) -manifolds rather with the (K_1^S) ones.

B Of Γ_R -closed itgs

B.1 Proof of Proposition 8.4

Let us consider the particular Γ_R -closed half-planes of \mathbb{H}^3 given by Proposition 8.3. To prove Proposition 8.4, we just have to see that the set of their projections in X_R is still infinite.

Let us take t_1 and $t_2 \in \mathbb{N}$; the half-planes $\mathcal{P}(t_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}(t_2)$ have respectively traces $\mathcal{D}_1 = (1 + t_1\sqrt{a})\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 = (1 + t_2\sqrt{a})\mathbb{R}$ on \mathbb{C} . A circle of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ being entirely defined by three distinct points, we have for $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ (so that $\eta \neq 0$)

$$\gamma(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_2 \iff \begin{cases} \gamma(\infty) = \frac{\xi}{b\bar{\eta}} \in \mathcal{D}_2 & (1) \\ \gamma(0) = \frac{\eta}{\xi} \in \mathcal{D}_2 & (2) \\ \gamma(1 + t_1\sqrt{a}) \in \mathcal{D}_2 & (3) \end{cases}$$

The relations (1) and (2) are equivalent since $\eta/\bar{\xi} = (b|\eta|^2/|\xi|^2) \times \xi/b\bar{\eta}$. By relation (1), we have $\xi\eta \in \mathcal{D}_2$ and

$$\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \xi = \lambda b\bar{\eta}(1 + t_2\sqrt{a})$$

Relation (3) provides

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\xi(1 + t_1\sqrt{a}) + \eta}{b\bar{\eta}(1 + t_1\sqrt{a}) + \bar{\xi}} \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \iff & [\xi(1 + t_1\sqrt{a}) + \eta] [\xi + b\eta(1 - t_1\sqrt{a})] \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \iff & \xi^2(1 + t_1\sqrt{a}) + b\eta^2(1 - t_1\sqrt{a}) \in \mathcal{D}_2 \\ \iff & \underbrace{\lambda^2 b(1 + t_2\sqrt{a})(1 + t_1\sqrt{a})\bar{\eta}^2}_{z_1} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1 - t_1\sqrt{a}}{1 + t_2\sqrt{a}}\right)\eta^2}_{z_2} \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the complex numbers z_1 and $z_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ have opposite arguments: therefore either they have the same module, either they are both reals. In the first case, we would have

$$\lambda^4 b^2 (1 - at_2^2) (1 - at_1^2) |\eta|^4 = \frac{1 - at_1^2}{1 - at_2^2} |\eta|^4 \quad \text{id est} \quad b\lambda^2 (1 - at_2^2) = 1$$

whence $\text{ord}_b(1 - at_2^2) = \text{ord}_b|1 + t_1\sqrt{a}|^2$ is odd, a contradiction with Lemma 4.1. As a consequence, z_1 and z_2 are real hence rational numbers (since $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q}$) and

$$\exists \mu \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \eta^2 = \mu(1 + t_1\sqrt{a})(1 + t_2\sqrt{a})$$

By taking the square of the module, we get $|\eta|^4 = (|\eta|^2)^2 = \mu^2(1 - at_1^2)(1 - at_2^2)$ with $|\eta|^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, so that $(1 - at_1^2)(1 - at_2^2)$ is a square in \mathbb{Q} . We have hence proved

$$\left(\exists \gamma \in \Gamma_R \quad \gamma.\mathcal{P}(t_1) = \mathcal{P}(t_2) \right) \implies (1 - at_1^2)(1 - at_2^2) \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{N}$$

To fullfill the proof of Proposition 8.4, we have to find an infinite subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall t_1 \neq t_2 \in \mathcal{S} \quad (1 - at_1^2)(1 - at_2^2) \text{ is not a square in } \mathbb{N} \quad (2.1)$$

and it seems reasonable to think that it is possible for every negative integer a . Take $a = -2$ for instance : we easily compute that all numbers between 0 and 24000 satisfy this relation, except from 2, 11, 12, 70, 109, 225, 408, 524, 1015, 1079, 1746, 2378, 2765, 4120, 5859, 8030, 10681, 13860, 16647, 17615 and 21994. More generally, a conjectural theorem states

Conjecture *Let A, B, C be integers relatively primes such that A is positive, $A+B$ and C are not both even and $B^2 - 4AC$ is not a perfect square. Then there are infinitely many primes of the form $An^2 + Bn + C$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

So, according to this highly probable Conjecture, there are – given a negative integer a – infinitely many primes of the form $1 - at^2$ with $t \in \mathbb{N}$, whence the existence of an infinite set of integers \mathcal{S} satisfying relation (2.1).

B.2 Of families of Γ_R -closed half-planes

We have seen in Proposition 8.3 the existence of infinitely many Γ_R -closed half-planes in \mathbb{H}^3 , denoted by $\mathcal{P}(t) = \mathbb{R}(1 + t\sqrt{a}) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that they all contain the particular half-line $\mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$.

But it is easy to find other Γ_R -closed half-planes : indeed, for $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega$ hyperbolic, we have $0 \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma \iff \text{Im}(\xi) = 0$ by relation (8.2). Given $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we shall look for an hyperbolic element in Γ_R of the form $\gamma = x + y\sqrt{a} + z(1 + t\sqrt{a})\Omega$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. For such a γ

$$N(\gamma) = 1 \iff x^2 - ay^2 - b(1 - at^2)z^2 = 1 \quad (2.2)$$

To prove that this equation has infinitely many solutions, we shall fix $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and set $y = uz$: then $\gamma_{t,u} = x + zu\sqrt{a} + z(1 + t\sqrt{a})\Omega$ and

$$N(\gamma_{t,u}) = 1 \iff x^2 - \underbrace{[au^2 + b(1 - at^2)]}_d z^2 = 1 \quad (2.3)$$

We have $d \equiv au^2 [b]$ and a is not a square modulo b – since X_R is a manifold of class (K_2^S) – whence d cannot be a square in \mathbb{Z} . Moreover $d = b - a(bt^2 - u^2) > 0$ as soon as $|t|$ is big enough : in that case (cf. Pell-Fermat), we can solve the above equation for non-trivial x, z . Finally by relation (8.2)

$$\mathcal{D}_{\gamma_{t,u}} = \mathcal{D}(u, t) = \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{i \text{Im}(\xi)}{b|\eta|^2} \right) \eta = \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{u\sqrt{a}}{b(1 - at^2)} \right) (1 + t\sqrt{a})$$

which proves the following extension of Proposition 8.3 :

Proposition 2.1 *There are infinitely many Γ_R -closed half-planes in \mathbb{H}^3 that do not contain $\mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$, the half-planes $\mathcal{P}(t, u) = \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{u\sqrt{a}}{b(1-at^2)} \right) (1 + t\sqrt{a}) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$ for $t, u \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Let us take for example $a = -2$ and $b = 13$ as in section 4.

- $\gamma_{0,1} = 10 + 3i\sqrt{2} - 3\Omega$ leaves $\mathcal{P}(0, 1) = \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{13} \right) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$ invariant..
- $\gamma_{1,4} = 8 + 12i\sqrt{2} + 3(1 + i\sqrt{2}) \Omega$ leaves $\mathcal{P}(1, 4) = \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{4i\sqrt{2}}{39} \right) (1 + i\sqrt{2}) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$ invariant.
- $\gamma_{2,3} = 10 + 3i\sqrt{2} + (1 + 2i\sqrt{2}) \Omega$ leaves $\mathcal{P}(2, 3) = \left(\mathbb{R} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{39} \right) (1 + 2i\sqrt{2}) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^* \mathbf{j}$ invariant.

B.3 Families of half-spheres

- We shall first complete Lemma 8.1 to obtain

Proposition 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{C}_1 = C(a_1, r_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 = C(a_2, r_2)$ be two circles of \mathbb{C} , $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha = \xi + \eta\Omega \in R^{pr}(N)$ with $\eta \neq 0$. Then $\alpha(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{C}_2$ if and only if*

$$\exists \varepsilon = \pm 1 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} b(r_1 \bar{\eta} a_2 - \varepsilon r_2 \eta \bar{a}_1) = (r_1 + \varepsilon r_2) \xi \quad (8.15) \\ b^2 r_1^2 |\eta|^2 - |\xi + b \eta \bar{a}_1|^2 = N \varepsilon \frac{r_1}{r_2} \quad (8.16) \\ |\xi|^2 - b |\eta|^2 = N \quad (8.17) \end{array} \right.$$

Proof : we just have to prove the backward implication to fulfill the proof. Keep the notations of Lemma 8.1. Relation (8.16) provides

$$\left| \frac{\bar{\xi}}{b\bar{\eta}} + a_1 \right|^2 - r_1^2 \neq 0 \quad \text{whence} \quad \zeta = -\frac{\bar{\xi}}{b\bar{\eta}} \notin \mathcal{C}_1$$

Therefore $\alpha_I(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{C}'$ is a circle and $\alpha(\mathcal{C}_1) = \alpha_R(\mathcal{C}') = \mathcal{C}'' = C(a'', r'')$. We still have, by relation (8.16), $\varepsilon = 1$ iff ζ is inside of \mathcal{C}_1 . Applying the Lemma to \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}'' we get from relation (8.13)

$$\frac{r''}{r_1} = \frac{\varepsilon |k|}{r_1^2 - |a_1 - \zeta|^2} = \frac{\varepsilon N}{b^2 r_1^2 |\eta|^2 - |\xi + b \eta \bar{a}_1|^2} = \frac{r_2}{r_1}$$

since $|k| = N/b^2 |\eta|^2$: then $r'' = r_2$. Finally $\alpha_I(\hat{\zeta})$ is the center of \mathcal{C}' so that $\alpha(\hat{\zeta}) = a''$; as (8.15) \iff (8.14) \iff $\alpha(\hat{\zeta}) = a_2$ then $a'' = a_2$ so that $\alpha(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{C}_2$.

- Now we can use of the above Proposition in our quest for Γ_R -closed half-spheres. Let \mathcal{S} be an half-sphere of \mathbb{H}^3 , $\mathcal{C} = C(a_1, r)$ its trace on \mathbb{C} and $\gamma = \xi + \eta\Omega \in \Gamma_R$ a hyperbolic element ; then $\gamma.\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \iff \gamma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ and we apply Proposition 2.2 to the circle $\mathcal{C} = C(a_1, r)$. Necessarily – as we already saw in the proof of Proposition 8.6 – $\varepsilon = -1$ by hyperbolicity of γ ; therefore

$$\gamma.\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{\eta} a_1 + \eta \bar{a}_1 = 0 \\ |\xi + b \eta \bar{a}_1|^2 - b r^2 |\eta|^2 = 1 \\ |\xi|^2 - b |\eta|^2 = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

whence

$$\gamma.\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \iff \begin{cases} \bar{\eta} a_1 + \eta \bar{a}_1 = 0 \\ (\xi - \bar{\xi}) a_1 + [1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2)] \eta = 0 \\ |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 = 1 \end{cases} \quad (E)$$

For example, take any $a_1 \in \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{a}]$, define $r \in \mathbb{R}^*$ by $1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2) = 0$ *id est* $r = \sqrt{|a_1|^2 + 1/b}$ and consider $\mathcal{S} = S(a_1, r)$. For $\xi = X \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\eta = a_1 Y \sqrt{a}$ with $Y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\bar{\eta} a_1 + \eta \bar{a}_1 = 0$ and $\xi = \bar{\xi}$, so that

$$\gamma.\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \iff |\xi|^2 - b|\eta|^2 = X^2 + ab|a_1|^2 Y^2 = 1$$

From Lemma 4.1, we know that $\text{ord}_b(|a_1|^2)$ is even, so that the natural integer $d = -ab|a_1|^2$ cannot be a square in \mathbb{Q} . Therefore the previous Pell equation is solvable for non-trivial integers X and Y . Thus we have proved

Lemma 2.1 *Given any $a_1 \in \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{a}]$, the half-sphere $S\left(a_1, \sqrt{|a_1|^2 + \frac{1}{b}}\right)$ is Γ_R -closed.*

Some examples in the case $a = -2$, $b = 13$:

- . $\gamma = 64425 + 1666i\sqrt{2}(2 + 5i\sqrt{2}) \Omega$ leaves $S\left(2 + 5i\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{54 + \frac{1}{13}}\right)$ invariant.
- . $\gamma = 96747 + 2318i\sqrt{2}(7 + 3i\sqrt{2}) \Omega$ leaves $S\left(7 + 3i\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{67 + \frac{1}{13}}\right)$ invariant.
- . $\gamma = 561835 + 11074i\sqrt{2}(7 + 5i\sqrt{2}) \Omega$ leaves $S\left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{7}, \sqrt{\frac{99}{1225} + \frac{1}{13}}\right)$ invariant.

More generally, for $a_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, the resolution of the system (E) leads to

$$\begin{cases} \xi = X - \frac{1}{2}[1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2)] Y \sqrt{a} \\ \eta = Y a_1 \sqrt{a} \end{cases} \quad \text{with } X, Y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and the norm equation provides

$$1 = X^2 - \underbrace{\frac{a}{4} \left\{ [1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2)]^2 - 4b|a_1|^2 \right\}}_d Y^2$$

For $r^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ close enough to $|a_1|^2$, we have $4b|a_1|^2 \geq [1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2)]^2$ and the rational number d is positive. Assume moreover that $\text{ord}_b|a_1|^2 \geq 0$ and $\text{ord}_b r^2 \geq 0$: then $d \equiv a/4[b]$, which is not a square modulo b for a (K_2^S) -manifold, so that d is not a square in \mathbb{Q} . Therefore the above Pell equation is solvable for non-trivial $X, Y \in \mathbb{Z}$ – with $dY^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ – which proves

Proposition 2.3 *Given any $q_1 \in \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{a}]$ and $r^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|a_1|^2$ and r^2 are invertible modulo b and $4b|a_1|^2 \geq [1 + b(|a_1|^2 - r^2)]^2$, the half-sphere $S(a_1, r)$ is a Γ_R -closed itgs of \mathbb{H}^3 .*

Let us give some examples in the case $a = -2$, $b = 13$:

- . $\gamma = 359 + 168i\sqrt{2} + 18i\sqrt{2}(2 + 3i\sqrt{2}) \Omega$ leaves $S\left(\frac{2}{3} + i\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}\right)$ invariant.
- . $\gamma = 19603 - 51480i\sqrt{2} + 2574i\sqrt{2}(5 + 2i\sqrt{2}) \Omega$ leaves $S\left(5 + 2i\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{30}\right)$ invariant.

References

- [1] D.V. Anosov, Geodesic Flows on Closed Riemann Manifolds with Negative Curvature, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, **90** (1969), AMS-Providence
- [2] Martin Eichler, Lectures on Modular Correspondances, *Lectures on Mathematics and Physics*, Tata Institute of fundamental research, 1957.
- [3] Hershel M. Farkas & Irwin Kra, Riemann Surfaces, *Graduate Text in Mathematics* **71**, Springer-Verlag, 1980
- [4] G.A. Hedlund, The Dynamics of Geodesic Flows, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **45** (1939) n°4, 241-260
- [5] H.H. Karimova, Geodesic Flows in three dimensional Spaces of Variable Spaces of Negative Curvature, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Serija Mat.*, **5** (1959), 3-12
- [6] Toshitsune Miyake, *Modular Forms*, Springer-Verlag, 1989
- [7] Wladyslaw Narkiewicz, Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers, *Springer-Verlag* (1990)
- [8] John G. Ratcliffe, Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **149**, Springer-Verlag (1994)
- [9] Zeév Rudnick and Peter Sarnak, The Behaviour of Eigenstates of Arithmetic Hyperbolic Manifolds, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **161** (1994), 195-213
- [10] Peter Sarnak, Arithmetic Quantum Chaos, *First R.A. Blyth Lectures*, University of Toronto, Preprint (1993)
- [11] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Cours d'Arithmétique*, Presses Universitaires de France, 1970
- [12] Steven Zelditch, Uniform Distribution of Eigenfunctions on Compact Hyperbolic, *Duke Math. Journal*, **55** (1987) n°4, 919-941.

Contents

1	The case $\Gamma(2)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$	3
1.1	Correspondences and separation	3
1.2	\mathbb{H}^2 , the modular group and modular operators	3
1.3	Results on binary quadratic forms	8
1.4	Separation of points and geodesics	11
2	Hyperbolic Geometry in dimension 3	12
2.1	Definition	12
2.2	Isometries of \mathbb{H}^3	13
3	Algebraic complements	14
3.1	Number theory	14
3.2	Quaternion algebras	16
4	The quotient space $X_R = \Gamma_R \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$	20
4.1	Definition of the studied class	20
4.2	Properties of (K_2^S) -manifolds	20
4.3	The type S^o and Γ_R -closed itgs	22
5	Statement of the result in dimension 3	23
6	Case of the points	24
7	The geodesics	26
8	Case of the Γ_R-closed Itgs	26
8.1	Of the half-planes	26
8.2	Of the half-spheres	29
8.3	Synthesis	34
9	Conclusion	35
A	Of closed itgs in X_R	36
B	Of Γ_R-closed itgs	40
	References	44
	Index	45
	Table of contents	45