

De Rham intersection cohomology for general perversities

Martintxo Saralegi-Aranguren

▶ To cite this version:

Martintxo Saralegi-Aranguren. De Rham intersection cohomology for general perversities. Illinois Journal of Mathematics, 2005, 49, pp.737-758. hal-00001421v2

HAL Id: hal-00001421 https://hal.science/hal-00001421v2

Submitted on 21 Jul 2005

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

De Rham intersection cohomology for general perversities.

Martintxo Saralegi-Aranguren*

Université d'Artois

Abstract

For a stratified pseudomanifold X, we have the de Rham Theorem $\mathbb{H}_{\overline{p}}^*(X) = \mathbb{H}_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}(X)$, for a perversity \overline{p} verifying $\overline{0} \leq \overline{p} \leq \overline{t}$, where \overline{t} denotes the top perversity. We extend this result to any perversity \overline{p} . In the direction cohomology \mapsto homology, we obtain the isomorphism

$$I\!\!H_{\overline{p}}^*(X)=I\!\!H_{*}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\big(X,X_{\overline{p}}\big),$$

where $X_{\overline{p}} = \bigcup_{\substack{S \preceq S_1 \\ \overline{p}(S_1) < 0}} S = \bigcup_{\overline{p}(S) < 0} \overline{S}$. In the direction homology \mapsto cohomology, we obtain the

isomorphism

$$I\!H^{\overline{p}}_{*}(X) = I\!H^{*}_{\max(\overline{0},\overline{t}-\overline{p})}(X).$$

In our paper stratified pseudomanifolds with one-codimensional strata are allowed.

Roughly speaking, a stratified pseudomanifold X is a family S_X of smooth manifolds (strata) assembled in a conical way. A (general) perversity \overline{p} associates an integer to each of the strata of X (see [15]). The classical perversities (see [12], [14], [11], ...) are filtration-preserving, that is, they verify:

$$S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}_X$$
 with dim $S_1 = \dim S_2 \Rightarrow \overline{p}(S_1) = \overline{p}(S_2)$.

The zero-perversity, defined by $\overline{0}(S) = 0$, and the top perversity, defined by $\overline{t}(S) = \operatorname{codim}_X S - 2$, are classical perversities.

The singular intersection homology $H^{\overline{p}}_{*}(X)$ was introduced by Goresky-MacPherson in [13] (see also [14]). It is a topological invariant of the stratified pseudomanifold when the perversity satisfies some monotonicity conditions (see [12], [14], ...). In particular, we need $\overline{0} \leq \overline{t}$ and therefore X does not possess any one-codimensional strata. Recently, a more general result has been obtained in [11] where one-codimensional strata are allowed. In all these cases, the perversities are classical.

The de Rham intersection cohomology $\mathbb{H}_{\overline{p}}^*(X)$ was also introduced by Goresky-MacPherson (see [7]). It requires the existence of a Thom-Mather neighborhood system. Other versions exist, but always an extra datum is needed in order to define this cohomology: a Thom-Mather neighborhood system ([7], [4] ...,) a riemannian metric ([10], [17], [3], ...), a PL-structure ([1], [9], ...), a blow up ([2], ...), etc.

The perverse de Rham Theorem

$$I\!H_{\overline{\pi}}^*(X) = I\!H_{\underline{\tau}}^{\overline{\iota}-\overline{p}}(X),$$

^{*}EA 2462 Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Lens. Faculté Jean Perrin. Université d'Artois. Rue Jean Souvraz SP 18. 62 307 Lens Cedex - France. saralegi@euler.univ-artois.fr.

relates the intersection homology with the intersection cohomology. First it was proved by Brylinski in [7] and after in the above references. The involved perversities are classical perversities verifying some monotonicity conditions. Moreover, the perversity \overline{p} must lie between $\overline{0}$ and \overline{t} , which exclude the existence of one-codimensional strata on X.

The first proof of the de Rham Theorem for the general perversities has been given by the author in [18] using the integration \int of differential forms on simplices. Unfortunately, there is a mistake in the statement of Proposition 2.1.4 and Proposition 2.2.5: the hypothesis $\overline{p} < \overline{t}$ must be added. As a consequence, the main result of [18] (de Rham Theorem 4.1.5) is valid for a general perversity \overline{p} verifying the condition $\overline{0} \leq \overline{p} \leq \overline{t}$. In particular, we have (1) for a general perversity \overline{p} with $\overline{0} \leq \overline{p} \leq \overline{t}$. Notice that the one-codimensional strata are not allowed.

In this work we prove a de Rham Theorem for any general perversity \overline{p}^2 . The formula (1) changes! We obtain that, in the direction cohomology \mapsto homology, the integration \int induces the isomorphism $I\!H^*_{\overline{p}}(X) = I\!H^{\overline{t-\overline{p}}}_*(X, X_{\overline{p}})$, where $X_{\overline{p}} = \bigcup_{\substack{S \preceq S_1 \\ \overline{p}(S_1) < 0}} S = \bigcup_{\overline{p}(S) < 0} \overline{S}$. (cf. Theorem 3.2.2). In the direction homology \mapsto cohomology, we have the isomorphism $I\!H^{\overline{p}}_*(X) = I\!H^*_{\max(\overline{0}, \overline{t-\overline{p}})}(X)$, (cf.

Corollary 3.2.5).

We end the work by noticing that the Poincaré Duality of [7] and [18] is still valid in our context.

We sincerely thank the anonymous referee for his/her work on this paper and the helpful comments provided in the review. They have definitely helped to improve the paper, to make it clearer in the objectives and easier to read.

Stratified spaces and unfoldings. 1

We present the geometrical framework of this work, that is, the stratified pseudomanifolds and the unfoldings. For a more complete study of these notions, we refer the reader to, for example, [13] and [18].

In the sequel, any manifold is connected, second countable, Haussdorff, without boundary and smooth (of class C^{∞}).

Stratifications. A stratification of a paracompact space X is a locally finite partition \mathcal{S}_X of X into disjoint smooth manifolds, called *strata*, such that

$$S \cap \overline{S'} \neq \emptyset \iff S \subset \overline{S'},$$

written $S \leq S'$. Notice that (S_X, \leq) is a partially ordered set.

We say that X is a stratified space. The depth of X, denoted depth X, is the length of the maximal chain contained in X. It is always finite because of the locally finiteness of \mathcal{S}_X . The minimal (resp. maximal) strata are the closed (resp. open) strata. The open strata are the regular strata and the other ones are the singular strata. We shall denote \mathcal{S}_X^{sing} the family of singular strata. The union Σ_X of singular strata is the singular part, which is a closed subset.

¹The statement of the second main result (the Poincaré Duality: Theorem 4.2.7) does not need any modification since Propositions 2.1.4 and 2.2.5 are not used for its proof.

²The one codimensional strata are finally allowed!

The regular part $X - \Sigma_X$ is an open dense subset. We require the regular strata to have the same dimension, denoted dim X.

For each $i \in \{-1, 0, \dots, \dim X\}$ we consider the saturated subset:

$$X_i = \bigcup \{ S \in \mathcal{S}_X / \dim S \le i \}.$$

This gives the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{X}}$:

$$(2) X_{\dim X} \supset X_{\dim X-1} \supset X_1 \supset X_0 \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset.$$

The main example of a stratified space is given by the following conical construction. Consider a compact stratified space L and let cL be the *cone* of L, that is $cL = L \times [0, 1] / L \times \{0\}$. The points of cL are denoted by [x, t]. The *vertex* of the cone is the point $\vartheta = [x, 0]$. This cone is naturally endowed with the following stratification:

$$\mathcal{S}_{cL} = \{\{\vartheta\}\} \cup \{S \times]0, 1[/ S \in \mathcal{S}_L\}.$$

For the filtration \mathcal{F}_{cL} we have:

$$(cL)_i = \begin{cases} \{\vartheta\} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ cL_{i-1} & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Notice that depth cL = depth L + 1.

The canonical stratification of a manifold X is the family S_X formed by the connected components of X. The filtration contains just one non-empty element: $X_{\dim X}$.

A continuous map (resp. homeomorphism) $f: Y \to X$ between two stratified spaces is a stratified morphism (resp. isomorphism) if it sends the strata of Y to the strata of X smoothly (resp. diffeomorphically).

- **1.2 Stratified pseudomanifolds.** A stratified space X is a *stratified pseudomanifold* when it possesses a conical local structure. More explicitly, when for each point x of a singular stratum S of X there exists a stratified isomorphism $\varphi \colon U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times cL_S$, where
 - (a) $U \subset X$ is an open neighborhood of x endowed with the induced stratification,
 - (b) L_S is a compact stratified space, called link of S,
 - (c) $\mathbb{R}^n \times cL_S$ is endowed with the stratification $\{\mathbb{R}^n \times \{\vartheta\}\} \cup \{\mathbb{R}^n \times S' \times]0, 1[\ /\ S' \in \mathcal{S}_{L_S}\}$, and
 - (d) $\varphi(x) = (0, \vartheta)$.

The couple (U, φ) is a *chart* of X containing x. An *atlas* \mathcal{A} is a family of charts covering X. A stratified pseudomanifold is *normal* when all the links are connected. Notice that in this case each link is a connected normal stratified pseudomanifold.

- **1.3** Unfoldings. Consider a stratified pseudomanifold X. A continuous map $\mathcal{L}: \widetilde{X} \to X$, where \widetilde{X} is a (not necessarily connected) manifold, is an *unfolding* if the two following conditions hold:
 - 1. The restriction $\mathcal{L}_X \colon \mathcal{L}_X^{-1}(X \Sigma_X) \longrightarrow X \Sigma_X$ is a local diffeomorphism.

2. There exist a family of unfoldings $\{\mathcal{L}_{L_S} \colon \widetilde{L_S} \to L_S\}_{S \in \mathcal{S}_X^{sing}}$ and an atlas \mathcal{A} of X such that for each chart $(U, \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a commutative diagram

$$\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \widetilde{L_{S}} \times] - 1, 1[\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi}} \mathcal{L}_{X}^{-1}(U)$$

$$Q \downarrow \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{X} \downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{n} \times cL_{S} \xrightarrow{\varphi} U$$

where

(a) $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a diffeomorphism and

(b)
$$Q(x, \widetilde{\zeta}, t) = (x, \left[\mathcal{L}_{L_S}(\widetilde{\zeta}), |t|\right]).$$

We say that X is an unfoldable pseudomanifold. This definition makes sense because it is made by induction on depth X. When depth X = 0 then \mathcal{L}_X is just a local diffeomorphism. For any singular stratum S the restriction $\mathcal{L}_X \colon \mathcal{L}_X^{-1}(S) \to S$ is a fibration with fiber \widetilde{L}_S . The canonical unfolding of the cone cL_S is the map $\mathcal{L}_{cL_S} \colon \widetilde{cL_S} = \widetilde{L_S} \times] - 1, 1[\to cL_S$ defined by $\mathcal{L}_{cL_S}(\widetilde{\zeta},t) = \left[\mathcal{L}_{L_S}(\widetilde{\zeta}),|t|\right]$.

From now on, $(X, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is a stratified pseudomanifold endowed with an unfolding $\mathcal{L}_X \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$.

1.4 Bredon's Trick. The typical result we prove in this work looks like the following affirmation:

"The differential operator $f: A^*(X) \to B^*(X)$, defined between two differential complexes on X, induces an isomorphism in cohomology."

First we prove this assertion for charts. The passing from local to global can be done using different tools. For example,

- Axiomatic presentation of the intersection homology (the most employed: [12], [7], [2], ...),
- Uniqueness of the minimal stratification (used in [14]),
- The generalized Mayer-Vietoris principle of [5, Chapter II] (used in [18]).
- The Bredon's trick of [6, page 289].

In this work we choose the last one, maybe the less technical. The exact statement is the following:

Lemma 1.4.1 Let Y be a paracompact topological space and let $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ be an open covering, closed for finite intersection. Suppose that Q(U) is a statement about open subsets of Y, satisfying the following three properties:

(BT1) $Q(U_{\alpha})$ is true for each α ;

(BT2) Q(U), Q(V) and $Q(U \cap V) \Longrightarrow Q(U \cup V)$, where U and V are open subsets of Y;

(BT3)
$$Q(U_i) \Longrightarrow Q\left(\bigcup_i U_i\right)$$
, where $\{U_i\}$ is a disjoint family of open subsets of Y.

Then Q(Y) is true.

2 Intersection homology.

The intersection homology was introduced by Goresky-MacPherson in [12], [13]. Here we use the singular intersection homology of [14].

2.1 Perversity. Intersection cohomology requires the definition of a perversity parameter \overline{p} . It associates an integer to each singular stratum of X, in other words, a perversity is a map $\overline{p}: \mathcal{S}_X^{sing} \to \mathbb{Z}$. The zero perversity $\overline{0}$ is defined by $\overline{0}(S) = 0$. The top perversity \overline{t} is defined by $\overline{t}(S) = \operatorname{codim}_X S - 2$. Notice that the condition $\overline{0} \le \overline{t}$ implies $\operatorname{codim}_X S \ge 2$ for each singular stratum S, and therefore, the one-codimensional strata are not allowed.

The classical perversities (cf. [12], [13], ...), the loose perversities (cf. [14]), the superperversities (cf. [8], [11], ...), ... are filtration-preserving map: $\overline{p}(S) = \overline{p}(S')$ if dim $S = \dim S'$. They also verify a monotonicity condition and, for some of them, the one-codimensional strata are avoided. For such perversities the associated intersection cohomology is a topological invariant.

In our case, the perversities are stratum-preserving without any constraint. Of course, the topological invariance is lost. But we prove that we have a de Rham duality (between the intersection homology and the intersection cohomology) and the Poincaré duality.

We fix a perversity \overline{p} . The homologies and the cohomologies we use in this work are with coefficients in \mathbb{R} .

2.2 Intersection homology. First approach. A singular simplex $\sigma: \Delta \to X$ is a \overline{p} -allowable simplex if

(All) $\sigma^{-1}(S) \subset (\dim \Delta - 2 - \overline{t}(S) + \overline{p}(S))$ -skeleton of Δ , for each singular stratum S.

A singular chain $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^m r_j \sigma_j \in S_*(X)$ is \overline{p} -allowable if each singular simplex σ_j is \overline{p} -allowable. The

family of \overline{p} -allowable chains is a graded vector space denoted by $AC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)$. The associated differential complex is the complex of \overline{p} -intersection chains, that is, $SC_*^{\overline{p}}(X) = AC_*^{\overline{p}}(X) \cap \partial^{-1}AC_{*-1}^{\overline{p}}(X)$. Its homology $\mathbb{H}_*^{\overline{p}}(X) = H_*\left(SC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)\right)$ is the \overline{p} -intersection homology of X. This is the approach of [13]. The intersection homology verifies two important computational properties: Mayer-Vietoris and the product formula $\mathbb{H}_*^{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times X) = \mathbb{H}_*^{\overline{p}}(X)$ (see [12], [13], [18], ...).

The usual local calculation is the following (cf. [13], see also [14]). It corrects Proposition 2.1.4 of [18].

Proposition 2.2.1 Let L be a compact stratified pseudomanifold. Then

$$I\!\!H_{i}^{\overline{p}}(cL) = \begin{cases} I\!\!H_{i}^{\overline{p}}(L) & \text{if } i \leq \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta) \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \neq i \geq 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta) \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } 0 = i \geq 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta). \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $i \leq 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta)$ we have $SC_i^{\overline{p}}(cL) = SC_i^{\overline{p}}(L \times]0,1[)$ which gives $I\!\!H_i^{\overline{p}}(cL) = I\!\!H_i^{\overline{p}}(L)$ if $i \leq \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta)$.

For a singular simplex $\sigma \colon \Delta^i \to cL$ we define the $cone \ c\sigma \colon \Delta^{i+1} \to cL$ by

$$c\sigma(x_0,\ldots,x_{i+1}) = (1-x_{i+1})\cdot\sigma\left(\frac{x_0}{1-x_{i+1}},\ldots,\frac{x_i}{1-x_{i+1}}\right).$$

Here, we have written $r \cdot [x, s] = [x, rs]$ for a point $[x, s] \in cL$ and a number $r \in [0, 1]$. In the same way, we define the cone $c\xi$ of a singular chain ξ . It defines the linear operator

(3)
$$c \colon AC^{\overline{p}}_{\geq 1+\overline{t}(\vartheta)-\overline{p}(\vartheta)}(cL) \longrightarrow AC^{\overline{p}}_{\geq 2+\overline{t}(\vartheta)-\overline{p}(\vartheta)}(cL).$$

Let us prove this property. Take $\sigma \in AC^{\overline{p}}_{\geq 1+\overline{t}(\vartheta)-\overline{p}(\vartheta)}(cL)$ and prove that $c\sigma \in AC^{\overline{p}}_{\geq 2+\overline{t}(\vartheta)-\overline{p}(\vartheta)}(cL)$. Notice first that, for $x_{i+1} \neq 1$, we have

$$\underbrace{\left(\frac{x_0}{1-x_{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_i}{1-x_{i+1}}\right)}_{\tau(x_0, \dots, x_{i+1})} \in j - \text{skeleton of } \Delta^i \Longrightarrow (x_0, \dots, x_{i+1}) \in (j+1) - \text{skeleton of } \Delta^{i+1}.$$

So,

$$(c\sigma)^{-1}(\vartheta) = \{(0,\ldots,0,1)\} \cup \{(x_0,\ldots,x_{i+1}) \in \Delta^{i+1} / \tau(x_0,\ldots,x_{i+1}) \in \sigma^{-1}(\vartheta) \text{ and } x_{i+1} \neq 1\}$$

$$\subset \{(0,\ldots,0,1)\} \cup (i-2-\overline{t}(\vartheta)+\overline{p}(\vartheta)+1) - \text{skeleton of } \Delta^{i+1}$$

$$\subset (i+1-2-\overline{t}(\vartheta)+\overline{p}(\vartheta)) - \text{skeleton of } \Delta^{i+1},$$

since $i \geq 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta)$. For each singular stratum S of L we have:

$$(c\sigma)^{-1}(S\times]0,1[) = \{(x_0,\ldots,x_{i+1}) \in \Delta^{i+1} / \tau(x_0,\ldots,x_{i+1}) \in \sigma^{-1}(S\times]0,1[) \text{ and } x_{i+1} \neq 1\}$$

$$\subset (i+1-2-\overline{t}(\vartheta)+\overline{p}(\vartheta)) - \text{skeleton of } \Delta^{i+1}.$$

We conclude that $c\sigma \in AC^{\frac{\overline{p}}{\geq 2+\overline{t}(\vartheta)-\overline{p}(\vartheta)}}(cL)$. Notice that any singular simplex verifies the formula $\partial c\sigma = c\partial \sigma + (-1)^{i+1}\sigma$, if i > 0 and $\partial c\sigma = \vartheta - \sigma$, if i = 0.

Consider now a cycle $\xi \in SC_i^{\overline{p}}(cL)$ with $i \ge 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta)$ and $i \ne 0$. Since $\xi = (-1)^{i+1}\partial c\xi$ then $c\xi \in SC_{i+1}^{\overline{p}}(cL)$ and therefore $\mathbb{H}_i^{\overline{p}}(cL) = 0$.

For $i=0 \geq 1+\overline{t}(\vartheta)-\overline{p}(\vartheta)$ we get that for any point σ of $cL-\{\vartheta\}$ the cone $c\sigma$ is a \overline{p} -allowable chain with $\partial c\sigma = \sigma - \vartheta$. This gives $I\!\!H_0^{\overline{p}}(cL) = \mathbb{R}$.

In some cases, the intersection homology can be expressed in terms of the usual homology $H_*(-)$ (see [12]).

Proposition 2.2.2 Let X be a stratified pseudomanifold. Then

- $IH_*^{\overline{p}}(X) = H_*(X \Sigma_X)$ if $\overline{p} < \overline{0}$, and
- $I\!H^{\overline{q}}_*(X) = H_*(X)$ if $\overline{q} \ge \overline{t}$ and X is normal.

Proof. We prove, by induction on the depth, that the natural inclusions $I_X : S_*(X - \Sigma_X) \hookrightarrow SC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)$ and $J_X : SC_*^{\overline{q}}(X) \hookrightarrow S_*(X)$ are quasi-isomorphisms (i.e. isomorphisms in cohomology). When the depth of X is 0 then the above inclusions are, in fact, two identities. In the general case, we suppose that the result is true for each link L_S of X and we proceed in two steps.

First Step The operators I_V and J_V are quasi-isomorphisms when V is an open subset of a chart (U, φ) of X.

First of all, we identify the open subset U with the product $\mathbb{R}^n \times cL_S$ through φ . We define a *cube* as a product $]a_1, b_1[\times \cdots \times]a_n, b_n[\subset \mathbb{R}^n]$. The *truncated cone* c_tL_S is the quotient $c_tL_S = L_S \times [0, t]/L_S \times \{0\}$. Consider the open covering

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ C \times c_t L_S \subset V \ / \ C \text{ cube, } t \in]0,1[\right\} \cup \left\{ C \times L_s \times]a,b[\subset V \ / \ C \text{ cube, } a,b \in]0,1[\right\}$$

of V. Notice that this family is closed for finite intersections.

We use the Bredon's trick relatively to the covering \mathcal{V} and to the statement

$$Q(W) =$$
 "The operators I_W and J_W are quasi-isomorphisms"

(cf. Lemma 1.4.1). Let us verify the properties (BT1), (BT2) and (BT3).

(BT1) From the product formula and the induction hypothesis, it suffices to prove that the operators I_{cL_S} and J_{cL_S} are quasi-isomorphisms. This comes from:

$$* \operatorname{I\!H}^{\overline{p}}_{*}(cL_{S}) \overset{\textbf{2.2.1}}{=} \operatorname{I\!H}^{\overline{p}}_{{}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\overline{p}}}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta)}(L_{S}) \overset{\overline{p}(\vartheta) < 0}{=} \operatorname{I\!H}^{\overline{p}}_{*}(L_{S}) \overset{ind}{=} H_{*}(L_{S} - \Sigma_{L_{S}}) \overset{prod}{=} H_{*}(cL_{S} - \Sigma_{cL_{S}}).$$

* For
$$\overline{q}(\vartheta) = \overline{t}(\vartheta)$$
 we have: $H_*^{\overline{q}}(cL_S) \stackrel{2.2.1}{=} H_0^{\overline{q}}(L_S) \stackrel{ind}{=} H_0(L_S) \stackrel{norm}{=} \mathbb{R} = H_*(cL_S)$.

* For
$$\overline{q}(\vartheta) > \overline{t}(\vartheta)$$
 we have: $H_*^{\overline{q}}(cL_S) \stackrel{2.2.1}{=} \mathbb{R} = H_*(cL_S)$.

- (BT2) Mayer-Vietoris.
- (BT3) Straightforward.

Second Step. The operators I_X and J_X are quasi-isomorphisms.

Consider the open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V \text{ open subset of a chart } (U, \varphi) \text{ of } X\}$ of X. Notice that this family is closed for finite intersections. We use the Bredon's trick relatively to the covering \mathcal{V} and to the statement Q(W) = "The operators I_W and J_W are quasi-isomorphisms" (cf. Lemma 1.4.1). Let us verify the properties (BT1), (BT2) and (BT3).

- (BT1) First Step.
- (BT2) Mayer-Vietoris.
- (BT3) Straightforward.

2.2.3 Remark. Notice that we can replace the normality of X by the connectedness of the links $\{L_S / \overline{q}(S) = \overline{t}(S)\}$.

The following result will be needed in the last section.

Corollary 2.2.4 Let X be a connected normal stratified pseudomanifold. Then, for any perversity \overline{p} , we have $\mathbb{H}_0^{\overline{p}}(X) = \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We prove this result by induction on the depth. When depth X=0 then $\mathbb{H}^0_{\overline{p}}(X) \stackrel{\Sigma_{X=\emptyset}}{=} H_0(X) = \mathbb{R}$. Consider now the general case. Notice that any point $\sigma \in X - \Sigma_X$ is a \overline{p} -intersection cycle. So $\mathbb{H}^{\overline{p}}_0(X) \neq 0$. We prove that $[\sigma_1] = [\sigma_2]$ in $\mathbb{H}^{\overline{p}}_0(X)$ for two \overline{p} -allowable points. This is the case when $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in X - \Sigma_X$, since we know from [16] that $X - \Sigma_X$ is connected. Consider now a \overline{p} -intersection cycle $\sigma_1 \in \Sigma_X$ and $\varphi \colon U \to \mathbb{R}^n \times cL_S$ a chart of X containing σ_1 . Since

$$I\!H_0^{\overline{p}}(U) = I\!H_0^{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times cL_S) \stackrel{prod}{=} I\!H_0^{\overline{p}}(cL_S) \stackrel{2.2.1, ind}{=} \mathbb{R},$$

we have $[\sigma_1] = [\sigma_2]$ in $\mathbb{H}_0^{\overline{p}}(X)$ for some \overline{p} -intersection point $\sigma_2 \in X - \Sigma_X$. Then $\mathbb{H}_0^{\overline{p}}(X) = \mathbb{R}$.

2.2.5 Relative case. The conical formula given by Proposition 2.2.1 for the intersection homology differs from that of Proposition 3.1.1 for the intersection cohomology: we do not have $I\!H_{\overline{p}}^*(cL) = I\!H_{\overline{p}}^{\overline{t-p}}(cL)$ when the perversity \overline{p} is not positive. It is natural to think that the closed saturated subset

$$X_{\overline{p}} = \bigcup_{\substack{S \preceq S_1 \\ \overline{p}(S_1) < 0}} S = \bigcup_{\overline{p}(S) < 0} \overline{S} \xrightarrow{\underline{loc \ finit}} \overline{\bigcup_{\overline{p}(S) < 0}} S$$

plays a key rôle in the de Rham Theorem. This is indeed the case.

The subset $X_{\overline{p}}$ is a stratified pseudomanifold where the maximal strata may have different dimensions. For any perversity \overline{q} (on X) we have the notion of \overline{q} -allowable chain as in 2.2. We denote by $AC_*^{\overline{q}}(X_{\overline{p}})$ the complex of these \overline{q} -allowable chains. Equivalently,

$$AC_*^{\overline{q}}(X_{\overline{p}}) = S_*(X_{\overline{p}}) \cap AC_*^{\overline{q}}(X).$$

In order to recover the de Rham Theorem we introduce the following notion of relative intersection homology. We denote by $SC^{\bar{q}}_{*}(X, X_{\bar{p}})$ the differential complex

$$\frac{\left(AC_*^{\overline{q}}(X) + AC_*^{\overline{q+1}}\left(X_{\overline{p}}\right)\right) \cap \partial^{-1}\left(AC_{*-1}^{\overline{q}}(X) + AC_{*-1}^{\overline{q+1}}\left(X_{\overline{p}}\right)\right)}{AC_*^{\overline{q+1}}\left(X_{\overline{p}}\right) \cap \partial^{-1}\left(AC_{*-1}^{\overline{q+1}}\left(X_{\overline{p}}\right)\right)}$$

and by $I\!\!H^{\overline{q}}_{*}(X,X_{\overline{p}})$ its cohomology. Of course, we have $I\!\!H^{\overline{q}}_{*}(X,X_{\overline{p}})=I\!\!H^{\overline{q}}_{*}(X)$ when $X_{\overline{p}}=\emptyset$ and $I\!\!H^{\overline{q}}_{*}(X,X_{\overline{p}})=H_{*}(X,X_{\overline{p}})$ when $\overline{q}\geq \overline{t}+\overline{2}$ (see also (15)).

Since the complexes defining the relative complex $SC^{\overline{q}}_*(X,X_{\overline{p}})$ verify the Mayer-Vietoris formula then the relative cohomology also verifies this property. For the same reason we have the product formula $\mathbb{H}^{\overline{p}}_*(\mathbb{R}\times X,\mathbb{R}\times X_{\overline{p}})=\mathbb{H}^{\overline{p}}_*(X,X_{\overline{p}})$. For the typical local calculation we have the following result.

Corollary 2.2.6 Let L be a compact stratified pseudomanifold. Then, for any perversity \overline{p} , we have:

(4)
$$I\!\!H_{i}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\Big(cL,(cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big) = \begin{cases} I\!\!H_{i}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\Big(L,L_{\overline{p}}\Big) & \text{if } i \leq \overline{p}(\vartheta) \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq 1 + \overline{p}(\vartheta). \end{cases}$$

Proof. When $\overline{p} \geq \overline{0}$ then $(cL)_{\overline{p}} = L_{\overline{p}} = \emptyset$ and (4) comes directly from Lemma 2.2.1. Let us suppose $\overline{p} \not\geq \overline{0}$, which gives $(cL)_{\overline{p}} = c\left(L_{\overline{p}}\right) \neq \emptyset$, with $c\emptyset = \{\vartheta\}$. We also use the following equalities:

(5)
$$AC_{i}^{\overline{t-p}}(cL) = AC_{i}^{\overline{t-p}}(L\times]0,1[) \quad \text{for } j \leq \overline{p}(\vartheta) + 1,$$

and

(6)
$$AC_{j}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}\left(\left(cL\right)_{\overline{p}}\right) = AC_{j}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}\left(L_{\overline{p}}\times]0,1\right) \quad \text{for } j \leq \overline{p}(\vartheta),$$

We proceed in four steps following the value of $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

 $\underline{First\ Step}\colon\ i\,\leq\,\overline{p}(\vartheta)\,-\,1.\ \ \text{We have}\ SC_{_{j}}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\left(cL,(cL)_{_{\overline{p}}}\right)\,=\,SC_{_{j}}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\left(L\times]0,1[,L_{_{\overline{p}}}\times]0,1[\right)\ \text{for each}$ $j\leq\overline{p}(\vartheta)$ (cf. (5) and (6)) and therefore $I\!\!H_{_{i}}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\left(cL,(cL)_{_{\overline{p}}}\right)=I\!\!H_{_{i}}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\left(L,L_{_{\overline{p}}}\right).$

(a)
$$\alpha \in AC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}(L\times]0,1[) \subset AC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}(L\times]0,1[),$$

(b)
$$\beta \in AC_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}(L_{\overline{p}}\times]0,1[),$$

and there exist

(c)
$$A \in AC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)+1}(cL) \stackrel{(5)}{=} AC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)+1}(L\times]0,1[),$$

(d)
$$B \in AC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)+1}\Big((cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big),$$

(e)
$$C \in AC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}\left((cL)_{\overline{p}}\right) \cap \partial^{-1}\left(AC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)-1}\left((cL)_{\overline{p}}\right)\right) \stackrel{\text{(6)}}{=}$$

$$AC_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}\left(L_{\overline{p}}\times]0,1[\right)\cap\partial^{-1}\left(AC_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)-1}^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}\left(L_{\overline{p}}\times]0,1[\right)\right)$$

with

(f)
$$\alpha + \beta = \partial A + \partial B + C$$
.

Since $\partial A \in AC^{\overline{t-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}(L\times]0,1[)$ (cf. (c)) then the conditions (a), (b), (d), (e) and (f) give

(g)
$$\partial B \in AC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}(L_{\overline{p}}\times]0,1[).$$

We conclude that

$$\partial A = \alpha + (\beta - \partial B - C) \in AC_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}^{\overline{t} - \overline{p}}(L \times]0, 1[) + AC_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)}^{\overline{t} - \overline{p} + \overline{1}}(L_{\overline{p}} \times]0, 1[),$$

which defines the element $\overline{A} \in SC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}_{\overline{p}(\vartheta)+1}(L\times]0,1[,L_{\overline{p}}\times]0,1[)$. If we write $\overline{\partial}$ the derivative of $SC^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}_*(L\times]0,1[,L_{\overline{p}}\times]0,1[)$ we can write:

$$\left[\overline{\alpha+\beta}\right] = \left[\overline{\partial A + \partial B + C}\right] = \left[\overline{\partial} \ \overline{A} + \overline{\partial B + C}\right] \stackrel{(e),(g)}{===} \left[\overline{\partial} \ \overline{A}\right] = 0.$$

Then the operator I is a monomorphism.

(7)
$$\partial c\xi = (-1)^{i+1}\xi + c\partial\xi$$

is an element of $AC_i^{\overline{t-\overline{p}}}(cL) + AC_i^{\overline{t-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}}\Big((cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big)$ then $\overline{c\xi} \in SC_{i+1}^{\overline{t-\overline{p}}}\Big(cL,(cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big)$. This formula gives $\partial c\partial \xi = (-1)^i\partial \xi$ and therefore $c\partial \xi \in AC_i^{\overline{t-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}}\Big((cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big) \cap \partial^{-1}\Big(AC_{i-1}^{\overline{t-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}}\Big((cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big)\Big)$. Applying (7) we obtain $\left[\overline{\xi}\right] = 0$ on $\mathbb{H}_i^{\overline{t-\overline{p}}}\Big(cL,(cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big) = 0$.

Fourth Step: $i=0 \ge 1+\overline{p}(\vartheta)$. For any point $\sigma \in AC_0^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}(cL)$ the cone $c\sigma$ is a $(\overline{t}-\overline{p})$ -allowable chain with $\partial c\sigma = \sigma - \vartheta$. Since the point ϑ belongs to the complex $AC_0^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}+\overline{1}}\Big((cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big)$ then $I\!H_0^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\Big(cL,(cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big)=0$.

- **2.3 Intersection homology. Second approach** (see [18]). In order to integrate differential forms on allowable simplices, we need to introduce some amount of smoothness on these simplices. Since X is not a manifold, we work in the manifold \widetilde{X} . In fact we consider those allowable simplices which are liftable to smooth simplices in \widetilde{X} .
- **2.3.1** Linear unfolding. The *unfolding* of the standard simplex Δ , relative to the decomposition $\Delta = \Delta_0 * \cdots * \Delta_j$, is the map $\mu_{\Delta} \colon \widetilde{\Delta} = \overline{c}\Delta_0 \times \cdots \times \overline{c}\Delta_{j-1} \times \Delta_j \longrightarrow \Delta$ defined by

$$\mu_{\Delta}([x_0, t_0], \dots, [x_{j-1}, t_{j-1}], x_j) = t_0 x_0 + (1 - t_0) t_1 x_1 + \dots + (1 - t_0) \dots (1 - t_{j-2}) t_{j-1} x_{j-1} + (1 - t_0) \dots (1 - t_{j-1}) x_j,$$

where $\bar{c}\Delta_i$ denotes the closed cone $\Delta_i \times [0,1]/\Delta_i \times \{0\}$ and $[x_i,t_i]$ a point of it. This map is smooth and its restriction μ_{Δ} : int $(\widetilde{\Delta}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{int}(\Delta)$ is a diffeomorphism (int $(P) = P - \partial P$ is the *interior* of the polyhedron P). It sends a face U of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ to a face V of Δ and the restriction μ_{Δ} : int $(U) \longrightarrow \operatorname{int}(V)$ is a submersion.

On the boundary $\partial \widetilde{\Delta}$ we find not only the blow-up $\widetilde{\partial \Delta}$ of the boundary $\partial \Delta$ of Δ but also the faces

$$F = \bar{c}\Delta_0 \times \cdots \times \bar{c}\Delta_{i-1} \times (\Delta_i \times \{1\}) \times \bar{c}\Delta_{i+1} \times \cdots \times \bar{c}\Delta_{j-1} \times \Delta_j$$

with $i \in \{0, ..., j-2\}$ or i = j-1 and dim $\Delta_j > 0$, which we call bad faces. This gives the decomposition

(8)
$$\partial \widetilde{\Delta} = \widetilde{\partial \Delta} + \delta \widetilde{\Delta}$$

Notice that

(9)
$$\dim \mu_{\Delta}(F) = \dim(\Delta_0 * \cdots * \Delta_i) < \dim \Delta - 1 = \dim F.$$

- **2.3.2 Liftable simplices.** A *liftable simplex* is a singular simplex $\sigma: \Delta \to X$ verifying the following two conditions.
 - (Lif1) Each pull back $\sigma^{-1}(X_i)$ is a face of Δ .
 - (Lif2) There exists a decomposition $\Delta = \Delta_0 * \cdots * \Delta_j$ and a smooth map (called lifting) $\widetilde{\sigma} \colon \widetilde{\Delta} \to \widetilde{X}$ with $\mathcal{L}_X \circ \widetilde{\sigma} = \sigma \circ \mu_{\Delta}$.

A singular chain $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j \sigma_j$ is liftable if each singular simplex σ_j is liftable. Since a face of a

liftable simplex is again a liftable simplex then the family $L_*(X)$ of liftable chains is a differential complex. We denote by $LC_*^{\overline{p}}(X) = AC_*^{\overline{p}}(X) \cap L_*(X)$ the graded vector space of the \overline{p} -allowable liftable chains and by $RC_*^{\overline{p}}(X) = LC_*^{\overline{p}}(X) \cap \partial^{-1}LC_{*-1}^{\overline{p}}(X)$ the associated differential complex. The cohomology of this complex verifies the Mayer-Vietoris formula and the product formula $H_*\Big(RC_*^{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{R}\times X)\Big) = H_*\Big(RC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)\Big)$. For the typical local calculation we have the following result. It corrects Proposition 2.2.5 of [18].

Proposition 2.3.3 Let L be a compact unfoldable pseudomanifold. Consider on cL the canonical induced unfolding. Then

$$H_i\Big(RC_{\bar{p}}^*(cL)\Big) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} H_i\Big(RC_{\bar{p}}^*(L)\Big) & \text{if } i \leq \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta) \\ \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \neq i \geq 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta) \\ \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } 0 = i \geq 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta). \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. We proceed as in Proposition 2.2.1. In fact, it suffices to prove that the cone $c\sigma : \overline{c}\Delta \to cL$ of a \overline{p} -allowable liftable simplex $\sigma : \Delta \to cL$, with dim $\Delta \ge 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta)$, is a \overline{p} -allowable liftable simplex. Let us verify properties $(All)_{c\sigma}$, $(Lif1)_{c\sigma}$ and $(Lif2)_{c\sigma}$.

Put $\overline{c}\Delta = \Delta * \{Q\}$ with $c\sigma(tP + (1-t)Q) = t \cdot \sigma(P)$. We have:

$$(c\sigma)^{-1} (cL)_i = \begin{cases} \{Q\} & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(cL)_i = \emptyset \\ \overline{c}(\sigma^{-1}(cL)_i) & \text{if } \sigma^{-1}(cL)_i \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

for $i \geq 0$.

We obtain $(\text{Lif1})_{c\sigma}$ from $(\text{Lif1})_{\sigma}$. To prove the property $(\text{All})_{c\sigma}$ we consider a stratum $S \in \mathcal{S}_{cL}$. We have

$$(c\sigma)^{-1}(S) = \begin{cases} \{Q\} & \text{if } S = \{\vartheta\}; \sigma^{-1}(\vartheta) = \emptyset \\ \overline{c}(\sigma^{-1}(\vartheta)) & \text{if } S = \{\vartheta\}; \sigma^{-1}(\vartheta) \neq \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \text{if } S \neq \{\vartheta\}; \sigma^{-1}(S) = \emptyset \\ \overline{c}(\sigma^{-1}(\vartheta)) - \{Q\} & \text{if } S \neq \{\vartheta\}; \sigma^{-1}(S) \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

$$(All)_{\sigma} \begin{cases} 0 - \text{skeleton of } \overline{c}\Delta \\ (1 + (\dim \Delta - 2 - \overline{t}(\vartheta) + \overline{p}(\vartheta))) - \text{skeleton of } \overline{c}\Delta \\ \emptyset \\ (1 + (\dim \Delta - 2 - \overline{t}(\vartheta) + \overline{p}(\vartheta))) - \text{skeleton of } \overline{c}\Delta \end{cases}$$

$$\subset (\dim \overline{c}\Delta - 2 - \overline{t}(\vartheta) + \overline{p}(\vartheta))) - \text{skeleton of } \overline{c}\Delta,$$

since dim $\Delta \geq 1 + \overline{t}(\vartheta) - \overline{p}(\vartheta)$. Now we prove (Lif2)_{c\sigma}. Consider the decomposition $\Delta = \Delta_0 * \cdots * \Delta_j$ given by σ , and the smooth map $\widetilde{\sigma} = (\widetilde{\sigma}_1, \widetilde{\sigma}_2) \colon \widetilde{\Delta} \to \widetilde{L} \times] - 1, 1[$ given by (Lif2)_{\sigma}. We have the decomposition $\overline{c}\Delta = \{Q\} * \Delta_0 * \cdots * \Delta_j$ whose lifting $\mu_{\overline{c}\Delta} \colon \widetilde{c}\Delta = \overline{c}\{Q\} \times \widetilde{\Delta} \longrightarrow \overline{c}\Delta$ is defined by

$$\mu_{\overline{c}\Delta}([Q,t],x) = tQ + (1-t)\mu_{\Delta}(x).$$

Let $\widetilde{c\sigma} : \overline{c}\{Q\} \times \widetilde{\Delta} \longrightarrow \widetilde{L} \times]-1,1[$ be the smooth map defined by

$$\widetilde{c\sigma}([Q,t],x) = (\widetilde{\sigma}_1(x), (1-t) \cdot \widetilde{\sigma}_2(x)).$$

Finally, for each $([Q, t], x) \in \overline{c}\{Q\} \times \widetilde{\Delta}$ we have:

$$c\sigma\mu_{\overline{c}\Delta}([Q,t],x) = c\sigma(tQ + (1-t)\mu_{\Delta}(x)) = (1-t)\cdot\sigma\mu_{\Delta}(x) = (1-t)\cdot\mathcal{L}_{cL}\widetilde{\sigma}(x)$$
$$= (1-t)\left[\mathcal{L}_{L}\widetilde{\sigma}_{1}(x), |\widetilde{\sigma}_{2}(x)|\right] = \mathcal{L}_{cL}\widetilde{c}\sigma([Q,t],x).$$

This gives $(Lif2)_{c\sigma}$.

2.3.4 Relative case. Following 2.2.5 we consider

$$LC_*^{\overline{q}}(X_{\overline{p}}) = S_*(X_{\overline{p}}) \cap LC_*^{\overline{q}}(X).$$

and we define the relative complex $RC_*^{\bar{q}}(X, X_{\overline{p}})$ by

$$\frac{\left(LC_*^{\overline{q}}(X) + LC_*^{\overline{q}+\overline{1}}\big(X_{\overline{p}}\big)\right) \cap \partial^{-1}\left(LC_{*-1}^{\overline{q}}(X) + LC_{*-1}^{\overline{q}+\overline{1}}\big(X_{\overline{p}}\big)\right)}{LC_*^{\overline{q}+\overline{1}}\big(X_{\overline{p}}\big) \cap \partial^{-1}\left(LC_{*-1}^{\overline{q}+\overline{1}}\big(X_{\overline{p}}\big)\right)}.$$

We have $LC_*^{\overline{q}}(X,X_{\overline{p}})=LC_*^{\overline{q}}(X)$ when $X_{\overline{p}}=\emptyset$. Since the complexes defining the relative complex $RC_*^{\overline{p}}(X,Z)$ verify the Mayer-Vietoris formula then the relative cohomology also verifies this property. We have, for the same reason, the product formula $H_*\left(RC_*^{\overline{p}}(\mathbb{R}\times X,\mathbb{R}\times Z)\right)=H_*\left(RC_*^{\overline{p}}(X,Z)\right)$. For the typical local calculation we have (see [18]):

Corollary 2.3.5 Let L be a compact unfoldable pseudomanifold. Consider on cL the canonical induced unfolding. Then

$$H_i\Big(RC_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\Big(cL,(cL)_{\overline{p}}\Big)\Big) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} H_i\Big(RC_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}\big(L,L_{\overline{p}}\big)\Big) & \text{if } i \leq \overline{p}(\vartheta) \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq 1+\overline{p}(\vartheta). \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. The same proof as that of Corollary 2.2.6.

2.4 Comparing the two approaches. When the perversity \overline{p} lies between $\overline{0}$ and \overline{t} then we have the isomorphism $H_*^{\overline{p}}(X) = H_*(RC_*^{\overline{p}}(X))$ (cf. [18]). We are going to check that this property extends to any perversity for the absolute and the relative case.

Proposition 2.4.1 For any perversity \overline{p} , the inclusion $RC_*^{\overline{p}}(X) \hookrightarrow SC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)$ induces the isomorphism $H_*\left(RC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)\right) = I\!\!H_*^{\overline{p}}(X)$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the depth. When depth X=0 then $SC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)=RC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)=S_*(X)$. In the general case, we use the Bredon's trick (see the proof of Proposition 2.2.2) and we reduce the problem to a chart $X=\mathbb{R}^n\times cL_S$. Here, we apply the product formula and we reduce the problem to $X=cL_S$. We end the proof by applying Propositions 2.2.1, 2.3.3 and the induction hypothesis.

Proposition 2.4.2 For any perversity \overline{p} , the inclusion $RC_*^{\overline{\iota}-\overline{p}}(X,X_{\overline{p}}) \hookrightarrow SC_*^{\overline{\iota}-\overline{p}}(X,X_{\overline{p}})$ induces the isomorphism $H_*\left(RC_*^{\overline{\iota}-\overline{p}}(X,X_{\overline{p}})\right) = \mathbb{H}_*^{\overline{\iota}-\overline{p}}(X,X_{\overline{p}})$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the depth. If depth X=0 then $SC_*^{\overline{t-p}}(X,X_{\overline{p}})=RC_*^{\overline{t-p}}(X,X_{\overline{p}})=S_*(X)$. In the general case, we use the Bredon's trick (see the proof of Proposition 2.2.2) and we reduce the problem to a chart $X=\mathbb{R}^n\times cL_S$ with $X_{\overline{p}}=\mathbb{R}^n\times (cL_S)_{\overline{p}}$. Here, we apply the product formula and we reduce the problem to $(X,X_{\overline{p}})=\left(cL_S,(cL_S)_{\overline{p}}\right)$. We end the proof by applying Corollaries 2.2.6, 2.3.5 and the induction hypothesis.

3 Intersection cohomology

The de Rham intersection cohomology was introduced by Brylinski in [7]. In our paper we use the presentation of [18].

Perverse forms. A liftable form is a differential form $\omega \in \Omega^*(X - \Sigma_X)$ possessing a lifting, that is, a differential form $\widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^* \left(\widetilde{X} \right)$ verifying $\widetilde{\omega} = \mathcal{L}_X^* \omega$ on $\mathcal{L}_X^{-1} (X - \Sigma_X)$. Given two liftable differential forms ω , η we have the equalities:

(10)
$$\widetilde{\omega + \eta} = \widetilde{\omega} + \widetilde{\eta} \qquad ; \qquad \widetilde{\omega \wedge \eta} = \widetilde{\omega} \wedge \widetilde{\eta} \qquad ; \qquad \widetilde{d\omega} = d\widetilde{\omega}.$$

We denote by $\Pi^*(X)$ the differential complex of liftable forms.

Recall that, for each singular stratum S, the restriction $\mathcal{L}_S \colon \mathcal{L}_S^{-1}(S) \longrightarrow S$ is a fiber bundle. For a differential form $\eta \in \Omega^* (\mathcal{L}_S^{-1}(S))$ we define its vertical degree as

$$v_S(\eta) = \min \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} \ \middle/ \ \begin{array}{l} i_{\xi_0} \cdots i_{\xi_j} \eta = 0 \text{ for each family of vector fields} \\ \xi_0, \dots \xi_j \text{ tangent to fibers of } \mathcal{L}_S \colon \mathcal{L}_S^{-1}(S) \longrightarrow S \end{array} \right\}$$

(cf. [7],[18]). The perverse degree $\|\omega\|_S$ of ω relative to S is the vertical degree of the restriction $\widetilde{\omega}$ relatively to $\mathcal{L}_S \colon \mathcal{L}_S^{-1}(S) \longrightarrow S$, that is,

$$\|\omega\|_S = v_S\left(\widetilde{\omega}|_{\mathcal{L}_S^{-1}(S)}\right).$$

The differential complex of \overline{p} -intersection differential forms is

$$\Omega_{\overline{p}}^*(X) = \{ \omega \in \Pi^*(X) \ / \max (||\omega||_S, ||d\omega||_S) \le \overline{p}(S) \ \forall \text{ singular stratum } S \}.$$

The cohomology $I\!H_{\overline{z}}^*(X)$ of this complex is the \overline{p} -intersection cohomology of X. The intersection cohomology verifies two important computational properties: the Mayer-Vietoris property and the product formula $\mathbb{H}_{\overline{n}}^*(\mathbb{R} \times X) = \mathbb{H}_{\overline{n}}^*(X)$. The usual local calculations (see [7], [18]) give

Proposition 3.1.1 Let L be a compact stratified pseudomanifold. Then

$$IH_{\overline{p}}^{i}(cL) = \begin{cases} IH_{\overline{p}}^{i}(L) & if \ i \leq \overline{p}(\vartheta) \\ 0 & if \ i > \overline{p}(\vartheta). \end{cases}$$

Integration. The relationship between the intersection homology and cohomology is established by using the integration of differential forms on simplices. Since X is not a manifold, we work on the blow up X.

Consider a $\varphi \colon \Delta \to X$ a liftable simplex. We know that there exists a stratum S containing $\sigma(\operatorname{int}(\Delta))$. Since μ_{Δ} : $\operatorname{int}(\widetilde{\Delta}) \to \operatorname{int}(\Delta)$ is a diffeomorphism then $\sigma = \mathcal{L}_{X} \circ \widetilde{\sigma} \circ \mu_{\Delta}^{-1}$: $\operatorname{int}(\Delta) \longrightarrow \widetilde{S}$ is a smooth map.

Consider now a liftable differential form $\omega \in \Pi^*(X)$ and define the integration as

(11)
$$\int_{\sigma} \omega = \begin{cases} \int_{\text{int }(\Delta)} \sigma^* \omega & \text{if } S \text{ a regular stratum (i.e. } \sigma(\Delta) \not\subset \Sigma_X) \\ 0 & \text{if } S \text{ a singular stratum (i.e. } \sigma(\Delta) \subset \Sigma_X) \end{cases}$$

This definition makes sense since

(12)
$$\int_{\operatorname{int}(\Delta)} \sigma^* \omega = \int_{\operatorname{int}(\widetilde{\Delta})} \widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega} = \int_{\widetilde{\Delta}} \widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega}.$$

By linearity, we have the linear pairing $\int : \Pi^*(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L_*(X), \mathbb{R})$. This operator commutes with the differential d in some cases.

Lemma 3.2.1 If \overline{p} is a perversity then $\int : \Omega_{\overline{p}}^*(X) \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(RC_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}(X), \mathbb{R}\right)$ is differential pairing.

Proof. Consider $\sigma: \Delta^i \to X$ a liftable \overline{p} -allowable simplex with $\sigma(\Delta) \not\subset \Sigma_X$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{i-1}_{\overline{q}}(X)$. It suffices to prove

(13)
$$\int_{\sigma} d\omega = \int_{\partial \sigma} \omega.$$

The boundary of Δ can be written as $\partial \Delta = \partial_1 \Delta + \partial_2 \Delta$ where $\partial_1 \Delta$ (resp. $\partial_2 \Delta$) is composed by the faces F of Δ with $\sigma(F) \not\subset \Sigma_X$ (resp. $\sigma(F) \subset \Sigma_X$). This gives the decomposition (see (8)):

$$\partial \widetilde{\Delta} = \widetilde{\partial_1 \Delta} + \widetilde{\partial_2 \Delta} + \delta \widetilde{\Delta}.$$

We have the equalities:

$$\int_{\sigma} d\omega \stackrel{(12)}{=\!\!\!=} \int_{\widetilde{\Delta}} \widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{d\omega} \stackrel{(10)}{=\!\!\!=} \int_{\widetilde{\Delta}} d\widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega} \stackrel{Stokes}{=\!\!\!=} \int_{\partial\widetilde{\Delta}} \widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\partial\sigma} \omega \stackrel{(11),(12)}{=\!\!\!=} \int_{\widetilde{\partial_1 \Delta}} \widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega}.$$

So the equality (13) becomes $\int_{\delta\widetilde{\Delta}} \widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega} + \int_{\widetilde{\partial_2}\widetilde{\Delta}} \widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega} = 0$. We will end the proof if we show that $\widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega} = 0$ on F, where the face F

- is a bad face or
- verifies $\sigma(F) \subset \Sigma_X$.

Put C the face $\mu_{\Delta}(F)$ of Δ and S the stratum of X containing $\sigma(\text{int}(C))$. Notice that the condition (All) implies

(14)
$$\dim C \le \dim F + 1 - 2 - \overline{t}(S) + (\overline{t}(S) - \overline{p}(S)) = \dim F - 1 - \overline{p}(S).$$

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\inf(F) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\sigma}} \mathcal{L}_X^{-1}(S)
\mu_{\Delta} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_X \downarrow
\inf(C) \xrightarrow{\sigma} S$$

It suffices to prove that the vertical degree of $\tilde{\sigma}^*\tilde{\omega}$ relatively to μ_{Δ} is strictly lower than the dimension of the fibers of μ_{Δ} , that is

$$v_S\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^*\widetilde{\omega}\right) < \dim F - \dim C.$$

We distinguish two cases:

- When S is a regular stratum the differential form ω is defined on S. We have

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^* \widetilde{\omega} = \widetilde{\sigma}^* \mathcal{L}_X^* \omega = \mu_\Delta^* \sigma^* \omega,$$

which is a basic form relatively to μ_{Δ} . So, since F is a bad face:

$$v_S(\widetilde{\sigma}^*\widetilde{\omega}) \le 0 \stackrel{(9)}{<} \dim F - \dim C.$$

- When S is a singular stratum, we have

$$v_S(\widetilde{\sigma}^*\widetilde{\omega}) \le ||\omega||_S \le \overline{p}(S) \stackrel{(14)}{\le} \dim F - \dim C - 1 < \dim F - \dim C.$$

This ends the proof.

The above pairing induces the pairing

$$\int : I\!\!H^*_{\overline{p}}(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(I\!\!H^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}_*(X), \mathbb{R}\right),$$

(cf. Proposition 2.4.1) which is not an isomorphism: for a cone cL we have Proposition 2.2.1 and Proposition 3.1.1. The problem appears when negative perversities are involved. For this reason we consider the relative intersection homology. Since the integration \int vanishes on Σ_X then

$$\int : \Omega_{\overline{p}}^*(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom} \left(RC_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}} \left(X, X_{\overline{p}} \right), \mathbb{R} \right).$$

is a well defined differential operator. We obtain the de Rham duality (in the direction cohomology \mapsto homology):

Theorem 3.2.2 Let X be an unfoldable pseudomanifold. If \overline{p} is a perversity then the integration induces the isomorphism

$$I\!H_{\overline{p}}^*(X) = \operatorname{Hom}\left(I\!H_{*}^{\overline{t-p}}(X, X_{\overline{p}}); \mathbb{R}\right)$$

Proof. Following Proposition 2.4.2 it suffices to prove that the pairing

$$\int : \Omega_{\overline{p}}^*(X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom} \left(RC_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}(X, X_{\overline{p}}), \mathbb{R} \right).$$

induces an isomorphism in cohomology. We proceed by induction on the depth. If depth X=0 then $X_{\overline{p}}=\emptyset$ and we have the usual de Rham theorem. In the general case, we use the Bredon's trick (see the proof of Proposition 2.2.2) and we reduce the problem to a chart $X=\mathbb{R}^n\times cL_S$ with $X_{\overline{p}}=\mathbb{R}^n\times (cL_S)_{\overline{p}}$. Then we apply the product formula and we reduce the problem to $(X,X_{\overline{p}})=\left(cL_S,(cL_S)_{\overline{p}}\right)$. We end the proof by applying Corollary 2.2.6, Proposition 3.1.1 and the induction hypothesis.

In particular, we have the de Rham isomorphism $\mathbb{H}_{\overline{p}}^*(X) = \mathbb{H}_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}(X)$ when $\overline{p} \geq \overline{0}$.

The intersection cohomology can be expressed in terms of the usual cohomology $H^*(-)$ in some cases (see [7]).

Proposition 3.2.3 Let X be an unfoldable pseudomanifold. Then we have

- $IH_{\overline{p}}^*(X) = H^*(X \Sigma_X) \text{ if } \overline{p} > \overline{t}, \text{ and }$
- $I\!H_{\overline{q}}^*(X) = H^*(X, X_{\overline{q}})$ if $\overline{q} \leq \overline{0}$ and X is normal.

Proof. From the above Theorem it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{H}^{\overline{t-p}}_*(X) = H_*(X - \Sigma_X)$ and

(15)
$$I\!\!H_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}}(X,X_{\overline{q}}) = H_*(X,X_{\overline{q}}).$$

The first assertion comes directly from Proposition 2.2.2. For the second one, we consider the differential morphism

$$A \colon \frac{\left(AC_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}}(X) + AC_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}+\overline{1}}\left(X_{\overline{q}}\right)\right) \cap \partial^{-1}\left(AC_{*-1}^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}}(X) + AC_{*-1}^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}+\overline{1}}\left(X_{\overline{q}}\right)\right)}{AC_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}+\overline{1}}\left(X_{\overline{q}}\right) \cap \partial^{-1}\left(AC_{*-1}^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}+\overline{1}}\left(X_{\overline{q}}\right)\right)} \longrightarrow \frac{S_*(X)}{S_*(X_{\overline{q}})}$$

defined by $A\{\xi\} = \{\xi\}$. We prove, by induction on the depth, that the morphism A is a quasi-isomorphism. When the depth of X is 0 then A is the identity. In the general case, we use the Bredon's trick (see the proof of Proposition 2.2.2) and we reduce the problem to a chart $X = \mathbb{R}^n \times cL_S$ with $X_{\overline{q}} = \mathbb{R}^n \times (cL_S)_{\overline{q}}$. Then we apply the product formula and we reduce the problem to $\left(cL_S, (cL_S)_{\overline{q}}\right)$. We have three cases:

• $\overline{q}(\vartheta) < 0$. Then $(cL_S)_{\overline{q}} = c(L_S)_{\overline{q}} \neq \emptyset$ and we have

$$I\!\!H_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}}\Big(cL_S,(cL_S)_{\overline{q}}\Big) \stackrel{\text{2.2.6}}{=} 0 = H_*\Big(cL_S,c(L_S)_{\overline{q}}\Big) = H_*\Big(cL_S,(cL_S)_{\overline{q}}\Big).$$

• $\overline{q}(\vartheta) = 0$ and $\overline{q} \neq \overline{0}$ on L_S . Then $(cL_S)_{\overline{q}} = c(L_S)_{\overline{q}} \neq \emptyset$ and we have

$$I\!\!H_*^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}}\left(cL_S,\left(cL_S\right)_{\overline{q}}\right) \stackrel{\text{2.2.6}}{=} I\!\!H_0^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}}\left(L_S,\left(L_S\right)_{\overline{q}}\right) \stackrel{ind}{=} H_0\left(L_S,\left(L_S\right)_{\overline{q}}\right) \stackrel{norm}{=} 0 = H_*\left(cL_S,\left(cL_S\right)_{\overline{q}}\right).$$

• $\overline{q} = 0$. Then $(cL_S)_{\overline{q}} = (L_S)_{\overline{q}} = \emptyset$ and we have

$$\mathbb{H}_{*}^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}}\left(cL_{S},\left(cL_{S}\right)_{\overline{q}}\right)\stackrel{2.2.6}{=}\mathbb{H}_{0}^{\overline{t}-\overline{q}}\left(L_{S},\left(L_{S}\right)_{\overline{q}}\right)\stackrel{ind}{=}H_{0}\left(L_{S},\left(L_{S}\right)_{\overline{q}}\right)\stackrel{norm}{=}\mathbb{R}=H_{*}\left(cL_{S},\left(cL_{S}\right)_{\overline{q}}\right).$$

This ends the proof.

3.2.4 Remark. Notice that we can replace the normality of X by the connectedness of the links $\{L_S \mid \overline{q}(S) = 0\}$.

In the direction homology \mapsto cohomology we have the following de Rham Theorem

Corollary 3.2.5 Let X be a normal unfoldable pseudomanifold. If \overline{p} is a perversity then we have the isomorphism

$$I\!H^{\overline{p}}_{*}(X) = I\!H^{*}_{\max(\overline{0}\,\overline{t}-\overline{n})}(X),$$

Proof. Since $X_{\max(\overline{0},\overline{t}-\overline{p})}=\emptyset$ then $I\!H^*_{\max(\overline{0},\overline{t}-\overline{p})}(X)$ is isomorphic to $I\!H^{\overline{t}-\max(\overline{0},\overline{t}-\overline{p})}_*(X)=I\!H^{\min(\overline{p},\overline{t})}_*(X)$ (cf. Theorem 3.2.2). It suffices to prove that the inclusion $SC_*^{\min(\overline{p},\overline{t})}(X)\hookrightarrow SC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology. We proceed by induction on the depth. When the depth of X is 0 then $SC_*^{\min(\overline{p},\overline{t})}(X)=SC_*^{\overline{p}}(X)=S_*(X)$. In the general case, we use the Bredon's trick (see the proof of Proposition 2.2.2) and we reduce the problem to a chart $X=\mathbb{R}^n\times cL_S$. We apply the product formula and we reduce the problem to to $X=cL_S$. Now, we have two cases

- $\overline{t}(\vartheta) < \overline{p}(\vartheta)$. Then $\mathbb{H}^{\min(\overline{p},\overline{t})}_*(cL_S) \stackrel{\mathbf{2.2.1}}{=} \mathbb{H}^{\min(\overline{p},\overline{t})}_0(L_S) \stackrel{ind}{=} \mathbb{H}^{\overline{p}}_0(L_S) \stackrel{\mathbf{2.2.1,2.2.4}}{=} \mathbb{H}^{\overline{p}}_*(cL_S)$.
- $\overline{t}(\vartheta) \geq \overline{p}(\vartheta)$. Then $H_*^{\min(\overline{p},\overline{t})}(cL_S) \stackrel{2.2.1}{=} H_{\underline{<\overline{t}}(\vartheta)-\overline{p}(\vartheta)}^{\min(\overline{p},\overline{t})}(L_S) \stackrel{ind}{=} H_{\underline{<\overline{t}}(\vartheta)-\overline{p}(\vartheta)}^{\overline{p}}(L_S) \stackrel{2.2.1}{=} H_*^{\overline{p}}(cL_S)$.

This ends the proof.

- **3.2.6 Remark.** Notice that we can replace the normality of X by the connectedness of the links $\{L_S \ / \ \overline{p}(S) > \overline{t}(S)\}$. In particular, we have the de Rham isomorphism $I\!H^{\overline{p}}_{*}(X) = I\!H^{*}_{\overline{t}-\overline{p}}(X)$ when $\overline{p} \leq \overline{t}$.
- **3.3** Poincaré Duality. The intersection homology was introduced with the purpose of extending the Poincaré Duality to singular manifolds (see [12]). The pairing is given by the intersection of cycles. For manifolds the Poincaré Duality also derives from the integration of the wedge product of differential forms. This is also the case for stratified pseudomanifolds.

Let consider a compact and *orientable* stratified pseudomanifold X, that is, the manifold $X - \Sigma_X$ is an orientable manifold. Let m be the dimension of X. It has been proved in [7] (see also [18]) that, for a perversity \overline{p} , with $\overline{0} \leq \overline{p} \leq \overline{t}$, the pairing $P \colon \Omega^i_{\overline{p}}(X) \times \Omega^{m-i}_{\overline{t-p}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $P(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{X - \Sigma_X} \alpha \wedge \beta$, induces the isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^*_{\overline{p}}(X) = \mathbb{H}^{m-*}_{\overline{t-p}}(X)$. The same proof works for any perversity. For example, if $\overline{p} < \overline{0}$ or $\overline{p} > \overline{t}$, we obtain the Lefschetz Duality $H^*(X, \Sigma_X) = H^{m-*}(X - \Sigma_X)$ (cf. Proposition 3.2.3 and Remark 3.2.4).

References

- [1] J.P. Brasselet, M. Goresky et R. MacPherson: Simplicial differential formes with poles Amer. J. Math. 113(1991), 1019-1052.
- [2] J.P. Brasselet, G. Hector and M. Saralegi: *Théorème de De Rham pour les variétés stratifiées*. Annal. Global Anal. Geom. **9**(1991), 211-243.
- [3] J.P. Brasselet, G. Hector and M. Saralegi: L^2 -Cohomologie d'espaces stratifiés. Manus. Math. **76**(1992), 21-32.
- [4] J.P. Brasselet and A. Legrand: Un complexe de formes différentielles à croissance bornée. Ann. Scuola Sup. Pisa **21**(1994), 213-234.
- [5] R. Bott and L. Tu: Differential forms in algebraic topology. Graduate Tex in Math. 1982. Springer. New-York, 1982.
- [6] G. E. Bredon: Topology and Geometry Graduate Text in Math. 139. Springer. 1993.

- [7] J.L. Brylinsky Equivariant intersection cohomology. Contemporary Math. 132(1992), 5-32.
- [8] S.E. Cappell and J.L. Shaneson: Singular spaces, characteristic classes and intersection homology. Ann. Math. 134(1991), 325-374.
- [9] B. Cenkl, G. Hector and M. Saralegi: Cohomologie d'intersection modérée. Un Théorème de deRham. Pacific J. Math. 169(1995), 235-289.
- [10] J. Cheeger, M. Goresky y R. MacPherson: L²-cohomology and intersection homology of singular algebraic varieties. Sem. on Diff. Geo., Annals of Math. Stud. 102, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1982), 302-340.
- [11] G. Friedman: Superperverse intersection cohomology: stratification (in)dependence. http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.GT/0407302.
- [12] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson: Intersection homology theory. Topology 19(1980), 135-162.
- [13] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson: Intersection homology II. Invent. Math. 71(1983), 77-129.
- [14] H. King: Topology invariance of intersection homology without sheaves. Topology Appl. **20**(1985), 149-160.
- [15] R. MacPherson: Intersection homology and perverse sheaves. Colloquium Lectures, Annual Meeting of the AMS, San Francisco, June 1991.
- [16] G. Padilla: On normal stratified pseudomanifolds. To appear in Extracta Matematica. http://arxiv.org/abs/math.AT/0210022.
- [17] M. Nagase: L^2 intersection cohomology and intersection homology. Duke Math. J. 8(1986), 329-368.
- [18] M. Saralegi: Homological properties of stratified spaces. Ill. J. Math. 38(1994), 47-70.
- [19] J.W. Vick: Homology theory. Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.