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Optical second-harmonic generation (SHG) in SrTi$^{16}$O$_3$ (STO16) and SrTi$^{18}$O$_3$ (STO18) was investigated using the SHG microscope. While no-biased STO16 exhibits weak and almost temperature-independent SHG signals, a marked SHG is observed under the electric field in the quantum paraelectric region. In STO18, strong SHG signals appear spontaneously below 36K. However, neutron and X-ray diffraction analyses indicate that no structural change appears at low temperature in STO18 and STO16 under the electric field. By taking into account the fact that the SHG is sensitive to the local polar-order, the combined studies reveals that the long-range order of polar phase does not develop on the both crystals and is frozen in local regions.
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SrTiO$_3$ is a textbook example of both fields of fundamental and application of the solid state physic and new results and renewals of interests have continuously appeared. The most recent ones were initiated by the discovery by Itoh et al. of a ‘ferroelectricity’ induced by the isotopic substitution of oxygen 18$^1$O for oxygen 16$^1$O. Indeed, the progressive substitution of $^{18}$O in SrTi$^{16}$O$_3$ (STO16) which is a well-known quantum paraelectric with a quite high value of the dielectric constant (2x10$^4$) saturating at 0K, induces a broad maximum dielectric constant of permittivity is observed. Furthermore, even near 0K, the dielectric constant of STO18 is quite high, approximately 2x10$^5$. This means that large dipole fluctuations still exist below the temperature of the maximum dielectric constant. These facts seem to suggest that the long-range order is not developed in STO18 even near 0K, as well as STO16 under the electric field. This is the motivation of the present research. For this purpose, we have performed optical second harmonic generation (SHG) experiments and neutron and high resolution X-ray diffraction experiments at low temperature in STO16 under electric field and in $^{18}$O-exchanged STO (STO18). This combination of studies allowed us to perform a comprehensive study of the polar order of these compounds at different length scales.

We used an SHG microscope which makes images of SH waves produced in a polar specimen. In these experiments the magnitude and anisotropy of nonlinear optical tensor components $d_{ij}$ was used in order to produce two-dimensional SH images of a specimen with inhomogeneous distribution of the polar region. The fundamental wave of Nd$^{3+}$:YAG laser with wavelength of 1063 nm, repetition frequency of 10 Hz and maximum fluence of 80mJ/cm$^2$, passes through a half-wave plate and is weakly focused into a specimen placed inside a helium gas exchange type cryostat for optical microscopes. The generated SH waves are collected by an objective lens and passes through a polarizer, filters and are detected by an image-intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera.

In the present study, we used (110) plates with the edges along [1-10] and [001] directions. The dimensions of the plates were 5x5x0.25(thickness) mm$^3$ for STO16 and 7x3x0.3 mm$^3$ for STO18. The electric field was applied along the [1-10] direction. The exchange ratio of $^{18}$O in STO18 was 95%. The observation was made using the parallel polarizer-analyser system and the polarization direction of the incident wave was fixed with an angle making 45° from the [1-10] direction. As remarkable photoconductivity appears below 25K in STO18, special cares were paid to avoid the effect: The diameter of the incident laser beam (2mm) was made smaller than the distances of two electrodes (4mm).

Some SH images of STO18 on zero-field cooling (ZFC) process are shown in Fig.1, where bright regions are SHG-active regions. A rather intense SHG appears below 36K. The images consist of small bright spots inhomogeneously distributed in the sample.

Judging from the size (several $\mu$m), the small bright spot does not directly correspond to a polar micro-region but aggregated ones. However the most important fact is that SHG inactive regions exist as dark regions. As SHG images are quite homogeneous in a domain of typical ferroelectrics,
the images strongly suggest that the long range order does not develop in STO18 without the electric field.

![SHG microscope images of STO18 in ZFC process. Bright regions indicate SHG active ones. The fundamental beam has Gaussian profile, which is a little deviated from the center of the image.](image1.png)

The origin of macroscopic intensity distribution could be attributed to some interference effects of SH waves, imperfections of the sample. It could be also related to macroscopic strain distribution around polar micro-regions: Recent investigation of LiNbO₃ discloses such stress field spreading over several 10µm near domain boundaries. On the other hand, an appreciable gradient of ¹⁸O in the sample is negligibly small, as the reaction of replacement of ¹⁶O by ¹⁸O is in equilibrium. Regarding the homogeneity of ¹⁸O in the sample, we have checked it by EPMA and SIMS at room temperature. According to these results, there is no inhomogeneity in the wide plane of 7x2 mm² or the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O gradient along the thickness, with the precision 1000 Å. Only a quite homogenized distribution of ¹⁸O was observed in these experiments. In any case, the SHG microscopic observation enables us to choose the most appropriate regions in viewing a specimen and to avoid regions with defects or imperfections which produces parasitic SHG. This is important to measure precisely the integrated SH intensity from a sample.

SH intensities were measured in ZFC and field-heating (FH) processes with E=0.2kV/cm from 120K down to ~25K, and the results are shown in Fig.2.

![Temperature dependences of SHG intensities of STO16 and STO18 on ZFC and FH after ZFC.](image2.png)

Here SH intensities were integrated within a selected region of SH images. In STO16, SH intensity on the ZFC is quite weak and almost temperature independent, but marked increase was observed under electric field. SH intensity on the FH process decreases gradually with the increase of temperature and vanishes at about 105K which is the critical temperature of the well-known ferroelastic phase transition.

On the other hand, SH intensity of the ZFC of STO18 is much larger than STO16, and increases steeply below about 36K. The application of electric field augments SH intensities as in STO16.

Field-induced SHGs of STO16 and STO18 are shown in Fig.3(a) and (b), respectively. In both cases, the SH intensity increases with electric field without saturation and returns to the initial zero-field value with small hysteresis when the field is switched off.

![Electric field dependence of SHG intensities of STO16 and STO18.](image3.png)

Here it should be pointed out that the distribution of SH active regions are still inhomogeneous under the electric field and only the total SH intensities increase in both samples. This suggests that the effect of the dipole alignment of SH active regions which are still discrete under the electric field is essential. During the SHG observations, no distinct appearance of ferroelectric domains associated with the ferroelectric phase transition was observed. These observations suggest that a ferroelectric long-range order does not prevail on both compounds and restricted to local regions, though a quantitative difference exits between STO18 and STO16.

In order to confirm the results of SHG observation of the polar order, we performed full neutron Rietveld analyses at 300 K, 50 K and 1.5 K using STO18 powders (¹⁸O exchange ratio=88%). The neutron experiments were performed at Laboratoire Leon Brillouin using the Orphee reactor facilities (Saclay, France). Powder diffraction patterns were collected on the high resolution two-axis goniometer 3T2 (λ=1.226 Å), using 20 steps of 0.05° between 6° and 120°. X-ray diffraction studies in crystals and ceramics were performed using a two axis goniometer with Bragg-Brentano geometry with respectively CuKα and InP monochromator and CuKβ monochromatic radiation (P.G. monochromator) from a 18kW Rigaku rotating anode; patterns were scanned through 20 steps of 0.006° with typical counting time of 2 s up to 120 s. In each case for the low temperature experiments a He cryostat with thermal stability of 0.1 K and precision within 1 K was used. Structural refinements were carried out with the XND program.

At room temperature we observed a cubic Pm-3m structure, with associated normal thermal parameters. At 1.5 K and 50 K, as we have previously reported in STO16 ¹⁶Ο, the superstructure peaks (Fig.4) associated with the rotation of oxygen octahedral are observed without additional superstructure peaks indicating other type of rotation along different axis.

These patterns could be very satisfactorily refined using as a starting model the tetragonal ferroelastic structure (14/mcm space group) of STO16. The refinements converge rapidly to good values of agreements factors (at 1.5K : Rwp=4.56, Rb=2.22 G.O.F=1.62). The intensities of the superstructure peaks allow to measure the angle of rotation of oxygen octahedra which occurs at the
ferroelastic phase transformation; at 1.5K we get a 1.97° value which is (with the experimental errors) equal to the value of 2.01 which we observed in STO16 in a previous study 13. No anomaly in the thermal parameters which could be an indication of some possible disorder (as observed for instance in lead based relaxor compounds 12) is evidenced.

High resolution X-ray experiments of powdered STO18 down to 8K reveal no additional splitting or widening from those of the tetragonal ferroelastic phase which could have indicated supplementary distortions (e.g. BaTiO3-like); the value of this distortion is the same as in STO16. Nevertheless we have also tried to refine the neutron and X-ray patterns of STO18 using different ferroelectric structural models, for instance a tetragonal but non centro-symmetric group which should allow both ferroelectric shifts along the c-tetragonal direction and the STO16-type rotations of octahedra, i.e. Ë. However no improvement could be achieved in the agreement factors and the shifts are, within the experimental bars of 0.03Å, equal to zero. The same situation was observed when we tried other models, such the monoclinic models recently observed in giant piezoelectric relaxors in the morphotropic compositions BaTiO3-like; the value of this distortion is the same as in STO18 down to 8K reveal no additional splitting or widening from those of the tetragonal ferroelastic phase. On the other hand, SHG images have revealed at the lowest temperatures a complex and inhomogenous texture which results from the progressive appearance of polar regions in a percolative-like mechanism. However, no evidence of local polarization was observed by the diffraction experiments; in the case of lead-based perovskites the existence of short-ranged polar ordering on few hundredth of Å manifests itself in the diffraction patterns by strong temperature-dependent diffuse scatterings as well as by anomalous thermal parameters 5,12. Such lack of observation in SrTiO3 arises from two possible reasons: weaker atomic scattering factor of Sr, Ti and O, compared with that of Pb which is responsible for the diffraction signature of short range in relaxors compounds, and/or shorter length of coherence (i.e. below some hundredth of Å ) for the observed local polarisation of STO. In a similar manner, in SrBaTiO3 systems Lemaroy et al evidenced a dipole glass behaviour of the dielectric permittivity which manifests no direct signature in the diffraction patterns 10. In STO18 the inhomogeneous texture appears to be a stable state whereas in STO16 this state is stabilized or destabilized by the application of an external electric field. The combination of both results in diffraction and SHG studies indicates that ferroelectricity in the sense of crystallographic noncentrosymmetric phase can be definitively excluded in STO16 under electric field and in STO18. However local polar ordering appears but does not develop into a true ferroelectric phase: The polar state is therefore short ranged. Similar phenomenon has been already observed in KTaO3 using Raman scattering 18.

Financial supports of Grant-In-Aid for Science Research from MEXT, Grant for Development of New Technology from Shigaku-Shinkozaidan, and a Grant-in-Aid for the 21st COE Program (Physics of Self-organization Systems) are gratefully acknowledged.

References

![Fig.4 : Neutron diffraction profiles of STO16 and STO18 obtained at 2K. The solid lines indicate the profiles calculated by the Rietveld analysis. S.S. indicates superstructure reflections originating from the Oxygen-octahedron rotation.](image)

![Fig.5 : Ferroelastic splittings of X-ray diffraction profiles of STO16 under the electric field of 5kV/cm at 290K and 35K. (a) indicates (100) reflection, and (b) (110) reflection.](image)