



**HAL**  
open science

# Out of equilibrium functional central limit theorems for a large network where customers join the shortest of several queues

Carl Graham

► **To cite this version:**

Carl Graham. Out of equilibrium functional central limit theorems for a large network where customers join the shortest of several queues. 2003. hal-00000958v1

**HAL Id: hal-00000958**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00000958v1>**

Preprint submitted on 17 Dec 2003 (v1), last revised 31 Mar 2004 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Out of equilibrium functional central limit theorems for a large network where customers join the shortest of several queues

CARL GRAHAM \*

*Abstract.* Customers arrive at rate  $N\alpha$  on a network of  $N$  single server infinite buffer queues, choose  $L$  queues uniformly, join the shortest one, and are served there in turn at rate  $\beta$ . We let  $N$  go to infinity. We prove a functional central limit theorem (CLT) for the tails of the empirical measures of the queue occupations, in a Hilbert space with the weak topology, with limit given by an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. The *a priori* assumption is that the initial data converge. This completes a recent functional CLT in equilibrium in Graham [3] for which convergence for the initial data was not known *a priori*, but was deduced *a posteriori* from the functional CLT.

*Key-words and phrases.* Mean-field interaction, non-equilibrium fluctuations, inhomogeneous Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process in Hilbert space, infinite-dimensional analysis.

*AMS 2000 subject classifications.* Primary 60K35; secondary 60K25, 60B12, 60F05.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 The queuing model

We continue the asymptotic study for large  $N$  and fixed  $L$  initiated in Vvedenskaya et al. [9] of a Markovian network constituted of  $N$  single server infinite buffer queues. Customers arrive at rate  $N\alpha$ , are allocated  $L$  distinct queues uniformly at random, and join the shortest, ties being resolved uniformly at random. Service is at rate  $\beta$ . Arrivals, allocations and services are independent. The interaction structure depends on sampling from the empirical measure of  $L$ -tuples of queue states; in statistical mechanics terminology, this constitutes  $L$ -body mean-field interaction.

Let  $X_i^N(t)$  be the length of queue  $i$  at time  $t \geq 0$ . The process  $(X_i^N)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  is Markov, its empirical measure  $\mu^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_i^N}$  has samples in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{N}))$ , and its marginal process  $(\mu_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$  has sample paths in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))$ . We are interested in the tails of the marginals, and consider

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(v(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} : v(0) = 1, v(k) \geq v(k+1), \lim v = 0\}, \quad \mathcal{V}^N = \mathcal{V} \cap \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}},$$

---

\*CMAP, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France. UMR CNRS 7641. carl@cmapx.polytechnique.fr  
December 1, 2003.

with the uniform topology (which coincides here with the product topology) and the process  $R^N = (R_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$  with sample paths in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{V}^N)$  given by

$$R_t^N(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{I}_{X_i^N(t) \geq k}.$$

The processes  $(\mu_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $(R_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$  are in relation through  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \longleftrightarrow v \in \mathcal{V}$  for  $v(k) = p[k, \infty)$  and  $p\{k\} = v(k) - v(k+1)$  for  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . This classical homeomorphism maps the subspace of probability measures with finite first moment onto  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1$ , corresponding to a finite number of customers in the network. The symmetry structure implies that these processes are Markov.

## 1.2 Laws of large numbers

Let  $c_0^0$  and  $\ell_p^0$  for  $p \geq 1$  denote the subspaces of sequences vanishing at 0 of the classical sequence spaces  $c_0$  (with limit 0) and  $\ell_p$  (with summable absolute  $p$ -th power). We define mappings with values in  $c_0^0$  given for  $v$  in  $c_0$  by

$$F_+(v)(k) = \alpha(v(k-1)^L - v(k)^L), \quad F_-(v)(k) = \beta(v(k) - v(k+1)), \quad k \geq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

and  $F = F_+ - F_-$ , and the nonlinear differential equation  $\dot{u} = F(u)$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ , explicitly for  $t \geq 0$

$$\dot{u}_t(k) = F(u_t)(k) = \alpha(u_t(k-1)^L - u_t(k)^L) - \beta(u_t(k) - u_t(k+1)), \quad k \geq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

This corresponds to (1.6) in Vvedenskaya et al. [9] (with arrival rate  $\lambda$  and service rate 1) and (3.9) in Graham [2] (with arrival rate  $\nu$  and service rate  $\lambda$ ). Theorem 1 (a) in [9] and Theorem 3.3 in [2] yield that there exists a unique solution  $u = (u_t)_{t \geq 0}$  taking values in  $\mathcal{V}$  for (1.2), which is continuous, and if  $u_0$  is in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1$  then  $u$  takes values in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1$ .

A functional law of large numbers (LLN) for converging initial data follows from Theorem 2 in [9]. We give below a result contained in Theorem 3.4 in [2].

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $(R_0^N)_{N \geq L}$  converge in probability to  $u_0$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $(R^N)_{N \geq L}$  converges in probability in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{V})$  to the unique solution  $u = (u_t)_{t \geq 0}$  starting at  $u_0$  for (1.2).*

The networks are stable for  $\rho = \alpha/\beta < 1$ . Then Theorem 1 (b) in Vvedenskaya et al. [9] yields that (1.2) has a globally stable point  $\tilde{u}$  in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1$  given by  $\tilde{u}(k) = \rho^{(L^k - 1)/(L - 1)}$ . A functional LLN in equilibrium for  $(R^N)_{N \geq L}$  with limit  $\tilde{u}$  follows by a compactness-uniqueness method validating the inversion of limits for large sizes and large times, see Theorem 5 in [9] and Theorem 4.4 in [2].

The results of [9] are extended in Graham [2], in particular to LLNs and propagation of chaos results on path space. Theorem 3.5 in [2] gives convergence bounds in variation norm for the chaoticity result on  $[0, T]$  for  $(X_i^N)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  for  $(X_i^N(0))_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  i.i.d. of law  $q$ , using results in Graham and

Méléard [4]. These bounds can be somewhat extended for initial data satisfying appropriate a priori controls, but behave exponentially badly for large  $T$ .

### 1.3 Central limit theorems

Graham [3] and the present paper seek asymptotically tight rates of convergence and confidence intervals, and study the fluctuations around the LLN limits. For  $R_0^N$  in  $\mathcal{V}^N$  and  $u_0$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  we consider the process  $R^N$ , the solution  $u$  for (1.2), and the process  $Z^N = (Z_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$  with values in  $c_0^0$  given by

$$Z^N = \sqrt{N}(R^N - u). \quad (1.3)$$

Graham [3] focuses on the *stationary* regime for  $\alpha < \beta$  defining the initial data *implicitly*: the law of  $R_0^N$  is the invariant law for  $R^N$  and  $u_0 = \tilde{u}$ . The main result in [3] is Theorem 2.12, a functional central limit theorem (CLT) in equilibrium for  $(Z^N)_{N \geq L}$  with limit a stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. This *implies* a CLT under the invariant laws for  $(Z_0^N)_{N \geq L}$  with limit the invariant law for this Gaussian process, an important result which seems very difficult to obtain directly. The proofs actually involve appropriate *transient* regimes, ergodicity, and fine studies of the long-time behaviors, in particular a global exponential stability result for the nonlinear dynamical system (1.2) using intricate comparisons with linear equations and their spectral theory.

We complete here the study in [3] and derive a functional CLT in relation to Theorem 1.1, for the Skorokhod topology on Hilbert spaces with the weak topology, for a wide class of  $R_0^N$  and  $u_0$  under the *assumption* that  $(Z_0^N)_{N \geq L}$  converges in law (for instance satisfies a CLT). This covers without constraints on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  many transient regimes with *explicit* initial conditions, such as i.i.d. queues with common law appropriately converging as  $N$  grows. Section 2 introduces in turn the main notions and results, and Section 3 leads progressively to the proof of the functional CLT by a compactness-uniqueness method.

## 2 The functional central limit theorem

For a sequence  $w = (w(k))_{k \geq 1}$  such that  $w(k) > 0$  we define the Hilbert spaces

$$L_2(w) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : x(0) = 0, \|x\|_{L_2(w)}^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \left( \frac{x(k)}{w(k)} \right)^2 w(k) = \sum_{k \geq 1} x(k)^2 w(k)^{-1} < \infty \right\}$$

of which the elements are considered as measures identified with their densities with respect to the reference measure  $w$ . Then  $L_1(w) = \ell_1^0$  and if  $w$  is summable then  $\|x\|_1 \leq \|w\|_1^{1/2} \|x\|_{L_2(w)}$  and  $L_2(w) \subset \ell_1^0$ . For bounded  $w$  we have the Gelfand triplet  $L_2(w) \subset \ell_2^0 \subset L_2(w)^* = L_2(w^{-1})$ .

Also,  $L_2(w)$  is an  $\ell_2$  space with weights, and we consider the  $\ell_1$  space with same weights

$$\ell_1(w) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : x(0) = 0, \|x\|_{\ell_1(w)} = \sum_{k \geq 1} |x(k)|w(k)^{-1} < \infty \right\}.$$

Clearly  $x \in L_2(w) \Leftrightarrow x^2 \in \ell_1(w)$ . The operator norm of the inclusion  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1(w) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V} \cap L_2(w)$  is bounded by 1 since  $\|x\|_{L_2(w)}^2 = \|x^2\|_{\ell_1(w)} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_1(w)}$  for  $\|x\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ .

In the sequel we assume that  $w = (w_k)_{k \geq 1}$  satisfies the condition that

$$\exists c, d > 0 : cw(k+1) \leq w(k) \leq dw(k+1) \text{ for } k \geq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

This holds for  $\theta > 0$  for the geometric sequence  $(\theta^k)_{k \geq 1}$ , yielding quite strong norms for  $\theta < 1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $w$  satisfy (2.1). Then in  $\mathcal{V}$  the mappings  $F, F_+$  and  $F_-$  are Lipschitz for the  $L_2(w)$  and the  $\ell_1(w)$  norms. Existence and uniqueness holds for (1.2) in  $\mathcal{V} \cap L_2(w)$  and in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1(w)$ .*

**Proof.** We give the proof for  $\ell_1(w)$ , the proof for  $L_2(w)$  being similar (see Theorem 2.2 in Graham [3]). The identity  $x^L - y^L = (x - y)(x^{L-1} + x^{L-2}y + \dots + y^{L-1})$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} |u(k-1)^L - v(k-1)^L| w(k)^{-1} &\leq |u(k-1) - v(k-1)| Ldw(k-1)^{-1}, \\ |u(k)^L - v(k)^L| w(k)^{-1} &\leq |u(k) - v(k)| Lw(k)^{-1}, \\ |u(k+1) - v(k+1)| w(k)^{-1} &\leq |u(k+1) - v(k+1)| c^{-1}w(k+1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\|F_+(u) - F_+(v)\|_{\ell_1(w)} \leq \alpha L(d+1)\|u - v\|_{\ell_1(w)}$  and  $\|F_-(u) - F_-(v)\|_{\ell_1(w)} \leq \beta(c^{-1} + 1)\|u - v\|_{\ell_1(w)}$ . Existence and uniqueness follows using a Cauchy-Lipschitz method.  $\square$

The linearization of (1.2) around a particular solution  $u$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  is the linearization of the equation satisfied by  $z = g - u$  where  $g$  is a generic solution for (1.2) in  $\mathcal{V}$ , and is given for  $t \geq 0$  by

$$\dot{z}_t = \mathbf{K}(u_t)z_t \quad (2.2)$$

where for  $v$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  the linear operator  $\mathbf{K}(v) : x \mapsto \mathbf{K}(v)x$  on  $c_0^0$  is given by

$$\mathbf{K}(v)x(k) = \alpha Lv(k-1)^{L-1}x(k-1) - (\alpha Lv(k)^{L-1} + \beta)x(k) + \beta x(k+1), \quad k \geq 1. \quad (2.3)$$

The infinite matrix in the canonical basis  $(0, 1, 0, 0, \dots), (0, 0, 1, 0, \dots), \dots$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(\alpha Lv(1)^{L-1} + \beta) & \beta & 0 & \dots \\ \alpha Lv(1)^{L-1} & -(\alpha Lv(2)^{L-1} + \beta) & \beta & \dots \\ 0 & \alpha Lv(2)^{L-1} & -(\alpha Lv(3)^{L-1} + \beta) & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha Lv(3)^{L-1} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\mathbf{K}(v)$  is the adjoint of the the infinitesimal generator of a sub-Markovian birth and death process. The spectral representation of Karlin and McGregor [7] was a key tool in Graham [3], but here it varies in time and introduces no true simplification.

Let  $(M(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be independent real continuous centered Gaussian martingales, determined in law by their deterministic Doob-Meyer brackets given for  $t \geq 0$  by

$$\langle M(k) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \{F_+(u_s)(k) + F_-(u_s)(k)\} ds. \quad (2.4)$$

The processes  $M = (M(k))_{k \geq 0}$  and  $\langle M \rangle = (\langle M(k) \rangle)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  have values in  $c_0^0$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $w$  satisfy (2.1) and  $u_0$  be in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1(w)$ . Then the Gaussian martingale  $M$  is square-integrable in  $L_2(w)$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $\mathbf{E}(\|M_t\|_{L_2(w)}^2) = \mathbf{E}(\|\langle M \rangle_t\|_{\ell_1(w)})$  and we conclude using (2.4), Theorem 2.1, and uniform bounds in  $\ell_1(w)$  on  $(u_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$  in function of  $u_0$  given by the Gronwall Lemma.  $\square$

The limit Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation for the fluctuations is the inhomogeneous affine SDE given for  $t \geq 0$  by

$$Z_t = Z_0 + \int_0^t \mathbf{K}(u_s) Z_s ds + M_t \quad (2.5)$$

which is a perturbation of (2.2). A well-defined solution is called an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

In equilibrium  $u = \tilde{u}$  and setting  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{K}(\tilde{u})$  and using (1.1) and  $F_+(\tilde{u}) = F_-(\tilde{u})$  yields the simpler and more explicit formulation in Section 2.2 in Graham [3]. We recall that strong (or pathwise) uniqueness implies weak uniqueness, and that  $\ell_1(w) \subset L_2(w)$ .

**Theorem 2.3** *Let the sequence  $w$  satisfy (2.1).*

(a) *For  $v$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ , the operator  $\mathbf{K}(v)$  is bounded in  $L_2(w)$ , and its operator norm is uniformly bounded.*

(b) *Let  $u_0$  be in  $\mathcal{V} \cap L_2(w)$ . Then in  $L_2(w)$  there is a unique solution  $z_t = e^{\int_0^t \mathbf{K}(u_s) ds} z_0$  for (2.2) and strong uniqueness of solutions holds for (2.5).*

(c) *Let  $u_0$  be in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1(w)$ . Then in  $L_2(w)$  there is a unique strong solution  $Z_t = e^{\int_0^t \mathbf{K}(u_s) ds} Z_0 + \int_0^t e^{\int_s^t \mathbf{K}(u_r) dr} dM_s$  for (2.5) and if  $\mathbf{E}(\|Z_0\|_{L_2(w)}^2) < \infty$  then  $\mathbf{E}(\sup_{t \leq T} \|Z_t\|_{L_2(w)}^2) < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Considering (2.3),  $v \leq 1$ , convexity bounds, and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{K}(v)x\|_{L_2(w)}^2 &\leq 2(\alpha L + \beta) \sum_{k \geq 1} (\alpha L x(k-1)^2 dw(k-1)^{-1} + (\alpha L + \beta)x(k)^2 w(k)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \beta x(k+1)^2 c^{-1} w(k+1)^{-1}) \\ &\leq 2(\alpha L + \beta)(\alpha L(d+1) + \beta(c^{-1} + 1)) \|x\|_{L_2(w)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and (a) and (b) follow, the Gronwall Lemma yielding uniqueness. Under the assumption on  $u_0$  in (c) the martingale  $M$  is square-integrable in  $L_2(w)$ . If  $\mathbf{E}\left(\|Z_0\|_{L_2(w)}^2\right) < \infty$  then the formula for  $Z$  is well-defined, solves the SDE, and the Gronwall Lemma yields  $\mathbf{E}\left(\sup_{t \leq T} \|Z_t\|_{L_2(w)}^2\right) < \infty$ , else for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $r_\varepsilon < \infty$  such that  $\mathbf{P}\left(\|Z_0\|_{L_2(w)} \leq r_\varepsilon\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$ , and a localization procedure using pathwise uniqueness yields existence.  $\square$

Our main result is the following functional CLT. We refer to Jakubowski [5] for the Skorokhod topology for non-metrizable topologies. For the weak topology of a reflexive Banach space, the relatively compact sets are the bounded sets for the norm, see Rudin [8] Theorems 1.15 (b), 3.18, and 4.3. Hence,  $B(r)$  denoting the closed ball centered at 0 of radius  $r$ , a set  $\mathcal{T}$  of probability measures is tight if and only if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $r_\varepsilon < \infty$  such that  $p(B(r_\varepsilon)) > 1 - \varepsilon$  uniformly for  $p$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , which is the case if  $\mathcal{T}$  is finite.

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $w$  satisfy (2.1). Consider  $L_2(w)$  with its weak topology and  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2(w))$  with the corresponding Skorokhod topology. Let  $u_0$  be in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1(w)$  and  $R_0^N$  be in  $\mathcal{V}^N$ . Consider  $Z^N$  given by (1.3). If  $(Z_0^N)_{N \geq L}$  converges in law to  $Z_0$  in  $L_2(w)$  and is tight, then  $(Z^N)_{N \geq L}$  converges in law to the unique Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process solving (2.5) starting at  $Z_0$  and is tight.*

### 3 The proof

Let  $(x)_k = x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (the falling factorial of degree  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let the mappings  $F_+^N$  and  $F^N$  and with values in  $c_0^0$  be given for  $v$  in  $c_0$  by

$$F_+^N(v)(k) = \alpha \frac{(Nv(k-1))_L - (Nv(k))_L}{(N)_L}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad F^N(v) = F_+^N(v) - F_-(v), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $F_-$  is given in (1.1). The process  $R^N$  is Markov on  $\mathcal{V}^N$ , and when in state  $r$  has jumps in its  $k$ -th coordinate,  $k \geq 1$ , of size  $1/N$  at rate  $NF_+^N(r)(k)$  and size  $-1/N$  at rate  $NF_-(r)(k)$ .

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $R_0^N$  be in  $\mathcal{V}^N$ ,  $u$  solve (1.2) starting at  $u_0$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ , and  $Z^N$  be given by (1.3). Then*

$$Z_t^N = Z_0^N + \int_0^t \sqrt{N} (F^N(R_s^N) - F(u_s)) ds + M_t^N \quad (3.2)$$

*defines an independent family of square-integrable martingales  $M^N = (M^N(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  independent of  $Z_0^N$  with Doob-Meyer brackets given by*

$$\langle M^N(k) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \{F_+^N(R_s^N)(k) + F_-(R_s^N)(k)\} ds. \quad (3.3)$$

**Proof.** This follows from a classical application of the Dynkin formula.  $\square$

The first lemma below shows that it is indifferent to choose the  $L$  queues with or without replacement at this level of precision, the second one is a linearization formula.

**Lemma 3.2** For  $N \geq L \geq 1$  and  $a$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  we have

$$A^N(a) := \frac{(Na)_L}{(N)_L} - a^L = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} (a-1)^j a^{L-j} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq L-1} \frac{i_1 \cdots i_j}{(N-i_1) \cdots (N-i_j)}$$

and  $A^N(a) = N^{-1}O(a)$  uniformly for  $a$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Proof.** We develop  $\frac{(Na)_L}{(N)_L} = \prod_{i=0}^{L-1} \frac{Na-i}{N-i} = \prod_{i=0}^{L-1} \left( a + (a-1)\frac{i}{N-i} \right)$  to obtain the identity for  $A^N(a)$  and we deduce easily from it that it is  $N^{-1}O(a)$  uniformly for  $a$  in  $[0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** For  $L \geq 1$  and  $a$  and  $h$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  we have

$$B(a, h) := (a+h)^L - a^L - La^{L-1}h = \sum_{i=2}^L \binom{L}{i} a^{L-i} h^i$$

with  $B(a, h) = 0$  for  $L = 1$  and  $B(a, h) = h^2$  for  $L = 2$ . For  $L \geq 2$  we have  $0 \leq B(a, h) \leq h^L + (2^L - L - 2)ah^2$  for  $a$  and  $a+h$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Proof.** The identity is Newton's binomial formula. A convexity argument yields  $B(a, h) \geq 0$ . For  $a$  and  $a+h$  in  $[0, 1]$  and  $L \geq 2$ ,  $B(a, h) \leq h^L + \sum_{i=2}^{L-1} \binom{L}{i} ah^2 = h^L + (2^L - L - 2)ah^2$ .  $\square$

For  $v$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $x$  in  $c_0^0$ , considering (1.1), (3.1) and Lemma 3.2 let  $G^N : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow c_0^0$  be given by

$$G^N(v)(k) = \alpha A^N(v(k-1)) - \alpha A^N(v(k)), \quad k \geq 1, \quad (3.4)$$

and considering (1.1), (2.3) and Lemma 3.3 let  $H : \mathcal{V} \times c_0^0 \rightarrow c_0^0$  be given by

$$H(v, x)(k) = \alpha B(v(k-1), x(k-1)) - \alpha B(v(k), x(k)), \quad k \geq 1 \quad (3.5)$$

so that for  $v+x$  in  $\mathcal{V}$

$$F^N = F + G^N, \quad F(v+x) - F(v) = \mathbf{K}(v)x + H(v, x), \quad (3.6)$$

and we derive the limit equation (2.5) and (2.4) for the fluctuations from (3.2) and (3.3).

**Lemma 3.4** Let  $w$  satisfy (2.1). Let  $u_0$  be in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1(w)$  and  $R_0^N$  be in  $\mathcal{V}^N$ . For  $T \geq 0$  we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \left( \|Z_0^N\|_{L_2(w)}^2 \right) < \infty \Rightarrow \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_t^N\|_{L_2(w)}^2 \right) < \infty.$$

**Proof.** Using (3.2) and (3.6)

$$Z_t^N = Z_0^N + M_t^N + \sqrt{N} \int_0^t G^N(R_s^N) ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{N} (F(R_s^N) - F(u_s)) ds \quad (3.7)$$

where Lemma 3.2 and (2.1) yield that  $G^N(R_s^N)(k) = N^{-1}O(R_s^N(k-1) + R_s^N(k))$  and

$$\|G^N(R_s^N)\|_{L_2(w)} = N^{-1}O(\|R_s^N\|_{L_2(w)}). \quad (3.8)$$



We have

$$\|R_s^N\|_{L_2(w)} \leq \|u_s\|_{L_2(w)} + N^{-1/2} \|Z_s^N\|_{L_2(w)}, \quad (3.9)$$

Theorem 2.1 yields that  $F_+$ ,  $F_-$  and  $F$  are Lipschitz, the Gronwall Lemma that for some  $K_T < \infty$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Z_t^N\|_{L_2(w)} \leq K_T \left( \|Z_0^N\|_{L_2(w)} + N^{-1/2} K_T \|u_0\|_{L_2(w)} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|M_t^N\|_{L_2(w)} \right),$$

and we conclude using the Doob inequality, (3.3), (3.6),

$$\|F_+(R_s^N) + F_-(R_s^N)\|_{L_2(w)} \leq K \|R_s^N\|_{L_2(w)}, \quad (3.10)$$

and the bounds (3.8) and (3.9).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $w$  satisfy (2.1), and consider  $L_2(w)$  with its weak topology and  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, L_2(w))$  with the corresponding Skorokhod topology. Let  $u_0$  be in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \ell_1(w)$  and  $R_0^N$  be in  $\mathcal{V}^N$ . Consider  $Z^N$  given by (1.3). If  $(Z_0^N)_{N \geq L}$  is tight then  $(Z^N)_{N \geq L}$  is tight and its limit points are continuous.*

**Proof.** For  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $r_\varepsilon < \infty$  be such that  $\mathbf{P}(Z_0^N \in B(r_\varepsilon)) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for  $N \geq 1$  (see the discussion prior to Theorem 2.4). Let  $R_0^{N,\varepsilon}$  be equal to  $R_0^N$  on  $\{Z_0^N \in B(r_\varepsilon)\}$  and such that  $Z_0^{N,\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L_2(w)$  on  $\{Z_0^N \notin B(r_\varepsilon)\}$  (for instance deterministically equal to some outcome of  $R_0^N$  on  $\{Z_0^N \in B(r_\varepsilon)\}$ ). Then  $Z_0^{N,\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L_2(w)$  and we may use a coupling argument to construct  $Z^{N,\varepsilon}$  and  $Z^N$  coinciding on  $\{Z_0^N \in B(r_\varepsilon)\}$ .

Hence to prove tightness of  $(Z^N)_{N \geq L}$  we may restrict our attention to  $(Z_0^N)_{N \geq L}$  uniformly bounded in  $L_2(w)$ , for which we may use Lemma 3.4.

The compact subsets of  $L_2(w)$  are Polish, a fact yielding tightness criteria. We deduce from Theorems 4.6 and 3.1 in Jakubowski [5], which considers completely regular Hausdorff spaces (Tychonoff spaces) of which  $L_2(w)$  with its weak topology is an example, that  $(Z^N)_{N \geq L}$  is tight if

1. For each  $T \geq 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a bounded subset  $K_{T,\varepsilon}$  of  $L_2(w)$  such that for  $N \geq L$  we have  $\mathbf{P}(Z^N \in \mathbb{D}([0, T], K_{T,\varepsilon})) > 1 - \varepsilon$ .
2. For each  $d \geq 1$ , the  $d$ -dimensional processes  $(Z^N(1), \dots, Z^N(d))_{N \geq L}$  are tight.

Lemma 3.4 and the Markov inequality yield condition 1. We use (3.7) (derived from (3.2)) and (3.3) and (3.6), and the bounds (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10). The uniform bounds in Lemma 3.4 and the fact that  $Z^N(k)$  has jumps of size  $N^{-1/2}$  classically imply that the above finite-dimensional processes are tight and have continuous limit points, see for instance Ethier-Kurtz [1] Theorem 4.1 p. 354 or Joffe-Métivier [6] Proposition 3.2.3 and their proofs.  $\square$

*End of the proof of Theorem 2.4.* Lemma 3.5 implies that from any subsequence of  $Z^N$  we may extract a further subsequence which converges to some  $Z^\infty$  with continuous sample paths. Necessarily  $Z_0^\infty$  has same law as  $Z_0$ . In (3.7) we have considering (3.6)

$$\sqrt{N}(F(R_s^N)(k) - F(u_s)(k)) = \mathbf{K}(u_s)Z_s^N + \sqrt{N}H(u_s, N^{-1/2}Z_s^N). \quad (3.11)$$

We use the bounds (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), the uniform bounds in Lemma 3.4, and additionally (3.5) and Lemma 3.3. We deduce by a martingale characterization that  $Z^\infty$  has the law of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process unique solution for (2.5) in  $L_2(w)$  starting at  $Z_0^\infty$ , see Theorem 2.3; the drift vector is given by the limit for (3.2) and (3.7) considering (3.11), and the martingale bracket by the limit for (3.3). See for instance Ethier-Kurtz [1] Theorem 4.1 p. 354 or Joffe-Métivier [6] Theorem 3.3.1 and their proofs for details. Thus, this law is the unique accumulation point for the relatively compact sequence of laws of  $(Z^N)_{N \geq 1}$ , which must then converge to it, proving Theorem 2.4.

## References

- [1] ETHIER, S. AND KURTZ, T. (1986). *Markov processes*. John Wiley & Sons, New-York.
- [2] GRAHAM, C. (2000). Chaoticity on path space for a queuing network with selection of the shortest queue among several. *J. Appl. Probab.* **37** 198–211.
- [3] GRAHAM, C. (2003). A functional central limit theorem in equilibrium for a large network in which customers join the shortest of several queues. Preprint, R.I. 512 du Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées de l'École Polytechnique.
- [4] GRAHAM, C. AND MÉLÉARD, S. (1994). Chaos hypothesis for a system interacting through shared resources. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **100** 157–173.
- [5] JAKUBOWSKI, A. (1986). On the Skorokhod topology. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **22** 263–285.
- [6] JOFFE, A. AND MÉTIVIER, M. (1986). Weak convergence of sequences of semimartingales with applications to multiype branching processes. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **18** 20–65.
- [7] KARLIN, S. AND MCGREGOR, J.L. (1957). The differential equations of birth-and-death processes, and the Stieljes moment problem. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **85** 489–546.
- [8] RUDIN, W. (1973). *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [9] VVEDENSKAYA, N., DOBRUSHIN, R. AND KARPELEVICH, F. (1996). Queuing system with selection of the shortest of two queues: an asymptotic approach. *Probl. Inf. Transm.* **32** 15–27.