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Abstract: We associate to certain symmetric or antisymmetric functions on the set $\binom{E}{d+1}$ of $(d+1)$-subsets in a finite set $E$ an equivalence relation on $E$ and study some of its properties.

## 1 Definitions and main results

We consider a finite set $E$ and denote by $\binom{E}{d}$ the set of subsets containing exactly $d$ elements of $E$. In the sequel we move often freely from sets to sequences: we identify a subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E}{d}$ with the finite sequence $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ where the order of the elements is for instance always increasing with respect to a fixed total order on $E$.

A function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is symmetric if

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{1} \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

for $1 \leq i<d$ and all $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E}{d}$.
Similarly, such a function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is antisymmetric if

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=-\varphi\left(x_{1} \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_{i}, x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

for $1 \leq i<d$ and all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d} \in E$.
$\varphi$ is generic if $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \neq 0$ for all subsets $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E}{d}$ of $d$ distinct elements in $E$.

In the sequel of this paper all functions will be generic. We will mainly be concerned with sign properties of generic symmetric or antisymmetric functions: Given any symmetric generic function $\sigma:\binom{E}{d} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ and a symmetric or antisymmetric generic function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d}$, the two functions

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \longmapsto \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \longmapsto \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

behave similarly with respect to all properties adressed in this paper.
We have also an obvious sign rule: symmetric or antisymmetric functions on $\binom{E}{d}$ behave with respect to multiplication like the elements of the multiplicative group $\{ \pm 1\}$ with symmetric functions corresponding to 1 and antisymmetric functions corresponding to -1 .

We fix now a generic symmetric or antisymmetric function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow$ R. Consider two elements $a, b \in E$. A subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E \backslash\{a, b\}}{d}$ not containing $a$ and $b$ separates $a$ from $b$ with respect to $\varphi$ if

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, a\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, b\right)<0
$$

(this definition is of course independent of the particular linear order $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ on the set $\left.\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}\right)$.

We denote by $n(a, b)=n_{\varphi}(a, b)$ the number of subsets in $\binom{E \backslash\{a, b\}}{d}$ separating $a$ from $b$ (with respect to the function $\varphi$ ).

Proposition 1.1 (i) If $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is symmetric and generic then

$$
n(a, b)+n(b, c)+n(a, c) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

for any subset $\{a, b, c\}$ of 3 distinct elements in $E$.
(ii) If $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is antisymmetric and generic then

$$
n(a, b)+n(b, c)+n(a, c) \equiv\binom{\sharp(E)-3}{d-1} \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

for any subset $\{a, b, c\}$ of 3 distinct elements in $E$.
Proof. Consider first a subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$ not intersecting $\{a, b, c\}$. Such a subset separates no pair of elements in $\{a, b, c\}$ if

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, a\right), \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, b\right) \text { and } \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, c\right)
$$

all have the same sign. Otherwise, consider a reordering $\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right\}=\{a, b, c\}$ such that $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, a^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, b^{\prime}\right)<0$ and $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, a^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, c^{\prime}\right)<$ 0 . The subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$ contributes in this case 1 to $n\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right), n\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$ and 0 to $n\left(b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$. Such a subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E \backslash\{a, b, c\}}{d}$ yields hence always an even contribution ( 0 or 2 ) to the sum $n(a, b)+n(a, c)+n(b, c)$.

Consider now a subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right\} \in\binom{E \backslash\{a, b, c\}}{d-1}$. We have to understand the contributions of the sets

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, c\right\} & \text { to } & n(a, b), \\
\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, b\right\} & \text { to } & n(a, c), \\
\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, a\right\} & \text { to } & n(b, c) .
\end{array}
$$

Since the product of the six factors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, c, a\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, c, b\right) \\
& \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, b, a\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, b, c\right) \\
& \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, a, b\right) \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, a, c\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is always positive (respectively negative) for a generic symmetric (respectively antisymmetric) function, such a subset $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right\}$ yields an even contribution to $n(a, b)+n(a, c)+n(b, c)$ in the symmetric case and an odd contribution in the antisymmetric case.

Proposition 1.1 follows now from the fact that $\binom{E \backslash\{a, b, c\}}{d-1}$ has $\binom{\sharp(E)-3}{d-1}$ elements.

Given a generic symmetric or antisymmetric function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ on some finite set $E$ we set $x \sim y$ if either $x=y \in E$ or if

$$
n(x, y) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2) \quad \text { for symmetric } \varphi
$$

respectively

$$
n(x, y) \equiv\binom{\sharp(E)-3}{d-1} \quad(\bmod 2) \quad \text { for antisymmetric } \varphi .
$$

We call the relation $\sim$ defined in this way on the set $E$ the Orchard relation.
Theorem 1.2 The Orchard relation is an equivalence relation having at most two classes.

Proof. Reflexivity and symmetry are obvious. Transitivity follows easily from Proposition 1.1.

If $a \nsim b$ and $b \nsim c$ then $n(a, b)+n(b, c)$ is even. It follows then from Proposition 1.1 that $a \sim c$.

Example. A tournament is a generic antisymmetric function $\binom{E}{1+1} \longrightarrow$ $\{ \pm 1\}$. It encodes for instance orientations of all edges in the complete graph with vertices $E$ and can be summarized by an antisymmetric matrix $A$ with coefficients in $\{ \pm 1\}$.

Given such a matrix $A$ with coefficients $a_{i, j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have

$$
n_{A}(i, j)=\frac{n-2-\sum_{k} a_{i k} a_{j k}}{2}
$$

This implies $i \sim_{A} j$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{k} a_{i k} a_{j k} \equiv n \quad(\bmod 4)
$$

for $i \neq j$. In the language of tournaments (cf. for instance 44 ), this result can be restated in terms of score vectors: Two elements $i$ and $j$ are Orchard
equivalent if and only if the corresponding coefficients of the score vector (counting the number of 1's in line $i$ respectively $j$ ) have the same parities.

Main Example. A finite set $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbf{R}^{d}$ of $n>d$ points in real affine space $\mathbf{R}^{d}$ is generic if the affine span of any subset containing $(d+1)$ points in $\mathcal{P}$ is all of $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. Such a generic set $\mathcal{P}$ is endowed with a generic antisymmetric function by restricting

$$
\varphi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}, x_{2}-x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}-x_{0}\right)
$$

to $\binom{\mathcal{P}}{d+1}$. The Orchard relation partitions hence a generic subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbf{R}^{d}$ into two (generally non-empty) subsets. Its name originates from the fact that the planar case $(d=2)$ yields a natural rule to plant trees of two different species at specified generic locations in an orchard, see [1] and [2].

Proposition 1.3 Given a finite set $E$ let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be two generic symmetric or antisymmetric functions on $\binom{E}{d+1}$.
(i) If the numbers

$$
\varphi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \psi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

have the same sign for all $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E}{d+1}$ then the two Orchard relations $\sim_{\varphi}$ and $\sim_{\psi}$ induced by $\varphi$ and $\psi$ coincide.
(ii) If there exists exactly one subset $\mathcal{F}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E}{d+1}$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \psi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)<0
$$

then the restrictions of $\sim_{\varphi}$ and $\sim_{\psi}$ to the two subsets $\mathcal{F}$ and $E \backslash \mathcal{F}$ coincide but $a \sim_{\varphi} b \Longleftrightarrow a \not \chi_{\psi} b$ for $a \in \mathcal{F}$ and $b \in E \backslash \mathcal{F}$.

We call two symmetric or antisymmetric functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ satisfying the condition of assertion (ii) above flip-related. Coulouring the equivalence classes of an Orchard relation with two distinct coulours, one can express assertion (ii) by the statement that changing a generic (symmetric or antisymmetric) function by a flip switches the coulours in the flip-set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$ and leaves the coulours of the remaining elements unchanged.

Assertion (i) shows that we can restrict our attention to symmetric or antisymmetric functions from $\binom{E}{d+1}$ into $\{ \pm 1\}$ when studying properties of the Orchard relation.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Assertion (i) is obvious.
For proving assertion (ii) it is enough to remark that the numbers $n_{\varphi}(a, b)$ and $n_{\psi}(a, b)$ of separating sets (with respect to $\varphi$ and $\psi$ ) are identical if either $\{a, b\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ or $\{a, b\} \subset E \backslash \mathcal{F}$ and they differ by exactly one in the remaining cases.

## 2 An easy characterisation in the symmetric case

In this section we give a different and rather trivial description of the Orchard relation in the symmetric case.

Given a generic symmetric function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ on some finite set $E$ we consider the function

$$
\mu(x)=\sharp\left(\left\{\left.\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E \backslash\{x\}}{d} \right\rvert\, \varphi\left(x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)>0\right\}\right)
$$

from $E$ to $\mathbf{N}$.
Theorem 2.1 Two elements $x, y \in E$ are Orchard equivalent with respect to $\varphi$ if and only if $\mu(x) \equiv \mu(y) \quad(\bmod 2)$.

Proof. The result holds if $\varphi$ is the constant function

$$
\varphi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=1
$$

for all $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E}{d+1}$.
Given two generic symmetric functions $\varphi, \psi$ related by a flip with respect to the set $\mathcal{F}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E}{d+1}$ we have

$$
\mu_{\varphi}(x)=\mu_{\psi}(x)
$$

if $x \notin \mathcal{F}$ and

$$
\mu_{\varphi}(x)=\mu_{\psi}(x) \pm 1
$$

otherwise. Proposition 1.3 implies hence the result since any generic symmetric function can be related by a finite number of flips to the constant function.

## 3 Reducing $d$

Let $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a generic symmetric or antisymmetric function. Consider the function

$$
R \varphi:\binom{E}{d} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}
$$

defined by

$$
R \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\prod_{x \in E \backslash\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}} \varphi\left(x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

$R \varphi$ is generic symmetric if $\varphi$ is generic symmetric.
For $\varphi$ generic antisymmetric, the function $R \varphi$ is generic symmetric if $\sharp(E) \equiv d \quad(\bmod 2)$ and $R \varphi$ is generic antisymmetric otherwise.

Dependencies of the Orchard relations associated to $\varphi$ and $R \varphi$ are described by the following result.

Proposition 3.1 Let $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a generic symmetric or antisymmetric function.
(i) If $d \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$ then the Orchard relation of $R \varphi$ is trivial (i.e. $x \sim_{R \varphi} y$ for all $\left.x, y \in E\right)$.
(ii) If $d \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2)$ then the Orchard relations $\sim_{\varphi}$ and $\sim_{R \varphi}$ coincide on $E$.

The main ingredient of the proof is the following lemma.
Lemma 3.2 Let $\varphi, \psi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be two generic symmetric or antisymmetric functions which are flip-related with respect to the set $\mathcal{F}=$ $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\} \in\binom{E}{d+1}$. Then

$$
R \varphi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right) R \psi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)<0
$$

if $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and

$$
R \varphi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right) R \psi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)>0
$$

otherwise.
Proof of Lemma 3.2. If $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\} \not \subset \mathcal{F}$ then $\varphi\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)=$ $\psi\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$ for all $x \in E \backslash\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\}$ and hence $R \varphi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)=$ $R \psi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$. Otherwise, exactly one factor of the product yielding $R \psi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$ changes sign with respect to the factors yielding $R \varphi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We consider first the case where $\varphi$ : $\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is generic and symmetric.

Proposition 3.1 holds then for the constant symmetric application $\varphi$ : $\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow\{1\}$.

Two generic symmetric functions $\varphi, \psi$ on $\binom{E}{d+1}$ which are flip-related with respect to $\mathcal{F}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$ give rise to $R \varphi$ and $R \psi$ which are related through $d+1$ flips with respect to all $d+1$ elements in $\binom{\mathcal{F}}{d}$ by Lemma 3.2. Proposition 1.3 implies hence the result since an element of $E \backslash \mathcal{F}$ is contained in no element of $\binom{\mathcal{F}}{d}$ and since all elements of $\mathcal{F}$ are contained in exactly $d$ such sets.

Second case: $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ generic and antisymmetric. This case is slightly more involved. As in the symmetric case, we prove the result for a particular function $\varphi$ and use the fact that flips of $\varphi$ affect the Orchard relation $\sim_{R \varphi}$ only for odd $d$. This shows that it is enough to prove that $\sim_{R \varphi}$ is trivial for a particular function $\varphi$ in the case of even $d$ and that $\sim_{R \varphi}$ and $\sim_{\varphi}$ coincide (for a particular generic antisymmetric function $\varphi$ ) in the case of odd $d$.

We consider now the set $E=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ endowed with the generic antisymmetric function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ defined by

$$
\varphi\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{d}\right)=1
$$

for all $1 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{d} \leq n$.
Each element of $\binom{E \backslash\{i, i+1\}}{d-1}$ separates then $i$ from $i+1$ with respect to the generic function $R \varphi$. We have indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R \varphi\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}, i\right) \\
& \quad=\varphi\left(i+1, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}, i\right) \prod_{j \in E \backslash\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}, i, i+1\right\}} \varphi\left(j, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}, i\right) \\
& =-\varphi\left(i, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}, i+1\right) \prod_{j \in E \backslash\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}, i, i+1\right\}} \varphi\left(j, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}, i+1\right) \\
& \quad=-R \varphi\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d-1}, i+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

showing that the number $n_{R \varphi}(i, i+1)$ of sets separating $i$ from $i+1$ equals $\binom{n-2}{d-1}$.

The proof splits now into four cases according to the parities of $n$ and $d$. If $n \equiv d \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$, then $R \varphi$ is symmetric and $\binom{n-2}{d-1}$ is even (recall that

$$
\binom{\sum_{i=0} \nu_{i} 2^{i}}{\sum_{i=0} \kappa_{i} 2^{i}} \equiv \prod_{i}\binom{\nu_{i}}{\kappa_{i}} \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

for $\nu_{i}, \kappa_{i} \in\{0,1\}$, cf. for instance Exercice 5.36 in Chapter 5 of (3). Since $n_{R \varphi}(i, i+1)=\binom{n-2}{d-1}$ is even for all $i<n$, the Orchard relation $\sim_{R \varphi}$ associated to the symmetric function $R \varphi$ is trivial.

If $n \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2), d \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$, then $R \varphi$ is antisymmetric. We have then $\binom{n-3}{d-1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$ and thus $\binom{n-3}{d-2} \equiv\binom{n-3}{d-2}+\binom{n-3}{d-1}=\binom{n-2}{d-1} \quad(\bmod 2)$ which implies again the triviality of the Orchard relation $\sim_{R \varphi}$ since we have $n_{R \varphi}(i, i+1)=\binom{n-2}{d-1} \equiv\binom{n-3}{d-2} \quad(\bmod 2)$ which shows $i \sim_{R \varphi}(i+1)$ for all $i$.

If $n \equiv d \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2)$ then $R \varphi$ is symmetric. Since $\binom{n-3}{d-2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$ we have $\binom{n-2}{d-1}=\binom{n-3}{d-2}+\binom{n-3}{d-1} \equiv\binom{n-3}{d-1} \quad(\bmod 2)$ proving that the Orchard relations $\sim_{\varphi}$ and $\sim_{R \varphi}$ coincide.

If $n \equiv 0(\bmod 2), d \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then $R \varphi$ is antisymmetric. The equality $\binom{n-2}{d-1}=\binom{n-3}{d-2}+\binom{n-3}{d-1}$ implies $\binom{n-3}{d-1} \equiv\binom{n-3}{d-2}+\binom{n-2}{d-1} \quad(\bmod 2)$. This shows hat the Orchard relations $\sim \varphi$ and $\sim_{R \varphi}$ coincide.

## 4 Homology

We recall that $R \varphi:\binom{E}{d} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined by

$$
R \varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\prod_{x \in E \backslash\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}} \varphi\left(x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

for a given generic symmetric or antisymmetric function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$.
Lemma 4.1 We have

$$
\left.R(R \varphi)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right) \in \epsilon^{(\sharp(E)-d+1}\right)_{2}^{\left(R_{>0}\right.}
$$

where $\epsilon=1$ if $\varphi$ is generic and symmetric and $\epsilon=-1$ if $\varphi$ is generic and antisymmetric.

Proof. Setting $\mathcal{S}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right\}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(R \varphi)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\prod_{y \in E \backslash \mathcal{S}} R \varphi\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right)=\prod_{x \neq y \in E \backslash \mathcal{S}} \varphi\left(x, y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\prod_{\{x, y\} \in\binom{E \backslash \mathcal{S}}{2}} \varphi\left(x, y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right) \varphi\left(y, x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is positive if $\varphi$ is symmetric or if $(\sharp(E)-d+1)$ is even and negative otherwise.

Writing as in the beginning $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the set $\{ \pm 1\}^{\binom{[n]}{d+1}}$ (endowed with the the usual product of functions) of all symmetric generic functions $\binom{[n]}{d+1} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ is a vector space of dimension $\binom{n}{d+1}$ over the field $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ of 2 elements. The map $R$ considered above defines group homomorphisms between these vector spaces and the above Lemma allows to define homology groups. These groups are however all trivial except for $d=0$ since one obtains the ordinary (simplicial) homology with coefficients in $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ of an $(n-1)$ dimensional simplex.

## 5 Increasing $d$

This section is a close analogue of section 3 .
Given a generic symmetric or antisymmetric function $\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ we define a function $A \varphi:\binom{E}{d+2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by setting

$$
A \varphi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{d+1} \varphi\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right)
$$

The function $A \varphi$ is generic symmetric if $\varphi$ is symmetric. For $\varphi$ antisymmetric it is generic symmetric if $d \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$ and generic antisymmetric otherwise.

The dependency between the Orchard relations $\sim_{\varphi}$ and $\sim_{A \varphi}$ for a generic symmetric or antisymmetric function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is described by the following result.

Proposition 5.1 Let $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a generic symmetric or antisymmetric function.

The Orchard relation $\sim_{A \varphi}$ of $A \varphi$ is trivial if $\sharp(E) \equiv d \quad(\bmod 2)$. Otherwise, the Orchard relations $\sim_{\varphi}$ and $\sim_{A \varphi}$ of $\varphi$ and $A \varphi$ coincide.

The main ingredient of the proof is the following lemma whose easy proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 5.2 Let $\varphi, \psi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be two generic symmetric or antisymmetric functions which are flip-related with respect to the set $\mathcal{F}=$ $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$. Then

$$
A \varphi\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{d+1}\right) A \psi\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{d+1}\right)>0
$$

if $\mathcal{F} \not \subset\left\{y_{0}, \ldots, y_{d+1}\right\}$ and

$$
A \varphi\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{d+1}\right) A \psi\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{d+1}\right)<0
$$

otherwise.
Proof of Proposition 5.1. Lemma 5.2 shows that $\sim_{A \varphi}$ is independent of $\varphi$ if $\sharp(E) \equiv d \quad(\bmod 2)$. Otherwise, the Orchard relations of $\varphi$ and $A \varphi$ behave in a similar way under flips. Indeed, given $\psi$ which is flip-related with flipset $\mathcal{F}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$ to $\varphi$ the functions $A \psi$ and $A \varphi$ are related through $(\sharp(E)-(d+1))$ flips with flipsets $\mathcal{F} \cup\{x\}, x \in E \backslash \mathcal{F}$. Each element of $E \backslash \mathcal{F}$ is hence flipped once and each element of $\mathcal{F}$ is flipped $\sharp(E)-(d+1)$ times.

Proposition 1.3 implies hence that $\sim_{A \varphi}$ is independent of $\varphi$ if $1 \equiv \sharp(E)-$ ( $d+1$ ) and that $\sim_{\varphi}$ and $\sim_{A \varphi}$ behave similarly under flips otherwise. It is hence enough to proof Proposition 5.1 in a particular case.

If $\varphi$ is symmetric, then Proposition 5.1 clearly holds for the constant application $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow\{1\}$.

In the antisymmetric case we set $E=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and we consider the generic antisymmetric function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ defined by

$$
\varphi\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{d}\right)=1
$$

for all $1 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{d} \leq n$. The function $A \varphi:\binom{E}{d+2} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$ is now given by

$$
A \varphi\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{d}, i_{d+1}\right)=1
$$

for all $1 \leq i_{0}<i_{1}<\ldots<i_{d}<i_{d+1} \leq n$. The numbers $n_{A \varphi}(i, i+1)$ of subsets in $\binom{E \backslash\{i, i+1\}}{d+1}$ separating $i$ from $i+1$ are hence all 0 and we split the discussion into several cases according to the parities of $n=\sharp(E)$ and $d$.
$n \equiv d \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$ implies $A \varphi$ symmetric and hence $\sim_{A_{\varphi}}$ trivial.
$n \equiv 1(\bmod 2), d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ implies $A \varphi$ symmetric and hence $\sim_{A \varphi}$ trivial. Since then $\binom{n-3}{d-1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$ we have also $\sim_{\varphi}$ trivial.
$n \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2), d \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2)$ implies $A \varphi$ antisymmetric. We have then $\binom{n-3}{d-1}+\binom{n-3}{d}=\binom{n-2}{d} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$ proving equality of the two Orchard relations $\sim_{\varphi}$ and $\sim_{A \varphi}$.
$n \equiv d \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2)$ implies $A \varphi$ antisymmetric and $\binom{n-3}{d} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)$ thus proving triviality of the Orchard relation $\sim_{A \varphi}$.

Remark 5.3 One sees easily that the function $A(A \varphi)$ is strictly positive for a generic symmetric or antisymmetric function $\varphi:\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

This allows the definition of cohomology groups on the set of generic symmetric functions $\binom{E}{d+1} \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\}$. The resulting groups are of course not interesting since this boils down once more to the cohomology groups of the $(\sharp(E)-1)$-dimensional simplex with coefficients in the field $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ of 2 elements.
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