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#### Abstract

We prove an existence result for a class of Dirichlet boundary value problems with discontinuous nonlinearity and involving a Leray-Lions operator. The proof combines monotonicity methods for elliptic problems, variational inequality techniques and basic tools related to monotone operators. Our work generalizes a result obtained in Carl [4].
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## 1. Introduction and the main result.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{N}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
(P) \begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u(x)))=f(u(x)), & \text { if } x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $a: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N}$ is a Carathéodory function having the properties
$\left(a_{1}\right)$ there exist $p>1$ and $\lambda>0$ such that $a(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \lambda \cdot\|\xi\|^{p}$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$;
( $a_{2}$ ) $(a(x, \xi)-a(x, \eta)) \cdot(\xi-\eta)>0$, for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, \xi \neq \eta$;
$\left(a_{3}\right)$ there exist $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$and $k \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ such that $|a(x, \xi)| \leq \alpha\left(k(x)+|\xi|^{p-1}\right)$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$.

Assume that the nonlinearity $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies the hypothesis
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ there exist nondecreasing functions $f, g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $f=g-h$.

Let $\beta: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbf{R}}$ be the maximal monotone graph associated with the nondecreasing function $h$ (see Brezis [3]). More exactly,

$$
\beta(s):=\left[h^{-}(s), h^{+}(s)\right], \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbf{R},
$$

where

$$
h^{-}(s)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} h(s-\varepsilon), \quad h^{+}(s)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} h(s+\varepsilon) .
$$

Under this assumption we reformulate the problem $(P)$ as follows

$$
\left(P^{\prime}\right) \begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla(x)))+\beta(u(x)) \ni g(u(x)), & \text { if } x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

Denote by $G$ the Nemitskii operator associated with $g$, that is, $G(u)(x)=$ $g(u(x))$.

DEFINITION 1. A function $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is called a solution of the problem ( $P^{\prime}$ ) if there exists $v \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ such that
i) $v(x) \in \beta(u(x))$ a.e. in $\Omega$,
ii) $\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla w d x+\int_{\Omega} v \cdot w d x=\int_{\Omega} G(u) \cdot w d x$, for any $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Let $L_{+}^{p}$ be the set of nonnegative elements of $L^{p}(\Omega)$. For any $v, w \in \Omega$ such that $v \leq w$, we set

$$
[v, w]=\left\{u \in L^{p}(\Omega) / v \leq u \leq w\right\} .
$$

DEFINITION 2. A function $\bar{u} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is called an upper solution of the problem ( $P^{\prime}$ ) if there exists a function $\bar{v} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ such that
i) $\bar{v}(x) \in \beta(\bar{u}(x))$ a.e. in $\Omega$,
ii) $\bar{u} \geq 0$ on $\partial \Omega$,
iii) $\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla w d x+\int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \cdot w d x \geq \int_{\Omega} G(\bar{u}) \cdot w d x$ for all $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L_{+}^{p}(\Omega)$.

DEFInItion 3. A function $\bar{u} \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is called a lower solution of the problem ( $P^{\prime}$ ) if there exists a function $\bar{v} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ such that
i) $\underline{v}(x) \in \beta(\underline{u}(x))$ a.e. in $\Omega$,
ii) $\underline{u} \leq 0$ on $\partial \Omega$,
iii) $\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla \underline{u}) \cdot \nabla w d x+\int_{\Omega} \underline{v} \cdot w d x \leq \int_{\Omega} G(\underline{u}) \cdot w d x$ for any $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L_{+}^{p}(\Omega)$.
In the sequel the following hypothesis will be needed:
( $H_{2}$ ) There exist an upper solution $\bar{u}$ and a lower solution $\underline{u}$ of the problem ( $P^{\prime}$ ) such that $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$, and $G(\underline{u}), G(\bar{u}), H^{+}(\bar{u}), H^{-}(\underline{u}) \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.
The following is a generalization of the main result in Carl [4].
THEOREM 1. Assume hypothesis $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold and that $g$ is right (resp. left) continuous. Then there exists a maximal (resp. minimal) solution $u \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ of the problem $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.

We first reformulate the problem ( $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ ) in terms of variational inequalities using the subdifferential theory in the sense of convex analysis.

Let $j: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow(-\infty, \infty]$ be a convex, proper and lower semicontinuous function. Let $\partial j$ be the subdifferential of $j$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial j(r)=\{\hat{r} \in \mathbf{R}: j(s) \geq j(r)+\hat{r}(s-r) \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbf{R}\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the following result concerning maximal monotone graphs in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ (see Brezis [3] [Corollary 2.10], p. 43)

Lemma 1. Let $\beta: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow 2 \mathbf{R}$ be a maximal monotone graph in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Then there exists a convex, proper and lower semicontinuous function $j: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow$ $(-\infty,+\infty]$ such that $\beta=\partial j$. Moreover, the function $j$ is uniquely determined up to an additive constant.

We observe that the function $h$ appearing in $\left(H_{1}\right)$ can always be chosen so that $h(0)=0$. Then the maximal monotone graph $\beta$ has the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\beta)=\mathbf{R} \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \in \beta(0) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $j$ related to $\beta$ according to Lemma 1 is uniquely determined up to an additive constant we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(0)=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by (1), (2) and (3) it follows that
(4)

$$
j(s) \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbf{R}
$$

Define $J: L^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ by

$$
J(v)= \begin{cases}\int_{\Omega} j(v(x)) d x, & \text { for } j(v(\cdot)) \in L^{1}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $J$ is convex, proper and lower semicontinuous (see Barbu [1]).
Under the above assertions we can reformulate the problem ( $P^{\prime}$ ) in terms of variational inequalities as follows: find $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(w-u) d x+J(w)-J(u) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(u)(w-u) d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$ for all $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

LEMMA 2. Let hypotheses $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ be fulfilled. Then $u \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ is a solution of (5) if and only if $u$ is a solution of the problem $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $u \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ satisfy the variational inequality (5). Then

$$
J(w) \geq J(u)+\int_{\Omega} G(u) \cdot(w-u) d x-\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(w-u) d x
$$

It follows that

$$
\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))+G(u) \in \partial J(u) \quad \text { in } W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

It follows by Brezis [2] [Corollaire 1] that any subgradient $v \in \partial J(u)$ of the functional $J: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ at $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ belongs to $L^{1}(\Omega)$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x) \in \partial j(u(x))=\beta(u(x)) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
h^{-}(\underline{u}(x)) \leq h^{-}(u(x)) \leq \beta(u(x)) \leq h^{+}(\bar{u}(x)) \leq h^{+}(\bar{u}(x)) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v| \leq\left|H^{+}(\bar{u})\right|+\left|H^{-}(\underline{u})\right| . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(H_{2}\right)$, the right-hand side of (8) belongs to $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. It follows that $v \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. Thus there exists $v \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{div}(a(x, \nabla u))+G(u)=v \quad \text { in } W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla w d x+\int_{\Omega} v \cdot w d x=\int_{\Omega} G(u) w d x  \tag{9}\\
\quad \text { for all } w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) .
\end{align*}
$$

Relations (7) and (9) imply that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a solution of the problem ( $P^{\prime}$ ).

Conversely, let $u \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ be a solution of the problem $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$. Then there exists $v \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ such that $v \in \beta(u)=\partial j(u(x))$ and the relation (9) is fulfilled. Since $v(x) \in \partial j(u(x))$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(s) \geq j(u(x))+v(x)(s-u(x)) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $s=0$ in (10) we obtain, by means of (3) and (4) that $0 \leq j(u(x)) \leq$ $v(x) u(x)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(u(\cdot)) \in L^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { and } \quad J(u)=\int_{\Omega} j(u(x)) d x . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Taking $s=w(x)$ in (10) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} j(w(x)) d x-\int_{\Omega} j(u(x)) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} v(x)(w(x)-u(x)) d x \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9), substituting $w$ by $w-u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ we get, by means of (12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(w-u) d x+J(w)-J(u) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(u) \cdot(w-u) d x \\
\quad \text { for all } w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $u$ is a solution of the variational inequality (5).
Remark 1. If $u$ is a solution of $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ then, by (11), $J(u)<+\infty$. The result also holds also if we replace $u$ by a super-solution $\bar{u}$ or by a sub-solution $\underline{u}$.

Set $v^{+}=\max \{v, 0\}$.
Lemma 3. Let $u, v \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ such that $J(u)$ and $J(v)$ are finite. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(u-(u-v)^{+}\right)-J(u)+J\left(v+(u-v)^{+}\right)-J(v)=0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\Omega_{+}:=\{x \in \Omega \mid u>v\}$ and $\Omega_{-}:=\{x \in \Omega \mid u \leq v\}$. Since $(u-v)^{+}=0$ in $\Omega_{-}$and $(u-v)^{+}=u-v$ in $\Omega_{+}$we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& J\left(u-(u-v)^{+}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{+}} j(v) d x+\int_{\Omega_{-}} j(u) d x \leq \infty  \tag{14}\\
& J\left(v+(u-v)^{+}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{+}} j(u) d x+\int_{\Omega_{-}} j(v) d x \leq \infty \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

By (14) and (15) we obtain (13).
Consider now the following variational inequality: given $z \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, find $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(w-u)+J(w)-J(u) \geq \int_{\Omega} & G(z)(w-u) d x  \tag{16}\\
& \text { for all } w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) .
\end{align*}
$$

The variational inequality (16) defines a mapping $T: z \rightarrow u$ and each fixed point of $T$ yields a solution of (5) and conversely.

LEMMA 4. Let hypotheses $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ be satisfied. Then for each $z \in$ $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ the variational inequality (16) has a unique solution $u=T z \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$. Moreover, there is a constant $C>0$ such that $\|T z\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq C$, for any $z \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$.

Proof. Existence. Let $z \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ be arbitrarily given. Then $G(z)$ is measurable and $G(z) \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, due to the estimate

$$
|G(z)| \leq|G(\bar{u})|+|G(\underline{u})|
$$

and after observing that the right-hand side of the above inequality is in $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, by $\left(H_{2}\right)$.

We now apply Theorem II.8.5 in Lions [5]. We first observe that the above assertions show that the mapping $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \ni u \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} G(z) u$ is in $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.

Consider the Leray-Lions operator $A: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$
\langle A u, w\rangle=\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla w d x
$$

We show that $A$ is a pseudo-monotone operator. For this aim it is enough to prove that $A$ is bounded, monotone and hemi-continuous (see Lions [5] [Prop. II.2.5]).

Condition $\left(a_{3}\right)$ yields the boundedness of $A$. Indeed

$$
\|A u\|_{W^{-1, p^{\prime}}}(\Omega) \leq C\left(\|k\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}\right)
$$

We also observe that $\left(a_{2}\right)$ implies that $A$ is a monotone operator.
In order to justify the hemi-continuity of $A$, let us consider a sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ converging to $\lambda$. Then, for given $u, v, w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
a\left(x, \nabla\left(u+\lambda_{n} v\right)\right) \cdot \nabla w \rightarrow a(x, \nabla(u+\lambda v)) \cdot \nabla w \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
$$

From the boundedness of $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ and condition $\left(a_{3}\right)$ we obtain that the sequence $\left\{\left|a\left(x, \nabla\left(u+\lambda_{n} v\right)\right) \nabla w\right|\right\}$ is bounded by a function which belongs to $L^{1}(\Omega)$. Using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem it follows that

$$
\left\langle A\left(u+\lambda_{n} v\right), w\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle A\left(u+\lambda_{n} v\right), w\right\rangle \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence the application $\lambda \rightarrow\langle A(u+\lambda v, w\rangle)$ is continuous.
It follows that all assumptions of Theorem II.8.5 in [5] are fulfilled, so the problem (16) has at least a solution.

Uniqueness. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be two solutions of (16). Then taking $w=u_{2}$ as a test function for the solution $u_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla u_{1}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) d x+J\left(u_{2}\right)-J\left(u_{1}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(z)\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) d x
$$

Similarly we find

$$
\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla u_{2}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) d x+J\left(u_{1}\right)-J\left(u_{2}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(z)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) d x
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(a\left(x, \nabla u_{1}\right)-a\left(x, \nabla u_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\nabla u_{1}-\nabla u_{2}\right) d x \leq 0
$$

So, by $\left(a_{2}\right)$, it follows that $\nabla u_{1}=\nabla u_{2}$, so $u_{1}=u_{2}+C$ in $\Omega$. Since $u_{1}=u_{2}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, it follows that $u_{1}=u_{2}$ in $\Omega$.

From (3) and (4) we deduce that $J(0)=0$ and $J(u) \geq 0$. Moreover, the variational inequality (16) implies

$$
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(-u) d x+J(0)-J(u) \geq-\int_{\Omega} G(z) u d x
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u d x \leq \int_{\Omega} G(z) u d x .
$$

This last inequality, assumption $\left(a_{1}\right)$ and Hölder's inequality yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \cdot\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p} & \leq \int_{\Omega} G(z) u d x \leq\|G(z)\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega)}} \cdot\|u\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left(\|G(\bar{u})\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega)}}+\|G(\underline{u})\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega)}}\right)\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $u=T z$ verifies

$$
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p-1} \leq C_{1}\left(\|G(\bar{u})\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)}+\|G(\underline{u})\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\right)=C_{2} .
$$

This implies that there exists a universal constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq C .
$$

So, in order to conclude our proof, it is enough to show that $u \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$. But, by the definition of an upper solution, there exists $\bar{v} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ such that $\bar{v} \in \beta(\bar{u}(x))$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla w d x+\int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \cdot w d x \geq \int_{\Omega} G(\bar{u}) w d x  \tag{17}\\
\quad \text { for all } w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L_{+}^{p}(\Omega) .
\end{align*}
$$

The solution $u=T z$ of the variational inequality (16) satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(w-u) d x+J(w)-J(u) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(z)(w-u) d x  \tag{18}\\
& \quad \text { for all } w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) .
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $\bar{v} \in \beta(\bar{u})=\partial j(\bar{u})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(s) \geq j(\bar{u}(x))+\bar{v}(x)(s-\bar{u}(x)) \quad \text { for all } s \in \mathbf{R} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $s:=\bar{u}(x)+(u(x)-\bar{u}(x))^{+}$in (19) we find by integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left(\bar{u}+(u-\bar{u})^{+}\right) \geq J(\bar{u})+\int_{\Omega} \bar{v}(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing now $w=(u-\bar{u})^{+}$in (17) we obtain
(21) $\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x+\int_{\Omega} \bar{v} \cdot(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x \geq \int_{\Omega} G(\bar{u}) \cdot(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x$.

Relations (20) and (21) yield
(22) $\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x+J\left(\bar{u}+(u-\bar{u})^{+}\right)-J(\bar{u}) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(\bar{u}) \cdot(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x$.

Taking $w=u-(u-\bar{u})^{+}$in (18), we obtain
$\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot\left(-\nabla(u-\bar{u})^{+}\right) d x+J\left(u-(u-\bar{u})^{+}\right)-J(u) \geq-\int_{\Omega} G(z)(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x$.
Since $z \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ and $G: L^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ is nondecreasing, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x & +J\left(u-(u-\bar{u})^{+}\right)-J(u) \\
& \geq-\int_{\Omega} G(\bar{u})(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

From (22), (23) and Lemma 3 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(a(x, \nabla u)-a(x, \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x \leq 0 .\right. \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{+}=\{x \in \Omega \mid u \leq \bar{u}\}$ and $\Omega_{-}=\{x \in \Omega \mid u>\bar{u}\}$. Since $(u-\bar{u})^{+}=0$ in $\Omega_{+}$and $(u-\bar{u})^{+}=u-\bar{u}$ in $\Omega_{-}$, it follows by (24) that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{-}}\left(a(x, \nabla u)-a(x, \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla(u-\bar{u})^{+} d x \leq 0\right.
$$

So, by $\left(a_{2}\right)$ and the definition of $\Omega_{-}$, we obtain meas $\left(\Omega^{-}\right)=0$, hence $u \leq \bar{u}$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Proceeding in the same way we prove that $\underline{u} \leq u$.

LEMMA 5. The operator $T$ defines a monotone nondecreasing mapping from $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ to $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$.

Proof. Let $z_{1}, z_{2} \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ be such that $z_{1} \leq z_{2}$. By Lemma 4, we obtain that $T z_{1}, T z_{2} \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla T z_{1}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(w-T z_{1}\right) d x & +J(w)-J\left(T z_{1}\right)  \tag{25}\\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} G\left(z_{1}\right)\left(w-T z_{1}\right) d x \\
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla T z_{2}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(w-T z_{2}\right) d x & +J(w)-J\left(T z_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} G\left(z_{2}\right)\left(w-T z_{2}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $w=T z_{1}-\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+}$in (25) and $w=T z_{2}+\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+}$in (26), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla T z_{1}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+} d x+J\left(T z_{1}-\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+}\right)-J\left(T z_{1}\right) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} G\left(z_{1}\right)\left(-\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+}\right) d x \\
& \int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla T z_{2}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+} d x+J\left(T z_{2}+\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+}\right)-J\left(T z_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} G\left(z_{2}\right)\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up these inequalities we get, by means of (13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left(a\left(x, \nabla T z_{1}\right)\right. & \left.-a\left(x, \nabla T z_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(G\left(z_{1}\right)-G\left(z_{2}\right)\right)\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

But $G\left(z_{1}\right) \leq G\left(z_{2}\right)$, since $G$ is a nondecreasing operator. Therefore, by the
above inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} a\left(x,\left(\nabla T z_{1}\right)-a\left(x, \nabla T z_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(T z_{1}-T z_{2}\right)^{+} d x \leq 0 .
$$

With the same argument as for proving (24) we obtain $T z_{1} \leq T z_{2}$.
Proof of Theorem 1 completed. Assume that $g$ is right continuous. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{n+1}=T u^{n}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{0}=\bar{u}$. Then, by Lemma $4,\left\{u^{n}\right\}$ is nondecreasing, $u^{n} \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$, and there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{n}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \leq C \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compact embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ and (28) ensure that there exists $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u^{n} \rightarrow u & \text { strongly in } L^{p}(\Omega) \\
u^{n} \rightharpoonup u & \text { weakly in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \\
u_{n} \rightarrow u & \text { a.e. in } \Omega .
\end{array}
$$

By Lemma 4, there exists $u^{\prime} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), u^{\prime} \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ such that $u^{\prime}=T u$. We prove in what follows that $u$ is a fixed point of $T$ i.e. $u^{\prime}=u$.

From (27) and by the definition of $T$ we obtain
(29) $\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla u^{n+1}\right) \nabla\left(w-u^{n+1}\right) d x+J(w)-J\left(u^{n+1}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega} G\left(u^{n}\right)\left(w-u^{n+1}\right)$ for all $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Also, from $T u=u^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla u^{\prime}\right) \nabla\left(w-u^{\prime}\right) d x+J(w)-J\left(u^{\prime}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(u) \cdot\left(w-u^{\prime}\right) d x  \tag{30}\\
\quad \text { for all } w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) .
\end{array}
$$

Taking $w=u^{\prime}$ in (29) and $w=u^{n+1}$ in (30), we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla u^{n+1}\right) \nabla\left(u^{\prime}-u^{n+1}\right) d x+J\left(u^{\prime}\right)-J\left(u^{n+1}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega} G\left(u^{n}\right) \cdot\left(u^{\prime}-u^{n+1}\right) d x
$$

$\int_{\Omega} a\left(x, \nabla u^{\prime}\right) \nabla\left(u^{n+1}-u^{\prime}\right) d x+J\left(u^{n+1}\right)-J\left(u^{\prime}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(u) \cdot\left(u^{n+1}-u^{\prime}\right) d x$.
So, by (29) and (30), $J\left(u^{\prime}\right)<\infty$ and $J\left(u^{n+1}\right)<\infty$. Summing up the last two inequalities we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(a\left(x, \nabla u^{\prime}\right)\right. & -a\left(x, \nabla u^{n+1}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u^{\prime}-u^{n+1}\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(G(u)-G\left(u^{n}\right)\right)\left(u^{\prime}-u^{n+1}\right) d x \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G$ is right continuous we have $G\left(u^{n}\right) \rightarrow G(u)$ in $\Omega$. We also have

$$
\left|G(u)-G\left(u^{n}\right)\right|\left(u-u^{n+1}\right) \leq 2(|G(\underline{u})|+|G(\bar{u})|)(|\underline{u}|+|\bar{u}|) \in L^{1}(\Omega)
$$

By $\left(a_{2}\right)$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce from (31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(a\left(x, \nabla u^{\prime}\right)-a\left(x, \nabla u^{n}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u^{\prime}-u^{n}\right) d x \rightarrow 0\right. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\nabla u^{n} \rightarrow \nabla u^{\prime}$ a.e. in $\Omega$.
Relation (32) implies that (up to a subsequence)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a\left(x, \nabla u^{\prime}\right)-a\left(x, \nabla u^{n}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u^{\prime}-u^{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \text {. } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to $\nabla u^{n} \rightarrow \nabla u^{\prime}$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Indeed, if not, there exists $x \in \Omega$ such that (up to a subsequence), $\nabla u^{n}(x) \rightarrow \xi \in \overline{\mathbf{R}}^{N}$ for $\xi \neq \nabla u^{\prime}$. Passing to the limit in (33) we obtain

$$
\left(a\left(x, \nabla u^{\prime}\right)-a(x, \xi)\right) \cdot\left(\nabla u^{\prime}-\xi\right)=0
$$

which contradicts $\left(a_{2}\right)$. So, we have proved that $\nabla u^{n} \rightarrow \nabla u$. Using the fact that $u^{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we conclude that $\nabla u^{\prime}=\nabla u$, thus $u^{\prime}=u$. Replacing $u^{\prime}$ by $u$ in (30) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla(w-u) d x+J(w)-J(u) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(u)(w-u) d x \\
\quad \text { for all } w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u$ is a fixed point of $T$ and a solution for the problem $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$.
In order to prove that $u$ is a maximal solution of (3) with respect to the order interval $[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$, take any other solution $\hat{u} \in[\underline{u}, \bar{u}]$ of the problem $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$.

Then $\hat{u}$ is in particular a sub-solution satisfying $\hat{u} \leq \bar{u}$. Starting again the iteration (27) with $u^{0}=\bar{u}$ we obtain

$$
\hat{u} \leq \cdots \leq u^{n+1} \leq u^{n} \leq \cdot \leq u^{0}=\bar{u}
$$

It follows that $\hat{u} \leq u$, which concludes our proof.
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