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Abstract

The rise of numerical methods in geological sciences, including volcanic surface and subsurface analysis offers the 21st century the opportunity to work on large datasets and refine the level of details collected. At the same time, outcrops are still the base of numerous near-surface analysis, especially on active volcanoes and only few progress has been made around the data-collection method, if not using expensive tools such as terrestrial laser scanners. In the present contribution, the authors have investigated the potential of the photogrammetric method SfM-MFS (Structure from Motion and Multiple-View Stereophotogrammetry), in order to improve the manual process of outcrop analysis, and they have also investigated the use of wavelets and spatial analysis techniques – usually used in GIS analysis - applied to a vertical surface. Results have proven that SfM-MVS can record precise 3D data from an outcrop. The method also allows the construction of orthophotographs of the outcrop, which can then be used for further image analysis. The usage of wavelets and other spatial algorithms have shown their ability to extract features and variations in the grain-size from numerical data. This study has also shown the limits of such techniques, as in the present technological state, some information collected in the field can’t be measured numerically. Therefore the authors have suggested that photogrammetry based algorithms could help extend the analysis potential from one localized control point – where the researcher can sample, measure and describe an outcrop - to a larger area. Hence information from one sample could be extended to long transects and show large/progressive horizontal variations that can’t be easily identified from manual work.

1. Introduction

Outcrops are arguably one of the most important sources of data to study the subsurface geology and the geomorphology of volcanic environments. Outcrop analysis is essential – for instance – for calibrating several non-destructive methods, such as ground-penetrating radar (GPR), in volcanic environments where data collection can be challenging (Abrams andSigurdsson, 2007; Cassidy et al., 2009; Courtland et al., 2012; Finizola et al., 2010; Gomez and Lavigne, 2009; Gomez et al., 2008, 2009, 2012; Gomez-Ortiz et al., 2006; Khan et al., 2007). Despite being a traditional technique, outcrop analysis has recently seen a methodological resurgence with the
application of remote sensing (RS) techniques, such as close-range hyperspectral imagery to map mineral content (Buckley et al., 2013) and terrestrial laser scanning, to describe millimeter to centimeter scale features (Bellianet al., 2005). Despite important gains in descriptive potential, exploration using these RS techniques has been relatively sparse, most probably because the technical and financial aspects are still prohibitive, and the great majority deals with sub-horizontal surfaces rather than sub-vertical ones (e.g. Heritage and Milan, 2009). Moreover, the contributions — to date — deal mostly with data acquisition and handling rather than obtaining parameters from which one could derive indicators on the nature of the studied material in an automated manner (e.g. Giaccio et al., 2002).

One potential direction that can be explored is the analysis of outcrop surface texture (or surface roughness), which can be a key proxy for environmental processes. This is particularly true in the field of agriculture where surface roughness gives indications on wind deflation, runoff and water absorption, even playing an important part in soil biota and gas exchanges (Vidal Vazquez et al., 2005). Geologists have also used variations of surface texture to characterize different volcanic deposits (Bretaret al., 2013) and the mechanisms of rock fragmentation (Tatone and Grasselli, 2009). Surface texture also controls electromagnetic scattering on a surface and therefore plays an important role in remote sensing interpretation (Beckmann and Spizzichino, 1987). In this paper, we add to the recent research on using remote-sensing techniques for outcrop analysis. Here we explore the question of whether cost-effective remote sensing data acquisition can be used to accurately describe surface texture of volcanic outcrops.

We: (1) present Structure–from-Motion associated with Multiple-View Stereophotogrammetry (SfM-MVS), a low-cost alternative to terrestrial laser scanning (Morgenroth and Gomez, 2014) and describe how it could be applied to outcrop analysis; and (2) test various surface texture indicators and the use of wavelet decomposition for surface roughness analysis, in order to determine if these indicators could be used for automatic recognition of granularity and derivation of grain-size variations.

In 1979, Structure-from-Motion (also known as Structure-and-Motion) was first developed in the field of computer-vision
engineering (Ullman, 1979). It has since developed into a valuable tool for generating 3D models from 2D imagery (Szelinski, 2011), notably with the development of software with Graphical User Interfaces. Traditional photogrammetry requires a series of identifiable points to be present in at least two photographs and, perhaps more importantly, known values of camera projection, distortion, position, and orientation (Robertson and Cipolla, 2009). By contrast, SfM uses algorithms to identify matching features in a collection of overlapping digital images, and calculates camera location and orientation from the differential positions of multiple matched features (Fisher, et al., 2005; Quan, 2010; Szeliski, 2011). Based on these calculations overlapping imagery can be used to reconstruct a 3D model of the photographed object or scene. Where relative projection geometry and camera position are known the values can be integrated into the SfM reconstruction to improve the calculation productivity and accuracy of the model (AgisoftPhotoscan-PRO, 2012).

A number of desktop and browser-based SfM software packages are freely available for generating 3D scenes from digital photographs (e.g. Snavely, et al., 2006; Snavely, 2010; AperoMicMac: Bretard, et al., 2013). However, this study used a commercial software program, AgisoftPhotoScan®-Professional (Agisoft LLC, St. Petersburg, Russia). Although the procedures described in this study are achievable using various free-ware options, the decision to use PhotoScan-Professional software was made because it couples SfM technology with multi-view stereophotogrammetry (MVS) algorithms in a user-friendly interface. Using this combined SfM-MVS approach, the software retrieves an initial set of sparse points from matching features (SfM) and then increases the point-cloud density to improve the reconstruction of the overlying 3D mesh using MVS technology (AgisoftPhotoscan-PRO, 2012; James and Robson, 2012; Verhoeven, et al., 2012).

In order to numerically study the variations of a surface from an ideal general shape, a series of tools are available, spanning from descriptive statistical indicators to more complex fractal-based (Bretard, et al., 2013) and wavelet-based analysis (Gomez, 2012) allowing measures at various scales (Gomez, 2013). During the last 10 years, the use of wavelet analysis in earth-sciences has increased concomitantly with the increasing availability of numerical data. It has especially benefited from the study of time-series for the determination of different frequencies and momentums.
Analyses of space-scale data with wavelet - although more scarce in earth-sciences – are also on the rise (e.g. Audet and Mareschal, 2007; Booth et al., 2009; Lashermes et al., 2007), eventually following the influence of research in medical imagery, which has been widely using wavelet for topographical analysis for instance (e.g. Langenbucher et al., 2002).

Wavelets allow the decomposition of a signal into a set of approximations, which is hierarchically organized in a combination of different scales. Wavelet analyses use a short-term duration wave as a kernel function in an integral transform. There are several types of wavelet, which are named after their inventors: e.g. Morlet wavelet, Meyer wavelet. Based on the shape of the series/function that needs to be analyzed, the appropriate mother wavelet is scaled and translated (daughter wavelet), allowing the detection of the different frequencies of a signal at different time (Torrence and Compo, 1998; Schneider and Farge, 2006). This mathematical transform can be very useful to study surface variations of large-scale topography or localized surface texture. Wavelet is a well-fitted tool for separating spectral components of topography (i.e. working on different scales of a single object), because it gives both the spatial and the spectral resolution.

2. Location

Japan is a volcanic archipelago that seats on the Pacific Ring of Fire and it is arguably one of the most tectonically and volcanically active regions in the world. Numazawa Volcano is located on the main island of Japan, Honshu, in the western part of Fukushima prefecture and about 50 km from the volcanic front defined by Sugimura (Sugimura, 1960; Yamamoto, 2007; Kataoka et al., 2008). Numazawa Volcano has developed on the edge of the Uwaigusa caldera complex (Yamamoto and Komazawa, 2004) to reach a present altitude of 1100 m a.s.l. A 2 km wide and ~100 m deep lake has formed within the caldera. Chronologically, the volcaniclastic deposits generated by Numazawa volcano are: the 110 k.a. Sibahara pyroclastic deposits; the 71 k.a. Mukuresawa lava dome; the 45 k.a. Mizunuma pyroclastic-flow deposits; the Sozan lava dome of 43 k.a; the Maeyama lava dome of 20 k.a and the Numazawako eruption of 5 k.a (Yamamoto, 2007). Kataoka et al. (2008) have described in details the Numazawako eruption and the geomorphic impacts around the volcano, including the flood terraces in the Tadami river, from which the material used in the present
contribution has been extracted (Cf. Fig. 9 in Kataoka et al., 2008). The 290 cm high x 85 cm wide outcrop-peel was extracted from hyperconcentrated-flow deposits with multiple inversely graded bed sets, rich in rounded pumices. The matrix is dominated by coarse sand to pebble size material. The peel is part of a 15 m thick unit that lied on top of debris-flow deposits.

3. Method

For the present study, a sandy to gravely material from Numazawa Volcano (Japan) has been digitally acquired and analyzed. The digital data has been collected using a point and shoot digital camera (Canon cybershot), by ‘hovering’ over the outcrop taking 170 photographs from a distance of 10 to 40 cm. The method for image acquisition may differ depending on the algorithm used (e.g. Fig. 1 in Westoby, 2012). In this study, photographs were taken to maximize the overlap such that features of the outcrop were captured by multiple photographs.

Using PhotoScan – professional, we applied the SfM technique to reconstruct a point-cloud based solely on the uncalibrated photographs, with tie-points of known location (x,y,z) in order to constrain the point-cloud in 3D. We subsequently used the MVS technique to build a 3D surface from the 3D point-cloud and camera location calculated by SfM. The 3D mesh was exported as both a vector model and a pixel based map (Fig. 2).

Data were then exported into (1) the GIS environment ArcGIS® (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA) and (2) the MATLAB® (MathWorks, Inc, Natick, MA, USA) programming environment (Fig. 2). In the GIS environment, the 3D surface created from SfM-MVS was loaded as a single layer and transformed into a tiff file that can be recognized as a 3 level matrix in Matlab. The dataset was then transferred into the Matlab programming environment to conduct the examination and measures of surface texture-variations/roughness using a series of different mathematical tools: (a) wavelet decomposition; (b) arithmetic average roughness; and (c) proximity analysis of positive and maximum negative variation in a square of 2x2cm. The algorithms were implemented using ‘cellular automata-type’ series of scripts. The acquisition and processing methods have been then discussed to present the limits and potentials of the different method.

4. Results

4.1 Visual description from 3D digital outcrop
Fig. 3 Outcrop reconstruction from the SfM-MVS derived collated orthorectified and scaled referenced imagery. The outcrop representation on the left displays the main sedimentary structures with mix-sand and coarse sand matrix (1) layers; and coarse-sand to small pebbles matrix layers (2). Larger Pebbles – mostly pumices – of significant size were individually recorded and measured with the visible L-axis (long-axis) and the visible area, as displayed on the right representation of the outcrop.
Using the visual results of the SfM-MVS recomposition, a series of layers of coarse sands to coarse sands and pebbles matrix have been identified (Fig. 3). The layers of coarser matrix also include larger clasts that are mainly pumices as visually identified from the digital outcrop. These clasts have a main axis (L) of the range ~10 to 80 mm (as measured from the 3D digital outcrop) and a visible surface of 8 to 250 mm$^2$ (Fig. 3). Mostly contained in the layers of coarser matrix – except for one large pumice located at 145 cm height – the distribution of larger clasts is uneven.

The vertical topography derived from SfM-MVS has been extracted from a virtual perfectly vertical plane, and therefore one can observe a slight slant of 6 cm along the 290 cm height of the outcrop-peel, the bottom part extruding the most from the perfect vertical plane. In order to perform localized analysis of the surface variations, the general slope of the surface has been subtracted using wavelet decomposition. The resulting variation is shown in Fig. 4-e, where only the variations independent from the general sloping trend have been conserved. This transformation has put the emphasis of the lower part of the outcrop where numerous micro-topography variations were disappearing in the general slope acceleration. In the upper part of the outcrop, as they are mostly located in the superior half: all the clasts of L>51 mm located between 145 cm and 290 cm height, and only 3 clasts of 36 mm < L < 50 mm are located in the bottom half of the outcrop. The SfM-MVS visual reconstruction can therefore yield useful information for a traditional outcrop visual analysis (Cf. visual in Fig. 4-a), but more importantly SfM-MVS also reconstructed the surface ‘vertical topography’ of the outcrop (Fig. 4).

4.2 Haar-wavelet decomposition as a tool to study micro-variations
Fig. 4 Detrending using wavelet. Transformation of the surface ‘topography’ obtained from Structure From Motion. (a) Orthophotograph constructed from Structure from Motion; (b) Surface variation, the 0 being the perfect vertical; (c) Surface extraction of the vertical transect at the centre of the outcrop; (d) ‘topographic’ general trend as extracted by Haar wavelet decomposition (Level 7 of a 7 scales decomposition); (e) Combination of the 4 lowest level of wavelet decomposition minus the main trend at level 7 (e = L1+L2+L3+L4-L7). One will note that (b) is only coded to 280 cm height as the upper part also includes the wood.
just above and below the 500 sampling point, one can observe - in Fig. 4-e – the strong variation of the signal and link them to two units of coarser material including larger clasts of centimeter-scale (Fig. 4-a & Fig. 3). Since the different levels of wavelet decomposition are scale-related, we have used the lowest level of the Haar-wavelet decomposition (Fig. 5) in order to detect the finer micro-variations of the outcrop along 7 vertical transects equally spaced between 10 cm and 70 cm. This analysis has yielded positive results with variations in the coarser units being clearly detected in ‘A’, ‘B’ and ‘F’ (Fig. 5). Local inclusions of larger size have also influenced the signal (Fig. 5-C). In the same manner, sandy layers without inclusion of large clasts or pebbles have displayed smoother signal traces with limited amplitude (Fig. 5-D). The signal also reacted to microvariations that are not due to grain-size variations, but linked to the fracture of the outcrop itself, such as the desiccation holes and cracks (Fig 5-E) and those created during the transport of the outcrop-peel (Fig. 5-G). The effects of the micro-rills located at the bottom of the outcrop – and which did not appear strongly in the combined levels of the wavelet decomposition (Fig. 4-E) – have created strong amplitude variations in the lowest level of the wavelet decomposition (Fig. 5-H). Wavelet decomposition has shown to be a useful tool to automate processes such as detrending and surface roughness patterns, but the reasons behind the signal micro-variations can have various sources limiting an automated recognition system based solely on wavelet decomposition.

4.3 Statistical and Spatial Analysis to detect surface roughness micro-variations

The indicators used in the present section are normally used to detect micro-variations in GIS and in the manufacturing industry. Although the instrumentation and the scale are different the underlying algorithms are similar. The first indicator tested is the arithmetic roughness average (\(R_a\)), which gives indications of the localized maximum variation (Fig. 6). This algorithm, computed over an average moving window of 2 cm\(^2\) has been successful at identifying rapid localized variations generated by increased roughness due to the coarseness
Fig. 5 Wavelet decomposition of the outcrop at level 1 (out of a 7 levels decomposition using a Haar mother-wavelet). As the lowest level reacts to the shortest variations in space, this analysis was performed to detect the microvariations (this lowest level is often considered as the ‘white-noise’ in signal processing).

of the matrix (Fig. 6-b,d). It also succeeded at identifying smoother material on the outcrop (Fig. 6-a,c,e) and defining their smaller scale variations. Indeed the variation of coarse material is in the order of \(x \times 10^{-3}\) m, while finer material varies in the order of \(x \times 10^{-4}\) m from local average variation (one will note that these later variations are below the mm scale and most certainly fall within the error of margin of data acquisition).

The second algorithm tested with a relative success is the RMS:

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L Z(x)^2 \, dx \right]^{1/2}
\]

It calculates the root mean square of the squared variation values from an ideal surface – in the present case the detrended surface roughness.

The result of the RMS shows the ability of the algorithm to detect and individualize local variations, due here to the presence of the centimeter-scale clast inclusions (Fig. 7-1&2), but it also detects quick variations such as the edge of a layer slightly protruding from the rest of the outcrop (Fig. 7-3).
Fig. 6 Arithmetic average roughness ($R_a$) extracted using a 2cm x 2cm moving average detrending, which reduces the effects of large variation on the outcrop. (a & c) $R_a$ of coarse sand units; (b & d) $R_a$ of coarser sand with gravels and centimetric clasts inclusions; (e) $R_a$ of coarse sand with several vertical erosional rill remnants. It is interesting to note that $R_a$ did not react to these variations (one will note that the scales of a,c and e are the same but b and d differ in order to display the variations at different scales).

Fig. 7 RMS or square root of the square of local variation from the entire detrended surface. This index allows finding elements that protrude locally from the surrounding, such as isolated gravels in finer material, etc. The profile (a) is the reconstructed photograph using textured SfM-MVS; the profile (b) is the surface microtopography from an idea vertical; profile (c) is the dvar. On the three profiles box 1,2 and 3 have been drawn for regions of interests (see the text for full comments on these boxes).
Discussion

The different algorithms using wavelet and spatial statistics techniques tested here on the SfM-MVS derived data have shown their ability to produce measures of the surface roughness. It also shows that the SfM-MVS is a method that can successfully capture microtopographic variations on outcrops even at the millimeter level. The intrinsic advantage of this workflow is its low-cost (e.g. of topographic application: Westoby et al., 2012) and the fact that anybody can go and collect data for the scientist to process, as it only requires standard overlapping photographs from a low-cost, off-the-shelf camera. However, the surface roughness processing algorithms tested here are not sufficiently developed to automate the process of recognizing layers of coarser grain-size or the presence of larger ‘individuals’ in a series as they also react to the imperfections of the outcrop.

Although the detection of these imperfections hamper the full-automation of the process in the present study, the behavior of these algorithms could be used to detect the imperfections on rock-faces, which Giaccio et al. (2002) measured using a roughness-meter, and used as a proxy of erosion features invisible to the naked eye. Although the ‘manual processing and interpretation’ of data captured with a roughness-meter or even non-contact methods such as SfM-MVS or TLS is possible, it is necessary to improve the speed of data processing for large-surface rock-faces. Based on the results obtained in the present study both a decomposition using wavelet and a RMS could improve such processing and produce a map of local micro-variations. Other applications of the combination of SfM-MVS with surface roughness algorithms are numerous, as SfM-MVS, wavelet decomposition and the spatial analysis algorithms used in the present study are scale-independent. They can be applied from the mountain-scale to the micro-soil variation scale.

As pointed out by Westoby et al., (2012), despite portability, low-cost and low-logistic demand, SfM-MVS is extremely time-consuming during the processing process. Using a four core E7 at 2GhZ CPU and 6GB RAM the SfM-MVS process reached almost 30 hours computing. This lengthy process could be reduced by diminishing the resolution of the photographs, though this may result in loss of detail, then in the density of keypoints and thus, the final quality of the reconstructed surface may be diminished. Working at a sub-centimeter to centimeter level, it is therefore important to keep the full-resolution of the photographs.
The development of this method, and the need for powerful algorithms, and the hardware limitation are all symptomatic of the recent shift in the technical paradigms of geo-sciences, for which data is widely available, easy to collect (compared to ~30 years ago), and it is therefore less of a challenge than the data-processing, too numerous to be effectively used in a timely manner. This shift and the necessity to develop appropriate data processing algorithms is also perceptible in the funding strategies like the late y.2013 NSF grant ‘EarthCube’, aiming to develop cyber-infrastructure in earth-sciences.

Finally, the limitations of the data processing method also highlight the fact that human experience and eyes in the field can’t be replaced by a fully automated system, as the present state of remote-sensing data acquisition and processing alone doesn’t allow us to extract all the necessary data. At present, it is most likely that the SfM-MVS acquisition method can be used as a complementary method. Indeed, traditional analysis and sampling could act as a control point for SfM-MVS, which would be then able to expand the localized knowledge to long outcrops. Such ‘ground-truthing’ is often used for GPR studies, where the researcher can start from a known outcrop or borehole in order to provide more spatially significant results.

**Conclusion**

SfM-MVS is a rapid method to collect very fine outcrop data in the field, and could be extensively used on volcanoes, because it would allow the preservation of orthorectified and georeferenced outcrop morphologies and images, which would be extremely useful for comparisons after volcanic evolutions, especially on volcanoes that change extremely quickly. Such extended dataset are therefore in need of algorithms providing partial or full-automation of some of the processing steps. It appears that wavelet decomposition and RMS would provide a rapid insight on the location of coarser materials and individual outliers, while arithmetic surface roughness would be more useful to detect units or layers that are similar on an outcrop. Following the present work, the next step will be the retrieval of outdoor data and grain-size samples in order to find eventual linkages between surface roughness indicators and grain-size distribution.
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