

English comparison constructions in a typological perspective

Yvonne Treis

▶ To cite this version:

Yvonne Treis. English comparison constructions in a typological perspective. Master. Journée mastériale "Études des constructions comparatives dans des variétés de langues créoles et non créoles", Amiens, France. 2017, pp.28. cel-01505695

HAL Id: cel-01505695

https://hal.science/cel-01505695

Submitted on 11 Apr 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Yvonne Treis (CNRS-LLACAN)

English comparison constructions in a typological perspective

JOURNÉE MASTÉRIALE, 4 AVRIL 2017, U PICARDIE

1. Introduction

- Comparison and assessments of similarity and difference are fundamental cognitive processes
- Vast amount of literature on the expression of comparison
 - From a typological (cross-linguistic) perspective
 - In formal frameworks (especially on European languages)
- Lacking: Good corpus studies of the expression of comparison in little-known, oral languages

Structure of the talk

- Terminology
- Comparison of inequality
 - Comparison of (relative) superiority
 - English vs. worldwide
 - Comparison of absolute superiority / superlativity
 - English vs. worldwide
- Comparison of equality
 - English vs. worldwide
- Comparison of similarity
 - English vs. worldwide

2. Terminology

A terminological issue

What is a "comparative" construction?

- A construction expressing comparison?
- A construction expressing comparison of inequality?
- A construction expressing comparison of superiority?
- A construction expressing comparison of relative superiority?
- → Pay attention to the terminology used in different scientific works

Constituents of comparison constructions

Mary is tall-er than John

- C P DM SM S
- **C** Comparee: Entity which is being compared (*Mary*) against some standard of comparison
- **S Standard** of comparison: Entity that the comparee is compared against (*John*)
- **P Parameter** of comparison: Property (quality, quantity) (*tall*)
- **SM Standard marker**: Marker indicating the grammatical function of the standard (*than*)
- **DM Degree/parameter marker**: Marker marking the degree of presence or absence of a property in the comparee (-*er*)



We find a lot of different terms for these constituents in the literature. Don't get confused! Not all languages use a standard *and* a degree marker in their comparative constructions.

Traditional degrees of comparison

In traditional grammar, four (three) degrees of comparison of the adjective are distinguished.

(NB: Label for the morphological form of the adjective > Label for the whole comparison construction)

- **Positive** degree (basic form of the adjective): *Mary is tall*.
- (**Equative** degree (same extent): *Mary is as tall as John*.)
- Comparative degree (different extent): Mary is taller than Peter.
- Superlative degree (highest extent): Mary is the tallest of her family.

Traditional degrees of comparison

In traditional grammar, four (three) degrees of comparison of the adjective are distinguished.

(NB: Label for the morphological form of the adjective > Label for the whole comparison construction)

- **Positive** degree (basic form of the adjective): *Mary is tall*.
- (**Equative** degree (same extent): *Mary is* (as) tall as John.)

- Analytic/free
- Comparative degree (different extent): Mary is taller than Peter.
- Synthetic/bound
- Superlative degree (highest extent): Mary is the tallest of her family. Synthetic/bound
- Q: Are there languages which also mark equative degree synthetically on ADJ?

Constituents of comparison constructions

Synthetic (morphological) degree marking, e.g. North Saami (Norway, Finland)*

'strong'

• **Positive** degree: gievra

• **Equative** degree: gievrru 'as strong'

• Comparative degree: gievrrat 'stronger'

• **Superlative** degree: *gievrramus* 'strongest'

(see also Celtic languages)

*for 11 adjectives of the basic vocabulary Source: Ylikoski (2017: 271)

Carving up the domain of comparison

Quantitative comparison (parameter of comparison overtly expressed)

- INEQUALITY
 - Superiority

 Relative Superiority 	Mary is taller than Peter	Comparative
--	---------------------------	-------------

- Absolute Superiority (superlativity) Mary is the tallest of her family Superlative
- Inferiority
 - Relative Inferiority Susan is less tall than Peter
 - Absolute Inferiority (superlativity) Susan is the least tall of her family
- EQUALITY Mary is as tall as John Equative

Qualitative comparison \rightarrow see next page

Cf. Fuchs (2014)

Carving up the domain of comparison

• • •

Qualitative comparison (similarity)

- (Real) Similarity
- Hypothetical Similarity (Simulation)

Peter runs like a hare. / Peter is like Mary.

Peter behaves as if he were a child.

Similative

Simulative*

(no established term)

More on terminology

Predicative construction

- Clausal
 - Mary is taller than Peter

Attributive construction

- All constituents in one NP
 - [Even a brighter fellow than George] would shrink back from this talk. (Stolz 2013: 9)

3.1. RELATIVE SUPERIORITY / 3.2. ABSOLUTE SUPERIORITY

Mary is taller than Peter

In the canonical English comparative construction (narrow sense of the word):

- Comparee: Nominative NP, Subject
- Standard: Accusative NP (see *She is taller than him*).
- Parameter: Adjective
- Degree/Parameter marker: -er or more (see Mary is more modest than Peter)
- Standard marker: Dedicated [!] comparative preposition (22/167 lgs in WALS)

Crosslinguistically, comparative constructions can be categorised in a limited number of types (primarily based on the conceptual basis of the standard marker!).

SOURCE SCHEMA: Peter is tall from Mary

• LOCATION SCHEMA: Peter is tall at Mary

• GOAL SCHEMA: Peter is tall to Mary

• SEQUENCE SCHEMA:* Peter is tall, then Mary

• SIMILARITY SCHEMA:* Peter is taller like Mary

• TOPIC SCHEMA: Peter and Mary, Peter is tall.

• POLARITY SCHEMA: Peter is tall, Mary is not tall.

• ACTION SCHEMA: Peter is tall surpasses Mary / surpasses Mary in bigness

• (Pure comparative)

*also subsumed under PARTICLE COMPARATIVE

Cf. Stolz (2013), see also Dixon

(2008), Stassen (1985), Heine (1997)

ACTION SCHEMA: Peter is tall surpasses Mary / surpasses Mary in bigness (33/167 languages in WALS)

Nigerian Pidgin English



(Source: S.M: Michaelis and the APiCS Consortium. 2013. Comparative standard marking. In: Michaelis, S.M. et al. (eds.) Atlas of Pidgin and Creole Language Structures Online. Leipzig: MPI-EVA. http://apics-online.info/parameters/42)

- SOURCE SCHEMA: Peter is tall from Mary (78/167 lgs in WALS locational schema)
- Kambaata (Cushitic, Ethiopia)

```
Bóq {Makkeeb-éechch} qeráa'rr-u-a
PN.mNOM PN-fABL long-mPRED-mCOP2
'Boqe is taller than Makkeebe (lit. Boqe is tall {from Makkeebe}).'
```

Juba Arabic (Creole, South Sudan)

zaráf towil min fil	zaráf towíl min fil	giraffe long from elephant	'The giraffe is taller than the elephant.'
---------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	--

Source: Michaelis, S.M. et al. (eds.) 2013

3.2. Comparison of (absolute) superiority

Mary is the tallest (in the family / of the three).

In the English superlative construction

- Degree/Parameter marker: -est or most (synthetic or analytic superlative)
- Superlative adjective is preceded by a definite article

Marie est la plus intelligente.

In the French superlative construction

- Degree/Parameter marker: *plus* (analytic comparative)
- Superlative adjective is preceded by a definite article

3.2. Comparison of (absolute) superiority

- Only one cross-linguistic study of superlatives (Gorshenin 2012): 6 major types
- "[S]ynthetic superlative forms of adjectivals, as they are observed in classical Indo-European languages [are] an almost exclusively Eurasian speciality" (p. 172)
- "The most widespread predicative superlative construction is based upon a comparative predication with the Standard of comparison expressed by or at least including some universal quantifier of the type *all*, *everything/everybody* (or a logically related pronoun, esp. an indefinite pronoun with general reference)." (p. 171, emphasis mine)
- Pseudo-English: *Mary is taller than all* [= *Mary is the tallest*]

Mary is as tall as Peter

In the English equative construction:

- Degree/Parameter marker: as (analytic)
- Standard marker of equative \neq standard marker of comparative: $as \neq than$

Haspelmath (2017) distinguishes 6 primary equative schemas:

• Only equative SM: Kim is tall [like Pat]

• EQUATIVE DM AND SM: Kim is [equally tall] [as Pat] English

• EQUATIVE DM UNIFIED: [Kim and Pat] are [equally] tall

• Primary reach equative: Kim [reaches/equals Pat] in height

• PRIMARY REACH EQU. UNIFIED: [Kim and Pat] are equal (to each other) in height

• SECONDARY REACH EQUATIVE: Kim is tall [reaching/equalling Pat]

Haspelmath (2017) distinguishes 6 primary equative schemas:

• Only equative SM: Kim is tall [like Pat]

• EQUATIVE DM AND SM: Kim is [equally tall] [as Pat]

• Equative DM unified: [Kim and Pat] are [equally] tall

• Primary reach equative: Kim [reaches/equals Pat] in height

• PRIMARY REACH EQU. UNIFIED: [Kim and Pat] are equal (to each other) in height

• SECONDARY REACH EQUATIVE: Kim is tall [reaching/equalling Pat]

French

Kim est aussi

grande que Pat

Only equative standard marker: *Kim is tall [like Pat]*

```
Kambaata (Cushitic, Ethiopia): =g 'like' (equative/similative)

{Zoobb-ée=g-a} xalig-á ik-kumbóochch
lions-mGEN=G-mACC/ACC strong-mACC be-2sNREL.ABL

urr-ú-' kad-dókkoont
frontyard-fACC-1sPOSS step-2sPREV

'Unless you are strong like as strong {as lions}, don't dare to step into my front
yard!' (K89: 6.124; corrected by DW)
```

```
Secondary reach equative: Kim is tall [reaching/equalling Pat]
```

Nigerian Pidgin English (Faraclas 1996: 109, quoted after Haspelmath 2017)

```
Chinwe <u>ge</u>t s<u>e</u>ns rich yu.
```

Chinwe have sense reach you.

'Chinwe has as much sense as you.'

4. Comparison of similarity

Mary is like Peter / Mary walks like Peter

In the English similative construction:

• SM of similative \neq SM of equative \neq SM of comparative: $like \neq as \neq than$

4. Comparison of similarity

Cross-linguistically very common:

• SM of similative = SM of equative \neq SM of comparative e.g. German: $wie = (so) \dots wie \neq (\dots - er) als$

French: $comme \neq (aussi) \dots que = (plus) \dots que$

• SM of similative = SM of equative ≠ SM of comparative

German (Moselfranconian): $wie = (so) \dots wie = (\dots - er) \dots wie$

• SM of similative = SM of equative = SM of comparative

Questions

- Examine the whole domain of comparison/Do not only focus on comparative constructions in the narrow sense
- Examine primary (more common) and secondary (less common) constructions
- Examine not only predicative but also attributive constructions?
- Into which cross-linguistic schemas do the constructions of language X fit?
- Syntactic function of individual constituents in comparison constructions
- Degree markers and their sources and multifunctionalities/other functions outside of comparison constructions
- Standard markers and their sources and multifunctionalities/other functions outside of comparison constructions
- Parameters: Grammatical status/word class, restricted to lexemes that are considered gradable in the language?
- Possible diachronic origin of the comparative construction/strategy (e.g. calques, borrowings from superstrate languages under language contact or areal diffusion of common patterns)
- Structural similarities between comparative constructions and other comparison constructions?

References

- Cuzzolin, Pierluigi & Christian Lehmann 2004. Comparison and gradation. In: Booij, Geert, Christian Lehmann, Joachim Mugdan & Stavros Skopeteas (eds.). *Morphologie. Ein internationals Handbuch zur Flexion und Wortbildung*, vol. 17.2: 1857-1882. Berlin, New York: W. de Gruyter.
- Dixon, R.M.W. 2008. Comparative constructions. A cross-linguistic typology. *Studies in Language* 32, 4: 787-817.
- Fuchs, Catherine 2014. La comparaison et son expression en français. Paris: Ophrys.
- o Gorshenin, Maksym 2012. The crosslinguistics of the superlative. In: Stroh, Cornelia (ed.). *Neues aus der Bremer Linguistikwerkstatt: Aktuelle Themen und Projekte* 31. Bochum: Brockmeyer. Pp. 55-160.
- Henkelmann, Peter 2006. Constructions of equative comparison. Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung 59, 4: 370-398.
- Stassen, Leon 1985. Comparison and Universal Grammar. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- Stassen, Leon 2013. Comparative Constructions. In: Dryer, Matthew S. & Haspelmath, Martin (eds.), The World Atlas of Language Structures
 Online. Leipzig: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. Available online at: http://wals.info/chapter/121
- Haspelmath, Martin 2017. Equative constructions in world-wide perspective. In: Treis & Vanhove (eds.) 2007, pp. 9-32.
- Michaelis, S.M. and the APiCS Consortium. 2013. Comparative standard marking. In: Michaelis, S.M. et al. (eds.) Atlas of Pidgin and Creole Language Structures Online. Leipzig: MPI-EVA. http://apics-online.info/parameters/42
- Heine, Bernd 1997. Cognitive Foundations of Grammar. Oxford: OUP.
- Stolz, Thomas 2013. Competing comparative constructions in Europe. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag
- Treis, Yvonne 2017. Similative morphemes as purpose clause markers in Ethiopia and beyond. In: Treis & Vanhove (eds.) 2007, pp. 91-142.
- Treis, Yvonne & Martine Vanhove (eds.) in press. *Similative and Equative <u>Constructions: A Cross-linguistic Perspective</u>. [Typological Studies in Language, 117.] Amsterdam, Philadelphia: Benjamins.*
- Ylikoski, Jussi 2017. Similarity, equality and the like in North Saami. In: Treis & Vanhove (eds.) 2007, pp. 259-290.